# EXPERIMENTING WITH SYMPLECTIC HYPERGEOMETRIC MONODROMY GROUPS

A. S. DETINKO, D. L. FLANNERY, AND A. HULPKE

ABSTRACT. We present new computational results for symplectic monodromy groups of hypergeometric differential equations. In particular, we compute the arithmetic closure of each group, sometimes justifying arithmeticity. The results are obtained by extending our earlier algorithms for Zariski dense groups, based on the strong approximation and congruence subgroup properties.

#### 1. Introduction

This paper is a sequel to [8], which treated symplectic monodromy groups of hypergeometric differential equations as a test case. Deciding arithmeticity of such a group in its Zariski closure is a basic problem (see [20, Section 3.5] and [3, p. 326]). More generally, one asks whether the group is arithmetic, or whether it is *thin*, i.e., Zariski dense but not arithmetic in the ambient algebraic group. This problem has received much attention. It was solved completely for monodromy groups associated with Calabi–Yau manifolds [4, 21, 23], which are 4-dimensional symplectic linear groups over  $\mathbb Q$ . Note also the results of [12], demonstrating thinness of certain orthogonal hypergeometric monodromy groups.

Our approach to all questions emphasizes computer-aided experimentation. We compute the arithmetic closure  $\operatorname{cl}(H)$  of a dense group H, the 'closest' arithmetic overgroup of H (specifically, we compute the index of  $\operatorname{cl}(H)$ , and the level of the maximal principal congruence subgroup that  $\operatorname{cl}(H)$  contains). Then  $\operatorname{cl}(H)$  is used to investigate H. Sometimes we are able to prove that H is arithmetic. Moreover, we produce comprehensive extra information about all symplectic hypergeometric monodromy groups in the degrees considered.

Our methods are based on the strong approximation and congruence subgroup properties for the symplectic group. In Section 2, we extend algorithms developed in [8] for dense subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  to accept dense subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ . Section 3 provides relevant background on hypergeometric groups, and details of

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20-04, 20G15, 20H25, 68W30.

Key words and phrases. symplectic group, Zariski density, strong approximation, algorithm.

our experimental strategy. Output for all dense hypergeometric monodromy subgroups of the symplectic group of degree 4 is tabulated in Section 4. We discuss this data in light of work by other authors, noting new proofs of arithmeticity and new index calculations. As further illustration, we give sample output for groups of degree 6.

We set down some notation. Input groups for all algorithms are finitely generated. Let  $H = \langle S \rangle$  where  $S = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\} \subseteq \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . The subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  generated by the entries of the  $g_i$  and  $g_i^{-1}$  will be denoted R. Thus  $R = \frac{1}{\mu}\mathbb{Z}$  for a positive integer  $\mu$ . If m is coprime to  $\mu$  then the congruence homomorphism  $\varphi_m$  induced by natural surjection  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_m = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  maps  $\operatorname{GL}(n,R)$  into  $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_m)$ .

Throughout,  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field and  $1_m$  is the  $m \times m$  identity matrix. Let V be the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space of dimension n=2s>2, and let  $\Phi$  be the matrix of a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on V with respect to a basis of V. The full symplectic group in  $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{F})$  preserving  $\Phi$  is denoted  $\mathrm{Sp}(\Phi,\mathbb{F})$ . If  $D\subseteq \mathbb{F}$  is a unital subring then  $\mathrm{Sp}(\Phi,D):=\mathrm{Sp}(\Phi,\mathbb{F})\cap\mathrm{GL}(n,D)$ . We write  $\mathrm{Sp}(n,D)$  instead of  $\mathrm{Sp}(\Phi,D)$  if

$$\Phi = J_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0_s & 1_s \\ -1_s & 0_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Sp}(\Phi,\mathbb{F})$  and  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{F})$  are  $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{F})$ -conjugate, often it suffices to deal with the latter rather than the former group. The shorthand  $\operatorname{Sp}_n$  stands for the symplectic group when  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\Phi$  are unimportant.

### 2. Computing with dense subgroups of symplectic groups

In this section we establish the theoretical foundation for our algorithms.

2.1. Strong approximation and computing. Let H be a finitely generated dense subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ . The strong approximation theorem guarantees that H surjects onto  $\mathrm{Sp}(n,p)$  for almost all primes  $p\in\mathbb{Z}$  [17, Corollary 3, Window 9]. Let  $\Pi(H)$  be the (finite) set of primes p such that  $p\nmid \mu$  and  $\varphi_p(H)\neq \mathrm{Sp}(n,p)$ . Below we outline how to compute  $\Pi(H)$ .

In [10] we developed a method to compute the set of primes p such that  $\varphi_p(H) \neq \operatorname{SL}(n,p)$  for dense  $H \leq \operatorname{SL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ . This relies on testing irreducibility of the adjoint module of H, and the classification of maximal subgroups of  $\operatorname{SL}(n,p)$ . Something similar could be done for dense subgroups of  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ .

For a dense subgroup H of  $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ , another way to compute  $\Pi(H)$  is described in [8, Section 3] (see also [9, Section 2.5]). Here we must know an explicit transvection in H (recall that a transvection  $\tau \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{F})$  is a unipotent element such that  $1_n - \tau$  has rank 1). While an arbitrary dense subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_n$  may not contain a transvection, the groups in our experiments do.

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose that H is a finitely generated subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$  containing a transvection  $\tau$ . Then H is dense if and only if  $\langle \tau \rangle^H$  is absolutely irreducible.

*Proof.* The proof of [8, Proposition 3.7] for  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  remains valid for  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n, R)$ .

Having identified a transvection  $\tau$  in  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ , Proposition 2.1 allows us to apply the procedure  $\operatorname{IsDense}(H,\tau)$  from [8, Section 3.2] to test density of H. Given dense H, we compute  $\Pi(H)$  using  $\operatorname{PrimesForDense}(H,\tau)$  from [8, Section 3.2] as follows. Let  $\{A_1,\ldots,A_{n^2}\}$  be a basis of the enveloping algebra  $\langle N\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $N=\langle \tau\rangle^H$  and the  $A_i$  are words in S. We can find a finite set  $\Pi_1$  of primes such that the  $\varphi_p(A_i)$  are linearly independent and  $\varphi_p(1_n-\tau)\neq 0$  for any prime  $p\not\in\Pi_1$ . That is, if  $p\not\in\Pi_1$  then  $\varphi_p(N)$  is absolutely irreducible and contains the transvection  $\varphi_p(\tau)$ ; so  $\varphi_p(H)=\operatorname{Sp}(n,p)$  by [8, Theorem 3.2]. Thus  $\Pi(H)\subseteq\Pi_1$ . We obtain  $\Pi(H)$  after checking whether  $\varphi_p(H)=\operatorname{Sp}(n,p)$  for each  $p\in\Pi_1$ . This last step uses recognition algorithms for matrix groups over finite fields [18].

2.2. Integrality and computing the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -intercept. Some of our algorithms require us to compute the ' $\mathbb{Z}$ -points'  $H_{\mathbb{Z}} := H \cap \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  of input  $H \leq \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ . This is possible by the next result.

**Lemma 2.2** ([7, Lemma 5.1]). For a finitely generated subgroup H of  $GL(n, \mathbb{Q})$ , the following are equivalent:

- H is integral, i.e., H is conjugate to a subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ,
- $|H:H_{\mathbb{Z}}|$  is finite,
- there exists a positive integer d such that dH consists of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -matrices.

In [7, Section 5] we explain how to find d if  $|H:H_{\mathbb{Z}}|$  is finite. The procedure IntegralIntercept(S,d) from [6] then computes a generating set of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . However, its practicality is limited. In our experiments, we calculated a transversal of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$  in H using an orbit algorithm for the multiplication action by H, starting with  $1_n$ . Suppose that g is an image so obtained. We test whether  $gh^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  for each known orbit element h. If this happens for some h then g lies in the same coset of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (and will yield a Schreier generator of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ). If no such h exists then g is a representative of a new coset.

We avail of the following reduction when  $|H:H_{\mathbb{Z}}|$  is large. Let  $\sigma$  be an integer whose prime divisors divide the denominators of entries in elements of H; so  $\frac{1}{\sigma}\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R$ . Then  $H_{\mathbb{Z}} \leq K \leq H$  where  $K = H \cap \operatorname{Sp}(n, \frac{1}{\sigma}\mathbb{Z})$ . Membership in K is tested by inspection of matrix denominators. We thus divide the transversal length into

two factors, first calculating a transversal of K in H, and then a transversal of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$  in K.

A potential complication is too many Schreier generators for  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Rather than keeping them all, we randomly select about 300 subproducts of Schreier generators for each transversal step (cf. [1]). Conceivably we may not then compute all of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , but merely a proper subgroup. At the end we therefore verify, by a calculation in the congruence image modulo the level of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (see Section 2.3), that all Schreier generators lie in the subgroup generated by the chosen set.

2.3. The congruence subgroup property and computing. Suppose that  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$  is arithmetic, i.e., commensurable with  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ . The congruence subgroup property holds for  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ , so that  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$  contains a principal congruence subgroup  $\ker \varphi_r \cap \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  for some modulus r>1. The *level* of H, denoted M(H), is the modulus of the unique maximal principal congruence subgroup in  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Now suppose that H is a finitely generated dense subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ . The *arithmetic closure*  $\operatorname{cl}(H)$  of H in  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  is the intersection of all arithmetic subgroups of  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  containing H (see [8, Section 3.3]). If  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$  is not necessarily arithmetic, but  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is dense, then we set  $M(H) = M(\operatorname{cl}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ .

The level is a key component of our algorithms for computing with dense  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $|\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z}) : \operatorname{cl}(H)|$  is not too large, then we may test arithmeticity of H by coset enumeration [15, Chapter 5].

The algorithm LevelMaxPCS from [8] returns M(H) for input dense  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\Pi(H)$ . In practice, for  $H \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is dense, we use LevelMaxPCS $(H_{\mathbb{Z}},\Pi(H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ ; by definition this returns M(H). Certainly  $\Pi(H) \subseteq \Pi(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , but these sets need not coincide. For example,  $p \in \Pi(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$  could divide  $\mu$ .

#### 3. Hypergeometric groups

# 3.1. **Background.** We adhere mainly to the conventions of [3].

Let  $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$  and  $b=(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$ , where  $a_j,\,b_k\in\mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $a_j\neq b_k$  for  $1\leq j,k\leq n$ . A subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$  generated by elements  $h_\infty,\,h_0$  such that  $\det(t1_n-h_\infty)=\prod_{j=1}^n(t-a_j)$  and  $\det(t1_n-h_0^{-1})=\prod_{j=1}^n(t-b_j)$  is called a *hypergeometric group*, and denoted H(a,b). It is absolutely irreducible by [3, Proposition 3.3]. The element  $h_1:=(h_0h_\infty)^{-1}$  of H(a,b) is a reflection, i.e.,  $h_1-1_n$  has rank 1.

If  $a_j = \exp(2\pi i \alpha_j)$  and  $b_j = \exp(2\pi i \beta_j)$  for  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ , then H(a, b) is the monodromy group of a hypergeometric differential equation [3, Proposition 3.2].

**Theorem 3.1** ([3, Theorem 3.5]). For  $a_j$ ,  $b_k$  as above, let

$$f(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (t - a_j) = t^n + A_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + A_n$$

and

$$g(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (t - b_j) = t^n + B_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + B_n.$$

Further, let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -A_n \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & -A_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & -A_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -B_n \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & -B_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & -B_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $h_{\infty} = A$ ,  $h_0 = B^{-1}$  generate a hypergeometric group H(a,b) for  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  and  $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ . Any hypergeometric group with the same a, b is  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -conjugate to this one.

We are concerned with H(a, b) that are

- (i) symplectic,
- (ii) dense in  $Sp_n$ ,
- (iii) integral.

There are only finitely many  $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugacy classes of such H(a,b). By [3, Proposition 6.1], H(a,b) is symplectic if and only if  $\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}=\{a_1^{-1},\ldots,a_n^{-1}\},\{b_1,\ldots,b_n\}=\{b_1^{-1},\ldots,b_n^{-1}\},$  and  $\delta:=\det(h_1)=1$  (whence  $h_1$  is a transvection). We remark that H(a,b) need not be dense in  $\mathrm{Sp}_n$  (by, e.g., [3, Theorem 6.5]). Additionally, H(a,b) is integral if and only if the  $a_j$  and  $b_k$  are roots of unity. Hence the characteristic polynomials f(t), g(t) of A, B should be products of coprime cyclotomic polynomials. Under these conditions,  $H(a,b) \leq \mathrm{Sp}(\Phi,\mathbb{Z})$  for some  $\Phi$ . Since H(a,b) is absolutely irreducible,  $\Phi$  is unique up to a scalar multiple.

- 3.2. **Strategy.** Assuming that  $H(a,b) \leq \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$  satisfy the requirements (i), (ii), (iii) of Section 3.1, we proceed as follows.
  - (I) We list all pairs f(t), g(t) of polynomials of degree n, each of which is the product of coprime cyclotomic polynomials, and such that  $\delta = 1$  (for  $h_{\infty}$ ,  $h_0$  as in Theorem 3.1). Non-dense H(a,b) are excluded by running  $\mathtt{IsDense}(H(a,b),h_1)$ .
  - (II) The matrix  $\Phi$  of a symplectic form fixed by H(a,b) may be interpreted as a homomorphism between the natural module of H(a,b) and its dual. We use MeatAxe techniques [15, Section 7.5.2] to compute  $\Phi$ . Next,  $g \in \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $gJ_ng^\top = \Phi$  is found by simple linear algebra. Then  $L = L(a,b) := g^{-1}H(a,b)g \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ . (We seek a copy of H(a,b) that

preserves the standard form because it is more convenient for computing; e.g., we have a presentation of  $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  but not of  $\mathrm{Sp}(\Phi,\mathbb{Z})$ .) Note that  $h:=g^{-1}h_1g$  is a transvection in L.

Since  $\Phi$  is not strictly unique, and g can vary by factors stabilizing the form, L depends on choices made. These might also impact  $|L:L_{\mathbb{Z}}|$ , which we want to keep small for efficiency purposes and to avoid large increases in the number of Schreier generators (remember that  $|L:L_{\mathbb{Z}}|<\infty$  is finite by Lemma 2.2). Our code therefore uses heuristics to determine candidates for  $\Phi$  and g. It calculates  $L=\langle T\rangle$  and  $\overline{k}=\operatorname{lcm}\{k\mid l^k\in L_{\mathbb{Z}}\;|\; \forall\; l\in T\}$  (as a stand-in for  $|L:L_{\mathbb{Z}}|$ ). Then g is chosen so that  $\overline{k}$  is minimal.

- (III) We compute  $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (see Section 2.2). Although perhaps  $h \notin L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we can always find a transvection  $\lambda = h^k \in L_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for some k.
- (IV) We compute

```
\Pi(L_{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathtt{PrimesForDense}(L_{\mathbb{Z}}, \lambda)

\mathtt{LevelMaxPCS}(L_{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi(L_{\mathbb{Z}}))

|\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}) : \mathrm{cl}(L_{\mathbb{Z}})|.
```

(V) When  $|\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z}):\operatorname{cl}(L_{\mathbb{Z}})|$  is sufficiently small, we express the generators of  $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$  as words in generators of  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  [16], and try to find  $|\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z}):L_{\mathbb{Z}}|$  by coset enumeration. If this succeeds, i.e., confirms that the indices of  $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\operatorname{cl}(L_{\mathbb{Z}})$  are equal, then we have proved that  $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and thereby H(a,b) are arithmetic (cf. [19, Theorem 4.1, p. 204]).

As the cost of coset enumeration is bounded below by the index, we restricted our attempts to groups with (presumed) indices less than  $10^7$ . If the index was expected to be in the range  $10^7,\ldots,10^{14}$ , then we tried to find an intermediate subgroup  $L_{\mathbb{Z}} < U < \operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $|U:L_{\mathbb{Z}}| \leq 10^7$ . Enumeration was undertaken with a presentation for U found by Reidemeister–Schreier rewriting [15, Chapter 5]. Suitable U are generated by  $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$  together with congruence subgroups in  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  of level dividing the level of  $\operatorname{cl}(L_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

By [23, Theorem 1.1], if the leading coefficient of f(t) - g(t) has absolute value at most 2 then H(a,b) is arithmetic in  $\operatorname{Sp}(\Phi,\mathbb{Z})$ . At least in degree 4, we proved arithmeticity (and computed the level and index) whenever the criterion from [23] applies, and occasionally when it does not. Unfortunately, we lack a method for proving non-arithmeticity if coset enumeration fails.

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Our algorithms have been implemented in GAP [13]. In this section, we present the complete results of various experiments for n=4 (Table 2), and a sample

for n=6 (Table 3). The results for all 916 groups of degree 6 are available at https://www.math.colostate.edu/~hulpke/paper/hypergeom6.pdf.

A group with Nr  $\leq$  60 in Table 2 has the same number in [23, Table 1], while Nr  $= m \geq 100$  matches number m-100 in [23, Table 2]. The index and arithmeticity questions for these groups were studied previously in [14, 22]. Table 1 gives a correspondence between our numbering and the notation used in those two papers. ' $S_x y$ ' denotes the group in Table x of [22] with line label y. 'HS(d,k)' denotes the group labeled (d,k) in [14].

1	HS(1,2)	118	$S_112(6)$	136	$S_37$
101	$S_21(1), HS(16,8)$	119	$S_28(2)$	137	$S_44$
102	$S_11, HS(9,6)$	120	$S_17(1)$	138	$S_45$
103	$S_22, HS(12,7)$	121	$S_1 10(4)$	139	$S_38$
104	$S_12, HS(4,4)$	122	$S_19(3)$	140	$S_46$
105	$S_23, HS(8,6)$	123	$S_212(6)$	141	$S_47(1)$
106	$S_13, HS(6,5)$	124	$S_210(4)$	142	$S_39(1)$
107	$S_24, HS(5,5)$	125	$S_213(7)$	143	$S_48(2)$
108	$S_25, HS(4,5)$	126	$S_41$	144	$S_310(2)$
109	$S_14, HS(3,4)$	127	$S_32$	145	$S_411(6)$
110	$S_15, HS(2,3)$	128	$S_33$	146	$S_314(7)$
111	$S_26, HS(2,4)$	129	$S_34(4)$	147	$S_312(5)$
112	$S_16, HS(1,3)$	130	$S_35$	148	$S_410(5)$
113	$S_27, HS(1,4)$	131	$S_36$	149	$S_313(6)$
114	$S_211(5)$	132	$S_42$	150	$S_49(4)$
115	$S_29(3)$	133	$S_31$	151	$S_315(8)$
116	$S_1 8(2)$	134	$S_43(3)$		
117	$S_111(5)$	135	$S_311(3)$		

TABLE 1. Label correspondences

The column 'Polynomials' in Table 2 lists f(t), g(t) as in (I) of Section 3.2 (the Nr entries in Table 3 derive from the listing of these polynomials). If  $\mu > 1$  then its prime divisors are given in column 'Mu'. 'Int' is  $|L:L_{\mathbb{Z}}|$ . 'iLevel' and 'iIndex' are level and index of  $\operatorname{cl}(L_{\mathbb{Z}})$  in  $\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ , respectively. 'Coeff' is the absolute value of the leading coefficient of f(t) - g(t). The column 'Enum' records whether coset enumeration succeeded. We reiterate that if an enumeration terminates (signified by a tick  $\checkmark$ ) then it returns  $|\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z}):L_{\mathbb{Z}}|$ , and the input group is arithmetic. A dash means that coset enumeration failed to terminate; of course, this does not prove that the group is not arithmetic. If the group is thin by an argument of [4] (see [22, Table 2]), so that coset enumeration is sure to fail, then a letter T is given.

In cases where large  $|\operatorname{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z}):\operatorname{cl}(L_{\mathbb{Z}})|$  implies that coset enumeration is unlikely to succeed, we put a cross. Indices in degree 4 were small enough to attempt coset enumeration for all groups that are not known to be thin. The size of many indices in degree 6 dissuades any attempt at coset enumeration.

We discuss some test groups of interest. Table 2 shows that the groups Nr = 104, 109 are arithmetic; the question is open in [23, Table 3] (rows 5 and 10) but proved in [22, Table 1] (rows 2 and 4).

Our method proves arithmeticity for all groups in Tables 1 and 3 of [22] (which are listed, respectively proved, to be arithmetic there), apart from Nr = 102, 120, 122, 128, 135 (which all have large index, greater than  $4 \cdot 10^8$ ).

The arithmetic groups Nr = 126 and 141 in Table 2 are No.s 1 and 7(1) in [22, Table 4], for which arithmeticity or thinness was unknown. Furthermore, our calculations show that the groups with Nr = 137, 138, 148, 150 would have rather small index if they were arithmetic. Failure of coset enumeration therefore seems to suggest that, unless we have been unlucky, these groups are more likely to be thin than not.

If the polynomial pair includes  $(t-1)^4$ , then a special base change (also featuring in [14]) was used, to render the group in  $\mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbb{Z})$ . This comprises the basis defined in [11, (2.2)], followed by the two base changes indicated in Remark 1 and Equation (9) of [5]. Thus, it becomes possible to compare our results with those of [14]. We see that  $|\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Z}):L|$  is the same for  $\mathrm{Nr}=1$ , 104, 109, 110, 112; i.e., the groups (d,k)=(1,2), (4,4), (3,4), (2,3), (1,3) in [14, Theorem 4.3]. Hofmann and van Straten were not able to compute the index of (d,k)=(6,5), whereas we could do so for the corresponding group  $\mathrm{Nr}=106$ , confirming their estimate. Although we were unable to prove arithmeticity of the group (d,k)=(9,6),  $\mathrm{Nr}=102$ , the index  $2^83^{14}5^2$  that we computed is slightly better than the estimate in [14].

Let  $H_n$ ,  $G_n$  be H(a,b) with  $f(t)=(t-1)^n$  and  $g(t)=(t^{n+1}-1)/(t-1)$ ,  $(t^{n+1}+1)/(t+1)$ , respectively. The arithmeticity problem for  $H_n$  and  $G_n$  was posed at the 'Workshop on Thin Groups and Super Approximation', Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, March 2016. If n=4 then  $H_n$  is thin [4]; see row 107 in Table 2, or row 8 in [8, Table 3] for  $\operatorname{cl}(H_4)$ . The group  $G_4$  is arithmetic [23, Corollary 1.4] (row 1 in [8, Table 3] and row 112 in Table 2).

In degree 6 we proved arithmeticity for a smaller number of the groups not covered by [23, Theorem 1.1]; two notable exceptions are rows 468 and 534 of Table 3. We have not yet solved the arithmeticity problem for  $G_6$  or  $H_6$ . However, the level and index of their arithmetic closures are stated in rows 774 and 838 of Table 3.

*Postscript.* During revisions of our paper, we became aware of the preprint [2]. Bajpai et al. settle arithmeticity of many symplectic hypergeometric groups in degree 6, using an adaptation of [23]. We tried their method in degrees 4 and 6, and note that sometimes it succeeds when our method fails; but also vice versa.

Nr	Polynomials	$\mu$	Int	iLevel	iIndex	Coeff	Enum
1	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$	1	1	2	2.5	2	✓
2	$ (t-1)^2(t+1)^2  t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1 $	3	$2^{2}$	$2\cdot 3^2$	$2^73^45^2$	2	✓
3	$ (t-1)^2(t+1)^2  (t^2+1)(t^2+t+1) $	2,3	$3^{2}$	$2^43^2$	$2^{10}3^35^2$	1	✓
4	$(t-1)^2(t+1)^2$ $t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1$	5	5	$2.5^2$	$2^53^25 \cdot 13$	1	<b>√</b>
5	$t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$ $(t-1)^2(t+1)^2$	3	$2^{2}$	$2\cdot 3^2$	$2^73^45^2$	2	✓
6	$ \begin{array}{c} (t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + 1) \\ (t - 1)^2(t + 1)^2 \end{array} $	2,3	$3^{2}$	$2^43^2$	$2^{10}3^35^2$	1	✓
7	$t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1$ $(t-1)^2 (t+1)^2$	5	5	$2.5^2$	$2^43^25 \cdot 13$	1	✓
8	$t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	2	2.5	2	✓
9	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4+2t^2+1$	2	2.3	$2^{4}$	$2^6 3^2 5$	1	✓
10	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1$	2,5	$2^3 3 \cdot 5^2$	$2^35^2$	$2^83^35^213$	2	✓
11	$t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$ $(t-1)^2(t^2 + t + 1)$	2	$3^{2}$	$2^4$	$2^8 3^2 5$	1	✓
12	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $(t+1)^2(t^2-t+1)$	2	2	$2^4$	$2^{11}3.5$	2	✓
13	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)  (t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)$	1	1	$2^{2}$	$2^{6}3.5$	2	<b>√</b>
14	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4+1$	2	2	$2^{3}$	$2^2 3 \cdot 5$	1	✓
15	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1$	1	1	2	2.3	1	✓
16	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4-t^2+1$	2	3	$2^{3}$	$2^{3}3.5$	1	✓
17	$t^4 + 2t^2 + 1$ $t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1$	1	1	2	2.5	2	<b>√</b>
18	$(t+1)^2(t^2+1)  t^4+2t^3+3t^2+2t+1$	1	1	2	2.5	1	✓
19	$t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1$ $t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1$	1	1	1	1	1	✓

Nr	Polynomials	$\mu$	Int	iLevel	iIndex	Coeff	Enum
20	$ \begin{array}{c c} (t+1)^2(t^2-t+1) \\ t^4+2t^3+3t^2+2t+1 \end{array} $	2	$3^{2}$	$2^4$	$2^73^25$	1	<b>√</b>
21	$\begin{array}{c c} t^4 + 1 \\ t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1 \end{array}$	1	1	2	2.5	2	<b>✓</b>
22	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 - t^2 + 1 \\ t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1 \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	$2^2$	$2^{6}3.5$	2	<b>√</b>
23	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \\ (t+1)^2 (t^2 + t + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	2	2.3	2	<b>√</b>
24	$ \begin{array}{c} (t-1)^2(t^2+1) \\ t^4-2t^3+3t^2-2t+1 \end{array} $	1	1	2	2.5	1	<b>√</b>
25	$ \begin{vmatrix} (t-1)^2(t^2+1) \\ (t^2-t+1)(t^2+t+1) \end{vmatrix} $	3	3	$2\cdot3^2$	$2^5 3.5^2$	2	<b>√</b>
26	$ \begin{vmatrix} (t-1)^2(t^2+1) \\ t^4+1 \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	$2^2$	$2^7 3^2 5$	2	<b>√</b>
27	$ \begin{vmatrix} (t-1)^2(t^2+1) \\ t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1 \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	2	2.3	1	<b>√</b>
28	$ \begin{array}{c c} (t-1)^2(t^2+1) \\ t^4-t^2+1 \end{array} $	3	2	$2\cdot3^2$	$2^5 3 \cdot 5^2$	2	<b>✓</b>
29	$ \begin{array}{c c} t^4 + 2t^2 + 1 \\ t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \end{array} $	1	1	2	2.3	1	<b>✓</b>
30	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	2	2.5	2	<b>✓</b>
31	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 + 2t^2 + 1 \\ (t+1)^2(t^2 - t + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	2	2.3	$2^4$	$2^6 3^2 5$	1	<b>✓</b>
32	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	2	2.3	1	✓
33	$ \begin{array}{c c} t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \\ (t+1)^2 (t^2 + 1) \end{array} $	1	1	2	2.3	1	✓
34	$ \begin{array}{c c} (t^2-t+1)(t^2+t+1) \\ (t+1)^2(t^2+1) \end{array} $	3	3	$2\cdot3^2$	$2^5 3 \cdot 5^2$	2	<b>√</b>
35	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 + 1 \\ (t+1)^2(t^2+1) \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	$2^{2}$	$2^73^25$	2	<b>√</b>
36	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 - t^2 + 1 \\ (t+1)^2 (t^2 + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	3	2	$2\cdot3^2$	$2^5 3.5^2$	2	✓
37	$ \begin{array}{c} t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \\ (t^2 + 1)(t^2 + t + 1) \end{array} $	1	1	2	2.3	1	✓
38	$ \begin{array}{c c} (t+1)^2(t^2-t+1) \\ (t^2+1)(t^2+t+1) \end{array} $	1	1	$2^{2}$	$2^{6}3.5$	2	<b>✓</b>

Nr	Polynomials	$\mu$	Int	iLevel	iIndex	Coeff	Enum
39	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 + 1 \\ (t^2 + 1)(t^2 + t + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	$2^3$	$2^2 3.5$	1	✓
40	$t^{4}-t^{3}+t^{2}-t+1$ $(t^{2}+1)(t^{2}+t+1)$	1	1	2	2.3	2	<b>✓</b>
41	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 - t^2 + 1 \\ (t^2 + 1)(t^2 + t + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	2,3	2.3	$2^33^2$	$2^6 3^2 5^2$	1	✓
42	$(t+1)^{2}(t^{2}-t+1)$ $t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1$	1	1	2	2.3	1	<b>✓</b>
43	$ \begin{vmatrix} (t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + t + 1) \\ t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	1	1	1	✓
44	$ \begin{array}{c c} (t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + 1) \\ t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \end{array} $	1	1	2	2.3	2	<b>√</b>
45	$ \begin{array}{c c} t^4 + 1 \\ t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \end{array} $	1	1	2	2.3	1	<b>✓</b>
46	$t^{4}-t^{3}+t^{2}-t+1$ $t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1$	1	1	$2^2$	$2^9 3^2$	2	✓
47	$ \begin{array}{c c} t^4 - t^2 + 1 \\ t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \end{array} $	1	1	1	1	1	✓
48	$ \begin{array}{c c} (t-1)^2(t^2-t+1) \\ t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1 \end{array} $	1	1	2	2.3	2	<b>√</b>
49	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	2	2.5	2	<b>✓</b>
50	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	1	1	1	<b>✓</b>
51	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	$2^2$	$2^{6}3.5$	2	✓
52	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 + 1 \\ (t+1)^2(t^2 - t + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	2	2	$2^{3}$	$2^23.5$	1	✓
53	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1 \\ (t+1)^2 (t^2 - t + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	5	5	$2.5^2$	$2^43^25 \cdot 13$	2	<b>√</b>
54	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 - t^2 + 1 \\ (t+1)^2 (t^2 - t + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	2	3	$2^{3}$	$2^{3}3.5$	1	<b>√</b>
55	$(t^2-t+1)(t^2+t+1)$	1	1	1	1	1	✓
56	$ \begin{vmatrix} (t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + 1) \\ t^4 + 1 \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	$2^{3}$	$2^2 3.5$	1	✓
57	$ \begin{vmatrix} t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1 \\ (t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + 1) \end{vmatrix} $	1	1	2	2.3	1	<b>✓</b>

Nr	Polynomials	$\mu$	Int	iLevel	iIndex	Coeff	Enum
58	$(t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)$ $t^4-t^2+1$	2,3	2.3	$2^{3}3^{2}$	$2^6 3^2 5^2$	1	✓
59	$t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1$ $t^4 + 1$	1	1	2	2.3	1	<b>√</b>
60	$t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1$ $t^4 - t^2 + 1$	1	1	1	1	1	<b>√</b>
101	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	$2^{10}$	$2^{40}3^25$	8	Т
102	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1$	1	1	$2\cdot3^5$	$2^8 3^{14} 5^2$	6	
103	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2 + t + 1)$	1	1	$2^{5}3^{2}$	$2^{17}3^{6}5^{2}$	7	Т
104	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 + 2t^2 + 1$	1	1	$2^{6}$	$2^{20}3^25$	4	✓
105	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2+1)$	1	1	$2^7$	$2^{24}3^25$	6	Т
106	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $(t^2 + 1)(t^2 + t + 1)$	1	1	$2^{3}3^{2}$	$2^{10}3^65^2$	5	<b>√</b>
107	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1$	1	1	$2.5^3$	2 <sup>8</sup> 3 <sup>3</sup> 5 <sup>8</sup> 13	5	Т
108	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2 - t + 1)$	1	1	$2^5$	$2^{13}3.5$	5	Т
109	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $(t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + t + 1)$	1	1	$2^23^2$	$2^93^55^2$	4	✓
110	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $(t^2 - t + 1)(t^2 + 1)$	1	1	$2^{3}$	$2^{6}3.5$	3	✓
111	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 + 1$	1	1	$2^4$	$2^{11}3^25$	4	Т
112	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1$	1	1	2	2.3	3	✓
113	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1$ $t^4 - t^2 + 1$	1	1	$2^2$	$2^{5}5$	4	Т
114	$(t-1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4+4t^3+6t^2+4t+1$	1	1	$2^{5}$	$2^{13}3.5$	5	Т
115	$(t-1)^2(t^2+1)  t^4+4t^3+6t^2+4t+1$	2	$2^{3}$	$2^8$	$2^{27}3^25$	6	Т
116	$t^4 + 2t^2 + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	$2^4$	$2^{20}3^25$	4	✓

Nr	Polynomials	$\mu$	Int	iLevel	iIndex	Coeff	Enum
117	$(t^2+1)(t^2+t+1)  t^4+4t^3+6t^2+4t+1$	2	2.3	$2^4$	$2^73^25$	3	<b>√</b>
118	$t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	2	2.3	3	<b>√</b>
119	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $t^4+4t^3+6t^2+4t+1$	3	$2^{2}$	$2^53^3$	$2^{19}3^65^2$	7	Т
120	$t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	$2\cdot3^4$	$2^8 3^{14} 5^2$	6	_
121	$(t^2-t+1)(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4+4t^3+6t^2+4t+1$	3	$2^{2}3$	$2^23^3$	$2^{11}3^{6}5^{2}$	4	<b>√</b>
122	$(t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)$ $t^4+4t^3+6t^2+4t+1$	2,3	$2^{3}3$	$2^43^3$	$2^{13}3^{7}5^{2}$	5	_
123	$t^4 + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	$2^{3}$	$2^{11}3^25$	4	Т
124	$t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	$2.5^2$	$2^73^35^813$	5	Т
125	$t^4 - t^2 + 1$ $t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1$	1	1	$2^2$	$2^{5}5$	4	Т
126	$ (t-1)^2(t^2+t+1) $ $ (t+1)^2(t^2+1) $	2	$2^{2}3$	$2^{6}$	$2^{11}3^25$	3	✓
127	$(t-1)^{2}(t^{2}+1)$ $t^{4}+2t^{3}+3t^{2}+2t+1$	3	$2^{2}$	$2\cdot 3^2$	$2^73^45^2$	4	<b>√</b>
128	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $t^4+2t^3+3t^2+2t+1$	2,3	$2^23^2$	$2^43^3$	$2^{13}3^{7}5^{2}$	5	_
129	$t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$ $t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1$	1	1	$2^{4}$	$2^{16}3.5$	4	<b>√</b>
130	$(t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)$ $t^4+2t^3+3t^2+2t+1$	2	$3^{2}$	$2^{4}$	$2^73^25$	3	<b>√</b>
131	$t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1$ $t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 1$	1	1	1	1	3	<b>√</b>
132	$(t-1)^2(t^2+1)  (t+1)^2(t^2+t+1)$	2,3	2.3	$2^53^2$	$2^{14}3^25^2$	5	_
133	$t^4 + 2t^2 + 1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2+t+1)$	2	2.3	$2^{4}3$	$2^73^45^2$	3	<b>√</b>
134	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $(t+1)^2(t^2+t+1)$	2	2	$2^43^2$	$2^{18}3^85^2$	6	_
135	$t^{4}-2t^{3}+3t^{2}-2t+1$ $(t+1)^{2}(t^{2}+t+1)$	2,3	$2^23^2$	$2^43^2$	$2^{13}3^{7}5^{2}$	5	_

Nr	Polynomials	$\mu$	Int	iLevel	iIndex	Coeff	Enum				
136	$(t+1)^2(t^2+t+1)  (t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)$	3	3	$2^23^2$	$2^{10}3^35^2$	4	✓				
137	$(t+1)^2(t^2+t+1)$ $t^4+1$	1	1	$2^{2}3$	$2^23^35^2$	3	_				
138	$t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2+t+1)$	5	5	$2.5^2$	$2^4 3^2 5 \cdot 13$	4	_				
139	$t^4 - t^2 + 1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2 + t + 1)$	2	3	$2^{2}3$	$2^33^35^2$	3	✓				
140	$(t-1)^2(t^2+1)$ $t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1$	2,5	$2^{3}3.5^{2}$	$2^{3}5^{2}$	$2^83^35^213$	3	_				
141	$(t-1)^2(t^2+1)$ $(t+1)^2(t^2-t+1)$	2	3	$2^3$	$2^9 3^2 5$	3	✓				
142	$ (t-1)^2(t^2-t+1) $ $ t^4+2t^2+1 $	2	2.3	$2^{4}3$	$2^73^45^2$	3	✓				
143	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $(t+1)^2(t^2+1)$	3	3	$2^{3}3^{2}$	$2^{13}3^25^2$	5	_				
144	$t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2+1)$	3	$2^2$	$2\cdot3^2$	$2^73^45^2$	4	✓				
145	$t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1$ $(t+1)^2(t^2+1)$	2,5	$2^3 3.5$	$2^{2}5$	$2^73^35 \cdot 13$	3	_				
146	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $(t^2+1)(t^2+t+1)$	3	3	$2^23^2$	$2^{10}3^35^2$	4	✓				
147	$t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$ $(t^2 + 1)(t^2 + t + 1)$	2	$3^{2}$	$2^4$	$2^83^25$	3	✓				
148	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1$	5	5	$2.5^2$	$2^53^25 \cdot 13$	4	_				
149	$t^4 - 2t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 1$ $t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1$	1	1	1	1	3	✓				
150	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $t^4+1$	2	2	$2^{3}3$	$2^33^35^2$	3	_				
151	$(t-1)^2(t^2-t+1)$ $t^4-t^2+1$	2	3	$2^{3}3$	$2^33^35^2$	3	✓				
	Table 2: Degree 4										

Nr	Polynomials	Mu	Int	iLevel	iIndex	Coeff	Enum				
158	$ \begin{array}{c} (t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)^2 \\ (t^2+t+1)(t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1) \end{array} $	2	3	$2^{3}$	$2^4 3^3 7$	1	<b>√</b>				
162	$(t-1)^2(t+1)^2(t^2+1)$ $t^6-t^3+1$	3	3	$2\cdot 3^2$	$2^4 3 \cdot 7^2 13$	1	_				
167	$(t-1)^{2}(t+1)^{2}(t^{2}+1)$ $(t^{2}+t+1)(t^{4}-t^{2}+1)$	3	$2^2$	2.3	$2^9 3^3 5 \cdot 7^2 13$	1	×				
390	$t^{6}-t^{5}+t^{4}-t^{3}+t^{2}-t+1$ $(t+1)^{2}(t^{4}-t^{3}+t^{2}-t+1)$	2,7	$2^6 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$	$2^{3}7^{2}$	$2^{14}3^{5}5 \cdot 7^{2}19 \cdot 43$	2	×				
394	$(t-1)^{2}(t^{2}-t+1)(t^{2}+1)$ $t^{6}-t^{5}+t^{4}-t^{3}+t^{2}-t+1$	2	$2^3 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	$2^{3}$	$2^8 3^3 5.7$	2	✓				
437	$t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1$ $(t+1)^{2}(t^{2}+t+1)^{2}$	1	1	2	$2^{5}3^{2}$	3	_				
468	$t^{6}-t^{5}+t^{4}-t^{3}+t^{2}-t+1$ $(t^{2}+t+1)(t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1)$	1	1	1	1	3	✓				
534	$(t^2-t+1)(t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1)  t^6+t^5+t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1$	1	1	1	1	3	✓				
774	$t^{6} - 6t^{5} + 15t^{4} - 20t^{3} + 15t^{2} - 6t + 1$ $t^{6} - t^{5} + t^{4} - t^{3} + t^{2} - t + 1$	1	1	2	$2^23^2$	5	_				
819	$t^{6} - 6t^{5} + 15t^{4} - 20t^{3} + 15t^{2} - 6t + 1$ $t^{6} - t^{3} + 1$	1	1	2.3	$2^3 3^5 5 \cdot 7^2 13$	6	_				
838	$t^{6} - 6t^{5} + 15t^{4} - 20t^{3} + 15t^{2} - 6t + 1$ $t^{6} + t^{5} + t^{4} + t^{3} + t^{2} + t + 1$	1	1	$2.7^2$	$2^{15}3^{6}5^{2}7^{22}19.43$	7	×				
	Table 3: Degree 6										

Acknowledgments. We thank Professor Martin Kassabov for his helpful advice. Many thanks are due also to our referees, whose comments led to improvements of the paper. We are grateful to Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach and the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics for hospitality and facilitation of our work during visits in 2018. A. S. Detinko was supported by Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship grant H2020 MSCA-IF-2015, no. 704910 (EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation). A. Hulpke was supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1720146.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. L. Babai, E. Luks, and Á. Seress, *Fast management of permutation groups. I*, SIAM J. Comput. **26** (1997), no. 5, 1310–1342.
- 2. J. Bajpai, S. Singh, and S. V. Singh, *Symplectic hypergeometric groups of degree six*, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.10191.pdf
- 3. F. Beukers and G. Heckman, *Monodromy for the hypergeometric function*  $_nF_{n-1}$ , Invent. Math. **95** (1989), no. 2, 325–354.
- 4. C. Brav and H. Thomas, *Thin monodromy in* Sp(4), Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 3, 333–343.
- 5. Y.-H. Chen, Y. Yang, and N. Yui, *Monodromy of Picard–Fuchs differential equations for Calabi–Yau threefolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **616** (2008), 167–203.
- A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and W. de Graaf, *Integrality and arithmeticity of solvable linear groups*, J. Symbolic Comput. 68 (2015), part 1, 138–145.
- 7. A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and A. Hulpke, *Algorithms for arithmetic groups with the congruence subgroup property*, J. Algebra **421** (2015), 234–259.
- 8. A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and A. Hulpke, *Zariski density and computing in arithmetic groups*, Math. Comp. **87** (2018), no. 310, 967–986.
- A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and A. Hulpke, Algorithms for experimenting with Zariski dense subgroups, Exp. Math., published online June 2018 https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10586458.2018.1466217.
- 10. A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and A. Hulpke, *The strong approximation theorem and computing with linear groups*, J. Algebra **529** (2019), 536–549.
- 11. C. F. Doran and J. W. Morgan, *Mirror symmetry and integral variations of Hodge structure underlying one-parameter families of Calabi–Yau threefolds*, in *Mirror symmetry*. V, 517–537, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., **38**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- 12. E. Fuchs, C. Meiri, and P. Sarnak, *Hyperbolic monodromy groups for the hypergeometric equation and Cartan involutions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **16** (2014), no. 8, 1617–1671.
- 13. The GAP Group, *GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming* https://www.gap-system.org.
- 14. J. Hofmann and D. van Straten, *Some monodromy groups of finite index in*  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ , J. Aust. Math. Soc. **99** (2015), no. 1, 48–62.
- 15. D. F. Holt, B. Eick, and E. A. O'Brien, *Handbook of computational group theory*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- A. Hulpke, Constructive membership tests in some infinite matrix groups, Proceedings of the 2018 ACM International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ACM, New York, 2018, pp. 215–222.

- 17. A. Lubotzky and D. Segal, Subgroup growth, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- 18. M. Neunhöffer, Á. Seress, et al., The GAP package recog, A collection of group recognition methods, http://gap-packages.github.io/recog/.
- 19. V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- 20. P. Sarnak, *Notes on thin matrix groups*, Thin groups and superstrong approximation, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 61, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014, pp. 343–362.
- 21. S. Singh, *Arithmeticity of four hypergeometric monodromy groups associated to Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 18, 8874–8889.
- 22. S. Singh, Arithmeticity of some hypergeometric monodromy groups in Sp(4), J. Algebra 473 (2017), 142–165.
- 23. S. Singh and T. N. Venkataramana, *Arithmeticity of certain symplectic hypergeometric groups*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 3, 591–617.

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF HULL, HULL HU6 7RX, UK

Email address: a.detinko@hull.ac.uk

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, GALWAY, GALWAY H91TK33, IRELAND

Email address: dane.flannery@nuigalway.ie

Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1874, USA

Email address : Alexander.Hulpke@colostate.edu