

Higher order Gamma-limits for singularly perturbed Dirichlet-Neumann problems

Giovanni Gravina

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA, USA
ggravina@andrew.cmu.edu

Giovanni Leoni

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA, USA
giovanni@andrew.cmu.edu

May 15, 2019

Abstract

A mixed Dirichlet-Neumann problem is regularized with a family of singularly perturbed Neumann-Robin boundary problems, parametrized by $\varepsilon > 0$. Using an asymptotic development by Gamma-convergence, the asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the perturbed problems is studied as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, recovering classical results in the literature.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 49J45, 35B25, 35J25.

Keywords: Dirichlet-Neumann problems, Neumann-Robin problems, higher order Gamma-convergence, perturbation problems.

1 Introduction

Mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary value problems arise naturally from a wide range of applications. Examples are the problem of a rigid punch or stamp making contact with an elastic body (see [CD96], [CDS98], [WSH79], and the references therein), the steady flow of an ideal inviscid and incompressible fluid through an aperture in a reservoir (see [MW17], [WSH79], and the references therein), as well as free boundary problems (see, e.g., [AC81]).

The prototype for this kind of problems is given by

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_0 = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu u_0 = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ u_0 = g & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set with sufficiently smooth boundary and Γ_D, Γ_N are disjoint sets such that

$$\partial\Omega = \overline{\Gamma_D} \cup \overline{\Gamma_N}.$$

It is well known (see [Dau88], [Gri85], [KO83], and [MP75]) that solutions to mixed boundary problems are in general not smooth near the points on the boundary of the domain where two different conditions meet. Indeed, when $N = 2$ in (1.1), $f = 0$, $g = 0$, and Ω is given in polar coordinates by

$$\{(r, \theta) : r > 0, 0 < \theta < \pi\},$$

the function $S: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given in polar coordinates by¹

$$\bar{S}(r, \theta) := r^{1/2} \sin(\theta/2) \quad (1.2)$$

is a solution to (1.1), where Γ_D and Γ_N correspond to the positive real axis and the negative real axis, respectively. However, S fails to be in H^2 in any neighborhood of the origin.

In dimension $N = 2$ it turns out that functions of the type (1.2) completely characterize the behavior of solutions to (1.1). Indeed, we have the following classical result (see [Dau88], [Gri85], [KO83], and [MP75]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N = 2$, and let Ω be an open, bounded, and connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$, with $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{1,1}$. Assume that Γ_D and Γ_N are nonempty, relatively open, and connected subsets of $\partial\Omega$ with*

$$\partial\Omega = \overline{\Gamma_D} \cup \overline{\Gamma_N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Gamma_D} \cap \overline{\Gamma_N} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2\},$$

and that $\partial\Omega \cap B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is a segment for $i = 1, 2$ and for some $0 < \rho < \min\{1, |\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|/2\}$. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.1). Then u admits the decomposition

$$u = u_{\text{reg}} + \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i S_i,$$

where $u_{\text{reg}} \in H^2(\Omega)$ and the c_i are coefficients that only depend on u . The singular functions S_i are given by the formula

$$\bar{S}_i(r_i, \theta_i) = \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{1/2} \sin(\theta_i/2),$$

where (r_i, θ_i) are polar coordinates centered at $\mathbf{x}_i$ such that

$$\Omega \cap B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i) = \{\mathbf{x}_i + (r_i, \theta_i) : 0 < r_i < \rho, 0 < \theta_i < \pi\},$$

$$\Gamma_D \cap B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i) = \{\mathbf{x}_i + (r_i, 0) : 0 < r_i < \rho\},$$

and $\bar{\varphi} \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ is such that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho/2]$ and $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 0$ outside $[0, \rho]$. Furthermore, there exists a constant c , which only depends on the geometry of Ω , such that

$$\|u_{\text{reg}}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 |c_i| \leq c \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \right).$$

An approach that often proved to be successful for the study of ill-posed problems, and in general for problems that present singularities of some kind, is to consider a small perturbation, typically chosen with an opportunely regularizing effect, and then carry out a careful analysis on the convergence of solutions of the regularized problems to solutions of the original one. This procedure often requires to

¹In what follows, given a function $v = v(\mathbf{x})$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$, we denote by $\bar{v}$ the function $\bar{v}(r, \theta) := v(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$, and with a slight abuse of notation we write $v = \bar{v}(r, \theta)$.

prove estimates that are independent of the parameter of the regularization. We refer to the classical monograph of Lions [Lio73] for more details.

The aim of this paper is to regularize problem (1.1) by introducing a family of mixed Neumann-Robin boundary value problems parametrized by $\varepsilon > 0$. To be precise, we consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ \varepsilon \partial_\nu u_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon = g & \text{on } \Gamma_D. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

The convergence of solutions to (1.3) to solutions of (1.1) has been studied by Costabel and Dauge in [CD96] using classical PDE expansions (see [Lio73]), who proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (Costabel-Dauge). *Let $N = 2$, Ω be as in Theorem 1.1, $f = 0$, $g \in H^{1+\delta}(\Gamma_D)$ for some $\delta > 0$, and let u_ε and u_0 be solutions to (1.3) and (1.1) (with $f = 0$), respectively. Then*

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \log \varepsilon),$$

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{H^{1+s}(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2-s}), \text{ for } s \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\|(u_\varepsilon - u_0)|_{\Gamma_D}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}). \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, these estimates cannot be improved in general.

We refer to [CD96] for the precise statement in the case $f \neq 0$. This problem was also previously considered by Colli Franzone in [CF73a], where the author proved estimates on the difference $u_\varepsilon - u_0$ in certain Sobolev norms (see also the work of Aubin [Aub72] and Lions [Lio73]).

The question of convergence of solutions to the family of problems (1.3) to the solution to (1.1) is of significance for the numerical approximations of (1.1). We refer to [BEFM03], [BF91], [CDS98], [CF73b], [CF74], and the references therein for more information on this topic.

In this paper we present an alternative proof of the estimates (1.4) with $s = 0$ and (1.5) using the variational structure of (1.3). Indeed, solutions to (1.3) are minimizers of the functional

$$\int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + f v \right) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_D} (v - g)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1, \quad v \in H^1(\Omega). \quad (1.6)$$

Thus a natural approach is to use the notion of Gamma-convergence (Γ -convergence in what follows) introduced by De Giorgi in [DG75] (for more information see also [Bra02] and [DM93]).

We recall that given a metric space X and a family of functions $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges to $\mathcal{F}_0: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, and we write $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F}_0$, if for every sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ the following two conditions hold:

(i) *liminf inequality*: for every $x \in X$ and every sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ of elements of X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n) \geq \mathcal{F}_0(x);$$

(ii) *limsup inequality*: for every $x \in X$, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ of elements of X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n) \leq \mathcal{F}_0(x).$$

A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ as in (ii) is called a *recovery sequence* for x . Moreover, we say that the *asymptotic development* by Γ -convergence of order k

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{F}_0 + \omega_1(\varepsilon)\mathcal{F}_1 + \cdots + \omega_k(\varepsilon)\mathcal{F}_k$$

holds if there are functions $\mathcal{F}_i: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $i = 0, \dots, k$, such that $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F}_0$ and for $i \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(i)} := \left(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(i-1)} - \inf\{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}(x) : x \in X\} \right) \frac{\omega_{i-1}(\varepsilon)}{\omega_i(\varepsilon)} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F}_i,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(0)} := \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$, $\omega_0 \equiv 1$ and for $i \geq 1$, $\omega_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a suitably chosen function such that both ω_i and ω_i/ω_{i-1} converge to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. We remark that for $\omega_i(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon^i$ one has the standard power series asymptotic development

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{F}_0 + \varepsilon\mathcal{F}_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon^k\mathcal{F}_k.$$

We refer to [AB93] and [ABO96] for more informations on asymptotic developments by Γ -convergence, and to [BT08] for informations on asymptotic expansions by Γ -convergence.

The powerfulness of asymptotic developments by Γ -convergence has been shown in the recent papers [DMFL15], [LM16], [LM17], and [MR16], where the authors completely characterized the second order asymptotic development of the Modica-Mortola functional and used it to obtain new important results on the slow motion of interfaces for the mass-preserving Allen-Cahn equation and the Cahn-Hilliard equation in higher dimensions.

In this paper we consider the Γ -convergence of the functionals (1.6) with respect to convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$, and thus we define $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ via

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(v) := \begin{cases} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + f v \right) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_D} (v - g)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 & \text{if } v \in H^1(\Omega), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

We begin by studying the Γ -convergence of order zero of (1.7).

Theorem 1.3 (0th order Γ -convergence). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open, bounded, connected set with Lipschitz continuous boundary, and let $\Gamma_D \subset \partial\Omega$ be non-empty and relatively open. Assume that $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. Then the family of functionals $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ defined in (1.7) Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the functional*

$$\mathcal{F}_0(v) := \begin{cases} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + f v \right) d\mathbf{x} & \text{if } v \in V, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$V := \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = g \text{ on } \Gamma_D\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Since the first asymptotic development by Γ -convergence of (1.7) strongly relies on Theorem 1.1 in what follows we assume $N = 2$. We begin with a compactness result.

Theorem 1.4 (Compactness). *Let $N = 2$, Ω be as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the functionals defined in (1.7) and (1.8), respectively, and define*

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)} := \frac{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon - \min \mathcal{F}_0}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}. \quad (1.10)$$

If $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $v_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ are such that

$$\sup\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty,$$

then there exist a subsequence $\{v_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{v_n\}_n$, $r_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $v_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$ such that

$$\frac{v_{n_k} - u_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_k} |\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|}} \rightharpoonup r_0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega), \quad (1.11)$$

$$\frac{v_{n_k} - u_0}{\varepsilon_{n_k} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|}} \rightharpoonup v_0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Gamma_D), \quad (1.12)$$

where u_0 is the solution to (1.1).

Theorem 1.5 (1st order Γ -convergence). *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, the family $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)}\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the functional*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(v) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2 & \text{if } v = u_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

where the coefficients $c_i = c_i(u_0)$ are as in Theorem 1.1. In particular, if $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a solution to (1.3), then

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathcal{F}_1(u_0) + o(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|). \quad (1.14)$$

To characterize the second order asymptotic development by Γ -convergence of the family of functionals $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$, we introduce the auxiliary functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_i(w) := & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\nabla w(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_0^1 \left(w(x, 0)^2 - c_i x^{-1/2} w(x, 0) \right) dx \\ & + \int_1^\infty \left(w(x, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \right)^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

defined in

$$H := \{w \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2) : w \in H^1(B_R^+(\mathbf{0})) \text{ for every } R > 0\}, \quad (1.16)$$

where $w(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of w on the positive real axis. Let²

$$A_i := \inf\{\mathcal{J}_i(w) : w \in H\}, \quad (1.17)$$

$$B_i := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \overline{\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i, \quad (1.18)$$

$$C_\varphi := \frac{1}{8} \int_{\rho/2}^1 (1 - \bar{\varphi}(x)^2) x^{-1} dx, \quad (1.19)$$

$$\bar{\psi}_i(r_i) := \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2}. \quad (1.20)$$

As shown in Proposition 4.4, there exists $w_i \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_i(w_i) = A_i$, and thus w_i satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_i = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ \partial_\nu w_i = 0 & \text{on } (-\infty, 0) \times \{0\}, \\ \partial_\nu w_i + w_i = \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.21)$$

²In what follows, given a function $v = v(\mathbf{x})$, we denote by $\bar{v}^i$ the function $\bar{v}^i(r_i, \theta_i) := v(\mathbf{x}_i + r_i(\cos \theta_i, \sin \theta_i))$, for polar coordinates (r_i, θ_i) given as in Theorem 1.1.

Observe that if $c_i = 0$ then $\mathcal{J}_i \geq 0$ and so $w_i = 0$ and $A_i = 0$. Finally, let $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet-Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_1 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu u_1 = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ u_1 = -\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D. \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

Theorem 1.6 (Compactness). *Let $N = 2$, Ω be as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$, $\mathcal{F}_0$, $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{F}_1$, $\mathcal{J}_i$ be as in (1.7), (1.8), (1.10), (1.13), and (1.15), respectively, and define*

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(2)} := \frac{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)} - \min \mathcal{F}_1}{1/|\log \varepsilon|} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon - \min \mathcal{F}_0}{\varepsilon} - |\log \varepsilon| \min \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (1.23)$$

If $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$, $w_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ are such that

$$\sup\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty,$$

and $W_{i,n} \in H$ is defined as

$$\bar{W}_{i,n}(r_i, \theta_i) := \bar{\varphi}(r_i \varepsilon_n) \frac{\bar{w}_n^{(i)}(r_i \varepsilon_n, \theta_i) - \bar{u}_0^{(i)}(r_i \varepsilon_n, \theta_i) - \varepsilon_n \bar{u}_1^{(i)}(r_i \varepsilon_n, \theta_i)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \quad (1.24)$$

for (r_i, θ_i) polar coordinates as in Theorem 1.1, then there exist a subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{w_n\}_n$, $w_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $q_0 \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma_D)$ such that

$$\frac{w_{n_k} - u_0 - \varepsilon_{n_k} u_1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_k}}} \rightharpoonup w_0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega), \quad (1.25)$$

$$\frac{w_{n_k} - u_0}{\varepsilon_{n_k}} - u_1 - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i [1 - \chi_{B_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(\mathbf{x}_i)}] \rightharpoonup q_0 - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \quad \text{in } L^2(\Gamma_D), \quad (1.26)$$

where ψ_i is the function given in polar coordinates by (1.20) and u_1 is the solution to (1.22). Furthermore, for every $R > 0$,

$$W_{i,n_k} \rightharpoonup W_i \quad \text{in } H^1(B_R^+(\mathbf{0})), \quad \nabla W_{i,n_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla W_i \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2; \mathbb{R}^2), \quad (1.27)$$

$$W_{i,n_k}(\cdot, 0) \rightharpoonup W_i(\cdot, 0) \quad \text{in } L^2((0, 1) \times \{0\}), \quad (1.28)$$

$$W_{i,n_k}(\cdot, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \rightharpoonup W_i(\cdot, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \quad \text{in } L^2((1, \infty) \times \{0\}), \quad (1.29)$$

for some $W_i \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_i(W_i) < \infty$, where $W_{i,n_k}(\cdot, 0)$ and $W_i(\cdot, 0)$ indicate the trace of W_{i,n_k} and W_i on the positive real axis.

Theorem 1.7 (2nd order Γ -convergence). *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, the family $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(2)}\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the functional*

$$\mathcal{F}_2(v) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{A_i}{2} + B_i c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 & \text{if } v = u_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the numbers A_i, B_i , and C_φ are defined in (1.17), (1.18), and (1.19), respectively. In particular, if $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a solution to (1.3) then

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathcal{F}_1(u_0) + \varepsilon \mathcal{F}_2(u_0) + o(\varepsilon). \quad (1.30)$$

As a consequence of our results, we obtain an alternative proof of the sharp estimates (1.4) for $s = 0$ and (1.5) in Theorem 1.2. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $N = 2$, Ω as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and let u_ε and u_0 be solutions to (1.3) and (1.1), respectively. Then*

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad (1.31)$$

$$\|\nabla(u_\varepsilon - u_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{1/2}\right). \quad (1.32)$$

In contrast to the work of Costabel and Dauge [CD96], our results rely on the variational structure of the mixed Neumann-Robin problem (1.3), rather than the PDE. In particular, the compactness results in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6 are valid for energy bounded sequences and not just for minimizers, and thus are completely new. A key ingredient in the proof of compactness is the following Hardy-type inequality on balls due to Machihara, Ozawa and Wadade (see Corollary 6 in [MOW13]).

Theorem 1.9. *Let $B_R(\mathbf{0})$ be the ball of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $R > 0$ and center at the origin. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_R(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\mathbf{x})^2}{|\mathbf{x}|^2 (1 + \log R - \log |\mathbf{x}|)^2} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{R} \left(\int_{B_R(\mathbf{0})} h(\mathbf{x})^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + 2(1 + \sqrt{2}) \left(\int_{B_R(\mathbf{0})} \left| \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|} \cdot \nabla h(\mathbf{x}) \right|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for every $h \in H^1(B_R(\mathbf{0}))$.

We remark that our results rely heavily on the decomposition of Theorem 1.1 and on the Hardy-type inequality (Theorem 1.9) and thus hold only for $N = 2$. The extension to dimension $N \geq 3$ seems to be highly non-trivial and, in particular, the correct scalings in the asymptotic development by Γ -convergence are not clear and may depend in a significant way on the geometry of the domain (see, for example, [MR10] for a discussion on the mixed Dirichlet-Neumann problem in a three-dimensional dihedron).

It is also important to observe that the asymptotic development by Γ -convergence leads naturally to the asymptotic expansion of the solutions u_ε to (1.3), and does not require an a priori ansatz of this expansion. Thus it could be applied to a large class of problems, including the p -Laplacian mixed problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \partial_\nu u_0 = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ u_0 = g & \text{on } \Gamma_D. \end{cases}$$

In the seminal paper [BCN90], Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg considered the family of elliptic equations

$$Lu_\varepsilon = \beta_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \quad (1.33)$$

to approximate (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$) a one-phase free boundary problem. Here the family $\{\beta_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ is an approximate identity and the term $\beta_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$ is non-zero only for values of u_ε less than ε . In particular, the region $\{u_\varepsilon < \varepsilon\}$ can be thought of as an approximation of the free boundary of the solution to the limiting problem. One-phase free boundary problems with mixed boundary conditions are strongly related to

problems arising in fluid-dynamics (see [GL18]). Our original motivation for this paper was the study of the regularized problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}\beta_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)Q^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ \varepsilon\partial_\nu u_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon = g & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\beta_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ is a family of approximate identities as in (1.33) and Q is a nonnegative function in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Solutions u_ε of this problem converge to a solution u of the one-phase free boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, |\nabla u| = Q & \text{on } \Omega \cap \partial\{u > 0\}, \\ \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ u = g & \text{on } \Gamma_D. \end{cases}$$

The asymptotic development by Γ -convergence of the corresponding family of functionals

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^2 + B_\varepsilon(v)Q^2) \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_D} (v - g)^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \quad v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

is ongoing work. Here B_ε is a primitive of β_ε .

Our paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we study the minimization problem for the functional (1.3) and prove Theorem 1.3. As a consequence, in Corollary 2.4 we show that there exists a unique variational solution to the problem (1.1). Section 3 is devoted to the study of the simpler case in which $\Gamma_D = \partial\Omega$, so that (1.3) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varepsilon\partial_\nu u_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.34)$$

Under suitable regularity assumptions on the set Ω , we characterize the complete asymptotic development by Γ -convergence of $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$, still defined as in (1.7), but with Γ_D replaced by $\partial\Omega$ (see Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.4, and Theorem 3.6). In Corollary 3.5 and Corollary 3.7 we address the question of the convergence of u_ε to u_0 , i.e., the unique variational solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_0 = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_0 = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.35)$$

To be precise, we show that the asymptotic expansion

$$u_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i u_i$$

holds, where for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the function u_i is a solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_i = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_i = -\partial_\nu u_{i-1} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We remark that Corollary [3.7](#) fully recovers the results of Theorem 2.3 in [\[CD96\]](#) and that the auxiliary problems for u_i arise naturally during the study of higher order Γ -limits of $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ (see, for example, the proof of Theorem [3.4](#)). The case of a Robin boundary condition that transforms into a Dirichlet boundary condition for Helmholtz equation was considered by Kirsch in [\[Kir85\]](#). In Section 4 we prove our main results. In Section 5 we recast these results in a more general framework by decoupling the different scales in the asymptotic expansion of u_ε .

2 Gamma-convergence of order zero and global minimizers

Throughout the section we study the mixed problem [\(1.3\)](#) and the associated minimization problem under the following assumptions on the set Ω and on Γ_D , namely the portion of the boundary where the Robin boundary condition is imposed:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \ \Omega \text{ is an open, bounded and connected subset of } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (ii) \ \partial\Omega \text{ is Lipschitz continuous,} \\ (iii) \ \Gamma_D \text{ is a non-empty and relatively open subset of } \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (H_0)$$

Furthermore, define $\Gamma_N := \partial\Omega \setminus \overline{\Gamma_D}$. Notice that for the purposes of this section we do not assume that $\Gamma_N \neq \emptyset$; analogous results hold (with trivial changes) if $\Gamma_N = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be as in [\(H₀\)](#), $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then the functional $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$, defined as in [\(1.7\)](#), admits a unique minimizer $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$. Furthermore, u_ε is a weak solution to the mixed Neumann-Robin problem [\(1.3\)](#).*

The proof of Theorem [2.1](#) is based on the following well-known result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω be as in [\(H₀\)](#) and for $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ set*

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} := \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ defines a norm on $H^1(\Omega)$ that is equivalent to the standard norm, i.e., there are two constants κ_1, κ_2 , which only depend on the geometry of Ω and Γ_D , such that for every $u \in H^1(\Omega)$,

$$\kappa_1 \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \kappa_2 \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

Proof of Theorem [2.1](#). By Hölder's inequality, we have that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and for every $u \in H^1(\Omega)$,

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Young's inequality then implies

$$\|u - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 = \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - 2 \int_{\Gamma_D} u g d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - 7 \|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2, \quad (2.3)$$

and thus, combining the estimates [\(2.2\)](#) and [\(2.3\)](#) with Lemma [2.2](#), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 - \kappa_2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} - \frac{7}{2} \|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2.$$

In turn,

$$\inf \{ \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) : \varepsilon \in (0, 1), u \in L^2(\Omega) \} > -\infty$$

and for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ the functional $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ is coercive. Since $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to weak convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$, the existence of a global minimizer u_ε follows from the direct method in the calculus of variations and the assertion about uniqueness is a consequence of the strict convexity of the functional $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$. Moreover, one can check that u_ε is a weak solution to (1.3) by considering variations of the functional $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$. We omit the details. $\square$

Proposition 2.3 (Compactness). *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, if $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and u_n are such that*

$$\sup\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty,$$

then there exist a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{u_n\}_n$, $u \in V$ and $v \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$ such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega),$$

$$\varepsilon_{n_k}^{-1/2}(u_{n_k} - g) \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } L^2(\Gamma_D).$$

Proof. Let $M := \sup_n \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n)$ and assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, by Hölder's inequality we see that

$$M \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_n} \|u_n - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 \quad (2.4)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Young's inequality, together with the fact that $\varepsilon_n \leq 1$, then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_n} \|u_n - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_n} \|u_n - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - \frac{7}{4} \|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_n} \|u_n - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and thus, combining the estimates (2.4) and (2.5) with Lemma 2.2, and using the notation (2.1), we arrive at

$$M \geq \frac{1}{8} \|u_n\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 - \kappa_2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u_n\|_{H^1(\Omega)} - \frac{7}{4} \|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_n} \|u_n - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2.$$

Consequently, $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.2, and furthermore $\{\varepsilon_n^{-1/2}(u_n - g)\}_n$ is bounded in $L^2(\Gamma_D)$. Hence there are functions $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, $v \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$ and a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{u_n\}_n$ as in the statement. To conclude we notice that $u_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(\Gamma_D)$, and so $u \in V$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{u_n\}_n$ be a sequence of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \infty$ there is nothing to prove. Hence, up to the extraction of a subsequence (not relabeled), we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) < \infty$ for every n sufficiently large. Let $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$ and u be given as in Proposition 2.3, then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(u_{n_k}) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{n_k}|^2 + f u_{n_k} \right) dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} f u dx = \mathcal{F}_0(u).$$

On the other hand, for every $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, the constant sequence $u_n = u$ is a recovery sequence. Indeed, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u) = \mathcal{F}_0(u)$ for every $u \in V$, while if $u \notin V$ then $\mathcal{F}_0(u) = \infty$ and hence there is nothing to prove. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, if $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{u_n\}_n$ is a sequence of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq \inf \{ \mathcal{F}_0(v) : v \in L^2(\Omega) \}$$

then $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $H^1(\Omega)$, where u_0 is the unique global minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_0$. In particular, global minimizers u_{ε_n} of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}$ converge in $H^1(\Omega)$ to u_0 .

Proof. Since $g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, by standard trace theorems (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]) the space V defined in (1.9) is nonempty. In turn, the strictly convex functional $\mathcal{F}_0$ given in (1.8) admits a unique minimizer u_0 which is a weak solution to (1.1). Let $\{u_n\}_n$ be a sequence of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq \mathcal{F}_0(u_0). \quad (2.6)$$

Given a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{\varepsilon_n\}_n$, by Proposition 2.3 we can find a further subsequence $\{u_{n_{k_j}}\}_j$ and $v_0 \in V$ such that $u_{n_{k_j}} \rightarrow v_0$. By Γ -convergence

$$\mathcal{F}_0(u_0) \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k_j}}}(u_{n_{k_j}}) \geq \mathcal{F}_0(v_0),$$

which in turn implies that $v_0 = u_0$. Hence the full sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to u_0 . Moreover, by (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_n|^2 + f u_n \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} f u_0 d\mathbf{x} \geq \mathcal{F}_0(u_0), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

By the strict convexity of the L^2 -norm it follows that $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u_0$ in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$. □

3 A problem without singularities

Following Costabel and Dauge [CD96], in this section we will be concerned with the study of the easier case of the non-mixed problem (1.34); to be precise, it is assumed throughout the section that $\Gamma_D = \partial\Omega$. Under this additional assumption we prove asymptotic developments by Γ -convergence of all orders for the family of functionals $\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ and deduce a complete asymptotic expansion for u_{ε} , i.e., the solution to (1.34) (see Theorem 2.1). Throughout the section, we will make the following assumptions on the set Ω :

$$\begin{cases} (i) \ \Omega \text{ is an open, bounded and connected subset of } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (ii) \ \partial\Omega \text{ is of class } C^{j,1}. \end{cases} \quad (H_j)$$

3.1 The non-mixed problem: Gamma-convergence of order one

In this section we prove a first order asymptotic development for $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$. We begin by studying the compactness properties of sequences with bounded energy.

Proposition 3.1 (Compactness). *Let Ω be as in (H_1) , $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the functionals defined in (1.7) and (1.8) (with $\Gamma_D = \partial\Omega$), respectively, and define*

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)} := \frac{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon - \min \mathcal{F}_0}{\varepsilon}. \quad (3.1)$$

If $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $v_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ are such that

$$\sup\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty,$$

then $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and there exist a subsequence $\{v_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{v_n\}_n$, $r_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $v_0 \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{n_k} - u_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_k}}} &\rightharpoonup r_0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega), \\ \frac{v_{n_k} - u_0}{\varepsilon_{n_k}} &\rightharpoonup v_0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\partial\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where u_0 is the solution to (1.35).

Proof. If we let $M := \sup\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(v_n) \leq \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon_n M$. On the other hand,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) \geq \mathcal{F}_0(u_0)$$

by Theorem 1.3, and in turn $v_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $H^1(\Omega)$ by Corollary 2.4.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ be such that $v_n = u_0 + \varepsilon_n r_n$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n)$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla r_n + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} |\nabla r_n|^2 + f r_n \right) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} r_n^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is of class $C^{1,1}$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, by standard elliptic regularity theory for (1.35), $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ (see Theorem 2.4.2.5 in [Gri85]) and by an application of the divergence theorem we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla r_n + f r_n) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_0 r_n d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \quad (3.4)$$

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla r_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} r_n^2 + \partial_\nu u_0 r_n \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla r_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (r_n + \partial_\nu u_0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u_0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and (3.2) is proved at once. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 (1st order Γ -convergence). *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, the family $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)}\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the functional*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(v) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u_0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} & \text{if } v = u_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

In particular, if $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$ is the solution to (1.34), then

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon \mathcal{F}_1(u_0) + o(\varepsilon). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{v_n\}_n$ be a sequence of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) < \infty$ for every n sufficiently large. Let $\{v_{n_k}\}_k$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Then $v_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and from (3.5) we deduce that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u_0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \mathcal{F}_1(u_0).$$

On the other hand, for every $v \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus \{u_0\}$ the constant sequence $v_n = v$ is a recovery sequence. If now $v = u_0$, since by assumption $\partial_\nu u_0 \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, we can find $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $w = -\partial_\nu u_0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where the equality holds in the sense of traces. Set $v_n := u_0 + \varepsilon_n w$. Then $v_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and again from (3.5) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u_0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \mathcal{F}_1(u_0).$$

This concludes the proof of the Γ -convergence. The energy expansion (3.7) follows from Theorem 1.2 in [AB93]. $\square$

3.2 The non-mixed problem: Gamma-convergence of order two

In this section we prove a second order asymptotic development for $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$. As customary, we begin by investigating the compactness properties of sequences with bounded energy.

Proposition 3.3 (Compactness). *Let Ω be as in (H₁), $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$, $\mathcal{F}_0$, $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)}$, and $\mathcal{F}_1$ be as in (1.7), (1.8), (3.1), and (3.6), respectively, and define*

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(2)} := \frac{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)} - \min \mathcal{F}_1}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon - \min \mathcal{F}_0 - \varepsilon \min \mathcal{F}_1}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

If $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $w_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ are such that

$$\sup\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty,$$

then $w_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and there exist a subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{w_n\}_n$, $w_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $q_0 \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w_{n_k} - u_0}{\varepsilon_{n_k}} &\rightharpoonup w_0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega), \\ \frac{w_{n_k} - u_0 + \varepsilon_{n_k} \partial_\nu u_0}{\varepsilon_{n_k}^{3/2}} &\rightharpoonup q_0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\partial\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

where u_0 is the solution to (1.35). In particular, $w_0 = -\partial_\nu u_0$ on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of traces.

Proof. By Corollary 2.4, we deduce that $w_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ be such that $w_n = u_0 + \varepsilon_n r_n$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n)$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla r_n|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} (r_n + \partial_\nu u_0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \quad (3.8)$$

We then proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 with $f = 0$, $g = -\partial_\nu u_0$ and r_n in place of u_n . $\square$

Theorem 3.4 (2nd order Γ -convergence). *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.3, let $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$ be the unique solution to the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_1 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_1 = -\partial_\nu u_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then the family $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(2)}\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_2(v) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_1|^2 d\mathbf{x} & \text{if } v = u_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$ is the solution to (1.34), then

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon \mathcal{F}_1(u_0) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{F}_2(u_0) + o(\varepsilon^2). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{w_n\}_n$ be a sequence of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) < \infty$ for every n sufficiently large. Let $\{w_{n_k}\}_k$ and w_0 be as in Proposition 3.3. Then $w_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and from (3.8) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(2)}(w_{n_k}) &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla r_{n_k}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla w_0|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &\geq \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla p|^2 d\mathbf{x} : p \in H^1(\Omega), p = -\partial_\nu u_0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_1|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{F}_2(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the function u_1 exists (and is unique) by an application of Corollary 2.4.

On the other hand, for every $w \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus \{u_0\}$ the constant sequence $w_n = w$ is a recovery sequence. As one can check from (3.8), $w_n := u_0 + \varepsilon_n u_1$ is a recovery sequence for u_0 . This concludes the proof of the Γ -convergence. The energy expansion (3.9) follows from Theorem 1.2 in [AB93]. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Let Ω be as in (H₁), $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and let u_ε and u_0 be solutions to (1.34) and (1.35), respectively. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$, independent of ε , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq c\varepsilon \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \right), \\ \|u_\varepsilon - u_0 + \varepsilon \partial_\nu u_0\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} &\leq c\varepsilon^{3/2} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we let $w_\varepsilon := u_0 + \varepsilon u_1$, for u_1 as in Theorem 3.4, then

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon \mathcal{F}_1(u_0) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{F}_2(u_0)$$

and from the minimality of u_ε we deduce

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{F}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon \mathcal{F}_1(u_0) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{F}_2(u_0).$$

Writing $r_\varepsilon := \frac{u_\varepsilon - u_0}{\varepsilon}$, expanding, and rearranging the terms in the previous inequality we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla r_\varepsilon|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\partial\Omega} (r_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \partial_\nu u_0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is of class $C^{1,1}$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, by standard elliptic estimates (see Theorem 2.4.2.5 in [Gri85]) the solution $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ to the Dirichlet problem (1.35) belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$ with

$$\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq k_1 \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\Omega)} \right).$$

In turn, by standard trace theorems (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]), we have that $\partial_\nu u_0 \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and so there is $z_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $z_0 = -\partial_\nu u_0$ on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of traces and

$$\|z_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq k_2 \|\partial_\nu u_0\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq k_3 \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\Omega)} \right).$$

Since $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a minimizer of

$$v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

over all functions v with $v = -\partial_\nu u_0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have that

$$\|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\nabla z_0\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\Omega)} \right).$$

The previous estimate, together with (3.10), gives the desired result. $\square$

3.3 The non-mixed problem: Gamma-convergences of all orders

In this section we prove asymptotic developments by Γ -convergence of any order for $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ and derive asymptotic expansions for u_ε , i.e., the solution to (1.34).

Theorem 3.6. *Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k = 2j - 1$ or $k = 2j$, Ω be as in (H_j), $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{1/2+j}(\partial\Omega)$, and for every $m \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ let $u_m \in H^1(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_m = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_m = -\partial_\nu u_{m-1} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

where u_0 is the solution to (1.35). Let $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(k+1)}$ be defined recursively by

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(k+1)} := \frac{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(k)} - \mathcal{F}_k(u_0)}{\varepsilon},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ is given as in (3.1) and the functionals $\mathcal{F}_i$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}$, are given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{2m+1}(v) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u_m)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} & \text{if } v = u_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{2m}(v) := \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_m|^2 d\mathbf{x} & \text{if } v = u_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the family $\{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(i)}\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the functional $\mathcal{F}_i$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, k+1\}$. In particular, if $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$ is the solution to (1.34), then

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \varepsilon^i \mathcal{F}_i(u_0) + o(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

Proof. Notice that for $k = 1$ we have that $j = 1$ and so the statement reduces to the one of Theorem 3.4. The result for $k \geq 2$ follows by induction from arguments similar to the ones of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 (depending on the parity of k). We omit the details. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.6, and for an odd value of $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let u_ε , u_0 , u_i be solutions to (1.34), (1.35), and (3.11), respectively. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$, independent of ε , such that for every $j \in \{1, \dots, (k+1)/2\}$*

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \varepsilon^i u_i \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon^j \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{1/2+j}(\Omega)} \right),$$

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \varepsilon^i u_i + \varepsilon \partial_\nu u_j \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2+j} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{1/2+j}(\Omega)} \right).$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one of Corollary 3.5 and therefore we omit the details. $\square$

4 The case of mixed boundary conditions

In this section we prove our main results regarding the higher order Γ -limits for the functional $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ defined as in (1.7).

4.1 Preliminary results

Throughout the section Ω is assumed to be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. We recall that we use the following notations: given a function $v = v(\mathbf{x})$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$, we denote by $\bar{v}$ the function

$$\bar{v}(r, \theta) := v(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta), \quad (4.1)$$

and with a slight abuse of notation we write $v = \bar{v}(r, \theta)$. Moreover, we denote by $\bar{v}^{(i)}$ the function

$$\bar{v}^{(i)}(r_i, \theta_i) := v(\mathbf{x}_i + r_i(\cos \theta_i, \sin \theta_i)), \quad (4.2)$$

where the polar coordinates (r_i, θ_i) are as in Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, recall that $\bar{\varphi} \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ is such that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho/2]$ and $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 0$ outside $[0, \rho]$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $N = 2$, Ω be as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and let $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ be the solution to (1.1). Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \psi + f \psi) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Gamma_D} \partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0 \psi \, d\mathcal{H}^1 - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i}{2} \int_0^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) \, dr_i$$

for every $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$, where u_{reg}^0 , c_i and $\bar{\varphi}$ are given as in Theorem 1.1.

Proof. By Theorem [1.1](#), given $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \psi \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\text{reg}}^0 \cdot \nabla \psi \, d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho \left(\partial_{r_i} \bar{S}_i \partial_{r_i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} + r_i^{-2} \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{S}_i \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \right) r_i \, dr_i d\theta_i. \quad (4.3)$$

Since the function u_{reg}^0 belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$ and satisfies a homogenous Neumann boundary condition on Γ_N , the divergence theorem yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\text{reg}}^0 \cdot \nabla \psi \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} -\Delta u_{\text{reg}}^0 \psi \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma_D} \partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0 \psi \, d\mathcal{H}^1. \quad (4.4)$$

To rewrite the second term on the right-hand side of [\(4.3\)](#), we consider the auxiliary function

$$\bar{\Phi}(r_i, \theta_i) := r_i \partial_{r_i} \bar{S}_i(r_i, \theta_i) \bar{\psi}^{(i)}(r_i, \theta_i);$$

indeed, a simple computation shows that $\bar{\Phi} \in W^{1,1}((0, \rho) \times (0, \pi))$ and thus $\bar{\Phi}(\cdot, \theta_i)$ is absolutely continuous for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $\theta_i \in (0, \pi)$. For any such θ_i , by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have that

$$0 = \bar{\Phi}(\rho, \theta_i) - \bar{\Phi}(0, \theta_i) = \int_0^\rho \partial_{r_i} \bar{\Phi}(r_i, \theta_i) \, dr_i = \int_0^\rho \left(\partial_{r_i} \bar{S}_i \bar{\psi}^{(i)} + r_i \partial_{r_i}^2 \bar{S}_i \bar{\psi}^{(i)} + r_i \partial_{r_i} \bar{S}_i \partial_{r_i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \right) \, dr_i. \quad (4.5)$$

Similarly, noticing that the function $\bar{\Psi}(r_i, \theta_i) := r_i^{-1} \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{S}_i(r_i, \theta_i) \bar{\psi}^{(i)}(r_i, \theta_i)$ belongs to the space $W^{1,1}((0, \rho) \times (0, \pi))$, and reasoning as above we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) &= \bar{\Psi}(r_i, \pi) - \bar{\Psi}(r_i, 0) = \int_0^\pi \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{\Psi}(r_i, \theta_i) \, d\theta_i \\ &= \int_0^\pi r_i^{-1} \left(\partial_{\theta_i}^2 \bar{S}_i \bar{\psi}^{(i)} + \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{S}_i \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \right) \, d\theta_i \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

holds for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $r_i \in (0, \rho)$. Combining the identities [\(4.5\)](#) and [\(4.6\)](#), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho \left(\partial_{r_i} \bar{S}_i \partial_{r_i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} + r_i^{-2} \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{S}_i \partial_{\theta_i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \right) r_i \, dr_i d\theta_i \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) \, dr_i - \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \left(\partial_{r_i}^2 \bar{S}_i + r_i^{-1} \partial_{r_i} \bar{S}_i + r_i^{-2} \partial_{\theta_i}^2 \bar{S}_i \right) r_i \, dr_i d\theta_i \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) \, dr_i - \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \Delta_{(r_i, \theta_i)} \bar{S}_i r_i \, dr_i d\theta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the desired formula follows from the previous equality, [\(4.3\)](#), [\(4.4\)](#), and upon noticing that

$$\int_{\Omega} f \psi \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{\text{reg}}^0 \psi \, d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \Delta_{(r_i, \theta_i)} \bar{S}_i r_i \, dr_i d\theta_i.$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

In the following theorem we present an estimate that will prove instrumental for the proofs of our compactness results, namely Theorem [1.4](#) and Theorem [1.6](#).

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a constant κ such that for any $R > 0$ and $h \in H^1(B_R^+(\mathbf{0}))$,*

$$\int_0^R x^{-1/2} |h(x, 0)| \, dx \leq \kappa \left(R \int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla h(\mathbf{x})|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} + \kappa \left(\int_0^R h(x, 0)^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2},$$

where $h(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of h on the positive real axis.

We begin by adapting Theorem [1.9](#) to our framework.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a constant $\bar{\kappa}$ such that for any $R > 0$ and $h \in H^1(B_R^+(\mathbf{0}))$,*

$$\int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\mathbf{x})^2}{|\mathbf{x}|^2 (1 + \log R - \log |\mathbf{x}|)^2} d\mathbf{x} \leq \bar{\kappa} \left(\int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R h(x, 0)^2 dx \right),$$

where $h(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of h on the positive real axis.

Proof. Since $B_R^+(\mathbf{0})$ is an extension domain, we can find $\hat{h} \in H^1(B_R(\mathbf{0}))$ such that $\hat{h}(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathcal{L}^2$ -a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in B_R^+(\mathbf{0})$ and with the property that

$$\|\hat{h}\|_{L^2(B_R(\mathbf{0}))} \leq C_1 \|h\|_{L^2(B_R^+(\mathbf{0}))},$$

$$\|\nabla \hat{h}\|_{L^2(B_R(\mathbf{0}); \mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C_1 \|\nabla h\|_{L^2(B_R^+(\mathbf{0}); \mathbb{R}^2)},$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of R . Theorem [1.9](#) applied to the function $\hat{h}$ and the previous estimates yield

$$\int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\mathbf{x})^2}{|\mathbf{x}|^2 (1 + \log R - \log |\mathbf{x}|)^2} d\mathbf{x} \leq C_2 \left(\int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} h(\mathbf{x})^2 d\mathbf{x} \right),$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ independent of h and R . By Lemma [2.2](#), together with a simple rescaling argument, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} h(\mathbf{x})^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq C_3 \left(\int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R h(x, 0)^2 dx \right)$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$, which is again independent of both h and R ; this concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem [4.2](#). By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\bar{h}(r, \theta) = \bar{h}(r, 0) + \int_0^\theta \partial_\theta \bar{h}(r, \alpha) d\alpha,$$

and so, multiplying both sides by $r^{-1/2}$ and integrating over $B_R^+(\mathbf{0})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^R r^{-1/2} \bar{h}(r, 0) dr &= - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1/2} \bar{h}(r, \theta) dr d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^R \int_0^\theta r^{-1/2} \partial_\theta \bar{h}(r, \alpha) d\alpha dr d\theta \\ &= - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1/2} \bar{h}(r, \theta) dr d\theta + \int_0^\pi \int_0^R \frac{(\pi - \theta)}{\pi} r^{-1/2} \partial_\theta \bar{h}(r, \theta) dr d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Fubini's theorem. In particular,

$$\int_0^R r^{-1/2} |\bar{h}(r, 0)| dr \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1/2} |\bar{h}(r, \theta)| dr d\theta + \int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1/2} |\partial_\theta \bar{h}(r, \theta)| dr d\theta, \quad (4.7)$$

and thus we proceed to estimate the terms on the right-hand side of [\(4.7\)](#). Passing to cartesian coordinates,

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1/2} |\bar{h}(r, \theta)| dr d\theta = \int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} \frac{|h(\mathbf{x})|}{|\mathbf{x}| (1 + \log R - \log |\mathbf{x}|)} \frac{(1 + \log R - \log |\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|^{1/2}} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\leq (5\pi R)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\mathbf{x})^2}{|\mathbf{x}|^2 (1 + \log R - \log |\mathbf{x}|)^2} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2},$$

where in the last step we have used Hölder's inequality together with the fact that

$$\int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} \frac{(1 + \log R - \log |\mathbf{x}|)^2}{|\mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{x} = \pi \int_0^R (1 + \log R - \log r)^2 dr = 5\pi R.$$

Then, from Lemma 4.3 we deduce that

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1/2} |\bar{h}(r, \theta)| dr d\theta \leq (5\pi R)^{1/2} \left(R \int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_0^R h(x, 0)^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.8)$$

On the other hand, Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1/2} |\partial_\theta \bar{h}(r, \theta)| dr d\theta &\leq \left(\pi R \int_0^\pi \int_0^R r^{-1} |\partial_\theta \bar{h}(r, \theta)|^2 dr d\theta \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\pi R \int_{B_R^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and so the desired inequality follows from (4.7), (4.8), and (4.9). $\square$

4.2 Mixed boundary conditions: Gamma-convergence of order one

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. We recall that we use the notations (4.1) and (4.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Corollary 2.4 we have that $v_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $z_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ be such that $v_n = u_0 + \varepsilon_n \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|} z_n$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n)$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(v_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla z_n + f z_n) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} z_n^2 d\mathcal{H}^1,$$

and an application of Proposition 4.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_D} \partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0 z_n d\mathcal{H}^1 - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i}{2} \int_0^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} z_n^2 d\mathcal{H}^1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

For n large enough so that $2\varepsilon_n \leq \rho$, we write

$$\int_0^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i = \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} r_i^{-1/2} \bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i + \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i \quad (4.11)$$

and proceed to estimate both terms on the right-hand side separately. By Theorem 4.2 we obtain

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon_n} r_i^{-1/2} |\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)| dr_i \leq \kappa \left(\varepsilon_n \int_{B_{\varepsilon_n}(\mathbf{x}_i) \cap \Omega} |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} + \kappa \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2 dr_i \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.12)$$

while by Hölder's inequality we get

$$\int_{\varepsilon_n}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} |\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)| dr_i \leq \sqrt{\log \rho + |\log \varepsilon_n|} \left(\int_{\varepsilon_n}^{\rho} \bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2 dr_i \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.13)$$

Consequently, from (4.10), (4.12), and (4.13) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|z_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - \left(\frac{\|\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} + \frac{|c_i|(\kappa + \sqrt{\log \rho + |\log \varepsilon_n|})}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \right) \|z_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\varepsilon_n^{1/2} \nabla z_n\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 - \frac{|c_i| \kappa}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \|\varepsilon_n^{1/2} \nabla z_n\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so (1.11) and (1.12) are proved at once. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Step 1: Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{v_n\}_n$ be a sequence of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) < \infty$ for every n sufficiently large. Let $\{v_{n_k}\}_k$ be a subsequence of $\{v_n\}_n$ given as in Theorem 1.4 and define

$$\bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}(r_i) := \frac{c_i}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2}. \quad (4.14)$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 (see (4.10) and (4.12)) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(1)}(v_{n_k}) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|z_{n_k}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - \left(\frac{\|\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|}} + \frac{|c_i| \kappa}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|}} \right) \|z_{n_k}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} \\ &\quad - \frac{|c_i| \kappa}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|}} \|\varepsilon_{n_k}^{1/2} \nabla z_{n_k}\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \|\varepsilon_{n_k}^{1/2} \nabla z_{n_k}\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i) \bar{z}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Then, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(1)}(v_{n_k}) &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{z}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2 - \bar{\xi}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i) \bar{z}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) \right) dr_i \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{\rho} \left(\bar{z}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) - \bar{\xi}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i) \right)^2 dr_i - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i)^2 dr_i \right] \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n_k}^{(i)}(r_i)^2 dr_i \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2 \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}(r_i)^2 r_i^{-1} dr_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2 \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|} (\log \rho + |\log \varepsilon_{n_k}|) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2, \quad (4.16)$$

where in the second to last step we have used (4.14).

Step 2: For every $v \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus \{u_0\}$, the constant sequence $v_n = v$ is a recovery sequence. Then let $v = u_0$ and consider the radial function $\zeta_{i,n}$ given in polar coordinates at $\mathbf{x}_i$ by

$$\bar{\zeta}_{i,n}(r_i) := \bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}(r_i) \left(1 - \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_n} r_i\right)\right) = \frac{c_i}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) \left(1 - \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_n} r_i\right)\right) r_i^{-1/2}, \quad (4.17)$$

where $\bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}$ is the function defined in (4.14). We define

$$z_n(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \zeta_{i,n}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in B_r(\mathbf{x}_i) \cap \Omega \text{ with } r < \rho, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

Notice that if we let

$$\bar{\Psi}_{i,n}(r_i) := \bar{\varphi}(r_i) \left(1 - \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_n} r_i\right)\right),$$

then $\bar{\Psi}_{i,n}: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\Psi}_{i,n}(r_i) = 1 & \text{if } \varepsilon_n \leq r_i < \rho/2, \\ \bar{\Psi}_{i,n}(r_i) = 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq r_i \leq \varepsilon_n/2 \text{ or } \rho \leq r_i, \\ |\bar{\Psi}'_{i,n}(r_i)| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon_n} & \text{if } \varepsilon_n/2 \leq r_i \leq \varepsilon_n, \\ |\bar{\Psi}'_{i,n}(r_i)| \leq c & \text{if } \rho/2 \leq r_i \leq \rho, \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of n . Finally, set

$$v_n := u_0 + \varepsilon_n \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|} z_n.$$

Notice that $v_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ since the sequence $\{z_n\}_n$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, indeed

$$\int_{\Omega} z_n^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i^2 \pi}{4|\log \varepsilon_n|} \int_{\varepsilon_n/2}^{\rho} r_i^{-1} dr_i = \frac{\pi(\log \rho + |\log \varepsilon_n| + \log 2)}{4|\log \varepsilon_n|} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2.$$

Next, we claim that $\varepsilon_n^{1/2} \nabla z_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$. Indeed, using the notation above we have that

$$\bar{\zeta}_{i,n}(r_i) = \frac{c_i}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \bar{\Psi}_{i,n}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} &= \frac{\varepsilon_n}{|\log \varepsilon_n|} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i^2 \pi}{4} \right) \int_0^{\rho} \left(\bar{\Psi}'_{i,n}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2} r_i^{-3/2} \bar{\Psi}_{i,n}(r_i) \right)^2 r_i dr_i \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{|\log \varepsilon_n|} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i^2 \pi}{2} \right) \int_0^{\rho} \left(\bar{\Psi}'_{i,n}(r_i)^2 + \frac{1}{4} r_i^{-2} \bar{\Psi}_{i,n}(r_i)^2 \right) dr_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

From (4.19) we see that

$$\int_0^\rho \bar{\Psi}'_{i,n}(r_i)^2 dr_i = \int_{\varepsilon_n/2}^{\varepsilon_n} \bar{\Psi}'_{i,n}(r_i)^2 dr_i + \int_{\rho/2}^\rho \bar{\Psi}'_{i,n}(r_i)^2 dr_i \leq c^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_n} + \frac{\rho}{2} \right) \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\int_0^\rho r_i^{-2} \bar{\Psi}_{i,n}(r_i)^2 dr_i \leq \int_{\varepsilon_n/2}^\rho r_i^{-2} dr_i = \frac{2}{\varepsilon_n} - \frac{1}{\rho}. \quad (4.22)$$

Combining (4.20) with the estimates (4.21) and (4.22) we obtain

$$\varepsilon_n \int_\Omega |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{|\log \varepsilon_n|} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i^2 \pi}{2} \right) \left(\frac{c^2}{2\varepsilon_n} + \frac{c^2 \rho}{2} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_n} - \frac{1}{4\rho} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.23)$$

and the claim is proved. From (4.10), using (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), and (4.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|z_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 + \left(\frac{\|\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} + \frac{|c_i| \kappa}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \right) \|z_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} + \frac{1}{2} \|\varepsilon_n^{1/2} \nabla z_n\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{|c_i| \kappa}{2\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \|\varepsilon_n^{1/2} \nabla z_n\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)} - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\rho \bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}(r_i) \bar{\zeta}_{i,n}(r_i) dr_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.23) we have that the second, third, and fourth member on the right-hand side of the previous inequality vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\bar{\varphi} \left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_n} r_i \right) = 0$ for $r_i \in [\varepsilon_n, \rho]$, by (4.14) and (4.17),

$$\bar{\zeta}_{i,n}(r_i) = \bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}(r_i) \quad \text{for } r_i \in [\varepsilon_n, \rho]. \quad (4.25)$$

Consequently, from (4.14), (4.25), (4.18), and the fact that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho/2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(v_n) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|z_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\rho \bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}(r_i) \bar{\zeta}_{i,n}(r_i) dr_i \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\zeta}_{i,n}(r_i)^2 - \bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}(r_i) \bar{\zeta}_{i,n}(r_i) \right) dr_i \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^2 -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\rho \bar{\xi}_n^{(i)}(r_i)^2 dr_i \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon_n|} \left(\int_{\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/2} r_i^{-1} dr_i + \int_{\rho/2}^\rho \bar{\varphi}(r_i)^2 r_i^{-1} dr_i \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

The energy expansion (1.14) follows from Theorem 1.2 in [AB93]. $\square$

4.3 An auxiliary variational problem

In this section we study the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_i(w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\nabla w(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_0^1 \left(w(x, 0)^2 - c_i x^{-1/2} w(x, 0) \right) dx + \int_1^\infty \left(w(x, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \right)^2 dx$$

defined in

$$H := \{w \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2) : w \in H^1(B_R^+(\mathbf{0})) \text{ for every } R > 0\},$$

where $w(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of w on the positive real axis. This functional appears in the characterization of the second order Γ -convergence of $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ (see (1.15), (1.16), (1.17), Theorem 1.6, and Theorem 1.7).

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{J}_i$ and H be given as above. Then $A_i := \inf\{\mathcal{J}_i(w) : w \in H\} \in \mathbb{R}$ and there exists $w_i \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_i(w_i) = A_i$. Furthermore, w_i is a weak solution to the mixed problem (1.21).*

Proof. Let v be the function given in polar coordinates by

$$\bar{v}(r, \theta) := \begin{cases} \frac{c_i}{2\sqrt{r}} & \text{if } r > 1 \text{ and } 0 < \theta < \pi, \\ \frac{c_i}{2}\sqrt{r} & \text{if } r \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 < \theta < \pi, \end{cases}$$

where (r, θ) are polar coordinates centered at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and such that the set $\{(r, 0) : r > 0\}$ coincides with the positive real axis. Then $v \in H$ and $\mathcal{J}_i(v) < \infty$, indeed

$$\mathcal{J}_i(v) = \int_0^\pi \int_0^\infty r(\partial_r \bar{v})^2 dr d\theta + \int_0^1 (\bar{v}(r, 0) - c_i \bar{v}(r, 0)) dr = \frac{c_i^2(\pi - 3)}{8}.$$

In turn, this implies that $A_i < \infty$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.2 we see that for every $w \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_i(w) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\nabla w(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_0^1 w(x, 0)^2 dx - |c_i| \kappa \left(\int_{B_1^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla w|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad - |c_i| \kappa \left(\int_0^1 w(x, 0)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \int_1^\infty \left(w(x, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \right)^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and so $A_i > -\infty$. Furthermore, we deduce that for an infimizing sequence it must be the case that (eventually extracting a subsequence which we don't relabel)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla w_n &\rightharpoonup \nabla w && \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2; \mathbb{R}^2), \\ w_n(\cdot, 0) &\rightharpoonup w(\cdot, 0) && \text{in } L^2((0, 1) \times \{0\}), \\ w_n(\cdot, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} &\rightharpoonup w(\cdot, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} && \text{in } L^2((1, \infty) \times \{0\}), \end{aligned}$$

for some $w \in H$, where $w_n(\cdot, 0)$ and $w(\cdot, 0)$ indicate the trace of w_n and w on the positive real axis. To conclude, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{J}_i$ is lower semicontinuous for sequences converging as above. The lower semicontinuity is certainly true for the nonnegative terms in $\mathcal{J}_i$, thanks to Fatou's lemma. In order to pass to the limit in the remaining term we can argue as follows. First, we observe that by Lemma 2.2 $\{w_n\}_n$ is bounded in $H^1(B_1^+(\mathbf{0}))$ and in particular in $H^{1/2}((0, 1) \times \{0\})$. Next, we recall

that $H^{1/2}((0,1) \times \{0\})$ embeds continuously into $L^p((0,1) \times \{0\})$ for every $p \in [1, \infty)$. Consequently, up to the extraction of a further subsequence, we can assume that $w_n \rightharpoonup w$ in $L^p((0,1) \times \{0\})$, $p > 2$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^{-1/2} w_n(x, 0) dx = \int_0^1 x^{-1/2} w(x, 0) dx.$$

This proves the existence of a global minimizer of $\mathcal{J}_i$ in H . The rest of proposition follows by considering variations of the functional $\mathcal{J}_i$; we omit the details. $\square$

We remark that w_i doesn't necessarily belong to the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, unless $c_i = 0$, in which case $w_i \equiv 0$. In the following lemma we prove an estimate on the L^2 -norm of global minimizers in an annulus that escapes to infinity. This estimate will be crucial for the construction of the recovery sequence for u_0 in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and w_i be given as in Proposition 4.4. Then*

$$\varepsilon_n^2 \int_{B_{\rho/\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0}) \setminus B_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0})} w_i^2 d\mathbf{x} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 2.2 and by a rescaling argument in $B_1^+(\mathbf{0}) \setminus B_{1/2}^+(\mathbf{0})$ we can deduce that there exists a constant c , independent of n , such that

$$\int_{B_{\rho/\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0}) \setminus B_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0})} w^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon_n^2} \left(\int_{B_{\rho/\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0}) \setminus B_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla w|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon_n \int_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} w(x, 0)^2 dx \right)$$

for every $w \in H^1(B_{\rho/\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0}) \setminus B_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0}))$. If we apply the previous inequality to $w = \varepsilon_n w_i$ we obtain

$$\varepsilon_n^2 \int_{B_{\rho/\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0}) \setminus B_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0})} w_i^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq c \left(\int_{B_{\rho/\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0}) \setminus B_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla w_i|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon_n \int_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} w_i(x, 0)^2 dx \right).$$

The first term on the right-hand side vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $\nabla w_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, and the second term is shown to vanish by the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n \int_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} w(x, 0)^2 dx &\leq 2\varepsilon_n \int_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} \left(w_i(x, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \right)^2 dx + 2\varepsilon_n \int_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} \frac{c_i^2}{4x} dx \\ &= 2\varepsilon_n \int_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} \left(w_i(x, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \right)^2 dx + 2\varepsilon_n \log 2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $w_i(\cdot, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} x^{-1/2} \in L^2((1, \infty))$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

4.4 Mixed boundary conditions: Gamma-convergence of order two

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7. We recall that we use the notations (4.1) and (4.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Step 1: By Corollary 2.4 we have that $w_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $s_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ be such that

$$w_n = u_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} s_n. \tag{4.27}$$

Then, by (1.7), (1.8), (1.10), (1.13), and (1.23), $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n)$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla s_n + f s_n) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla s_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Gamma_D} s_n^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 + \frac{|\log \varepsilon_n|}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2,$$

and an application of Proposition 4.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}(w_n) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_D} \partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0 s_n d\mathcal{H}^1 - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i}{2} \int_0^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \bar{s}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla s_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Gamma_D} s_n^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 + \frac{|\log \varepsilon_n|}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $|\log \varepsilon_n| = \int_{\varepsilon_n}^1 r^{-1} dr$, grouping together the different contributions on $\Gamma_D \cap B_{\varepsilon_n}(\mathbf{x}_i)$, $\Gamma_D \cap (B_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_i) \setminus B_{\varepsilon_n}(\mathbf{x}_i))$ and $\Gamma_D \setminus B_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_i)$, and completing the squares we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_n}^{\rho} \left(\frac{\bar{s}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} + \overline{\partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i + B_{i,n} c_i + C_{\varphi} c_i^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \left(\overline{\partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) \frac{\bar{s}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} r_i^{-1/2} \frac{\bar{s}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} + \frac{\bar{s}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2}{2\varepsilon_n} \right) dr_i \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left(\frac{s_n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} + \partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0 \right)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{\varepsilon_n}(\mathbf{x}_i)} (\partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla s_n|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_{i,n} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_n}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \overline{\partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0}^{(i)}(r_i, 0) dr_i, \quad (4.28)$$

and C_{φ} is given as in (1.19). Setting

$$z_n := s_n - \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} u_1, \quad (4.29)$$

where u_1 is the solution to (1.22), and using the fact that $u_1 = -\partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0$ on Γ_D we can rewrite the previous expression as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_n}^{\rho} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i + B_{i,n} c_i + C_{\varphi} c_i^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2}{\varepsilon_n} - c_i r_i^{-1/2} \frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \right) dr_i \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \frac{z_n^2}{\varepsilon_n} d\mathcal{H}^1 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_{\nu} u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (z_n + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} u_1)|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Notice that all the terms in the previous expression are either positive or independent of n , with the only exception of $B_{i,n} c_i$, which converges to $B_i c_i$, and the fourth term on the right-hand side. However, by an application of Theorem 4.2 we get

$$- \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} c_i r_i^{-1/2} \frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} dr_i \geq -|c_i| \kappa \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon_n}^+(\mathbf{x}_i)} |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} - |c_i| \kappa \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2}{\varepsilon_n} dr_i \right)^{1/2},$$

and thus (1.25) and (1.26) are proved at once.

Step 2: Let $W_{i,n}$ be as in (1.24). Then

$$\bar{W}_{i,n}(r_i, \theta_i) = \bar{\varphi}(\varepsilon_n r_i) \bar{z}_n^{(i)}(\varepsilon_n r_i, \theta_i) \quad (4.31)$$

by (4.27) and (4.29), and thus by a change of variables and the fact that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho/2]$, if $\varepsilon_n < \rho/2$,

$$\int_0^1 \left(\bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0)^2 - c_i s^{-1/2} \bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0) \right) ds = \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2}{\varepsilon_n} - c_i r_i^{-1/2} \frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \right) dr_i.$$

Similarly, for every $R > 1$ and for every n such that $\varepsilon_n R < \rho/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^R \left(\bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} s^{-1/2} \right)^2 ds &= \int_{\varepsilon_n}^{\varepsilon_n R} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i, \\ \int_{B_R^+(0)} |\nabla W_{i,n}|^2 d\mathbf{y} &= \int_{B_{\varepsilon_n R}^+(\mathbf{x}_i)} |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of (4.30)

$$\begin{aligned} M \geq \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0)^2 - c_i s^{-1/2} \bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0) \right) ds + B_{i,n} c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^R \left(\bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} s^{-1/2} \right)^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R^+(0)} |\nabla W_{i,n}|^2 d\mathbf{y} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_\Omega \nabla z_n \cdot \nabla u_1 d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Since $\{\nabla z_n\}_n$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ (see (1.25)), it follows that

$$\int_{B_R^+(0)} |\nabla W_{i,n}|^2 d\mathbf{y} + \int_0^1 \left(\bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0)^2 - c_i s^{-1/2} \bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0) \right) ds + \int_1^R \left(\bar{W}_{i,n}(s, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} s^{-1/2} \right)^2 ds \leq c,$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of n and R . To conclude, it is enough to send $R \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Step 1: Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{w_n\}_n$ be a sequence of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) < \infty$ for every n sufficiently large. Let $\{w_{n_k}\}_k$ be the subsequence of $\{w_n\}_n$ given in Theorem 1.6 and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let z_{n_k} be such that $w_{n_k} = u_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_k}} z_{n_k} + \varepsilon_{n_k} u_1$. Let $W_{i,n}$ be given as in (4.31), then by (4.30), taking $n = n_k$ in (4.32) and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(2)}(w_{n_k}) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\bar{W}_i(s, 0)^2 - c_i s^{-1/2} \bar{W}_i(s, 0) \right) ds + B_i c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^R \left(\bar{W}_i(s, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} s^{-1/2} \right)^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R^+(0)} |\nabla W_i|^2 d\mathbf{y} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1,$$

where we have used (1.27), (1.28), (1.29), and the fact that $\{\nabla z_n\}_n$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ (see (1.25)). By letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in the previous inequality we get

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(2)}(w_{n_k}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{J}_i(W_i)}{2} + B_i c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 \geq \mathcal{F}_2(w),$$

where in the last step we used the fact that $\mathcal{J}_i(W_i) \geq A_i$.

Step 2: For every $w \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus \{u_0\}$, the constant sequence $w_n = w$ is a recovery sequence. On the other hand, if $w = u_0$, let $w_i \in H$ be given as in Proposition 4.4. Let z_n be the function defined in $B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i) \cap \Omega$ using polar coordinates around $\mathbf{x}_i$ (see (4.2)) via

$$\bar{z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, \theta_i) := \bar{\varphi}(r_i) \bar{W}_i \left(\frac{r_i}{\varepsilon_n}, \theta_i \right) \quad (4.33)$$

and $z_n(\mathbf{x}) := 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i)$. Set

$$w_n := u_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} z_n + \varepsilon_n u_1.$$

We claim that $\{w_n\}_n$ is a recovery sequence for u_0 . To prove the claim, we notice that (4.30) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(w_n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \left(\frac{\bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, 0)^2}{\varepsilon_n} - c_i r_i^{-1/2} \frac{w \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \right) dr_i + B_i c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\rho \varphi_i(r)^2 \left(\frac{w_i(r/\varepsilon_n, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} r^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla(z_n + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} u_1)| d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Letting $r = s\varepsilon_n$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \left(\frac{\bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, 0)^2}{\varepsilon_n} - c_i r_i^{-1/2} \frac{\bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \right) dr_i = \int_0^1 \left(\bar{W}_i(s, 0)^2 - c_i s^{-1/2} \bar{W}_i(s, 0) \right) ds, \quad (4.35)$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\rho \varphi_i(r)^2 \left(\frac{\bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr &= \int_1^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} \varphi_i(s\varepsilon_n)^2 \left(\bar{W}_i(s, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} s^{-1/2} \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq \int_1^\infty \left(\bar{W}_i(s, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} s^{-1/2} \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Next, we compute the contribution to the energy coming from the gradient term. Since $\bar{\varphi} = 0$ outside of $[0, \rho]$, by (4.33) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i)} |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho \left[r_i (\partial_{r_i}(\bar{\varphi}(r_i) \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, \theta_i)))^2 + \frac{1}{r_i} \bar{\varphi}(r_i)^2 (\partial_{\theta_i} \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, \theta_i))^2 \right] dr_i d\theta_i. \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho r (\partial_r(\varphi_i(r)w_i(r/\varepsilon_n, \theta)))^2 dr d\theta = \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho r (\varphi_i'(r)w_i(r/\varepsilon_n, \theta) + \varphi_i(r)\varepsilon_n \partial_r w_i(r/\varepsilon_n, \theta))^2 dr d\theta.$$

Expanding the square on the right-hand side of the previous identity we obtain three terms, which we study separately. By the change of variables $s = r_i/\varepsilon_n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho r_i \bar{\varphi}'(r_i)^2 \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, \theta_i)^2 dr_i d\theta_i &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} s \varepsilon_n^2 \varphi_i'(s\varepsilon_n)^2 \bar{W}_i(s, \theta_i)^2 ds d\theta_i \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\rho} \int_0^\pi \int_{\rho/2\varepsilon_n}^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} s \varepsilon_n^2 \bar{W}_i(s, \theta)^2 ds d\theta \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used Lemma 4.5. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho r_i \bar{\varphi}(r_i)^2 (\partial_{r_i} \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, \theta_i))^2 dr_i d\theta_i &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} s \bar{\varphi}(s\varepsilon_n)^2 (\partial_s \bar{W}_i(s, \theta_i))^2 ds d\theta_i \\ &\leq \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} s (\partial_s \bar{W}_i(s, \theta))^2 ds d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

In turn, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$2 \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho r_i \bar{\varphi}'(r_i) \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, \theta_i) \bar{\varphi}(r_i) \partial_{r_i} \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, \theta_i) dr_i d\theta_i \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The same change of variables $s = r_i/\varepsilon_n$ also yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\rho \frac{\bar{\varphi}(r_i)}{r_i} (\partial_{\theta_i} \bar{W}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, \theta_i))^2 dr_i d\theta_i &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} \frac{1}{s} \bar{\varphi}(s\varepsilon_n)^2 (\partial_{\theta_i} \bar{W}_i(s, \theta_i))^2 ds d\theta_i \\ &\leq \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\rho/\varepsilon_n} \frac{1}{s} (\partial_{\theta_i} \bar{W}_i(s, \theta))^2 ds d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla(z_n + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} u_1)|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\nabla W_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad (4.37)$$

which, together with (4.34), (4.35), and (4.36), concludes the proof of the Γ -limsup inequality.

The energy expansion (1.30) follows from Theorem 1.2 in [AB93]. $\square$

4.5 Sharp estimates

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Suppose by contradiction that (1.31) is not true. Then there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} > n \left(\varepsilon_n \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|} \right) \quad (4.38)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of (1.14), we have that

$$\sup\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty,$$

and thus by Theorem 1.4 there exist a subsequence $\{u_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}\}_k$ of $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}_n$ and $v_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$ such that

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon_n} - u_0}{\varepsilon_n \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}} \rightharpoonup v_0,$$

which is a contradiction to (4.38).

The proof of (1.32) follows analogously from (1.25) and (1.30). $\square$

5 More general Gamma-convergence results

Our results can be recast in a more general framework by decoupling the different scales in the asymptotic expansion of u_ε . Here we present in full detail the generalizations of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7: the results of Section 3 can be analogously reformulated. Throughout the section we assume that the domain Ω is given as in Theorem 1.1 and use the notations introduced in (4.1) and (4.2).

Theorem 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, let $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(1)} : L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma_D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be defined via*

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(1)}(u, v) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(1)}(u) & \text{if } u \in H^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \frac{u-u_0}{\varepsilon\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} = v \text{ on } \Gamma_D, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Then the family $\{\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(1)}\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma_D)$ to the functional

$$\mathcal{K}_1(u, v) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2 & \text{if } u = u_0 \text{ and } v \in L^2(\Gamma_D), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the coefficients c_i are as in Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Step 1: (Compactness) Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $(u_n, v_n) \in L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma_D)$ such that

$$\sup\{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(u_n, v_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty.$$

Then by (5.1), $u_n \in H^1(\Omega)$, the function

$$v_n^* := \frac{u_n - u_0}{\varepsilon_n \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|}}$$

belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$ and satisfies $v_n^* = v_n$ on Γ_D in the sense of traces. By Theorem 1.4, there exist a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{u_n\}_n$, $r \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$ such that

$$\varepsilon_{n_k}^{1/2} \nabla v_{n_k}^* \rightharpoonup r \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega),$$

$$v_{n_k} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } L^2(\Gamma_D).$$

Step 2: (Liminf inequality) Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_n$ be a sequence in $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma_D)$ such that $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(u_n, v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(u_n, v_n) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(u_n, v_n) < \infty$ for every n sufficiently large. Let $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$ be the subsequence of $\{u_n\}_n$ given as in the previous step and ξ_n^i be the function defined in polar coordinates as in (4.14). Then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(1)}(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(1)}(u_{n_k})$$

and so, reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.5 (by (4.15) and (4.16) with v_{n_k} and z_{n_k} replaced by u_{n_k} and $v_{n_k}^*$, respectively), we obtain

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(1)}(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} v_{n_k}^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 - \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(\mathbf{x}_i)} v_{n_k} (\xi_{n_k}^1 + \xi_{n_k}^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left[\frac{1}{2} v_{n_k}^2 - v_{n_k} (\xi_{n_k}^1 + \xi_{n_k}^2) \right] d\mathcal{H}^1 \\
&= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left[(v_{n_k} - \xi_{n_k}^1 - \xi_{n_k}^2)^2 - (\xi_{n_k}^1)^2 - (\xi_{n_k}^2)^2 \right] d\mathcal{H}^1 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i^2 = \mathcal{K}_1(u_0, v),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that $v_{n_k} \rightharpoonup v$, $\xi_{n_k}^i \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Gamma_D)$, and so

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(\mathbf{x}_i)} (v_{n_k} - \xi_{n_k}^1 - \xi_{n_k}^2)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 \geq \int_{\Gamma_D} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

Step 3: (Limsup inequality) Let $u = u_0$ and $v \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$. We extend v to zero in $\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_D$ and assume first that $v \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ (in what follows, although with a slight abuse of notation, we identify v with its extension). Then there exists $v^* \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $v^* = v$ on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of traces (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]). Set

$$u_n := u_0 + \varepsilon_n \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|} (z_n + v^*),$$

where z_n is defined as in (4.18). As one can check (see (4.24) and (4.26)), $\{(u_n, z_n + v^*)\}_n$ is a recovery sequence for (u_0, v) .

If $v \in L^2(\partial\Omega) \setminus H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ we consider a sequence $\{v_n\}_n$ of functions in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\|v_n - v\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.2)$$

and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $v_n^* \in H^1(\Omega)$ be such that $v_n^* = v_n$ on $\partial\Omega$ and

$$\|v_n^*\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq c \|v_n\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $c > 0$ is independent of n (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]). Furthermore, notice that by a standard mollification argument we can also assume that

$$\|\varepsilon_n^{1/2} v_n\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.4)$$

Set

$$u_n := u_0 + \varepsilon_n \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon_n|} (z_n + v_n^*)$$

and notice that by (5.3) and (5.4), $\|\varepsilon_n^{1/2} \nabla(z_n + v_n^*)\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we can proceed as in (4.24) and (4.26). $\square$

Theorem 5.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, let

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(2)} : L^2(\Omega) \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma_D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

be defined via

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(u, v_1, v_2, w) := \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(u) \quad (5.5)$$

if

$$\begin{cases} u - u_0 - \varepsilon u_1 = \sqrt{\varepsilon} V_{i,\varepsilon} & \text{in } \Omega \cap B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i), \\ u - u_0 - \varepsilon u_1 = \varepsilon w & \text{on } \Gamma_D \setminus B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}_i), \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

where the functions $V_{i,\varepsilon}$ are defined in polar coordinates by

$$\bar{V}_{i,\varepsilon}(r_i, \theta_i) := \bar{v}_i\left(\frac{r_i}{\varepsilon}, \theta_i\right), \quad (5.7)$$

and $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(u, v_1, v_2, w) := +\infty$ otherwise. Then the family $\{\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(2)}\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges in $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Gamma_D)$ to the functional

$$\mathcal{K}_2(u, v_1, v_2, w) := \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_i(v_i) + B_i c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} \left[\left(w - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \right)^2 - (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 \right] d\mathcal{H}^1$$

if $u = u_0$, $v_1, v_2 \in H$, $w - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$, and $\mathcal{K}_2(u, v_1, v_2, w) := +\infty$ otherwise, where B_i and C_φ are defined as in (1.18) and (1.19), respectively.

Proof. Step 1: (Liminf inequality) Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{(u_n, v_{1,n}, v_{2,n}, w_n)\}_n$ be a sequence in $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Gamma_D)$ such that $(u_n, v_{1,n}, v_{2,n}, w_n) \rightarrow (u, v_1, v_2, w)$. Let $\mathbf{u}_n := (u_n, v_{1,n}, v_{2,n}, w_n)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(\mathbf{u}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(\mathbf{u}_n) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_n}^{(2)}(\mathbf{u}_n) < \infty$ for every n sufficiently large. Let $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$ be the subsequence of $\{u_n\}_n$ given as in Theorem 1.6. By (4.30) (with w_n replaced by u_{n_k}), (5.5), (5.6), and (5.7) it follows that for every $\varepsilon_{n_k} < \delta < \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^\delta \left(\frac{\bar{v}_{i,n_k}(r_i/\varepsilon_{n_k}, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_k}}} - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i + B_{i,n_k} c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\varepsilon_{n_k}} \left(\frac{\bar{v}_{i,n_k}(r_i/\varepsilon_{n_k}, 0)^2}{\varepsilon_{n_k}} - c_i r_i^{-1/2} \frac{\bar{v}_{i,n_k}(r_i/\varepsilon_{n_k}, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_k}}} \right) dr_i \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left(w_{n_k} - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \right)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{n_k}} \int_\Omega |\nabla(u_{n_k} - u_0)|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where B_{i,n_k} is defined as in (4.28). Arguing as in the first step of the proof of Theorem 1.7, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_i(v_i) + B_i c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left(w - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \right)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude the proof of the liminf inequality it is enough to let $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$.

Step 2: (Limsup inequality) Let (u_0, v_1, v_2, w) be such that $\mathcal{K}_2(u_0, v_1, v_2, w) < \infty$. We assume first that there exists $0 < \delta < \rho/2$ such that

$$w \in H^{1/2} \left(\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \overline{B_{\delta/4}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \right), \quad (5.9)$$

and we extend it to a function in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ (in what follows, although with a slight abuse of notation, we identify w with its extension). Then there exists $w^* \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $w^* = w$ on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of traces (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]). Set

$$u_n := u_0 + \varepsilon_n u_1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} Z_n,$$

where Z_n is given in polar coordinate at $\mathbf{x}_i$ by

$$\bar{Z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, \theta_i) := \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2\delta} r_i\right) \bar{v}_i\left(\frac{r_i}{\varepsilon_n}, \theta_i\right) + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left(1 - \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2\delta} r_i\right)\right) \bar{w}^{*(i)}(r_i, \theta_i),$$

and $Z_n := \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} w^*$ in $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_i)$. We claim that $\{\mathbf{u}_n\}_n$, defined from $\{u_n\}_n$ via (5.6) and (5.7), is a recovery sequence for (u_0, v_1, v_2, w) . Using the fact that $\bar{\varphi}(\frac{\rho}{2\delta} r_i) = 1$ for $r_i \leq \delta$ and the change of variables $\varepsilon_n s = r_i$ (see also (4.35), (4.36), and (4.37)), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_i(v_i) &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{B_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i)} |\nabla Z_n|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)^2}{\varepsilon_n} - c_i r_i^{-1/2} \frac{\bar{Z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \right) dr_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\varepsilon_n}^\delta \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In turn, it follows from (5.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(\mathbf{u}_n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{J}_i(v_i)}{2} + B_i c_i + C_\varphi c_i^2 \right\} + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left(\frac{Z_n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \right)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u_{\text{reg}}^0)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_i B_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i)} |\nabla(Z_n + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} u_1)|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

By the convexity of the square function we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\delta^{2\delta} \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i &\leq \int_\delta^{2\delta} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2\delta} r_i\right) \left(\bar{v}_i(r_i/\varepsilon_n, 0) - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i \\ &\quad + \int_\delta^{2\delta} \left(1 - \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2\delta} r_i\right) \right) \left(w - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, since $\mathcal{J}_i(v_i) < \infty$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\delta^{2\delta} \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_n^{(i)}(r_i, 0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i \leq \int_\delta^{2\delta} \left(w - \frac{c_i}{2} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) r_i^{-1/2} \right)^2 dr_i.$$

In addition, using the fact that $\bar{\varphi}(\frac{\rho}{2\delta} r_i) = 0$ for $r_i \geq 2\delta$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{2\delta}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left(\frac{Z_n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \right)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 = \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_i B_{2\delta}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \left(w - \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \psi_i \right)^2 d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

We now observe that the result of Lemma 4.5 straightforwardly extends to every $v_i \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_i(v_i) < \infty$. Consequently, we can argue as in the second step of the proof of Theorem 1.7 to deduce that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_i B_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i)} |\nabla(Z_n + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} u_1)|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

This concludes the proof of the limsup inequality under the assumption that (5.9) is satisfied.

If on the other hand

$$w \notin H^{1/2} \left(\Gamma_D \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \overline{B_{\delta/4}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \right)$$

for any $\delta > 0$, we reproduce the mollification argument in (5.2) - (5.4) and proceed as before. $\square$

Acknowledgements

This paper is part of the first author's Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Mellon University. The authors acknowledge the Center for Nonlinear Analysis where part of this work was carried out. The research of G. Gravina and G. Leoni was partially funded by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. DMS-1412095 and DMS-1714098.

References

- [AB93] G. Anzellotti and S. Baldo. Asymptotic development by Γ -convergence. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 27(2):105–123, 1993.
- [ABO96] G. Anzellotti, S. Baldo, and G. Orlandi. Γ -asymptotic developments, the Cahn-Hilliard functional, and curvatures. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 197(3):908–924, 1996.
- [AC81] H. W. Alt and L. A. Caffarelli. Existence and regularity for a minimum problem with free boundary. *Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 325:105–144, 1981.
- [Aub72] J. P. Aubin. *Approximation of elliptic boundary value problems*. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972.
- [BCN90] H. Berestycki, L.A. Caffarelli, and L. Nirenberg. Uniform estimates for regularization of free boundary problems. *Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, 122:567–619, 1990.
- [BEFM03] F. B. Belgacem, H. El Fekih, and H. Metoui. Singular perturbation for the dirichlet boundary control of elliptic problems. *ESAIM: M2AN*, 37:833–850, 2003.
- [BF91] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin. *Mixed and hybrid finite element methods*, volume 15 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Bra02] A. Braides. *Γ -convergence for beginners*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [BT08] A. Braides and L. Truskinovsky. Asymptotic expansions by Γ -convergence. *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.*, 20(1):21–62, 2008.
- [CD96] M. Costabel and M. Dauge. A singularly perturbed mixed boundary value problem. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 21(11-12):1919–1949, 1996.
- [CDS98] M. Costabel, M. Dauge, and M. Suri. Numerical approximation of a singularly perturbed contact problem. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 157(3-4):349–363, 1998. Seventh Conference on Numerical Methods and Computational Mechanics in Science and Engineering (NMCM 96) (Miskolc).
- [CF73a] P. Colli Franzone. Approssimazione mediante il metodo di penalizzazione di problemi misti di Dirichlet-Neumann per operatori lineari ellittici del secondo ordine. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* (4), 7:229–250, 1973.

- [CF73b] P. Colli Franzone. Approximation of optimal control problems of systems described by boundary-value mixed problems of Dirichlet-Neumann type. pages 152–162. *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Vol. 3, 1973.
- [CF74] P. Colli Franzone. Problemi di controllo ottimale relativi a sistemi governati da problemi ai limiti misti per operatori lineari ellittici del secondo ordine. *Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A*, 108:557–584, 1974.
- [Dau88] M. Dauge. *Elliptic boundary value problems on corner domains*, volume 1341 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berline, 1988.
- [DG75] E. De Giorgi. Sulla convergenza di alcune successioni d’integrali del tipo dell’area. *Rend. Mat. (6)*, 8:277–294, 1975. Collection of articles dedicated to Mauro Picone on the occasion of his ninetieth birthday.
- [DM93] G. Dal Maso. *An introduction to Γ -convergence*, volume 8. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, 1993.
- [DMFL15] G. Dal Maso, I. Fonseca, and G. Leoni. Second order asymptotic development for the anisotropic Cahn-Hilliard functional. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 54(1):1119–1145, 2015.
- [GL18] G. Gravina and G. Leoni. On the existence and regularity of non-flat profiles for a Bernoulli free boundary problem. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.00601>, 2018.
- [Gri85] P. Grisvard. *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*, volume 24. Monograph and Studies in Mathematics, Boston, Massachusetts, 1985.
- [GT84] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Springer, New York, 2nd edition edition, 1984.
- [Kir85] A. Kirsch. The Robin problem for the Helmholtz equation as a singular perturbation problem. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 8(1-2):1–20, 1985.
- [KO83] V. A. Kondratiev and O. A. Oleinik. Boundary value problems for partial differential equations in nonsmooth domains. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 38(2):1–86, 1983.
- [Leo17] G. Leoni. *A first course in Sobolev spaces, second edition*, volume 181 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [Lio73] J. L. Lions. *Perturbations singulieres dans les problemes aux limites et en controle optimal*, volume 323 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1973.
- [LM16] G. Leoni and R. Murray. Second-order Γ -limit for the Cahn-Hilliard functional. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 219(3):1383–1451, 2016.
- [LM17] G. Leoni and R. Murray. A note regarding second-order γ -limits for the cahn–hilliard functional. *To appear, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2017.
- [MOW13] S. Machihara, T. Ozawa, and H. Wadade. Hardy type inequalities on balls. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 65(3):321–330, 2013.
- [MP75] V. G. Maz’ja and B. A. Plamenevskii. L_p estimates, and the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of elliptic boundary value problems in domains with edges. *Godišnik Višš. Učebn. Zaved. Priložna Mat.*, 11(2):113–123 (1977), 1975. Conference on Differential Equations and Applications (Ruse, 1975).

- [MR10] V. Maz'ya and J. Rossmann. *Elliptic equations in polyhedral domains*, volume 162 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [MR16] R. Murray and M. Rinaldi. Slow motion for the nonlocal Allen-Cahn equation in n dimensions. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55(6):Art. 147, 33, 2016.
- [MW17] M. Ming and C. Wang. Elliptic estimates for the Dirichlet-Neumann operator on a corner domain. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 104(3-4):103–166, 2017.
- [WSH79] W. L. Wendland, E. Stephan, and G. C. Hsiao. On the integral equation method for the plane mixed boundary value problem of the Laplacian. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 1(3):265–321, 1979.