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## 1 Introduction

Thermalization and non-equilibrium dynamics in two-dimensional conformal field theory is a rich subject touching on many topics of contemporary interest, from cold atom experiments to chaos in quantum gravity [1-3]. Dynamics of 2d CFTs is constrained by presence of an infinite tower of local conserved quantum KdV charges $\hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$, which commute with each other and the CFT Hamiltonian $\hat{Q}_{1}=L_{0}-c / 24$. The qKdV integrable structure of 2d CFTs has been actively studied in the past [4-7], as well as more recently [8-11].

Interest in quantum KdV charges was rekindled recently in the context of generalized thermalization of quantum integrable systems and Generalized Gibbs Ensemble, which is expected to emerge as a result of thermalization dynamics [12]. In particular, if the initial

2d CFT state carries non-trivial qKdV charges, local physics at late times was argued to be given by the KdV GGE state [13],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{GGE}}=e^{-\sum_{k} \tilde{\mu}_{k} \hat{Q}_{2 k+1}} / \mathcal{Z}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\sum_{k} \tilde{\mu}_{k} \hat{Q}_{2 k+1}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the KdV generalized partition function. Thermodynamics and other basic properties of the KdV GGE are not well understood. In fact $\mathcal{Z}$ is not known explicitly even for simplest theories. This paper is paving the way for calculation of $\mathcal{Z}$ in the large $c$ limit. In the previous works on the subject, both on holographic and the CFT sides [14-17], it was implicitly assumed that the BTZ black holes, i.e. eigenstates of $\hat{Q}_{1}=L_{0}-c / 24$ on the CFT side, are the leading saddle point configurations contributing to the KdV generalized partition function (1.2). Provided this is the case, $1 / c$ corrections can be calculated by quantizing small fluctuations near the BTZ saddle, as was done on the CFT side in [17]. We show this is not always the case, namely there are novel black hole configurations, which correspond to complicated CFT states, not $\hat{Q}_{1}$ eigenstates, which are leading contributions to $\mathcal{Z}$, at least for the particular values of chemical potentials $\tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}$.

These KdV-charged black holes, which we construct explicitly, are gravity dual to the KdV GGE state (1.1). Conventional BTZ geometries emerge as a particular case, which is dual to the conventional Gibbs Ensemble, i.e. when all $\tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}=0$ except for $\tilde{\mu}_{1}=\beta$.

The mapping between a particular KdV-charged black hole and (1.1) is non-trivial. Namely, the averaged values of $\hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$ in $\rho_{\mathrm{GGE}}$ should match those in the holographic configuration. Thus, at infinite $c$, corresponding classical black hole geometry analytically continued to Euclidean signature should be a leading saddle point configuration of the corresponding gravity path integral evaluating $\mathcal{Z}$. To support the validity of this prescription, we explicitly show for a particular simple KdV-charged configuration that for the certain values of $\tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}$ its contribution exceeds those of the BTZ black holes.

We provide a general proof that the KdV-charged black holes satisfy the first law of thermodynamics. This and other properties of the geometries follow from the integrable structure of the KdV equation and its relation to the co-adjoint orbit of Virasoro algebra. To make the presentation self-contained, we start with a succinct introduction of mathematical preliminaries in the next section. After that, in section 3, we explain how classical integrability of KdV equation gives rise to quantum KdV charges in 2d CFTs. The new geometrical solutions are constructed and analyzed in section 4, where we also prove the thermodynamic identity. Section 5 discusses dual field theory interpretation of the new geometries. In section 6 we calculate KdV generalized partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ on the gravity side in the case when only $\tilde{\mu}_{1}$, and $\tilde{\mu}_{3}$ are non-zero, and see that only thermal AdS and BTZ configurations contribute. Then in section 7 we turn on $\tilde{\mu}_{5}$ and see that new KdVcharged black hole configurations appear and may become leading for a particular range of parameters. We conclude with a discussion in section 8.

## 2 Mathematical preliminaries

In this section we provide mathematical preliminaries necessary for the general discussion of the consecutive sections. We aimed at a self-contained but concise presentation and many details and proofs were omitted. The reader is advised to consult the original papers by Witten, Novikov, and others [18-22] for a systematic presentation of the geometry of the co-adjoint orbits of Virasoro algebra, finite-zone solutions of the generalized KdV equations, and other related questions.

### 2.1 Co-adjoint orbit of Virasoro algebra

We start by introducing the group diff $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ of diffeomorphisms of a circle. Elements of diff $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ are monotonically increasing functions $\tilde{\varphi}=g(\varphi)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(2 \pi)=g(0)+2 \pi, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $g$ is an invertible map of a circle into itself, $g(\varphi)=g\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow \varphi=\varphi^{\prime}$. Corresponding Lie algebra is the Witt algebra of vector fields on a circle $f(\varphi) \partial_{\varphi}$.

Next we consider a periodic "potential" $u(\varphi), u(\varphi+2 \pi)=u(\varphi)$ and a "wave-function" $\psi(\varphi)$ satisfying "Schrödinger" equation (properly called Hill's equation),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{u}{4} \psi=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Diffeomorphisms $g \in \operatorname{diff} \mathbb{S}^{1}$ naturally act on $u$ and $\psi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
g: & \psi(\varphi) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\varphi}),  \tag{2.3}\\
g: & u(\varphi) \rightarrow \tilde{u}(\tilde{\varphi}), \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

such that the Hill's equation continue being satisfied (the derivative is with respect to $\tilde{\varphi}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\tilde{\psi}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\tilde{u}}{4} \tilde{\psi}=0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new potential and the new wave-function are defined via

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi))=\psi(\varphi)\left(\frac{d \tilde{\varphi}}{d \varphi}\right)^{1 / 2},  \tag{2.6}\\
& \tilde{u}(\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi))=\left(\frac{d \tilde{\varphi}}{d \varphi}\right)^{-2}[u(\varphi)+2\{\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi\}] . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here for any $\theta(\varphi)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\theta, \varphi\} \equiv \frac{\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\theta^{\prime}}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\theta^{\prime \prime}}{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{2}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Schwarzian derivative.
An infinitesimal transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\varphi)=\varphi-\epsilon f(\varphi) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

acts on the potential as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(\varphi)=u(\varphi)+\epsilon \mathcal{D} f, \quad \mathcal{D} \equiv(\partial u)+2 u \partial-2 \partial^{3} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we will now see, this is the action of Virasoro algebra, central extension of Witt algebra, on its co-adjoint orbit.

Elements of Virasoro algebra are the pairs $(f, a)$ where $f$ is a vector field and $a$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-number with the following commutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(f_{1}, a_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}, a_{2}\right)\right]=\left(f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime}-f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}, a\right), \quad a=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi\left(f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}-f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Co-adjoint space is the linear space dual to the algebra. Its elements are the pairs $[u, \hat{t}]$ where $u(\varphi) d \varphi^{2}$ is a "two-differential" and $[0, \hat{t}]$ is an element formally dual to $(0,1)$. We want $\hat{t}$ to be common for all elements, and therefore we can reduce the notations from $[u, \hat{t}]$ to simply $u$, such that the scalar product is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle(f, a), u\rangle=a+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi u f . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\langle(f, a), u\rangle$ is invariant under the action of a Virasoro algebra element $(v, b)$ provided,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta f=v f^{\prime}-v^{\prime} f, \quad \delta a=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi\left(v^{\prime \prime \prime} f-v f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), \quad \delta u=\mathcal{D} v . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Action of diff $\mathbb{S}^{1}(2.13)$ foliates the space of all $u(\varphi)$ into orbits - the co-adjoint orbits of Virasoro algebra. Starting with some potential $u$ one defines a sub-algebra of stabilizers $f$ of $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta u=\mathcal{D} f=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In full generality there could be either one or three linearly independent stabilizers [18], which must be closed in the Lie algebra sense. Then the orbit is defined by the action of all possible diffeomorphisms $g(\varphi)$ on the given $u$, modulo the stabilizer subgroup. The simplest orbit is obtained starting from a constant $u(\varphi)=u_{0}$. In this case the stabilizer is unique, $f=1$, up to an overall rescaling, with an exception of the case when $u_{0} \neq-n^{2}$ for some integer $n$. These are the orbits diff $\mathbb{S}^{1} / \mathbb{S}^{1}$ in the notations of Witten [18], or stable orbits in the notations of Lazutkin and Pankratova [20]. Quantization of such an orbit gives rise to Verma module.

When $u(\varphi)$ belongs to an orbit diff $\mathbb{S}^{1} / \mathbb{S}^{1}$ the stabilizer vector field $f$ for each $u$ is unique and sign-definite. The converse is also correct and easy to see. Let us consider $f$, such that it is sign-definite and $\mathcal{D} f=0$. We first notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi f_{0}^{-1}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \varphi}{f}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invariant under the diffeomorphism diff $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, as follows straightforwardly from (2.11). Next, one can define the diffeomorphism $\varphi \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}=g(\varphi)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{\varphi}=f_{0} \frac{d \varphi}{f}, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which brings $f$ to a constant form $f_{0}$. This is the diffeomorphism which brings $u(\varphi)$ to a constant, as follows from applying (2.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(\tilde{\varphi})=u_{0}=\frac{u f^{2}+f^{\prime 2}-2 f f^{\prime \prime}}{f_{0}^{2}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

That $u_{0}$ is a constant can be verified by differentiating it, $\tilde{u}^{\prime}=\left(f / f_{0}\right) \partial_{\varphi} u_{0}=\left(f^{2} / f_{0}^{3}\right) \mathcal{D} f=$ 0 . An alternative way to obtain the same expression is to start with $\mathcal{D} f=0$ and solve it as an equation for $u$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\varphi)=\frac{u_{0} f_{0}^{2}-f^{\prime 2}+2 f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u_{0} f_{0}^{2}$ appears as an integration constant. It is straightforward to see that (2.18) is compatible with (2.13) only if $u_{0} f_{0}^{2}$ is invariant under the diffeomorphisms. Hence $u_{0} f_{0}^{2}$ is equal to $u f^{2}$ when $u(\varphi)$ is $\varphi$-independent and hence so is $f$. Finally, we note that $u_{0}$ is the only invariant characterizing the orbit, and its invariance under the diffeomorphisms follows straightforwardly from (2.17) and (2.11).

The space of all potentials is a Poisson manifold with the Poisson bracket [23],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{24}\left\{u\left(\varphi_{1}\right), u\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\}=-2 \pi \mathcal{D} \delta\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is some numerical parameter. Written in terms of the Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{24}(u(\varphi)+1)=\sum_{k} \ell_{k} e^{i k \varphi}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Poisson brackets (2.19) reduce to Virasoro algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left\{\ell_{n}, \ell_{m}\right\}=(n-m) \ell_{n+m}+\frac{c\left(n^{3}-n\right)}{12} \delta_{n+m} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular for any functional $\mathcal{H}[u(\varphi)]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{24}\{\mathcal{H}, u(x)\}=\mathcal{D} f, \quad f=2 \pi \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u(x)} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{D} f$ is as the Hamiltonian vector field associated with $\mathcal{H}$ in the space of potentials $u(\varphi)$.
Since the Hamiltonian vector field (2.22) has the form of (2.13) with some appropriate $v=f$, Hamiltonian flow does not move $u(x)$ away from the orbit, hence on the space of all potentials the Poisson bracket is degenerate. Restricting it to a particular orbit removes this degeneracy, and (2.19) defines a symplectic form, such that each orbit is a symplectic manifold. This symplectic form is the Kirillov-Kostant form on the co-adjoint orbit of Virasoro algebra [24], as is also evident from (2.21).

### 2.2 KdV hierarchy

We now go back to Hill's equation (2.2) and extend it to a full Schrödinger eigenvalue problem (Sturm-Liouville equation),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{u}{4} \psi=\lambda \psi \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (non-degenerate) eigenvalues of periodic $\psi(2 \pi)=\psi(0)$ and anti-periodic $\psi(2 \pi)=$ $-\psi(0)$ problems constitute the so-called spectral data of $u(\varphi)$. Different potentials may share the same spectral data. In fact there is an infinite family of infinitesimal deformations which are isospectral, i.e. preserve the spectral data. The isospectral deformations are generated by the Hamiltonian flow associated with the Poisson bracket (2.19),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta u=\frac{c}{24}\left\{Q_{2 k-1}, u\right\} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{2 k-1}$ are the so-called KdV generators, which can be defined iteratively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 k-1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi R_{k}, \quad \partial R_{k+1}=\frac{k+1}{2 k+1} \mathcal{D} R_{k}, \quad R_{0}=1 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Gelfand-Dikii polynomials $R_{k}[25]$ satisfy various relations, in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{24}\left\{Q_{2 k-1}, u\right\}=(2 k-1) \partial R_{k} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

First few $R_{k}$ and $Q_{2 k-1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{0}=1, & R_{1}=u, \tag{2.27}
\end{align*} \quad R_{2}=u^{2}-\frac{4}{3} \partial^{2} u, \quad R_{3}=u^{3}-4 u \partial^{2} u-2(\partial u)^{2}+\frac{8}{5} \partial^{4} u, ~(\partial u)^{2}, \quad I_{3}=u^{4}+8 u(\partial u)^{2}+\frac{16\left(\partial^{2} u\right)^{2}}{5},
$$

where $2 \pi Q_{2 k+1}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi I_{k}$. Of course $I_{k}$ and $R_{k+1}$ differ only by a full derivative.
The name KdV comes from the form of the flow generated by $Q_{3}$. Assuming it defines a $t$-dependent function $u(t, x)$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=\frac{c}{24}\left\{Q_{3}, u\right\}=6 u u^{\prime}-4 u^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

we immediately recognize the original KdV equation (perhaps up to a notational difference).
The KdV charges are in involution, $\left\{Q_{2 k-1}, Q_{2 l-1}\right\}=0$, yet the action of $Q_{2 k-1}$ on a given $u(x)$ is usually non-trivial. It is known that the corresponding Hamiltonian flows exhaust all possible isospectral deformations.

### 2.3 Finite-zone "Novikov" solutions

For an arbitrary complex $\lambda$ equation (2.23) has two solutions, which can be combined into a complex-valued quasi-periodic wave-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\varphi+2 \pi)=\psi(\varphi) e^{2 \pi i p(\lambda)} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For real $\lambda$ the quasi-momentum $p(\lambda)$ is either real or pure imaginary. In the latter case $\lambda$ belongs to the so-called forbidden zone. Forbidden zones stretch between two consecutive eigenvalues of periodic or anti-periodic problem. The zone disappears if the periodic or anti-periodic problem is double degenerate. The quasi-momentum is a complex function with the branch-cuts along the forbidden zones and $\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$, where $\lambda_{0}$ is the energy of the ground state. For example all eigenvalue of the periodic and antiperiodic problems for the constant potential $u=u_{0}$ are double degenerate (except for the ground state),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{(n)}=\frac{n^{2}+u_{0}}{4}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore there are no forbidden zones and $p(\lambda)=\sqrt{\lambda-u_{0} / 4}$.
A special class of potentials with only a finite number of degeneracies lifted, and hence only a finite number of forbidden zones, are called finite-zone potentials. For example a one-zone potential will have a double-degenerate eigenvalue $\lambda^{(k)}$ for some $k \geq 1$ split into two, $\lambda_{-}^{(k)}=\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{+}^{(k)}=\lambda_{2}$, while all other eigenvalues of periodic and antiperiodic problems remain double-degenerate (although their values are no longer given by (2.31)). It turns out that the values of all double-degenerate eigenvalues are uniquely fixed by the vacuum energy $\lambda_{0}$ and the ends of the zones, which in our case are $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$. Corresponding $p(\lambda)$ has two branch-cuts from $-\infty$ to $\lambda_{0}$ and from $\lambda_{1}$ to $\lambda_{2}$ and is given by an Elliptic integral discussed below. It is naturally defined on a torus, a Riemann curve of genus 1. More generally a finite zone potential is specified by $\lambda_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq 2 n$ and is defined on a hyperelliptic curve of genus $n$.

Finite-zone potentials emerge as solutions of the static generalized KdV equation [19],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathcal{H}, u\}=0, \quad \mathcal{H}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu_{2 i+1} Q_{2 i+1}, \quad \mu_{2 n+1} \neq 0 \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact the following is true. Any solution of (2.32) is a $m \leq n$-zone potential, and all $n$-zone potentials can be obtained from (2.32) with the appropriate $\mu_{2 i+1}$.

For the given spectral data specified by the ends of the zones $\lambda_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq 2 n$, quasimomentum is specified indirectly by its differential

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p=\frac{\lambda^{n}+r_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1}+\ldots r_{0}}{2 y} d \lambda \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is defined on the Riemann curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=\prod_{i=0}^{2 n}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{i}\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coefficients $r_{i}$ are fixed by the condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{a_{i}} d p=2 \int_{\lambda_{2 i-1}}^{\lambda_{2 i}} d p=0 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

vanishes for any a-cycle, defined as the brunch-cuts of $y$ from $\lambda_{2 i-1}$ to $\lambda_{2 i}$. Because of (2.35), function $p(\lambda)$ defined such that $d p=(\partial p / \partial \lambda) d \lambda$ is a well defined function on the Riemann curve (2.34).

As a complex function $p(\lambda)$ has branch-cuts along the forbidden zones, and therefore finite zone solutions are also called finite- or multi-cut solutions, the language we occasionally use in this paper.

Each Hamiltonian flow generated by $Q_{2 k+1}$ is isospectral, hence it deforms a finite-zone solution into another, such that $\{\mathcal{H}, u\}=0$ continue being satisfied. For any fixed $Q_{2 k-1}$, $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, values of all higher charges $Q_{2 k-1}, k>n+1$ are fixed and the space of solutions is an $n$-dimensional torus (Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve (2.34)). All charges $Q_{2 k+1}$ generate a flow on the Jacobian, which is ergodic in a general case. The exception being the flow generated by $Q_{1}$ which is equivalent to the shift $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi+$ const and therefore $2 \pi$-periodic.

Spectral data is not invariant under diff $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ transformations (2.7), but $\lambda=0$ quasiperiodic eigenfunction $\psi$ transforms into $\tilde{\psi}$ according to (2.6), which is different only by an overall $2 \pi$-periodic factor. Hence $e^{2 \pi i p(0)}$ is an invariant on the whole co-adjoint orbit. Considering a constant representative $u(\varphi)=u_{0}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=-4 p^{2}(0) . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Example: one-cut solutions

In this section we solve the generalized $\operatorname{KdV}$ equation (2.32) for $n=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{24}\left\{Q_{3}+\alpha Q_{1}, u\right\}=6 u u^{\prime}-4 u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\alpha u^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating this equation twice we obtain an effective problem for a particle moving in a cubic potential

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{u^{\prime 2}}{2}+V(u)=E, \quad 2(E-V) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(u-u_{1}\right)\left(u-u_{2}\right)\left(u-u_{3}\right),  \tag{2.38}\\
s 1 & :=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}=-\alpha / 2 . \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Two out of three parameters $u_{i}$ are free. They specify the values of $Q_{1}, Q_{3}$ evaluated on the solution. From (2.38) $u(\varphi)$ can be obtained easily in terms of Weierstrass's elliptic function $\wp$ by specifying the initial condition $u\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$. We require the solution to be $2 \pi$-periodic, which imposes a condition on "energy" $E$, leaving only one free parameter, besides $\varphi_{0}$. There is in fact an infinite tower of solutions with the period $2 \pi / k$ for positive integer $k$, each being parametrized by one continuous parameter, in addition to $\varphi_{0}$. Weierstrass's function is associated with a torus, and we choose $s_{1}$ and torus modular parameter $q=e^{i \pi \tau}$ as the independent parameter of one-cute solution,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\frac{s_{1}}{3}-\frac{2 k^{2}}{3}\left(\theta_{2}(0 ; q)^{4}+\theta_{3}(0 ; q)^{4}\right),  \tag{2.40}\\
& u_{2}=\frac{s_{1}}{3}+\frac{2 k^{2}}{3}\left(\theta_{2}(0 ; q)^{4}-\theta_{4}(0 ; q)^{4}\right),  \tag{2.41}\\
& u_{3}=\frac{s_{1}}{3}+\frac{2 k^{2}}{3}\left(\theta_{3}(0 ; q)^{4}+\theta_{4}(0 ; q)^{4}\right) . \tag{2.42}
\end{align*}
$$

We order $u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq u_{3}$, such that the periodic solution describes the oscillations of a "particle" between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Sometimes instead of $u_{i}$ it is convenient to use $s_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{2}:=u_{1} u_{2}+u_{2} u_{3}+u_{1} u_{3}=-\frac{k^{4}}{\pi^{4}} g_{2}(\tau)+\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{3},  \tag{2.43}\\
& s_{3}:=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}=-\frac{2 k^{6}}{\pi^{6}} g_{3}(\tau)-\frac{k^{4}}{3 \pi^{4}} s_{1} g_{2}(\tau)+\frac{s_{1}^{3}}{27} . \tag{2.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ are modular forms. The value of $Q_{1}$ can be written in terms of $u_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=u_{3}+\left(u_{2}-u_{3}\right) \frac{{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{u_{2}-u_{1}}{u_{3}-u_{1}}\right)}{{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{u_{2}-u_{1}}{u_{3}-u_{1}}\right)} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Higher KdV charges can be expressed through $Q_{1}$ and $s_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left(2 s_{1} Q_{1}-s_{2}\right), \quad Q_{5}=\frac{2 s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}}{5} Q_{1}-\frac{s_{1} s_{2}+s_{3}}{5} . \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the spectral data, one-zone potential is characterized by three eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation (2.23), the ground state $\lambda_{0}$, and the ends of the forbidden zone, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\frac{u_{1}+u_{2}}{8}, \quad \lambda_{1}=\frac{u_{1}+u_{3}}{8}, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{u_{2}+u_{3}}{8} . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the "energy" $E$ is small, meaning $E-V$ approaches zero, the "particle" oscillates near the local minimum of the potential with the period $2 \pi / k$, and the values of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ approach $\lambda_{0}+k^{2} / 4$ from both sides. This corresponds to a small perturbation of the constant potential which removes degeneracy of just one eigenvalue in (2.31).

Besides one-cut solutions with non-constant $u(\varphi)$ there are also two $\varphi$-independent solutions of (2.38) corresponding to a "particle" sitting at the top or bottom of the potential.

## 3 qKdV symmetry in CFT $_{2}$

The co-adjoint orbit of Virasoro algebra diff $\mathbb{S}^{1} / \mathbb{S}^{1}$ is a symplectic manifold with the nondegenerate Poisson bracket (2.19). Upon quantization, it gives rise to Verma module with the primary (highest weight) state $|\Delta\rangle$ of dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{c}{24}\left(u_{0}+1\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the classical case $u(\varphi)$, or equivalently its Fourier modes $\ell_{n}(2.20)$, subject to a constraint which ensures $u(\varphi)$ belongs to the orbit, are the coordinates on the orbit. Upon quantization they become Virasoro algebra generators $L_{n}$, while $u$ becomes stress-energy tensor in a $\mathrm{CFT}_{2}$ on a cylinder with the central charge $c$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{c}{24} u . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then easy to recognize (2.7) as the standard expression for the change of stress-energy tensor upon a coordinate transformation.

The Poisson brackets (2.19) were originally introduced in the context of higher KdV equations [23], and soon the connection with the Virasoro algebra was noticed by Gervais and Neveu [24]. Later Gervais suggested that classical KdV charges (2.25), upon quantization, should give rise to mutually-commuting quantum operators [26, 27]. While being very intuitive, this proposal is not trivial. Since the higher generators are non-linear in $u$, their quantum counterparts will depend on the normal ordering and may no longer commute as a result. This question was fully resolved only in [4-6] where existence of an infinite tower of local commuting $q K d V$ charges $\hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$ was established. Their definition, besides normal ordering, is also explicitly $c$-dependent. Thus, for example, first few charges in terms of the stress-tensor are

$$
\hat{Q}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi T, \quad \hat{Q}_{3}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi(T T), \quad \hat{Q}_{5}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi(T(T T))+\frac{c+2}{12}(\partial T)^{2}
$$

In our notations classical charges $Q_{2 k+1}$ give rise to $\left(\frac{c}{24}\right)^{-k-1} \hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$. Notice however that $\left(\frac{c}{24}\right)^{3} Q_{5}$ is not equal to $\hat{Q}_{5}$ upon substitution $u \rightarrow \frac{24}{c} T$ and normal ordering. An extra term $(\partial T)^{2} / 6$ is necessary to assure commutativity.

In terms of Virasoro algebra generators $\hat{Q}_{1}$ is simply the CFT Hamiltonian $L_{0}-\frac{c}{24}$. Expressions for $\hat{Q}_{3}, \hat{Q}_{5}$ in terms of $L_{n}$ are also known [4], as well as for $\hat{Q}_{7}$ [28], but quickly become prohibitively complicated.

The gravity configurations discussed below are dual to the GGE state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \propto e^{-\sum_{k} \tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1} \hat{Q}_{2 k+1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be understood as a state in the original CFT with the Hamiltonian $H=\hat{Q}_{1}=$ $L_{0}-c / 24$, as well as a state in a theory with the KdV-deformed Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{k} \tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1} \hat{Q}_{2 k+1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all $\hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$ commute, vacuum of the original theory $|0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $H$, but may not be the ground state for some particular choice of $\tilde{\mu}_{k}$.

## 4 New black hole geometries

In this section we construct the black hole geometries in pure gravity in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ with the KdV-deformed boundary conditions [29-31], which is a gravity dual theory for the 2d CFT with the deformed Hamiltonian (3.4).

### 4.1 Gravity in AdS $_{3}$ with the deformed boundary conditions

Pure gravity in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ has no local degrees of freedom and the geometry is fixed by the behavior at the boundary. Provided the boundary is parametrized by an angular variable $\varphi$ and time $t$, metric is fixed in terms of two pairs of functions $u(t, \varphi), f(t, \varphi)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}(t, \varphi), \bar{f}(t, \varphi), \\
& g_{t t}=-f \bar{f} r^{2}+\frac{\ell^{2}}{4}\left[\left(f^{\prime}-\bar{f}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f\left(f u-2 f^{\prime \prime}\right)+\bar{f}\left(\bar{f} \bar{u}-2 \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]  \tag{4.1}\\
&-\frac{\ell^{4}}{16 r^{2}}\left(f u-2 f^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\bar{f} \bar{u}-2 \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& g_{t r}=-\frac{\ell^{2}}{2 r}\left(f^{\prime}-\bar{f}^{\prime}\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
& g_{t \varphi}= \frac{r^{2}}{2}(f-\bar{f})+\frac{\ell^{2}}{4}\left(f u-\bar{f} \bar{u}-f^{\prime \prime}+\bar{f}^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
&+\frac{\ell^{4}}{32 r^{2}}\left[\bar{u}\left(f u-2 f^{\prime \prime}\right)-u\left(\bar{f} \bar{u}-2 \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right], \\
& g_{r r}= \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}},  \tag{4.4}\\
& g_{r \varphi}= 0,  \tag{4.5}\\
& g_{\varphi \varphi}=\left(r+\frac{\ell^{2}}{4 r} u\right)\left(r+\frac{\ell^{2}}{4 r} \bar{u}\right), \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

subject to a constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=\mathcal{D} f, \quad \dot{\bar{u}}=-\overline{\mathcal{D}} \bar{f} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\ell$ is the radius of $\mathrm{AdS}_{3},{ }^{\cdot}$ stands for $t$-derivative and ${ }^{\prime}$ for $\varphi$-derivative. There is freedom in choosing boundary conditions connecting $f$ and $u$ (and similarly for $\bar{u}, \bar{f}$ ), which corresponds to choosing different Hamiltonians in dual CFT. The choice $f=1$ is conventional and corresponds to the conventional CFT Hamiltonian $H=L_{0}-c / 24[32,33]$. Following [29] we consider the KdV boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=2 \pi \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is some linear combination of KdV charges (2.25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\sum_{i=0} \mu_{2 i+1} Q_{2 i+1} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.7) and (4.8) we can rewrite boundary equations of motion as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=\frac{c}{24}\{\mathcal{H}, u\} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point the connection with the dual CFT becomes apparent: $u$ is the holographic dual of the stress tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{24}{c} T, \quad \bar{u}=\frac{24}{c} \bar{T}, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the CFT Hamiltonian is a linear combination of quantum KdV charges

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{i=0} \tilde{\mu}_{2 i+1} \hat{Q}_{2 i+1}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}=\left(\frac{24}{c}\right)^{k} \mu_{2 k+1}, \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $\bar{H}$. We do not assume here that the considered theory of gravity is pure (quantum) gravity in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ and dual CFT is a particular (hypothetical) dual theory. Rather we work in the large $c$ limit when matter fields in the bulk may be present but do not back-react at the leading order.

### 4.2 KdV-charged black holes

In what follows we assume that theory and the geometry (dual state) are symmetric under the exchange of left and right sectors, $H=\bar{H}, u=\bar{u}$, which automatically implies that the geometry is static $\dot{u}=\mathcal{D} f=0, f=2 \pi \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{d u}$. In terms of the section 2.1 this means $f$ is the stabilizer of $u$, hence in most cases it can be reconstructed from $u$ uniquely up to an overall multiplication. From now on we can consider $u$ from the left sector only. The metric reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{t t} & =-\left(f r-\frac{\ell^{2}}{4 r}\left(u f-2 f^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{2}  \tag{4.13}\\
g_{\varphi \varphi} & =\left(r+\frac{\ell^{2}}{4 r} u\right)^{2}, \quad g_{r r}=\frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

while all other components vanish. There are two different cases to consider. If the spatial circle parametrized by $\varphi$ is shrinkable, that fixes $u=-1$ to avoid conical singularity. This is the pure $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ geometry, which is dual in the holographic sense to CFT with the Hamiltonian (4.12) in the vacuum state $|0\rangle$, which is the ground state of the original CFT with the undeformed Hamiltonian $H=\hat{Q}_{1}=L_{0}-c / 24$.

In a general case $\varphi$-circle is not shrinkable which indicates the geometry has a horizon located at $r^{2}=r_{h}^{2}(\varphi) \equiv \ell^{2}\left(u-2 f^{\prime \prime} / f\right) / 4$, where $g_{t t}$ vanishes. This is a black hole solution which carries non-trivial KdV-charges, the "KdV-charged" black hole. If $u$ is a constant, the geometry reduces to the conventional BTZ black hole geometry [34], albeit in a theory of gravity with different boundary conditions. If the sum in (4.9) is finite, corresponding $u(\varphi)$ is a finite-zone Novikov solution described in the section 2.3.

It is well known that any pure gravity solution in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ with a non-shrinkable spatial circle must be diffeomorphic to BTZ geometry. Therefore the geometry (4.13) must possess two Killing vectors which we will readily identify. Since the solution is static, one Killing vector is simply $\partial_{t}$. Another one can be found by solving the Lie derivative equation $\nabla_{\mu} \xi_{\nu}+\nabla_{\nu} \xi_{\mu}=0$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{t}=0, \quad \xi^{r}=-f^{\prime}(\varphi) r, \quad \xi^{\varphi}=f-\frac{2 \ell^{2} f^{\prime \prime}}{\ell^{2} u+4 r^{2}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can parametrize the trajectory along the Killing vector with a parameter $\tilde{\varphi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi=a\left(f-\frac{2 \ell^{2} f^{\prime \prime}}{\ell^{2} u+4 r^{2}}\right) d \tilde{\varphi} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $a$ here is introduced to ensure that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is $2 \pi$-periodic. At this point we assume that $f(\varphi)$ is sign-definite. By taking $r$ to infinity we readily find

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi a=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \varphi}{f}=\frac{2 \pi}{f_{0}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing this with the general case discussed in the section 2.1 we readily find that $f$ is the vector field which is a stabilizer of $u, f_{0}$ is invariant under coordinate transformations of
the circle, and the Killing vector (4.15) maps the original geometry to the BTZ geometry with a constant $u=u_{0}$ given by (2.17). The transformation of the boundary variable $\varphi \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ is explicitly given by (2.16), which is the limit of (4.16) at $r \rightarrow \infty$. Variable $\tilde{\varphi}$ is just the conventional angular variable of the BTZ geometry, while the radial variable $\tilde{r}$ emerge as an integration constant parametrizing the Killing vector trajectory (4.15). At leading order in $1 / r$ expansion we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d r=-\left(f^{\prime} / f_{0}\right) r d \tilde{\varphi}, \quad d \varphi=\left(f / f_{0}\right) d \tilde{\varphi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{r} f_{0}=r f \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is not sign-definite, corresponding $u(\varphi)$ belongs to a special class of co-adjoint orbits, which, upon quantization, corresponds to a reduced representation of Virasoro algebra. As the dual $\mathrm{CFT}_{2}$ with $c \gg 1$ has no such representations in its spectrum (except for the vacuum module), we disregard the possibility of $f$ vanishing at some $\varphi$. We revisit this question later in section 4.4.

Finally, we discuss the condition for the geometry (4.13) to be non-singular. For that we must require that the black hole horizon "hides" the singularity $g_{\varphi \varphi}=0$, if it exists, as well as the point $r=0$ where $g_{r r}$ diverges. This leads to the following two conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{h}^{2} \geq-\frac{\ell^{2} u}{4}, \quad r_{h}^{2} \geq 0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} f_{0}^{2} \geq f^{\prime 2}-f f^{\prime \prime}, \quad u_{0} f_{0}^{2} \geq f^{\prime 2} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{0} f_{0}^{2}$ is a constant, the inequality implies that $u_{0} f_{0}^{2}$ is larger or equal than the maximum value of $f^{\prime 2}-f f^{\prime \prime}$ and $f^{\prime 2}$. The maximum of $f^{\prime 2}$ occurs at $f^{\prime \prime}=0$, hence the maximum of $f^{\prime 2}-f f^{\prime \prime}$ is not smaller than the maximum of $f^{\prime 2}$. We therefore conclude that the first inequality implies the second and the remaining constraint is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} f_{0}^{2} \geq \max _{\varphi} f^{\prime 2}-f f^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here follows that $u_{0} \geq 0$, which is consistent with the expectation that smoothness of the conventional BTZ geometry requires $u=u_{0} \geq 0$. Positivity of $u_{0}$ is necessary, but not sufficient. We will show in section 6 that there are one-cut solutions for which $u_{0}>0$ yet (4.21) is not satisfied.

### 4.3 Black hole thermodynamics

The black hole horizon area is given by the integral of the induced metric on the horizon, $r^{2}=r_{h}^{2}(\varphi)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{4 G_{N}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi \sqrt{h_{\varphi \varphi}}=\frac{\ell}{4 G_{N}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \varphi}{f} \frac{u f-f^{\prime \prime}}{u f-2 f^{\prime \prime}}\left(u f^{2}+f^{\prime 2}-2 f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Newton's constant $G_{N}$ is related to the AdS radius $\ell$ and dual theory central charge $c$ as follows, $\ell / G_{N}=\frac{2}{3} c[32]$. After using (2.17) and noticing that $\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{u f^{2}-2 f f^{\prime \prime}}$ is a full derivative $\left(\operatorname{arctanh}\left(f^{\prime} / \sqrt{u_{0} f_{0}^{2}}\right)\right)^{\prime} / \sqrt{u_{0} f_{0}^{2}}$ we find assuming $f_{0}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{\pi c}{3} \sqrt{u_{0}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus entropy is constant along the co-adjoint orbit, which is expected - the horizon area is invariant under diffeomorphisms and is given by (4.23) in the BTZ case. The Using identification (3.1) we see that it agrees with the Cardy formula. To obtain the temperature we analytically continue the solution to imaginary time, $t \rightarrow \tau=i t$ and require the absence of conical singularity at the horizon. This imposes periodicity $\tau \sim \tau+T^{-1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \pi T)^{2}=u f^{2}+f^{\prime 2}-2 f f^{\prime \prime}=u_{0} f_{0}^{2} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show now that in full generality the KdV-charged black holes satisfy the thermodynamic identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
T d S=d \mathcal{H}_{G}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{G}=\frac{c}{12} \mathcal{H} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the differential is with respect to an arbitrary small variation of $u$. Because (4.25) is linear in $\delta u$, we can split the variation of $u$ into two parts, along the co-adjoint orbit, and in any transversal direction. Since the Poisson bracket is non-degenerate on the co-adjoint orbit and $\{\mathcal{H}, u\}=0$, the r.h.s. of (4.25) with respect to any variation of $u$ along the orbit will vanish. This is consistent with the fact that the value of entropy (4.23) is constant along the orbit, and hence $d S=0$. Now we need to consider a transversal direction. We would like to parametrize $u(\varphi)$ using $u_{0}$ and $f$ as in (2.17), and vary $u_{0}$, which will correspond to moving between different orbits. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta u=d u_{0} \frac{f_{0}^{2}}{f^{2}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathcal{H}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u} \delta u=d u_{0} f_{0} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used (4.8). This is in agreement with $T d S / d u_{0}=\frac{c}{12} f_{0}$, which follows from (4.23) and (4.24).

In the discussion above we have implicitly used that $f_{0}$, or equivalently $f$, is positive. What happens when $f$ is sign-definite but negative? Since the entropy and the temperature are defined geometrically, as the horizon area and periodicity of $\tau$ coordinate, these quantities are positive-definite and thus given by (4.23) and (4.24). At the same time the variation (4.27) with respect to (4.26) will be negative, and therefore instead of (4.25) one would have $T d S=-d \mathcal{H}_{G}$. This is a violation of the first law of thermodynamics, but it does not mean the corresponding geometry is pathological. Indeed the black hole geometry (4.13), (4.14) does not depend on $f$, but only the equivalence class $\pm f$. Hence the same Lorentzian signature black hole can be understood as a solution (state) in different theories with different Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}$. In certain cases when $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u}$ is positive, thermodynamic identity will be satisfied, whenever $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u}$ is negative it will be violated. In other words, the same geometry may satisfy or violate the first law, depending on the choice of $\mathcal{H}$. This clearly shows that as the Lorentzian signature geometries black holes with negative $f$ are non-pathological, provided the regularity condition (4.21) is satisfied.

The problem with negative $f$ is the problem of the Euclidean geometry interpretation. Normally we interpret an Euclidean geometry as a saddle point of the Euclidean path integral, which would require $T d S=d \mathcal{H}_{G}$. To maintain this interpretation we propose that whenever $f<0$, correct interpretation would be to assign temperature negative value, such that in full generality

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\sqrt{u_{0}} f_{0}}{2 \pi} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the thermodynamic identity is restored, while corresponding Euclidean black hole is a saddle point configuration of the path integral evaluating $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\mathcal{H}_{G} / T}\right)$ on the gravity side. Last formula should be understood in a formal sense because, provided $\mathcal{H}$ is bounded from below, the sum $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\mathcal{H}_{G} / T}\right)$ is not convergent for negative $T$.

To summarize, while black hole configurations with negative $f$ and temperature are well-defined in Lorentzian signature, we do not include their contribution toward Euclidean path integral, as is the case for one-cut geometries discussed in section 6.

### 4.4 Other solutions

If $f$ is sign-definite, there is always the diffeomorphism (2.16) which brings $u$ to a constant form, and the geometry becomes the BTZ black hole or (thermal) $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$. But there are also solutions when $f$ vanishes at certain points. For example $u=-1, f=\cos (\varphi)$ results in the following metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\rho^{2} \cos ^{2} \varphi d t^{2}+\frac{d \rho^{2}}{\rho^{2}+\ell^{2}}+\rho^{2} d \varphi^{2}, \quad \rho=r-\frac{\ell^{2}}{4 r}, \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary, upon the continuation to Euclidean signature, being a torus degenerated into two spheres, $d s^{2}=\cos ^{2} \varphi d t^{2}+d \varphi^{2}, 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$. Since the boundary geometry is not a torus, we omit such configurations from the Euclidean path integral associated with $\mathcal{Z}$. In fact if $f$ vanishes for some $\varphi$, either $f^{\prime \prime}$ also vanishes, in which case boundary geometry becomes non-trivial (in a sense that it is not a torus), or, when $f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, there is no horizon but a singularity at $r=0$. To conclude, while the geometries with non sign-definite $f$ might be interesting in their own right, we do not expect them to contribute in the calculation of $\mathcal{Z}$.

Another interesting possibility is the non-static configurations, i.e. some timedependent solutions of the higher KdV equation (4.10). For such a solution to be a saddle point of the gravity Euclidean path integral, upon analytic continuation $t \rightarrow \tau=i t$, it should be defined on a torus, i.e. be double-periodic with respect to $\varphi \sim \varphi+2 \pi$ and $\tau \sim \tau+1 / T$. In the original CFT with $\mathcal{H}=\hat{Q}_{1}$ this is not possible because (4.10) reduces to the analyticity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\varphi}-i \partial_{\tau}\right) u=0, \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is no non-singular analytic functions on a torus except for a constant. Hence BTZs are the only configurations contributing to the Euclidean path integral. Similarly, $u$ can not be one-cut solution even if the Hamiltonian is deformed by KdV charges, because in that case action of any $Q_{2 k+1}$ is proportional to $\partial_{\varphi} u$. Hence for any $\mathcal{H}$ we again end
up with (4.30) after an appropriate rescaling of $\tau$. There is nevertheless a hypothetical possibility that a more complicated multi-cut solutions, upon analytic continuation $t \rightarrow$ $\tau=i t$ would be double-periodic and non-singular for any $\varphi, \tau$. Then, provided it can be smoothly extended into the bulk, such a solution would be a new non-trivial saddle point configuration of the Euclidean gravity path integral.

## 5 CFT interpretation and thermalization

We start with the $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ geometry $u=-1$ and its analytic continuation to Euclidean signature, thermal AdS space. As a Lorentzian-signature geometry it is dual to the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ in the original CFT, as follows from (3.1). This state is not necessarily the ground state in the theory with a deformed Hamiltonian. Accordingly, the Euclidean solution is a saddle point of the Euclidean gravity path integral, but is usually not the leading one.

The Lorentzian-signature black hole geometries discussed in the previous section are holographically dual to KdV GGE states (3.3) in field theory, but this identification requires additional clarification. First, the state (3.3) is stationary in a theory with any Hamiltonian, would it be $H=L_{0}-c / 24, H \propto \sum_{k} \tilde{\mu}_{k} \hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$, or any other linear combination of qKdV charges. On the gravity side a geometry associated with $u(\varphi)$ is a static solution of (4.10) when the Hamiltonian is $\mathcal{H}$. As a geometry in a theory of gravity with the time evolution generated by some other linear combination of $Q_{2 k+1}$ it is a time-dependent soliton solution. As was discussed in section (2.3), the trajectory generated by a general linear combination of $Q_{2 k+1}$ would densely cover the Jacobian of the solutions with the same values of $Q_{2 k+1}$ as the original $u$, such that time averaged value of any observable would be equal to the average over the Jacobian. (For mathematical rigor, this requires $u$ to be a finite-zone solution, otherwise the "Jacobian" would be infinite-dimensional.) This is a classical counterpart of 2d CFT generalized Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis established in [35], which states that expectation value of an observable from the vacuum family, i.e. made of $T$, in a qKdV eigenstate is completely specified by the values of the qKdV charges associated with this eigenstate. Thus, the GGE state (3.3) is dual not to a particular geometry, but to a probabilistic average of all possible geometries built with $u(\varphi)$ which correspond to the same spectral curve, i.e. have the same values of $Q_{2 k+1}$.

There is a qualitative difference between $Q_{1}$ and all other $Q_{2 k+1}, k \geq 1$. While all higher KdV charges in a general case generate an ergodic flow on the Jacobian leading to eventual thermalization at the classical level, the flow generated by $Q_{1}$ is always $2 \pi$ periodic, and thus non-ergodic. The counterpart of that at the quantum level is that the spectrum of $\hat{Q}_{1}=L_{0}-c / 24$ is highly degenerate, while any other $\hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$ removes this degeneracy. Thus, the diagonal part of generalized ETH assures eventual thermalization for any initial state, provided the CFT Hamiltonian includes higher qKdV charges, but not if $H=\hat{Q}_{1} .{ }^{1}$

[^0]Among the finite-zone solutions there are also trivial solutions $u=u_{0}=$ const. The corresponding geometry is the conventional BTZ black hole, albeit understood as a state in a theory with the deformed Hamiltonian. These black holes were initially considered in [29] and more recently revisited in [38]. Since a constant solution is invariant under the action of all $Q_{2 k+1}$ we readily identify corresponding Lorentzian geometry as a holographic dual of a $q K d V$ eigenstate, or, more accurately, exponentially many eigenstates with an approximately equal energy, where the log of the number of states is given by (4.23). These states on the CFT side are discussed in detail in [15-17]. The CFT calculation of $\mathcal{Z}$ outlined there can be easily seen to mirror the contribution of the BTZ geometries to the Euclidean gravity path integral.

To complete the holographic dictionary we need to know how to map chemical potentials $\tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}$ of the GGE state to a particular black hole geometry, or, more accurately, probabilistic superposition of all geometries with $u(\varphi)$ being associated with the same spectral curve. If $u$ is a solution of (4.10) with some particular Hamiltonian (4.9), it is not necessarily true that the chemical potentials $\tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}$ of a dual GGE are given by (4.12). This is already clear from the fact that the same $u(\varphi)$ is a solution of (4.10) for infinitely many different $\mathcal{H}$. Rather a correct CFT dual is the GGE state with the chemical potentials $\tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}$ chosen such that the expectation values of $\hat{Q}_{2 k+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{\mathrm{GGE}} \hat{Q}_{2 k+1}\right)=-\partial_{\tilde{\mu}_{2 k+1}} \ln \mathcal{Z}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

match those of its gravity dual counterpart. In the large $c$ limit one may assume that $\mathcal{Z}$ is given by its leading saddle, a configuration which minimizes free energy $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{H}_{G}-S$ (we take temperature $T$ to be one because it always can be absorbed into $\mu_{2 k+1}$ ). A non-trivial question then would be to find $\mu_{2 k+1}$ such that given $u(\varphi)$ is the leading saddle where minimum of $\mathcal{F}$ is achieved.

## 6 KdV -generalized partition function with $\mu_{1}, \mu_{3} \neq 0$

In this section we calculate KdV-generalized partition function $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{3}\right)$ on the gravity side, assuming the only contributing configurations are those discussed in section 4.2. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}=\mu_{1} Q_{1}+\mu_{3} Q_{3}$ for $\mu_{3}>0$ and arbitrary $\mu_{1}$ is bounded from below, hence $\mathcal{Z}$ is well-defined. We fix temperature to be $T=1$ since it can be always absorbed into $\mu_{i}$.

First contribution comes from the thermal AdS configuration $u=-1$, which has free energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{AdS}}=\mathcal{H}_{G}=\frac{c}{12}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{1}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This calculation parallels the CFT calculation, where the value of $\hat{Q}_{3}$ evaluated in any primary state is given by $\hat{Q}_{1}^{2}-\hat{Q}_{1} / 6+c / 1440$. Thus, evaluated in vacuum, $\langle 0| \hat{Q}_{3}|0\rangle \sim$ $(c / 24)^{2}+O(c)$. Going back to (6.1), unless $\mu_{1}$ is large, free energy is positive, and therefore there is no Hawking-Page transition. Here we disagree with [29, 38], where thermal AdS free energy was assigned negative sign, $\mathcal{F}_{\text {AdS }}=-\frac{c}{12} \mu_{3}$ for $\mu_{1}=0$, and regard that as a mistake.

Next, we are looking for the BTZ geometry $u=u_{0} \geq 0$ with temperature $T=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\mu_{1}+2 \mu_{3} u_{0}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{u_{0}}=1 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation always has a unique solution $u_{0}\left(\mu_{3}, \mu_{1}\right)$ for $\mu_{3}>0$ and arbitrary $\mu_{1}$. Free energy in this case is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{BTZ}}=\frac{c}{12}\left(\mu_{3} u_{0}^{2}+\mu_{1} u_{0}-4 \pi \sqrt{u_{0}}\right)=-\frac{c}{12}\left(3 \mu_{3} u_{0}^{2}+\mu_{1} u_{0}\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we will see now there are no non-trivial black hole solutions with $u(\varphi) \neq$ const contributing in this case. This conclusion is intuitive because $\mathcal{H}$ includes no derivatives, hence the saddle point is $u=$ const, but the precise argument is much more elaborate. This is partially because entropy depends on $u$ in a non-trivial and non-local way. The static solution $\{\mathcal{H}, u\}=0$ is necessarily a one-zone potential, with the stabilizer vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=2 \mu_{3} u+\mu_{1} . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the geometry to be smooth and temperature positive we must require $f$ to be signdefinite and positive. Combining (2.39) together with (6.4), positivity of $f$ yields the following constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \varphi, \quad u-s_{1}=u(\varphi)-u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}>0, \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i}$ are the parameters of the one-zone solution introduced in section 2.4. The nonconstant solution oscillates between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, hence (6.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}<0 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}$ is introduced in (2.47). This contradicts the smoothness condition (4.21), which requires that the constant representative of the orbit $u_{0}$ to which $u(\varphi)$ belongs must be positive. As follows from (2.36) for that point $\lambda=0$ must be on the branch-cut of $p(\lambda)$, which goes from minus infinity to $\lambda_{0}$. Hence smoothness of the bulk geometry requires $\lambda_{0}>0$.

Absence of saddle points with non-constant $u(\varphi)$ when only $\mu_{1}, \mu_{3} \neq 0$, and emergence of saddle points characterized by $Q_{2 k+1} \neq Q_{1}^{k}$ when $\mu_{5} \neq 0$ discussed in the next section is in agreement with the analysis of [35] of small fluctuations around the BTZ background.

Thus, thermal AdS and the BTZ geometries are the only contributions when only $\mu_{1}, \mu_{3} \neq 0$, yielding in the infinite $c$ limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}=e^{-\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{AdS}}}+e^{-\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{BTZ}}} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we investigate the possibility of Hawking-Page transition when the contributions become equal. Equating $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{AdS}}=\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{BTZ}}$, together with (6.2), gives an algebraic equation for $\mu_{1}$ in terms of $\mu_{3}$, which divides the $\mu_{3}-\mu_{1}$ plane into two regions, with the leading contribution marked in figure 1.

It is important to point out that the condition $f>0$ is necessary only to ensure positivity of temperature $T>0$. As a geometry in Lorentzian signature (4.13), (4.14) is


Figure 1. The phase-diagram on $\mu_{3}-\mu_{1}$ plane with $\mu_{3} \geq 0$. (Euclidean) BTZ is the leading contribution to the generalized partition function (6.7) in the region below the red curve. In particular, BTZ is always the leading saddle for non-positive $\mu_{1}$.
well-defined and smooth also for negative $f$ as long as the condition (4.21) is satisfied. For one-cut $u(\varphi)$ and $f$ given by (6.4) the condition (4.21) can be satisfied provided $f$ and $T$ are negative. Hence we arrive at an unusual situation when $\mathcal{H}=\mu_{1} Q_{1}+\mu_{3} Q_{3}$ may have a static solitonic solution which nevertheless does not correspond to a saddle point configuration upon analytic continuation to Euclidean signature. This is because the Euclidean geometry is a saddle point for a theory with the Hamiltonian $-\mathcal{H}$, which, in our case, would be unbounded from below.

## 7 Dominance of multi-cut solutions

The logic of this subsection is opposite to the previous one. We start with a particular onecut solution $u(\varphi)$ characterized by some fixed $k, s_{1}, q$, and make sure that corresponding geometry are smooth. We also find a Hamiltonian of the form $\mathcal{H}=\mu_{1} Q_{1}+\mu_{3} Q_{3}+\mu_{5} Q_{5}$, $\mu_{5}>0$, such that the black hole geometry build with this $u(\varphi)$ has a smaller free energy than any $u=$ constant, i.e. thermal AdS or BTZ configuration.

We first find all $\mathcal{H}=\mu_{1} Q_{1}+\mu_{3} Q_{3}+\mu_{5} Q_{5}$ such that given $u(\varphi)$ is a static solution. For a solution of (2.38) the stabilizer vector field $f$ such that $\mathcal{D} f=0$ is always proportional to $f \propto u-s_{1}$. Any $Q_{2 k+1}$ acting on a one-cut solution generates a flow proportional to $\partial_{\varphi}$, for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{24}\left\{Q_{5}, u\right\}=\left(2 s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}\right) u^{\prime} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and below $u_{i}$ and $s_{2}, s_{3}$ are understood as functions of $k, s_{1}, q$, given by (2.40)-(2.44). Therefore $\{\mathcal{H}, u\}=0$ for $\mathcal{H}=\mu_{1} Q_{1}+\mu_{3} Q_{3}+\mu_{5} Q_{5}$ as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}+2 s_{1} \mu_{3}+\left(2 s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}\right) \mu_{5}=0 . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. The allowed region of $s_{1} / k^{2}$ and $q$ satisfying both (7.6). The region above the blue curve is allowed. Thus, at least, $s_{1}$ should be greater than $2 k^{2}$.

Equation (7.2) can be used to express $\mu_{1}$ in terms of $\mu_{3}, \mu_{5}$. An overall rescaling of $\mu_{i}$ must be fixed by the requirement $T=1$. From the definition $f=2 \pi \frac{\delta H}{\delta u}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=2\left(\mu_{3}+\mu_{5} s_{1}\right)\left(u-s_{1}\right) . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed in the previous subsection, since $u$ oscillates between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, the combination $u-s_{1}$ should be negative in order to have $\lambda_{0}>0$. For $T>0$ we therefore must require $\mu_{3}+\mu_{5} s_{1}<0$ such that $f$ is positive. Using (4.24) for one-cut solution we readily find

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=-\left(\mu_{5} s_{1}+\mu_{3}\right) \frac{\sqrt{2\left(s_{1} s_{2}-s_{3}\right)}}{2 \pi}=1 \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fixes $\mu_{3}$ in terms of $\mu_{5}$. Hence for any given one-cut solution specified by $k, s_{1}, q$ we have a family of Hamiltonians parametrized by $\mu_{5}$ such that $\{\mathcal{H}, u\}=0$ and $T=1$, while $\mu_{1}, \mu_{3}$ is fixed in terms of $\mu_{5}$ by (7.2) and (7.4).

Now we consider the smoothness condition (4.21), which is independent of the overall rescaling of $f$ and can be rewritten as $u f^{2}-f f^{\prime \prime}>0$. Taking into account that $u-s_{1}$ must be negative, this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u-s_{1}\right)^{2}-s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}<0 . \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{1} \leq u \leq u_{2}$ and $u-s_{1}<0$, in the condition above we can take $u=u_{2}$, thus reducing it to a quadratic inequality on $s_{1} / k^{2}$. Together with the linear inequality $u_{1}+u_{2}>0$, which is equivalent to $u_{0}>0$, this becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 s_{1}^{2}-4 k^{2}\left(\theta_{2}(0 ; q)^{4}+\theta_{3}(0 ; q)^{4}\right) s_{1}-k^{4}\left(7 \theta_{2}(0 ; q)^{8}+7 \theta_{3}(0 ; q)^{8}+\theta_{4}(0 ; q)^{8}\right)>0  \tag{7.6}\\
s_{1}>k^{2}\left(\theta_{3}(0 ; q)^{4}+\theta_{4}(0 ; q)^{4}\right) \geq 2 k^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The allowed region of $s_{1} / k^{2}$ as a function of $q$ is shown in figure 2. An additional analysis shows that in this region $\mu_{1}, \mu_{5}>0$ and $\mu_{3}<0$, see appendix A.

## F/c



Figure 3. Free energy of the one-cut, "smallest" BTZ, and thermal AdS configurations as a function of $\mu_{5}$ for $k=1, s_{1}=38, q=0.6$. The solid red, dashed blue and dotted brown lines represent $\mathcal{F}_{1 \text {-cut }} / c, \min \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{BTZ}} / c$, and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{AdS}} / c$ respectively. There is a region of $\mu_{5}$ where free energy $\mathcal{F}_{1 \text {-cut }}$ (solid red line) is smaller than the two others.

It is easy to see that second condition in (7.6), which is $u_{0}>0$, is not implying first condition, which is a consequence of (4.21). Hence, positivity of $u_{0}$ is necessary but not sufficient for the geometry to be regular.

Free energy of one-cut solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{1-\mathrm{cut}}\left(\mu_{5}\right)=\frac{c}{12}\left(\mu_{1} Q_{1}+\mu_{3} Q_{3}+\mu_{5} Q_{5}\right)-\frac{\pi c}{3} \sqrt{u_{0}} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is given by (2.17) and $f$ is given by (7.3). The value of the charges $Q_{1}, Q_{3}, Q_{5}$ for the one-cut solution are given in (2.45) and (2.46). Thus, for a given one-cut solution with fixed $k, s_{1}, q$, free energy $\mathcal{F}_{1 \text {-cut }}$ is a function of $\mu_{5}$, while $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{3}$ are fixed by (7.2) and (7.4).

We want to compare free energy $\mathcal{F}_{1 \text {-cut }}$ with those of thermal AdS, and BTZ solutions corresponding to $\mathcal{H}\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ with the same values of chemical potentials and $T=1$. Free energy of thermal AdS is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{AdS}}\left(\mu_{5}\right)=\frac{c}{12}\left(-\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}-\mu_{5}\right)=-\frac{c}{12}\left(\left(s_{1}+s_{2}+1\right) \mu_{5}+\frac{2 \pi\left(2 s_{1}+1\right)}{\sqrt{2\left(s_{1} s_{2}-s_{3}\right)}}\right) . \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To BTZ solutions $u=u_{0}$ with temperature $T=1$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=T=\frac{\mu_{1}+2 \mu_{3} u_{0}+3 \mu_{5} u_{0}^{2}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{u_{0}} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{5}>0, \mu_{3}<0, \mu_{1}>0$, there are at most three solutions. We choose $u_{0}$ such that the free energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{BTZ}}\left(\mu_{5}\right)=\frac{c}{12}\left(\mu_{1} u_{0}+\mu_{3} u_{0}^{2}+\mu_{5} u_{0}^{3}\right)-\frac{\pi c}{3} \sqrt{u_{0}} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is smallest.
We plot free energies for one-cut, thermal AdS, and "smallest" BTZ solutions for some $k, s_{1}, q$ as a function of $\mu_{5}$ in figure 3. There is clearly a region in the parameter space such that the one-cut solution has the smallest free energy among the considered configurations.

Whether it is the leading saddle in this case remains unclear because the Hamiltonian in question also has two-cuts static solutions.

## 8 Discussion

In this paper we have constructed black hole geometries in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ which carry charges under the KdV symmetries. These geometries are static solutions in the theory of pure gravity in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ with the deformed boundary conditions, such that the Hamiltonian in the dual CFT is a linear combination of qKdV charges. Each geometry is specified by $u(\varphi)$ which is a static solution of a higher KdV equation, i.e. a finite zone Novikov solution when there are only finite number of KdV charges involved. Accordingly many properties of the black holes, including the first law of thermodynamics, follow directly from the geometry of the co-adjoint orbit of Virasoro algebra and basic properties of the KdV equations. Nevertheless there are several key ingredients which come from the bulk and are external to the classical KdV theory. First, this is the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (4.23). Second, smoothness of the geometry in the bulk yields the regularity condition (4.21), which goes beyond the condition expected on the grounds that the new geometries are diffeomorphic to BTZ ones, namely that $u(\varphi)$ belongs to the Virasoro co-adjoint orbit diff $\mathbb{S}^{1} / \mathbb{S}^{1}$ with $u_{0}>0$. It would be interesting to understand what this new condition means in terms of the finite zone solutions. Finally, thermodynamic identity requires $T>0$ and hence $f>0$. While this is not a condition on $u(\varphi)$, this is a condition on the pair $\mathcal{H}$ and $u$. More generally, the main questions formulated in this paper, of identifying $u$ which is the leading saddle for a given $\mathcal{H}$, and the question of identifying $\mathcal{H}$ such that given $u$ is its leading saddle, are the well posed new questions in the context of KdV theory.

The holographic dual of the classical GGE state discussed in this paper is the KdV analog of the GGE for another classical integrable model recently discussed in [39, 40]. The next logical step here would be to develop a theory of generalized hydrodynamics describing long-wave dynamics of states locally deviating from the GGE [41-45]. This description should be valid both in the classical limit of field theory, and in the bulk, where it would describe the dynamics near a black hole background. In this context it would be interesting to see if the entropy (4.23) might be interpreted in terms of the classical field theory of $u(\varphi, t)$, which would suggest a geometric interpretation to black hole microstates.

The Euclidean black hole geometries are the classical saddles of the "generalized" Alekseev-Shatashvili path integral of 3d gravity [46, 47] decorated by higher KdV charges. A natural question would be to quantize small fluctuations around the black hole background to obtain $1 / c$ corrections. This was done in [17] on the filed theory side for the conventional BTZ background, but the case of a non-constant $u(\varphi)$ needs to be treated separately. On a different note, in the limit of large temperature the boundary torus will reduce to a circle, while the Alekseev-Shatashvili path integral should reduce to the Schwarzian theory of SYK/JT gravity [48-50]. It would be interesting to see if the geometries discussed in this paper would give rise to non-trivial saddle point configurations of the generalized Schwarzian theory which includes higher order operators, in particular $T \bar{T}$ deformation.


Figure 4. Plot of $s_{2}$ as a function of $q$ for $s_{1}(q)$ which saturates the first constraint of (7.6), i.e. along the blue curve in figure 2. For all $q>0, s_{2}$ is positive, and $s_{2}=0$ only for $q=0$. It means that $s_{2} \geq 0$ in the allowed region in figure 2 .

## A Appendix: signs of $\mu_{1}, \mu_{3}$ in the allowed region

In this appendix we show that $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{3}<0$ in the region specified by the smoothness condition (7.6). First, $\mu_{3}<0$ which simply follows from $\mu_{3}+\mu_{5} s_{1}<0$, see (7.4), and $s_{1}>2 k^{2}$ imposed by (7.6).

Next, using (7.2) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\mu_{5} s_{2}-2 s_{1}\left(\mu_{3}+\mu_{5} s_{1}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{5}>0$ and $\mu_{3}+\mu_{5} s_{1}<0$, we find $\mu_{1}>0$ if $s_{2} \geq 0$. Note that $s_{2}$ is given by (2.43) and it is a monotonically increasing function of $s_{1}>0$ for a fixed $q$. We check that $s_{2}$ as a function of $q$ for $s_{1}$ saturating the first constraint of (7.6) is positive as shown in figure 4. Thus, $s_{2} \geq 0$ in the entire region where the inequalities (7.6) are satisfied. We therefore have $\mu_{1}>0$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our definition of thermalization is based on time-averaging of local observables in CFT. Thus different initial configurations $u(\varphi)$, even if they belong to the same co-adjoint orbit, lead to different time-averaged values. This is different from the approach of $[36,37]$ which define "thermalization" by averaging over all possible observables on the AdS boundary, such that all $u(\varphi)$ belonging to the same orbit "thermalize" to the same final state.

