

THE STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON FRACTALS

MARIUS V. IONESCU, KASSO A. OKOUDJOU, AND LUKE G. ROGERS

ABSTRACT. We prove a strong maximum principle for Schrödinger operators defined on a class of postcritically finite fractal sets and their blowups without boundary. Our primary interest is in weaker regularity conditions than have previously appeared in the literature; in particular we permit both the fractal Laplacian and the potential to be Radon measures on the fractal. As a consequence of our results, we establish a Harnack inequality for solutions of these operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this note is to prove a strong maximum principle and related results for Schrödinger operators $L = \Delta - \nu$ where Δ is a fractal Laplacian (to be defined below) and the potential ν is a non-negative measure on the fractal. When the fractal K is the standard Sierpinski gasket or its unbounded analogue, Strichartz established strong maximum principles for solutions of the nonlinear equation $\Delta u = F(x, u)$ where $F : K \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and nonnegative in the sense that if $u(x) \geq 0$ then $F(x, u(x)) \geq 0$, see [8]. We consider algebraically simpler operators because our interest is in weakening the regularity conditions on both the Laplacian Δ and the potential, both of which we will permit to be measures.

In Section 2 we recall some basic facts about analysis on fractals and fractal blowups, details of which may be found in [4, 9]. Section 3 contains the proof of the maximum principle and some comments on a Hopf-type lemma, and Section 4 has the proof of a Harnack inequality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider a connected self-similar set K generated by an iterated function system $\{F_1, \dots, F_N\}$ consisting of contractive maps on a complete metric space. To a finite word $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \in \{1, \dots, N\}^n$ we associate $F_\omega = F_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{\omega_n}$ and a cell $C_\omega = F_\omega(K)$. We assume K is post-critically

Date: August 16, 2019.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J15, 28A80; Secondary 35J25.

Key words and phrases. Analysis on fractals, Harnack's inequality, maximum principles Sierpiński gasket, Schrödinger operators.

finite, whence there is a finite set V_0 so that for any words ω, ω' we have $C_\omega \cap C_{\omega'} \subset F_\omega(V_0) \cap F_{\omega'}(V_0)$. From the set V_0 , we inductively define $V_{n+1} = \cup_{i=1}^N F_i(V_n)$ and thereby obtain a countable dense subset $V_* = \cup_n V_n$ of K . The topology of K is generated by cells in the sense that any $x \notin V_*$ has a neighborhood base consisting of interiors of cells, while any $x \in V_n$ has a neighborhood base in which each set is a finite union of interiors of cells adjoined at x . We let μ denote the standard self-similar measure on K .

Following Kigami [4] we make the strong assumption that there is a resistance form \mathcal{E} , also called the energy, with domain $\text{dom } \mathcal{E} \subseteq L^2(K, \mu)$ that is obtained from a regular self-similar harmonic structure. This means that there is an irreducible, non-negative, symmetric, quadratic form \mathcal{E}_0 defined on the (finite-dimensional) vector space of functions on V_0 , and factors $0 < r_i < 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, such that setting $r_\omega = \prod_1^n r_{\omega_j}$ we have for $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(u, u) := \sum_{\omega \in \{1, \dots, N\}^n} r_\omega^{-1} \mathcal{E}_0(u \circ F_\omega, u \circ F_\omega)$$

and $\mathcal{E}(u, u) = \lim_n \mathcal{E}_n(u, u)$, where the latter sequence is non-decreasing (see Sections 2.3 and 2.4 of [4]). Those functions on which \mathcal{E}_n is constant for $n \geq m$ are called piecewise harmonic at scale m . It follows that the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \text{dom } \mathcal{E})$ has the following properties: 1) \mathcal{E} is a non-negative symmetric quadratic form on the linear space $\text{dom } \mathcal{E}$, 2) \mathcal{E} vanishes exactly on the constants and $\text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ modulo constants is a Hilbert space under \mathcal{E} , 3) any function on a finite subset of K has an extension in $\text{dom } \mathcal{E}$, 4) for any $p, q \in K$ the quantity

$$(2.1) \quad R(p, q) = \sup\{|u(p) - u(q)|^2 / \mathcal{E}(u, u) : u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E} \text{ and } \mathcal{E}(u, u) > 0\}$$

is finite, and 5) if $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ then so is $\bar{u} = \max\{0, \min\{u, 1\}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}(\bar{u}, \bar{u}) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$. Moreover, $R(p, q)$ is a metric on V_* with completion homeomorphic to (and hence identified with) K , to which the continuous extension of R is called the resistance metric; any function $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad |u(x) - u(y)|^2 \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u) R(x, y).$$

It follows that any $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ is continuous with respect to the resistance metric; and \mathcal{E} is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(K, \mu)$, see [3]. From the general theory of Dirichlet forms (see, for example, [1]) one then defines a non-positive definite, self-adjoint (Dirichlet) Laplacian operator Δ for which $u \in \text{dom } \Delta$ and $\Delta u = f \in C(K)$ by requiring

$$\mathcal{E}(u, w) = - \int_K f w \, d\mu$$

for all $w \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ such that $w|_{V_0} = 0$. If we only assume that $f \in L^p(K, \mu)$, then we say that $u \in \text{dom}_{L^p} \Delta$. We will primarily work with an extension of the above definition in which Δu is a finite signed Radon measure (see

[10, Definition 4.1] and [5, Definition 6.7]): we say that $u \in \text{dom}_{\mathcal{M}} \Delta$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} u = \sigma$ if $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(u, w) = - \int_K w d\sigma$$

for all $w \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ such that $w|_{V_0} = 0$. Of course, we can view any function $f \in L^1(K, \mu)$ as the measure $f d\mu$. We assume that the Laplacian is self-similar in the sense that

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}(u \circ F_{\omega}) = r_{\omega} \mu_{\omega}(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} u) \circ F_{\omega},$$

for all $\omega \in \{1, \dots, N\}^n$ and $n \geq 1$, where μ_i are the weights of the self-similar measure μ and $\mu_{\omega} = \prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\omega_j}$ and r_{ω} is defined similarly (see the comments following Definition 4.2 of [10] why the definition of the localization of the measure valued Laplacian to a cell is not as straightforward as it may seem).

Our main results are also valid on bounded subsets of the fractal blowups considered by Strichartz [7]. An infinite blow-up without boundary points of the p.c.f. fractal K is defined using a sequence $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that α is **not** eventually constant. For $n \geq 1$ set $K_n = F_{\alpha_1}^{-1} F_{\alpha_2}^{-1} \dots F_{\alpha_n}^{-1}(K)$. Then $\{K_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets and the infinite blow-up is defined to be $K_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$. Both the energy \mathcal{E} and the measure μ extend to K_{∞} in the obvious fashion, and we write \mathcal{E}_{∞} and μ_{∞} for these extensions. The Laplacian Δ_{∞} is defined weakly as before.

3. MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

Let ν be a finite non-negative Radon measure. The Schrödinger operator L with potential ν is defined on $\text{dom}_{\mathcal{M}} \Delta$ by

$$(3.1) \quad Lu := \Delta u - u\nu.$$

The main result of this section is a maximum principle for the operator L . The proof goes via a result on subharmonic functions that relies on an argument of Kigami [3, Theorem 5.8(2)]; it has been used in various forms by other authors (for example, in [8, Theorem 2.1], [6, Lemma 4.3]). For the purposes of exposition we first prove a weak and then a strong maximum principle, though of course the former is a consequence of the latter.

Proposition 1. *Let $u \in \text{dom}_{\mathcal{M}} \Delta$ on K . If $C = C_{\omega}$ is a cell on which Δu is a non-negative measure then $\max_C u \leq \max_{\partial C} u$. Moreover, if u attains its maximum at an interior point of C then u is constant on C .*

Proof. Self-similarity of Δ ensures there is no loss of generality in taking $C = K$. For any n and a point $p \in V_n \setminus V_0$ there is a piecewise harmonic function at scale n called h_p that is harmonic on $K \setminus V_n$ with $h_p(p) = 1$ and

$h_p(q) = 0$ if $q \in V_n \setminus \{p\}$. The maximum principle for harmonic functions ([4, Theorem 3.2.5]) implies $0 \leq h_p \leq 1$, and since $h_p|_{V_0} = 0$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad 0 = \mathcal{E}(h_p, u) + \int_K h_p \Delta u \geq \mathcal{E}(h_p, u) = \mathcal{E}_n(h_p, u) = \sum_{q \sim_n p} c_{pq}(u(p) - u(q)),$$

where the $c_{pq} > 0$ are constants depending only on \mathcal{E}_n and $q \sim_n p$ means that q and p are neighbors in V_n (this is established in a similar manner as [4, Lemma 3.5.1]). Using this inductively beginning at $n = 1$ and considering each point in V_n , we deduce that u is bounded on V_* by $\max_{V_0} u$, whence the desired inequality follows by continuity of u .

Now suppose u attains an interior maximum at x . We distinguish two cases according to whether $x \in V_*$ or $x \notin V_*$.

If $x \in V_*$ then it is in V_n for some n . Let $q \sim_n x$ and from (3.2) and $u(x) \geq u(q)$ deduce both that $u(q) = u(x)$ and that $\int h_x \Delta u = 0$. Since $h_x > 0$ on the interiors of the n -cells containing x , we conclude that Δu has no mass on these cells and thus that u is harmonic on them. As u is harmonic and all its boundary values on these cells equal $u(x)$ it is a constant function, and hence $u \equiv u(x)$ on a neighborhood of x .

If $x \notin V_*$ we fix an n and the n -cell C_n containing x . Let h be harmonic on C_n with $h = u$ on the boundary ∂C_n . We use another result of Kigami [4, Proposition 3.5.5 and Theorem 3.5.7] and [5, Theorem 6.8], namely that there is a non-negative Green kernel g that inverts $-\Delta$ on C_n with Dirichlet boundary data. Thus, for $y \in C_n$,

$$(3.3) \quad u(y) = h(y) + \int_K g(y, z)(-\Delta u(dz)) \leq h(y),$$

which simply says u is subharmonic. However we then have

$$u(x) \leq h(x) \leq \max_{\partial C_n} h(y) = \max_{\partial C_n} u(y) \leq u(x)$$

where the first inequality is (3.3), the second is the maximum principle for harmonic functions, the equality is $u = h$ on ∂C_n , and the final inequality is that $u(x)$ is the maximum of u . Since equality must hold throughout we conclude $u = u(x)$ on ∂C_n . However (3.3) must also be an equality, and since $g(y, z) > 0$ unless $z \in \partial C$ we find that Δu has no mass on the interior of C whence u is harmonic and therefore constant. Again we have found $u \equiv u(x)$ on a neighborhood of x .

We conclude by noting $\{y : u(y) = u(x)\}$ is closed by continuity of u , open by the preceding reasoning, and contains x , so by connectivity it is K . \square

The preceding argument extends readily to our class of Schrödinger operators. For u a function on K or K_∞ let $u^+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$.

Theorem 2 (Maximum Principle for Schrödinger operators). *Let ν be a non-negative Radon measure on K_∞ . Suppose $E \subset K_\infty$ is open and bounded, and consider $u \in \text{dom}_M \Delta_\infty$. If $Lu = \Delta u - \nu u$ is a non-negative measure on E then*

$$\max_{x \in E} u(x) \leq \max_{p \in \partial E} u^+(p).$$

Moreover, if u achieves a positive maximum at an interior point $x \in E$ then u is constant on the connected component of E containing x .

Proof. Observe that the asserted inequality is trivial if $u \leq 0$. Accordingly we may assume $U := \{x \in E : u(x) > 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Then Δu is a non-negative measure on U , so Proposition 1 is applicable to each cell contained in U . Moreover the proof of Proposition 1 implies there cannot be a strict maximum at $p \in V_*$ if there is a scale n such that all neighbors $q \sim_n p$ are in U . Thus the maximum of u cannot only occur at an interior point to U because every such point has a neighborhood in U that is a cell or finite union of cells at a single scale. Since $u = 0$ at any point $p \in \partial U$ that is interior to E , the maximum must be achieved on $\partial U \cap \partial E$, which implies the stated inequality.

If a positive maximum occurs at an interior point x of E it must also be that $x \in U$, which is open. If $x \notin V_*$ it is contained in the interior of a cell contained in U and from Proposition 1 u is constant on this cell. If $x \in V_*$ then there is neighborhood of x in U consisting of x and the interiors of some cells meeting at x and lying in U , but in this case the reasoning in the proof of Proposition 1 implies u is constant on these cells. In summary, $u = u(x)$ on a neighborhood of x . However this implies the set $Y = \{y : u(y) = u(x)\}$ is open in E because every such y is necessarily in the open set U , and Y is obviously closed because u is continuous, so u is constant on the connected component of E containing x . \square

In the classical setting of a Euclidean space, one standard approach to obtaining the strong maximum principle from the weak maximum principle is to use the Hopf lemma. It is perhaps interesting to note that in the fractal setting we can prove a Hopf-type lemma at points in V_* but have no corresponding results at points of $K \setminus V_*$ and therefore cannot use this approach to obtain a strong maximum principle.

To state our Hopf-type lemma we recall that the normal derivative [4, Definition 3.7.6] of a function at a point $p \in V_0$ may be written using the scale m piecewise harmonic function $h_p^{(m)}$ which is 1 at p and zero on $V_m \setminus \{p\}$ as

$$(3.4) \quad \partial_n u(p) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(h_p^{(m)}, u)$$

Lemma 3. *Let ν be a non-negative Radon measure on K and suppose $u \in \text{dom}_M \Delta$ satisfies $Lu = \Delta u - uv$ is also non-negative measure. If there is $p \in V_0$ such that $u(p) > u(x)$ for all $x \in K \setminus \{p\}$ and also $u(p) > 0$ then $\partial_n u(p) > 0$.*

Proof. If $n < m$ the difference $k^{(n,m)} = h_p^{(n)} - h_p^{(m)}$ is zero at p and the points $q \sim_n p$, and is equal to $h_p^{(n)}(q) > 0$ at each $q \sim_m p$; it is otherwise harmonic, so the minimum principle for harmonic functions implies it is non-negative. Now $u(p) > 0$ and u is continuous so there is n such that u is positive on the support of $k^{(n,m)}$. For this n and any $m > n$ we see $\Delta u \geq uv$ is a non-negative measure on the support of $k^{(n,m)}$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{E}(h_p^{(n)} - h_p^{(m)}, u) = - \int (h_p^{(n)} - h_p^{(m)}) \Delta u \leq 0$$

which gives $\partial_n u(p) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(h_p^{(m)}, u) \geq \mathcal{E}(h_p^{(n)}, u) = \sum_{q \sim_n p} c_{pq}(u(p) - u(q))$ for some values $c_{pq} > 0$ depending on \mathcal{E}_n . The fact that $u(p) > u(q)$ for all q concludes the proof. \square

4. HARNACK INEQUALITY

In the classical setting the strong maximum principle for $Lu \geq 0$ implies a Harnack inequality for solutions of $Lu = 0$, see [2, Section 8.8]. We show that this is the case in our setting.

Before stating the Harnack inequality, we note that the equation $Lu = 0$ for L as in (3.1) has solutions for sufficiently small measures ν on K , because with boundary data a harmonic function h , the operator defined using the continuous non-negative Green kernel $g(x, y)$ by

$$u \mapsto h + \int g(x, y)u(y)\nu(dy)$$

is contracting in the uniform norm provided $\int g(x, y)\nu(dy) < 1$. Moreover, on the cell $F_\omega(K)$ the Green kernel is $r_\omega g(F_\omega^{-1}(x), F_\omega^{-1}(y))$ where $r_\omega = \prod_{j=1}^{|\omega|} r_{\omega_j}$ by [4, Proposition 3.5.5]. It follows for any ν that we can take $|\omega|$ sufficiently large so that contractivity of the analogous operator on $F_\omega(K)$ is valid, ensuring local solutions exist everywhere. This argument extends to the case when one has a finite union of cells.

Theorem 4 (Harnack Inequality). *On K , fix a non-negative finite Radon measure ν . Suppose $u \in \text{dom}_M(\Delta)$ satisfies both $u \geq 0$ and $Lu = 0$, where L is as in (3.1) and the latter is an equality of measures. If E is a compact subset of a connected component of $K \setminus V_0$ then there is a constant A that depends only on E such that $\max_E u \leq A \min_E u$.*

The proof makes use of the following result, which may be of independent interest.

Proposition 5. *For ν a non-negative finite Radon measure on K let*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in \text{dom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Delta) : Lu = 0 \text{ as measures and } 0 \leq u \leq 1\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is compact in the uniform norm.

Proof. For any $u \in \mathcal{A}$ with $u|_{V_0} = 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}(u, u) = - \int u \Delta u = - \int u^2 d\nu$$

so that $|u| \leq 1$ implies $|\mathcal{E}(u, u)| \leq \nu(K) = M < \infty$. Applying the estimate (2.2) we have

$$(4.1) \quad |u(x) - u(y)|^2 \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)R(x, y) \leq MR(x, y).$$

If instead, $u|_{V_0} \neq 0$, then choose a harmonic function h so $(u - h)|_{V_0} = 0$ and since $|h| \leq 1$ on V_0 we have $|h(x) - h(y)|^2 \leq 2R(x, y)$, so (4.1) holds with M replaced by $M + 2$. However an estimate of the type (4.1) implies \mathcal{A} is equicontinuous, and since the definition of \mathcal{A} implies it is equibounded, an application of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem then yields that it is precompact in the uniform norm.

Now suppose $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ uniformly. If $w \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$ and $w|_{V_0} = 0$ we know w is continuous and therefore bounded so Hölder's inequality provides

$$|\mathcal{E}(u_n - u_m, w)| = \left| \int_K w \Delta(u_n - u_m) \right| = \left| \int_K w(u_n - u_m) d\nu \right| \leq M \|u_n - u_m\|_{\infty},$$

and therefore u_n is Cauchy in $\text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$. However $\text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$ is a Hilbert space under the norm $\mathcal{E} + \|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, so we conclude that $u \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{E}(u, w) = - \int w u d\nu$, whence $\Delta u = u\nu$. This implies $u \in \mathcal{A}$, so \mathcal{A} is closed, and in light of our Arzela-Ascoli argument, compact in the uniform norm. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in \text{dom}(\Delta) : Lu = 0, 0 \leq u \leq 1 \text{ and } \max_{V_0} u = 1\}.$$

Evidently this is a closed subset of the space of functions considered in Proposition 5 and is therefore compact in the uniform norm. Hence the quantity $a = \inf_{\mathcal{A}} \min_{x \in E} u(x)$ is achieved by some $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{A}$. Either $a = 1$ or \tilde{u} is non-constant and the hypothesis $\tilde{u} \geq 0$ implies $a > 0$ by the strong minimum principle.

Now take u as in the statement of the theorem. It is continuous and the strong maximum principle is applicable, so it achieves its maximum on V_0 . Accordingly, $u/(\max_{V_0} u) \in \mathcal{A}$ and has minimum at least a on E . The result follows with $A = 1/a$. \square

Remark 6. The above immediately implies the corresponding result in the setting of a bounded subset of K_∞ because such a set is contained in a (sufficiently large) copy of K , and we can transfer the theorem directly to this setting using the self-similarity of the energy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

We thank the reviewers for their insightful comments. K. A. O. was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation #319197, the U. S. Army Research Office grant W911NF1610008, the National Science Foundation grant DMS 1814253, and an MLK visiting professorship. L. G. R. was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS 1659643.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fukushima, M., Oshima, Y., Takeda, M., *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, extended ed., de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.
- [2] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N. S., *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [3] Kigami, K., *Harmonic calculus on p.c.f. self-similar sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335** (1993), no. 2, 721–755.
- [4] ———, *Analysis on fractals*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 143, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [5] ———, *Harmonic analysis for resistance forms*, J. Funct. Anal. **204** (2003), no. 2, 399–444.
- [6] Rogers, L. G., *Estimates for the resolvent kernel of the Laplacian on p.c.f. self-similar fractals and blowups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 3, 1633–1685.
- [7] Strichartz, R. S., *Fractals in the large*, Canad. J. Math. **50** (1998), no. 3, 638–657.
- [8] ———, *Some properties of Laplacians on fractals*, J. Funct. Anal. **164** (1999), no. 2, 181–208.
- [9] ———, *Differential equations on fractals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006, A tutorial.
- [10] Strichartz, R. S., Usher, M., *Splines on fractals*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **129** (2000), no. 2, 331–360.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MD, 21402-5002, USA

Email address: `ionescu@usna.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NORBERT WIENER CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

Email address: `kasso@math.umd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269-1009, USA

Email address: `rogers@math.uconn.edu`