

Towards a universal frequency of occurrence distribution for tsunamis: Statistical analysis of a 32-year bottom pressure record at Axial Seamount

Isaac V. Fine¹, Richard E. Thomson^{1*}, William W. Chadwick, Jr.² and Christopher G. Fox³

¹Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institute of Ocean Sciences, 9860 West Saanich Road, Sidney, British Columbia, CANADA V8L 4B2

² Oregon State University, CIMRS, 2115 S.E. OSU Dr., Newport, Oregon, 97365 USA

³ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, 2115 S.E. OSU Dr., Newport, Oregon, 97365 USA

* Corresponding author. Richard.Thomson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Abstract

Detailed examination of a 32-year record of high-resolution bottom pressure measurements at Axial Seamount (and vicinity) in the northeast Pacific identified a total of 41 tsunamis between 1986-2018. All of the events were associated with tsunamigenic earthquakes with magnitudes of 7.0 and greater. Statistical analysis of the data reveals that the time series of maximum tsunami amplitude and root-mean-square (RMS) tsunami amplitude follow strict power-law distributions, with considerably less deviation than for coastal tide gauge records. The high statistical reliability of the seamount record is attributable to the long duration of the observations and to the low signal-to-noise ratio of digital open-ocean bottom pressure records. The power law for the RMS tsunami amplitude is especially stable and follows a pure Pareto

distribution (with exponent, $\beta = 1.24$) even when the minimum threshold is increased to the point that only a few events remain in the time series.

1. Introduction

The determination of observation-based (empirical) relationships between the “size” of a geophysical processes and its frequency of occurrence is a fundamental goal of many branches of earth science. A well-known example is the power-law frequency distribution for earthquake magnitude, which began with the publication of the Gutenberg-Richter law in the middle of the last century (Gutenberg and Richter, 1944) and continues today as seismologists seek to include ever smaller events in the functional relationship (Ross et al., 2019). Although power law relationships have been derived for tsunamis (e.g., Burroughs and Tebbens, 2005; Geist and Parsons, 2006), formulation of statistical relationships has been limited by both the rarity of the events and the lack of long-term, high resolution tsunami records.

Prior to the mid-20th century, there were few tide gauge stations compared to earthquake measurement sites. Until the installation of 6-minute sampling Analog-to-Digital-Recording (ADR) tide gauges in the late 1960s and early 1970s, most coastal gauges recorded at hourly intervals. Because tsunamis typically have periods shorter than 1 hour and amplitudes less than ~10–20 cm, they were generally difficult to identify in the presence of ambient noise. In addition, tsunami archives typically only span a few decades, which are not sufficiently long to accurately determine the rate of occurrence for the largest tsunamis (Geist et al., 2009). In contrast to coastal tide gauge observations, tsunami measurements in the open ocean have always been measured in digital form by bottom pressure recorders (BPRs), known for their high signal-to-noise ratios. Moreover, coastal records are affected by site-specific resonant

effects over spatial scales of several kilometers or less, whereas open-ocean BPR records are characteristic of much larger oceanic regions.

Bottom pressure measurements of long ocean waves started in the mid-1960s (Snodgrass, 1969). However, it was not until 1979 that the first record of an open-ocean tsunami was obtained (Filloux, 1982). Dozens of BPRs are now deployed throughout the World Ocean as part of regional tsunami warning systems (Rabinovich and Eblé, 2015). Based on research at NOAA's Pacific Marine Environment Laboratory in Seattle, a reliable deep-ocean BPR capable of recording high-quality tsunami waves was first deployed in the northeast Pacific in 1986 (Eblé and González, 1991). For almost every year, from the late 1980s to the present, autonomous BPRs have been moored within the ~1500 m deep caldera of Axial Seamount off the coast of Oregon (Figure 1). The primary motivation of these deployments was to monitor vertical movements of the seafloor at this active volcano (Embley et al., 1999; Embley and Baker, 1999; Chadwick et al., 2013). These BPRs record internally, so that data can only be retrieved upon instrument recovery (Fox, 1990, 1999; Chadwick et al., 2006; Nooner and Chadwick, 2009; Chadwick et al., 2012; Nooner and Chadwick, 2016). In 1996, before the undersea volcanic eruption at Axial Seamount in January 1998, the New Millennium Observatory Project (NeMO) was established to start long-term monitoring at the site (Embley et al., 1998). Beginning in 2014, additional BPRs were deployed at Axial Seamount as part of the Ocean Observatories Initiative (OOI) Cabled Array (Cowles, et al., 2010; Kelley et al., 2014), which presently connects four BPRs and other instruments in real-time through an optical cable to a station at Pacific City, Oregon (Figure 1). The high quality BPR records from Axial Seamount starting in 1986, combined with more recent BPR records from neighboring

buoys and cabled stations, constitutes one of the longest BPR records for tsunami research in the ocean.

The purpose of this study was to use the nearly-continuous 32-year record of bottom pressure at Axial Seamount to establish a precise size-frequency relationship for global tsunamis. Small gaps in the seamount record have been filled by data from nearby BPR sites. The results from tsunami waves recorded at the seamount cannot match those obtained from earthquake studies but do provide a major step toward meeting our intended goal.

2. Observations

This study uses the records from thirty-five individual BPR deployments available for Axial Seamount and vicinity (Chadwick and Nooner, 2015; Fox, 2016), as well as four records from the Cleft Segment of Juan de Fuca Ridge, located roughly 100 km to the south (Figure 1) and recent 2016-2018 data from the OOI. Data from the Cleft Segment can be considered coincident with those from Axial Seamount, provided that the amplitudes and phases of the tsunami waves at Cleft are adjusted for the spatial separation between the sites. This “adjustment” is made possible by several cases for which tsunami waves were concurrently recorded at the two locations. The concurrent recordings also enabled us to include the Kermadec Islands tsunami of October 20, 1986 – the only tsunami recorded at Cleft Segment but not at Axial Seamount – in the wave record. The combined BPR records for Axial Seamount span the 32.4-year period from October 20, 1986 to December 31, 2018, with approximately 4.4 years of cumulative gaps. Only one significant tsunami, the Cape Mendocino tsunami of 25 April 1992 (González et al., 1995), was missed due to the gaps. Because the quality of the data is generally high, it was possible to use all available records in

the tsunami analyses. Records from 1986-1992 are at 56.25-s sampling intervals, while all other records are at intervals of 15 s or shorter.




Figure 1. Locations of bottom pressure recorders (BPRs) at Axial Seamount and vicinity. The star marks the Axial Seamount summit where most BPR data were collected; WC50 and WC 62 show locations of early BPR measurement sites to the north and east. Also shown are locations of BPRs at the Cleft Segment, offshore DART sites and CORK 1026 of the Ocean

Drilling Program (ODP). Sites 46029 and 46050 are coastal weather buoys. Lines denoting the OOI Cabled Observatory terminate in Pacific City, Oregon.

3. Methods

A sequence of steps was taken to extract the tsunami waves from the bottom pressure records:

1. We first determined the times and locations of possible tsunamigenic earthquakes in the North Pacific; i.e., those that occurred off Japan, Alaska, Russia and North America and were known to have generated at least a local tsunami (NCEI Global Tsunami Database, NGDC 2019). For each of 122 earthquakes identified, we computed the longwave travel time from the earthquake location to the BPR site using the method of Fine and Thomson (2013). Based on Huygens Principle, the method uses an eight-grid point pattern and the most recent data on the advancing tsunami wavefront orientation to calculate the time for the waves to travel to a specific location. Although the assumptions used in the method are not fully valid for very long source-site separations, the difference from actual arrival times for most tsunamis did not exceed a few percent.

To distinguish the tsunami signal from background noise, we used the frequency (f) versus time (t) wavelet approach developed by González and Kulikov (1993). Because of dispersive wave effects, the higher frequency waves from an earthquake arrive later than lower frequency waves. This effect is recognizable in a wavelet f - t diagram, when compared to the theoretical arrival curve. To estimate the theoretical arrival curve, an effective depth, H_e , along the tsunami ray path is first calculated. This depth is computed as that for an ocean of constant depth, H_e , for which the travel time along the ray path is the same as that for the long-wave travel time based on the actual bathymetry.

A ray path is calculated using two computed wave travel time maps; one from the earthquake location, $t(\lambda_E, \phi_E, \lambda, \phi)$, and the other from the BPR location, $t(\lambda_0, \phi_0, \lambda, \phi)$. Here λ, ϕ are longitude and latitude, and subscripts E and 0 apply to the earthquake and BPR locations, respectively. The ray path, $\rho(\lambda, \phi)$, is computed as a set of points for which the sum of these two travel times is a minimum. We then compute the arrival time for each of the earthquake events as:

$$T_A(\omega) = T_E + t_0(\lambda_E, \phi_E) \frac{\sqrt{gH_e}}{c_g(\omega, H_e)},$$

where T_E is the time of the earthquake, $t_0(\lambda_E, \phi_E) = t(\lambda_E, \phi_E, \lambda_0, \phi_0)$ is a longwave travel time from the source to the BPR location, and H_e is the effective depth computed using the length of the ray path, R , and travel time, t . Here,

$$H_e = \frac{1}{g} \left[\frac{R(\lambda_E, \phi_E)}{t_0(\lambda_E, \phi_E)} \right]^2,$$

where g is the gravitational acceleration and $c_g(\omega; H_e)$ is the group speed for a wave with an angular frequency ω ,

$$c_g = \frac{1}{2} c \left[1 + \frac{2kH_e}{\sinh(2kH_e)} \right],$$

where c is the phase speed,

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{g}{k} \tanh(kH_e)},$$

and k is the wavenumber.

2. The data were interpolated, where necessary, to form a uniformly spaced time series with a 15-s sampling interval, de-tided using harmonic analysis (Pawlowicz et al., 2002), and then filtered using a tsunami-band filter with cut-off periods of 3 and 120 min.

3. For each event, we extracted a 2-day subset of the time series centered at the theoretical arrival time of the tsunamis. A Morlet wavelet analysis (e.g., Thomson and Emery, 2014) was then applied to the 2-day records to determine the tsunami wave forms as functions of frequency and time. The dispersion-dependent theoretical arrival time was incorporated in the wavelet plots to confirm, visually, the presence of a tsunami. For many of the events, there were two or more BPR records contributing to the pool of wavelet diagrams.

4. Results

We analysed 230 wavelet diagrams spanning 122 earthquakes catalogued for the Pacific Ocean over the period 1986-2018 in the NCEI Global Tsunami Database (NGDC, 2019). Ninety-one of the diagrams contained tsunami waves from 41 earthquakes, all of which had magnitudes of 7.0 or greater. Figure 2a shows the time lines of the available BPR data, together with the names of eleven well-studied tsunamigenic earthquakes around the Pacific. (Detailed information on the 91 tsunami records is presented in Tables S1 and S2 of the Supporting Information.)




Figure 2. Temporal coverage of the BPR records and observed tsunamis at Axial Seamount and vicinity for 1986-2018. (a) Times of earthquakes and their magnitudes (M_w), along with periods spanned by the BPR series at Axial Seamount and the nearby CORK and DART sites 446404 and 46419 (see Figure 1 for location). The magenta segments on the Axial Seamount time-line indicate recordings at Cleft Segment; the dashed lines denote time intervals for which continuous records are not available; and (b) source regions for the tsunamis recorded at Axial Seamount. Earthquake magnitudes are proportional to circle areas. Lines denote the ray traces (computed as having minimum propagation times) from the earthquake location to Axial Seamount.

Figure 2b shows the locations of the 41 earthquake sources for the tsunamis recorded at Axial Seamount, along with the wave ray paths from the source to the seamount. The ray paths represent the shortest computed travel time for the waves. While the trace for the shortest time does not necessarily coincide with the actual trajectory of the most energetic tsunami waves, the trajectories are identical, or nearly identical, for many of the tsunami source regions. For example, all tsunamis that originated near Japan, the Kuril Islands, Kamchatka or the Aleutian Islands (a total of 12 events) had the same path. Also, events from northern Chile and Peru had the same paths, while those from central and southern Chile had slightly different paths. For tsunamis following a given trajectory, the wave transformation along a ray will be similar. Consequently, it is possible to forecast the effect of a specific tsunami originating from a source located near a historical source, not only for Axial Seamount, but also for neighbouring locations along the British Columbia-Washington-Oregon coast.

Detection of tsunami events: specific examples

The Kuril Island tsunami of January 13, 2007, generated by a strong $M_w=8.1$ deep-water earthquake (Lobkovsky et al., 2009), provides an example of a well-defined tsunami record at Axial Seamount. Tsunami waves from the event are evident in the time series of the de-tided BPR record (upper pane in Figure 3a), and even more well-defined in the wavelet diagram (lower pane in Figure 3a). The waves from this event were in the 2 to 30 cph frequency band (periods of 30 to 2 min) and the leading waves were clearly dispersive. Another clear example, is the record from the Irian-Jaya tsunami generated by the strong (M_w 8.2) earthquake in the Irian Sea on February 17, 1996 (Imamura et al., 1997). Based on the wavelet diagram (Figure 3b) for this poorly studied event, we find that the tsunami spanned a much lower frequency

band than the Kuril Island 2007 event, which is attributable to the shallow water in the Irian-Jaya tsunami source region.

The short frontal wave of the Mendocino Ridge tsunami of September 1, 1994 (M_w 7.0) exemplifies tsunami waves originating with a near-field source. Although this was a low energy tsunami, the 4 mm wave amplitudes are readily detectable in the wavelet analysis because of the low background noise at the time (Figure 3c). There were no secondary waves in the record or in the wavelet diagram because of the weak source and close proximity to the seamount. We know of no other recordings of this tsunami except for the small waves reported for Crescent City (Lander et al., 2003). Our analysis also identified another weak near-field tsunami associated with the Northern California earthquake of June 15, 2005 ($M_w=7.2$).




Figure 3. Anomalies of bottom pressure variations (converted to an equivalent sea level height) for selected tsunamis recorded at Axial Seamount, together with their corresponding wavelet diagrams. Times in UTC. (a) The 13 January 2007 Kuril Islands tsunami; (b) the 17 February 1996 Irian-Jaya tsunami; (c) the 1 September 1994 Mendocino Ridge tsunami; and (d) the 17 November 2003 Rat Islands tsunami. Bottom pressure anomalies were obtained by removing the tides. Red arrows denote the observed tsunami arrival time, the letter “E” and red line indicate the time of the earthquake. The dashed white lines in the wavelet diagrams denote the theoretical tsunami arrival times.

The BPR record from the Rat Islands tsunami of November 17, 2003 is an example of a poorly-defined tsunami. As indicated by the time series and wavelet diagram in Figure 3d, the background noise was extremely high because of the strong storm on November 17 off the Oregon-Washington coast. Meteorological observations from nearby stations reveal high sea conditions around this date, with a maximum significant wind wave height of 11.3 m in the morning of November 17 at buoy 46005, located roughly 80 km to the west of Axial Seamount (Figure 1). Significant wave heights of 8-9 m were recorded later in the day at coastal buoys 46029 and 46050.

According to the data and numerical simulations (Rat Islands Tsunami, 2019), most of the energy from the Rat Islands event went to the south toward the Hawaiian Islands, with little energy propagating to the east, where open ocean tsunami amplitudes were predicted to be only a few millimeters. Such small waves are difficult to detect during times of high background noise, as occurred at Axial Seamount at this time. We have characterized this event as “non-detectable” and do not include it in our study (Table S1).

Statistical analysis

Both the variance and maximum wave amplitude are characteristics of tsunami strength and can be used as the “size” parameter when estimating a sortable statistic of tsunami events (Geist and Parsons, 2006). As with other natural hazards, the frequency-size distribution for tsunamis at a particular location tends to follow a power-law relationship, or a pure Pareto distribution (Burroughs and Tebbens, 2005) of the form,

$$\Phi(R) = \left(\frac{R_{\min}}{R} \right)^{\beta},$$

where $\Phi(R) = 1 - F(R)$ is the survival function obtained from the cumulative distribution function, $F(R)$. Here, R is the size of a tsunami (either tsunami amplitude or variance), $R_{\min}$ is

the smallest size value for which the power law holds and β is the shape parameter, or in the case of the Pareto distribution, the power-law exponent.

To estimate β , we use the maximum-likelihood estimate (Kagan, 2002), yielding

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{R_i}{R_{\min}}$$

where N is the number of observations. We have used two types of variables to characterize the intensity of tsunamis at Axial Seamount: (1) The maximum tsunami amplitude for each event; and (2) the square root of the variance (i.e., the RMS amplitude) for the first 12 hours of the tsunami wave record. If there are several records for a specific tsunami, we computed the mean value of the wave amplitude variable. We then determined the thresholds for the two types of statistics. Based on our ability to detect tsunami waves within the sometimes noisy BPR records (as discussed in the previous section), we choose 1 cm as the minimal tsunami amplitude and 1.5 mm as the minimum tsunami RMS amplitude. A total of 18 events had tsunami amplitudes in excess of 1 cm and 24 events had RMS amplitudes in excess of 1.5 mm. As indicated by Figure 4, the tsunami distribution based on the RMS estimates has a marked power-law dependence, with $\beta=1.24$ for the full set of 24 events, with small variability around this value depending on the threshold. Even for a high threshold of 8 mm, comprising only 3 tsunami events, the MLE estimation of the power parameter β remains close to that for the full set. We find no indication that the calculated distribution deviates from a power-law, even for the very largest events. On a strictly physical basis, the power-law must have a limitation, as tsunami size is limited by a number of factors, including the magnitude and extent of the earthquake source. Although such a limitation would normally lead to a truncated or a tapered Pareto distribution, there is no indication of this limitation for the Axial Seamount records.

Perhaps, the 32-year open ocean record is too short to capture a change at the upper limit of the tsunami statistics. The beta parameter for the RMS statistics is greater than 1, which indicates that this statistic does not fall into the “heavy” category (Geist and Parson, 2006). If β is less than 1, the distribution is considered “heavy”, and has infinite statistical moments; otherwise the statistical moments remain finite. Here, β is well above 1 for both the RMS amplitude and peak amplitude datasets. This result differs from Geist and Parsons (2006) who compute statistics for the Miako (Japan) tide gauge station, for which β equals 1.01 when the 2011 Tohoku event is included and 1.09 when the event is excluded.

To compare estimates of β obtained for Axial Seamount with those for the nearby coast, we examined the tsunami statistics for several tide gauge stations on the west coasts of the US and Canada using the Global Tsunami Database (NGDC, 2019). A majority of the tide gauge records have incomplete tsunami data sets with fewer than 10 tsunami events, or had events that were too weak to include in the analysis. The primary exception is Crescent City, which is known to have high tsunami sensitivity and to have experienced serious damage from several events, including the 2006 Kuril Islands tsunami (Kowalik et al., 2008) and the 2011 Tohoku tsunami (Allan et al., 2012). As a result of this sensitivity, the tide gauge at Crescent City provides a reliable coastal tsunami record compatible to that for Axial Seamount. Despite major differences in tsunami wave heights between the two sites, the statistical distributions in Figure 4 are similar. Although the shape parameter for Crescent City varies more as a function of the number of events and the selected threshold value than for Axial Seamount, it is still in the range of values derived for the seamount. Thus, our tsunami statistics are representative of this part of Pacific Ocean, which is open to distant tsunamis but not strongly affected by nearfield tsunamis, as is the case for the region off Japan.




Figure 4. Cumulative distributions, $F(R)$, and power-law exponents for tsunamis recorded at Axial Seamount during 1986-2018 based on: (1) the RMS wave amplitude records for the 24 highest tsunamis (blue line); (2) the maximum wave amplitude for the highest 18 tsunamis (red line); and (3) the maximum wave amplitudes for the highest 15 events at Crescent City. The frequency axis is in cycles per year (cpy). Thick dashed lines denote the Pareto power-law distributions with specified shape parameters, β ; thin dashed lines span the ± 1 standard error confidence intervals.

5. Conclusions

This study analyzes 41 tsunamis identified in the long-term bottom pressure records at Axial Seamount and from nearby sites in the northeast Pacific. Many of the tsunamis were simultaneously recorded by up to six BPRs, and many of the records, especially those during the last two decades of the last century, appear to have been unknown to previous researchers. Statistical analysis of the tsunamis measured by the BPRs shows that the maximum wave amplitudes follow a strict power law distribution, having considerably less deviation than for coastal tide gauge records. The improved statistical reliability provided by the nearly continuous 32-year seamount record is attributed to the long duration of the digital observations and to the high fidelity (low signal-to-noise ratio) of the data series. The power law distribution derived from the RMS time series is remarkably stable, following a pure Pareto distribution up to the highest possible minimum threshold, when only a few events remain in the time series. That both the RMS and maximum amplitudes follow similar power-law distributions is, perhaps, not unexpected considering the statistical relationship between the two variables for a fully random processes (Cartwright and Longuet-Higgins, 1956). It is less clear why such a relationship would hold for impulsively generated tsunami waves not comprised of a superposition of a large number of sinusoids with random phases.

The importance of our findings lies in the fact that open-ocean tsunami records contain mostly progressive waves, whereby the variance (and thus the RMS) of the wave heights characterize the “raw” energy flux that eventually impacts the adjoining coastal regions. The RMS statistics reflect the general statistical features for the entire coast, which then can be adjusted to specific locations using empirical and numerically simulated transfer functions between the bottom pressure records at the open ocean sites and the tide gauge records at coastal locations. This work also shows the value of long-term continuous BPR observations, which should continue

at Axial Seamount for several more decades because of the Ocean Observatories Initiative Cabled Array infrastructure.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the editor and the two anonymous reviewers for their positive comments and suggestions. The pre-1998 BPR data are available on the NOAA-NCEI website:

<https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/nndc/struts/results?&t=102597&s=1&d=1>; the OOI BPR data are on the OOI Data Portal: <https://oceanobservatories.org/data-portal/>. See also the NOAA BPR archive, <https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/hazard/bpr/>. The NOAA/PMEL Engineering Development Division provided invaluable support in collecting the BPR time-series in the NE Pacific.

References

- Allan, J. C., Komar, P. D., Ruggiero, P., & Witter, R. (2012). The March 2011 Tōhoku tsunami and its impacts along the U.S. West Coast. *Journal of Coastal Research*, **28**(5), 1142 – 1153. <https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-11-00115.1>
- Burroughs, S. M., & Tebbens, S. F. (2005). Power law scaling and probabilistic forecasting of tsunami runup heights. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, **162**, 331–342.
- Cartwright, D. E., & Longuet-Higgins, M. S. (1956). The statistical distribution of the maxima of a random function. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, **237**(1209), 212-232.
- Chadwick, Jr., W. W., Nooner, S., Zumberge, M., Embley, R. W., & Fox, C. G. (2006). Vertical deformation monitoring at Axial Seamount since its 1998 eruption using

- deep-sea pressure sensors, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, **150**, 313-327, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.07.006>
- Chadwick, Jr., W. W., Nooner, S. L., Butterfield, D. A., & Lilley, M. D. (2012). Seafloor deformation and forecasts of the April 2011 eruption at Axial Seamount, *Nature Geoscience*, **5**(7), 474-477, doi:10.1038/NGEO1464.
- Chadwick, Jr., W. W., Clague, D. A., Embley, R. W., Perfit, M. R., Butterfield, D. A., Caress, D. W., et al. (2013). The 1998 eruption of Axial Seamount: New Insights on submarine lava flow emplacement from high-resolution mapping, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, and Geosystems*, **14**(10), 3939-3968, doi:10.1002/ggge20202.
- Chadwick, W., & Nooner, S. (2015). Processed bottom pressure recorder (BPR) data from uncabled instruments deployed at Axial Seamount on the Juan de Fuca Ridge (investigators William Chadwick and Scott Nooner). *Integrated Earth Data Applications (IEDA), Marine Geoscience Data System*, doi: 10.1594/IEDA/322282.
- Cowles, T., Delaney, J., Orcat, J., & Weller, R. (2010). The ocean observatory initiative: sustained ocean observing across a range of spatial scales. *Marine Technology Society Journal*, **44**, 54-64. DOI: 10.4031/MTSJ.44.6.21
- Eblé, M. C., & González, F. I. (1991). Deep-ocean bottom pressure measurements in the northeast Pacific. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, **8**(2), 221-233. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(1991\)008<0221:DOBPMI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(1991)008<0221:DOBPMI>2.0.CO;2)
- Embley, R. W., Chadwick, Jr., W. W., Clague, D., & Stakes, D. (1999). The 1998 Eruption of Axial Volcano: Multibeam anomalies and seafloor observations, *Geophysical Research. Letters.*, **26**(23), 3425-2428. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL002328>

Embley, R. W., & Baker, E. T. (1999). Interdisciplinary group explores seafloor eruption with remotely operated vehicle, *Eos Trans. AGU*, **80**(19), 213, 219, 222.

<https://doi.org/10.1029/99EO00157>.

Filloux, J. H. (1982). Tsunami recorded on the open ocean floor, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **9** (1), 25-28. <https://doi.org/10.1029/GL009i001p00025>.

Fine, I. V., & Thomson, R. E. (2013). A wavefront orientation method for precise numerical determination of tsunami travel time. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, **13**, 2863-2870 doi:10.5194/nhess-13-22863-2013

Fox, C. G. (1990). Evidence of active ground deformation on the mid-ocean ridge: Axial Seamount, Juan de Fuca Ridge, April-June, 1988, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **95**, 12813-12822 <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB095iB08p12813>

Fox, C. G. (1999). In situ ground deformation measurements from the summit of Axial Volcano during the 1998 volcanic episode, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **26**(23), 3437-3440 <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900491>

Fox, C. G. (2016), Processed Bottom Pressure Recorder (BPR) data from uncabled instruments deployed at Axial Seamount on the Juan de Fuca Ridge (investigator Chris Fox). Integrated Earth Data Applications (IEDA).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1594/IEDA/322344>

Geist, E. L., & Parsons, T. (2006). Probabilistic analysis of tsunami hazards, *Natural Hazards*, **37**, 2 77–314 DOI: 10.1007/s11069-005-4646-z

Geist, E. L., Parsons, T., ten Brink, U., & Lee, H.J. (2009). Tsunami Probability, in: *The Sea*, v. 15: Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University Press, p. 93-135

- Geist, E. L., & Parsons, T. (2011). Assessing historical rate changes in global tsunami occurrence. *Geophysical Journal International*, **187**, 497–509 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05160.x
- González, F. I., Mader, C. L., Eblé, M. C., & Bernard, E. N. (1991). The 1987–88 Alaskan Bight Tsunamis: Deep Ocean Data and Model Comparisons. In: Bernard E.N. (eds) *Tsunami Hazard*. Springer, Dordrecht
- González, F. I., & Kulikov, E. A. (1993). Tsunami dispersion observed in the deep ocean. In: S. Tinti (Eds.) *Tsunamis in the World*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 7-16.
- González, F. I., Satake, K., Boss, E. F., & Mofjeld, H. O. (1995). Edge wave and non-trapped modes of the 25 April 1992 Cape Mendocino tsunami. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, **144**, 409-426 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00874375>.
- Gutenberg, B., & Richter, C. F. (1944). Frequency of earthquakes in California. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **34**, 185-188.
- Imamura F., Subandono, G., Watson, A., Moore, T., Takahasji, H., Matsutomi, H., & Hidayat, R. (1997). Irian Jaya earthquake and tsunami cause serious damage. *EOS, Transaction, American Geophysical Union*, **78**(19), 197-204.
- Kagan, Y. Y. (2002). Seismic moment distribution revisited: I. Statistical results. *Geophysical Journal International*, **148**, 520–541 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246x.2002.01594.x>.
- Kelley, D. S., Delaney, J. R., & Juniper, S. K. (2014). Establishing a New Era of Submarine Volcanic Observatories: Cabling Axial Seamount and the Endeavour Segment of the Juan de Fuca Ridge, *Marine Geology 50th Anniversary Special Volume*, **352**, 426-450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.03.010>

- Kowalik, Z., Horrillo, J., Knight, W., & Logan, T. (2008). Kuril Islands tsunami of November 2006: 1. Impact at Crescent City by distant scattering. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **113**, C01020, doi:[10.1029/2007JC004402](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004402).
- Lander, J. F., Whiteside, L. S., & Lockridge, P. A. (2003). Two Decades of Global Tsunamis, 1982-2002, *Science of Tsunami Hazards*, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 3-82.
- Lobkovsky, L.I., Rabinovich, A.B., Kulikov, E.A., Ivashchenko, A.I., Fine, I.V., Thomson, R.E., et al. (2009). The Kuril Earthquakes and tsunamis of November 15, 2006, and January 13, 2007: Observations, analysis, and numerical modeling, *Oceanology*, 49, 166–181. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001437009020027>
- National Geophysical Data Center / World Data Service: NCEI/WDS Global Historical Tsunami Database. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. doi:10.7289/V5PN93H7 [last accessed on December 20, 2019]
- Nooner, S.L., & Chadwick, Jr., W.W. (2009). Volcanic inflation measured in the caldera of Axial Seamount: Implications for magma supply and future eruptions, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **10**, Q02002, doi:10.1029/2008GC002315.
- Nooner, S. L., & Chadwick, Jr., W. W. (2016). Inflation-predictable behavior and co-eruption deformation at Axial Seamount, *Science*, **354**(6318), 1399-1403, doi:10.1126/science.aah4666.
- Pawlowicz, R., Beardsley, B., & Lentz, S. (2002). Classical tidal harmonic analysis including error estimating in MATLAB using T_TIDE. *Computers and Geosciences*, **28**, 929-937. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-3004\(02\)00013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-3004(02)00013-4)

Rabinovich, A., & Eblé, M. (2015). Deep-ocean measurements of tsunami waves. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, **172**(12), 3281–3312, doi: 10.1007/s00024-015-1058-1.

Rat Islands Tsunami:

https://nctr.pmel.noaa.gov/benchmark/Field/Field_RatIsland/index.html

Ross, Z.E., Trugman, D.T., Hauksson, E., & Shearer, P.M. (2019). Searching for hidden earthquakes in Southern California. *Science*, **364**, 767-770.

Snogross, F.E. (1969). Study of ocean waves, 10-5 to 1 Hz, *Survey Paper No. 8*, Horace Lamb Centre, Flinders, University of Australia, 41 pp.

Thomson, R. E., & Emery, W. J. (2014). *Data Analysis Methods in Physical Oceanography: 3rd Edition*. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, London, New York, 716 pp.