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#### Abstract

1,3-Bis(6-bromohexyloxy)benzene, 2,7-bis(6-bromohexyloxy)naphthalene, 1,3-bis(4-bromomethylbenzyloxy)benzene, and 1,3-bis(3-bromomethylbenzyloxy)benzene were prepared via Williamson ether synthesis using resorcinol or 2,7dihydroxynaphthalene and 1,6-dibromohexane, 1,4-bis(bromomethyl)benzene, or 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)benzene (21-47\% yield). These dibromides were condensed with 2,9-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to give the corresponding 31- to 35 -membered macrocycles ( $\mathbf{3 a - d}$ d, $22-63 \%$ yield). When $\mathbf{3 a - d}$ were treated with CuI, mononuclear $1: 1$ complexes formed, in which the CuI chelates to the nitrogen donor atoms of the phenanthroline moiety ( $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{d}, 40-80 \%$ yield). The crystal structures of $\mathbf{3 a - c}$ and $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ were determined and analyzed using density functional theory calculations and in the context of rotaxanes that could be formed by treatment of $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{d}$ with terminal alkynes (e.g. macrocycle dimensions, void volumes). The copper and iodide atoms in $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ significantly protrude from the leastsquares plane of the phenanthroline moiety ( $0.46-0.63 \AA$ and $1.65-2.07 \AA$ ).
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## Introduction

Macrocycles and metal complexes thereof play ubiquitous roles in supramolecular chemistry and particularly the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules (MIMs), ${ }^{[1]}$ a descriptor that encompasses catenanes, rotaxanes, and knots. ${ }^{[2,3]}$ Variables such as shape, conformational flexibility, and the nature and position of functional groups can influence the efficacy of these processes and the properties of the topologically novel products. ${ }^{[4]}$

In ongoing efforts, we have sought to synthesize rotaxanes derived from diplatinum polyynediyl axles and 2,9-disubstituted 1,10-phenanthroline-based macrocycles, as exemplified by I in Scheme $1 .^{[5,6]}$ The former building blocks have been the subject of extensive studies in our laboratory. ${ }^{[7]}$ Such complexes are normally accessed by oxidative homocouplings of the corresponding monoplatinum polyynyl complexes under 'Hay conditions' $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl} /\right.$ tetramethylethylenediamine). ${ }^{[8]}$

The latter building blocks have been applied to MIMs by several groups. ${ }^{[4 d, 9-11]}$ The inspiration for our studies came from Saito et al., who employed CuI complexes with polyether $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{m} \mathrm{O}(m=6,8)$ and $m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ linkages to prepare rotaxanes with organic 1,3-butadiyne axles, as exemplified by II in Fig. 1. ${ }^{[10]}$ The copper(I) 1,10-phenanthroline precursors
represent an embodiment of the 'active metal template' strategy popularized by Leigh et al. ${ }^{[4 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}, 12]}$ In this scenario, the copper is believed to template the coupling partners onto opposite faces of the macrocycle complex, thereby facilitating subsequent elimination to a rotaxane topology.

Accordingly, in this paper we describe (1) the preparation of three new and one previously reported 2,9 -disubstituted 1,10 phenanthroline based macrocycles, (2) the synthesis of the corresponding CuI complexes, (3) crystallographic and density functional theory (DFT) characterization of the macrocycles and complexes, and (4) analyses of their geometries, particularly features relevant to the derived rotaxanes and their proposed mechanism of formation. Portions of the preparative chemistry and one crystal structure have been described in the Supplementary Material of preliminary communications. ${ }^{[5,6]}$ The resulting rotaxanes will be the subject of a subsequent full paper. ${ }^{[13]}$

## Results

## Synthesis of Macrocycles

We sought a family of polyether macrocycles based on a $2,9-$ disubstituted 1,10-phenanthroline 'northern hemisphere' and a dihydroxyarene-derived 'southern hemisphere' with a gradation


Scheme 1. Rotaxanes derived from diplatinum polyynediyl axles and 1,10-phenanthroline-based macrocycles (I).


Fig. 1. Rotaxanes synthesized by Saito et al. via oxidative homocouplings of organic terminal monoalkynes using CuI complexes of 1,10-phenanthro-line-containing macrocycles. ${ }^{\text {[10de, }, 14]}$
of dimensions and conformational degrees of freedom. The first target molecule had been previously reported by Saito et al. as part of their study in Fig. 1, ${ }^{[10 a]}$ and the synthetic route is summarized in Scheme 2 (top). ${ }^{[14]}$ The 'northern' precursor 1 was easily accessed via a two-step sequence starting with $1,10-$ phenanthroline and 4-lithioanisole. ${ }^{[9 a, 15]}$

As with all of the preparations described below, the 'southern' precursor was accessed by a classical Williamson ether synthesis. Thus, resorcinol, 1,6-dibromohexane, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ were allowed to react in acetone ( $1.0: 3.0: 2.5$ mole ratio). A chromatographic workup gave the diether $\mathbf{2 a}^{[16]}$ as a white solid in $47 \%$ yield. Then, 1, 2a, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ were combined in wet DMSO ( $1.0: 1.0: 14.0$ mole ratio). Workup gave 3a, which features a 33 -membered ring in $59 \%$ yield as an air stable white solid (literature: $41 \%$ ). ${ }^{[14]}$ In cases where we improved on reported yields and/or acquired new NMR data, experimental details are provided in the Supplementary Material.

In the second target molecule, the previously unknown 3b (Scheme 2, bottom), the resorcinol unit of $\mathbf{3 a}$ was replaced by a

2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene moiety, thereby expanding the macrocycle cavity to a 35 -membered ring. A reaction between 2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene, 1,6-dibromohexane, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $1.0: 3.0: 2.5$ mole ratio) in acetone gave the 'southern' precursor $\mathbf{2 b}$ as a white solid in $41 \%$ yield. Condensation of $\mathbf{1 , 2 b}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.0: $1.0: 14.0$ mole ratio) then afforded $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a white solid in $63 \%$ yield. The products $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$, and all other new compounds below were characterized by NMR spectroscopy ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ ) and microanalyses, as summarized in the Experimental section.

In the third target molecule, the previously unknown 3c (Scheme 3, top), the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$ linker of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{a}$ was replaced by a more rigid $p$-xylylyl moiety, which maintains a 33 -membered ring. Reaction between resorcinol, 1,4-bis(bromomethyl)benzene, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, similar to the analogous condensations above, gave the 'southern' precursor $\mathbf{2 c}$ in $21 \%$ yield. This was followed by reaction between $\mathbf{1 , 2} \mathbf{2 c}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.0:1.0:3.0 mole ratio), which afforded $\mathbf{3 c}$ in $39 \%$ yield. In the final target molecule, 3d (Scheme 3, bottom), the $p$-xylylyl moiety of $\mathbf{3 c}$ was replaced by a $m$-xylylyl linkage, reducing the ring size to 31 . An analogous sequence gave 2d in $38 \%$ yield and 3d in $22 \%$ yield. All of the new organic compounds in Scheme 3 were white or pale yellow solids.

Several related macrocyclic targets were contemplated. In the course of a vigorous program involving undergraduate research students, additional 'southern' precursors were prepared (e.g. analogues of $\mathbf{2 c}$, $\mathbf{d}$ derived from 2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene). For a variety of reasons, the macrocycle syntheses were not completed. Nonetheless, new compounds that were completely characterized are included in the Supplementary Material.

## Copper(I) Complexes

In accord with the preparative goals in the introduction, copper(I) complexes of the macrocycles were sought. Various copper halides were screened, and copper(I) iodide proved to be optimal in terms of yield and product stability. Thus, as shown in Schemes 2 and 3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions of the macrocycles 3a-d were combined with acetonitrile solutions of CuI. Workups gave the $1: 1$ adducts $4 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ in $40-80 \%$ yields as orange-to-yellow crystals or solids that were stable to $>100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{[17]}$

## Spectroscopic and Structural Characterization

The NMR properties of the macrocycles (see Experimental section) were unremarkable, but nonetheless important in providing baselines for shielding effects in the corresponding rotaxanes. ${ }^{[5,13]}$ The CuI complexes with the xylylyl linkers, $\mathbf{4 c}$, $\mathbf{d}$, were poorly soluble, and good quality spectra could not be obtained. With $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$, some of the phenanthroline ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals were $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ downfield from those of $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{b}$. In contrast, the $p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ protons that were ortho to the phenanthroline shifted upfield by $0.27-0.34 \mathrm{ppm}$. Some signals also broadened.

Crystals of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and the solvates $\mathbf{3 b} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{3 c} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ could be grown as described in the Experimental section. The crystal structures were solved as summarized in Table 1 and the Experimental section. Out of all the solvate molecules, only one of the two associated with $\mathbf{3 b}$ could be accurately modelled. The others were removed during refinement using standard protocols, and thus do not appear in the headers in the tables. Key metrical parameters are given in Table 2. ${ }^{[18]}$





Scheme 2. Syntheses of macrocycles containing $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{O}$ tethers and copper complexes thereof: (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, wet DMSO; (b) CuI, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.


Scheme 3. Syntheses of macrocycles containing $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ tethers and copper complexes thereof: (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, wet DMSO; (b) $\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$.

Thermal ellipsoid plots and space filling representations of 3a-c are provided in Fig. 2. The molecular structure of 3c exhibited a mirror plane that bisected the resorcinol and central phenanthroline rings, as consistent with the space group Pnma.

In contrast, 3a, b crystallized in chiral conformations with both enantiomers in the unit cell. Deviating from usual procedures, the symmetry equivalent atoms of $\mathbf{3 c}$ were assigned different numbers (see Fig. 3), ${ }^{[18]}$ similar to those of the analogous atoms

Table 1. Crystallographic data for 3a-c

|  | $3 a^{\text {A }}$ | 3b. $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}$ | $3 c^{\text {B }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 638.78 | 808.20 | 678.75 |
| Temperature [K] | 110(2) | 110(2) | 110(2) |
| Wavelength [ A ] | 1.54178 | 1.54178 | 0.71073 |
| Diffractometer | Bruker D8 GADDS | Bruker D8 GADDS | Bruker APEX 2 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pn | $P-1$ | Pnma |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| $a[\AA]$ | 10.8861(9) | 8.4044(4) | 11.058(4) |
| $b$ [ $\AA$ ] | 15.6003(14) | 14.7638(6) | 23.613(8) |
| $c[\AA]$ | 11.1600(10) | 18.7247(8) | 14.049(5) |
| $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 73.146(3) | 90 |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 112.189(4) | 85.741(3) | 90 |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 81.873(3) | 90 |
| Volume [ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ ] | 1754.9(3) | 2199.89(17) | 3668(2) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}\left[\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.209 | 1.220 | 1.229 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.611 | 2.231 | 0.078 |
| $F(000)$ | 680 | 848 | 1424 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.11 \times 0.10 \times 0.03$ | $0.12 \times 0.06 \times 0.04$ | $0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.07$ |
| Range for data collection | 2.83 to 59.99 | 2.47 to 60.00 | 2.34 to 24.99 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq h \leq 12$ | $-9 \leq h \leq 9$ | $-13 \leq h \leq 13$ |
|  | $-17 \leq k \leq 17$ | $-16 \leq k \leq 16$ | $-28 \leq k \leq 28$ |
|  | $-12 \leq l \leq 12$ | $-21 \leq l \leq 21$ | $-16 \leq l \leq 16$ |
| Reflections collected | 24948 | 43282 | 33095 |
| Independent reflections | $4608\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0499\right)$ | $6414\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0526\right)$ | $3286\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0504\right)$ |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9819 and 0.9358 | 0.9161 and 0.7756 | 0.9945 and 0.9906 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4608 / 2 / 434 | 6414 / 6 / 525 | 3286 / 0 / 239 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 0.990 | 1.064 | 1.032 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | $R 1=0.0364$ | $R 1=0.0519$ | $R 1=0.0401$ |
|  | $w R 2=0.0832$ | $w R 2=0.1416$ | $w R 2=0.1031$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R 1=0.0459$ | $R 1=0.0619$ | $R 1=0.0486$ |
|  | $w R 2=0.0900$ | $w R 2=0.1477$ | $w R 2=0.1073$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole $\left[\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right.$ ] | 0.208 and -0.193 | 0.605 and -0.553 | 0.169 and -0.155 |

${ }^{\text {A }}$ This structure was described earlier in reference [6].
${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ Some or all of the solvate molecules were removed during refinement as described in the Experimental section.
of $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{b}$. This facilitates comparisons of metrical parameters (Table 2). The oxygen lone pairs in $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{c}$ and most of those in $\mathbf{3 a}$ were exo to the macrocycle cavity, analogous to the dominant orientation in the corresponding rotaxanes. ${ }^{[5]}$

Crystals of solvates of the corresponding CuI complexes $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathbf{4 b} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, and $\mathbf{4 c} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ - were also obtained. These structures were similarly solved, giving the representations in Fig. 3 and metrical parameters in Table 2. ${ }^{[18]}$ In all cases, the solvent molecules could be accurately modelled. The oxygen lone pairs in $\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{c}$ and most of those in $\mathbf{4 a}$ were exo to the macrocycle cavity, paralleling the situation with $\mathbf{3 a - c}$.

The copper atoms of $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{c}$ exhibited distorted trigonal planar coordination geometries, with the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond angles $\left(82.46(14)^{\circ}-83.17(10)^{\circ}\right)$ being much smaller than the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}$ bond angles $\left(133.86(8)^{\circ}-140.52(10)^{\circ}\right)$. The sums of the three bond angles ( $355.51^{\circ}-357.11^{\circ}$; see Table 2 ) were close to $360^{\circ}$. In all cases, the CuI moieties markedly protruded on one side of the planar $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ 1,10-phenanthroline units. The distances of the copper and iodide atoms from the phenanthroline least-squares planes ranged from 0.46 to $0.63 \AA$ and from 1.65 to $2.07 \AA$, respectively (Table 2 ). The $\mathrm{CuIN}_{2}$ units were roughly planar (maximum atomic deviations from least-squares planes: 0.14 $0.15 \AA$ ). Thus, the folding angles with the phenanthroline leastsquares planes were calculated and ranged from $24.3^{\circ}$ to $31.0^{\circ}$.

In all of the solvates that could be crystallographically modelled (3b in part; $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ ), solvent was found in the macrocycle cavity. Some representative motifs are depicted in Fig. 4. However, bonding interactions with the macrocycle or CuI moiety were not apparent. In 3a, intermolecular N... HC hydrogen bonds were present, as depicted in the Supplementary Material (Fig. S2). In 4a-c, $\pi$ stacking interactions were also obvious (Figs S3-S5). Additional analyses of the structural data are provided in the Discussion section.

The compounds in Figs. 2 and 3 were also modelled by DFT calculations as described in the Experimental section. In all cases, the optimized geometries very closely corresponded to those found in the crystals. The similarities were so striking that these data are simply represented by overlays in the Supplementary Material (Figures S8-S10). In particular, the CuI moieties were again displaced from the planes of the 1,10-phenanthroline units. The distances of the copper and iodide atoms from the least-squares planes ranged from 0.51 to 0.57 A and from 1.69 to $1.86 \AA$, respectively (folding angles $24.4^{\circ}-26.8^{\circ}$ ).

Analogous calculations were also carried out with 3d and $\mathbf{4 d}$, and analogues of $\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}, \mathbf{d}$ in which the 'southern hemispheres' were derived from 2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene as opposed to resorcinol (species not yet synthesized). Given the excellent agreement of theory and experiment with $\mathbf{3 a - c}$ and

Table 2. Key crystallographic distances $(\AA)$, angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, and void volumes $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ for $3 a-c$ and $4 a-c$

|  | $3 \mathrm{a}^{\text {A }}$ | 3b $\cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}$ | $3 c^{\text {B }}$ | 4a. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 4b $\cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $4 \mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | - | - | - | 2.077(3) | 2.053(3) | 2.059(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | - | - | - | 2.051(4) | 2.054(2) | 2.072(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}$ | - | - | - | $2.4306(7)$ | 2.4347(8) | $2.4325(5)$ |
| C1-N1 | 1.330(3) | 1.332(3) | 1.321(2) | 1.346(6) | 1.346(6) | $1.338(4)$ |
| N1-C5 | 1.357(3) | 1.358(3) | 1.3581 (19) | 1.373(6) | 1.373(6) | 1.369(5) |
| C5-C9 | 1.448(4) | 1.457(4) | 1.450(3) | 1.437(6) | 1.437(6) | 1.443(5) |
| C9-N2 | 1.356(3) | 1.364(3) | 1.3581(19) | 1.370(5) | 1.370(5) | 1.358(5) |
| N2-C12 | 1.338(3) | $1.336(3)$ | 1.321(2) | 1.349(5) | 1.349 (5) | 1.344(5) |
| C12-C13 | 1.481(4) | $1.483(4)$ | 1.492(2) | 1.478(6) | 1.473(5) | 1.474(6) |
| C16-O1 | 1.373 (3) | 1.365(3) | 1.3808(18) | 1.364(5) | 1.361(4) | 1.375(5) |
| O1-C19 | 1.438(3) | $1.442(3)$ | 1.432(2) | 1.443(5) | $1.433(4)$ | 1.427(5) |
| C19-C20 | 1.501(4) | $1.505(4)$ | 1.507(2) | 1.494(6) | 1.493(5) | 1.506(6) |
| C20-C21 | 1.511(4) | $1.529(4)$ | $1.390(2) / 1.382(2)^{\text {C }}$ | 1.530(6) | 1.521(5) | $1.390(6) / 1.390(6)^{\text {C }}$ |
| C21-C22 | 1.508(4) | 1.533(4) | $1.386(2) / 1.393(2)^{\text {C }}$ | 1.528(6) | 1.515(5) | $1.387(6) / 1.383(6)^{\text {C }}$ |
| C22-C23 | 1.533(4) | $1.528(4)$ | $1.390(2) / 1.389(2)^{\text {C }}$ | 1.528(6) | $1.522(4)$ | $1.394(6) / 1.391(6)^{\text {C }}$ |
| C23-C24 | 1.503(4) | 1.505(4) | 1.513(2) | 1.501(6) | 1.509(4) | 1.495(6) |
| C24-O2 | $1.435(3)$ | 1.445(3) | 1.4243(18) | 1.439(5) | 1.431(4) | 1.432(5) |
| O2-C25 | 1.385(3) | 1.367(3) | 1.3761(17) | 1.376(5) | 1.367(4) | 1.381(5) |
| C29-03 | $1.376(3)$ | 1.372(3) | 1.3761(17) | 1.374(5) | 1.365(4) | 1.368(5) |
| O3-C31 | 1.440(3) | 1.431(3) | 1.4243(18) | 1.445(5) | 1.427(4) | 1.445(5) |
| C31-C32 | 1.505(4) | 1.507(4) | 1.513(2) | 1.513(6) | 1.516(5) | 1.505(6) |
| C32-C33 | 1.521(3) | 1.534(4) | $1.390(2) / 1.389(2)^{\text {C }}$ | 1.516(6) | 1.517(4) | $1.399(6) / 1.371(6)^{\text {C }}$ |
| C33-C34 | 1.528(4) | $1.516(4)$ | $1.386(2) / 1.393(2)^{\text {C }}$ | 1.528(6) | 1.528(4) | $1.374(6) / 1.398(6)^{\text {C }}$ |
| C34-C35 | 1.524(3) | 1.521(4) | $1.390(2) / 1.382(2)^{\text {C }}$ | 1.526(6) | $1.502(4)$ | $1.408(6) / 1.366(6)^{\text {C }}$ |
| C35-C36 | 1.500(4) | 1.501(4) | 1.507(2) | 1.524(6) | 1.520(4) | 1.503(6) |
| C36-O4 | 1.437(3) | $1.439(3)$ | $1.432(2)$ | 1.437(5) | 1.451(3) | 1.440(5) |
| O4-C37 | 1.375 (3) | 1.364(3) | $1.3808(18)$ | 1.369(5) | 1.363(3) | 1.359(5) |
| C1-C40 | 1.485(4) | $1.485(4)$ | 1.492(2) | 1.478(6) | 1.484(4) | 1.479(5) |
| N1-Cu-I | - | - | - | 134.06(10) | 136.54(7) | 139.10(8) |
| N2-Cu-I | - | - | - | 140.52(10) | 137.40(8) | 133.86(8) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | - | - | - | 82.46(14) | 83.17(10) | 82.55(12) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}$ | - | - | - | 131.5(3) | 131.5(2) | 131.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}$ | - | - | - | 107.6(3) | 109.0(2) | 108.0(2) |
| C9-N2-Cu | - | - | - | 108.8(3) | 109.3(2) | 108.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Cu}$ | - | - | - | 131.0(3) | 130.7(2) | 130.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}$ | - | - | - | 131.5(3) | 131.5(2) | 131.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}$ | - | - | - | 107.6(3) | 109.0(2) | 108.0(2) |
| C9-N2-Cu | - | - | - | 108.8(3) | 109.3(2) | 108.0(2) |
| C12-N2-Cu | - | - | - | 131.0(3) | 130.7(2) | 130.4(3) |
| C1-N1-C5 | 118.8(2) | 118.7(2) | 119.25(12) | 119.1(4) | 118.3(3) | 119.1(3) |
| C9-N2-C12 | 118.9(2) | 118.6(2) | 119.25(12) | 118.6(4) | 118.5(3) | 119.4(3) |
| C16-O1-C19 | 118.8(2) | 119.55(19) | 117.72(12) | 118.1(3) | 118.7(3) | 117.8(3) |
| C24-O2-C25 | 117.1(2) | 117.10(19) | 118.10(11) | 117.7(3) | 116.7(2) | 116.2(3) |
| C29-O3-C31 | 117.5(2) | 116.59(19) | 118.10(11) | 116.6(3) | 118.7(2) | 117.6(3) |
| C36-04-C37 | 117.4(2) | 117.89(19) | 117.72(12) | 118.7(3) | 116.7(2) | 120.8(3) |
| Sum of angles $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{I}$ | - | - | - | 357.04 | 357.11 | 355.51 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots$ phen $^{\text {D }}$ | - | - | - | 0.630 | 0.462 | 0.603 |
| $\mathrm{I} \cdots$ phen $^{\text {D }}$ | - | - | - | 1.989 | 1.651 | 2.067 |
| CuIN1N2 vs phen ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | - | - | - | 29.14 | 24.33 | 30.97 |
| N1-C1-C40-C41 | -29.24 | -19.32 | -19.97 | 26.05 | 37.92 | 21.94 |
| N2-C12-C13-C18 | -18.75 | 10.67 | 19.97 | -26.01 | -32.40 | -24.83 |
| Plane A vs phen ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 19.04 | 9.59 | 20.97 | 23.09 | 32.67 | 26.65 |
| Plane B vs phen ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 59.62 | 25.29 | 1.09 | 33.50 | 2.28 | 44.68 |
| Plane C vs phen ${ }^{\mathrm{F}}$ | 30.43 | 19.59 | 20.97 | -22.78 | -36.02 | -20.48 |
| O1. ${ }^{\text {O }}$ 4 | 10.365 | 11.136 | 10.372 | 10.747 | 10.850 | 10.746 |
| O2 $\cdots$ O 3 | 4.768 | 7.316 | 4.634 | 4.758 | 7.350 | 4.849 |
| N1 $\cdots$ O3 | 10.746 | 13.105 | 10.223 | 10.670 | 12.619 | 11.996 |
| N2 $\cdots$ O2 | 10.642 | 12.714 | 10.223 | 11.534 | 12.201 | 10.496 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{C}_{\text {distal }}^{\mathrm{G}}$ | 10.886 | 12.696 | 9.519 (8.487) | 11.618 | 11.955 | 11.953 |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 \cdots \mathrm{C}_{\text {distal }}^{\mathrm{G}}$ | 11.054 | 12.634 | 9.519 (8.487) | 11.751 | 12.119 | 11.451 |
| O1 $\cdots$ O3 | 10.331 | 12.644 | 10.176 | 7.835 | 12.998 | 11.509 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 8.426 | 11.574 | 10.176 | 11.834 | 10.230 | 8.036 |
| C17 $\cdots$ C42 | 6.630 | 6.935 | 6.379 | 6.808 | 7.110 | 6.710 |
| Void volume | 20 | 474 | 354 | 344 | 482 | 155 |

[^0]


Fig. 2. Thermal ellipsoid plots ( $50 \%$ probability levels) and space filling representations of the molecular structures of (a) 3a, (b) 3b, and (c) 3c. With the last two, solvent molecules are either omitted or removed during refinement. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

4a-c, it can be anticipated that the optimized structures closely model those of the real compounds. These data are presented in the Supplementary Material.

## Discussion

The syntheses of 2a-d and 3a-d (Schemes 2 and 3) illustrate the versatility of the Williamson ether synthesis for the assembly of macrocycles. With regard to $\mathbf{2 a - d}$ and related bis(ethers) described in the Supplementary Material, the highest yields were obtained in reactions with the aliphatic $\alpha, \omega$-dibromide Br $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Br}(41-47 \%)$ as opposed to reactions with xylylyl dibromides ( $21-38 \%$ ). Possible side reactions include the participation of both primary bromide atoms in ether formation and the generation of dimeric macrocycles derived from two molecules of $\mathbf{1}$ and two molecules of $\mathbf{2 a - d}$. A weak peak of twice
(a)

(c)


Fig. 3. Thermal ellipsoid plots ( $50 \%$ probability levels) and space filling representations of the molecular structures of (a) $\mathbf{4 a}$, (b) $\mathbf{4 b}$, and (c) $\mathbf{4 c}$; solvate molecules and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
the theoretical mass $(+1)$ was noticed in the mass spectrum of 3d. However, no traces of a second species could be found by NMR analysis.

The structures of $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ differ significantly from those obtained from reactions between 1,10 -phenanthroline and CuI . The latter educts react in acetonitrile to give a dimeric complex with bridging iodide ligands and a distorted tetrahedral coordination environment. ${ }^{[19]}$ The copper atoms lie in the plane of the phenanthroline ligand; the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}$ vectors define angles of $53.5^{\circ}-$ $65.6^{\circ}$ (two different solvates) with the plane. These features are closely modelled by DFT calculations, analogous to those described above ( $59.7^{\circ}$ plane/ $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}$ vector angle; $D_{2 h}$ symmetry). A solvothermal synthesis affords a polymeric allotrope with a zig-zag ( $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}-)_{n}$ chain and similar tetrahedral copper coordination geometry. ${ }^{[20]}$
(a)

(b)




Fig. 4. Representations of crystal structures with solvate molecules included: (a) $\mathbf{3 b}$ with one of the two $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecules; (b) $\mathbf{4 b}$ with both $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecules.


Fig. 5. Folding of (a) the free macrocycle 3c (empty 'taco shell') and (b) the more opened conformation of the corresponding CuI complex 4c (stuffed taco). Solvate molecules have been omitted in both cases.

However, the CuI adduct of 2,9-bis( $(t$-butyl)-1,10phenanthroline crystallizes as a monomer, with the copper and iodine atoms markedly removed from the plane of the phenanthroline ligand $(0.72 \AA$ and $2.32 \AA$ versus $0.46-0.63 \AA$ and $1.65-2.07 \AA$ for $\mathbf{4 a - c}) .{ }^{[21]}$ Thus, 2,9 -substituents can sterically interfere with in-plane copper coordination. This effect is not conducive to rotaxane syntheses as it renders it more difficult for the two alkynyl coupling partners to interact effectively from opposite faces of the phenanthroline moiety. These data suggest that macrocycles based on 3,8-disubstituted 1,10 phenanthrolines may be more effective for 'active metal template' rotaxane syntheses. ${ }^{[12]}$

Evaluation of the void space available within the macrocycles of $\mathbf{3 a - c}$ and $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ was desirable. This was performed, after removing all solvate molecules, with the program Mercury, ${ }^{[22]}$ using a probe volume of $7.24 \AA^{3}$, a probe radius of 1.2 A , and a grid spacing of $0.7 \AA$. The macrocycle 3a, the crystal of which did not incorporate any solvent, exhibited a void volume of $20 \AA^{3}$ (Table 2). In contrast, 3b and $\mathbf{3 c}$, which crystallized as a disolvate and monosolvate, respectively, gave void volumes of 474 and $354 \AA^{3}$. With $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{c}$, the largest void volume was associated with the complex that crystallized as a disolvate ( $\mathbf{4 b}, 482 \AA^{3}$ ). The voids in the complexes that were monosolvates were smaller ( $\mathbf{4 a}, 344 \AA^{3} ; \mathbf{4 c}, 155 \AA^{3}$ ).

In addition to the void volumes displaying a correlation to the number of solvent molecules originally present, they
reflect various geometric parameters such as the dimensions of rigid units, folding, and the efficiency of crystal packing. For example, the 'southern hemisphere' of $\mathbf{3 b}$ features a 2,7dioxanaphthalene moiety, the oxygen-to-oxygen 'wingspan' of which is $7.316 \AA(\mathrm{O} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3)$. Accordingly, $\mathbf{3 b}$ exhibits a larger void volume than 3a, $\mathbf{c}$, which contain $1,3-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ moieties with oxygen-to-oxygen wingspans of $4.768-4.634 \AA$ (corresponding to a reduction of $35-37 \%$ ). However, the rigor of this comparison is compromised by the different number of solvent molecules present in each crystal.

Interestingly, in the 'northern hemisphere', the O1 $\cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ wingspan associated with the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ groups bound to the phenanthroline is $7 \%$ greater in $\mathbf{3 b}$ than in $\mathbf{3 c}$ ( 11.136 versus $10.372 \AA$ A). These and a variety of related data are compiled in the final series of entries in Table 2. For example, the distances between the nitrogen donor atoms in $\mathbf{3 b}$ and opposing aromatic carbon atoms in the 'southern hemisphere' are 12.696$12.634 \AA$. The corresponding distances for $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{c}$ are shorter i.e. $11.054-10.886 \AA$ (corresponding to a reduction of $13-14 \%$ ) and $9.519-8.487 \AA$ (corresponding to a reduction of 25-33 \%), respectively. ${ }^{[23]}$ The corresponding distances in the CuI complexes $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ are much more comparable (Table 2).

In the crystal, $\mathbf{3 c}$ exhibits a motif that is reminiscent of the framework of a hard taco shell (Fig. 5a). These motifs stack on top of each other, thus creating extended tunnel-like voids as represented in Fig. 6. In contrast, in the corresponding complex


Fig. 6. Tunnel-like voids in the crystal structure of the free macrocycle 3c after removal of solvate molecules: view along the (a) $a-$, (b) $b$-, and (c) $c$ axes, respectively.
$\mathbf{4 c}$, which is also a monosolvate, the CuI moiety intrudes into the less severely folded macrocycle cavity. Accordingly, the void volume decreases by more than $50 \%$ ( 344 versus $155 \AA^{3}$ ). Regardless, despite the intrinsically qualitative nature of these void volume comparisons, they help to define the dimensional limits that can be realized with these macrocycles in MIMs. Additional crystallographic features of ancillary interest are illustrated in figures in the Supplementary Material.

In conclusion, this study has optimized and extended Williamson ether syntheses that enable access to a variety of macrocycles based on the 2,9-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,10phenanthroline building block $\mathbf{1}$. The corresponding CuI complexes have been prepared, which, as described elsewhere, serve as 'active metal template' precursors to rotaxanes derived from the oxidative homocoupling of terminal alkynes. ${ }^{[5]}$ The structural properties of all of these compounds, as well as the NMR properties of the uncomplexed macrocycles, have been characterized in detail. These data provide a solid foundation for the analysis and interpretation of a variety of properties of the resulting rotaxanes, which include MIMs derived from diplatinum polyynediyl complexes. These efforts will soon be detailed in a comprehensive full paper. ${ }^{[13]}$

## Experimental

General Data
Organic reactions were conducted under ambient conditions, and the copper complexes were prepared under nitrogen atmospheres using Schlenk techniques. Prior to use, THF (Fisher Scientific) was dried using a Glass Contour solvent purification system. Other chemicals $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, methanol (MeOH) (EMD), acetone, hexanes, ethyl acetate ( BDH ) , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (anhydrous, BDH ), CuI (99.998 \%, Alfa Aesar), 2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene (97 \%, Alfa Aesar), 1,6-dibromohexane ( $97 \%$, Alfa Aesar), resorcinol (99 \%, Alfa Aesar), 1,4-bis(bromomethyl)benzene (97\%, Alfa Aesar), 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)benzene ( $97 \%$, Alfa Aesar), silica ( $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 230-400 \mathrm{mesh}$, Silicycle), and alumina (neutral, Brockmann I, $50-200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Acros) were used as received. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on EMD silica gel 60 F254 or EMD aluminium oxide 60 F254 (neutral) plates that were visualized with 254 nm or 365 nm UV light.

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian NMRS 500 MHz (ambient probe temperatures) or a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz (cryoprobe conditions) spectrometers and referenced as follows ( $\delta$, ppm $):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, residual internal $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(7.26) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$, internal $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (77.16). Mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific LCQ-DECA (atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) conditions) or an Applied Biosystems PE SCIEX QSTAR (electrospray ionization (ESI) conditions) spectrometers. Ion assignments were verified against calculated isotope patterns. ${ }^{[24]}$ Melting points were determined on a Stanford Research Systems (SRS) MPA100 (Opti-Melt) automated device. Microanalyses were conducted by Atlantic Microlab.

## 2,7-Bis(6-bromohexyloxy)naphthalene (2b)

A round-bottom flask was charged with acetone solutions ( 96 mL total) of 2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene ( $3.850 \mathrm{~g}, 24.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,6-dibromohexane $(17.594 \mathrm{~g}, 72.112 \mathrm{mmol})$ and fitted with a condenser. Then, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.306 \mathrm{~g}, 60.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring. The mixture was refluxed. After 3 days, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Water $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1200 mL total). The extract was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ and brine $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was chromatographed (silica gel, $6.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 31 \mathrm{~cm}$ column, packed in hexanes and eluted with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexanes gradient). The solvent was removed from the product containing fractions (assayed by TLC, eluent $1: 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes) by rotary evaporation to give $\mathbf{2 b}$ as a white crystalline solid ( $4.831 \mathrm{~g}, 9.934 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ ),
$\mathrm{mp} 74^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.64\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.9\right.$, OCCHCH $), 7.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.4\right.$, OCCHC $), 6.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.9,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.4, \mathrm{OCCHCH}\right), 4.06\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.4, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $3.44\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2}\right), 1.94-1.83$ ( $8 \mathrm{H}, 2$ overlapping $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.58-1.51\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 157.7$ (s, OCCH), 136.1, 129.2, 124.3, 116.4, $106.1(5 \times \mathrm{s}$, aryl), 67.8 (s, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 34.0,32.8,29.2,28.1,25.5\left(5 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{BrCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right)^{[25]} 487\left(100 \%,[2 b+1]^{+}\right), 407$ $\left.\left(3 \%,[2 b-\mathrm{Br}]^{+}\right), 161(20 \% \text {, [dihydroxynaphthalene }+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (486.2870): C $54.34, \mathrm{H} 6.22, \mathrm{Br}$ 32.86. Found: C 54.29, H 6.11, Br $32.78 \%$.

## 1,3-Bis(4-bromomethylbenzyloxy)benzene (2c)

A round-bottom flask was charged with a solution of resorcinol $(1.016 \mathrm{~g}, 9.229 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and fitted with a condenser. Then, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.189 \mathrm{~g}, 23.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring, followed by a solution of 1,4-bis(bromomethyl)benzene ( $7.309 \mathrm{~g}, 27.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 25 mL ). The mixture was refluxed in the dark. After 3.5 days, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Water ( 200 mL ) was added. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was chromatographed (silica gel, $4.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 23 \mathrm{~cm}$, packed in hexanes and eluted with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexanes gradient $10: 90 \rightarrow 20: 80 \rightarrow 30: 70 \rightarrow 40: 60 \rightarrow 50: 50 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The solvent was removed from the product containing fractions (assayed by TLC, eluent both $1: 2$ and $2: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes) by rotary evaporation to give 2 c as a white powder $(0.928 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%), \mathrm{mp} 107.7-111.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $7.41\left(8 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, $\left.p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.20\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2\right.$, OCCHCH $), 6.58-$ $6.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCCHCH}), 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCCHCO}), 5.03(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.51\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 160.0(\mathrm{~s}$, ОССН), 137.6, 137.4, $130.1(3 \times \mathrm{s}$, aryl), $129.4,128.0(2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $C \mathrm{H}$ of $\left.p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 107.6,102.4(2 \times \mathrm{s}$, aryl), $69.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH} 2), 33.3$ (s, BrCH 2$) . m / z\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)^{[25]} 538\left(16 \%,[2 \mathrm{c}+62]^{+}\right), 477(7 \%$, $\left.[2 \mathbf{c}+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (476.2076): C 55.49, H 4.23. Found: C 55.22, H $4.13 \%$.

## 1,3-Bis(3-bromomethylbenzyloxy)benzene (2d)

A round-bottom flask was charged with resorcinol $(2.565 \mathrm{~g}$, $23.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)benzene $\quad(18.449 \mathrm{~g}$, $69.896 \mathrm{mmol})$, and acetone $(93 \mathrm{~mL})$ and fitted with a condenser. Then, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.050 \mathrm{~g}, 58.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring. The mixture was refluxed in the dark. After 3 days, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(7 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ and brine $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was chromatographed (silica gel, $4.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$, packed in hexanes, eluted with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes gradient $\left.5: 95 \rightarrow 20: 80 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$. The solvent was removed from the product containing fractions (assayed by TLC, eluent $1: 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes) by rotary evaporation to give 2 d as a white solid $(4.190 \mathrm{~g}, 8.799 \mathrm{mmol}$, $38 \%), \mathrm{mp} 74.7-79.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCHCCH}_{2}\right), 7.36\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CCHCHCH}\right) 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2$, ОССНС $\left.H\right), 6.63\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.1\right.$, OCCHCO $), 6.61$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.2, \mathrm{OCCHCH}\right), 5.04\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $4.52\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 160.0(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCCH})$, $138.3,137.8,130.2,129.2,128.8,128.2,127.6,107.6,102.3$
( $9 \times \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{aryl}$ ), $69.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)^{[25]} 477$ $\left(1 \%\right.$, $\left.[2 d+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (476.2076): C 55.49, H 4.23. Found: C 54.83, H $4.07 \%$.

## $\left(2,9-(1,10-\right.$ Phenanthrolinediyl) $)\left(4-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(2,7-$ naphthdiyl) (3b)

A round-bottom flask was charged with DMSO solutions ( 573 mL total) of $\mathbf{2 b}(1.393 \mathrm{~g}, 2.865 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,9 -bis (4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline $\quad\left(\mathbf{1}^{[9 \mathrm{a}, 15]} ; \quad 1.044 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, 2.865 mmol ) that had been neutralized as reported earlier. ${ }^{[9 \mathrm{ab]}}$ Then, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.544 \mathrm{~g}, 40.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water $(5.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added with stirring. The sample was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 19 h . The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was chromatographed (alumina, $4.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ column, packed and eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The solvent was removed from the product containing fractions (assayed by TLC, eluent $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes) by rotary evaporation to give $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a moderately light sensitive white solid ( $1.242 \mathrm{~g}, 1.803 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ) that was stored in the dark, $\mathrm{mp} 240^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 8.43(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right),{ }^{[26]} 8.25\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7}\right){ }^{[26]} 8.08$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{8}\right),{ }^{[26]} 7.73\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right),{ }^{[26]} 7.65$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.9$, OCCHCH of naphthyl), $7.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.4\right.$, OCCHCC), $7.11-7.09\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}\right),{ }^{[26]} 7.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.9,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.4$, OCCHCH of naphthyl), $4.14\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.08\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.4, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.96(4 \mathrm{H}$, overlapping $\left.\mathrm{p},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.90\left(4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, overlapping $\mathrm{p},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ 6.7, $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \underline{H}_{2}$ ), $\quad 1.67-1.60 \quad\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{m}, \quad \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \underline{H_{2}}\right) \cdot \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 160.6,157.8,156.5$, 146.1, 136.9, 136.1, 132.1, 129.2, 129.1, 127.6, 125.7, 124.3, $119.3,116.8,114.8,105.8(16 \times \mathrm{s}$, aryl), 68.3, $67.4(2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 29.5,29.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 26.0, $25.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, \quad \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) . \quad \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $\left(\text { ESI }^{+}\right)^{[25]} 689\left(100 \%,[3 \mathbf{b}+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (688.8664): C 80.20, H 6.44, N 4.07. Found: C 79.70, H 6.26 , N 4.12 \%.

## (2,9-(1,10-Phenanthrolinediyl)) $\left(4-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$-4$\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(1,3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(3 \mathrm{c})$

A round-bottom flask was charged with DMSO solutions ( 210 mL total) of $2 \mathrm{c}(0.501 \mathrm{~g}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1(0.383 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.05 mmol ) that had been neutralized as reported earlier. ${ }^{[9]}$ Then, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.435 \mathrm{~g}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water $(2.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added with stirring. The sample was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark for 4 h . The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Water ( 50 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was chromatographed (silica gel, $3 \mathrm{~cm} \times 26 \mathrm{~cm}$, packed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient $1: 999 \rightarrow 2: 998 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). The solvent was removed from the product containing fractions (assayed by TLC, eluent $1: 99 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) by rotary evaporation to give $3 \mathbf{c}$ as a moderately light sensitive very pale yellow powder $(0.278 \mathrm{~g}, 0.410 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%)$ that was stored in the dark and darkened slightly at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and melted at $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) 8.33\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right),{ }^{[26]} 8.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4\right.$, $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{[26]} 8.07\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{8}\right),{ }^{[26]} 7.73\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right),{ }^{[26]} 7.36\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, p-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2\right.$, OCCHCH of $\left.m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right), 7.11\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}\right),{ }^{[26]} 6.59$
$\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.3, \mathrm{OCCHCH}\right.$ of $\left.m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right), 6.41(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.3, \mathrm{OCCHCO}\right), 5.32\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 160.3,159.8,156.3,145.8$, $137.3,136.9,136.5,129.9,129.1,127.5,127.3,126.6,125.7$, $119.5,116.0,107.9,103.0(17 \times \mathrm{s}$, aryl), $70.2,69.8(2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) . m / z\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)^{[25]} 679\left(100 \%,[3 \mathbf{c}+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (678.7870): C 81.40, H 5.05, N 4.13 . Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(696.8022)$ : C 79.29, H 5.21, N 4.02. Found: C 79.30, H 5.16, N $3.97 \%$. ${ }^{[27]}$

## (2,9-(1,10-Phenanthrolinediyl)) $\left(4-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$-3$\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(1,3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(3 \boldsymbol{d})$

A round-bottom flask was charged with DMSO solutions $(422 \mathrm{~mL}$ total) of $\mathbf{2 d}(1.005 \mathrm{~g}, 2.109 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1}(0.769 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.110 mmol ) that had been neutralized as reported earlier. ${ }^{[9 \mathrm{a}]}$ Then, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.187 \mathrm{~g}, 15.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water $(4.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added with stirring. The sample was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark for 5 h . The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Water $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(6 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was washed with brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was chromatographed (silica gel, $4.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$, packed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, eluted with a $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient $\left.1: 999 \rightarrow 2: 998 \rightarrow 3: 997 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$. The solvent was removed from the product containing fractions (assayed by TLC, eluent $1: 99 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) by rotary evaporation to give $\mathbf{3 d}$ as a moderately light sensitive white solid $(0.308 \mathrm{~g}, 0.454 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \%)$ that was stored in the dark and darkened slightly at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and melted at $161^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) 8.37\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.9, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right),{ }^{[26]} 8.24\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4\right.$, $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7}\right),{ }^{[26]} 8.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{8}\right),{ }^{[26]} 7.72\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, ${ }^{[26]} 7.66\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCHC}\right), 7.40-7.34(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCHCHCHC}\right), 7.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2\right.$, OCCHCH of $\left.m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right), 7.16\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.9, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}\right),{ }^{[26]} 6.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ 2.4, OCCHCO), $6.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 2.4\right.$, OCCHCH of $\left.m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right), 5.30\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.13\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} H_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 160.2,159.8,156.5,146.2,137.9,137.6$, $136.8,132.8,130.1,129.1,128.9,127.6,126.6,126.2,125.7$, $119.4,115.7,107.4,102.9(19 \times \mathrm{s}$, aryl), 69.9, $69.7(2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) . m / z\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)^{[25]} 679\left(100 \%,[3 d+1]^{+}\right), 757$ $\left(9 \%,[\mathbf{3 d}+78]^{+}\right), 1358\left(7 \%,[2 \times \mathbf{3 d}+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (678.7870): C 81.40, H 5.05, N 4.13. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(714.8175)$ : C 77.29 , H 5.36, N 3.92. Found: C 77.58, H 5.34, N $3.99 \%$. ${ }^{[27]}$

## (3b)Cul (4b)

A solution of $\mathrm{CuI}(0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 0.734 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ was transferred via a Teflon cannula to a solution of $\mathbf{3 b}(0.505 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.734 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(33 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a Schlenk flask. The mixture was stirred in the dark. After 1 h , the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to give a pale orange solid. The residue was recrystallized from hot $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (refrigerator storage). The precipitate was isolated by filtration and dried by oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{4 b}$ as a bright orange crystalline solid $(0.508 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.577 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ), which darkened slightly (contraction) at $124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and melted at $226^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 8.42(2 \mathrm{H}$, br $\left.\mathrm{s}, w_{1 / 2} 27\right), 8.16\left(4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, overlapping br d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.9, p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 8.12$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, overlapping br s, $\left.w_{1 / 2} 23\right), 7.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 7.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8\right), 7.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, $\left.w_{1 / 2} 36\right), 7.13\left(4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br d, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.5$, $\left.p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8\right), 4.20-4.02(8 \mathrm{H}$, overlapping br s and $\left.\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.02-1.82(8 \mathrm{H}$, br m, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.69-1.56\left(8 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$,
$\left.\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}^{[28]}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, cryoprobe) 161.4, 158.6, 157.8, 138.0, 136.3, 131.4, 129.1, 127.6, 126.0, 124.3, $116.8,115.0,106.2(13 \times \mathrm{s}$, aryl $), 68.1,67.5\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 29.2,28.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} C^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 25.6,25.5$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) . m / z\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)^{[25]} 751$ $\left(60 \%,[(\mathbf{3 b}) \mathrm{Cu}]^{+}\right), 689\left(100 \%,[\mathbf{3 b}+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (879.3168): C 62.83, H 5.04, N 3.19. Found: C 63.38 , H 5.34, N $3.13 \%$.

## (3c)Cul (4c)

A solution of $\mathrm{CuI}(0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of $\mathbf{3 c}(0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined using the same procedure as that used for preparing 4 b ( 3 h stirring). An identical workup gave 4 c as a pale orange solid $(0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%), \mathrm{mp} 107.7-111.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . m / z\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)$ $741\left(50 \%,[(3 \mathbf{c}) \mathrm{Cu}]^{+}\right), 679\left(100 \%,[3 \mathbf{c}+1]^{+}\right) . m / z\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{-}\right) 127$ ( $100 \%$, [I] ${ }^{-}$). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (869.2374): C 63.56, H 3.94, N 3.22. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (954.1643): C 59.16, H 3.80, N 2.94. Found C 60.04, H 3.92, N $2.90 \%$. ${ }^{[29]}$

## (3d)Cul (4d)

A solution of $\mathrm{CuI}(0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of $3 \mathbf{d}(0.067 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined using the same procedure as that used for preparing $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( 0.5 h stirring). The precipitate was isolated by filtration and dried by oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{4 d}$ as a yellow solid $(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%), \mathrm{mp} 107.7-111.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (869.2374): C 63.56, H 3.94, N 3.22. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (967.6758): C 58.34, H 3.91, N 2.89 . Found: C 58.59, H 4.01 , N $2.92 \%$. ${ }^{[30]}$

## Crystallography A

A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathbf{3 a}$ was allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature. After 3 days, the resulting colourless plates were analyzed as outlined in Table 1. Cell parameters were obtained from 1080 frames using a $0.5^{\circ} \operatorname{scan}^{[31]}$ and refined with 24948 reflections. Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with $A P E X 2 .{ }^{[32]}$ The integration method employed a threedimensional profiling algorithm. All data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors as well as crystal decay effects. $S A D A B S$ was used for absorption corrections. ${ }^{[33]}$ The space group was determined from systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXTL (SHELXS). ${ }^{[34]}$ Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was refined (weighted least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ ) to convergence. ${ }^{[34]}$ The absence of additional symmetry or voids was confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM). ${ }^{[35]}$

## Crystallography B

$\mathrm{ACHCl}_{3}$ solution of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$ was allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature. After 3 days, the resulting colourless prisms were analyzed as outlined in Table 1. Cell parameters were obtained from 180 frames using a $0.5^{\circ}$ scan. ${ }^{[31]}$ Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program $A P E X 2 .{ }^{[32]} S A D A B S$ was used for absorption corrections. ${ }^{[33]}$ The space group was determined from systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests.

Table 3. Crystallographic data for 4a-c

|  | 4a. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 b} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | 4c. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CuIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 914.14 | 1118.01 | 954.12 |
| Temperature [K] | 110(2) | 110(2) | 110(2) |
| Wavelength [A] | 1.54178 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Diffractometer | Bruker D8 GADDS | Bruker APEX 2 | Bruker APEX 2 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c | $P-1$ | $P-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| $a[\AA]$ | 13.8010(10) | 11.888(3) | 7.5981(2) |
| $b$ [ $\AA$ ] | 8.1146(7) | 14.904(4) | 12.5786(2) |
| $c[\AA]$ | 35.376(2) | 14.905(4) | 21.1089(4) |
| $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 112.140(7) | 82.7280(10) |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 95.820(4) | 102.331(7) | 88.1180(10) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 91.793(6) | 80.7170(10) |
| Volume [ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ ] | 3941.3(5) | 2371.5(11) | 1974.86(7) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}\left[\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.541 | 1.566 | 1.605 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 8.536 | 1.495 | 1.519 |
| $F(000)$ | 1856 | 1128 | 960 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.13 \times 0.11 \times 0.02$ | $0.18 \times 0.12 \times 0.12$ | $0.20 \times 0.12 \times 0.03$ |
| Range for data collection | 3.22 to 60.00 | 1.767 to 27.527 | 2.39 to 27.56 |
| Index ranges | $-15 \leq h \leq 15$ | $-15 \leq h \leq 15$ | $-9 \leq h \leq 9$ |
|  | $-9 \leq k \leq 8$ | $-19 \leq k \leq 19$ | $-16 \leq k \leq 16$ |
|  | $-39 \leq l \leq 39$ | $-19 \leq l \leq 19$ | $-27 \leq l \leq 27$ |
| Reflections collected | 46266 | 24046 | 43439 |
| Independent reflections | $5803\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0878\right)$ | $10655\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0365\right)$ | $9006\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0624\right)$ |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8478 and 0.4033 | 0.7456 and 0.6326 | 0.9858 and 0.8325 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5803 / 0 / 478 | 10655 / 0 / 559 | 9006 / 9 / 530 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.038 | 1.051 | 1.034 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | $R 1=0.0442$ | $R 1=0.0401$ | $R 1=0.0470$ |
|  | $w R 2=0.1104$ | $w R 2=0.0856$ | $w R 2=0.1131$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R 1=0.0545$ | $R 1=0.0591$ | $R 1=0.0723$ |
|  | $w R 2=0.1141$ | $w R 2=0.0948$ | $w R 2=0.1282$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole $\left[\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right]$ | 1.034 and -0.836 | 0.993 and -0.596 | 1.746 and -1.821 |

The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXTL (SHELXS). ${ }^{[34]}$ Two $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecules were found for each molecule of 3b. One molecule was successfully modelled (disordered over two positions). The other molecule could not be modelled so the electron density contribution was extracted with the program PLATON/SQUEEZE. ${ }^{[35]}$ Restraints were used to keep the bond distances and thermal ellipsoids chemically meaningful. Note that the formula and density reported in the CIF file reflect the results using SQUEEZE. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was refined (weighted leastsquares refinement on $F^{2}$ ) to convergence. ${ }^{[34]}$ The absence of additional symmetry or voids was confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM). ${ }^{[35]}$

## Crystallography C

A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathbf{3 c}$ was allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature. After 4 days, the resulting colourless plates were analyzed as outlined in Table 1. Cell parameters were obtained from 60 frames using a $0.5^{\circ}$ scan. Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program $A P E X 2 .{ }^{[32]} S A D A B S$ was used for absorption corrections. ${ }^{[33]}$ The space group was determined
from systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXTL (SHELXS). ${ }^{[34]}$ One molecule of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was present, however, was disordered and could not be successfully modelled. Thus, the electron density contribution was extracted with the program PLATON/SQUEEZE. ${ }^{[35]}$ Restraints were used to keep the bond distances and thermal ellipsoids chemically meaningful. Nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was refined (weighted least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ ) to convergence. ${ }^{[34]}$ The absence of additional symmetry or voids was confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM). ${ }^{[35]}$

## Crystallography D

A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature. After 1 day, the resulting red plates were analyzed as outlined in Table3. Cell parameters were obtained from 180 frames using a $0.5^{\circ}$ scan. ${ }^{[31]}$ Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program APEX2. ${ }^{[32]}$ SADABS was used for absorption corrections. ${ }^{[33]}$ The space group was determined from systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXTL
(SHELXS). ${ }^{[34]} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule was found for nearly every molecule of $\mathbf{4 a}$ (refined with an occupancy value close to 1 ). Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was refined (weighted least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ ) to convergence. ${ }^{[34]}$ The absence of additional symmetry or voids was confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM). ${ }^{[35]}$

## Crystallography E

$\mathrm{A} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution of $\mathbf{4 b}$ was allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature. After 3 days, the resulting red prisms were analyzed as outlined in Table 3. Cell parameters were obtained from 60 frames using a $0.5^{\circ}$ scan. ${ }^{[31]}$ Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program APEX2. ${ }^{[32]}$ SADABS was used for absorption corrections. ${ }^{[33]}$ The space group was determined from systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXTL (SHELXS). ${ }^{[34]}$ Two $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecules were located and refined for each molecule of 4b. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was refined (weighted least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ ) to convergence. ${ }^{[34]}$ The absence of additional symmetry or voids was confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM). ${ }^{[35]}$

## Crystallography F

A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $4 \mathbf{c}$ was allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature. After 3 days, the resulting orange plates were analyzed as outlined in Table 3. Cell parameters were obtained from 60 frames using a $0.5^{\circ}$ scan. ${ }^{[31]}$ Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program APEX2. ${ }^{[32]}$ SADABS was used for absorption corrections. ${ }^{[33]}$ The space group was determined from systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXTL (SHELXS). ${ }^{[34]} \mathrm{A}$ disordered $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule was found for each molecule of $\mathbf{4 c}$ and was successfully modelled by application of a restrained distance and displacement parameter refinement. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was refined (weighted least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ ) to convergence. ${ }^{[34]}$ The absence of additional symmetry or voids was confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM). ${ }^{[35]}$

## DFT Calculations

Computations were performed using the Gaussian09 program package. ${ }^{[36]}$ Full geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were carried out on macrocycles $\mathbf{3}$ and their CuI complexes 4 without any symmetry constraints. The B3LYP ${ }^{[37]}$ functional was implemented with the D 95 V basis set for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$, and O atoms, whereas the LANL2DZDP ECP was used to include the appropriate polarization functions for $\mathbf{I}$ in $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{f}$. To account for the relativistic effects on $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{SDD}$ was employed.

## Supplementary Material

CCDC nos 950619 and 1508487-1508490 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be
obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/ cif (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44(0) 1223 336033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk). Additional views of the crystal structures, experimental procedures, and Cartesian coordinates are also provided as well as a molecular structure file that can be read by the program Mercury ${ }^{[22]}$ and contains the optimized geometries of all computed structures. ${ }^{[38]}$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {A }}$ This structure was described earlier in reference [6].
    ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ Some or all of the solvate molecules were removed during refinement as described in the Experimental section.
    ${ }^{\text {C }}$ The second value is for the atoms C211, C221, C331, and C341 of the same arene ring.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ The distance from the $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ least-squares plane.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{E}}$ The angle defined by the CuIN1N2 least-squares plane and the $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ least-squares plane.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{F}}$ These represent the absolute values of the angles between the $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ least-squares plane and those of the arene ring containing C13-C16 (plane A), the dihydroxyarene (plane B ), and the arene ring containing $\mathrm{C} 37-\mathrm{C} 40$ (plane C ).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{G}}$ The carbon atoms distal to N 1 and N 2 are taken as C29 and C25 (3a), C301 and C303 (3b), and C25 and C29 (C26 and C28, values in parenthesis) (3c), respectively; the analogous atoms are employed for $\mathbf{4 a - c}$.

