

List-three-coloring P_t -free graphs with no induced 1-subdivision of $K_{1,s}$

Maria Chudnovsky^{*1}, Sophie Spirkl^{†1}, and Mingxian Zhong²

¹*Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544*

²*Lehman College and the Graduate Center, City University of New York, NY 10468*

June 4, 2020

Abstract

Let s and t be positive integers. We use P_t to denote the path with t vertices and $K_{1,s}$ to denote the complete bipartite graph with parts of size 1 and s respectively. The one-subdivision of $K_{1,s}$ is obtained by replacing every edge $\{u, v\}$ of $K_{1,s}$ by two edges $\{u, w\}$ and $\{v, w\}$ with a new vertex w . In this paper, we give a polynomial-time algorithm for the list-three-coloring problem restricted to the class of P_t -free graph with no induced 1-subdivision of $K_{1,s}$.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite and simple. We use $[k]$ to denote the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Let G be a graph. A k -coloring of G is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow [k]$ such that for every edge $uv \in E(G)$, $f(u) \neq f(v)$, and G is k -colorable if G has a k -coloring. The k -COLORING PROBLEM is the problem of deciding, given a graph G , if G is k -colorable. This problem is well-known to be NP -hard for all $k \geq 3$.

A function $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{[k]}$ that assigns a subset of $[k]$ to each vertex of a graph G is a k -list assignment for G . For a k -list assignment L , a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow [k]$ is a coloring of (G, L) if f is a k -coloring of G and $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. We say that a graph G is L -colorable, and that the pair (G, L) is colorable, if (G, L) has a coloring. The LIST- k COLORING PROBLEM is the problem of deciding, given a graph G and a k -list assignment L , if (G, L) is colorable. Since this generalizes the k -coloring problem, it is also NP -hard for all $k \geq 3$.

We denote by P_t the path with t vertices and we use $K_{1,s}$ to denote the complete bipartite graph with parts of size 1 and s respectively. The one-subdivision of $K_{1,s}$ is obtained by replacing every edge $\{u, v\}$ of $K_{1,s}$ by two edges $\{u, w\}$ and $\{v, w\}$ with a new vertex w . For a set $\mathcal{H}$ of graphs, a graph G is $\mathcal{H}$ -free if no element of $\mathcal{H}$ is an induced subgraph of G . If $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$, we say that G is H -free. In this paper, we use the terms “polynomial time” and “polynomial size” to mean “polynomial in $|V(G)|$ ”, where G is the input graph. Since the k -COLORING PROBLEM and the LIST- k COLORING PROBLEM are NP -hard for $k \geq 3$, their restrictions to H -free graphs, for various H , have been extensively studied. In particular, the following is known:

^{*}Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1763817 and U.S. Army Research Office grant W911NF-16-1-0404.

[†]This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. DMS-1802201

Theorem 1 ([6]). *Let H be a (fixed) graph, and let $k > 2$. If the k -COLORING PROBLEM can be solved in polynomial time when restricted to the class of H -free graphs, then every connected component of H is a path.*

Thus if we assume that H is connected, then the question of determining the complexity of k -coloring H -free graph is reduced to studying the complexity of coloring graphs with certain induced paths excluded, and a significant body of work has been produced on this topic. Below we list a few such results.

Theorem 2 ([1]). *The 3-COLORING PROBLEM can be solved in polynomial time for the class of P_7 -free graphs.*

Theorem 3 ([2]). *The 4-COLORING PROBLEM can be solved in polynomial time for the class of P_6 -free graphs.*

Theorem 4 ([4]). *The k -COLORING PROBLEM can be solved in polynomial time for the class of P_5 -free graphs.*

Theorem 5 ([5]). *The 4-COLORING PROBLEM is NP-complete for the class of P_7 -free graphs.*

Theorem 6 ([5]). *For all $k \geq 5$, the k -COLORING PROBLEM is NP-complete for the class of P_6 -free graphs.*

The only case for which the complexity of k -coloring P_t -free graphs is not known $k = 3$, $t \geq 8$. In this paper, we consider the LIST-3 COLORING PROBLEM for P_t -free graphs with no induced 1-subdivision of $K_{1,s}$. We use SDK_s to denote the one-subdivision of $K_{1,s}$. The main result is the following:

Theorem 7. *For all positive integers s and t , the LIST-3 COLORING PROBLEM can be solved in polynomial time for the class of (P_t, SDK_s) -free graphs.*

2 Preliminaries

We need two theorems: the first one is the famous Ramsey Theorem [7], and the second is a result of Edwards [3]:

Theorem 8 ([7]). *For each pair of positive integers k and l , there exists an integer $R(k, l)$ such that every graph with at least $R(k, l)$ vertices contains a clique with at least k vertices or an independent set with at least l vertices.*

Theorem 9 ([3]). *Let G be a graph, and let L be a list assignment for G such that $|L(v)| \leq 2$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Then a coloring of (G, L) , or a determination that none exists, can be obtained in time $O(|V(G)| + |E(G)|)$.*

Let G be a graph with list assignment L . For $X \subseteq V(G)$ we denote by $G|X$ the subgraph induced by G on X , by $G \setminus X$ the graph $G|(V(G) \setminus X)$ and by $(G|X, L)$ the list coloring problem where we restrict the domain of the list assignment L to X . For $v \in V(G)$ we write $N_G(v)$ (or $N(v)$ when there is no danger of confusion) to mean the set of vertices of G that are adjacent to v . For $X \subseteq V(G)$ we write $N_G(X)$ (or $N(X)$ when there is no danger of confusion) to mean $\bigcup_{v \in X} N(v)$. We say that $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a *dominating set* of G if for every vertex $v \in G \setminus D$, $N(v) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 9, the following corollary immediately follows.

Corollary 10. *Let G be a graph, L be a 3-list assignment for G and let D be a dominating set of G . Then a coloring of (G, L) , or a determination that (G, L) is not colorable, can be obtained in time $O(3^{|D|}(|V(G)| + |E(G)|))$.*

Proof. For every coloring c of $(G|D, L)$, in time $O(|E(G)|)$ we can define a list assignment L_c of G as follows: if $v \in D$ we set $L_c(v) = \{c(v)\}$ and if $v \notin D$ we can pick $u \in N(v) \cap D$ by the definition of a dominating set and set $L_c(v) = L(v) \setminus c(u)$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L_c : c \text{ is a coloring of } (G|D, L)\}$, then clearly $|\mathcal{L}| \leq 3^{|D|}$ and (G, L) is colorable if and only if there exists a $L_c \in \mathcal{L}$ such that (G, L_c) is colorable. For every $L_c \in \mathcal{L}$, by construction $|L_c(v)| \leq 2$ for every $v \in G$ and hence by Theorem 9, a coloring of (G, L_c) , or a determination that none exists, can be obtained in time $O(|V(G)| + |E(G)|)$. Therefore a coloring of (G, L) , or a determination that (G, L) is not colorable, can be obtained in time $O(3^{|D|}(|V(G)| + |E(G)|))$. $\square$

3 The Algorithm

Let s and t be positive integers, and let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected (P_t, SDK_s, K_4) -free graph. Pick an arbitrary vertex $a \in V$ and let $S_1 = \{a\}$. For $v \in V$, let $d(v)$ be the distance from v to a . For $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-2$, we define the set S_{i+1} as follows:

- Let $B_i = N(S_i)$, $W_i = V \setminus (B_i \cup S_i)$.
- Write $S_i = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{|S_i|}\}$ and define

$$B_i^j = \left\{ v \in \left(B_i \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{j-1} B_i^k \right) : v \text{ is adjacent to } v_j \right\}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, |S_i|$. Then $B_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{|S_i|} B_i^j$.

- For $j = 1, 2, \dots, |S_i|$, let $X_i^j \subseteq B_i^j$ be a minimal vertex set such that for every $w \in W_i$, if $N(w) \cap B_i^j \neq \emptyset$, then $N(w) \cap X_i^j \neq \emptyset$. Let $X_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{|S_i|} X_i^j$.
- Let $S_{i+1} = S_i \cup X_i$.

It is clear that we can compute S_{t-1} in $O(t|V|^2)$ time. Next, we prove some properties of this construction.

Lemma 11. *For $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-2$, $|S_{i+1}| \leq |S_i|(1 + R(4, R(4, s)))$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, |S_i|$, $|X_i^j| \leq R(4, R(4, s))$. Suppose not, $|X_i^\ell| = K > R(4, R(4, s))$ for some $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, |S_i|\}$. Let $X_i^\ell = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K\}$. By the minimality of X_i^ℓ , for $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$, there exists $y_j \in W_i$ such that $N(y_j) \cap X_i^\ell = \{x_j\}$. Since G is K_4 -free, by Theorem 8, there exists a stable set $X' \subseteq X_i^\ell$ of size $R(4, s)$. We may assume $X' = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{R(4, s)}\}$. Let $Y' = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{R(4, s)}\}$. Again by Theorem 8, there exists a stable set $Y'' \subseteq Y'$ of size s . We may assume $Y'' = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s\}$ and let $X'' = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$. Then $G[\{v_\ell\} \cup X'' \cup Y'']$ is isomorphic to SDK_s , a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 12. *For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t-2$, $B_{i+1} \setminus (B_i \cup S_i) = \{v : d(v) = i+1\}$ (where $S_0 = \emptyset$, $B_0 = \{a\}$ and $B_{t-1} = N(S_{t-1})$).*

Proof. We use induction to prove this lemma. It is clear that for $i = 0$, $B_1 = N(a) = \{v : d(v) = 1\}$.

Now suppose this lemma holds for $i < k$, where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, t-2\}$. First we show that for every $v \in B_{k+1} \setminus (B_k \cup S_k)$, $d(v) = k+1$. By construction $v \in W_k$, hence $d(v) > k$ by induction. Since $v \in B_{k+1} \setminus B_k$, v has a neighbor w in $S_{k+1} \setminus S_k \subseteq B_k$; and thus $d(v) \leq d(w) + 1 \leq k+1$.

Now let $v \in V$ with $d(v) = k+1$. It follows that $v \notin (B_k \cup S_k)$, and $v \in B_{k+1} \cup W_{k+1}$, and v has a neighbor $w \in V$ with $d(w) = k$. By induction, it follows that $v \in W_k$ and $w \in B_k$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w \in B_k^j$. Since $v \in W_k$ and $N(w) \cap B_k^j \neq \emptyset$, it follows that v has a neighbor in $X_k^j \subseteq X_k \subseteq S_{k+1}$, and therefore $v \in B_{k+1}$, as required. This finishes the proof of Lemma 12. $\square$

By applying Lemma 11 and Lemma 12, we deduce several properties of S_{t-1} .

Lemma 13. 1. *There exists a constant $M_{s,t}$ which only depends on s and t such that $|S_{t-1}| \leq M_{s,t}$.*

2. $W_{t-1} = V \setminus (S_{t-1} \cup N(S_{t-1})) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Since we start with $|S_1| = 1$, by applying Lemma 11 $t-2$ times, it follows that $|S_{t-1}| \leq (1 + R(4, R(4, s)))^{t-2}$. Let $M_{s,t} = (1 + R(4, R(4, s)))^{t-2}$, then the first claim holds.

Suppose the second claim does not hold. From Lemma 12, it follows that $\{v : d(v) \leq t-1\} \subseteq S_{t-1} \cup N(S_{t-1})$. But if $w \in V$ satisfies $d(w) \geq t-1$, then a shortest w - a -path is an induced path of length at least t , a contradiction. Thus the second claim holds. $\square$

We are now ready to prove our main result, which we rephrase here:

Theorem 14. *Let $M_{s,t} = (1 + R(4, R(4, s)))^{t-2}$. There exists an algorithm with running time $O(|V(G)|^4 + t|V(G)|^2 + 3^{M_{s,t}}(V(G) + E(G)))$ with the following specification.*

Input: $A (P_t, SDK_s)$ -free graph G and a 3-list assignment L for G .

Output: A coloring of (G, L) , or a determination that (G, L) is not colorable.

Proof. We may assume that G is connected, since otherwise we can run the algorithm for each component of G independently. In time $O(|V(G)|^4)$ we can determine that either (G, L) is not colorable, or G is K_4 -free. If G is K_4 -free, we can construct S_{t-1} in $O(tn^2)$ time as stated above. Then by Lemma 13, S_{t-1} is a dominating set of G and $|S_{t-1}| \leq M_{s,t}$. Now the theorem follows from Corollary 10. $\square$

References

- [1] Bonomo, F., M. Chudnovsky, P. Maceli, O. Schaudt, M. Stein, and M. Zhong. *Three-coloring and list three-coloring graphs without induced paths on seven vertices*. *Combinatorica* 38(4) (2018), 779–801.
- [2] Chudnovsky, M., S. Spirkl and M. Zhong, Four-coloring P_6 -free graphs. In *Proceedings of 30th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA 2019)*, 1239-1256.
- [3] Edwards, K. *The complexity of colouring problems on dense graphs*. *Theoretical Computer Science* 43 (1986): 337–343.
- [4] Hoàng, Chính T., Marcin Kamiński, Vadim Lozin, Joe Sawada, and Xiao Shu. *Deciding k -colorability of P_5 -free graphs in polynomial time*. *Algorithmica* 57, no. 1 (2010): 74–81.

- [5] Huang, Shenwei. *Improved complexity results on k -coloring P_t -free graphs*. European Journal of Combinatorics 51 (2016): 336–346.
- [6] Golovach, Petr A., Daniël Paulusma, and Jian Song. *Closing complexity gaps for coloring problems on H -free graphs*. Information and Computation 237 (2014): 204–214.
- [7] Ramsey, F.P. *On a problem of formal logic*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 2 (1930), no. 30, 264–286.