

Automatic Measurement of Teachers' Talk: Indicators of Location KUTHE UNIVERSITY OF and Quality in Science Activities

Introduction

- A key goal of Next Generation Science Standards is to promote interest and exploration of natural phenomena
- In preschool settings, teachers prompt exploration by asking questions, encouraging informal exploration and experimentation
- To date, live or offline video observation has been the sole way to capture the quality of teacher question asking in the pre-k classroom (e.g., Sanders et al., 2016)
- To date, Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) has not been used to measure the content/quality of teacher talk
- Here, we used ASR to quantify preschool teachers' use of keywords that promote student exploration and inquiry

Methoc

- 2 PreK classrooms (14 children w/ and without disabilities, 7 teachers)
- Ubisense (indoor mapping tool) was used to locate children & teachers in activity areas during the day
- Preschool audio recorded Language ENvironment Analysis (LENA) devices
- ASR algorithms used to identify teacher use of "What", "Where", "Why", "Who" and "How" words

Jay Buzhardt, Dwight Irvin, John Hansen, Prasanna Kothalkar, Kyle Consolver, Ying Luo, Beth Rous



We used speech and location sensing tools to measure teachers' talk in the preschool classroom

Speech algorithms more accurately detected teacher questions in science activity areas



For additional information or questions, contact: jaybuz@ku.edu; dwirvin@ku.edu

Scan QR code for: • Complete poster • Paper describing language and location measurement in preschool setting

- spoken)

- - positives

• First attempt to automatically measure frequency, location, and content of teacher-student interactions



Supported by: National Science Foundation # 1918012; Kentucky Race to the Top Early Learning Challenge Grant #S412A130045



Juniper Gardens Children's Project

Results

• 599 adult utterances processed across all preschool activities

• 348 (58.1%) identified by ASR algorithm as containing WH words/phrases

• Accuracy across all activity areas

58.6% when accepting one or less false

positives (detected a WH word that was not

44.5% with no false positives

• 85 utterances within science activity areas only

54 (63.5%) identified with WH words/phrases

Accuracy within science activity areas only

o 79.6% when accepting one or less false

59.3% with no false positives

Discussion

 Slightly higher proportion of questions in science activities areas than across all activity areas • Algorithms more accurate in science areas • Continuing improvement of algorithm accuracy

Acknowledgments