Transforming the development and dissemination of cutting-edge microscopy and computation

We propose a network of national imaging centers that provide collaborative, interdisciplinary spaces needed for the development, application, and teaching of advanced biological imaging techniques. Our proposal is based on recommendations from a National Science Foundation (NSF)-sponsored workshop on realizing the promise of innovations in imaging and computation for biological discovery.

Daniel A. Colón-Ramos, Patrick La Riviere, Hari Shroff and Rudolf Oldenbourg

Recent improvements in light microscopy have transformed researchers' ability to probe the structure and function of cells, tissues, and whole organisms. These advances were made possible by a combination of insights from different disciplines, including physics, chemistry, engineering, computation, and, of course, biology. This interdisciplinary foundation for biological imaging has created three critical needs in the scientific community: (1) further promotion of team-based approaches to the development of new technologies that incorporate methods from multiple disciplines, (2) more rapid dissemination of technological innovations to maximize benefits to the community, and (3) the development of training opportunities at the interface of biology, physics, and engineering. In the United States in particular, these unmet needs have limited the impact of innovations in microscopy and computational imaging on the biological sciences.

To find effective approaches to address these needs, the NSF sponsored a workshop, "Enabling Biological Discovery through Innovations in Imaging and Computation," at the Marine Biological Laboratory (MBL) in Woods Hole, Massachusetts, in November 2018 (Supplementary Information). The workshop convened 79 interdisciplinary experts and trainees to identify approaches that would transform the way technologies are developed and deployed. We highlight a consensus for the creation of national centers for innovation, dissemination, and training in biological imaging.

The role of national centers in developing imaging technologies

The past 20 years have seen explosive growth in bioimaging technologies, including the development of super-resolution[1] and light-sheet microscopy[2], the invention of new probes for imaging[3] and manipulating neuronal activity in live organisms[4], and the emergence of machine learning as a potent tool for image analyses[5]. Some developments have emerged from individual labs, and others from larger institutions that prioritize collaborative imaging-technology development (such as the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) in Heidelberg, Germany, and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute's Janelia Research Campus (JRC); see, for example, refs. [6,7]).

Although optical imaging and computation have undergone transformative changes, these tools have been slow to make an impact on biology. One limiting barrier is that training, collaboration, and dissemination are largely left to local core facilities, which primarily serve the needs of specific academic and research institutions[8–10]. Successful, but rare, alternatives that promote dissemination include the access programs at EMBL and JRC, which host outside investigators and support them in using newly developed instruments, as well as training courses and workshops at the abovementioned institutions and at places such as the MBL and Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (CSHL).

Other challenges also hamper the development and dissemination of biological imaging technologies. For example, it is increasingly difficult for individual labs to maintain sufficient expertise across all parts of the imaging chain, from specimen preparation to quantitative image analysis, and to keep current with advances in biological imaging. Existing courses are oversubscribed (for example, related courses at MBL are typically oversubscribed by 50% to 300% of capacity), and new courses are developed too slowly to keep pace with the latest developments, especially in computational image analysis. Because of the lack of collaborative spaces, technologies fail to fully benefit from the input of biologists and computational scientists in the design phase. Even in the best-case scenarios in which a technology is successfully developed and validated by proof-of-principle biology, its impact is limited unless there are avenues to educate and train the broader biological community in its use. Promising technologies linger in developers' laboratories for years before commercialization and deployment to the community. Staff scientist positions that can fully translate the new technologies for use by biologists are lacking, and where they exist, their funding is often unstable. These barriers create unmet needs that are particularly pressing within the research ecosystem of the United States, where the transformative role of staff scientists and the concept of national collaborative centers for biological imaging are underdeveloped.

Therefore, we propose the creation of a network of centers for biological imaging and computation as outlined below.

Collaborative infrastructures. To capture the promise of recent advances in microscopy, probe development, and computation for biological discovery, we propose newly configured centers that will serve as convening places for multidisciplinary teams from different institutions, as well as training spaces for the next generation of imaging collaborators. Our vision for the proposed centers is informed by institutions that are currently catalyzing the development of imaging innovations, such as EMBL and JRC, and by the success of the colocation of technologies and expertise into a hub like a national lab, albeit in a more distributed manner. It is also informed by recent efforts in Europe under

the umbrella of Euro-BioImaging, through which European governments support the creation of centers of excellence in biological imaging at 29 affiliated institutions across 12 countries (web-based resources are listed in the Supplementary Information).

Interdisciplinary centers of innovation. The proposed centers would break away from the traditional (vertical) structure of academic institutions and instead use a horizontal structure that facilitates engagement across disciplines and institutions to catalyze new interactions. This interdisciplinary support is usually not available at local core facilities, which typically supply commercialized instrumentation under a tight user schedule that does not incentivize de novo instrument building or the modification of existing equipment. Furthermore, core facilities often provide a diverse array of techniques such as imaging, sequencing, and high-throughput screening. Therefore, their innovation potential lies primarily in the creation of 'packages of support' for scientists who need to combine several techniques and methods to arrive at their research goals[11]. Instead, the proposed new imaging centers would focus on the innovation pipeline in biological imaging, which requires its own set of expertise that cuts across traditional disciplines and is best captured through the collaboration of expert staff scientists.

A distributed national network. We believe that rather than a single national center, a network of smaller centers that each serve a group of regional academic and research institutions will provide more effective support for this large and diverse imaging community. Some centers could have specific emphases, such as light microscopy, electron microscopy, computational imaging, or imaging across scales. However, although they will be geographically dispersed, they will represent one community in their aspiration to enhance biological discovery through innovations in microscopy, computation, and probe development. Similar to Euro-BioImaging, the network of imaging centers in the United States should include a unifying web presence that provides user support by implementing access routes to instrumentation and computational tools, and by moderating communication platforms such as BioImaging NorthAmerica.

Training the trainers. The plethora of complex new technologies requires new approaches to training. At present, even when new imaging systems make their way into new settings, their use is often limited, not by the technology, but by user training. To address the need for advanced instrumentation and training, many institutions have created their own core facilities that consider training as one of their key activities[8]. The proposed imaging centers would not replace or compete with these local efforts but would seek instead to 'train the trainers' by introducing core facility staff to new technologies and best practices for their use. The centers also would provide access to cutting-edge technology for institutions that lack certain instruments or core facilities altogether. Therefore, we expect the proposed centers to create powerful synergies with core facilities, extending their spheres of influence and impact in the biological sciences.

We consider the National Center for Microscopy and Imaging Research (NCMIR) at the University of California, San Diego, as a potential template on which to model individual centers in the proposed network. Much of the NCMIR's activity is focused on electron microscopy and is funded through a P41 grant from the US National Institute of General Medical Sciences, part of the National Institutes of Health. Its mission goes beyond that of a core facility and includes innovation, early adoption, and training beyond the host institution. Integration of these three mission goals is not only practical but necessary for the NCMIR and for the proposed network centers, in order to harness the synergies from interdisciplinary collaborations to the maximum benefit of the research community. Integration of these approaches will also help to catalyze the innovation cycle by lowering the entry barrier for early adopters.

Computational imaging needs. Computation has become a critical part of biomedical imaging, not just for analyzing acquired data but also increasingly as an integral part of computational imaging systems[12]. The proposed imaging centers would be natural hubs linking microscope developers to algorithm developers, allowing them to spend time together identifying and integrating, from the beginning, potential collaborative strategies that push both fields forward. Smaller, proof-of-principle collaborations demonstrating the value of this approach have already nucleated at places such as the MBL[13–19]. Exposing new technology to a collaborative environment like those found in the MBL courses and summer research programs has helped to disseminate and refine technologies such as the LC-PolScope, dual-view selective plane illumination microscope, and lattice light-sheet microscope. A larger-scale implementation of these collaborative efforts could be transformative for biology and imaging.

Image-analysis software has proven indispensable for coping with the data flood in biological imaging. Early efforts harnessed individual initiatives to create a number of general, open-source software packages, such as ImageJ[20,21], that are effective in many research projects. However, increasingly complex biological questions benefit from specific task-driven algorithms or machine-learning strategies that require collaboration with computer scientists[17,19]. Development and dissemination of new tools will benefit from convening centers, as demonstrated by a recent National Center for Brain Mapping workshop (http://brain-doe.org/deep-learning/) at MBL, which introduced students to new computational packages such as the CARE (content-aware image restoration) algorithm[22] and segmentation tools for large-scale electron microscopy data[23].

Organizational principles

Personnel. To fulfill their mission, the centers would require permanent staff who are world-leading experts in various aspects of the imaging chain (hardware, software, probe development) and can drive the techniques forward while supporting the biologists who use them or inspire their development. A natural model is the set of beamline scientists at synchrotron user facilities, who balance their time between improving the techniques available at their beamlines and supporting visiting users in exploiting these techniques to advance their own science. Like at synchrotrons, the proposed imaging centers would need to set aside time when users were not scheduled so that instruments could be maintained, modified, and improved.

Staff scientists will play pivotal roles in creating a feedback loop among the main players of the imaging ecosystem: biologists, microscopists, and computational imaging specialists. The multidisciplinary interactions represent a good teaching opportunity even at the early graduate and undergraduate levels. Collaborations must thus be forged between educators and the centers in order to create courses that give training access to students, who will become 'native' in this new way of interdisciplinary thinking and project development.

It is difficult to fund such high-level staff scientists in traditional university environments in the United States. In Europe, staff scientist positions are better developed, to the benefit of initiatives like the ones described here and the scientific

community. To extend the impact of imaging, funders and academic centers must recognize the barriers imposed by the current funding system. In the United States, some foundations, such as the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, have recognized this challenge and issued a request for applications to support a number of staff imaging scientists for 2–5 years. The proposed imaging centers would require longer-term sources of support. Salaries for permanent staff would need to be competitive with industry, especially for IT personnel.

In addition to permanent staff, the centers would welcome visiting scientists for collaborative projects over periods spanning days to months. We envision that such projects will typically be supported by outside grants and stipends, or by contracts with companies interested in the development and application of cutting-edge microscopy. The centers thus would need convenient and flexible housing options and logistical support, as well as essential laboratory space and equipment for sample preparation prior to imaging. The organization of access to the centers and associated logistics might be modeled on processes established at the MBL and CSHL (Supplementary Information).

Access to new or high-end imaging equipment and software. Centers should lead not only to the dissemination of new technologies developed in-house but also to early applications of instruments and methods developed by other research labs and companies. In the spirit of Open Science[24,25], ideas include 'road-testing' of alpha- and beta-stage instrumentation and software in the centers with input from industry and academic experts. It was suggested that tech industry scientists could use their sabbatical time as 'scientists in residence' at these imaging centers, thereby sharing their skills to advance scientific projects. Such collaborations would be particularly helpful for permanent center staff, who could assist in matching the right biological applications to new technologies. Centers would thus catalyze a 'crossing of the chasm' between early adopters (pioneers) and broad acceptance in the biological community[17,26,27].

Conclusions

The workshop "Enabling Biological Discovery through Innovations in Imaging and Computation" was designed to illuminate the need for improved development, dissemination, and training in imaging technologies for biology. There was strong consensus from participants on the need for new opportunities and new spaces for interdisciplinary bioimaging technology development and dissemination. On the basis of that feedback, we propose a vision for the creation of a network of distributed national imaging centers as a critical component in this effort.

While we do not address the question of how to fund this national effort, US federal agencies such as the National Science Foundation, the National Institutes of Health, and the Department of Energy are well positioned in terms of expertise and resources to coordinate and establish the centers, including through the use of funds from the BRAIN initiative[28].

As a next step toward transforming these ideas into a concrete vision, we are organizing a meeting that will serve as a follow-up to the original workshop. The meeting will bring together some of the key players in the field, including heads of facilities and institutions that we hope to attract as network members, managers of core facilities with an imaging focus, and representatives of funding agencies. The meeting will also include discussions of concrete governance structures that would best benefit the proposed imaging centers and would help catalyze deployment of the first test centers nationally. The meeting is scheduled to occur in the fall of 2019 (look for an announcement at https://www.mbl.edu/nsf-workshop/). We encourage everybody who has helpful comments and ideas to send them to us before the meeting at ImagingNetwork@MBL.edu. ❐

Daniel A. Colón-Ramos[1,2,3,6], Patrick La Riviere[3,4,6], Hari Shroff[3,5,6] and Rudolf Oldenbourg[3,6]*

[1]Department of Neuroscience and Department of Cell Biology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA. [2]Instituto de Neurobiología, Recinto de Ciencias Médicas, Universidad de Puerto Rico, San Juan, Puerto Rico, USA. [3]Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, USA. [4]Department of Radiology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. [5]Section on High Resolution Optical Imaging, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. [6]These authors contributed equally: Daniel A. Colón-Ramos, Patrick La Riviere, Hari Shroff, Rudolf Oldenbourg.
**e-mail: rudolfo@mbl.edu*

Published online: 30 July 2019
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0475-y

References

1. Schermelleh, L. et al. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **21**, 72–84 (2019).
2. Power, R. M. & Huisken, J. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 360–373 (2017).
3. Lavis, L. D. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **86**, 825–843 (2017).
4. Ronzitti, E., Emiliani, V. & Papagiakoumou, E. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **12**, 469 (2018).
5. Brent, R. & Boucheron, L. *Nat. Methods* **15**, 868–870 (2018).
6. Shroff, H. et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 20308–20313 (2007).
7. Wagner, N. et al. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 497–500 (2019).
8. Ferrando-May, E. et al. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* **79**, 463–479 (2016).
9. Hockberger, P., Weiss, J., Rosen, A. & Ott, A. *J. Biomol. Tech.* **29**, 79–92 (2018).
10. Kamykowski, J. A. & Storrie, B. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1663**, 15–19 (2017).
11. Lippens, S. et al. *EMBO Rep.* **20**, e48017 (2019).
12. McLeod, E. & Ozcan, A. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **79**, 076001 (2016).
13. LaFountain, J. R. Jr. & Oldenbourg, R. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **15**, 5346–5355 (2004).
14. DeMay, B. S. et al. *J. Cell Biol.* **193**, 1065–1081 (2011).
15. Abrahamsson, S. et al. *Opt. Express* **23**, 7734–7754 (2015).
16. Mehta, S. B. et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, E6352–E6361 (2016).
17. Christensen, R. P. et al. *eLife* **4**, e10070 (2015).
18. Wu, Y. et al. *Optica* **3**, 897–910 (2016).
19. Guo, M. et al. Preprint at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/647370v1 (2019).
20. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 671–675 (2012).
21. Schindelin, J. et al. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 676–682 (2012).
22. Weigert, M. et al. *Nat. Methods* **15**, 1090–1097 (2018).
23. Plaza, S. M. & Funke, J. *Front. Neural Circuits* **12**, 102 (2018).
24. Chesbrough, H. *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology* (Harvard Business School Press, 2003).
25. Nielsen, M. *Reinventing Discovery: The New Era of Networked Science* (Princeton University Press, 2011).
26. Rogers, E. M. *Diffusion of Innovation* 5th edn. (Free Press, 2003).
27. Moore, G. A. *Crossing the Chasm: Marketing and Selling Disruptive Products to Mainstream Customers* 3rd edn. (HarperCollins, 2014).
28. Alivisatos, A. P. et al. *Neuron* **88**, 445–448 (2015).

Acknowledgements

We thank the NSF for funding the workshop "Enabling Biological Discovery through Innovations in Imaging and Computation" and the MBL for providing the venue and support to organize it, under the able leadership of A. Crawford and L. Kerr. We thank the workshop attendees for contributing their valuable opinions, insights, and expertise, as well as D. Kenney of MBL for careful reading of the manuscript and suggestions for its improvement. H.S., D.A.C.-R., and P.L. acknowledge the Whitman program at MBL for providing funding and space for discussing the ideas that led to the workshop. H.S. acknowledges funding from the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering and the National Institutes of Health. Research in the D.A.C.-R. lab is supported by a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Scholar Award, a National Science Foundation grant (NSF IOS 1353845), and the National Institutes of Health (R24OD016474, R01NS076558 and DP1NS111778). Research in the P.L. lab is supported by NIH awards R01EB017293, R01EB026300, R01DA044760, and R35OD018559, as well as University of Chicago–Argonne collaboration awards. R.O. is partially supported by the workshop grant (NSF 1821881 01) and acknowledges research support from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R01GM114274, R35GM131843).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0475-y.

nature methods

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

In the format provided by the authors and unedited.

Supplementary Information to

Transforming the development and dissemination of cutting-edge microscopy and computation

Daniel A. Colón-Ramos, Patrick La Riviere, Hari Shroff, and Rudolf Oldenbourg

List of web resources for information on imaging communities and centers, and their organization, that were mentioned in the main article

NSF sponsored workshop: https://www.mbl.edu/nsf-workshop/

HHMI Janelia Research Campus: https://www.janelia.org
EMBL Heidelberg: https://www.embl.de
National Center for Microscopy and Imaging Research, NCMIR: https://ncmir.ucsd.edu
Marine Biological Laboratory, MBL: https://www.mbl.edu
Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, CSHL: https://www.cshl.edu
Euro-BioImaging: http://www.eurobioimaging.eu
BioImaging NorthAmerica: https://www.bioimagingna.org

Examples for web-based access to interdisciplinary research and education:
CSHL Research: https://www.cshl.edu/research/
CSHL Education: https://www.cshl.edu/education/
MBL Research: https://www.mbl.edu/research/
MBL Education: https://www.mbl.edu/education/
MBL Convening: https://www.mbl.edu/the-convening-power-of-mbl/

The following pages convey the content of the webpage for the NSF Workshop, held Nov. 26 to 28, 2018, at the Marine Biological Laboratory in Woods Hole, Massachusetts.

Workshop on Enabling Biological Discovery through Innovations in Imaging and Computation

Organized by:
Rudolf Oldenbourg, Marine Biological Laboratory (MBL)
Patrick La Rivière, University of Chicago, MBL Fellow
Daniel Colón-Ramos,Yale University, MBL Fellow
Hari Shroff, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, MBL Fellow

The Workshop was held between Nov. 26 and 28 at the Marine Biological Laboratory in Woods Hole, MA, with support from the NSF. The intentions and goals for the workshop are described at the end of this web page. A summary and conclusions of the workshop will be published in a white paper in 2019.

Program and participant list:

Monday, November 26:

2:00pm	**Meeting Registration Starts**
5:00pm – 7:00pm	**Dinner** *Swope Dining Room*
7:00pm – 8:30pm	**Evening keynote:** The synergy between new biology and new imaging tools MBL welcome address, *Speck Auditorium, Rowe Laboratory* Speakers: ▪ **Clare Waterman,** NIH Distinguished Investigator, Director, Cell Biology and Physiology Center, NHLBI, NIH ▪ **Rafael Yuste,** Professor of Biological Sciences, Director, Neuro-Technology Center, Columbia University
8:30pm – 10:30pm	**Reception and Science Speed Dating (Science Speed Dating begins at 9pm)** *Meigs Room, Swope Center* Participants will rotate through the room and meet each other with brief introductions.

Tuesday, November 27:

7:00am-8:30am	**Breakfast** *Swope Dining Hall*
8:30am	**Building and disseminating new microscopy methods** (moderator Hari Shroff) *Speck Auditorium, Rowe Laboratory* Successful examples of working at the interface,bringing together biologists, engineers, computational scientists and biochemists for creating new imaging approaches for biological inquiry. Speakers: ▪ **Jan Huisken**, Director, Morgridge Medical Engineering ▪ **Luke Lavis**, HHMI Janelia Campus ▪ **Joerg Bewersdorf**, Yale U., Cell Biology and Biomedical Engineering ▪ **Erik Jorgensen**, U. of Utah, Dept. of Biology and HHMI investigator
10:00am	**Coffee Break** *Speck Auditorium lobby*
10:30am – 12:00pm	**Computation for generating image data and their analysis** (moderator Patrick LaRivière) *Speck Auditorium, Rowe Laboratory* Defining the computational challenges that must be solved to realize the potential of the data flood. Speakers: ▪ **Laura Waller**, UC Berkeley, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science ▪ **Gaudenz Danuser**, UT Southwestern Medical Center ▪ **Kevin Eliceiri**, Director, LOCI, U. of Wisconsin, Madison
12:00pm – 1:30pm	**Lunch**

Swope Dining Room

1:30pm – 2:30pm

Poster session
Swope Dining Facility, 2nd Floor, Swope Center

Invited trainees will be asked to bring a poster about their research, highlighting the interdisciplinary aspects.

2:30pm

Centers for interdisciplinary collaborations and training (moderator Daniel Colón-Ramos)
Speck Auditorium, Rowe Laboratory

Creating the infrastructure that enables interdisciplinary collaboration and training.

Speakers:

- **Scott Fraser**, Director, Translational Imaging Center, U. of Southern California
- **Teng-Leong Chew**, Director Advanced Imaging Center, HHMI Janelia Campus
- **Harshad Vishwasrao**, co-Operating Director of the Advanced Imaging and Microscopy Resource, NIBIB, NIH

4:00pm

Coffee Break
Speck Auditorium lobby

4:30pm

Podium Discussion (moderator Nipam Patel)
Speck Auditorium, Rowe Laboratory

Nipam Patel will lead a discussion about creating Centers that 1) increase access and training opportunities in cutting-edge imaging instrumentation as it is being developed; 2) foster interdisciplinary collaboration and training between microscopists, computational imaging specialists, and biologists to advance innovation and discovery; and 3) promote the development of complementary platforms that enable unprecedented imaging across scales.

We seek specific recommendations for creating such centers, their composition in staffing and technologies, approaches for training and dissemination, and integration in the biological research community.

6:00pm

Dinner
Swope Dining Room

7:00pm-9:00pm

Closing Reception
Swope Meigs Room

Closing remarks from meeting organizers.

Wednesday, November 28:

7:00am – 9:00am

Breakfast
Swope Dining Room

10:00am

Check-out
Swope Lobby

Participants (70):

Sara Abrahamsson, University of California Santa Cruz
Rebecca Adikes, Stony Brook University
Daniel Aharoni, University of California Los Angeles
Dirk Albrecht, Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Evan Ardiel, Harvard Medical School
Yehuda Ben Shahar, Washington University in St. Louis
Joerg Bewersdorf, Yale University, Cell Biology and Biomedical Engineering
Kristin Branson, HHMI Janelia Research Campus
James Carson, Texas Advanced Computing Center
Teng-Leong Chew, HHMI Janelia Research Campus, Advanced Imaging Center
Daniel Colón-Ramos, Yale University
Alison Crawford, MBL
Gaudenz Danuser, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dept of Bioinformatics
Kevin Dean, UT Southwestern Medical Center
Scott Eastman, Eli Lilly and Company
Karen Echeverri, MBL
Kevin Eliceiri, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Laboratory for Optical and Computational Instrumentation
Scott Fraser, University of Southern California, Translational Imaging Center
Cathy Galbraith, Oregon Health & Science University
Peyman Golshani, University of California Los Angeles
William Green, The University of Chicago
Roger Hanlon, MBL
Christian Hellriegel, Carl Zeiss Microscopy
Jan Huisken, Morgridge Medical Engineering
Erik Jorgensen, University of Utah, Dept. of Biology
Diana Kenney, MBL
Louis Kerr, MBL
Scott Koerner, MBL

Abhishek Kumar, University of Maryland College Park and National Institute of Standards and Technology
Patrick La Riviere, University of Chicago
Christie Lacy, University of Richmond
Philippe Laissue, University of Essex, UK
Talley Lambert, Harvard Medical School
William Lange, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Luke Lavis, HHMI Janelia Research Campus
Sebastien Laye, MBL
Paul Maddox, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill
Jessica Mark Welch, MBL
Edwin McCleskey, Chan Zuckerberg Initiative
Christopher Moore, Carney Institute for Brain Science
Jennifer Morgan, MBL
Rudolf Oldenbourg, MBL
Duygu Özpolat, MBL
Nipam Patel, Marine Biological Laboratory
Katherine Phelps, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
Chrysanthe Preza, The University of Memphis
Omar Quintero, University of Richmond
Louis Reichardt, Simons Foundation
Loretta Roberson, MBL
Jacob Robinson, Rice University
Hakizumwami Birali Runesha, The University of Chicago
Stephen Senft, MBL
Hari Shroff, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering
Heidi Sosik, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
John Stegeman, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Francois St. Pierre, Baylor College of Medicine
Jason Swedlow, University of Dundee, UK
Jim Tang, MBL
Maki Tani, MBL
Tomomi Tani, MBL
Andreas Tolias, Baylor College of Medicine
Mai Tran, MBL
Gokul Upadhyayula, Harvard Medical School
Amitabh Verma, MBL
Harshad Vishwasrao, NIBIB, NIH, Advanced Imaging and Microscopy Resource
Kate Von Holle, University of Chicago
Laura Waller, UC Berkeley, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Clare Waterman, NHLBI, NIH, Cell Biology and Physiology Center
Jennifer Waters, Harvard Medical School
Rafael Yuste, Columbia University, Neuro-Technology Center

Participating Trainees (9):

Talon Chandler (grad student), University of Chicago
Steven Cook (postdoc), Columbia University
Amicia Elliott (postdoc), NIMH
Jacqueline Leung (postdoc), Indiana University Bloomington
Zhuohe Liu (grad student), Rice University
Mark Moyle (postdoc), Yale University
Blair Rossetti (grad student), Emory University
Titas Sengupta (grad student), Yale University
Eviatar Yemini (postdoc), Columbia University

Intellectual Merit:

Background and rationale

Our ability to image live cells, organs, and whole organisms has been transformed by recent improvements in light microscopy and computational methods. These important advances have also underscored *three critical needs* in the neuroscience and biological community: 1) the need for team-based approaches in developing new technologies that integrate imaging across scales andincorporate methodsdrawn from microscopy, computation, and biology; 2) the need for fast, accessible, and responsive deployment of technological innovations that maximize impact to the community; 3) the need for related training opportunities at the interface of biology, physics, and engineering. These *unmet needs* have arguably limited the impact of innovations in microscopy and computational imaging on the biological sciences.

In our survey of previous imaging workshops, we have identified four workshops supported by NSF, which addressed the need for developing, acquiring, or sharing instrumentation among the research community. Of these, two recent workshops (in 2013 and 2014) focused on new technologies for mapping and noninvasive imaging of the brain. In February 2018 the HHMI Janelia Research campus hosted a workshop on "Frontiers in Microscopy Technologies and Strategies for Bioimaging Centers Network", aimed primarily at directors of imaging core facilities who seek to adopt new technologies. Missing among these workshops we surveyed is a conference dedicated to bringing together investigators who seek to foster interdisciplinary collaborations for developing, disseminating, and training in new imaging technologies for biology.

The purpose of this workshop is to convene interdisciplinary experts and trainees and brainstorm innovative and integrated approaches that create a positive feedback loop between instrument developers, computational experts, and biologists to transform the way technologies are developed and deployed.The workshop and its concrete outcomes will help identify the course of actions needed for making interdisciplinary research and training more effective in biological imaging, possibly including the creation of regional and national centers for innovation, dissemination, and training in biological imaging. Specifically, the workshop will be focused on discussing approaches that 1) increase access and training opportunities in cutting-edge imaging instrumentation as it is being developed; 2) foster interdisciplinary collaboration and training between microscopists, computational imaging specialists, and biologists to advance innovation and discovery; and 3) promote the

development of complementary platforms that enable unprecedented imaging across scales.

The workshop will address key questions about creating, disseminating, and training in new microscope instrumentation while also defining the computational challenges that must be solved to realize the potential of the data flood. The workshop will examine the premise that key advances in this field will arise from collaborations between members of different disciplines and institutions who can convene and collaborate in places that support development and dissemination of new technologies.

Workshop structure and organization

The workshop is organized by a team of researchers who have collaborated in the past across different disciplines and institutions. Their expertise lies in biology (Daniel Colón-Ramos, Yale, MBL), optical imaging (Hari Shroff, NIH; Rudolf Oldenbourg, MBL), and computational imaging (Patrick La Riviere, University of Chicago, MBL). The organizers are also involved in training users and developers in new microscopy techniques through their home institutions and participation in MBL courses.

The workshop will bring together key players, who work at the interface between biology, engineering, physics, and computation to advance imaging for biological discovery. The invitees were selected for their contributions to transforming the microscope from an observational to a measurement tool that now produces vast amounts of data with the potential to provide new windows into the molecular structures and physiology of cells, organs, and whole organisms. Key to the rapid development is the close collaboration between creative colleagues from different disciplines, who are willing to act, learn,and train together for advancing our understanding of life in health and disease. We will encourage each senior participant to invite one trainee in their care to attend the workshop

The 2-day workshop is structured around 3 themes: 1) Building and disseminating new microscopy methods; 2) Computation for generating image data and their analysis; 3) Creating the infrastructure that enables interdisciplinary collaboration and training.

The Workshop is to be held at MBLon November 26-28, 2018. The logistical organization of the workshop will be coordinated by Alison Crawford, who is Director of Strategic Initiatives at the Marine Biological Laboratory (acrawford@mbl.edu).

Broader Impacts:

Expected concrete outcomes

The immediate outcome of this workshop will be a white paper, to be published in a biology journal, that will identify the needs and opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration and training in developing new imaging technologies and their effective integration and dissemination into biological research. The medium-term outcome will be the creation of guidelines in establishing the infrastructure that can meet these needs.

Broader impact on scientific communities

The workshop and its concrete outcomes will help identify the course of actions needed for making interdisciplinary research and training more effective in biological imaging, possibly including the creation of regional and national centers for innovation, dissemination, and training in biological imaging. Such centers would create new training opportunities for students and more senior colleagues from different disciplines, who wish to work at the interface and bridge scientific disciplines.

Activities for trainees

Invited trainees will be asked to bring a poster about their research, highlighting the interdisciplinary aspects. Posters will be displayed on Tuesday and attendees will be able to view and discuss the work during lunch and the hour that follows it.

On Tuesday morning, a moderated discussion is planned about what it is like to work at the interface of several disciplines. On Tuesday afternoon, a moderated Podium Discussion will include perspectives from trainees to help trigger questions and raised issues that were not addressed earlier in the day.

Efforts in broadening participation

The workshop will be broadly advertised to the research community and will be open for registration to everybody who is willing to pay their own way. The list of invitees reflects an outreach to underrepresented groups and diverse institutions, including the University of Puerto Rico, and local colleges, including Wheaton College and Bridgewater University. Discussions at the workshop will include ways for smaller and less resourced institutions to gain access to advanced imaging.

Networking receptions generously sponsored by:

MIZAR IMAGING

Additional information on MBL's Imaging Innovation Initiative.