



## MATING BEHAVIOR

## When structure meets function

A new study upturns the long-held belief that the *yellow* gene determines sex-specific behaviors in fruit flies by acting in the brain.

## **SARAH A SIGNOR**

**Related research article** Massey JH, Chung D, Siwanowicz I, Stern DL, Wittkopp PJ. 2019. The *yellow* gene influences *Drosophila* male mating success through sex comb melanization. *eLife* **8**:e49388. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.49388

t is a truism to say that in many organisms, body structure matters for behavior: jumping is not possible without legs, or flying without wings. However, scientists sometimes overlook morphology when trying to understand behavior, preferring instead to favor explanations that involve the brain and the nervous system. For instance, for decades it was thought that the yellow gene in fruit flies, which gives them their black color, was important for courtship behaviors because it is also expressed in the central nervous system (Drapeau et al., 2003; Drapeau et al., 2006). Male flies deficient in this gene mate less, and it was assumed that this was a consequence of changes in the neuronal wiring of the behavior controlled by yellow.

This makes intuitive sense in many ways because pigmentation genes such as *yellow* are derived from – and can bind to – dopamine, a chemical that has many neurological roles. However, in addition to creating color, pigments can also shape the structural properties of the external skeleton (*Wittkopp and Beldade, 2009*). Now in eLife, Patricia Wittkopp (University of Michigan), David Stern (Janelia Research Campus) and colleagues, including Jonathan Massey as first author, report the results of elegant experiments that rule out a neurological role for

yellow in altering courtship behavior (Massey et al., 2019).

During courtship, male fruit flies perform a number of actions such as singing and extending their wings. Massey et al. found that the insects that lacked yellow also displayed the same mating behaviors, but they spent less time initiating copulation with females. This suggests that yellow might be important for this process, so the researchers set out to identify the types of cells in which the absence of yellow would have an impact on the beginning of copulation. They used two genes which regulate sex-specific behaviors and sexual dimorphism to manipulate where yellow was expressed in the body. Fruitless controls the expression of yellow in the central nervous system of larvae, while doublesex acts indirectly on yellow and is responsible, among other roles, for sex-specific pigmentation (Drapeau et al., 2006; Kopp et al., 2000; Williams et al., 2008; Signor et al., 2016).

First, flies were genetically engineered so that yellow was only expressed in the central nervous system, under the control of fruitless. This did not restore normal mating behavior. Massey et al. then used doublesex to control the expression of yellow. When the gene was not expressed in the tissues where doublesex is present, the flies failed to start mating; however, they also showed lack of mating when yellow was expressed in the nervous system under the control of doublesex. The insects only mated normally when yellow was expressed in other, non-neuronal cells.

To find out which non-neuronal cells might be responsible for the difference in mating success, Massey et al. examined the sequences that regulate the expression of *doublesex*, looking for regions that had an effect on reproductive

© Copyright Signor. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited



behavior in male flies. A region was identified, which drove the expression of doublesex in the sex combs. This structure is formed of bristles on the forelegs of male flies and contains large amounts of melanin pigment. Removing the combs does not influence courtship behavior, but it does reduce mating success (Ng and Kopp, 2008). Moreover, it had been shown previously that the expression of doublesex is involved in the development and diversification of sex combs in fruit flies (Tanaka et al., 2011). In the latest work, Massey et al. showed that these structures are present when yellow is not expressed, but that they are not melanized: this prevents male flies from efficiently grasping female flies and starting to mate.

For many years, *yellow* was thought to influence courtship behavior through its expression in the central nervous system, and its role in the structural properties of the sex comb was entirely overlooked. By showing that neuronal sources of *yellow* do not affect courtship, the work of Massey et al. is both an exciting reminder that structure determines function, and a cautionary tale about the dangers of overlooking the physical aspects of behavior.

**Sarah A Signor** is in the Department of Biological Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, United States

sarah.signor@ndsu.edu

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2401-0644

**Competing interests:** The author declares that no competing interests exist.

Published 15 October 2019

## References

**Drapeau MD**, Radovic A, Wittkopp PJ, Long AD. 2003. A gene necessary for normal male courtship,

yellow, acts downstream of fruitless in the Drosophila melanogaster larval brain. Journal of Neurobiology **55**: 53–72. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.10196, PMID: 12605459

**Drapeau MD**, Cyran SA, Viering MM, Geyer PK, Long AD. 2006. A cis-regulatory sequence within the *yellow* locus of *Drosophila melanogaster* required for normal male mating success. *Genetics* **172**:1009–1030. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.105.045666, PMID: 16272418

Kopp A, Duncan I, Godt D, Carroll SB. 2000. Genetic control and evolution of sexually dimorphic characters in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **408**:553–559. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35046017, PMID: 11117736

Massey JH, Chung D, Siwanowicz I, Stern DL, Wittkopp PJ. 2019. The *yellow* gene influences *Drosophila* male mating success through sex comb melanization. *eLife* 8:e49388. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.49388

Ng CS, Kopp A. 2008. Sex combs are important for male mating success in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Behavior Genetics **38**:195–201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-008-9190-7, PMID: 18213513
Signor SA, Liu Y, Rebeiz M, Kopp A. 2016. Genetic convergence in the evolution of male-specific color patterns in *Drosophila*. Current Biology **26**:2423–2433. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.07.034, PMID: 27546578

Tanaka K, Barmina O, Sanders LE, Arbeitman MN, Kopp A. 2011. Evolution of sex-specific traits through changes in HOX-dependent *doublesex* expression. *PLOS Biology* **9**:e1001131. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001131, PMID: 21886483

Williams TM, Selegue JE, Werner T, Gompel N, Kopp A, Carroll SB. 2008. The regulation and evolution of a genetic switch controlling sexually dimorphic traits in *Drosophila. Cell* **134**:610–623. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.052, PMID: 18724934

Wittkopp PJ, Beldade P. 2009. Development and evolution of insect pigmentation: genetic mechanisms and the potential consequences of pleiotropy. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 20:65–71. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.10.002