

NOEMA redshift measurements of bright *Herschel* galaxies[★]

R. Neri¹, P. Cox², A. Omont², A. Beelen³, S. Berta¹, T. Bakx^{4,5,6}, M. Lehnert², A. J. Baker⁷, V. Buat³, A. Cooray⁸,
 H. Dannerbauer^{9,10}, L. Dunne⁴, S. Dye¹¹, S. Eales⁴, R. Gavazzi¹², A. I. Harris¹², C. N. Herrera¹, D. Hughes¹³,
 R. Ivison^{14,15}, S. Jin^{9,10}, M. Krips¹, G. Lagache³, L. Marchetti^{16,17,18}, H. Messias¹⁹, M. Negrello⁴, I. Perez-Fournon⁹,
 D. A. Riechers^{20,21}, S. Serjeant²², S. Urquhart²², C. Vlahakis²³, A. Weiß²⁴, P. van der Werf²⁵,
 C. Yang²⁶, and A. J. Young⁷

¹ Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM), 300 Rue de la Piscine, 38400 Saint-Martin-d'Hères, France
 e-mail: neri@iram.fr

² Sorbonne Université, UPMC Université Paris 6 and CNRS, UMR 7095, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98bis Boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France

³ Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS and CNES, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, 38 Rue Frédéric Joliot-Curie, 13388 Marseille, France

⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, The Parade, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK

⁵ Division of Particle and Astrophysical Science, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Aichi 464-8602, Japan

⁶ National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1, Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 136 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA

⁸ University of California Irvine, Physics & Astronomy, FRH 2174, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

⁹ Instituto Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

¹⁰ Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. Astrofísica, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

¹¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

¹² Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

¹³ Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Astrophysics Department, Apdo 51 y 216, Tonantzintla, Puebla 72000, Mexico

¹⁴ European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

¹⁵ Institut für Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK

¹⁶ University of Cape Town, Department of Astronomy, Private Bag X3 Rondebosch, 7701 Cape Town, South Africa

¹⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, Bellville 7535 Cape Town, South Africa

¹⁸ Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Istituto di Radioastronomia, Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy

¹⁹ Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Tapada da Ajuda, Edifício Leste 1349-018, Lisboa, Portugal

²⁰ Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Space Sciences Building, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

²¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

²² Department of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK

²³ National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

²⁴ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany

²⁵ Leiden University, Leiden Observatory, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

²⁶ European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Casilla, 19001 Vitacura, Santiago, Chile

Received 25 October 2019 / Accepted 5 December 2019

ABSTRACT

Using the IRAM Northern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA), we conducted a program to measure redshifts for 13 bright galaxies detected in the *Herschel* Astrophysical Large Area Survey with $S_{500\mu\text{m}} \geq 80$ mJy. We report reliable spectroscopic redshifts for 12 individual sources, which are derived from scans of the 3 and 2 mm bands, covering up to 31 GHz in each band, and are based on the detection of at least two emission lines. The spectroscopic redshifts are in the range $2.08 < z < 4.05$ with a median value of $z = 2.9 \pm 0.6$. The sources are unresolved or barely resolved on scales of 10 kpc. In one field, two galaxies with different redshifts were detected. In two cases the sources are found to be binary galaxies with projected distances of ~ 140 kpc. The linewidths of the sources are large, with a mean value for the full width at half maximum of 700 ± 300 km s⁻¹ and a median of 800 km s⁻¹. We analyze the nature of the sources with currently available ancillary data to determine if they are lensed or hyper-luminous ($L_{\text{FIR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$) galaxies. We also present a reanalysis of the spectral energy distributions including the continuum flux densities measured at 3 and 2 mm to derive the overall properties of the sources. Future prospects based on these efficient measurements of redshifts of high- z galaxies using NOEMA are outlined, including a comprehensive survey of all the brightest *Herschel* galaxies.

Key words. galaxies: high-redshift – galaxies: ISM – gravitational lensing: strong – submillimeter: galaxies – radio lines: ISM

[★] The reduced datacubes and the extracted spectra are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/635/A7>

1. Introduction

Some of the most vigorous star formation activity occurred in submillimeter galaxies (SMGs) and other populations of dusty star-forming galaxies (DSFGs) in the early universe (see, e.g., reviews in [Blain et al. 2002](#); [Casey et al. 2014](#)), whose rest-frame 8–1000 μm luminosities (L_{IR}) exceed a few $10^{12} L_{\odot}$. Their exact nature is still debated (e.g., [Narayanan et al. 2015](#)), although many of them are probably mergers (e.g., [Tacconi et al. 2008](#)). Compared to local ultra-luminous infrared galaxies, SMGs are more luminous and several orders of magnitude more numerous. With a median redshift of $z \sim 2.5$ (e.g., [Danielson et al. 2017](#)), SMGs are most commonly found around the $z \sim 2\text{--}3$ peak of the cosmic star formation rate density ([Madau & Dickinson 2014](#)), and therefore play a critical role in the history of cosmic star formation as the locus of the physical processes driving the most extreme phases of galaxy formation and evolution.

The SPIRE instrument ([Griffin et al. 2010](#)) on the *Herschel* Space Observatory ([Pilbratt et al. 2010](#)) has increased the number of known SMGs from hundreds to hundreds of thousands through the *Herschel* Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey (H-ATLAS; [Eales et al. 2010](#)), covering an area of 616 deg²; the *Herschel* Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey (HERMES; [Oliver et al. 2012](#)), covering an area of 430 deg²; and the *Herschel* Stripe 82 Survey (HerS; [Viero et al. 2014](#)) covering an area of 81 deg². As shown by [Negrello et al. \(2010\)](#), the surface density of unlensed sources tends to zero around flux densities $S_{500\mu\text{m}} \sim 100$ mJy, and most objects that are detectable above this threshold are gravitationally magnified by foreground galaxies. The South Pole Telescope (SPT) cosmological survey, covering an area of 2500 deg², also revealed a significant population of strongly gravitationally lensed, high-redshift DSFGs ([Vieira et al. 2010](#); [Spilker et al. 2016](#)). These and other large-area surveys, like the all-sky *Planck*-HFI, have therefore enabled the detection of numerous DSFGs that are among the brightest in the sky, including large fractions of the rare high-redshift strongly lensed systems ([Negrello et al. 2010, 2017](#); [Wardlow et al. 2013](#); [Bussmann et al. 2013, 2015](#); [Planck Collaboration Int. XXVII 2015](#); [Spilker et al. 2016](#); [Nayyeri et al. 2016](#); [Bakx et al. 2018](#)) and hyper-luminous infrared galaxies (HyLIRGs) with $L_{\text{FIR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ (see, e.g., [Ivison et al. 2013](#); [Fu et al. 2013](#); [Oteo et al. 2016](#); [Riechers et al. 2017](#)).

Exploiting this richness of data presents us with a tremendous task. In particular, precise spectroscopic measurements of the redshifts of individual sources are essential to derive their nature and physical properties, and to reveal their clustering characteristics, while photometric redshifts are only indicative of a redshift range ([Casey et al. 2012](#); [Ivison et al. 2016](#)). Conventional optical and near-infrared spectroscopy using large ground-based telescopes is possible for sources with precise positions available through their faint radio emission, but misses the dustiest bright objects and most of the highest redshift ($z > 3$) sources, which lack radio counterparts ([Chapman et al. 2005](#)). Moreover, in the case of sources that are gravitationally amplified, the optical spectra detect, in most cases, the foreground lensing galaxies rather than the lensed objects. (Sub)millimeter spectroscopy typically searches for CO emission lines, which are unhindered by dust extinction and can be related unambiguously to the (sub)millimeter source. It therefore offers a far better alternative to the imprecise photometric method for deriving secure values for the redshifts.

The spectroscopic method has only recently become competitive with the increased bandwidths of the receivers operating at millimeter and submillimeter facilities. Its power to reliably measure redshifts was first demonstrated in the case of a few

SMGs detected by the Submillimetre Common-User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) in the continuum ([Smail et al. 1997](#); [Hughes et al. 1998](#)). Their redshifts could only be determined more than a decade later, after various unsuccessful attempts, using the new broadband receivers that became available at the IRAM 30 m telescope (e.g., SMMJ14009+0252; [Weiß et al. 2009](#)) at the Plateau de Bure interferometer (e.g., HDF.850.1; [Walter et al. 2012](#)) and at the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) for various SMGs (e.g., [Swinbank et al. 2010](#); [Harris et al. 2010](#)). Subsequent broadband observations with the Zpectrometer on the GBT ([Harris et al. 2012](#)), with Z-Spec on the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory ([Lupu et al. 2012](#)), with the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA; [Riechers 2011](#)), and recently with EMIR at the IRAM 30 m telescope and VEGAS at the Green Bank Telescope (GBT; [Bakx et al., in prep.](#)) enabled the measurement of tens of redshifts for very bright sources selected from the *Herschel* wide surveys.

Using the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA), [Weiß et al. \(2013\)](#) presented the first redshift survey for 23 strongly lensed DSFGs selected from the SPT survey. This work was followed by further ALMA observations yielding reliable measurements for redshifts of an additional 15 DSFGs from the SPT sample ([Strandet et al. 2016](#)). We note that the SPT-selected galaxies are at significantly higher redshifts (a median of $z \sim 3.9$) than the *Herschel*-selected galaxies (mostly $2 < z < 3$ for the sources peaking in the 350 μm band), due to the difference in the frequency bands used in these surveys (see Sect. 4.1). In parallel, a number of bright *Herschel* sources were observed by our team, with IRAM and other facilities including ALMA, yielding secure redshifts for about 50 sources (see references in [Bakx et al. 2018](#); [Nayyeri et al. 2016](#); [Bussmann et al. 2013](#)).

The new NOEMA correlator, with its ability to process a total instantaneous bandwidth of 31 GHz in two frequency settings, alleviates one of the main problems related to the measurement of redshifts of dust-obscured galaxies, namely the large overheads that are currently required in spectral-scan mode. We present here the results of a Pilot Program, whose aim was measuring redshifts for 13 bright SMGs (with $S_{500\mu\text{m}} \geq 80$ mJy) selected from the H-ATLAS survey by performing 3 and 2 mm spectral scans. For 85% of these H-ATLAS sources we obtain reliable redshifts based on the detection of CO emission lines at both 3 and 2 mm, demonstrating that NOEMA is able to efficiently measure redshifts of bright SMGs by scanning the 3 and 2 mm bands. This Pilot Program lays the ground work for a larger ongoing NOEMA program (z-GAL) that will derive spectroscopic redshifts for all the northern and equatorial bright $z \gtrsim 2$ galaxies selected from the *Herschel* surveys (H-ATLAS, HERMES, and HerS) for which no reliable redshifts measurements are available.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we describe the sample selection, the observations, and the data reduction. In Sect. 3 we present the main results including the redshift determination, the spectral properties of the sources and their nature, and the properties of the continuum emission. In Sect. 4 we compare the spectroscopic and photometric redshifts, reassess the spectral energy distributions of the targets taking into account the continuum flux densities at 3 and 2 mm, derive dust temperatures and infrared luminosities, discuss the widths of the CO emission lines, present the general properties of the sources (including CO luminosities and gas masses), and discuss the nature of each source, categorizing the lensed and hyper-luminous galaxies. Finally, in Sect. 5 we summarize the main conclusions and outline future prospects.

Table 1. Sample.

Source name		z_{phot}	<i>Herschel</i> flux density			SCUBA-2 flux density
HerBS	H-ATLAS		$S_{250\mu\text{m}}$	$S_{350\mu\text{m}}$ (mJy)	$S_{500\mu\text{m}}$	$S_{850\mu\text{m}}$ (mJy)
HerBS-34	J133413.8+260458	2.35	136.1 ± 5.4	161.0 ± 5.5	126.5 ± 6.8	34.0 ± 5.7
HerBS-43	J132419.0+320752	3.08	84.4 ± 4.9	116.0 ± 5.2	115.4 ± 6.3	37.0 ± 5.1
HerBS-44	J133255.8+342208	1.83	164.3 ± 5.8	186.8 ± 5.8	114.9 ± 7.2	25.3 ± 4.5
HerBS-54	J131540.6+262322	2.95	94.0 ± 5.7	116.1 ± 6.1	108.6 ± 7.1	44.7 ± 4.6
HerBS-58	J130333.1+244643	2.53	99.0 ± 5.5	111.5 ± 5.9	104.5 ± 7.1	30.5 ± 5.0
HerBS-70	J130140.2+292918	2.08	119.6 ± 5.8	136.8 ± 5.8	100.0 ± 7.1	21.9 ± 5.5
HerBS-79	J131434.1+335219	2.36	103.4 ± 5.6	115.3 ± 6.0	97.9 ± 7.3	28.5 ± 5.0
HerBS-89	J131611.5+281219	3.53	71.8 ± 5.7	103.4 ± 5.7	95.7 ± 7.0	52.8 ± 4.3
HerBS-95	J134342.5+263919	3.20	61.9 ± 5.7	101.3 ± 5.7	94.7 ± 7.6	27.4 ± 6.2
HerBS-113	J131211.5+323837	2.77	80.7 ± 5.9	103.4 ± 6.0	92.0 ± 7.0	32.0 ± 5.2
HerBS-154	J132258.2+325050	2.63	79.1 ± 5.6	87.9 ± 5.9	85.6 ± 7.2	28.8 ± 4.2
HerBS-173	J131804.7+325016	2.38	73.3 ± 5.6	92.7 ± 6.0	83.3 ± 7.2	18.8 ± 4.3
HerBS-204	J132909.5+300957	3.61	57.9 ± 5.5	95.3 ± 6.1	80.1 ± 7.1	40.0 ± 6.6

Notes. The source names and *Herschel* flux densities are from Bakx et al. (2018). The SCUBA-2 flux densities and photometric redshifts (z_{phot}) have been updated from that paper based on a revision of the SCUBA-2 flux densities (see text); further details are provided in Bakx et al. (in prep.).

Throughout this paper we adopt a spatially flat Λ CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 67.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and $\Omega_M = 0.315$ (Planck Collaboration VI 2018).

2. Sample selection and observations

2.1. Sample selection

The 13 sources of the Pilot Program were selected from the *Herschel* Bright Sources (HerBS) sample, which contains the 209 galaxies detected in the H-ATLAS survey with $S_{500\mu\text{m}} > 80 \text{ mJy}$ and photometric redshifts $z_{\text{phot}} > 2$ (Bakx et al. 2018). Most of these galaxies have been observed at $850 \mu\text{m}$ using SCUBA-2, and only 22 sources have spectroscopic redshifts (see references in Bakx et al. 2018). We note that the SCUBA-2 flux densities originally reported in Bakx et al. (2018) have recently been revised using the method described in Ivison et al. (2016) and Duivenvoorden et al. (2018), together with the estimated photometric redshifts, as explained in an Erratum to that paper (Bakx et al., in prep.). The SCUBA-2 flux densities and the photometric redshifts z_{phot} listed in Table 1 are the revised values.

The selected galaxies are located in the largest wide field observed by *Herschel* in the northern sky, in the vicinity of the North Galactic Pole (NGP). Measuring $15 \times 10 \text{ deg}^2$ and centered on $[RA = 13^{\text{h}}, \text{Dec} = 29 \text{ deg}]$, the declination of the NGP field is optimal for NOEMA observations, and its size allowed us to group sources during the observations, minimizing per-source overheads (see Sect. 2.2). The NGP field contains 49 high- z sources with $S_{500\mu\text{m}} > 80 \text{ mJy}$; from this list, we extracted 13 sources for which no spectroscopic redshift measurements were available. Six have $S_{500\mu\text{m}} > 100 \text{ mJy}$, completing the redshift determination for sources with $S_{500\mu\text{m}} > 100 \text{ mJy}$ (in the NGP field) at $z_{\text{phot}} > 2$. The selected galaxies are therefore in the range $2 \lesssim z_{\text{phot}} \lesssim 3$, with flux densities in the range $80 \text{ mJy} \lesssim S_{500\mu\text{m}} \lesssim 130 \text{ mJy}$ (Table 1) and apparent far-infrared luminosities in excess of $10^{13} L_{\odot}$ (see Sect. 3 and Table 6).

2.2. Observations

We used NOEMA to observe the 13 selected bright SMGs (see Table 1) in the NGP field and derive their redshifts by scanning

the 3 and 2 mm bands to search for at least two emission lines. The observations were carried out under projects W17DM and S18CR (PI: A.Omont) in the 3 mm band with nine antennas, between April 18 and 24, 2018, and on August 6 and 7, 2019, and in the 2 mm band with eight and nine antennas, between May 24 and October 23, 2018. Observing conditions were on average excellent with an atmospheric phase stability of typically 10–40 deg rms and 2–5 mm of precipitable water vapor. The correlator was operated in the low-resolution mode to provide spectral channels with a nominal resolution of 2 MHz. The observation log is presented in Table 2.

The NOEMA antennas are equipped with 2SB receivers that cover a spectral window of 7.744 GHz in each sideband and polarization. Since the two sidebands are separated by 7.744 GHz, two frequency settings are necessary to span a contiguous spectral window of 31 GHz. At 3 mm, we adjusted the spectral survey to cover a frequency range from 84.385 to 115.361 GHz (Table 1). At 2 mm, we then selected two frequency windows that covered as well as possible the candidate redshifts allowed by the emission lines detected at 3 mm (see Table 2). The wide spectral coverage of the NOEMA correlator ensures that a scan of both the 3 and 2 mm spectral windows can detect for every $z \lesssim 4$ source at least one CO emission line, between $^{12}\text{CO}(3-2)$ and $^{12}\text{CO}(6-5)$, in each band, with the exception of a few redshift gaps. The gaps most relevant to the present observations are at 3 mm for $1.733 < z < 1.997$, and at 2 mm for $1.668 < z < 1.835$. (Fig. 1). The redshift range $1.733 < z < 1.835$ was not covered by any of the 3 and 2 mm settings. The spectral coverage of these observations also includes the $[\text{C I}](^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0)$ fine-structure line (492 GHz rest-frame) and, for sources at $z > 3.65$, the water para- $\text{H}_2\text{O}(2_{11}-2_{02})$ transition (752 GHz rest-frame), both of which were detected in the sources selected for this study (see Sect. 3.1 and Table 4). Based on the redshift range of the sources, other lines of abundant molecules are expected within the frequency range that was surveyed, such as HCO^+ , HCN , or CN (see, e.g., Spilker et al. 2014); however, no further emission line, in addition to the atomic carbon and water lines, was detected at the current sensitivity of the observations. Exploring both the 3 and 2 mm spectral bands is therefore a prerequisite for detecting at least




Fig. 1. Spectral coverage of the ^{12}CO (solid black) and $[\text{CI}]$ (dashed gray) emission lines as a function of redshift in the 3 and 2 mm atmospheric windows in the frequency ranges 84–115 GHz and 130–163 GHz. The bottom colored boxes show the LSB and USB frequency settings (red and green, respectively) (see Table 2). The 2 mm frequency windows were selected to optimally cover the range of spectroscopic redshifts predicted by the 3 mm observations. The dark blue zones identify the redshift ranges where at least two emission lines are detected at 3 or 2 mm with the current settings, while the light blue zones indicate the redshift ranges where only one line is present. This wide frequency range enables the detection of at least one emission line in each band, except for a few small redshifts gaps (see Sect. 2 for details).

two CO emission lines in $2 < z < 4$ *Herschel*-selected bright galaxies, such as those selected for the Pilot Program, and deriving reliable spectroscopic redshifts.

All observations were carried out in track-sharing mode by cyclically switching between galaxies within a track, as was possible due to the proximity of the sources. Two different configurations (C and D) of the array were used, yielding angular resolutions between $1''.2$ and $3''.5$ at 2 mm, and $1''.7$ and $\sim 6''$ at 3 mm. Observations were started by observing all 13 sources in one track in the lower 3 mm frequency setting. Galaxies that did not show a robust line detection were then observed again in the upper 3 mm frequency setting. For every line detection, the most probable redshift was estimated taking into account the photometric redshift. The galaxies were subsequently observed in one of the two 2 mm frequency settings, and when the line was not detected, observed again in the second setting. One example of the frequency settings in the 3 and 2 mm bands is shown in Fig. 2 for the source HerBS-89a.

For all the sources, the phase and amplitude calibrator was 1328+307 and the flux calibrators MWC349 and LkH α 101. The data were calibrated, averaged in polarization, mapped, and analyzed in the GILDAS software package. The absolute flux calibration was estimated to be accurate to within 10%. Source positions are provided with an accuracy of $0''.2$ (Table 3).

3. Results

In the 13 fields observed in the Pilot Program, we detected 12 individual sources both in the continuum and in at least two lines at 3 and 2 mm. We searched for sources in each field up to a dis-

tance of $1.5\times$ the half width at half maximum of the 3 and 2 mm primary beams. Sensitivity was the main limitation to searching beyond this area. A source is claimed to be detected if it is detected with at least 5σ in two emission lines, and if the positions of the peaks of the corresponding velocity integrated line maps are coincident within the relative astrometric uncertainties of the data. Figures 3–6 present a representative 2 mm continuum image and the spectra of the two strongest emission lines for each of the sources that were detected in two or more lines, and for which reliable redshifts were derived.

The fields in Figs. 3–5 all show sources that lack companions. In two of the fields a second source is detected within the primary beam. In the case of HerBS-43 the second galaxy, which is seen in both the continuum and CO emission lines, is at a different redshift; in the case of HerBS-89 a nearby galaxy is only detected in the 2 mm continuum, and is probably unrelated (see Sect. 3.1). Figure 6 displays the sources HerBS-70 and HerBS-95, which are two binary galaxies with separations of ~ 16 – $17''$. Additional emission lines detected in some of these sources are displayed in Fig. 7. Finally, two sources, HerBS-173 and HerBS-204, were detected in the 3 mm continuum with low signal-to-noise ratio, and in the case of HerBS-204 in a strong emission line (see Fig. 9); neither of these sources was observed at 2 mm.

The coordinates of the 2 mm continuum emission peaks are given in Table 3 together with information on the continuum flux densities of the sources. Table 4 lists all the emission lines that were detected with their line fluxes and widths and the derived spectroscopic redshifts (z_{spec}). In total, taking into account the companions, we provide continuum fluxes for 18 sources (Table 3) and derive spectroscopic redshifts for 14 of them (Table 4).

Table 2. Observation log.

Freq. setting	1 (Apr 18)	1 (Aug 6/Aug 7)	2 (Apr 21/Apr 24)	3 (May 24)	4 (Sep 8/Oct 19-23)	Total
LSB-range	84.385–92.129 GHz	84.385–92.129 GHz	92.129–99.873 GHz	129.680–137.424 GHz	139.293–147.037 GHz	t_{obs} (min)
USB-range	99.873–107.617 GHz	99.873–107.617 GHz	107.617–115.361 GHz	145.168–152.912 GHz	154.781–162.525 GHz	
Configuration	9C	9D	9C	8D	9D (Sep 8), 9C	
	t_{obs}, B_L, B_U (")	t_{obs}, B_L, B_U (")	t_{obs}, B_L, B_U (")	t_{obs}, B_L, B_U (")	t_{obs}, B_L, B_U (")	
HerBS-34	11.7, 2.2×2.1 , 1.8×1.6		6.0, 2.4×2.1 , 2.1×1.8		89.1, 1.4×1.3 , 1.3×1.2	106.8
HerBS-43	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.8×1.6		11.7, 2.1×1.8 , 1.9×1.5	4.4, 3.7×3.0 , 3.3×2.8		27.8
HerBS-44	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.8×1.5			4.3, 3.6×2.8 , 3.2×2.5		16.0
HerBS-54	11.7, 2.3×2.0 , 1.8×1.6			5.1, 4.0×3.2 , 4.0×3.2		16.7
HerBS-58	11.7, 2.2×1.9 , 1.7×1.7		17.7, 2.1×2.0 , 2.0×1.5	5.1, 4.2×3.4 , 3.8×3.0	58.5, 1.5×1.3 , 1.3×1.2	92.9
HerBS-70	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.8×1.6	49.7, 6.9×4.9 , 5.8×4.0		5.1, 3.8×3.3 , 3.4×3.0	89.0, 1.5×1.3 , 1.3×1.2	105.7
HerBS-79	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.8×1.6		18.4, 2.1×1.8 , 1.8×1.5	4.3, 3.6×3.0 , 3.2×2.7		34.4
HerBS-89	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.8×1.6		11.7, 2.4×1.8 , 2.0×1.5	5.1, 3.9×3.4 , 3.5×3.0	59.3, 1.5×1.3 , 1.3×1.2	87.7
HerBS-95	11.7, 2.2×1.9 , 1.8×1.5		17.7, 2.1×1.8 , 1.9×1.5	5.1, 3.9×3.2 , 3.5×2.9	88.5, 1.4×1.3 , 1.3×1.2	122.9
HerBS-113	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.8×1.6		17.7, 2.2×1.8 , 1.9×1.5	5.1, 3.6×3.5 , 3.3×3.2		34.4
HerBS-154	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.7×1.7			5.1, 3.6×3.5 , 3.2×3.1	89.0, 1.5×1.3 , 1.3×1.2	105.7
HerBS-173	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.8×1.6		17.7, 2.2×1.8 , 1.8×1.5			29.3
HerBS-204	11.7, 2.1×1.9 , 1.7×1.6	45.6, 5.2×4.0 , 4.2×3.2	17.7, 2.2×1.8 , 1.9×1.5			75.0

Notes. t_{obs} is the effective on-source integration time for the nine-element NOEMA array; a multiplicative factor of 1.6 should be used to estimate the total telescope time (i.e., including overheads). B_L and B_U are the synthesized beams at the center frequencies of the LSB and USB sidebands using natural weighting. HerBS-70 was observed on Aug 6 and 7, 2019 with the phase reference position placed midway (13:01:39.83 +29:29:20.8, J2000) between HerBS-70E and HerBS-70W. Observations of HerBS-204, made on Aug 6 and 7, 2019, were merged with data obtained on Apr 18, 2018.




Fig. 2. Spectral setup and frequency coverage of the frequency settings in the NOEMA 3 and 2 mm bands for HerBS-89a (see Table 2). The four settings are shown in different colors. The ^{12}CO emission lines detected in HerBS-89a are identified and the solid line is a fit to the underlying dust continuum (see Sect. 3.1 for details on the source).

3.1. Individual sources

In this section we provide a detailed description for each source that was observed in the Pilot Program.

– HerBS-34 is a strong continuum source with a flux density of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 3.75 \pm 0.04$ mJy that is resolved by the $\sim 1''.3$ beam with an estimated size of $0''.7 \pm 0''.1$ (Fig. 3 upper panel). The ^{12}CO (3–2) and double-peaked (5–4) emission lines are strong, with widths of ~ 360 km s $^{-1}$, showing an extended structure with an estimated size that is comparable to that of the continuum emission. The derived redshift is $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.6637$.

– The field of view of HerBS-43 reveals two sources located symmetrically with respect to the phase tracking center that are separated by $\sim 7''.7$ (Fig. 3 middle panel):

– The stronger source (HerBS-43a) is located to the west and has a flux density of $S_{149\text{GHz}} = 2.6 \pm 0.3$ mJy. The ^{12}CO (4–3) and (5–4) are very broad ($FWHM \sim 1070$ km s $^{-1}$) and double-peaked. The derived redshift is $z_{\text{spec}} = 3.2121$. The emission in both the line and continuum is unresolved within the 3 mm $1''.8 \times 1''.6$ beam.

– The second source to the east (HerBS-43b) is weaker, with $S_{149\text{GHz}} = 1.7 \pm 0.3$ mJy, and is also unresolved. The profile of the ^{12}CO (4–3), (5–4), and (6–5) emission lines (the last line is shown in Fig. 7) is distinct from that of HerBS-43a, also double-peaked but slightly narrower (800 km s $^{-1}$). The derived redshift is different from HerBS-43a, with $z_{\text{spec}} = 4.0543$. The galaxies HerBS-43a and b are hence unrelated.

– HerBS-44 displays a well-defined 2 mm continuum with $S_{149\text{GHz}} = 1.7 \pm 0.3$ mJy, and strong broad (~ 520 km s $^{-1}$) emission lines of ^{12}CO (3–2) and (5–4), yielding a redshift of $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.9268$ (Fig. 3 bottom panel). The source is resolved in the ^{12}CO (3–2) emission line with an estimated size of $1''.1 \pm 0''.2$.

– HerBS-54 shows a weak 2 mm continuum with a flux density of $S_{134\text{GHz}} = 1.6 \pm 0.2$ mJy (Fig. 4 top panel). The ^{12}CO (3–2) and (4–3) emission lines are very broad (~ 1020 km s $^{-1}$), and the spectroscopic redshift is $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.4417$. The source is resolved in the ^{12}CO (3–2) line with a size of $1''.4 \pm 0''.3$ and a velocity gradient along a position angle of ~ 150 deg (Fig. 8).

– HerBS-58 shows slightly extended continuum emission with a flux density of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 1.71 \pm 0.05$ mJy. The emission lines of ^{12}CO (3–2) and [C I] ($^3\text{P}_1 - ^3\text{P}_0$) (Fig. 4 middle panel) are clearly detected. The ^{12}CO (4–3) line is also detected, but shows a lower signal-to-noise ratio (see Table 4); its spectral profile is not shown here, as the line is located at the intersection of two correlator basebands. The lines are double-peaked and very broad with widths of ~ 970 km s $^{-1}$. The redshift of HerBS-58 is $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.0842$ (Fig. 4 middle panel). The line emission is resolved with a size of $1''.6$, and shows a hint of a velocity gradient in the east–west direction (Fig. 8). The possibility of a binary system cannot be disregarded for this particular object.

– HerBS-70 is a binary system in which both sources are at the same redshift and have a large separation of $\sim 16''.5$ (Fig. 6 upper panel). The eastern source (HerBS-70E) has a 2 mm continuum flux density of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 0.94 \pm 0.04$ mJy and is resolved with a size of $0.5''$. The source to the west (HerBS-70W) is weaker, with a primary beam corrected flux density of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 0.18 \pm 0.06$ mJy. The source HerBS-70E has strong

Table 3. Observed continuum positions and flux densities.

Source	RA	Dec	S_ν (mJy)							
	(J2000)		158.6 GHz	149.0 GHz	143.2 GHz	133.5 GHz	111.5 GHz	103.7 GHz	96.0 GHz	88.3 GHz
HerBS-34	13:34:13.87	26:04:57.5	3.75 ± 0.04	–	2.61 ± 0.03	–	0.65 ± 0.12	0.79 ± 0.06	0.47 ± 0.09	0.37 ± 0.06
HerBS-43a	13:24:18.79	32:07:54.4	–	2.6 ± 0.3	–	1.6 ± 0.2	0.63 ± 0.08	0.49 ± 0.06	0.29 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.06
HerBS-43b	13:24:19.24	32:07:49.2	–	1.7 ± 0.3	–	1.2 ± 0.2	0.74 ± 0.08	0.29 ± 0.06	0.31 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.06
HerBS-44	13:32:55.85	34:22:08.4	–	1.7 ± 0.3	–	1.5 ± 0.2	–	0.35 ± 0.07	–	0.22 ± 0.06
HerBS-54	13:15:40.72	26:23:19.6	–	1.7 ± 0.3	–	1.6 ± 0.2	–	0.45 ± 0.07	–	0.23 ± 0.06
HerBS-58	13:03:33.17	24:46:42.3	1.71 ± 0.05	1.0 ± 0.3	1.19 ± 0.04	0.7 ± 0.2	0.37 ± 0.07	0.25 ± 0.07	0.22 ± 0.05	0.18 ± 0.06
HerBS-70E	13:01:40.33	29:29:16.2	0.94 ± 0.04	0.9 ± 0.3	0.60 ± 0.06	0.6 ± 0.2	–	0.25 ± 0.07	–	0.22 ± 0.06
HerBS-70W	13:01:39.31	29:29:25.2	0.18 ± 0.06	<0.8	0.16 ± 0.06	<0.7	–	<0.4	–	<0.2
HerBS-79	13:14:34.08	33:52:20.1	–	0.8 ± 0.3	–	<0.7	0.30 ± 0.06	0.22 ± 0.06	0.16 ± 0.04	0.13 ± 0.05
HerBS-89a	13:16:11.52	28:12:17.7	4.56 ± 0.05	3.4 ± 0.3	3.02 ± 0.04	2.2 ± 0.2	1.10 ± 0.08	0.83 ± 0.05	0.56 ± 0.06	0.44 ± 0.06
HerBS-89b	13:16:11.93	28:12:16.7	0.24 ± 0.05	<0.1	–	–	–	–	–	–
HerBS-95E	13:43:42.73	26:39:18.0	1.52 ± 0.04	1.1 ± 0.3	1.07 ± 0.03	0.6 ± 0.2	0.30 ± 0.06	0.16 ± 0.07	0.13 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.06
HerBS-95W	13:43:41.55	26:39:22.7	2.28 ± 0.08	2.1 ± 0.4	1.34 ± 0.06	0.7 ± 0.3	0.27 ± 0.09	0.18 ± 0.08	0.12 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.07
HerBS-113	13:12:11.35	32:38:37.8	–	1.4 ± 0.3	–	0.8 ± 0.2	0.75 ± 0.06	–	0.46 ± 0.04	–
HerBS-154	13:22:58.11	32:50:51.7	1.92 ± 0.04	1.6 ± 0.3	1.39 ± 0.03	0.9 ± 0.2	–	0.25 ± 0.06	–	0.13 ± 0.06
HerBS-173	13:18:04.15	32:50:15.9	–	–	–	–	0.19 ± 0.16	0.29 ± 0.12	0.22 ± 0.10	0.33 ± 0.12
HerBS-204E	13:29:09.74	30:09:57.5	–	–	–	–	<0.1	<0.1	0.10 ± 0.03	<0.1
HerBS-204W	13:29:09.21	30:09:58.7	–	–	–	–	<0.1	<0.1	0.13 ± 0.03	<0.1

Notes. Positions are derived from the 2 mm continuum peaks, with the exception of HerBS-173 and HerBS-204, whose positions are derived from the stacked 3 mm continuum peaks (see Sect. 3.1 for further details). The width of each of the sidebands is 7.744 GHz, and their frequency ranges are provided in Table 2. The considerably longer integration times in Frequency Setting 4 resulted in better sensitivities in the corresponding 2 mm sidebands. See Sect. 3.1 for the continuum flux densities of the sources HerBS-204 and HerBS-173. For HerBS-70W and HerBS-95W, the flux densities and upper limits were corrected for primary beam attenuation.

Table 4. Summary of emission line properties and spectroscopic redshifts.

Source	z_{spec}	ΔV (km s ^{−1})	Line flux (Jy km s ^{−1})					
			¹² CO (3–2)	¹² CO (4–3)	¹² CO (5–4)	¹² CO (6–5)	[CI] (³ P ₁ – ³ P ₀)	H ₂ O (2 ₁₁ –2 ₀₂)
HerBS-34	2.6637 (2)	330 ± 10	2.8 ± 0.4	–	8.4 ± 0.8	–	–	–
HerBS-43a	3.2121 (1)	1070 ± 90	–	5.5 ± 0.8	6.7 ± 0.8	–	–	–
HerBS-43b	4.0543 (7)	800 ± 50	–	1.7 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.3	4.8 ± 0.9	<0.7	<2.9
HerBS-44	2.9268 (2)	520 ± 50	4.9 ± 0.5	–	12.5 ± 1.2	–	–	–
HerBS-54	2.4417 (3)	1020 ± 190	4.3 ± 0.4	8.5 ± 0.8	–	–	–	–
HerBS-58	2.0842 (1)	970 ± 50	5.3 ± 1.0	5.2 ± 1.5	–	–	4.7 ± 0.5	–
HerBS-70E	2.3077 (4)	770 ± 50	1.8 ± 0.5	3.4 ± 0.3	–	–	3.5 ± 0.7	–
HerBS-70W	2.3115 (1)	140 ± 20	1.7 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.3	–	–	<2.9	–
HerBS-79	2.0782 (8)	870 ± 70	4.1 ± 0.8	5.5 ± 0.5	–	–	–	–
HerBS-89a	2.9497 (1)	1080 ± 60	4.0 ± 0.6	–	8.4 ± 0.8	–	–	–
HerBS-95E	2.9718 (3)	870 ± 50	1.0 ± 0.1	–	3.6 ± 0.3	–	–	–
HerBS-95W	2.9729 (2)	540 ± 30	2.4 ± 0.4	–	3.5 ± 0.3	–	–	–
HerBS-113	2.7870 (8)	900 ± 200	6.1 ± 1.2	–	13.5 ± 1.4	–	<2.7	–
HerBS-154	3.7070 (5)	310 ± 40	–	–	–	7.6 ± 0.7	1.3 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.3
HerBS-204	–	400 ± 80	–	–	–	–	–	–

Notes. The uncertainties in the spectroscopic redshifts, z_{spec} , given in brackets, correspond to the last decimal derived from Gaussian fits to the line profiles. ΔV values are the mean linewidths (FWHM) weighted by the peak intensities of the detected CO transitions. The linewidths of double-peaked profiles were estimated as $\Delta V = \Delta w + \Delta s$ by fitting two Gaussians of identical width Δw and separation Δs . Linewidths and their uncertainties are rounded to the closest multiples of 10 km s^{−1}. For the sources that remain undetected in the [CI] (³P₁–³P₀) and H₂O (2₁₁–2₀₂) transitions, we provide upper limits to the line fluxes. The upper limits are based on $3\sigma_v \sqrt{\Delta V \Delta v}$, where Δv and σ_v are the width in velocity and rms noise of a spectral channel, respectively. In the case of HerBS-70W and HerBS-95W, the line fluxes and the upper limits were corrected for the primary beam attenuation. See Sect. 3.1 for a discussion of the possible identification of the emission line detected in HerBS-204.

double-peaked asymmetrical emission lines of ¹²CO (3–2) and (4–3) with widths of ~ 770 km s^{−1}. In contrast, HerBS-70W displays significantly narrower (~ 140 km s^{−1}) single-peaked emission lines, suggesting a face-on inclination. Both sources are at the same redshift with $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.31$, implying a projected distance of ~ 140 kpc between HerBS-70E and HerBS-70W.

– HerBS-79 shows a weak 2 mm continuum that is barely detected at the sensitivity of the current observations ($S_{149 \text{ GHz}} =$

0.8 ± 0.3 mJy). The very broad (~ 870 km s^{−1}) emission lines of ¹²CO (3–2) and (4–3) display similar double-peaked profiles, with the red component being about three times more intense than the blue one (Fig. 4 bottom panel). The derived redshift is $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.0782$. The source is resolved in the ¹²CO (3–2) emission line with an estimated size of $1''.1 \pm 0''.2$.

– HerBS-89 is a system composed of two objects, of which HerBS-89a is the strongest 2 mm continuum source in the




Fig. 3. Continuum images at 2 mm and spectra from the 2 mm (top) and 3 mm (bottom) bands for the *Herschel* bright galaxies HerBS-34, HerBS-43, and HerBS-44. The source name, the continuum frequency, and the derived spectroscopic redshift are indicated along the top of each panel. The emission lines are identified in the upper left corner of each spectrum. The spectra are displayed with the continuum and each emission line is centered at its rest frequency. Fits to the continuum and the emission line profiles are shown as dotted and solid red lines, respectively. Continuum contours are plotted starting at 3σ in steps of 5σ and 1σ for HerBS-34 [42] and HerBS-43 [284], respectively, and 2σ in steps of 1σ for HerBS-44 [283], where the numbers in brackets are the 1σ noise levels for each source in $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. In the case of HerBS-43, the panels showing the emission lines on the left correspond to the source HerBS-43b, whereas the panels to the right show the spectra of HerBS-43a. The synthesized beam is shown in the lower left corner of each continuum image.

sample, with a flux density of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 4.56 \pm 0.05$ mJy (Fig. 5 top panel). The 2 mm continuum emission is resolved by the $1''.3 \times 1''.2$ beam, with an extension of $0''.9 \pm 0''.1$. The ^{12}CO (3–2) and (5–4) emission lines are also the broadest in the sample (~ 1080 km s $^{-1}$), displaying a double-peaked profile. The redshift of HerBS-89a is $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.9497$. The CO line emission is also extended and displays an east–west velocity gradient (Fig. 8). Follow-up observations with NOEMA at higher frequency, with an angular resolution of $0''.3$, reveal a nearly complete Einstein ring in the 1 mm dust continuum and the ^{12}CO (9–8) and para- H_2O ($2_{02}-1_{11}$) line emission, showing that HerBS-89a is gravitationally lensed (Berta et al., in prep.). To the east of HerBS-89a is a weak unresolved source (HerBS-89b) with a flux density of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 0.24 \pm 0.05$ mJy. Although there is no corresponding source in the SDSS catalogue at that position, its authenticity is confirmed by the higher frequency measurements (Berta et al., in prep.). Further observations are needed to constrain the properties of HerBS-89b.

– HerBS-95 is another binary system in which both sources are at the same redshift with a separation of $\sim 16''.4$ (Fig. 6 lower panel). The eastern source (HerBS-95E) exhibits a continuum flux density at 2 mm of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 1.52 \pm 0.04$ mJy and a size of $0''.5$, whereas the western source (HerBS-95W) has a primary beam corrected flux density of $S_{159\text{GHz}} = 2.28 \pm 0.08$ mJy. Both sources show strong emission lines of ^{12}CO (3–2) and (5–4), with linewidths of 870 and 540 km s $^{-1}$ for HerBS-95E and W, respectively. The lines are at nearly the same frequencies, indicating that both galaxies are at a redshift of $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.97$. At this redshift the projected distance between the two galaxies is ~ 140 kpc.

– HerBS-113 has a weak 2 mm continuum with a flux density of $S_{149\text{GHz}} = 1.4 \pm 0.3$ mJy (Fig. 5 middle panel). The ^{12}CO (3–2) and (5–4) emission lines are well detected, displaying broad profiles with widths of ~ 900 km s $^{-1}$. Both emission lines are resolved with an elongation along a position angle of 20° over a region of $\sim 1''.2$ (Fig. 8). The derived redshift is $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.7870$.




Fig. 4. Continuum images at 2 mm (right) and spectra from the 2 mm (top) and 3 mm (bottom) bands of the *Herschel* bright galaxies HerBS-54, HerBS-58, and HerBS-79. Continuum contours are plotted starting at 2σ in steps of 1σ for HerBS-54 [232], 3σ in steps of 2σ for HerBS-58 [52], and 2σ in steps of 1σ for HerBS-79 [297], where the numbers in brackets are the local noise levels σ for each source in $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. See caption of Fig. 3 for further details.

– HerBS-154 is a compact source with a size of $1''.2$ in continuum and line emission (Fig. 5 bottom panel). The source is robustly detected in the continuum with $S_{149\text{GHz}} = 1.92 \pm 0.04$ mJy, and in the lines of $^{12}\text{CO}(6-5)$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}(2_{11}-2_{02})$, and in $[\text{Cl}](^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0)$ (shown in Fig. 7), although with lower signal-to-noise ratio. The spectral profiles, which are single-peaked with linewidths of ~ 300 km s $^{-1}$, yield a redshift of $z_{\text{spec}} = 3.7070$.

– The sources HerBS-173 and HerBS-204 have the weakest 500 μm flux densities in the Pilot Program sample (Table 3).

– HerBS-173 was tentatively detected in the individual 3 mm sidebands. Stacking these sidebands results in a 3 mm flux density of $S_{100\text{GHz}} = 0.22 \pm 0.03$ mJy. However, no emission line was detected at 3 mm and no 2 mm observations were performed.

Hereafter, we adopt the photometric redshift $z_{\text{phot}} = 2.38$ (see Table 1).

– In the case of HerBS-204, stacking the line-free part of the 3 mm spectra observed on Aug 6 and 7, 2019, in both sidebands (LSB and USB), reveals a complex source with two continuum emission peaks (HerBS-204E and HerBS-204W) separated by $\sim 7''$ (Fig. 9) and with fluxes of $S_{96\text{GHz}} = 0.10 \pm 0.03$ mJy and $S_{96\text{GHz}} = 0.13 \pm 0.03$ mJy, respectively. In addition, a strong emission line is detected at 102.584 GHz with a linewidth of ~ 400 km s $^{-1}$ and an integrated line flux of 3.9 Jy km s $^{-1}$ (Table 4). Like the continuum emission, the line emission is extended with two emission peaks separated by $6''.8$ along a position angle of ~ 18 deg. Both the continuum and line emission peaks show




Fig. 5. Continuum images at 2 mm (right) and spectra from the 2 mm (top) and 3 mm (bottom) bands of the *Herschel* bright galaxies HerBS-89, HerBS-113, and HerBS-154. In the case of HerBS-154, one of the emission lines shown is $\text{H}_2\text{O}(2_{11}-2_{02})$. Continuum contours are plotted starting at 3σ in steps of 5σ for HerBS-89 [49], and 2σ in steps of 1σ and 2σ for HerBS-113 [308] and HerBS-154 [82], respectively, where the numbers in brackets are the local noise levels σ for each source in $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. See caption of Fig. 3 for further details.

excellent spatial coincidence. Based on the photometric redshift of $z_{\text{phot}} = 3.61$, this emission line could correspond either to the $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$ transition, in which case the source would be at a spectroscopic redshift of $z_{\text{spec}} = 3.49$, or to the $^{12}\text{CO}(3-2)$ transition, in which case $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.37$. The higher value ($z_{\text{spec}} = 3.49$) would imply a dust temperature of 40 K, which is at the high end of the dust temperatures found for all the other sources of the Pilot Program. This suggests the value of $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.37$, for which the estimated dust temperature is 29 K, is the more likely redshift (see Table 5 and footnote). However, further observations are needed to detect a second CO transition and derive a reliable spectroscopic redshift for this source. Based on the photometric redshift and the potential range in the spectroscopic redshift, the projected

separation between the two emission peaks corresponds to a linear distance of ~ 60 kpc, suggesting that HerBS-204 is a merging system or a gravitationally lensed galaxy rather than an edge-on disk (cf. Emonts et al. 2018).

3.2. Spectroscopic redshifts and emission line properties

For all of the above sources (except HerBS-204 and HerBS-173), we detect at least two emission lines, mostly from ^{12}CO ranging from the (3–2) to the (6–5) transition (Table 4). The CO emission lines are all relatively strong, resulting in signal-to-noise ratios > 5 , providing therefore the necessary quality to derive precise and reliable redshifts, as well as significant




Fig. 6. Continuum images at 2 mm (*center*) and spectra (*left and right panels*) from the 3 and 2 mm bands for the *Herschel* bright galaxies with a second source in the field at the same redshift: HerBS-70 at $z = 2.31$ (*top panel*) and HerBS-95 at $z = 2.97$ (*bottom panel*) (see text for details). The primary beam at 50% is shown with a dashed circle. The panels showing the emission lines on the left correspond to the (a) sources near the phase centers, whereas the panels to the right show the spectra of the (b) companion sources to the west. Continuum contours are plotted starting at 3σ in steps of 5σ for both HerBS-70 [40] and HerBS-95 [43], where the numbers in brackets are the local noise levels σ for each source in $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. The spectra are primary beam corrected (see caption of Fig. 3 for further details).




Fig. 7. Other emission lines detected in the sources displayed in Figs. 3–6. *From top to bottom*: HerBS-43b in $^{12}\text{CO}(6-5)$; HerBS-58 in $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$; HerBS-70E and HerBS-154 in $[\text{CII}](^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0)$. The fitted profiles are centered in velocity on the spectroscopic redshifts listed in Table 4.

information about properties of the molecular gas such as morphology, dynamics, and physical conditions. In addition to the CO emission lines, the atomic carbon fine-structure line [CII] ($^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0$) is detected in three sources, HerBS-58 (Fig. 4), and HerBS-70E and HerBS-154 (Fig. 7). For HerBS-154 we detected the para- $\text{H}_2\text{O}(2_{11}-2_{02})$ transition (see Fig. 5).

It is noteworthy that for the majority of the bright *Herschel* galaxies observed in the Pilot Program, the widths of the emission lines are large. The derived linewidths are found to be $150 \text{ km s}^{-1} < \Delta v < 1100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with most (53%) of the sources having linewidths in excess of 800 km s^{-1} (see Table 4 and Fig. 10 showing the distribution of the CO emission linewidths, and further discussion in Sect. 4.4).

3.3. Continuum

In addition to the emission lines, the continuum flux densities of the sources have been extracted from up to eight available polarization-averaged 7.744 GHz wide sidebands, centered, depending on receiver configuration, on the following frequencies: 88.3, 96.0, 103.7, 111.5, 133.5, 143.2, 149.0, and 158.6 GHz (see Tables 2 and 3). All the sources in the Pilot Program detected in at least two emission lines are also detected in the continuum in at least four sidebands, with three in all eight sidebands (one of which, HerBS-95, being double). The NOEMA continuum flux densities together with the *Herschel* and SCUBA-2 data are displayed in Fig. 12 for HerBS-58 and HerBS-89a. The NOEMA continuum measurements are summarized in Table 3, where the quoted flux density uncertainties account for both the noise level in the maps and the uncertainty in the absolute flux calibration scale (see Sect. 3). In Table 3, upper limits are given for sources where the continuum is detected with a signal-to-noise ratio < 4 .




Fig. 8. Velocity field maps of HerBS-54, HerBS-58, HerBS-89a, and HerBS-113. The maps were obtained for the emission lines of $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$, $[\text{Cl}](^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_2)$, and $^{12}\text{CO}(5-4)$ above thresholds of 30%, 20%, 10%, and 20% of the peak emission in the zeroth moment map for HerBS-54, HerBS-58, HerBS-89a, and HerBS-113, respectively. Contours are in units of 50 km s^{-1} for HerBS-54 and HerBS-113, and of 100 km s^{-1} for HerBS-58 and HerBS-89a. The synthesized beams are shown in the lower left corner of each panel.




Fig. 9. *Top panel:* integrated spectrum (left) and continuum image (right) for HerBS-204. The continuum contours start at 2σ and are spaced in steps of $1\sigma = 31 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. Fits to the continuum and the integrated emission line profile are shown as dotted and solid red lines, respectively. *Bottom panel:* velocity integrated image of the emission line at 102.584 GHz (left) and velocity field map of HerBS-204. The velocity map was obtained for the emission line above a threshold of 20% of the peak emission in the velocity integrated image. Line contours start at 2σ and are shown in steps of $1\sigma = 0.15 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$; velocity contours are in units of 50 km s^{-1} . The synthesized beams are shown in the lower left corners.

4. Discussion

In this section we describe the derived properties of the high- z bright *Herschel* galaxies studied in the Pilot Program.

4.1. Spectroscopic redshifts

The availability of at least two emission lines in the 3 and 2 mm spectral bands allowed us to derive precise redshifts for 85% of the bright high- z *Herschel* galaxies studied here. The

Pilot Program has demonstrated that using the new correlator on NOEMA, unbiased redshift surveys can be performed efficiently using on average 100 minutes of telescope time per source, including overheads. The derived spectroscopic redshifts, z_{spec} , for the 14 galaxies (including the binary sources) in which two or more emission lines are detected are listed in Table 4. The redshift distribution of the Pilot Program sample is displayed in Fig. 11. The redshifts are found to lie between $2.08 < z_{\text{spec}} < 4.05$, with a median redshift of $z = 2.86 \pm 0.56$ and a tail in the

Table 5. Infrared luminosities, dust masses, dust temperatures, and spectral emissivity indices.

Source	$\mu_L L(\text{MBB}) (10^{12} L_\odot)$ 50–1000 μm	$\mu_L L(\text{DL07}) (10^{12} L_\odot)$ 8–1000 μm	$\mu_L M_{\text{dust}}(\text{MBB})$ ($10^{10} M_\odot$)	$T_{\text{dust}}(\text{MBB})$ (K)	$\mu_L M_{\text{dust}}(\text{DL07})$ ($10^{10} M_\odot$)	β
HerBS-34	24.8 ± 0.6	40.6 ± 2.4	1.00 ± 0.07	33.0 ± 1.2	1.16 ± 0.07	1.87 ± 0.08
HerBS-43a	19.8 ± 0.8	33.4 ± 4.1	0.53 ± 0.05	34.6 ± 1.7	0.69 ± 0.04	1.91 ± 0.11
HerBS-43b	10.0 ± 1.3	15.0 ± 2.0	0.58 ± 0.12	29.1 ± 5.1	0.45 ± 0.04	2.21 ± 0.39
HerBS-44	33.1 ± 0.7	108.1 ± 7.8	0.49 ± 0.05	34.8 ± 1.4	0.70 ± 0.04	2.26 ± 0.11
HerBS-54	14.9 ± 0.6	23.6 ± 1.6	1.33 ± 0.16	26.7 ± 1.6	1.25 ± 0.12	2.15 ± 0.16
HerBS-58	10.4 ± 0.4	17.7 ± 1.3	0.98 ± 0.09	24.1 ± 1.3	0.83 ± 0.06	2.36 ± 0.15
HerBS-70E	12.6 ± 0.6	40.5 ± 5.2	0.42 ± 0.04	26.3 ± 1.5	0.37 ± 0.02	2.62 ± 0.14
HerBS-70W	3.0 ± 0.5	8.7 ± 4.2	0.10 ± 0.04	31.5 ± 9.9	0.09 ± 0.02	2.43 ± 0.53
HerBS-79	10.5 ± 0.4	18.1 ± 1.2	0.81 ± 0.14	24.0 ± 1.5	0.83 ± 0.18	2.52 ± 0.19
HerBS-89a	19.3 ± 0.8	29.0 ± 1.5	1.40 ± 0.09	27.6 ± 1.4	1.35 ± 0.06	2.08 ± 0.11
HerBS-95E	7.8 ± 0.7	11.4 ± 1.9	0.53 ± 0.07	27.2 ± 3.6	0.50 ± 0.05	2.22 ± 0.33
HerBS-95W	10.9 ± 0.8	16.1 ± 1.8	1.00 ± 0.09	24.2 ± 2.1	0.77 ± 0.04	2.46 ± 0.24
HerBS-113	18.9 ± 0.8	29.6 ± 2.8	0.57 ± 0.07	31.8 ± 1.9	0.72 ± 0.06	2.11 ± 0.15
HerBS-154	25.7 ± 1.1	81.3 ± 7.3	0.38 ± 0.03	38.2 ± 2.3	0.46 ± 0.03	2.10 ± 0.14
HerBS-173	10.9 ± 0.5	18.5 ± 1.4	0.62 ± 0.11	25.8 ± 1.5	0.51 ± 0.04	2.44 ± 0.15
HerBS-204	9.9 ± 0.4	16.4 ± 1.0	0.85 ± 0.15	21.6 ± 0.9	0.45 ± 0.04	2.96 ± 0.09

Notes. The infrared luminosities and dust masses are not corrected for amplification (μ_L is the magnification factor). Regarding the sources that are double, appropriate corrections were applied to estimate the flux densities of each source at 250, 350, and 500 μm (see text for details). For the sources HerBS-204 and HerBS-173, we used the stacked continuum data (see Sect. 3.1). In the case of HerBS-204, we adopt a redshift $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.37$, as the higher value ($z_{\text{spec}} = 3.49$) yields a dust temperature of 40 K, which is slightly higher than the values derived for the other sources in this sample (see Sect. 3.1). The MBB luminosities, dust masses, and temperatures include the effects of the CMB (see Sect. 4.2). The quoted errors on the SED-fitting derived quantities are 1σ .

distribution to $z > 3$. In Fig. 11, we added the redshifts of the 12 H-ATLAS galaxies that were studied by Harris et al. (2012); these sources, which are peaking at 350 μm , like the ones studied here, show a similar distribution to the Pilot Program sample, albeit with a slightly lower median redshift of $z = 2.47 \pm 0.11$.

Considering the galaxies with redshifts detected by the SPT (Strandet et al. 2016; Weiß et al. 2013), we find that the redshift distributions of the H-ATLAS and SPT-selected galaxies are clearly different (Fig. 11). The SPT galaxies show a flat distribution between $z = 2.5$ and $z = 5.0$, the major fraction of the sample being at $z > 3$ with a median redshift of $z = 3.9 \pm 0.4$. The SPT galaxies were selected from a survey performed at a longer wavelength than H-ATLAS; even though the spectroscopic redshift survey of the H-ATLAS galaxies is still not complete, the difference in redshifts between the SPT and H-ATLAS selected galaxies is significant, and is consistent with expectations for the selected wavelengths of the surveys (see, e.g., Strandet et al. 2016; Béthermin et al. 2015, and references therein). The systematic study of the galaxies selected from the *Herschel* and SPT surveys thus offers the opportunity to gather critical complementary information on galaxy populations at different epochs of cosmic evolution, with *Herschel*-selected sources probing the peak of star formation activity around $2 < z < 3$, while the SPT-selected galaxies provide crucial information on star formation at earlier epochs.

4.2. Comparison to photometric redshifts

The spectroscopically derived redshifts significantly differ in many cases from the estimates based on the available photometric data. Deriving redshifts using submillimeter spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of galaxies with known redshifts and dust temperatures as templates indeed is uncertain (e.g., Jin et al. 2019). This is particularly true when using SPIRE data alone because the 250, 350, and 500 μm bands are close to the peak

of the observed SED for $2 < z < 4$ galaxies. Bakx et al. (2018) built an SED template based on the SPIRE and SCUBA-2 data for a sample of bright H-ATLAS galaxies with available measurements of z_{spec} and a two-temperature modified blackbody (MBB) model. This template was then used to derive z_{phot} values for the entire H-ATLAS sample of the *Herschel*-bright galaxies (see revised values in Bakx et al., in prep.). The z_{phot} values derived for the sources of this Pilot Program are listed in Table 1.

Not counting HerBS-43, the values for z_{phot} are on average consistent within 20% of the z_{spec} value, and for two sources, HerBS-95 and HerBS-113, in agreement within 10%. The poor accuracy and reliability of redshifts derived from (sub)millimeter continuum photometry is due to the degeneracy between temperature, β , and redshift, and to the absence of well-defined features in the SEDs. The derived values of the redshifts based on continuum measurements alone is therefore indicative and, in any case, never precise enough to follow up efficiently with targeted observations of molecular or atomic gas.

4.3. Spectral energy distribution: infrared luminosity and dust properties

Combining the photometric data from SPIRE (Eales et al. 2010) and SCUBA-2 (Bakx et al. 2018, as revised in Bakx et al., in prep.) with the NOEMA continuum measurements, we assembled the SEDs of all the sources observed in the Pilot Program (see below for the cases where the sources are double). Although PACS data from the H-ATLAS survey are also available, their usefulness is limited as many of the detections are tentative with signal-to-noise ratio < 3 . We have therefore plotted the PACS flux densities, when available, on the SEDs, without including them in the SED analysis (see Fig. 12). The resulting SEDs cover the observed wavelength range from 250 μm to ~ 3 mm, and include sources with a minimum of 7 data points and sources with a maximum of 12.

Table 6. Physical properties of the galaxies.

Source	$\mu_L L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ ($10^{10} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$)	$\mu_L M_{\text{H}_2}$ ($10^{10} M_\odot$)	$\mu_L L_{\text{FIR}}$ ($10^{12} L_\odot$)	$\mu_L M_{\text{dust}}$ ($10^{10} M_\odot$)
HerBS-34	20.3 ± 2.9	16.2 ± 2.3	24.8 ± 0.6	1.00 ± 0.07
HerBS-43a	38.7 ± 5.6	30.9 ± 4.4	19.8 ± 0.8	0.53 ± 0.05
HerBS-43b	17.4 ± 3.0	13.9 ± 2.4	10.0 ± 1.3	0.58 ± 0.12
HerBS-44	41.6 ± 4.2	33.3 ± 3.3	33.1 ± 0.7	0.49 ± 0.05
HerBS-54	26.9 ± 2.5	21.5 ± 2.0	14.9 ± 0.6	1.33 ± 0.16
HerBS-58	25.2 ± 4.7	20.1 ± 3.7	10.4 ± 0.4	0.98 ± 0.09
HerBS-70E	10.2 ± 2.8	8.2 ± 2.3	12.6 ± 0.6	0.42 ± 0.04
HerBS-70W	9.7 ± 1.7	7.7 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 0.5	0.10 ± 0.04
HerBS-79	19.4 ± 3.8	15.5 ± 3.0	10.5 ± 0.4	0.81 ± 0.14
HerBS-89a	34.4 ± 5.2	27.5 ± 4.1	19.3 ± 0.8	1.40 ± 0.09
HerBS-95E	18.3 ± 1.5	14.7 ± 1.2	7.8 ± 0.7	0.53 ± 0.07
HerBS-95W	20.9 ± 3.4	16.7 ± 2.7	10.9 ± 0.8	1.00 ± 0.09
HerBS-113	17.2 ± 3.1	13.7 ± 2.5	18.9 ± 0.8	0.57 ± 0.07
HerBS-154	58.5 ± 5.4	46.8 ± 4.3	25.7 ± 1.1	0.38 ± 0.03

Notes. None of the properties in this table has been corrected for gravitational magnification (μ_L is the magnification factor). The table assumes no differential lensing between the CO and dust emission. The infrared luminosities and dust masses are those derived using the MBB approach (see Table 5). The gas masses are estimated using Eq. (2); see Sect. 4.5 and the footnote of Table 5 for details.

In order to derive the infrared luminosities, dust masses, and temperatures of the sources, we modeled their SEDs using two different approaches: (i) a single-temperature MBB, following Berta et al. (2016), and (ii) the Draine & Li (2007, hereafter DL07) dust models.

In the first case, the far-infrared SED of a galaxy is modeled as the emergent luminosity from a given dust mass M_{dust} :

$$L_\nu \sim M_{\text{dust}} \kappa_\nu B_\nu(T_{\text{dust}}), \quad (1)$$

where $B_\nu(T_{\text{dust}})$ is the Planck function, T_{dust} the dust temperature, and $\kappa_\nu = \kappa_0 (\nu/\nu_0)^\beta$ the mass absorption coefficient of dust at rest frequency ν . For κ_ν , we adopt the values from Draine (2003), as revised from Li & Draine (2001). Ideally, the chosen reference (rest-frame) frequency ν_0 should be covered by the observed data. We refer to Berta et al. (2016) and Bianchi (2013) for a thorough discussion about the proper use of κ_ν and assumptions on β .

For the MBB fit, we limit the observed data to a rest-frame wavelength $\lambda_{\text{rest}} > 50 \mu\text{m}$ in order to avoid biases towards warmer temperatures. From the MBB modeling, we determine the dust temperature, dust mass, and spectral emissivity index β for each source under the assumption that the dust emission is optically thin. The effects of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) discussed in da Cunha et al. (2013) were taken into account in the derivation of the galaxies' intrinsic dust properties (see also Jin et al. 2019).

In the DL07 case, interstellar dust is described as a mixture of carbonaceous and amorphous silicate grains, whose size distributions are chosen to mimic different observed extinction laws. The result of the DL07 fit is an estimate of the dust mass and infrared luminosity; see Draine & Li (2001, 2007), Li & Draine (2001), and Berta et al. (2016) for a detailed description of the model and its implementation.

For both models, best-fit solutions are found in two ways: through χ^2 minimization and through 1000 Monte Carlo (MC) realizations for each source. Uncertainties are computed based on $\Delta\chi^2$ or as the dispersion of all MC realizations, respectively. The two approaches lead to comparable results.




Fig. 10. Distribution of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) for the bright *Herschel* galaxies detected in ^{12}CO described in this paper (solid red histogram) compared to the FWHM of high- z galaxies reported in Bothwell et al. (2013) (cyan right-hatched) and Harris et al. (2012) (blue left-hatched).




Fig. 11. Spectroscopic redshift distribution for the 12 bright *Herschel* H-ATLAS galaxies of the Pilot Program sample detected in at least two emission lines (red filled histogram, see Table 4). Shown are also the 12 H-ATLAS galaxies with reliable redshifts from Harris et al. (2012) added to the Pilot Program sample (blue left-hatched histogram) and the redshift distribution of the 38 SPT-selected galaxies from Strandet et al. (2016) (green right-hatched histogram).

Figure 12 shows two examples of SED fits, and Table 5 summarizes our findings, comparing the results of the MBB and DL07 models. For each source, the SED fits and the derived properties are based on the available SPIRE, SCUBA-2, and NOEMA flux densities.

For the fields where two sources were detected within the NOEMA primary beam in the continuum and in at least two emission lines (namely HerBS-43, HerBS-70, and HerBS-95), the *Herschel* (even at $250 \mu\text{m}$) and SCUBA-2 data do not provide enough information to separate the contributions of each component. To disentangle the flux densities, we therefore adopted the following methods. First, for the binary sources (HerBS-70 and HerBS-95) where the two components have the same redshift, we split the *Herschel* and SCUBA-2 flux densities using the average flux density ratio of the highest-frequency continuum measurements in the NOEMA data (see Table 3). For HerBS-70, using the average ratio of the flux densities at 158.6 and 149.0 GHz shows that HerBS-70E dominates with a contribution of 82% to the total flux density; for HerBS-95, the average ratio of the flux densities of the two components at 158.6, 149.0,




Fig. 12. Observed SED of two of the Pilot Program sources, HerBS-58 ($z = 2.084$) and HerBS-89a ($z = 2.950$). The data include SPIRE (black dots, from Bakx et al. 2018), the revised SCUBA-2 photometry (blue dot, see Bakx et al., in prep.), and the 3 and 2 mm continuum flux densities (red dots) extracted from the NOEMA data (Table 3). In the case of HerBS-58, the PACS data point, which is available, is shown as an open square, although it was not used to fit the SED. The figure also shows the best-fitting MBB model including (red dashed) and not including (red dotted) the effect from the CMB on the dust continuum, and the best fit to the DL07 model (blue dashed); see text for details.

143.2, and 133.5 GHz indicates that HerBS-95E contributes 41% to the *Herschel* and SCUBA-2 flux densities. In the case of HerBS-43, which consists of two objects at redshift $z = 3.212$ (HerBS-43a) and $z = 4.054$ (HerBS-43b), we assumed a similar MBB spectrum for the two components, with a dust temperature equal to the average temperature of the whole Pilot Program sample from the MBB fits ($T_{\text{dust}} = 30$ K; see Table 5) and a dust emissivity index equal to that of the tabulated κ_{ν} ($\beta = 2.08$; see Li & Draine 2001; Draine & Li 2007; Berta et al. 2016). Taking the two redshift values into account, we thus derive relative contributions of HerBS43a to the SPIRE flux densities of 85%, 78%, and 70% at 250, 350, and 500 μm , respectively.

The comparison between the values of M_{dust} derived from the two SED models (see Table 5) illustrates the relative uncertainties on the dust mass and the models' main parameters that can be achieved with the current data. Thanks to the wide wavelength coverage, from ~ 50 to $\sim 1000 \mu\text{m}$ in the rest frame, M_{dust} can be constrained for the majority of the sources to a 20–30% uncertainty (3σ), using both the MBB and DL07 models. The T_{dust} and β are in general estimated to better than 10%, and their averages are determined to $T_{\text{dust}} = 29 \pm 5$ K and $\beta = 2.3 \pm 0.3$. It is worth noting that the Pilot Program sample is statistically too small and the dust temperatures measured too low ($T_{\text{dust}} < 60$ K) to lift the degeneracy with the spectral emissivity index β . The difference between the two model estimates is a known effect (e.g., Berta et al. 2016), which is mainly due to the fact that the MBB model is a simplification of reality and that the DL07 approach includes more dust components. Here we adopt the MBB results for our subsequent analysis of the sources' properties.

4.4. Widths and profiles of the CO emission lines

As noted previously, the distribution of the widths of the CO emission lines of the bright *Herschel* sources described in this work is remarkable for the number of sources displaying broad lines. The distribution of CO linewidths is shown in Fig. 10, where it is compared to the high- z SMG samples studied by Bothwell et al. (2013) and Harris et al. (2012). The

mean value for the CO FWHM of the Pilot Program sample is $700 \pm 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with a median of 800 km s^{-1} , compared to $510 \pm 80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the unlensed SMGs from Bothwell et al. (2013), and $525 \pm 80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the lensed *Herschel*-selected galaxies from Harris et al. (2012).

The line profiles of the Pilot Program sources are also remarkable, as 8 out of 13 sources display asymmetrical or double-peaked profiles with separations between the peaks of up to $\sim 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, indicating either the presence of kinematically distinct components suggestive of merger systems, or rotating disc-like components. Higher angular resolution observations are needed to further explore the nature of these sources.

4.5. CO luminosities and the $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ vs ΔV relationship

The CO line emission traces the kinematics of the potential well in which a galaxy's molecular gas lies, and can therefore provide a measure of the dynamical mass of the galaxy, modulo any inclination or dispersion effects. From the integrated ^{12}CO line intensity, it is possible to derive the ^{12}CO luminosity of the source, $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$, which is related to the mass of the gas reservoir, M_{H_2} , through

$$M_{\text{H}_2} = \alpha L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}, \quad (2)$$

where α is a conversion factor in units of $M_{\odot} (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2)^{-1}$. In this paper we adopt a value of $\alpha = 0.8$ suggested by measurements for SMGs and quasar hosts (e.g., Carilli & Walter 2013). We compute the CO luminosities of the sources (in $\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$) using the standard relation given by Solomon & Vanden Bout (2005),

$$L'_{\text{CO}} = 3.25 \times 10^7 S_{\text{CO}} \Delta V \nu_{\text{CO}}^{-2} D_L^2 (1+z)^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where $S_{\text{CO}} \Delta V$ is the velocity-integrated CO line flux in Jy km s^{-1} , ν_{CO} the rest frequency of the CO emission line in GHz, and D_L the luminosity distance in Mpc in our adopted cosmology. All the CO luminosities reported in this paper are in $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$; for the sources of the Pilot Program, we used the lowest available $J \rightarrow (J-1)$ transition and corrected for excitation




Fig. 13. CO luminosity $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ plotted against the linewidth (ΔV) of the CO emission line for the sources of the Pilot Program reported in this paper (large red squares), compared to high- z lensed and unlensed galaxies, as well as local ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) from the literature with corresponding symbols as indicated in the figure. No correction for amplification was applied to the CO luminosities. The sources of the Pilot Program are identified by the number of the HerBS catalogue (Table 1 and Bakx et al. 2018). Individual galaxies are also identified, namely two binary hyper-luminous galaxies (1) HATLAS J084933 at $z = 2.41$ (Ivison et al. 2013), where the two main components, W and T, are separately labeled; (2) HXMM01 (H-A and H-B) at $z = 2.308$ (Fu et al. 2013); other well-known sources, both lensed (IRAS F10214, Eyelash, Cloverleaf, APM08279 and the Cosmic Eyebrow) and unlensed (BR1202N and S, and BR1335) from Carilli & Walter (2013, and references therein) and Dannerbauer et al. (2019). We note that the sources of Harris et al. (2012) are the ones reported in Table 1 of that paper, except for HATLAS J084933 where we used the follow-up measurements of Ivison et al. (2013). With the exception of the sources of this paper and the sources selected from Yang et al. (2017) and Bothwell et al. (2013), all the other sources used in this plot have been measured in $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ or, in some cases, in $^{12}\text{CO}(2-1)$. Corrections for excitation were applied for sources for which only higher CO transitions are available (see Sect. 4.5). The dashed line shows the best-fitting power-law fit derived from the data for the unlensed SMGs, $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = 10^{5.4} \times \Delta V^2$ (e.g., Bothwell et al. 2013; Zavala et al. 2015).

adopting the median brightness temperature ratios for the SMGs in Table 4 of Bothwell et al. (2013), which are compatible with the values listed in Carilli & Walter (2013), and applying similar corrections where needed for sources taken from the literature (see Fig. 13). Future measurements of the low-lying CO transitions will allow us to anchor the spectral line energy distribution for each of sources discussed in this paper and to derive precise values for $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$. To homogenize the different cosmologies used in the various papers, we systematically recalculated all $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ values for the cosmology adopted in this study.

Figure 13 displays the relation between the apparent CO luminosities, $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$, and the width (ΔV) of the CO emission lines for the sources presented in this work and a compilation of high- z lensed and unlensed SMGs, as well as local ULIRGs from the literature (see figure caption for details and references). This relationship has already been presented and discussed in previous studies (e.g., Harris et al. 2012; Bothwell et al. 2013; Carilli & Walter 2013; Aravena et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2017; Dannerbauer et al. 2017; Isbell et al. 2018). Figure 13 includes CO measurements of ~ 160 galaxies in total; it should be noted that none of the gravitationally lensed sources in this plot was corrected for lensing magnification.

The most obvious feature in this figure is the clear dichotomy between the sources that are strongly lensed and the unlensed

or weakly lensed galaxies; the lensing magnification boosts the apparent CO luminosity and lifts the lensed sources above the roughly quadratic relationship between $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ and ΔV that is observed for the unlensed sources. This trend was first pointed out by Harris et al. (2012). The usefulness of this effect for measuring the lensing magnification has been debated in the literature, and the consensus is that deriving exact values for the magnification factors based on unresolved CO data is unreliable (e.g., Aravena et al. 2016).

Notwithstanding this caution, the separation between strongly lensed and unlensed or weakly lensed sources is clearly present in the $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ versus ΔV relationship and, in principle, could be used to distinguish sources that are strongly lensed from intrinsically hyper-luminous sources. Interestingly, the sources in the Pilot Program are located in the upper part of the relationship indicating that several of the Pilot Program sources are strongly lensed, and that more than half of the sources are HyLIRGs located along or close to the quadratic relationship for the unlensed sources, akin to the hyper-luminous binary source HATLAS J084933 discussed in Ivison et al. (2013) and the hyper-luminous high-redshift galaxy HXMM01 studied by Fu et al. (2013); see identifications in Fig. 13. The proportion of these HyLIRGs is remarkable in this small sample selected as infrared-bright *Herschel* galaxies. However, more

detailed observations are needed to verify whether these sources are lensed or not, and to derive their intrinsic properties. For instance, the source HerBS-89a is lensed, and a detailed discussion of its properties will be provided in Berta et al. (in prep.).

Finally, we explored the consistency of the H_2 masses derived independently from the ^{12}CO and $[\text{CI}](^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0)$ emission lines for the three sources where both lines were observed, namely HerBS-58, HerBS-70E, and HerBS-154. First, we estimated the neutral carbon masses by using Eq. (1) in Weiß et al. (2005), assuming a $[\text{CI}]$ excitation temperature equal to the derived dust temperature (see Table 5). Adopting an atomic carbon abundance of $X[\text{CI}]/X[\text{H}_2]$ of 8.4×10^{-5} (Walter et al. 2011), we derived the H_2 masses of HerBS-58, HerBS-70E, and HerBS-154 to be 14.2, 12.4, and $10.6 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, respectively. The comparison between the H_2 mass derived from the CO and the $[\text{CI}]$ emission lines suggests that in the case of HerBS-70E the scaling of the high- J CO line luminosity to the equivalent $J=1-0$ luminosity is most likely missing a significant fraction of subthermally excited gas, but that in the case of the two other sources the gas masses estimated via the two methods are consistent within a factor of 2. However, observations of the $[\text{CI}]$ and lower- J CO emission lines of a larger and statistically representative sample of SMGs are needed for a more accurate comparison between the two methods.

5. Conclusion

We reported the results of a Pilot Program using NOEMA to measure reliable redshifts for a sample of 13 bright *Herschel* sources. The main goal of this project was to demonstrate the ability to efficiently derive redshifts and global properties of high- z galaxies using the new correlator and broad bandwidth receivers on NOEMA. The observations described here show that the main goal of this project were successfully reached. Of the 13 H-ATLAS selected sources, 11 sources were detected with good signal-to-noise ratios in the continuum and in at least two emission lines at 3 and 2 mm, with three sources showing an additional source in the field of view, allowing us to establish accurate redshifts and providing useful additional information on the nature and the properties of these galaxies. On average, about 100 min of total telescope time were needed per source in this Pilot Program to detect at least two emission lines in the selected $2 < z < 4$ bright *Herschel* galaxies, demonstrating the feasibility and efficiency of the redshift measurements using NOEMA and opening the possibility of carrying out more complete spectroscopic redshift surveys of larger samples of *Herschel*-selected galaxies.

The main conclusions of this paper are as follows:

- Precise spectroscopic redshifts (z_{spec}) were established for 12 galaxies (including two binary systems) based on the detection of at least two emission lines, mostly from ^{12}CO ranging from the (3–2) to the (6–5) transition. In addition, we also report for three sources the detection of the emission line of the atomic carbon fine-structure line $[\text{CI}](^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0)$, and in one source the detection of water in the para- $\text{H}_2\text{O}(2_{11}-2_{02})$ transition. The derived spectroscopic redshifts are in the range $2.08 < z < 4.05$ with a median value of $z = 2.9 \pm 0.6$ and a tail in the distribution to $z > 3$.
- Combining the available continuum flux densities from *Herschel*-SPIRE, SCUBA-2, and the 3 and 2 mm NOEMA data, we assembled the SEDs of the sources and derived their infrared luminosities, dust masses, and temperatures.
- The values derived for the photometric redshifts (z_{phot}) depend on the adopted photometry and the available SED

coverage used in the analysis. The photometric redshifts are only indicative and are on average, for the sources studied in this paper, within 20% of the z_{spec} values we measured.

- Many emission lines have broad widths between 150 and 1100 km s^{-1} , with a mean value for the CO FWHM of $700 \pm 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a median of 800 km s^{-1} . About 60% of the sources display double-peaked profiles indicative of merger systems and/or rotating disks.
- The majority of our targets are individual sources, and are unresolved or barely resolved on scales of 10 kpc. In one case, HerBS-43, there is a companion in the field, but at another redshift and hence unrelated, and in the case of HerBS-89 there is a weak 2 mm continuum source, HerBS-89b, that remains undetected in line emission. Two sources (HerBS-95 and HerBS-70) are double, and in both cases the components are at the same redshift with projected separation of $\sim 140 \text{ kpc}$.
- Based on the location of the sources studied in this paper on the $L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ versus ΔV relationship, we conclude that several sources are gravitationally amplified, and that a large fraction (including the two binaries) are hyper-luminous infrared galaxies (HyLIRGs). Precise measurements of the amplification factors and the derivation of the properties of these sources will require higher resolution follow-up observations in the submillimeter, and at optical–near-infrared wavelengths to study the characteristics of the foreground amplifying galaxy.

The observations presented in this study have enabled the first systematic measurement of redshifts of high- z galaxies using NOEMA. Measurements of a larger and complete sample of galaxies selected from the *Herschel* surveys will provide a useful database for exploring in detail the properties of these sources and, using follow-up observations, the properties of the lensing systems in the case of gravitational amplification. Building upon the success of the Pilot Program, we started a comprehensive redshift survey of a sample of 125 of the brightest ($S_{500\mu\text{m}} > 80 \text{ mJy}$) galaxies from the *Herschel* surveys. The results of this ongoing survey will be reported in a forthcoming series of papers. This extended sample will provide, together with other already available redshift measurements, a sizeable and homogeneous sample of about ~ 200 bright *Herschel* selected galaxies with reliable redshifts, which will allow us to increase the number of known lensed galaxies at the peak of cosmic evolution, to provide the largest known sample of HyLIRGs, and to find additional rare objects.

Acknowledgements. This work is based on observations carried out under project numbers W17DM and S18CR with the IRAM NOEMA Interferometer. IRAM is supported by INSU/CNRS (France), MPG (Germany) and IGN (Spain). The authors are grateful to IRAM for making this work possible. The anonymous referee is thanked for providing useful comments. A.J.B. and A.J.Y. acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation grant AST-1716585. L.D. acknowledges support from the ERC consolidator grant CosmicDust (PI: H. Gomez). C.Y. acknowledges support from an ESO Fellowship. H.D. acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (MICIU) under the 2014 Ramón y Cajal program RYC-2014-15686 and AYA2017-84061-P, the later one co-financed by FEDER (European Regional Development Funds). D.A.R. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation under grant numbers AST-1614213 and AST-1910107 and from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation through a Humboldt Research Fellowship for Experienced Researchers. S.J. acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (MICIU) under grant AYA2017-84061-P, co-financed by FEDER (European Regional Development Funds). The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

References

- Aravena, M., Spilker, J. S., Bethermin, M., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **457**, 4406
- Bakx, T. J. L. C., Eales, S. A., Negrello, M., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **473**, 1751
- Berta, S., Lutz, D., Genzel, R., Förster-Schreiber, N. M., & Tacconi, L. J. 2016, *A&A*, **587**, A73
- Béthermin, M., De Breuck, C., Sargent, M., & Daddi, E. 2015, *A&A*, **576**, L9
- Bianchi, S. 2013, *A&A*, **552**, A89
- Blain, A. W., Smail, I., Ivison, R. J., Kneib, J.-P., & Frayer, D. T. 2002, *Phys. Rep.*, **369**, 111
- Bothwell, M. S., Smail, I., Chapman, S. C., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, **429**, 3047
- Bussmann, R. S., Pérez-Fournon, I., Amber, S., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **779**, 25
- Bussmann, R. S., Riechers, D., Fialkov, A., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **812**, 43
- Carilli, C. L., & Walter, F. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 105
- Casey, C. M., Berta, S., Béthermin, M., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **761**, 140
- Casey, C. M., Narayanan, D., & Cooray, A. 2014, *Phys. Rep.*, **541**, 45
- Chapman, S. C., Blain, A. W., Smail, I., & Ivison, R. J. 2005, *ApJ*, **622**, 772
- da Cunha, E., Groves, B., Walter, F., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **766**, 12
- Danielson, A. L. R., Swinbank, A. M., Smail, I., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **840**, 78
- Dannerbauer, H., Lehnert, M. D., Emonts, B., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **608**, A48
- Dannerbauer, H., Harrington, K., Díaz-Sánchez, A., et al. 2019, *AJ*, **158**, 34
- Draine, B. T. 2003, *ARA&A*, **41**, 241
- Draine, B. T., & Li, A. 2001, *ApJ*, **551**, 807
- Draine, B. T., & Li, A. 2007, *ApJ*, **657**, 810
- Duivenvoorden, S., Oliver, S., Scudder, J. M., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **477**, 1099
- Eales, S., Dunne, L., Clements, D., et al. 2010, *PASP*, **122**, 499
- Emonts, B. H. C., Lehnert, M. D., Dannerbauer, H., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **477**, L60
- Fu, H., Cooray, A., Feruglio, C., et al. 2013, *Nature*, **498**, 338
- Griffin, M. J., Abergel, A., Abreu, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L3
- Harris, A. I., Baker, A. J., Zonak, S. G., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **723**, 1139
- Harris, A. I., Baker, A. J., Frayer, D. T., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **752**, 152
- Hughes, D. H., Serjeant, S., Dunlop, J., et al. 1998, *Nature*, **394**, 241
- Isbell, J. W., Xue, R., & Fu, H. 2018, *ApJ*, **869**, L37
- Ivison, R. J., Swinbank, A. M., Smail, I., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **772**, 137
- Ivison, R. J., Lewis, A. J. R., Weiss, A., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **832**, 78
- Jin, S., Daddi, E., Magdis, G. E., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, **887**, 144
- Li, A., & Draine, B. T. 2001, *ApJ*, **554**, 778
- Lupu, R. E., Scott, K. S., Aguirre, J. E., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **757**, 135
- Madau, P., & Dickinson, M. 2014, *ARA&A*, **52**, 415
- Narayanan, D., Turk, M., Feldmann, R., et al. 2015, *Nature*, **525**, 496
- Nayyeri, H., Keele, M., Cooray, A., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **823**, 17
- Negrello, M., Hopwood, R., De Zotti, G., et al. 2010, *Science*, **330**, 800
- Negrello, M., Amber, S., Amvrosiadis, A., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **465**, 3558
- Oliver, S. J., Bock, J., Altieri, B., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **424**, 1614
- Oteo, I., Ivison, R. J., Dunne, L., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **827**, 34
- Pilbratt, G. L., Riedinger, J. R., Passvogel, T., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L1
- Planck Collaboration Int. XXVII. 2015, *A&A*, **582**, A30
- Planck Collaboration VI. 2018, *A&A*, submitted [arXiv:1807.06209]
- Riechers, D. A. 2011, *ApJ*, **730**, 108
- Riechers, D. A., Leung, T. K. D., Ivison, R. J., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **850**, 1
- Smail, I., Ivison, R. J., & Blain, A. W. 1997, *ApJ*, **490**, L5
- Solomon, P. M., & Vanden Bout, P. A. 2005, *ARA&A*, **43**, 677
- Spilker, J. S., Marrone, D. P., Aguirre, J. E., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **785**, 149
- Spilker, J. S., Marrone, D. P., Aravena, M., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **826**, 112
- Strandet, M. L., Weiß, A., Vieira, J. D., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **822**, 80
- Swinbank, A. M., Smail, I., Longmore, S., et al. 2010, *Nature*, **464**, 733
- Tacconi, L. J., Genzel, R., Smail, I., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **680**, 246
- Vieira, J. D., Crawford, T. M., Switzer, E. R., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **719**, 763
- Viero, M. P., Asboth, V., Roseboom, I. G., et al. 2014, *ApJS*, **210**, 9
- Walter, F., Weiß, A., Downes, D., Decarli, R., & Henkel, C. 2011, *ApJ*, **730**, 18
- Walter, F., Decarli, R., Carilli, C., et al. 2012, *Nature*, **486**, 233
- Wardlow, J. L., Cooray, A., De Bernardis, F., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **762**, 59
- Weiß, A., Downes, D., Henkel, C., & Walter, F. 2005, *A&A*, **429**, L25
- Weiß, A., Kovács, A., Coppin, K., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **707**, 1201
- Weiß, A., De Breuck, C., Marrone, D. P., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **767**, 88
- Yang, C., Omont, A., Beelen, A., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **608**, A144
- Zavala, J. A., Yun, M. S., Aretxaga, I., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **452**, 1140