

# FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX FACTORIZATION IN THE FJRW-THEORY REVISITED

ALEXANDER POLISHCHUK

*To Rafail Kalmanovich Gordin, with gratitude*

ABSTRACT. We present an improved construction of the fundamental matrix factorization in the FJRW-theory given in [7]. The revised construction makes the independence on choices more apparent and works for a possibly nonabelian finite group of symmetries. One of the new ingredients is the category of dg-matrix factorizations over a dg-scheme.

## INTRODUCTION

This short note is supposed to clarify the construction of the cohomological field theory associated with a quasihomogeneous polynomial  $W$  and its finite group of symmetries  $G$ . Such a cohomological field theory, called the *FJRW-theory* was first proposed in [4]. Then, in [7] a different construction, based on categories of matrix factorizations, was given (conjecturally, the two constructions give the same cohomological field theory).

The approach of [7] is based on constructing certain *fundamental matrix factorizations* which live over the product of certain finite coverings of  $\overline{M}_{g,n}$  (the moduli of  $\Gamma$ -spin structures) with affine spaces. It is this construction that we aim to clarify. More precisely, we would like to present the construction in such a way that it would be analogous to the construction of Ciocan-Fontanine and Kapranov of the virtual fundamental class in Gromov-Witten theory via dg-manifolds (see [1]). The second goal that we achieve is to present the construction without using coordinates on the vector space  $V$  on which  $W$  lives. This has an additional bonus that we can handle the case when the group  $G$  is not necessarily commutative (but still finite).

The construction of [7] of the fundamental matrix factorization over  $\mathcal{S} \times \prod_i V^{\gamma_i}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the moduli space of (rigidified)  $\Gamma$ -spin structures with some markings (see Sec. 3.1 for details) roughly has the following two steps. In Step 1 one considers the object  $R\pi_*(\mathcal{V})$  in the derived category  $D(\mathcal{S})$ , where  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is the universal curve,  $\mathcal{V}$  is the underlying vector bundle of the universal  $\Gamma$ -spin structure, and then equips it with some additional structure. In Step 2 one realizes  $R\pi_*(\mathcal{V})$  by a 2-term complex  $[A \rightarrow B]$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are vector bundles over  $\mathcal{S}$ , such that there is a morphism

$$Z : X = \text{tot}(A) \rightarrow \prod_i V^{\gamma_i}$$

and a Koszul matrix factorization of  $Z^*(\sum W_i)$ , where  $W_i = W|_{V^{\gamma_i}}$ . Then the fundamental matrix factorization is obtained by taking its push-forward with respect to the

---

Supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1700642 and by the Russian Academic Excellence Project ‘5-100’.

morphism  $(p, Z) : X \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \prod_i V^{\gamma_i}$ , where  $p : X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is the projection. Note that here the space  $X$  is non-canonical, so one has to check independence on the choices made.

The main idea of the present paper is to change the conceptual framework slightly by observing that in fact one gets a *dg-matrix factorization* on a *dg-scheme* over  $\mathcal{S} \times \prod_i V^{\gamma_i}$  (the terminology is explained in Sec. 1). Namely, for a non-negatively graded complex of vector bundles  $C^\bullet$  over  $\mathcal{S}$ , one can define the corresponding dg-scheme over  $\mathcal{S}$ ,

$$[C^\bullet] := \text{Spec}(S^\bullet(C^\bullet)^\vee).$$

In our case we consider the dg-scheme

$$\mathcal{X} := [R\pi_*(\mathcal{V})].$$

More concretely, if we realize  $\mathcal{V}$  by a 2-term complex  $\mathcal{V} = [A \rightarrow B]$  then our dg-scheme is realized by the sheaf of dg-algebras

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, [A \rightarrow B]} := S^\bullet[B^\vee \rightarrow A^\vee],$$

where the complex  $[B^\vee \rightarrow A^\vee]$  is concentrated in degrees  $-1$  and  $0$ . Then we interpret the additional structure on  $R\pi_*(\mathcal{V})$  coming from the universal  $\Gamma$ -spin structure as a structure of a dg-matrix factorization on the structure sheaf of  $\mathcal{X}$ . More precisely, we get a morphism

$$Z_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \prod_i V^{\gamma_i}$$

and a function of degree  $-1$ ,  $f_{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, [A \rightarrow B]}^{-1}$ , such that

$$d(f_{-1}) = -Z_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\sum W_i).$$

Now the fundamental matrix factorization is obtained as the push-forward of  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, d + f_{-1} \cdot \text{id})$  with respect to the morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \prod_i V^{\gamma_i}$ .

The connection with the original approach is the following: for each presentation  $\mathcal{V} = [A \rightarrow B]$ , for which the first construction works, there is a morphism  $q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X = \text{tot}(A)$ , such that  $Z \circ q = Z_{\mathcal{X}}$ , and an isomorphism of the push-forward  $q_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, d + f_{-1} \cdot \text{id})$  with the Koszul matrix factorization of  $Z^*(\sum W_i)$  constructed through the first approach.

The second technical improvement we present is in the construction of  $f_{-1}$ . The idea is to work systematically with the categories of sheaves over pairs (scheme, closed subscheme) to deal with non-functoriality of the cone construction (such categories fit into the framework of Lunts's poset schemes in [6]). Namely, we work with the enhancement of the usual push-forward with respect to the projection  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  to a morphism of pairs  $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ , where  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{C}$  is the union of the images of the universal marked points (see Sec. 2).

Recall that in [7], we used the fundamental matrix factorizations to construct cohomological field theories associated with  $(W, G)$  by viewing them as kernels for Fourier-Mukai functors and passing to Hochschild homology. It seems that the approach via dg-matrix factorizations presented here could also be useful in the development of a more general construction in Gauged Linear Sigma Model, see [5], [2].

Throughout this work the ground field is  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Acknowledgments.* I am grateful to Felix Janda and Yongbin Ruan for organizing the RTG Conference on Witten's  $r$ -spin class and related topics in January 2017, where the

results of this note were first presented. I also thank Institut Mathématique Jussieu and Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques for hospitality and excellent working conditions during preparation of this paper.

## 1. MATRIX FACTORIZATIONS OVER DG-SCHEMES

**1.1. Definition.** We consider dg-schemes in the spirit of [1]. We fix a space  $S$  (a scheme or a stack), and consider the structure sheaf of a dg-scheme over  $S$  to be a sheaf  $(\mathcal{O}_X^\bullet, d)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_-$ -graded commutative dg-algebras over  $\mathcal{O}_S$  (one can make a restriction  $\mathcal{O}_X^0 = \mathcal{O}_S$ , but it is not really necessary).

Given a function  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_X^0$  we can consider the category of (quasicoherent) *dg-matrix factorizations* of  $f_0$ . By definition, these are  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complexes of sheaves  $P = P^{\bar{0}} \oplus P^{\bar{1}}$  together with a (quasicoherent)  $\mathcal{O}_X^\bullet$ -module structure, such that  $\mathcal{O}_X^i \cdot P^{\bar{a}} \subset P^{\bar{i}+\bar{a}}$ . In addition  $P$  is equipped with an odd differential  $\delta$  satisfying the Leibnitz identity

$$\delta(\phi \cdot p) = d(\phi) \cdot p + (-1)^k \phi \delta(p),$$

for  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_X^k$ ,  $p \in P$ , and the equation  $\delta^2 = f_0 \cdot \text{id}_P$ .

**Example 1.1.1.** Given an element  $f_{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_X^{-1}$ , such that  $d(f_{-1}) = f_0$ , we get a structure of a dg-matrix factorization on  $\mathcal{O}_X^\bullet$  by setting

$$\delta(\phi) = d(\phi) + f_{-1} \cdot \phi.$$

(In checking that  $\delta^2 = 0$  one has to use the fact that  $f_{-1}^2 = 0$ .)

The above example can be obtained from the following more general operation. Suppose we are given a function  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_X^0$  and a dg-matrix factorization  $(P, \delta)$  of  $f_0$ . Then for any  $f_{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_X^0$  we can change the differential  $\delta$  to  $\delta + f_{-1} \cdot \text{id}_P$ . Then  $(P, \delta + f_{-1} \cdot \text{id}_P)$  will be a dg-matrix factorization of  $f_0 + d(f_{-1})$ .

**1.2. Positselski's framework of quasicoherent CDG-algebras.** More generally, we can assume that  $f_0$  a section in  $\mathcal{O}_X^0 \otimes L$ , where  $L$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X^0$ -module of rank 1. The theory of the corresponding categories of dg-matrix factorizations fits into the framework of quasicoherent CDG-algebras developed by Positselski (see [3, Sec. 1]).

With the data  $(\mathcal{O}_X^\bullet, L, f_0)$  as above we can associate a quasicoherent CDG-algebra

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^0} L^{\otimes n}[-2n],$$

with the natural structure of a complex of sheaves (i.e., the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and the differential  $d$ ), the natural product and the global curvature element given by  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}^0 \otimes L \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ .

Now a quasicoherent dg-matrix factorization is a quasicoherent DG-module over  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e., a graded  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $M = \bigoplus_n M_n$ , equipped with a differential  $\delta = \delta_M$  such that  $\delta^2 = f_0 \cdot \text{id}_M$  and  $\delta$  satisfies the Leibnitz identity with respect to the  $\mathcal{B}$ -action. Note that such a DG-module necessarily has

$$M_{n+2} \simeq M_n \otimes L,$$

so it is determined by the components  $M_0$  and  $M_1$ , and we get the structure of a dg-matrix factorization on  $M_0 \oplus M_1$ .

There are several exotic derived categories associated to a quasicohherent CDG-algebra. The one that is most relevant for the theory of dg-matrix factorizations is the category

$$\mathrm{qcoh} - \mathrm{MF}_{ffd}(f_0) := D^{co}(\mathcal{B} - \mathrm{qcoh}_{ffd}) \simeq D^{co}(\mathcal{B} - \mathrm{qcoh}_{fl}) \simeq D^{abs}(\mathcal{B} - \mathrm{qcoh}_{fl}),$$

where the superscripts "abs" and "co" refer to "absolute" and "coderived", while the subscripts "fl" and "ffd" mean "flat" and "finite flat dimension" (see [3, Sec. 1]).

Assume that  $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X^\bullet) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^\bullet)$  is a morphism of finite flat dimension,  $L$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y^0$ -module of rank 1,  $W_0$  is a section of  $L$ . Then we have the induced section  $f^*W_0$  of  $f^*L$ . In this situation we have the push-forward functor (see [3, Prop. 1.9])

$$Rf_* : \mathrm{qcoh} - \mathrm{MF}_{ffd}(f^*W_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{qcoh} - \mathrm{MF}_{ffd}(W_0).$$

**1.3. Koszul matrix factorizations as push-forwards.** Let  $V$  be a vector bundle over a scheme  $X$ , and suppose we have sections  $\alpha \in H^0(X, V^\vee)$ ,  $\beta \in H^0(X, V)$ . With these data one associates a Koszul matrix factorization  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  of  $W = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ , whose underlying super-vector bundle is  $\bigwedge^\bullet(V)$ . On the other hand, we have the derived zero locus of  $\beta$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}(\beta) \rightarrow X$ , which corresponds to the dg-algebra given by the Koszul complex of  $\beta$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}(\beta)} = (\bigwedge^\bullet(V), d = \iota_\beta).$$

Now we can view  $\alpha$  as a function of degree  $-1$  on  $\mathcal{Z}(\beta)$  such that  $d(\alpha)$  is the pull-back of  $W$ . Thus, by definition,  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  is the push-forward of the dg-matrix factorization  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}(\beta)}, d + \alpha \cdot \mathrm{id})$  by the morphism  $\mathcal{Z}(\beta) \rightarrow X$ .

This explains why in the case when  $\beta$  is a regular section of  $V$ , the Koszul matrix factorization  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  is equivalent to the push-forward of the structure sheaf on the usual zero locus of  $\beta$ .

## 2. TRACE MAPS VIA MORPHISMS OF PAIRS

**2.1. Sheaves on pairs.** Let  $\iota : Y \rightarrow X$  be a closed embedding.

We consider a very simple poset scheme in the sense of [6] for the poset consisting of two elements  $\alpha > \beta$ , so that  $X_\alpha = Y$  and  $X_\beta = X$ . Then a quasicohherent sheaf on this poset scheme is a triple  $(\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\beta, \phi)$ , with  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \in \mathrm{Qcoh}(Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\beta \in \mathrm{Qcoh}(X)$  and  $\phi : \mathcal{F}_\beta \rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a morphism. We denote by  $\mathrm{Qcoh}(X, Y)$  this abelian category, and by  $\mathrm{Coh}(X, Y)$  its subcategory corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \in \mathrm{Coh}(Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\beta \in \mathrm{Coh}(X)$ . Furthermore, we have a subcategory of locally free coherent sheaves (those with  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  locally free).

The perfect derived category  $\mathrm{Perf}(X, Y)$  of bounded complexes of locally free sheaves on  $(X, Y)$  has a natural monoidal structure given by the tensor product, so we can also define symmetric powers of objects in  $\mathrm{Perf}(X, Y)$ .

Given a morphism of pairs  $f : (X, Y) \rightarrow (X', Y')$  we have a natural derived push-forward morphism

$$Rf_* : D^+ \mathrm{Qcoh}(X, Y) \rightarrow D^+ \mathrm{Qcoh}(X', Y'),$$

where  $D^+$  denotes the derived category of bounded below complexes.

The push-forward is compatible with the tensor products in the usual way: we have natural morphisms

$$Rf_*(F) \otimes Rf_*(G) \rightarrow Rf_*(F \otimes G), \quad S^\bullet Rf_*(F) \rightarrow Rf_* S^\bullet(F). \quad (2.1.1)$$

We have a fully faithful exact embedding  $j_! : D \operatorname{Qcoh}(X) \rightarrow D \operatorname{Qcoh}(X, Y)$  sending  $\mathcal{G}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\beta = \mathcal{G}$ . There is a right adjoint functor to it (see [6]),

$$Rj^! : D^+ \operatorname{Qcoh}(X, Y) \rightarrow D^+ \operatorname{Qcoh}(X),$$

which is defined as the right derived functor of the functor

$$j^! : \operatorname{Qcoh}(X, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Qcoh}(X) : \mathcal{F}_\bullet \mapsto \ker(\mathcal{F}_\beta \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{F}_\alpha).$$

Note that objects  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet \in \operatorname{Qcoh}(X, Y)$ , such that  $\mathcal{F}_\beta \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is surjective, are acyclic with respect to  $j^!$ . Furthermore, every object of  $\operatorname{Qcoh}(X, Y)$  has a canonical resolutions by such acyclic objects:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\beta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\beta \oplus \iota_* \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \rightarrow (0, \iota_* \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

Computing  $Rj^!$  using these resolutions has a very simple interpretation: given a complex  $(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\beta^\bullet)$  over  $\operatorname{Qcoh}(X)$ , the functor  $Rj^!$  sends it to the complex

$$\operatorname{Cone}(\mathcal{F}_\beta^\bullet \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{F}_\alpha^\bullet)[-1].$$

In particular, there is a natural exact triangle

$$Rj^!(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\beta^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\beta^\bullet \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{F}_\alpha^\bullet \rightarrow \dots$$

We also have the following compatibility between  $Rj^!$  and the push-forward.

**Lemma 2.1.1.** *Let  $f : (X, Y) \rightarrow (X', Y')$  be a morphism of pairs. Assume that there exists a finite open covering of  $X$ , affine over  $X'$ . Then for  $\mathcal{F} \in D^+ \operatorname{Qcoh}(X, Y)$  we have a natural isomorphism*

$$Rj^! Rf_*(\mathcal{F}) \simeq Rf_* Rj^!(\mathcal{F}) \quad (2.1.2)$$

in  $D^+ \operatorname{Qcoh}(X')$ .

*Proof.* Let us choose a quasi-isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , such that all  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha^i$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\beta^i$  are  $f_*$ -acyclic (this can be done using Čech resolutions). Then the left-hand side of (2.1.2) is represented by the complex

$$\operatorname{Cone}(f_* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\beta \rightarrow \iota_* f_* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha)[-1].$$

On the other hand, the terms of  $\operatorname{Cone}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\beta \rightarrow \iota_* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha)[-1]$  are also  $f_*$ -acyclic, so the right-hand side of (2.1.2) is represented by the complex

$$f_* \operatorname{Cone}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\beta \rightarrow \iota_* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha)[-1],$$

which is isomorphic to the one above.  $\square$

**2.2. Differentials on curves.** Let  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  be a family of stable curves,  $p_i : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , be sections of  $\pi$ , such that  $\pi$  is smooth along their images, and let  $\Sigma = \sqcup_i p_i(\mathcal{S})$ . We view  $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma)$  as a poset scheme and consider the corresponding category  $\operatorname{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma)$  whose objects are collections  $(F, (F_i), (f_i))$ , where  $F$  is a coherent sheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $F_i$  is a coherent sheaf on  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $f_i : F \rightarrow p_{i*} F_i$  is a morphism. Sometimes we will omit the morphisms  $(f_i)$  from the notation and just write  $(F, (F_i))$ .

Set  $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log} = \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}(\Sigma)$ . Recall that we have natural residue maps

$$\operatorname{Res}_\Sigma : \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}|_\Sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_\Sigma,$$

so that  $\ker(\text{Res}_\Sigma)$  is identified with  $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}$ . Thus, we can view the triple

$$[\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \Sigma] := (\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma, \text{Res}_\Sigma)$$

as an object of the category  $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma)$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Rj^![\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \Sigma] \simeq \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}.$$

Note that we have a morphism of pairs

$$\pi : (\mathcal{C}, \Sigma) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}). \quad (2.2.1)$$

By Lemma 2.1.1, the object  $R\pi_*[\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \Sigma]$  satisfies

$$Rj^!R\pi_*[\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \Sigma] \simeq R\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}. \quad (2.2.2)$$

Note also that we have a morphism of exact triangles (which will be used later)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_S[-1] & \longrightarrow & R\pi_*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}) & \longrightarrow & R\pi_*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ \mathcal{O}_S[-1] & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{O}_S[-1] & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S \end{array} \quad (2.2.3)$$

where  $t$  is given by the summation.

The above constructions also work in the case of a family of orbicurves with stable coarse moduli spaces.

### 3. FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX FACTORIZATION

**3.1. Setup and the moduli spaces of  $\Gamma$ -spin structures.** Let us recall the setup of the FJRW theory (see [4], [7]), or rather its slight generalization to noncommutative finite groups of symmetries (as in [5]).

We start with a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  equipped with an effective  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action called the *R-charge*, such that all the weights of this action on  $V$  are positive. We denote the corresponding subgroup in  $\text{GL}(V)$  by  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ . and let  $W$  be a function of weight  $d$  on  $V$ . Also, we fix a finite subgroup  $G \subset \text{GL}(V)$  such that  $W$  is  $G$ -invariant,  $G$  commutes with  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$  and  $G$  contains a fixed element  $J \in \mathbb{G}_{m,R}$  of order  $d$ .

We define  $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}(V)$  to be the algebraic subgroup generated by  $G$  and by  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ . There is a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $\chi$  restricts to the subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$  as  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^d$ .

As in [7], we consider the moduli space of  $\Gamma$ -spin structures: it classifies stable orbicurves  $(C, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  equipped with  $\Gamma$ -principal bundle  $P$  (our convention is that we have a right action of  $\Gamma$  on  $P$ ), together with an isomorphism  $\chi_* P \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_C^{\log} \setminus 0$ . We can think of the latter isomorphism as a morphism  $\chi_P : P \rightarrow \omega_C^{\log} \setminus 0$  satisfying

$$\chi_P(x\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) \cdot \chi_P(x)$$

for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

In addition to requiring the coarse moduli of  $C$  to be Deligne-Mumford stable, we require that for each marked point  $p_i$  the morphism  $B\text{Aut}(p_i) \rightarrow B\Gamma$  induced by  $P$  is representable. By looking at the corresponding embedding  $\text{Aut}(p_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m_i \rightarrow \Gamma$  defined up to a conjugacy, we get a conjugacy class  $\gamma_i$  in  $\Gamma$ . Thus, we get a decomposition of our moduli stack into a disjoint union of open and closed substacks  $\mathcal{S}_g(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ . As in [4, Sec. 2.2], one shows that these are smooth and proper DM stacks with projective coarse moduli.

Let  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_g(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  be the universal curve over  $\mathcal{S}_g(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ , and let  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{P} \times_{\Gamma} V$  be the vector bundle over  $\mathcal{C}$  associated with the universal  $\Gamma$ -spin structure  $\mathcal{P}$  via the embedding  $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}(V)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{V}$  is equipped with a  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ -action (through its action on  $V$ ).

As in [7], we also consider a Galois covering  $\mathcal{S}_g^{\text{rig}}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_g(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  corresponding to choices of a rigidification at every marked point. A *rigidification* is an isomorphism of the restriction of  $P$  to  $p_i / \text{Aut}(p_i) \simeq B\langle \gamma_i \rangle$  with  $\Gamma / \langle \gamma_i \rangle$  (viewed as a bundle over  $B\langle \gamma_i \rangle$ ). There is a natural simply transitive action of the group  $\prod_i C_G(\gamma_i)$  on the set of rigidifications at  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ , where  $C_G(\gamma) \subset G$  is the centralizer of  $\gamma \in G$ .

**3.2. Construction.** Let us set for now  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_g^{\text{rig}}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  and consider the pull-back of all the objects to  $\mathcal{S}$  (denoting them by the same symbols).

Note that we have a natural projection  $V / \langle \gamma_i \rangle \rightarrow V^{\gamma_i}$ . Thus, from rigidification structures we get morphisms

$$Z_i : p_i^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow V^{\gamma_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}. \quad (3.2.1)$$

Hence, by adjunction we can extend  $\mathcal{V}$  to an object

$$[\mathcal{V}, \Sigma] := (\mathcal{V}, (V^{\gamma_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}), (Z_i))$$

of  $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma)$ .

On the other hand, we can combine  $\chi_P$  with  $W$  into a polynomial morphism

$$W_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{P} \times_{\Gamma} V \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log} : (x, v) \mapsto W(v) \cdot \chi_P(x).$$

We can view it as a linear morphism of vector bundles on  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

$$W_{\mathcal{V}} : S^{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})_d \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log},$$

where we grade the symmetric algebra of  $\mathcal{V}$  using the  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ -action on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Furthermore, this morphism is compatible with the morphisms (3.2.1), so that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_i^* S^{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})_d & \xrightarrow{p_i^* W_{\mathcal{V}}} & p_i^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log} \\ \downarrow S^{\bullet}(Z_i) & & \downarrow \\ S^{\bullet}(V^{\gamma_i})_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & \xrightarrow{W_i} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} \end{array}$$

where  $W_i = W|_{V^{\gamma_i}}$ . This means that we have a morphism

$$(W_{\mathcal{V}}, (W_i)) : S^{\bullet}[\mathcal{V}, \Sigma]_d \rightarrow [\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \Sigma] \quad (3.2.2)$$

in the category  $\mathrm{Qcoh}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma)$  (where again we take the part of weight  $d$  with respect to  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ ). Next, we can take the derived push-forward with respect to the morphism of pairs (2.2.1). Together with (2.1.1) this gives us a morphism

$$S^{\bullet}(R\pi_*[\mathcal{V}, \Sigma])_d \rightarrow R\pi_* S^{\bullet}[\mathcal{V}, \Sigma]_d \rightarrow R\pi_*[\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \Sigma] \quad (3.2.3)$$

in  $D\mathrm{Qcoh}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ .

Now let us set

$$E := Rj^! S^{\bullet}(R\pi_*[\mathcal{V}, \Sigma])_d.$$

Applying  $Rj^!$  to morphism (3.2.3), we obtain a morphism

$$E = Rj^! S^{\bullet}(R\pi_*[\mathcal{V}, \Sigma])_d \rightarrow Rj^! R\pi_*[\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}, \Sigma] \simeq R\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}},$$

where the last isomorphism is (2.2.2). It is easy to see that it fits into a morphism of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E & \longrightarrow & S^{\bullet}(R\pi_*(\mathcal{V}))_d & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^r S^{\bullet}(V^{\gamma_i})_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & \longrightarrow & E[1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (W_i) & & \downarrow \\ R\pi_*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}) & \longrightarrow & R\pi_*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}}^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & \longrightarrow & R\pi_*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{S}})[1] \end{array} \quad (3.2.4)$$

Combining it with the morphism of triangles (2.2.3), we get a commutative diagram with the exact triangle in the first row

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{\bullet}(R\pi_*(\mathcal{V}))_d & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^r S^{\bullet}(V^{\gamma_i})_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & \longrightarrow & E[1] \\ & & \downarrow \sum W_i & & \downarrow \tau \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} \end{array}$$

Dualizing we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E^{\vee}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^r S^{\bullet}(V^{\gamma_i})_d^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & \longrightarrow & S^{\bullet}(R\pi_*(\mathcal{V}))_d^{\vee} \\ \uparrow \tau^{\vee} & & \uparrow \sum W_i & & \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} & & \end{array}$$

This implies that the pull-back  $Z^*(\bigoplus_i W_i)$  with respect to the morphism

$$Z : [R\pi_*(\mathcal{V})] \rightarrow \prod_i V^{\gamma_i} \quad (3.2.5)$$



induced by (3.2.1), gives the zero morphism from the structure sheaf to itself in the derived category of quasicoherent sheaves on  $[R\pi_*(\mathcal{V})]$ .

In fact, we can realize this function by an explicit coboundary. For this we need a realization of the above diagram in the homotopy category of complexes. As in [7, Sec. 4.2], the starting point is that  $R\pi_*(\mathcal{V})$  can be realized ( $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ -equivariantly) by a complex of the form  $[A \rightarrow B]$  in such a way that the morphism (3.2.5) is realized by a surjective morphism  $A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V^{\gamma_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ . Then the first line of the diagram (3.2.4) can be realized by a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(S^\bullet(Z)_d) \rightarrow S^\bullet(A \rightarrow B)_d \xrightarrow{S^\bullet(Z)_d} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S^\bullet(V^{\gamma_i})_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0$$

where the complex  $S^\bullet(A \rightarrow B)_d$ , concentrated in degrees  $[0, \text{rk}(B)]$ , has form

$$S^\bullet(A)_d \rightarrow (S^\bullet(A) \otimes B)_d \rightarrow (S^\bullet(A) \otimes \wedge^2 B)_d \rightarrow \dots$$

Using this we get a canonical quasi-isomorphism of  $E$  with the bounded complex of vector bundles

$$K^\bullet := \text{Cone}(S^\bullet(R\pi_*(\mathcal{V}))_d \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S^\bullet(V^{\gamma_i})_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_S)[-1].$$

Now we want to realize the morphism  $\tau : E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S[-1]$  in the derived category by a morphism  $K^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S[-1]$  in the homotopy category of complexes.

By changing  $[A \rightarrow B]$  to a quasi-isomorphic complex  $[\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}]$  one can achieve that for  $i \geq 1$  the terms  $K^i$  satisfy  $\text{Ext}^{>0}(K^i, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$  (see [7, Lem. 4.2.5]). This implies that morphisms  $K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S[-1]$  in the homotopy category of complexes and in the derived category are the same.

The dual of this morphism can be interpreted as a canonical homotopy (up to a homotopy between homotopies)  $f_{-1}$  between the function  $Z^*(\bigoplus_i W_i)$  on  $[R\pi_*\mathcal{V}]$  and 0. As we have seen in Example 1.1.1, this corresponds to a structure  $\delta = d - f_{-1} \cdot \text{id}$  of a dg-matrix factorization of  $-Z^*(\bigoplus_i W_i)$  on the structure sheaf of  $[R\pi_*\mathcal{V}]$ .

Furthermore, it carries an equivariant structure with respect to the action of the center  $Z(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  (acting trivially on the base) and with respect to  $\prod_i C_G(\gamma_i)$  (changing the rigidifications).

**3.3. Properties.** The first important property is that our dg-matrix factorization over  $[R\pi_*\mathcal{V}]$  is supported on the zero section in  $[R\pi_*\mathcal{V}]$ . Indeed, first, we recall that any matrix factorization is supported on the critical locus of the potential. Since each  $W_i$  is non-degenerate, we get that the support belongs to the zero locus of  $Z^*(\bigoplus_i W_i)$ . Note also that the support can be calculated pointwise (see [7, Sec. 1.4]), so it is enough to deal with the case of a single curve with a  $\Gamma$ -spin structure. Thus, we are reduced to considering the following situation. Let  $C$  be a curve, and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector bundle over  $C$ . Assume also we have a polynomial morphism  $W_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \omega_C$ , such that over an open dense subset of  $C$  there exists a trivialization  $\mathcal{V} \simeq V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$  such that  $W_{\mathcal{V}}$  is induced by our polynomial  $W$  on  $V$ . Then we have the induced polynomial function of degree  $-1$  on the dg-affine space  $[H^0(C, \mathcal{V}) \oplus H^1(C, \mathcal{V})[-1]]$  (recall that the base is now a point), induced by  $W_{\mathcal{V}}$  and by the identification  $H^1(C, \omega_C) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . We claim that it is supported at the origin. Indeed, we start by observing that the preimage of the origin under the gradient morphism  $\Delta W : V \rightarrow V^\vee$  is still the origin (since  $W$  is non-degenerate). From this we get

the similar assertion about the preimage of the zero section under the relative gradient morphism  $\Delta W_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_C$ . Finally, we note that the support of our function on  $[H^0(C, \mathcal{V}) \oplus H^1(C, \mathcal{V})[-1]]$  coincides with the vanishing locus of the polynomial morphism

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{V}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_C) \simeq H^1(C, \mathcal{V})^{\vee}$$

induced by the relative gradient map. This implies our claim.

Next, the key gluing property satisfied by the fundamental matrix factorizations (cf. [7, Sec. 5.2, 5.3]) holds in the situation when we consider two natural families of orbicurves  $\tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} S, C \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ , over

$$S := S_{g_1}^{\text{rig}}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_1}, \gamma) \times S_{g_2}^{\text{rig}}(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_{n_2}, \gamma^{-1}),$$

where  $C$  is obtained by gluing two smooth points on  $\tilde{C}$  into a node. We denote by  $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  the gluing morphism.

In this setting there are natural  $\Gamma$ -spin structures  $\tilde{P}$  (resp.,  $P$ ) over  $\tilde{C}$  (resp.,  $C$ ), where  $P$  is obtained by gluing fibers of  $\tilde{P}$  over the two points that are glued into a node, using the rigidifications and the square root of  $J$ ,  $J^{1/2} \in \mathbb{G}_{m,R}$  such that  $\chi(J^{1/2}) = -1$  (see [7, Sec. 5.2]). The main compatibility between the push-forwards of the corresponding vector bundles  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is given by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [R\pi_*\mathcal{V}] & \longrightarrow & V^{\gamma} \\ \downarrow & \Delta^{J^{1/2}} & \downarrow \\ [R\tilde{\pi}_*\tilde{\mathcal{V}}] & \longrightarrow & V^{\gamma} \times V^{\gamma^{-1}} \end{array}$$

where  $\Delta^{J^{1/2}} : V^{\gamma} \rightarrow V^{\gamma} \times V^{\gamma^{-1}}$  is the twisted diagonal map:  $x \mapsto (x, J^{1/2}x)$ . Furthermore, the analysis of [7, Sec. 5.2] shows that the natural dg-matrix factorization on  $[R\pi_*\mathcal{V}]$  is identified with the pull-back of the one on  $[R\tilde{\pi}_*\tilde{\mathcal{V}}]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. Kapranov, *Virtual fundamental classes via dg-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009), 1779–1804.
- [2] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, D. Favero, J. Guéré, B. Kim, M. Shoemaker, *Fundamental Factorization of a GLSM, Part I: Construction*, preprint arXiv:1802.05247.
- [3] A. I. Efimov, L. Positselski, *Coherent analogues of matrix factorizations and relative singularity categories*, Algebra Number Theory 9 (2015), 1159–1292.
- [4] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) 178 (2013), 1–106.
- [5] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, *A mathematical theory of the gauged linear sigma model*, Geom. Topol. 22 (2018), 235–303.
- [6] V. Lunts, *Categorical resolutions, poset schemes, and Du Bois singularities*, IMRN 19 (2012), 4372–4420.
- [7] A. Polishchuk, A. Vaintrob, *Matrix factorizations and cohomological field theories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 714 (2016), 1–122.