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It is shown that there are 41 types of multivectors representing physical quantities in non-relativistic physics in arbitrary dimensions within the formalism of Clifford algebra. The classification is based on the action of three symmetry operations on a general multivector: spatial inversion, $\overline{1}$, timereversal, $1^{\prime}$, and a third that is introduced here, namely wedge reversion, $1^{\dagger}$. It is shown that the traits of 'axiality' and 'chirality' are not good bases for extending the classification of multivectors into arbitrary dimensions, and that introducing $1^{\dagger}$ would allow for such a classification. Since physical properties are typically expressed as tensors, and tensors can be expressed as multivectors, this classification also indirectly classifies tensors. Examples of these multivector types from non-relativistic physics are presented.

## 1. Introduction

How many types of (non-relativistic) physical quantities exist in arbitrary dimensions? If the physical quantities are expressed in the formalism of multivectors, the answer provided in this article is 41 . Physical quantities are widely classified according to the ranks of the tensors representing them, such as scalars (tensors of rank 0), vectors (tensors of rank 1) and tensors of higher rank (Nye, 1985). Different tensors transform differently under various spatial and temporal symmetry operations, which provides an additional means of classifying them. There is an alternative way of writing tensors as multivectors, which arise within the formalism of Clifford algebra (CA) (Hestenes, 2015; Arthur, 2011; Doran \& Lasenby, 2003; Snygg, 2012). As simple examples, tensors of rank 0 and 1 are scalars ( S ) and vectors (V), respectively, which are also components (blades) of a general multivector. In CA , one can further continue this sequence and define bivectors (B), trivectors (T), quadvectors ( Q ) and so on, as shown in Fig. 1, where a bivector is a wedge product between two linearly independent vectors, a trivector between three such vectors, and so on. A bivector is a directed patch of area (i.e. one with a sense of circulation of vectors around its perimeter); a trivector is a directed volume in 3D, a quadvector is a directed hypervolume in 4D and so on. These are examples of blades, which are scalars, vectors or wedge products between linearly independent vectors. For example, the angular momentum, L, or magnetic induction, B , are truly bivectors of grade 2, though they are conventionally written as axial vectors $\boldsymbol{L}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$, respectively (bold italic is used for vectors, and plain capitals for other multivectors). Similarly, the torsion of a helix and the phase of a plane wave are trivectors, though they are written normally as scalars. A multivector is an arbitrary sum of such blades; for example, M
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Figure 1
The action of wedge reversion $1^{\dagger}$ on (a) a scalar (red dots) and a vector (black arrows), (b) a bivector (the blue patch of area, $V^{(1)} \wedge V^{(2)}$ ) and a trivector (the sea-green 3D volume, $V^{(1)} \wedge V^{(2)} \wedge V^{(3)}$ ) and (c) a quadvector (the yellow hypervolume in 4 D , $\left.V^{(1)} \wedge V^{(2)} \wedge V^{(3)} \wedge V^{(4)}\right)$. Panel $(c)$ has to be imagined as a 4D object. Scalars, vectors and wedge products $(\wedge)$ between linearly independent vectors $V^{(i)}$ indexed by natural numbers $i$ are called blades and their grades are indicated above. Blades of grades $4 g$ and $4 g+1$ remain invariant, while those of grades $4 g+2$ and $4 g+3$ reverse under the action of $1^{\dagger}$, where $g$ is $0,1,2,3 \ldots$ etc.
$=\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{Q}$ is a multivector with five blades of grades 0,1 , 2,3 and 4 , respectively. Similarly, the field $\mathrm{F}=\boldsymbol{E}+c \mathrm{~B}$ or the current density $\mathbf{J}=\left(\rho / \varepsilon_{0}\right)-c \mu_{0} \boldsymbol{J}$ are multivectors $(\rho$ is the charge density, $c$ is the speed of light in a vacuum, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity and $\mu_{0}$ is the permeability of free space). Note that F and J combine scalars, vectors and bivectors, which is unusual in normal algebra, but perfectly natural in CA. CA
allows one to write all four of Maxwell's equations in free space succinctly as one single equation, $(\nabla+[1 / c] \partial / \partial t) \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{J}$, in Newtonian space plus scalar time, $t$, a process called 'encoding' that reveals deeper interconnections between diverse laws (Hestenes, 2015; Arthur, 2011). The real numbers algebra, ordinary vector algebra, complex numbers algebra, quaternions and Lie algebra are all sub-algebras of CA (Doran \& Lasenby, 2003; Snygg, 2012). For a reader new to CA, a brief introduction including definitions of multivectors is given in Appendix $A$.

Hlinka elegantly used group theory to classify these nonrelativistic 'vector-like' physical quantities in 3D into eight types (Hlinka, 2014). These were time-even (invariant under $1^{\prime}$ ) and time-odd (reverses under $1^{\prime}$ ) variants of each of the following four types: neutral, polar, axial and chiral. Here, classical time-reversal antisymmetry denoted by $1^{\prime}$ inverts time, $t \rightarrow-t$, and the spatial inversion, denoted by $\overline{1}$, inverts a spatial coordinate $\boldsymbol{r} \rightarrow-\boldsymbol{r}$. Neutral and axial-type physical quantities are $\overline{1}$-even, while polar and chiral types are $\overline{1}$-odd. In addition, Hlinka imagined these quantities to possess a unique $\infty$-fold axis in space (Hlinka, 2014) and considered the 3D Curie group, $\infty / m m 1^{\prime}$, to represent the quantities as 'vector-like' physical quantities. A mirror $m_{\|}$parallel to this $\infty$-fold axis was imagined, that would reverse axial and chiral quantities but not scalar and polar quantities. Thus, the combined actions of $\overline{1}, 1^{\prime}$ and $m_{\|}$were used to classify multivectors into the above eight types. It is shown next that while this classification works in 3D, it does not translate well into other dimensions. Indeed, physical quantities represented by quadvectors, for example, can only exist in 4D or higherdimensional spaces, and similarly for blades of higher grades. To classify all such multivectors in arbitrary dimensions, we thus need to do two things: first, we need to adopt the framework of CA within which multivectors arise, and secondly, we have to drop the 'axial' and 'chiral' traits for classification purposes for reasons described below. While retaining the symmetries of $\overline{1}$ and $1^{\prime}$ as in the work of Hlinka (2014), we will need a new symmetry operation that replaces the $m_{\|}$construction. This new antisymmetry will be called wedge reversion and is denoted by $1^{\dagger}$. This classification approach will yield 41 types of multivectors that represent (non-relativistic) physical quantities in arbitrary dimensions.

First let us note that an axial vector, conventionally defined as the cross product between two polar vectors, $\boldsymbol{V}^{(1)} \times \boldsymbol{V}^{(2)}$, is defined only in 3D (and as an interesting aside, in 7D) (Massey, 1983). Since an $n$-dimensional vector space cannot contain a blade of grade higher than $n$, one cannot generalize blades of grades other than 3 using axial vectors. Thus, the trait of axiality cannot be generalized to arbitrary dimensions and the concepts of cross products and axial vectors should therefore be dropped. Secondly, the chirality of a physical quantity depends not only on the grade of the blade, but also on the dimension of the ambient space it resides in. To see this, we first note that conventionally an object is achiral if it can be brought into congruence with its mirror image, and chiral if it cannot be (Barron, 2008). If we generalize a mirror in an $n$-dimensional space to be an $(n-1)$-dimensional hyperplane,


Figure 2
(a) A vector and its mirror image (black arrows) cannot be congruently overlapped in 1D, and hence it is chiral. (b) A vector and its mirror image can be overlapped congruently in 2D when pivoted with the arrow head along the light-orange dashed-line trajectory, indicating it is achiral. However, a bivector and its mirror image (light-blue parallelograms with right-handed and left-handed circulations around their perimeters) cannot be congruently overlapped in 2D, indicating it is chiral in 2D. (c) A vector and a bivector are both achiral in 3D, as indicated by light-orange dashed lines showing the suggested trajectory for overlapping the objects and their mirror images. However, a trivector and its mirror image (in light green, with vector circulations shown) cannot be congruently overlapped in 3D, and hence it is chiral; it will no longer be chiral in four and higher dimensions. In general, in $n$ dimensions ( $n \mathrm{D}$ ), a chiral object can only be $n$-dimensional, and it is no longer chiral in $(n+1) \mathrm{D}$ or higher, where $n$ is a natural number.
then as depicted in Fig. 2, in an $n$-dimensional space only an $n$-dimensional object can be chiral. However, the same $n$-dimensional object will become achiral in a space of dimensionality $(n+1)$ or higher. For example, a vector is chiral in 1D but achiral in 2D and higher; a bivector is chiral in 2D and achiral in 3D and higher, and so on. We thus conclude that the trait of chirality (and the $m_{\|}$construction) is also not unique to an object without reference to the dimensionality of the ambient space around the object; hence, chirality too has to be dropped as a trait in uniquely classifying multivectors of arbitrary grade. In essence, a new symmetry operation is needed on a par with $\overline{1}$ and $1^{\prime}$. A good choice turns out to be wedge reversion, $1^{\dagger}$.

## 2. Wedge reversion antisymmetry, $1^{\dagger}$

A brief description of the necessary concepts in CA is given here, and a more detailed discussion is given in Appendix $A$. The central concept in CA is the multiplication (geometric product) of two vectors, say $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$, written as $\boldsymbol{A B}$. 'Multiplying' two vectors is possible, for example, if they are expressed in the basis of orthonormal square matrices (such as Pauli and Dirac matrices) as unit vectors. A vector space closed under 'geometric product between vectors' is called an algebra, and one endowed with a finite vector norm is called the Clifford algebra or geometric algebra (Doran \& Lasenby, 2003; Snygg, 2012). For example, one can extend the 3D vector space spanned by orthonormal basis vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ to a $2^{3}=8 \mathrm{D}$ CA space spanned by eight basis vectors, I, $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ (see Fig. 4 in Appendix $A$ ). The subspace I of this 8D CA space is the scalar identity axis that spans all scalars (S), the subspace spanned by the three unit vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ is the
vector (V) space, the subspace spanned by the three unit bivectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is the bivector (B) subspace, and the subspace spanned by the unit trivector $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{z}$ is the trivector (T) subspace. A general multivector M in 3D can then be written as a sum of blades in various subspaces. For example, $3+2 \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}-5 \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}+\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ is an arbitrary multivector. Similarly, starting from an $n$-dimensional vector space, a $2^{n}$ (or sometimes less)-dimensional CA space is generated.

The antisymmetry operation of wedge reversion, $1^{\dagger}$, acts on the wedge product $(\wedge)$ between linearly independent vectors (see Appendix $B$ for the definition of the wedge product). Blades such as bivectors can be written as $\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B}$ between two linearly independent vectors $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$, trivectors as $\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} \wedge \boldsymbol{C}$ between three linearly independent vectors and so on, as shown in Fig. 1. Wedge reversion is not a new operation: it is simply called reverse or reversion in CA (Hestenes, 2015; Doran \& Lasenby, 2003). What is new here is that it is being given the formal status of an antisymmetry, $1^{\dagger}$. The action of wedge reversion, $1^{\dagger}$, on multivectors is shown in Fig. 1. Specifically, $1^{\dagger}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{S}, 1^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{V})=\boldsymbol{V}$ and $1^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{V}^{(1)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(2)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(3)}\right.$ $\left.\ldots \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(n-1)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(n)}\right)=\boldsymbol{V}^{(n)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(n-1)} \ldots \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(3)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(2)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(1)}$, where S is a scalar, $\boldsymbol{V}$ is a vector and $\boldsymbol{V}^{(i)}(i$ is a vector index $=$ $1,2,3 \ldots n$ ) are $n$ linearly independent vectors. Using the orthonormality conditions of the basis vectors stated earlier, one can easily show (see Appendix $C$ ) that $1^{\dagger}$ will leave blades of grade $4 g$ and $4 g+1$ invariant, while reversing the blades of grades $4 g+2$ and $4 g+3$, where $g$ is a whole number $(0,1,2 \ldots$ etc.). Thus, e.g. $1^{\dagger}$ will leave scalars (grade 0 ) and vectors (grade 1) invariant, while reversing bivectors (grade 2) and trivectors (grade 3). Since multivectors are sums of blades, the action of $1^{\dagger}$ on a multivector is clear by noting that it is distributive over addition.

## 3. Group-theoretical classification of multivectors

Having unambiguously defined the action of $\overline{1}, 1^{\prime}$ and $1^{\dagger}$ on a blade of any grade, we are now ready to consider the grouptheoretical aspects of the symmetry group generated by the above three operations, namely, $G=\left\{1, \overline{1}, 1^{\prime}, 1^{\dagger}, \overline{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1}^{\dagger}, 1^{\prime \dagger}, \overline{1}^{\prime \dagger}\right\}$, where $g \in G$ is an element of the group $G$. Consider the action of $G$ on any multivector $x \in X$, where $X$ is a $2^{n}$-dimensional CA space. We now consider the orbit $O(x)=\{g x \in X: \forall g \in G\}$, a set of multivectors obtained by the action of all elements of the group $G$ on a given multivector $x$. Depending on which subset of elements $g \in G$ we pick to create an orbit, one can generate many orbits. These orbits can be uniquely classified based on their stabilizer subgroups, $S \leq G$, such that $S(O(x))=$ $O(x)$. In other words, subgroup $S$ of $G$ consists of all elements of $G$ which leave the orbit invariant. As the group $G$ has 16 subgroups $S$, all orbits of multivectors are classified within these 16 subgroups, as depicted in Fig. 3. As will be shown below, these 16 orbits form the basis for the classification of the multivectors themselves into 16 categories and, within them, 41 types.

Table 1 lists the 16 categories of multivectors each represented by a stabilizer subgroup (SS), and within these categories, further categories based on their transformations under $\overline{1}, 1^{\prime}, 1^{\dagger}$, whether even ( $e$ ), odd ( $o$ ) or mixed ( $m$,
meaning neither odd nor even). Thus, the SS (column 2) plus the transformation properties (columns 4, 5 and 6) in Table 1 determine a multivector type. These additional types were identified by the inspection of the SS , and the identification of the missing symmetry and its possible transformations. For example, the SS $1^{\prime} 1^{\dagger}$ must describe multivector blades that are invariant (even, $e$ ) to both $1^{\prime}$ and $1^{\dagger}$. That leaves us with three options for the transformation of the multivectors under the missing antisymmetry, $\overline{1}$, namely $e, o$ or $m$. Of these, the option $e$ already corresponds to the multivector type $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ with SS of $\overline{1} 1^{\prime} 1^{\dagger}$. That leaves only two unique options for the (SS) $1^{\prime} 1^{\dagger}$ types, namely, $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. In a similar manner of inspection, all the other types were determined.

In all, 41 different types of multivectors are listed, each given a unique letter-based label in column 3 . Of these 41, eight are principal types, namely, $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$. The action of the three symmetry operations on these eight multivectors is either even or odd, but never mixed. Adopting the terminology of centric ( $\overline{1}$-even) versus acentric ( $\overline{1}$-odd), and acirculant ( $1^{\dagger}$-even) versus circulant ( $1^{\dagger}$-odd) multivectors, we can identify both $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ in Table 1 to be centricacirculant, both V and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ to be acentric-acirculant, both B and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ to be centric-circulant, and both T and $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ to be acentriccirculant. The other 33 multivectors are composed of unique sums of these eight principal multivectors; the action of at


Figure 3
Sixteen orbits of the antisymmetry group $G=\left\{1, \overline{1}, 1^{\prime}, 1^{\dagger}, \overline{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1}^{\dagger}, 1^{\dagger}, \overline{1}^{\prime \dagger}\right\}$, representing the action of the elements of the group (each represented by its own color) on a multivector $x$. The orbits are labeled by the generating elements of their stabilizer subgroups (SS). For example, orbit 5) $\overline{1} 1^{\dagger}$ is identified uniquely by its stabilizer subgroup $S=\left\{1, \overline{1}, 1^{\dagger}, \overline{1}^{\dagger}\right\}$, generated by the generating elements $\overline{1}$ and $1^{\dagger}$. The squares and rectangles with a red outline represent the actions of the stabilizer subgroups on $x$. The quantities in the squares adjoining any equals sign, ' $=$ ', are equal to each other.
least one of the three symmetry operations on these 33 multivectors is mixed. Care is needed in comparing the eight principal multivector types in this work with those by Hlinka (2014). The neutral (types L and N) and polar (types T and P) vectors in the work of Hlinka (2014) correspond to centricacirculant (types S and $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ) and acentric-acirculant (types V and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ) in this work, respectively. However, the axial ( M and G) vectors in Hlinka (2014) do not correspond to the centriccirculant multivectors ( B and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ), since they are different grade objects; in this work, axiality as a trait is avoided, and an axial vector is treated no differently from a grade-1 vector of types V or $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. Similarly, chiral pseudoscalars (F and C) in Hlinka (2014) and acentric-circulant multivectors ( T and $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ) in Table 1 are different grade objects; the latter make no reference to chirality. In 3D, acentric-circulant multivectors are chiral.

## 4. Bidirectors in arbitrary dimensions

We also make a note of bidirector-like quantities in Table 1. Hlinka (2014) defines a bidirector as two opposite vectors $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{X}$ arranged on a common axis at some nonzero distance $2 r$. The bidirector is then represented by the term $\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{r})-$ $\boldsymbol{X}(-\boldsymbol{r})$, where $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $(-\boldsymbol{r})$ are, respectively, the displacement vectors of the vectors $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $-\boldsymbol{X}$ from an origin centered between the two vectors. Note that there is no restriction in picking the directions of the vectors $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}$; they are independent. Hlinka defines neutral (types L and N ) and chiral pseudoscalar (F and C) types as bidirectors. The characteristic of these bidirectors is that the vectors composing them are spatially separated and point in opposite ways along a certain direction defined by them; this is unlike a conventional single vector which does not have a well-defined spatial location. Table 1 lists two types of time-even bidirectors: the $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ types with the general form of $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(-\boldsymbol{r})\right)$, and the $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ type with the general form of $\left(B^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{r})-B^{\prime}(-\boldsymbol{r})\right)$. There are two types of time-odd bidirectors as well: the S types with the general form of $(\mathrm{V}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{V}(-\boldsymbol{r}))$, and the T type with the general form of ( $\mathrm{B}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{B}(-r)$ ).

We thus have to generalize Hlinka's definition of bidirectors in arbitrary dimensions as follows. Bidirectors are two opposite multivectors, M and -M , arranged on a common axis at some nonzero distance $2 r$. The bidirector is then represented by the term $\mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{M}(-\boldsymbol{r})$, where $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $(-\boldsymbol{r})$ are, respectively, the displacement vectors of M and -M from an origin centered between the two multivectors.

However, one may note that there is no reason to stop here in constructing such vector combinations; we can combine bidirectors as well. For example, if $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(-\boldsymbol{r}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(-\boldsymbol{r}_{2}\right)$ (where the magnitudes and directions defined by the subscripts $a, b, 1$ and 2 can all be generally linearly independent), then one can naturally define new quantities such as, say, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1} \pm \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$, which is now composed of two bidirectors with a common origin. (One could in principle also define such combinations with different origins for the different bivectors.) A generalization of the bidirector additions of type S would thus be $\sum_{i, j} S_{i, j}$, where $i$ is an index for
vectors V and $j$ is an index for displacement vectors $r$. This would then give rise to a vector field with specific geometric characteristics. One could similarly compose bivector fields, trivector fields and so on. In general, one could construct multivector fields such as $\sum_{i, j}\left\{M_{i}\left(r_{j}\right)-M_{i}\left(-r_{j}\right)\right\}$, similar to the examples above.

## 5. Examples of different types of multivectors

### 5.1. Helical motion

Examples of non-relativistic multivector types are listed in Table 1. Consider a cylindrical helix (Benger \& Ritter, 2010) of radius $\rho$ (note this has no relation to the charge density defined earlier) and pitch $2 \pi c$ along the helical axis, parametrized by the azimuthal angle $\lambda$ in the plane perpendicular to the helical axis as follows:

$$
\boldsymbol{q}(\lambda)=\rho \cos \lambda \hat{\gamma}_{1}+\rho \sin \lambda \hat{\gamma}_{2}+c \lambda \hat{\gamma}_{3}
$$

where $\hat{\gamma}_{i}, i=1-3$, are the orthonormal basis vectors; $\boldsymbol{q}(\lambda)$ is thus a grade- 1 homogeneous multivector of type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. The arc length, $s=|\lambda|\left(\rho^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, along the helix is a scalar of type $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$. The tangent vector, $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} /\left|\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}\right|$, as well as the normal vector $\boldsymbol{p}=$ $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} /\left|\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}\right|$, are vectors of type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{q} / \mathrm{d} \lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{v} / \mathrm{d} \lambda$. The curvature of the path, $K=\left|\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime \prime} \times \boldsymbol{q}\right| /\left|q^{\prime}\right|^{3}=\rho /\left(\rho^{2}+c^{2}\right)$, is a scalar of type $S^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{q} / \mathrm{d} \lambda^{2}$. The osculating bivector, $\mathrm{B}=\left(\boldsymbol{v} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}\right) /\left|\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}\right|$, is of type $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$. The torsion, $\mathcal{T}=$ $\left(\boldsymbol{v} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime \prime}\right) /\left(\left|\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}\right|\left|\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)=c /\left(\rho^{2}+c^{2}\right)$, of the helix is a trivector of type $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$.

Now consider a variant of this helix problem, namely the motion of an object along a cylindrical helical path, as a function of time, $t$. If we replace the time-independent variable $\lambda$ in the cylindrical helix example above by $\lambda=\omega t$, where $\omega$ is the angular frequency of the particle moving along this helix, then the action of $\left(\overline{1}, 1^{\prime}, 1^{\dagger}\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{q}(\omega t)=$ $\rho \cos \omega t \hat{\gamma}_{1}+\rho \sin \omega t \hat{\gamma}_{2}+c \omega t \hat{\gamma}_{3}$ is $(o, m, e)$ in Table 1 , which corresponds to a multivector of type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}$. The arc length $s$ and curvature $K$ are still of type $S^{\prime}$, while the tangent vector $v$ and the normal vector $\boldsymbol{p}$ are of type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}$. The osculating bivector B is of type $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$, while the torsion $\mathcal{T}$ is of type $\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}$.

### 5.2. Electromagnetism

Next, examples of the types of multivectors encountered in formulating electromagnetism in CA are presented (Arthur, 2011). In the (3+1)D formulation, the position vector $\boldsymbol{r}$, a blade of type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$, the scalar time $t$ and a blade of type S can be combined as a multivector, $\mathrm{R}=[c] t+\boldsymbol{r}$, which is a multivector of type $\mathrm{SV}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}\right)$. In a similar sense, the spatial vector derivative $\nabla$ and scalar time derivative $\partial / \partial t=\partial_{t}$ can be combined to form a multivector operator $[1 / c] \partial_{t}+\nabla$, which is also of type $\mathrm{SV}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}\right)$. The terms in the square brackets above and in what follows are suppressed when expressed in natural units for the sake of brevity, but are understood to be present whenever omitted. The charge density $\rho$ (type $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ) and the current density $\boldsymbol{J}$ (type V ) combine to form the multivector electromagnetic source density $\mathbf{J}=\rho /\left[\varepsilon_{0}\right]-\left[c \mu_{0}\right] \boldsymbol{J}$, or in natural units, $\mathbf{J}=\rho-\boldsymbol{J}$ (type $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}$ ). The electric field $\mathbf{E}$ (type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ) and the magnetic

Table 1
The classification of 41 types of multivectors (column 1) based on 16 stabilizer subgroups (column 2) of the group $G=\left\{1, \overline{1}, 1^{\prime}, 1^{\dagger}, \overline{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1}^{\dagger}, 1^{\dagger \dagger}, \overline{1}^{\prime \dagger}\right\}$.
A multivector type is defined as a unique combination of entries in columns $2,4,5$ and 6 . Entries in bold $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4})$ in column 1 are the eight principal multivector types. Column 2 lists the generating elements for the stabilizer subgroups (SS) for the 16 orbit types given in Fig. 2. Blank rows separate groups of rows to which the corresponding SS applies. Suggested notation for different multivector types is introduced in column 3. Columns 4-6 present the action of three symmetry operations, $\overline{1}, 1^{\prime}$ and $1^{\dagger}$, on these 41 multivectors as either even ( $e$ ), odd ( $o$ ) or mixed $(m)$. Column 7 presents the possible grades of the blades whose sum forms the corresponding multivector type. Column 8 presents some examples of multivector types. A multivector of type $\mathrm{VT}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ etc. A multivector labeled $\mathrm{SB}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}\right)$ is a mandatory sum of vectors S and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$, along with optional additions of types B or $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ or both. Conventional vectors are presented in bold italics. Multivector labels are presented as CAPITAL letters without italics or bold. For example, note the distinction between B, a notation for a general time-odd bivector (column 3), versus $\boldsymbol{B}$, an axial magnetic induction vector. Similarly, $\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ : position vector; $\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{P}_{i}$ : polarization; $\boldsymbol{E}$ : electric field; $\boldsymbol{v}$ : velocity; $\boldsymbol{J}$ : current density; $\boldsymbol{p}$ : momentum; $\boldsymbol{H}$ : magnetic field; $\boldsymbol{B}$ : magnetic induction; $t$ : time. An asterisk *, as in $* \boldsymbol{B}=\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \hat{\mathbf{B}}$, indicates a Hodge dual of $\boldsymbol{B}$ in 3 D , and similarly for others. In 3 D , the Hodge dual of a vector is a corresponding bivector and vice versa. Column 7 indicates the grades of blades whose sum can compose the particular multivector type. For example, type No. 5 multivectors involve sums of blades of the type $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ corresponding to grade $4 g$ and a blade of type $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ corresponding to a grade $4 g^{\prime}+2$, where $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ can in general be different whole numbers. The entry, varied, in column 7 indicates that a sum of various combinations of all possible grades of blades are possible in the corresponding multivectors.

| No. | SS | Label | Action of |  |  | Grades | Examples of multivectors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\overline{1}$ | $1^{\prime}$ | $1^{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| 1 | $\overline{1} 1^{\prime} 1^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ | $e$ | $e$ | $e$ | $4 g$ | $t^{2}, \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{r})-\boldsymbol{P}(-\boldsymbol{r}), \mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(-\boldsymbol{r})$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $1^{\prime} 1^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $o$ $m$ | $e$ $e$ | $e$ $e$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+1 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{P}_{1} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{2} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{3} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{4} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{5} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{1} 1{ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $e$ $e$ | $e$ $e$ | $o$ $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+2 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+2 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}{\nabla \wedge \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{r} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{P}_{1} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{2} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{3} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{4} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{5} \wedge \boldsymbol{P}_{6}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{1}^{\dagger} 1^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $o$ $m$ | $e$ $e$ | $o$ $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+3 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{r}_{1} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{3}, \boldsymbol{r} \wedge \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{B}^{\prime}(-\boldsymbol{r}) \\ & \mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8} \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{1} 1{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & e \\ & e \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & o \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & e \\ & e \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t, \mathrm{~V}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{V}(-\boldsymbol{r}), \boldsymbol{r}_{1} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{3} \wedge \boldsymbol{p} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{S} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{1}^{\prime} 1^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \mathrm{V} \end{aligned}$ | $o$ $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & o \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & e \\ & e \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+1 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{J}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{r}_{1} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{3} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{4} \wedge \boldsymbol{p} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 2} \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{1} 1^{\prime \dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ | $e$ $e$ | $\begin{aligned} & o \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $o$ $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+2 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=* \boldsymbol{M}, * \boldsymbol{B}, \mathrm{~L}=\boldsymbol{r} \wedge \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{r}_{1} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{3} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{4} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{5} \wedge \boldsymbol{p} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 4} \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{1}^{\dagger} 1^{\prime} \dagger$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | $o$ $m$ |  | $o$ $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+3 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{r}_{1} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \wedge \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{r} \wedge \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~B}(\boldsymbol{r})-\mathrm{B}(-\boldsymbol{r}) \\ & \mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 17 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SB}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}\right) \\ & \mathrm{SB} \\ & \mathrm{~B}^{\prime} \mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ | $e$ $e$ $e$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $m$ $m$ $o$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+2 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+2 \\ & 4 g+2,4 g^{\prime}+2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 20 21 | $1^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right) \\ & \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $e$ $e$ $e$ | $m$ $m$ $o$ | All $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+1,4 g^{\prime}+3 \\ & 4 g+2,4 g^{\prime}+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 23 24 | $1^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SV}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}\right) \\ & \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{V} \\ & \mathrm{SV} \end{aligned}$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $m$ $m$ $o$ | $e$ $e$ $e$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+1 \\ & 4 g+1,4 g^{\prime}+3 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V} \\ & \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{V} \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 26 27 | $1^{\prime \dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}\right) \\ & \mathrm{BT} \\ & \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | $m$ $m$ $o$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | Varied $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+2,4 g^{\prime}+3 \\ & 4 g+1,4 g^{\prime}+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ |
| 28 29 30 | $\overline{1}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VB}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}\right) \\ & \mathrm{VT} \\ & \mathrm{~B}^{\prime} \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $m$ $m$ $o$ | Varied $\begin{aligned} & 4 g+1,4 g^{\prime}+3 \\ & 4 g+2,4 g^{\prime}+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 32 33 | $\overline{1}^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ST}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}\right) \\ & \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{ST} \end{aligned}$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $m$ $m$ $o$ | $m$ $o$ $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+3 \\ & 4 g+3,4 g^{\prime}+3 \\ & 4 g, 4 g^{\prime}+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1 (continued)

| No. | SS | Label | Action of |  |  | Grades | Examples of multivectors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\overline{1}$ | $1^{\prime}$ | $1^{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| 34 |  | VB | $m$ | $o$ | $m$ | $4 g+1,4 g^{\prime}+2$ | V+B |
| 35 | $\overline{1}^{\prime \dagger}$ | $\mathrm{BT}^{\prime}$ | $m$ | $m$ | $o$ | $4 g+2,4 g^{\prime}+3$ | $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ |
| 36 |  | $\mathrm{VT}^{\prime}$ | $o$ | $m$ | $m$ | $4 g+1,4 g^{\prime}+3$ | $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ |
| 37 |  | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{VBT}^{\prime}$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | Varied | $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ |
| 38 |  | W | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | Varied | e.g. $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{VBT}^{\prime}+$ any from Nos. 16 to 33 |
| 39 | 1 | X | $m$ | $m$ | $o$ | Varied | e.g. $\mathrm{BT}^{\prime}+\mathrm{TT}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}+\mathrm{BT}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}^{\prime}+\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ |
| 40 | 1 | Y | $m$ | $o$ | $m$ | Varied | e.g. $\mathrm{VB}+\mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{VT}+\mathrm{BT}+\mathrm{SV}+\mathrm{SB}+\mathrm{S}$ |
| 41 |  | Z | $o$ | $m$ | $m$ | Varied | e.g. $\mathrm{VT}^{\prime}+\mathrm{TT}^{\prime}+\mathrm{VT}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}+\mathrm{VV}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ |

induction bivector B (type B ) can be combined into a multivector electromagnetic field $\mathrm{F}=\boldsymbol{E}+[c] \mathrm{B}\left[\right.$ type $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}\right)\right]$.

Maxwell's equation in free space condenses to $\left(\partial_{t}+\nabla\right) F=$ J , which is a single equation in CA to encode all four Maxwell equations. The left-hand side is a geometric product of two multivectors of types $\mathrm{SV}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}\right)$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}\right)$, while the righthand side is a multivector of type $S^{\prime} V$. The blades of different grades collected on each side must equal each other. Expanding this equation by substituting for F and J and solving, we get (Arthur, 2011)

$$
\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}+\nabla \wedge \mathrm{B}-\left(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}-\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{E}\right)+\left(\nabla \wedge \boldsymbol{E}+\partial_{t} \mathrm{~B}\right)=\rho-\boldsymbol{J}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{B}$ is an axial magnetic induction vector while $\mathrm{B}=\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{B}$ is a magnetic induction bivector. While the right-hand side is a sum of a scalar (type $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ) and a vector (type V), the left-hand side has a scalar (first term, $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ), a trivector (second term, type T), a vector (third term, type V) and a bivector (fourth term, type $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ). Equating the terms of like multivector grades on the left- and right-hand sides (which should also be of like multivector types), we get the four Maxwell equations (in natural units), namely $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}=\rho$ (Gauss's law), $\nabla \wedge \mathrm{B}=0$ (absence of magnetic monopoles), $\left(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}-\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{E}\right)=\boldsymbol{J}$ (Ampere's law with Maxwell's correction) and $\left(\nabla \wedge \boldsymbol{E}+\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{B}\right)$ $=0$ (Faraday's law). Similarly, the wave equation, $\left(\nabla^{2}-\partial_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{F}=$ $\left(\nabla-\partial_{t}\right) \mathrm{J}$, encodes two of the Maxwell wave equations, $\left(\nabla^{2}-\partial_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{E}=\nabla \rho+\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{J}$ (multivectors of type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ on both sides) and $\left(\nabla^{2}-\partial_{t}^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{B}=-\nabla \wedge \boldsymbol{J}$ (multivectors of type B on both sides). In addition, it encodes a third bonus equation, namely $\partial_{t} \rho+\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J}=0$, which is a statement of conservation of charge (multivectors of S on both sides). A solution to the encoded wave equation is a plane wave of type $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\Psi}$, where $\Psi=$ $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}(\omega t-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ is a trivector of type $\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}$, because $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \omega t$ is of type T and $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ is of type $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$. The field amplitude $\mathrm{F}_{0}=\boldsymbol{E}_{0}+[c] \mathrm{B}_{0}$. The fields F and $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ are of type $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}\right)$, as seen before. The generalized electromagnetic energy density is given by $\frac{1}{2}\left[\varepsilon_{0}\right] \mathrm{FF}^{\dagger}=\mathcal{E}+\left[1 / c^{2}\right] \mathbf{S}$, where $\mathcal{E}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left[\varepsilon_{0}\right] \mathbf{E}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\mu_{0}^{-1}\right] \mathbf{B}_{0}^{2}$ is the usual electromagnetic energy density, a scalar of type $\mathbf{S}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{S}=\left[\mu_{0}^{-1}\right]\left(E_{0} \times B_{0}\right)$ is the Poynting vector of the multivector type V . The corresponding Poynting bivector of type B is $\mathrm{S}=\left[\mu_{0}^{-1}\right]\left(\mathbf{E}_{0} \wedge \mathbf{B}_{0}\right)$.

## 6. Conclusions

In conclusion, by introducing a new antisymmetry, wedge reversion, denoted $1^{\dagger}$, in combination with spatial inversion, $\overline{1}$, and classical time reversal, $1^{\prime}$, multivectors have been classified into eight principal types and 41 overall types that classify physical quantities within the framework of CA. Examples of such multivectors from non-relativistic physics such as helices, helical motion and electromagnetism have been presented. Since tensors are widely used to express physical quantities, it is noted that a tensor of rank $r$ in an $n$-dimensional space (therefore, $n^{r}$ components) can be written as a multivector in a $2^{n}$-dimensional CA space if $n^{r} \leq 2^{n}$ (see Appendix $D$ ). Thus, the classification of multivectors leads to the classification of the corresponding tensors. The introduction of two antisymmetries, $1^{\dagger}$ and $1^{\prime}$, into conventional crystallographic groups (which already account for $\overline{1}$ ) forms 624 double antisymmetry point groups (DAPG) and 17803 double antisymmetry space groups (DASG). These have been explicitly listed by Huang et al. (2014) and VanLeeuwen et al. (2014). For a crystal belonging to one of these groups, one can determine the absence, presence and form of the 41 multivector types using Neumann's principle (Nye, 1985). While the development here has focused on non-relativistic physics, we note that the group-theoretic method employed here is blind to the physical meaning of the symmetry operations chosen, as long as they generate a group whose elements are all self-inverses and commute with each other. Thus, picking three other relativistic antisymmetries would again yield exactly 41 types of multivectors. One could perhaps explore charge reversal (C), parity reversal ( P ) and time reversal ( T ) in the relativistic context (Hestenes, 2015).

## APPENDIX A

## Key concepts in Clifford algebra

We begin with a minimal description of the key concepts in CA essential to following this work; for a more detailed introduction, the reader is referred to Doran \& Lasenby (2003) and Snygg (2012).

The most important concept in CA is that of the multiplication or geometric product of two vectors, say $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$,


Figure 4
(a) Example of a 3D vector space with orthonormal basis vectors $\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{3}$ which expands under the operation of geometric product (multiplication) of vectors to a $2^{n}=8 \mathrm{D}$ algebraic vector space, $(b)$, that is closed under geometric product. Objects in this space are called multivectors (or Clifford numbers), whose algebra is called Clifford algebra (CA). A blade is a scalar, a vector or the wedge product of any number of vectors. The grade of a blade refers to the number of vectors composing the blade. (c) The number of blades of different grades forming the basis for a $2^{n}$-dimensional CA is given by the Pascal's triangle. The correct sequential notation for blades in increasing order of grade is scalar (S), vector (V), bivector (B), trivector (T), quadvector (Q) ... blade of grade $n(\mathrm{~N})$. A general multivector in CA is a blade or a sum of blades.
written as $\boldsymbol{A B}$. For pedagogical reasons, we begin with three dimensions; extension of the results to $n$ dimensions will then be straightforward. Consider an orthonormal basis set (or a frame) of three conventional vectors $\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{3}$ such that $\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{1}=\hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{2}=\hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{\gamma}_{3}=\mathrm{I}$ (normalization condition) and $\hat{\gamma}_{i} \hat{\gamma}_{j}+\hat{\gamma}_{j} \hat{\gamma}_{i}=0$ (orthogonality condition, when $i \neq j$ ), where I is identity and the subscripts $i$ and $j$ each span from 1 to 3 . We can represent these basis vectors as square matrices that satisfy the above relations, e.g. Dirac matrices or Pauli matrices (Snygg, 2012), such that a product $\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2}$, for example, simply becomes an elementary matrix multiplication operation of the corresponding matrices for $\hat{\gamma}_{1}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{2}$, which in general is non-commutative, i.e. $\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \neq \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{1}$.

With these preliminaries, it is easy to show that arbitrary geometric products of these three basis vectors will result in an expanded algebraic set of $2^{3}=8$ basis vectors as depicted in Fig. 4: I, $\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{\gamma}_{1}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}$. We will abbreviate these and group them into subspaces $\}$ as follows: $\{\mathrm{I}\},\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{3}\right\},\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{\gamma}_{1}\right\},\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}\right\}$. We note that this 8D CA field is composed of the subspace $\{\mathrm{I}\}$ for scalars (also called grade- 0 blades), the vector subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i}\right\}$ for the conventional 1D vectors (also called grade- 1 blades), the subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i} \hat{\gamma}_{j}=\hat{\gamma}_{i j}\right\}$ for bivectors (grade-2 blades) and
subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i} \hat{\gamma}_{j} \hat{\gamma}_{k}=\hat{\gamma}_{i j k}\right\}$ for trivectors (or grade- 3 blades), where $i \neq j \neq k$. A multivector (also called a Clifford number) is an object in this 8D CA space.

Any product of two vectors will form a multivector. For example, if $\boldsymbol{A}=\mathrm{a}_{i} \hat{\gamma}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}=\mathrm{b}_{j} \hat{\gamma}_{j}$ are conventional vectors, then it is straightforward to show that $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B}=\mathrm{a}_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{i} \mathrm{I}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{j}-\mathrm{a}_{j} \mathrm{~b}_{i}\right) \hat{\gamma}_{i j}$, where $i \neq j$. This is a multivector, $\mathbf{M}=\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B}=\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{0}+\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{2}$ with two blades, one of grade 0 (denoted $\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle_{0}=\mathrm{a}_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{i} \mathrm{I}$ ) and another of grade 2 [denoted $\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{2}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{j}-\mathrm{a}_{j} \mathrm{~b}_{i}\right) \hat{\gamma}_{i j}$ ]. A blade is a scalar, a vector or the wedge product (to be defined shortly) of any number of linearly independent vectors. The grade of a blade refers to the number of vectors composing the blade through their wedge product. A general multivector is thus a sum of blades of arbitrary grades; if the grades of all the blades in a multivector are equal, it is called a homogeneous multivector.

The coefficient of the first term in M above, $\mathrm{a}_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{i}$, can be identified with the conventional dot product between the two vectors, $\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}$, and that of the second term with the components of the conventional cross product vector, $\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}=\mathrm{c}_{k} \hat{\gamma}_{k}=$ $\epsilon_{k i j} \mathrm{a}_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{j} \hat{\gamma}_{k}$, where $\epsilon_{k i j}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol. Thus, one could rewrite as $\boldsymbol{A B}=\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \mathrm{I}+(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B})_{k} \hat{\gamma}_{i j}$. One can invert these relationships as $\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}=(1 / 2)(\boldsymbol{A B}+\boldsymbol{B A})$ and (define) $\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B}=(1 / 2)(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{A})$, which are the dot product and the wedge product, respectively. It is evident from these definitions that $\hat{\gamma}_{12}=\hat{\gamma}_{1} \wedge \hat{\gamma}_{2}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{1}=\hat{\gamma}_{1} \cdot \hat{\gamma}_{1}$, and so on for others.

The relationship between the conventional vector cross product (axial vector) in 3 D and the wedge product is straightforward: $\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}=-\hat{\gamma}_{123}(\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B})$. Note that in 3D, $\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}$ is called an axial vector that resides in the subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i}\right\}$, while $\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B}$ is called the bivector that resides in the subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i j}\right\}$; the above relationship between them defines them as Hodge duals of each other in 3D space (only). Note that axial vectors are in no way special in 3D CA because they reside in the same subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i}\right\}$ as the conventional polar vectors. However, axial vectors are typically expressed as wedge products in 3D CA where they occur as bivectors in a different subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i j}\right\}$. While the definition of axial vectors formed through a cross product between two polar vectors is limited to 3D (Massey, 1983), the wedge product between two polar vectors is generalizable to any dimension. Similarly, it can be shown that $\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} \wedge \boldsymbol{C}=\hat{\gamma}_{123}((\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{C})$, where the trivector $\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} \wedge \boldsymbol{C}$ is a Hodge dual of the scalar volume, $(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{C}$, in 3D space. Thus, in 3D, the Hodge dual of a blade is obtained from its geometric product with the pseudoscalar $\hat{\gamma}_{123}$. These distinctions in 3D are relevant because the antisymmetry $1^{\dagger}$ reverses the bivector and the trivector in 3D, but not the vector (axial or polar) or the scalar.

These ideas can now be generalized to an $n$-dimensional vector space, spanned by orthonormal basis vectors $\hat{\gamma}_{i}(i \equiv 1$, $2,3, \ldots n$ ) satisfying the conditions $\hat{\gamma}_{i} \hat{\gamma}_{j}+\hat{\gamma}_{j} \hat{\gamma}_{i}=2 \delta_{i j}$, where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta. With the introduction of the geometric product, these $n$-basis vectors expand to a $2^{n} \mathrm{CA}$ space, with subspace $\{\mathrm{I}\}$ for homogeneous multivectors composed of sums of grade-0 blades, subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i}\right\}$ for homogeneous multivectors of grade 1 , subspace $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i j}\right\}$ for homogeneous multivectors of grade 2 , and so on up to $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i j k \ldots(n-1)}\right\}$ for homogeneous multivectors of grade $(n-1)$ (called pseudovectors in dimension $n$ )
and $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{i j k \ldots n}\right\}$ for homogeneous multivectors of grade $n$. The highest grade blades possible in $n$ dimensions (where $i \neq j \neq k$ $\neq \ldots \neq n$ ) are called pseudoscalars in dimension $n$. A blade $\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle_{p}$ of grade $p(\leq n)$ in a multivector M in $2^{n}$-dimensional CA space can always be written as a wedge product $\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{\mathrm{p}}=$ $\mathbf{V}^{(1)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(2)} \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(3)} \wedge \ldots \wedge \boldsymbol{V}^{(p)}$, where $\boldsymbol{V}^{(i)}(i=1 \ldots p)$ are $p$ linearly independent grade-1 vectors. The wedge product between any two blades, $\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{p}$ and $\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle_{q}$ (grades $p, q \leq n$ ), in $n$ dimensions can be generalized as $\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{p} \wedge\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle_{q}=\langle\mathrm{MN}\rangle_{p+q}$. We now have to make a distinction between the dot product $\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{p} \cdot\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle_{q}=\langle\mathbf{M N}\rangle_{|p-q|}$ and the scalar product, $\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{p} \circ\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle_{q}=$ $\langle\mathrm{MN}\rangle_{0}$; when $p=q$, the dot and scalar products are equal, and when $p \neq q$, the scalar product is zero but the dot product can be nonzero. For any two multivectors, P and Q , each a sum of blades of different grades, the geometric product PQ will contain many blades of different grades. Of these, the sum of blades of the highest grade will be the wedge product $\mathrm{P} \wedge \mathrm{Q}$, and the sum of blades of the lowest grade will be the dot product $\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{Q}$. The sum of blades of grade zero will be the scalar product $\mathrm{P} \circ \mathrm{Q}$. The definition of the Hodge dual of a blade can also be generalized to $n$ dimensions by multiplication (i.e. geometric product) of the blade with its pseudoscalar, $\hat{\gamma}_{i j k \ldots n}$, i.e. for example, $* \mathrm{P}=\hat{\gamma}_{i j k \ldots n} \mathrm{P}$, where $*$ preceding P indicates the Hodge dual of P in the relevant dimension. Finally, we note that the geometric product is distributive over addition.

## APPENDIX B

## Wedge product

The wedge product between $n$ linearly independent vectors is given by the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix, as given below:

$$
(\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} \wedge \boldsymbol{C} \wedge \ldots)=\frac{1}{n!}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{B} & \boldsymbol{C} & \ldots  \tag{1}\\
\boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{B} & \boldsymbol{C} & \ldots \\
\boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{B} & \boldsymbol{C} & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right|
$$

Thus, the dot product and the wedge product between two vectors $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ can be written as

$$
(\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B})=\frac{\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{A}}{2}=\frac{1}{2!}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{B}  \tag{2}\\
\boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{B}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{B})=(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B}+\boldsymbol{B A}) / 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above definitions, we can deduce that $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$, and so on for $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$. Similarly, $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$, and so on for the other basis bivectors, $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \hat{z}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$. Finally, $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$. Note that the wedge product is nonzero only when the vectors involved are linearly independent.

## APPENDIX C <br> Wedge reversion

Wedge reversion $1^{\dagger}$ is an operation in CA which is generically called reverse, reversion or reversion conjugation, but is
renamed here slightly for uniqueness. (Note the use of reversion instead of reversal, which we will comment on shortly.) The action of $1^{\dagger}$ on a blade of grade $g$ is simply to reverse the order of the vectors in the wedge product, hence the name given to it. In other words, $1^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{123 \ldots(g-1) g}\right)=$ $\hat{\gamma}_{g(g-1) \ldots 321}$. More specifically, given an orthonormal basis, $1^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{1}\right)=\hat{\gamma}_{1}, 1^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\right)=\hat{\gamma}_{21}=-\hat{\gamma}_{12}, 1^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{123}\right)=\hat{\gamma}_{321}=-\hat{\gamma}_{123}$, $1^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{1234}\right)=\hat{\gamma}_{4321}=\hat{\gamma}_{1234}$ and so on, as shown in Fig. 1.

Given the relations $(\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B})=\hat{\gamma}_{123}(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B})$ and $(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{C}$ $=-\hat{\gamma}_{i j k}(\boldsymbol{A} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} \wedge \boldsymbol{C})$ in 3D, and since $1^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\right)=-\hat{\gamma}_{12}$ and $1^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{123}\right)=-\hat{\gamma}_{123}, 1^{\dagger}$ will leave invariant the cross product $(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B})$ as well as the scalar $(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{C}$ defined between polar vectors $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}$, i.e. $1^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{A})=\boldsymbol{A}$ (and similarly for $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}), 1^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B})=(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B})$ and $1^{\dagger}((\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{C})=$ $(\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{C}$. We note that the action of $1^{\dagger}$ is distributive over addition and multiplication, which is similar to other antisymmetries.

To generalize the action of $1^{\dagger}$ in $n$ dimensions, let us denote the pseudoscalar in $n$-dimensional CA as $i_{n}=\hat{\gamma}_{123 \ldots . .}$. Then using the orthonormality conditions, one can show that $i_{n}^{2}=$ $(-1)^{n / 2}$ (for $n$ even) and $i_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{(n-1) / 2}$ (for $n$ odd). The action of $1^{\dagger}$ on pseudovectors in $n$ dimensions can therefore be derived as $1^{\dagger}\left(i_{n}\right)=i_{n}^{2}\left(i_{n}\right)$ and $1^{\dagger}\left(i_{m} i_{n}\right)=i_{n}^{2} i_{m}^{2}\left(i_{n} i_{m}\right)$. Using these results, we can show that for a blade $\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{m}$ of grade $m, 1^{\dagger}\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{m}$ $=i_{m}^{2}\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{m}$ and $1^{\dagger}\left(\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle_{m}\langle\mathbf{M}\rangle_{n}\right)=i_{n}^{2} i_{m}^{2}\left(\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle_{n}\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle_{m}\right)$. Thus, $1^{\dagger}$ will reverse the sign of blades of grades $2,3,6,7,10,11 \ldots$ etc., while leaving the blades of grades $0,1,4,5,8,9 \ldots$ etc. invariant. The fact that $1^{\dagger}$ will reverse the sign of some blades while not reversing that of others leads us to use the term wedge reversion, rather than wedge reversal. The former, in particular, refers to reversing the order of vectors in a blade, not necessarily the blade itself, as the latter might imply. In particular, the CA of dimensions $n=2$ is isomorphic to the complex algebra where $i_{2}=\gamma_{12} \equiv(-1)^{1 / 2}$. Noting that $1^{\dagger}\left(i_{2}\right)$ $=-i_{2}$, we identify the operation $1^{\dagger}$ to be isomorphic to complex conjugation in complex algebra. Similarly, the 4D subspace $\left\{\mathrm{I}, \hat{\gamma}_{12}, \hat{\gamma}_{23}, \hat{\gamma}_{31}\right\}$ of the $n=3 \mathrm{CA}$ is isomorphic to the quaternion algebra (Conway \& Smith, 2003) discovered by Hamilton and whose basis is formed by one real and three imaginary axes. The role of $1^{\dagger}$ here is again isomorphic to complex conjugation.

## APPENDIX D

## Tensors expressed as multivectors

A tensor of rank $r$ in an $n$-dimensional space (therefore, $n^{r}$ components) can be written as a multivector in a $2^{n}$ dimensional space as long as $n^{r} \leq 2^{n}$. For example, let $n=4$ and $r=2$. Then $n^{r}=2^{n}=16$, which indicates that a 16D CA space is in principle sufficient to represent a second-rank tensor in 4D space. If $n^{p}=2^{n}$, then tensors of rank $r<p$ can also be written as multivectors in the $2^{n}$-dimensional CA space.

As an example, consider a $4 \times 4$ second-rank tensor, $T=$ $\left(T_{i j}\right)$, where $i$ and $j$ each range from $0,1,2,3$, spanned by orthonormal basis vectors $\hat{\gamma}_{0}, \hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\gamma}_{0} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\sigma_{2} \\
-\sigma_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \hat{\gamma}_{1} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma_{1} \\
\sigma_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& \hat{\gamma}_{2} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma_{3} \\
\sigma_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \hat{\gamma}_{2} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{I} & 0 \\
0 & -\mathrm{I}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad I=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The CA space is spanned by the basis I, $\hat{\gamma}_{0}, \hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1}$, $\hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}$. The tensor $T$ can then be written as a multivector in this 16D CA basis as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 T= & \mathrm{I}\left(T_{00}+T_{11}+T_{22}+T_{33}\right)+i \hat{\gamma}_{0}\left(-T_{03}+T_{12}-T_{21}+T_{30}\right) \\
& +\hat{\gamma}_{1}\left(T_{03}+T_{12}+T_{21}+T_{30}\right)+\hat{\gamma}_{2}\left(T_{02}-T_{13}+T_{20}-T_{31}\right) \\
& +\hat{\gamma}_{3}\left(T_{00}+T_{11}-T_{22}-T_{33}\right) \\
& +i \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1}\left(-T_{00}+T_{11}-T_{22}+T_{33}\right) \\
& +i \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{2}\left(T_{01}+T_{10}+T_{23}+T_{32}\right) \\
& +i \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{3}\left(T_{03}-T_{12}-T_{21}+T_{30}\right) \\
& +\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2}\left(T_{01}-T_{10}+T_{23}-T_{32}\right) \\
& +\hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{\gamma}_{1}\left(T_{03}+T_{12}-T_{21}-T_{30}\right) \\
& +\hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}\left(-T_{02}+T_{13}+T_{20}-T_{31}\right) \\
& +i \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2}\left(T_{02}+T_{13}+T_{20}+T_{31}\right) \\
& +i \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}\left(T_{01}+T_{10}-T_{23}-T_{32}\right) \\
& +i \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{3}\left(-T_{00}+T_{11}+T_{22}-T_{33}\right) \\
& +\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}\left(-T_{01}+T_{10}+T_{23}-T_{32}\right) \\
& +i \hat{\gamma}_{0} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \hat{\gamma}_{3}\left(T_{02}+T_{13}-T_{20}-T_{31}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

While the above expression for $T$ is obtained from a straightforward matrix decomposition in the basis of the orthonormal matrices given above, a conceptual transition is required in transitioning from the 'matrices' $\gamma_{i}$ to 'vectors' $\hat{\gamma}_{i}$ in CA. In particular, the rules for linear transformation of matrices versus those for vectors in CA differ, and appropriate caution must be exercised in working out the appropriate correspondences between the two. As a simple example, a similarity transformation of a $2 \times 2$ matrix by a rotation angle $\theta$ in the 1-2 plane is performed in conventional tensor algebra by the transformation matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

while such a rotation of a corresponding multivector in CA would require a rotor operator $R=\cos (\theta / 2)-\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{\gamma}_{2} \sin (\theta / 2)$.
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