Synthesis and Reactivity of Chiral Rhenium Indenyl Complexes of the Formula $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)]^{n+1}$

Yuanlin Zhou, Michael A. Dewey, and J. A. Gladysz*

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Received May 26, 1993®

Reaction of $(\eta^5 - C_9 H_7) Re(CO)_3$ and NO+BF₄ yields $[(\eta^5 - C_9 H_7) Re(NO)(CO)_2] + BF_4 (2, 94\%)$, which with PPh₃ (ClCH₂CH₂Cl, reflux) gives $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CO)]^+BF_4^-$ (3, 94%). When 2 is dissolved in acetone, addition products of the formula $(\eta^1-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(CO)_2(\eta^1-C_9H_7)(CO)_2(\eta^1-C_9H_7)Re(NO)$ O=C(CH₃)₂)₂ form. Attempted reduction of the CO ligand in 3 yields hydride complexes. However, reaction of 2 and NaBH₄ gives $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)$ Re(NO)(CO)(CH₃) (6, 45%), which with PPh₃ $(ClCH_2CH_2Cl, reflux)$ yields $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CH_3)$ (5, 18%) and $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)-(PPh_3)(PPh_3)(PPh_3)$ (PPh₃)(COCH₃) (7,54%). Reaction of 5 and HBF₄·OEt₂ in CH₂Cl₂ at -80 °C gives the unstable, substitution-labile dichloromethane complex $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(ClCH_2Cl)]^+BF_4^-$ (8), which is characterized by NMR. Subsequent addition of acetone or cyclohexanone yields σ -ketone complexes $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(\eta^1-O=CR_2)]+BF_4-(82-76\%)$, and addition of CO (250 psi) gives 3 (92%). In another approach to 5 and 8, 3 and NaOCH₃ are combined to give (n⁵- C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CO₂CH₃) (11, 92%). Sequential reactions with CH₃MgBr and BH₃·THF produce 7 (53%) and $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CH_2CH_3)$ (12, 95%). The latter could not be converted to 8 in high yield. However, reaction of 12 and HI affords (η^5 -C₉H₇)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(I) (88%), which with CuCH₃ gives 5 (62%).

Soon after the first transition metal complexes containing η^5 -cyclopentadienyl ligands (η^5 -C₅H₅) were synthe sized. Fischer reported the n^5 -indenvl analogs (n^5 - $C_9H_7)_2C_0$ and $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)_2Fe$. Although indenyl complexes now have an extensively developed descriptive chemistry, they have figured more prominently in mechanistic organometallic chemistry. This originates from Mawby's 1969 report of enhanced reactivity of indenyl vs cyclopentadienyl molybdenum methyl tricarbonyl complexes in PX3-induced CO insertions.2 These transformations were proposed to involve η^5 to η^3 linkage isomerizations or "slippage" of the C_xH_y ligands—a process that in the indenvl case would be assisted by restoration of full aromaticity to the fused benzenoid ring. Subsequent studies of substitution reactions, which established enormous 108 rate accelerations for certain rhodium complexes, led Basolo to propose the term kinetic indenyl ligand effect for this general phenomenon.3-7

Over the past 8 years, we have conducted an extensive study of the substitution-labile dichloromethane complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(ClCH_2Cl)]^+BF_4^{-.8}$ This species is easily generated from the methyl complex (η^5 -C₅H₅)-

Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, September 1, 1993. (1) (a) Fischer, E. O.; Seus, D.; Jira, R. Z. Naturforsch. B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 1953, 8B, 692. (b) Fischer, E. O.; Seus, D. Ibid. 1953,

(2) (a) Hart-Davis, A. J.; Mawby, R. J. J. Chem. Soc. A 1969, 2403. (b) White, C.; Mawby, R. J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1970, 4, 261. (c) Hart-Davis, A. J.; White, C.; Mawby, R. J. Ibid. 1970, 4, 441. (d) Jones, D. J.; Mawby, R. J. Ibid. 1972, 6, 157.

(3) (a) Rerek, M. E.; Ji, L. N.; Basolo, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1208. (b) Ji, L.-N.; Rerek, M. E.; Basolo, F. Organometallics 1984, 3, 740. (c) Rerek, M. E.; Basolo, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5908. (d) Kakkar, A. K.; Taylor, N. J.; Marder, T. B.; Shen, J. K.; Hallinan, N.; Basolo, F. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1992, 198-200, 219.

(4) Bang, H.; Lynch, T. J.; Basolo, F. Organometallics 1992, 11, 40. (5) Basolo, F. Polyhedron 1990, 9, 1503.

(6) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 307.

 $Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CH_3)$ in either racemic or enantiomerically pure form, as sketched in eq i. It decomposes above -20

°C, and isolation attempts have not been successful. However, it readily reacts with weak neutral donor ligands such as ketones, alkenes, and primary alkyl iodides to give Lewis base adducts $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(L)]^+BF_4^-$ in high yields.8,9 In all cases, substitution occurs with retention of configuration at rhenium and very high enantioselectivity. Thus, the dichloromethane complex serves as a functional equivalent of the chiral Lewis acid $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)]^+(I)$. A related chlorobenzene complex behaves similarly.¹⁰

As further analyzed in the following paper. 11 certain aspects of the preceding substitution processes are arcane—particularly the origin of the high stereochemical fidelity. Hence, we sought to clarify the nature of the reaction coordinate. The possibility of cyclopentadienyl ligand slippage was consistent with preliminary rate data and precedented in reactions of (η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(CO)-(CH₃) described by Casey.¹² Thus, we set out to prepare indenyl analogs for mechanistic experiments. In this

(11) Dewey, M. A.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, Y.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics, following paper in this issue.

⁽⁷⁾ For recent examples of enhanced reactivity of indenyl complexes in other than substitution reactions, see (a) Szajek, L. P.; Lawson, R. J.; Shapley, J. R. Organometallics 1991, 10, 357 and references therein. (b) Foo, T.; Bergman, R. G. Ibid. 1992, 11, 1811. (c) Monti, D.; Bassetti, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4658.

^{(8) (}a) Fernández, J. M.; Gladysz, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2672.

⁽b) Fernández, J. M.; Gladysz, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 25, 2612.
(b) Fernández, J. M.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics 1989, 8, 207.
(c) Winter, C. H.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 354, C33.
(g) Some lead references: (a) Winter, C. H.; Veal, W. R.; Garner, C. M.; Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4766.
(b) Dalton, D. M.; Fernández, J. M.; Emerson, K.; Larsen, R. D.; Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. Ibid. 1990, 112, 9198.
(c) Dalton, D. M.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Chem. Soc. Politon Trans. 1981, 2741.
(d) Winter, V. Acheses, E. Dalton. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1991, 2741. (d) Wang, Y.; Agbossou, F.; Dalton, D. M.; Liu, Y.; Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2699. (10) Kowalczyk, J. J.; Agbossou, S. K.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 397, 333.

Scheme I. Syntheses of Rhenium Indenyl Carbonyl and Methyl Complexes

paper, we report the synthesis and characterization of a variety of neutral and cationic rhenium indenyl complexes of formula $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)]^{n+}$. Companion rate studies are detailed in the following paper. 11

Results

1. Syntheses of Indenyl Carbonyl Complexes. The cyclopentadienyl methyl complex employed as the starting material in eq i was prepared by NaBH4 reduction of the cationic monocarbonyl complex [(η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)-(PPh₃)(CO)]+BF₄-.13,14 This compound was in turn accessed from the tri- and dicarbonyl complexes (η^5 -C₅H₅)Re- $(CO)_3$ and $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(CO)_2]^+BF_4^-$. Thus, we first sought to synthesize the corresponding series of indenyl carbonyl compounds.

The indenyl tricarbonyl complex $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(CO)_3$ (1) proved to be a known compound. 15,16 It has been prepared, among other methods, 15 by the direct reaction of $Re_2(CO)_{10}$ and indene (Scheme I). 16 We isolated 1 in 88% yield by this route, as detailed in the Experimental Section. Reaction of 1 and NO+BF₄- then gave the dicarbonyl nitrosyl complex $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(CO)_2]+BF_4$ (2)—to the best of our knowledge a new compound—in 94% yield. The manganese analog has been similarly obtained uti-

(12) (a) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M.; Jones, W. D.; Haller, K. J. Organometallics 1983, 2, 535. (b) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M. Ibid. 1985, 4, 384. (c) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M.; Haller, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1241

(13) Tam, W.; Lin, G.-Y.; Wong, W.-K.; Kiel, W. A.; Wong, V. K.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 141.
(14) Agbossou, F.; O'Connor, E. J.; Garner, C. M.; Quirós Méndez, N.; Fernández, J. M.; Patton, A. T.; Ramsden, J. A.; Gladysz, J. A. Inorg. Synth. 1992, 29, 211.

(15) Fischer, E. O.; Fellmann, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1963, 1, 191. (16) (a) Zdanovich, V. I.; Lobanova, I. A.; Kolobova, N. E. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1984, 2149. (b) Miguel, D.; Steffan, U.; Stone, F. G. A. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 443.

lizing NO₂+BF₄-.17 Complex 2, and all isolable compounds below, were characterized by microanalysis and IR and NMR spectroscopy (Experimental Section).

Interestingly, a synthesis of the target monocarbonyl complex $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CO)]^+BF_4^-(3)$ from the dicarbonyl phosphine complex (η^5 -C₉H₇)Re(PPh₃)(CO)₂ and NO₂+BF₄-was reported in 1981.¹⁷ However, the yield was very low (7%), and only IR data were given. Thus, we sought to oxidatively remove one of the carbonyl ligands in 2 with iodosobenzene in a donor solvent, as previously described for the cyclopentadienyl analog. 13,14 Subsequent addition of PPh3 would then be expected to give 3.

However, the dissolution of 2 in acetone or acetonitrile appeared to give n^1 -indenvl complexes, as exemplified in eq ii. Thus, the reaction of 2 and acetone- d_6 was monitored

(one of several isomers)

by ¹H NMR at ambient temperature. Two major peaks appeared with chemical shifts characteristic of η^1 -indenyl ReCH protons (δ 3.58, 3.49).12b Two minor peaks were also present (<10% total). The sample was kept at 57 °C for 0.5 h. A ¹H NMR spectrum (room temperature) showed >95% conversion to the species with the δ 3.58 resonance. The ¹³C NMR spectrum exhibited two C=O and C=O resonances (ppm: 235.1, 234.3; 187.3, 186.7). Hence, the product was assigned as the bis(acetone) complex [(n1- $C_9H_7)Re(NO)(CO)_2(\eta^1-O=C(CD_3)_2)_2]+BF_4-(4-d_{12}).^{18}$ Hexane was added in an attempt to precipitate $4-d_{12}$. However, the material isolated lacked n¹- or n⁵-indenyl ¹H NMR resonances.

Acetonitrile- d_3 solutions of 2 also gave ¹H NMR signals in the η^1 -indenyl region. Efforts to subsequently effect conversion to the phosphine complex 3 were unsuccessful. Hence, 2 and PPh3 were refluxed in the poorly coordinating solvent ClCH₂CH₂Cl (Scheme I). After 1 h, workup gave 3 in 94% yield. Interestingly, earlier attempts to effect analogous thermal substitutions with the cyclopentadienyl complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(CO)_2]^+BF_4^-$ were unsuccessful. 13 As a check, this compound and PPh3 were similarly refluxed in ClCH₂CH₂Cl. After 2 h, workup gave a sample that had been 55% converted to the phosphine complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CO)]^+BF_4^-$. A 5-h reaction gave 90% conversion. At longer times, complete conversion was observed, and an optimized procedure is given in the Experimental Section. However, unlike 2, the reactant is only sparingly soluble in ClCH2CH2Cl. Thus, the difference in preparative substitution rates does not establish a kinetic indenyl ligand effect.

2. Syntheses of Indenyl Methyl and Dichloromethane Complexes. In a procedure analgous to that utilized in the cyclopentadienyl series, the monocarbonyl

⁽¹⁷⁾ Kolobova, N. E.; Lobanova, I. A.; Zdanovich, V. I.; Petrovskii, P. V. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1981, 935.

⁽¹⁸⁾ The isomer of $4-d_n$ in which the carbonyl ligands, the acetone ligands, and the unique ligands (η^1 -indenyl, nitrosyl) are mutually trans should give only one C=O and one C=O ¹³C NMR resonance and can be excluded. Casey finds that rhenium η^1 -indenyl tricarbonyl complexes of the formula $(\eta^1-C_0H_7)Re(CO)_3(PR_3)_2$ exist exclusively as facial isomers. ^{12b} We believe it likely that the three best π accepting ligands in 4- d_n (CO, CO. NO) also prefer a facial geometry.

Scheme II. Generation and Reactions of the Rhenium Indenyl Dichloromethane Complex 8

phosphine complex 3 was treated with 5 equiv of NaBH₄ in THF. However, only ca. 20% of the desired phosphine methyl complex $(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_3)$ (5) formed, as assayed by ¹H NMR and IR. Rather, two rhenium hydride products dominated, as evidenced by ¹H NMR signals (δ, CDCl_3) at -8.39 (s) and -9.95 (d, J_{HP} 32.0 Hz). These were provisionally attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})$ -(CO)(H) and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{H})$ (ca. 50% and 30%), respectively. Other hydride reductants did not give improved yields of 5.

Hence, an alternative route to 5 was investigated. First, the dicarbonyl complex 2 was treated with NaBH₄ in THF (Scheme I). Workup gave the carbonyl methyl complex $(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{CO})(\text{CH}_3)$ (6) in 45% yield. A ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture showed only traces of rhenium hydride products. Reaction of 6 and PPh₃ in refluxing ClCH₂CH₂Cl then gave the phosphine methyl complex 5 and the phosphine acetyl complex $(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{-}\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{COCH}_3)$ (7) in 18% and 54% yields after workup. Although the yield of 5 was poor, sufficient quantities could be produced for the rate study in the following paper. ¹¹ Another synthesis of 5 is given below.

Next, 5 and HBF₄·OEt₂ were combined at -80 °C in CH₂Cl₂ under conditions analgous to those in eq i, and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded at -70 °C (Scheme II). Within 5 min, the indenyl dichloromethane complex [(η⁵-C₉H₇)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(ClCH₂Cl)]⁺BF₄⁻(8) had formed in high spectroscopic yield (12.6 ppm). Some very minor byproducts, which gave resonances barely above the baseline, were also apparent. A ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum showed a doublet for the ClCH₂Cl carbon at 74.2 ppm (³J_{CP} 2.6 Hz). The corresponding cyclopentadienyl complex exhibits ³¹P and ¹³C NMR resonances at 12.5 and 78.3 (d, ³J_{CP} 3.7 Hz) ppm, respectively. ^{8b}

Similar reactions were conducted in CD_2Cl_2 . These gave the deuteriodichloromethane complex $8-d_2$, which was characterized by ¹H NMR. Only one set of indenyl resonances was observed (η^5 - C_5H_3 at δ 6.38 (br d), 5.63 (br s), 5.27 (br s)). Solutions of $8-d_n$ showed little decomposition on the time scale of 1 h between -30 and -20 °C. However, extensive decomposition occurred over the course of 1 h at -10 °C, as reflected by a multitude of ³¹P NMR signals between 12-14 and 19-21 ppm.

Complex 8 cleanly reacted with most donor ligands investigated (Scheme II). For example, acetone and cyclohexanone (6-10 equiv) gave the σ -ketone complexes

Scheme III. Other Routes to and Reactions
Involving the Rhenium Indenyl Acetyl Complex 7

 $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(\eta^1-O=C(CH_3)_2)]^+BF_4^-$ (9) and $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(\eta^1-O=C(CH_2)_4CH_2)]^+BF_4^-$ (10) in 82% and 76% yields after workup. The spectroscopic properties of these compounds (Experimental Section) closely matched those of the cyclopentadienyl analogs. 9b,c Acetone solutions of 9 showed no decomposition or conversion to η^1 -indenyl addition products over the course of 3 h at room temperature. The reaction of 8 and carbon monoxide (250 psi) gave the carbonyl complex 3 in 92% yield after workup.

The reactions of 8 with acetone and cyclohexanone were monitored by ³¹P{¹H} NMR. Complex 9 slowly formed at -60 °C. The probe was warmed to 0 °C over the course of 40 min. After 15 min at 0 °C, only 9 was present (18.9 ppm). Similarly, 10 slowly formed at -70 °C. The probe was warmed to -20 °C over the course of 30 min. After 15 min at -20 °C, only 10 was present (19.5 ppm). In contrast, 8 and the more nucleophilic ketone tropone (2 equiv) reacted at -80 °C to give four major products with ³¹P NMR signals between 19.1 and 26.4 ppm. Larger excesses of tropone also gave several products. When samples were warmed, the product distributions did not improve.

3. Additional Chemistry of the Indenyl Acetyl Complex. In an attempt to develop more efficient routes to the dichloromethane complex 8, an alternative synthesis and further chemistry of the acetyl complex 7 was investigated. First, the cationic carbonyl complex 3 and NaOCH₃ were combined (Scheme III). Addition occurred to give the methoxycarbonyl or "methyl ester" complex $(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3)$ (11) in 92% yield after workup. Subsequent reaction with CH₃MgBr replaced the methoxy group, affording the acetyl complex 7 in 53% yield. Reduction of 7 with BH₃·THF then gave the ethyl complex $(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)$ (12) in 95% yield. Analogous transformations have previously been effected in the cyclopentadienyl series. 13,14,19

Next, 12 and HBF₄-OEt₂ were combined in CH₂Cl₂ at -80 °C. A $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectrum (-60 °C) showed the formation of a 10:68:22 mixture of two new compounds and 8 (19.7 (br), 13.8, 12.5 ppm). A ^{1}H NMR spectrum suggested that the major product was the ethyl hydride complex [$(\eta^{5}$ -C₉H₇)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CH₂CH₃)(H)]⁺BF₄⁻, as evidenced by a doublet at δ -3.29 ($^{2}J_{HP}$ 67.3 Hz). The related benzyl hydride complex [$(\eta^{5}$ -C₅H₅)Re(NO)-

⁽¹⁹⁾ Buhro, W. E.; Wong, A.; Merrifield, J. H.; Lin, G.-Y.; Constable, A. G.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1852.

(PPh₃)(CH₂C₆H₅)(H)]+BF₄- was found to exhibit a ¹H resonance at δ -3.72 (${}^2J_{\rm HP}$ 65.7 Hz).8b Excess acetone was added, and the sample was warmed to room temperature. A 31P(1H) NMR spectrum showed the formation of many products. Hence, this three-component mixture does not serve as a functional equivalent of the indenyl rhenium Lewis acid $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)]^+$. A second sample was warmed in the absence of acetone. All species showed extensive decomposition on the time scale of 1.2 h at -20

Other strategies, precedented in the cyclopentadienyl series, for the conversion of 12 to 8 were then pursued.^{20,21} First, reaction of 12 and aqueous HI gave the iodide complex $(\eta^5$ -C₉H₇)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(I) (13) in 88% yield after workup. Subsequent addition of CuCH₃ displaced the iodide ligand, giving the methyl complex 5 in 62% yield. Thus, the acetyl complex byproduct 7 produced in Scheme I can be converted in three steps to the corresponding methyl complex 5 and then to the dichloromethane complex 8.

Discussion

The preparative data summarized in Schemes I-III establish that indenyl analogs of many previously reported cyclopentadienylrhenium complexes can be accessed. However, in some cases significant procedural modifications are required. In particular, there appears to be a richer metal-centered chemistry, as witnessed by (1) the conversion of η^5 -indenyl complex 2 to η^1 -indenyl complex 4 (eq ii), (2) the displacement of carbonyl and PPh₃ ligands in 3 by hydride reagents, as opposed to carbonyl ligand reduction, and (3) the multitude of products obtained from 8 and tropone. These trends are logically attributed to the greater ease of C_xH_v ligand slippage in indenyl complexes.

Reactions of the indenyl tricarbonyl complex 1 with phosphines and phosphites have been previously studied by Casey, 12b Lynch, and Basolo.4 In the case of PMe3 and $P(n-Bu)_3$, addition occurs to give the η^1 complexes (η^1 -C₉H₇)Re(CO)₃(PR₃)₂. However, other phosphines and phosphites, including bulky PCy₃ (Cy = cyclohexyl), give mixtures of η^1 addition products and the η^5 substitution products $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)$ Re $(CO)_2(PX_3)$. Thus, the partitioning between η^1 and η^5 complexes can be a sensitive function of nucleophile. Also, under appropriate conditions many of the η^1 addition products can be transformed to η^5 substitution products.

Similar contrasts are apparent in our chemistry. For example, the dicarbonyl complex 2 and acetone solvent react to give an addition product, η^1 -indenyl bis(acetone) complex 4 (eq ii). However, the dichloromethane complex 8 and excess acetone react without detectable intermediates to give a substitution product, η^5 -indenyl acetone complex 9—which is stable in acetone solvent. Also, NaBH₄ reduces the dicarbonyl complex 2 to the carbonyl methyl complex 6. However, under identical conditions the carbonyl phosphine complex 3 gives mainly hydride complexes.

Although Schemes I-III adequately meet the preparative needs of this and the following paper, several issues deserve emphasis. First, there is room for improvement of many of the yields. Second, the corresponding cyclopentadienyl and pentamethylcyclopentadienyl complexes can be resolved into enantiomers via (1-naphthyl)ethylamine adducts of the formula (η^5 -C₅R₅)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CONHCH-(CH₃)C₁₀H₇).^{14,22} However, attempts to generate an analogous complex from 3 or 11 gave numerous products, as assayed by ³¹P{¹H} NMR. Thus, routes to enantiomerically pure indenyl rhenium complexes remain to be developed. Indeed, to our knowledge no chiral-at-metal η^5 -indenyl complexes are presently available in nonracemic form. It would obviously be of interest to determine the stereochemistry of substitution of the dichloromethane complex 8 and whether a reaction sequence involving η^5 - $\rightarrow \eta^{1} \rightarrow \eta^{5}$ -indenyl complexes can proceed without racemization.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR properties of η^5 -indenyl ligands have been previously analyzed in detail.²³ We assigned the ¹³C resonances in our complexes (Experimental Section) on the basis of chemical shift trends established earlier.²⁴ In many cases, the ¹H resonances of the η^5 -C₅H₃ grouping show similar shielding patterns. 23b,c,f However, we did not assign individual indenyl ¹H NMR resonances for most compounds, as first-order coupling behavior was usually not observed.

The IR $\nu_{\rm CO}$ values of the σ -ketone complexes 9 and 10 (1618-1620 cm⁻¹) are essentially identical with those of the cyclopentadienyl analogs (1619-1622 cm⁻¹).9b,c Also, the IR ν_{NO} of 9 and 10 are within 6 cm⁻¹ of those of the cyclopentadienyl complexes, and the 31P NMR chemical shifts differ by ≤1 ppm. Similar correspondence is observed for the other indenyl complexes when spectral data are acquired in identical media. Much greater differences are found with pentamethylcyclopentadienyl derivatives. Thus, the indenyl ligand appears to only slightly perturb the electronic properties of the rhenium fragment.

In summary, a number of chiral rhenium indenyl complexes of the formula $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)]^{n+1}$ are now readily available. These will be the subject of future mechanistic studies, as exemplified in the following paper.

Experimental Section²⁵

(η⁵-C₂H₇)Re(CO)₃ (1). A Schlenk flask was charged with Re₂-(CO)₁₀ (12.00 g, 18.39 mmol), indene (35 mL), and a stir bar and was fitted with a condenser. The mixture was stirred under

(22) Huang, Y.-H.; Niedercorn, F.; Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 383, 213.

(24) The CH ¹³C resonances of the five membered ring (C-1,2,3) are upfield of those of the six membered ring (C-4,5,6,7). Also, C-1,3 are upfield of C-2, and C-5,6 are upfield of C-4,7. The carbons that lack hydrogens (C-3a,7a) give resonances of reduced intensity.23

(25) Reactions were carried out under dry N2 atmospheres. NMR and IR spectra were recorded on Varian XL-300 and Mattson Polaris FT spectrometers. Microanalyses were conducted by Atlantic Microlab. Melting points were determined in open capillaries and were corrected. Solvents were treated as follows: CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃OH, distilled from CaH₂; ether and THF, distilled from Na/benzophenone; hexane, toluene, and benzene, distilled from Na; acetone, distilled from K₂CO₃; CDCl₃ and CD₂Cl₂, vacuum transferred from CaH₂; ClCH₂CH₂Cl and acetone-d₆, used as received. The reagents NO+BF₄-, NaBH₄, PPh₃, NaOCH₃ (4.37) M in CH₃OH), CuBr·S(CH₃)₂, CH₃MgBr, and BH₃·THF were used as received from Aldrich. Indene (90%, Aldrich) was distilled and passed through silica gel, $Re_2(CO)_{10}$ (Pressure Chemicals) and CO (Matheson) were used as received, $HBF_4\cdot OEt_2$ (Aldrich) was standardized as described previously,8b and HI (57%, Aldrich) was distilled.

⁽²⁰⁾ Merrifield, J. H.; Fernández, J. M.; Buhro, W. E.; Gladysz, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 4022.

⁽²¹⁾ Ramsden, J. A.; Peng, T.-S.; Gladysz, J. A. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1992, 129, 625,

^{(23) (}a) Köhler, F. H. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 570. (b) Baker, R. T.; Tulip, T. H. Organometallics 1986, 5, 839. (c) Merola, J. S.; Kacmarcik, R. T.; Van Engen, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 329. (d) Johnston, P.; Loonat, M. S.; Ingham, W. L.; Carlton, L.; Coville, N. J. Organometallics 1987, 6, 2121. (e) Westcott, S. A.; Kakkar, A. K.; Stringer, G.; Taylor, N. J.; Marder, T. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 394, 777. (f) Ceccon, A.; Elsevier, C. J.; Ernsting, J. M.; Gambaro, A.; Santi, S.; Venzo, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1993, 204, 15.

vacuum, saturated with N₂, and placed in a ca. 220 °C oil bath. After 12 h of reflux, excess indene was removed by distillation. Hexane (40 mL) was added to the residue with stirring, and the mixture was stored in a freezer overnight. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with cold hexane (10 mL), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 1 (12.47 g, 32.36 mmol, 88%). The $^{\rm 1}{\rm H}$ NMR and IR spectra were identical with those previously reported. $^{\rm 15,16}$

[(η^5 -C₂H₇)Re(NO)(CO)₂]+BF₄- (2). A Schlenk flask was charged with 1 (6.00 g, 15.6 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL), and a stir bar, and was cooled to 0 °C. Then NO+BF₄- (2.36 g, 20.2 mmol) was added with stirring (gas evolution). After 1 h at 0 °C, solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum. THF (15 mL) was added to the residue. The resulting yellow solid was collected by filtration, washed with THF (3 × 4 mL), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 2 (6.92 g, 14.6 mmol, 94%), mp 129–131 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₇BF₄NO₃Re: C, 27.86; H, 1.49; N, 2.95. Found: C, 27.77; H, 1.53; N, 3.00. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν CO 2108 (s), 2053 (s); ν NO 1805 (vs).

NMR (CD₂Cl₂):²⁶ ¹H (δ) 7.85 (m, H-4,7 of C₉H₇), 7.57 (m, H-5,6 of C₉H₇), 6.73 (s, H-1,2,3 of C₉H₇); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm) 182.3 (s, CO), C₉H₇ at²⁴ 133.8, 131.7 (2 s, C-4,7), 126.0, 123.7 (2 s, C-5,6), 99.6, 97.1 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 82.5 (s, C-2), 80.0 and 69.6 (2 s, C-1,3).

[(η^5 -C₉H₇)Re(NO)(PPh₈)(CO)]+BF₄⁻ (3). A Schlenk flask was charged with 2 (2.00 g, 4.22 mmol), PPh₃ (1.44 g, 5.48 mmol), ClCH₂CH₂Cl (30 mL), and a stir bar and was fitted with a condenser. The solution was stirred under vacuum, saturated with N₂ and placed in a 90 °C oil bath. After 1 h of reflux, the solution was cooled to room temperature, concentrated to ca. 5 mL by rotary evaporation, and added dropwise to ether (100 mL). The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 3 (2.82 g, 3.98 mmol, 94%), mp 204–206 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₂₂BF₄NO₂PRe: C, 47.47; H, 3.13. Found: C, 47.33; H, 3.21. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν_{CO} 2021 (s); ν_{NO} 1765 (vs).

NMR (CD₂Cl₂):²⁶ ¹H (δ) 7.63–7.07 (m, 15H of 3C₆H₅ and 4H of C₉H₇), 6.20 (t, $J_{\rm HH}$ 2.9, H-2 of C₉H₇), 6.04 (d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 2.9, H-1 of C₉H₇), 6.01 (d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 2.9, H-3 of C₉H₇); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm) 194.3 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 7.8, CO), C₆H₅ at 133.3 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 11.9, o), 132.8 (s, p), and 129.9 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 11.4, m),²⁷ C₉H₇ at²⁴ 131.7, 131.6 (2 s, C-4,7), 125.0, 124.2 (2 s, C-5,6), 112.8, 112.0 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 98.4 (s, C-2), 80.9, and 80.8 (2 s, C-1,3); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm) 12.6 (s).

 $(\eta^1\text{-}\mathrm{C_9H_7})\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})(\mathrm{CO})_2(\eta^1\text{-}\mathrm{O}\longrightarrow\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CD_8})_2)_2$ (4- d_{12}). A 5-mm NMR tube was charged with 2 (0.0983 g, 0.207 mmol) and acetone- d_6 (0.65 mL) and was transferred to an ambient temperature NMR probe. Then $^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{C}\{^1\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectra were acquired as described in the text. The data that follow were obtained at ambient temperature after a 0.5-h period at 57 °C. IR (cm⁻¹, thin film): $\nu_{\mathrm{C}\longrightarrow\mathrm{O}}$ 2101 (s), 2028 (s); ν_{NO} 1783 (vs).²⁸

NMR ((CD₃)₂CO):²⁸ ¹H (δ) 7.73 (dq, J_{HH} 7.4, 0.7, 1H of C₉H₇), 7.32 (d, J_{HH} 7.3, 1H of C₉H₇), 7.21 (tdd, J_{HH} 7.4, 1.1, 0.6, 1H of C₉H₇), 7.13 (td, J_{HH} 7.3, 1.1, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.85 (ddd, J_{HH} 5.7, 2.0, 0.6, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.53 (dd, 5.7, 2.0, 1H of C₉H₇), 3.58 (m, CHRe); ¹⁸C{¹H} (ppm) 235.1 (s, C₂C=O), 234.3 (s, C₂C=O), 187.3 (s, CO), 186.7 (s, CO), C₉H₇ at²⁴ 146.1 (s), 146.0 (s), 137.9 (s), 132.7 (s), 127.2 (s), 126.0 (s), 125.2 (s), and 121.4 (s); 63.1 (s, CHRe).

 $(\eta^5\text{-}\mathrm{C_2H_7})\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{CH_3})$ (6). A Schlenk flask was charged with 2 (2.00 g, 4.22 mmol), THF (60 mL), and a stir bar and was cooled to 0 °C. Then NaBH₄ (0.160 g, 4.22 mmol) was added with stirring. After 15 min at 0 °C, solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum, and the residue was extracted with benzene (15 mL). The extract was chromatographed on a silica gel column with benzene. Solvent was removed from the bright red eluate. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL), and hexane (30 mL) was added. The solution was concentrated to ca. 20 mL under oil pump vacuum and cooled in dry ice. After 2 h, the resulting

red solid was collected by filtration and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 6 (0.710 g, 1.90 mmol, 45%), mp 61–61.5 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}NO_2Re:$ C, 35.29; H, 2.69; N, 3.74. Found: C, 35.74; H, 2.71; N, 3.73. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν_{CO} 1961 (s); ν_{NO} 1691 (vs).

NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 26 ¹H (δ) 7.50–7.15 (m, H-4,5,6,7 of C₉H₇), 6.04 (m, H-1,3 of C₉H₇), 5.60 (m, H-2 of C₉H₇), 0.26 (s, CH₃); 13 C{ 1 H} (ppm) 211.6 (s, CO), C₉H₇ at 24 128.2, 127.0 (2 s, C-4,7), 124.3, 123.0, (2 s, C-5,6), 114.0, 110.1 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 92.3 (s, C-2), 78.5 and 74.7 (2 s, C-1,3); -27.1 (s, CH₃).

 $(η^5\text{-}C_9H_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{COCH}_3)$ (7). A Schlenk flask was charged with 6 (0.680 g, 1.82 mmol), PPh₃ (1.10 g, 4.19 mmol), ClCH₂CH₂Cl (60 mL), and a stir bar and was fitted with a condenser. The solution was stirred under vacuum, saturated with N₂, and placed in a 90 °C oil bath. After 15 min of reflux, solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL), and hexane (20 mL) was added with stirring. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 7 (0.620 g, 0.974 mmol, 54%), mp 200–203 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₂₅NO₂PRe: C, 54.71; H, 3.96. Found: C, 54.45; H, 4.10. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): $ν_{\text{NO}}$ 1657 (vs); $ν_{\text{CO}}$ 1559 (m).

NMR:²⁶ ¹H (δ , CDCl₃) 7.49–6.99 (m, 15H of 3C₆H₅ and 2H of C₉H₇), 6.68 (t, $J_{\rm HH}$ 7.6, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.06 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.98 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.85 (dd, $J_{\rm HH}$ 8.6, 1.1, 1H of C₉H₇), 4.42 (br d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 1.1, 1H of C₉H₇), 1.81 (s, CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm, CD₂Cl₂) 195.5 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 12.5, CO), C₆H₅ at 133.6 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 11.2, o), 130.2 (s, p), and 128.0 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.8, m),²⁷ C₉H₇ at²⁴ 126.7, 125.9 (2 s, C-4,7), 124.4, 123.8 (2 s, C-5,6), 115.5, 108.6 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 99.2 (s, C-2), 79.8 and 78.7 (2 s, C-1,3); 49.8 (s, CH₃); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm, CDCl₃) 15.2 (s).

 $(\eta^5\text{-}\mathrm{C}_9\mathrm{H}_7)\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})(\mathrm{PPh}_3)(\mathrm{CH}_3)$ (5). The supernatant that remained after the precipitation of 7 in the preceding experiment was chromatographed on a silica gel column with $\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2/\mathrm{hexane}$ (1:6 v/v; to remove PPh₃) and then $\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$. Solvent was removed from the bright orange eluate under oil pump vacuum to give 5 as an orange powder (0.200 g, 0.329 mmol, 18%), mp 69–72 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28}\mathrm{H}_{25}\mathrm{NOPRe}$: C, 55.25; H, 4.14; N, 2.30. Found: C, 55.35; H, 4.18; N, 2.25. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν_{NO} 1630 (va).

NMR:²⁶ ¹H (δ , CD₂Cl₂) 7.41–7.32 (m, 15H of 3C₆H₅), 7.09–6.76 (m, H–4,5,6,7 of C₉H₇), 5.59 (t, $J_{\rm HH}$ 2.9, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.17 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 4.68 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 0.64 (d, $J_{\rm HP}$ 5.1, CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm, CDCl₃) C₆H₅ at 135.1 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 51.9, i), 133.6 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.6, o), 129.9 (s, p), and 128.1 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.1, m), C₉H₇ at²⁴ 126.7, 125.5 (2 s, C-4,7), 124.8, 123.1 (2 s, C-5,6), 113.2, 110.5 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 90.7 (s, C-2), 76.5 and 74.8 (2 s, C-1,3); -28.2 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 6.1, CH₃); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm, CD₂Cl₂) 25.9 (s).

[$(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_7)$ Re(NO)(PPh₃)(ClCD₂Cl)]+BF₄-(8- d_2). A 5-mm NMR tube was charged with 5 (0.049 g, 0.081 mmol) and CD₂Cl₂ (ca. 0.5 mL) and was capped with a septum. The tube was cooled to -80 °C, HBF₄·OEt₂ (0.0086 mL, 0.081 mmol) was added, and NMR spectra were recorded at -60 °C. ¹H NMR (δ): 7.75-6.73 (m, 15H of 3C₆H₅ and 4H of C₉H₇), 6.38 (br d, J_{HH} 8.6, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.63 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.27 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (ppm): 12.6 (s). A sample of 8 was analogously generated in CH₂Cl₂. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (ppm, -80 °C, unlocked): C₆H₅ at 132.3 (d, J_{CP} 9.2, o), 131.1 (s, p), 128.4 (d, J_{CP} 10.4, m);²⁷ C₉H₇ at²⁴ 130.4, 129.0 (2 s, C-4,7), 125.8, 123.7 (2 s, C-5,6), 115.8, 115.0 (2 s, C-3a, 7a), 91.2 (s, C-2), 76.1 and 71.3 (2 s, C-1,3); 74.2 (d, J_{CP} 2.6, CH₂).

[(η^5 -C₉H₇)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(η^1 -O=C(CH₃)₂)]+BF₄- (9). A Schlenk flask was charged with 5 (0.183 g, 0.300 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and a stir bar and was cooled to -80 °C. Then HBF₄·OEt₂ (0.035 mL, 0.30 mmol) was added with stirring to generate 8. Acetone (0.110 mL, 1.80 mmol) was added, and the cold bath was allowed to warm to room temperature over the course of several hours. The solution was concentrated to 2 mL under oil pump vacuum and added to ether (25 mL) via cannula. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2 × 2 mL) and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 9 (0.182 g, 0.246 mmol, 82%), mp 115–117 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₂₈BF₄NO₂PRe: C, 48.79; H, 3.82. Found: C, 48.05; H, 4.27. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν_{NO} 1693 (vs); ν_{CO} 1620 (m).

⁽²⁶⁾ All coupling constants (J) are in Hz. Some of the smaller C₉H₇ ligand ¹H NMR couplings assigned as $J_{\rm HH}$ values may actually be $J_{\rm HP}$

⁽²⁷⁾ The ipso carbon resonance was not observed.

⁽²⁸⁾ The IR spectrum was recorded immediately after depositing a thin film on a salt plate. However, an acetone ligand $\nu_{\rm C-O}$ absorption (observed for 9) was not detected.

NMR (CD₂Cl₂):²⁶ ¹H (δ) 7.56–7.08 (m, 15 H of 3C₆H₅ and 2H of C₉H₇), 6.95 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.79 (t, $J_{\rm HH}$ 7.6, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.66 (pseudo d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 8.5, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.74 (br d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 2.5, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.61 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 2.13 (d, $J_{\rm HP}$ 2.2, 2CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm) 230.3 (s, CO), C₆H₅ at 133.2 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 11.1, o), 131.6 (s, p), and 129.1 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 19.5, m),²⁷ C₉H₇ at ²⁴ 129.3, 128.9 (2 s, C-4,7), 126.0, 123.3 (2 s, C-5,6), 118.1, 115.5 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 89.4 (s, C-2), 73.8 and 72.0 (2 s, C-1,3); 31.9 (s, 2CH₃); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm) 18.9 (s).

[(η^5 -C₉H₇)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(η^1 -O=C(CH₂)₄CH₂)]+BF₄⁻ (10). Complex 5 (0.100 g, 0.164 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL), HBF₄·OEt₂ (0.018 mL, 0.16 mmol), and cyclohexanone (0.170 mL, 1.64 mmol) were combined in a procedure analogous to that given for 9. A similar workup gave 10 (0.097 g, 0.125 mmol, 76%), mp 177–181 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₃₂BF₄NO₂PRe: C, 50.91; H, 4.14. Found: C, 49.93; H, 4.16. A sample was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and layered with hexane. After 1 day, the resulting yellow needles were collected by filtration and dried under oil pump vacuum (0.5 h) to give 10·CH₂Cl₂, mp 177–181 °C dec. Calcd for C₃₃H₃₂BF₄NO₂PRe·CH₂Cl₂: C, 47.29; H, 3.97. Found: C, 47.39; H, 4.15. The presence of the monosolvate was verified by ¹H NMR (δ 5.30, 2H, CDCl₃). IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν_{NO} 1693 (vs); ν_{CO} 1618 (m).

NMR (CD₂Cl₂):²⁶ ¹H (δ) 7.62–7.11 (m, 15 H of 3C₆H₅ and 2H of C₉H₇), 7.09 (d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 7.2, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.87 (pseudo d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 8.6, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.15 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.91 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.13 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 2.34–1.49 (m, C₆H₁₀); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm) 236.3 (s, CO), C₆H₅ at 133.8 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.8, o), 132.1 (s, p), 130.9 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 56.1, i), and 129.6 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.7, m), C₉H₇ at²⁴ 131.8, 130.1 (2 s, C-4,7), 127.4, 124.2 (2 s, C-5,6), 119.5, 113.5 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 90.0 (s, C-2), 76.3 and 73.9 (2 s, C-1,3); 42.5 (s, 2CH₂), 27.6 (s, 2CH₂), 23.8 (s, CH₂); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm) 19.5 (s).

Preparation of 3 from 8. A Fischer-Porter bottle was charged with 5 (0.066 g, 0.108 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and was cooled to -80 °C. Then HBF₄·OEt₂ (0.0117 mL, 0.108 mmol) was added with shaking to generate 8. Then CO was admitted (250 psi), and the cold bath was removed. After 7 h, the solution was concentrated under oil pump vacuum to ca. 1 mL and added dropwise to ether (15 mL). The resulting yellow solid was collected by filtration and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 3 (0.070 g, 0.099 mmol, 92%). The IR and ¹H/³¹P NMR spectra were identical with those reported above.

 $(\eta^5\text{-}\text{C}_9\text{H}_7)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3)$ (11). A Schlenk flask was charged with 3 (1.50 g, 2.12 mmol), CH₃OH (5 mL), and a stir bar. The suspension was stirred under vacuum and saturated with N₂. Then NaOCH₃ (1.70 mL, 4.37 M in methanol, 7.43 mmol) was added with stirring. After 40 min, the resulting yellow solid was collected by filtration, washed with CH₃OH (3 × 1 mL), H₂O (3 × 3 mL), and CH₃OH (2 × 1 mL) and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 11 (1.27 g, 1.95 mmol, 92%), mp 102–104 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₂₅NO₃PRe: C, 53.37; H, 3.86. Found: C, 52.78; H, 3.84. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν_{NO} 1674 (vs); ν_{CO} 1588 (m).

NMR (CDCl₃):²⁶ ¹H (δ) 7.54–7.00 (m, 15H of 3C₆H₅ and 2H of C₉H₇), 6.74 (t, $J_{\rm HH}$ 7.8, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.30 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.03 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.78 (d, $J_{\rm HH}$ 8.2, 1H of C₉H₇), 4.34 (br s, 1H of C₉H₇), 3.02 (s, CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm) 195.5 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 12.5, CO), C₆H₅ at 133.6 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 11.2, o), 130.2 (s, p), and 128.0 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.8, m), ²⁷ C₉H₇ at ²⁴ 126.7, 125.9 (2 s, C-4,7), 124.4, 123.8 (2 s, C-5,6), 115.5, 108.6 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 99.2 (s, C-2), 79.8 and 78.7 (2 s, C-1,3); 49.8 (s, CH₃); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm) 16.8 (s).

Preparation of 7 from 11. A Schlenk flask was charged with 11 (0.680 g, 1.04 mmol), toluene (30 mL), and a stir bar. Then CH₃MgBr (0.580 mL, 1.62 mmol, 2.80 M in ether) was added with stirring. After 20 min, solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum, and the residue was extracted with acetone (10 mL). The extract was filtered through silica gel, which was rinsed with acetone. Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation to give a yellow solid, which was washed with acetone (2 × 0.7 mL) and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 7 (0.350 g, 0.550 mmol, 53%). The IR and 1 H/ 31 P NMR spectra were identical with those reported above.

 $(\eta^5\text{-}\mathrm{C_9H_7})\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})(\mathrm{PPh_3})(\mathrm{CH_2CH_3})$ (12). A Schlenk flask was charged with 7 (0.550 g, 0.864 mmol), THF (60 mL), and a stir bar. Then BH₃·THF (4.0 mL, 1M in THF, 4.0 mmol) was added with stirring. The solution was refluxed for 15 min, and solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column with CH₂Cl₂. Solvent was removed from the orange eluate under oil pump vacuum to give 12 as an orange powder (0.512 g, 0.882 mmol, 95%), mp 146–150 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₂₇NOPRe: C, 55.94; H, 4.37. Found: C, 56.64; H, 4.42. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): $\nu_{\rm NO}$ 1628 (vs).

NMR (CDCl₃):²⁶ ¹H (δ) 7.51–7.01 (m, 15H of 3C₆H₅ and 2H of C₉H₇), 6.75 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.43 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.50 (t, $J_{\rm HH}$ 3.7, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.27 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 4.63 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 2.33 (m, CH_{α}), 1.40 (m, CH_{α'}, CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm) C₆H₅ at 133.6 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.4, o), 129.9 (s, p), and 128.0 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.0, m),²⁷ C₉H₇ at²⁴ 126.1, 125.8 (2 s, C-4,7), 124.2, 123.3 (2 s, C-5,6), 112.8, 111.4 (2 s, C-3a,7a), 93.8 (s, C-2), 76.1 and 74.9 (2 s, C-1,3); 24.0 (s, CH₃), –10.4 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 5.8, CH₂); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm) 26.2 (s).

 $(η^5\text{-}C_9\text{H}_7)\text{Re}(NO)(PPh_3)(I)$ (13). A Schlenk flask was charged with 12 (0.350 g, 0.562 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL), and a stir bar and was cooled to -40 °C. Aqueous HI (57%; 0.082 mL, 0.62 mmol) was added. The cold bath was allowed to warm to room temperature over the course of several hours. Solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum, and the residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The extract was filtered through silica gel. Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation, and the red solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and layered with hexane. After 1 day, the resulting dark red prisms were collected by filtration and dried under oil pump vacuum to give 13 (0.355 g, 0.493 mmol, 88%), mp 191–193 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₂INOPRe: C, 45.01; H, 3.08. Found: C, 44.76; H, 3.12. IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂): ν_{NO} 1671 (vs).

NMR (CDCl₃):²⁶ ¹H (δ) 7.50–7.14 (m, 15H of C₆H₅ and 2H of C₉H₇), 6.93 (t of m, $J_{\rm HH}$ 7.6, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.44 (d of m, $J_{\rm HH}$ 8.5, 1H of C₉H₇), 6.10 (m, 1H of C₉H₇), 5.47 (td, $J_{\rm HH}$ 2.7, 1.0, 1H of C₉H₇), 4.82 (m, 1H of C₉H₇); ¹³C{¹H} (ppm) C₆H₅ at 134.2 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.2, o), 130.5 (s, p), and 128.2 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ 10.0, m), ²⁷ C₉H₇ at ²⁴ 129.1, 127.9 (2 s, C-4,7), 126.9, 124.6 (2 s, C-5,6), 117.2, 113.7 (2 s, C-3a, 7a), 87.4 (s, C-2) and 73.4 (one s, C-1,3); ³¹P{¹H} (ppm) 15.3 (s).

Preparation of 5 from 13. A Schlenk flask was charged with $\text{CuBr} \cdot \text{S}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ (0.259 g, 1.25 mmol), THF (5 mL), and a stir bar and was cooled to 0 °C. Then CH_3MgBr (0.143 mL, 2.80 M in ether, 0.400 mmol) was added with stirring to generate CuCH_3 . After 0.5 h, a solution of 13 (0.144 g, 0.199 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added via cannula with stirring. After 1 h, the cold bath was removed, and after an additional 2 h, the mixture was filtered through Celite. Solvent was removed from the filtrate under oil pump vacuum, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel with CH_2Cl_2 . Solvent was removed from the bright orange eluate under oil pump vacuum to give 5 as an orange solid (0.075 g, 0.123 mmol, 62%). The IR and $^1\text{H}/^{31}\text{P}$ NMR spectra were identical with those reported above.

[$(\pi^5\text{-}C_5H_5)$ Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CO)]⁺BF₄⁻. A Schlenk flask was charged with [$(\pi^5\text{-}C_5H_5)$ Re(NO)(CO)₂]⁺BF₄⁻(3.00 g, 7.08 mmol), ¹⁴ PPh₃ (5.57 g, 21.2 mmol), ClCH₂CH₂Cl (80 mL), and a stir bar and was fitted with a condenser. The suspension was stirred under vacuum, saturated with N₂, refluxed in a 100 °C oil bath for 3 h, cooled to room temperature, and added to THF (300 mL). After 2 h, the resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with THF (3 × 3 mL), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give [$(\pi^5\text{-}C_5H_5)$ Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CO)]⁺BF₄⁻(3.99 g, 6.06 mmol, 86%). The IR and ¹H NMR spectra were identical with those previously reported. ¹⁴ ³¹P{¹H} NMR (ppm, CD₃CN): 11.8 (s).

Acknowledgment. We thank the NSF for support of this research and Dr. Y. Liu for some preliminary experiments.

OM930357U