



Research papers

A review of remote sensing applications for water security: Quantity, quality, and extremes

Ila Chawla^a, L. Karthikeyan^{b,c}, Ashok K. Mishra^{c,*}

^a Interdisciplinary Centre for Water Research (ICWaR), Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

^b Centre of Studies in Resources Engineering, IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai, India

^c Department of Civil Engineering, Clemson University, SC, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Water security
Remote sensing
Water quality
Streamflow
Terrestrial water storage
Floods
Droughts
Reservoir monitoring

ABSTRACT

Water resources are critical to the sustainability of life on Earth. With a growing population and climate change, it is imperative to assess the security of these resources. Over the past five decades, satellite remote sensing has become indispensable in understanding the Earth and atmospheric processes. Satellite sensors have the capability of providing data at global scales, which is economical compared to the ground or airborne sensor acquisitions. The science community made significant advances over recent years with the help of satellite remote sensing. In view of these efforts, the current review aims to present a comprehensive review of the role of remote sensing in assessing water security. This review highlights the role of remote sensing applications to assess water quality, quantity, and hydroclimatic extreme events that play an important role in improving water security. Four water quality parameters, namely, chlorophyll-a, turbidity and Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Secchi Disk Depth (SDD), and Colored Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM), are considered. Under water quantity assessment, we review three aspects, streamflow estimation, terrestrial water storage, and reservoir operations. Remote sensing applications in quantifying floods and droughts extremes are reviewed in this work. We present how satellite sensor information acquired from different spectral bands, including optical, thermal, and microwave ranges, along with gravity field measurements, have contributed towards the applications in the above areas. We also assess the role of physical models, empirical models, and data assimilation strategies, among others, in the above areas. Finally, possible future research pathways needed to address the issues faced by the science community are discussed. This work is the second of the two-part review series, wherein the first part deals with the applications of satellite remote sensing for agriculture management.

1. Introduction

From the available water resources, only about 2.5% of the total amount of water on the Earth constitutes the freshwater, 1.5% of which can be accessed for various biophysical processes. The sustainable management of water resources and ensuring water security is vitally important for creating responsible policies to maintain both; ecological and economic health of a region (Mishra and Singh, 2010). According to the recent UN report (World Population Prospects, 2019), the world population is projected to increase to 9.7 billion by 2050 and 11 billion by 2100. Under a growing population and economy, an increase in water demand and global water scarcity is inevitable (IPCC, 2019). The per capita consumption of water has increased substantially, causing an increase in the extraction and use of freshwater resources from 500 km³/year to 3800 km³/year over the last 100 years (Oki and

Kanae, 2006). Further, to attain food security, there has been a rapid expansion in the crop land areas, which is causing over-exploitation of groundwater resources for irrigation. The use of fertilizers to enhance crop productivity is affecting water quality, harming marine, and freshwater ecosystems (Vitousek et al., 1997). The increase in industrial water use without proper policies for managing industrial effluents and water recycling, especially in developing countries, (Rajaram and Das, 2008) is raising concerns for maintaining water quality and minimizing water-related health problems (Vörösmarty et al., 2010).

An imbalance between the development and utility of resources is posing a major challenge to manage water resources. The extensive water use has negatively impacted the ecosystem by over-exploiting the groundwater reserves and decreasing the drainage areas of lakes, rivers, and wetlands (Parry et al., 2007). The situation is likely to get worse under the climate change scenario, which is expected to influence the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ashokm@g.clemson.edu (A.K. Mishra).

Table 1
List of satellites with optical/thermal sensors and their configurations. Note that the table is not exhaustive. We present only the sensors that are included as a part of this review.

Satellite	Sensor	Duration	Frequency Range (Number of Bands)	Spatial Resolution	Temporal Resolution
Landsat	Multispectral Scanner System (MSS)	1972 – present	500 nm – 1.1 μ m (4 for Landsat 1,2,3, 4, and 5)	57 m, or 60 m	18 days, 16 days
	Thematic Mapper (TM) and Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM)	1972 – present	450 nm – 2.35 μ m; 10.4 – 12.5 μ m (7 for Landsat 4, and 5; 8 for Landsat 7)	30 m, 120 m	16 days
Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) NIMBUS-7	C/OES Imager	1975 – present	450 nm – 13.6 μ m (16)	1 km; 4 km	–
Satellite pour l'Observation de la Terre (SPOT)	Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS)	1978 – 1995	433 nm – 12.5 μ m (6)	825 m	6 days
	High Resolution Visible (HRV)	1986–2009	500 nm – 900 nm (4)	20 m	26 days
	High Resolution Visible and Infra-red (HRVIR)	1998 – 2013	500 nm – 1.75 μ m (4)	20 m; 10 m	26 days
Indian Remote Sensing (IRS)	Vegetation	1998 – 2015	430 nm – 1.75 μ m (4)	1 km	26 days
	Linear Imaging Self-Scanning System I (LISS-I)	1988–2003	450–860 nm (4)	72.5 m	22 days
	Linear Imaging Self-Scanning System II (LISS-II)	1991 – 2003	450–860 nm (4)	36.25 m	22 days
	Linear Imaging Self-Scanning System III (LISS-III)	1995–2010	520 nm – 1.7 μ m (5)	70.5 m; 23.5 m; 5.8 m	25 days
Japan Earth Resources Satellite (JERS-1)	Optical Sensor (OPS)	1992 – 1998	520 nm – 2.4 μ m (8)	18.3 m; 24.2 m	44 days
OrbView-2	Sea viewing Wide Field Sensor (Seawifs)	1997 – 2010	400 nm – 900 nm (8)	1.1 km; 4.5 km	1 day
IKONOS	Multispectral Sensor; Panchromatic Sensor	1999–2015	445 – 900 nm (5)	3.2 m, 0.82 m	3 days
Terra	Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER)	1999 – present	520 nm–11.65 μ m (14)	15 m, 30 m, 90 m	16 days
Terra, Aqua	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)	2000 – present	400 nm – 14.4 μ m (36)	250 m, 500 m, and 1 km	16 days
	Hyperion	2000–2017	390 nm – 2.5 μ m (220)	30 m	16 days
	Advanced Land Imager (ALI)	2001–2017	400 nm – 2.4 μ m (10)	10 m; 30 m	16 days
	Multispectral Sensor; Panchromatic Sensor	2001–2015	450 – 900 nm	2.62 m, 0.65 m	1 – 3.5 days
	Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer Instrument (MERIS)	2002 – 2012	390 – 1040 nm (15)	300 m; 1200 m	35 days
	Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS)	2003 – 2010	532 nm; 1064 nm (2)	~ 65 m	91 days
	Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System (ATLAS)	2018 – present	532 nm (1)	< 17.5 m	91 days
Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat)	Panchromatic, multispectral, SWIR sensors	2007 – present	450–800 nm (panchromatic) 400–1040 nm (8; multispectral) 1195–2365 nm (8; SWIR)	0.31 m (panchromatic), 1.24 m (multispectral), 3.7 m (SWIR)	< 1 day
WorldView-1/2/3/4	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR)	2009 and 2012 – present	450–800 nm (panchromatic) 400–1040 nm (8; multispectral) 580 nm – 12.5 μ m (6)	1.1 km; 4 km	1 day
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) Polar Orbiting Environmental Satellites (POES)	Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS)	2011–present	412 nm – 12.01 μ m (22)	375 m, 750 m	16 days
NOAA-20	Multispectral Camera	2012 – present	450–890 nm (4)	5.8 m	4–5 days
	Multi-spectral imager	2008 – present	440–850 nm (5)	6.5 m	daily
Ziyuan 3	Multi-Spectral Imager (MSI)	2015 and 2017 – present	440 nm – 2.19 μ m (12)	60 m, 20 m and 10 m	5 days
RapidEye					
Sentinel 2A and 2B					

Table 2
List of satellites with active microwave sensors and their configurations. Note that the table is not exhaustive. We present only the sensors that are included as a part of this review.

Satellite	Sensor	Duration	Frequency Range (Number of Bands)	Spatial Resolution	Temporal Resolution
Geophysical Satellite (GeoSat)	GeoSat Radar Altimeter (GRA)	1985 – 1990; 1998 – 2008	13.5 GHz (1)	5 km*	17 days
Radar Altimeter		1991 – 2000; 1995 – 2011	13.8 GHz	16–20 km*	35 days
Dual Frequency Radar Altimeter (ALT)		1992 – 2005	5.3 GHz; 13.6 GHz (2)	11.2 (along) × 5.1 (across) km	10 days
Poseidon-2 (JASON-1); Poseidon-3 (JASON-2); and Poseidon-3B (JASON-3)	Poseidon-2 (JASON-1); Poseidon-3 (JASON-2); and Poseidon-3B (JASON-3) (Altimeter)	2001 – 2013; 2008–2019; 2016 – present	5.3 GHz; 13.575 GHz (2)	11.2 × 5.1 km	10 days
ENVISAT	Radar Altimeter	2002 – 2012	3.2 GHz; 13.575 GHz	20 km*	35 days; 30 days (from 2010)
Cryosat-2	SAR/Interferometric Radar Altimeter-2 (SIRAL-2)	2010 – present	13.575 GHz (1)	15 km*	369 days (30-day pseudo subcycle)
SATellite for Argos and AltiKa (SARAL)	AltiKa	2013 – present	35.75 GHz (1)	8 km*	35 days
Sentinel 3A and 3B	SAR Radar Altimeter (SRAU)	2016 – present	13.575 GHz; 5.41 GHz (2)	300 m × 1.64 km (SAR C-band); 1.64 km × 1.64 km (Low resolution mode)	27 days
ERS-1/2	Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)	1991–2011	5.3 GHz (1)	50 km	2–7 days
Japan Earth Resources Satellite (JERS-1)	L-band SAR	1992 – 1998	1.275 GHz (1)	18 m	44 days
4 Tropical Rainfall Measurement Mission (TRMM)	Precipitation Radar (PR)	1997–2015	13.796 GHz; 13.802 GHz (2)	0.25°	0.5 days
QuikSCAT	Ku-band scatterometer	1999–2018	13.4 GHz (1)	25 km	1–2 days
Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM)	Spaceborne Imaging Radar-C (SIR-C); SAR-X	2000	5.3 GHz; 9.6 GHz (2)	30 m; 90 m	–
ENVISAT	Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar (ASAR)	2002 – 2012	5.3 GHz (1)	30 m	35 days; 30 days (from 2010)
COSMO-SkyMed	SAR 2000	2007 - present	9.60 GHz (1)	1 m (SPOTLIGHT); 5 m (STRIPMAP-HIMAGE); 15 m (STRIPMAP-PINGPONG); 30 m (SCANSARWIDEREION); 100 m (SCANSAR-HUGEREGION)	16 days
MetOp-A/B	Advanced SCATIrometer (ASCAT)	2007 – present	5.225 GHz (1)	25 km	1–2 days
RADARSAT-2	Synthetic Aperture Radar (C-band)	2007 – present	5.405 GHz (1)	3–100 m	24 days
TerraSAR-X	SAR-X	2007 – present	9.65 GHz (1)	1.1 m (SPOTLIGHT); 3.3 m (STRIPMAP); 18.5 m (SCANSAR)	11 days
RISAT-1	C Band Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)	2012–2017	5.35 GHz (1)	1 – 50 m	25 days
ALOS-2	Phased Array L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR-2)	2014 – present	1.257 GHz (1)	1 × 3 m (Spotlight mode); 1.6, 6 or 10 m (Strip map mode); 60 or 100 m (ScanSAR mode)	14 days
Sentinel 1A and 1B	C-band Synthetic Aperture Radar	2014 and 2016 – present	5.405 GHz	5 m × 5 m (stripmap mode), 5 m × 20 m (interferometric wide swath mode), and 25 m × 100 m (extra-wide swath mode); 5 m × 20 m (wave mode)	12 days
SAtélite Argentino de Observación Con Micróndas (SAOCOM-1A)	L-band SAR	2018 – present	1.275 GHz (1)	10–100 m	16 days (expected to be 8 days with launch of SAOCOM-1B)

* indicates footprint.

Table 3
List of satellites with passive microwave sensors and their configurations. Note that the table is not exhaustive. We present only the sensors that are included as a part of this review.

Satellite	Sensor	Duration	Frequency Range (Number of Bands)	Spatial Resolution	Temporal Resolution
Aqua	Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer-Earth Observing System (EOS) (AMSR-E)	2002–2011	6.925 GHz; 10.65 GHz; 18.7 GHz; 23.8 GHz; 36.5 GHz; 89.0 GHz (6)	56 km (6.925 GHz); 38 km (10.65 GHz); 21 km (18.7 GHz); 24 km (23.8 GHz); 12 km (36.5 GHz); 5.4 km (89 GHz)	1–2 days
Microwave Imaging Radiometer using Aperture Synthesis (MIRAS)	Soil Moisture Ocean Salinity (SMOS) L-band radiometer	2010 – present	1.4 GHz (1)	< 50 km	2.5–3 days
GCOM-W	Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 2 (AMSR2)	2012 – present	6.93 GHz; 7.3 GHz; 10.65 GHz; 18.7 GHz; 23.8 GHz; 36.5 GHz; 89 GHz	62 × 35 km (6.93 GHz); 62 × 35 km (7.3 GHz); 42 × 24 km (10.65 GHz); 22 × 14 km (18.7 GHz); 19 × 11 km (23.8 GHz); 12 × 7 km (36.5 GHz); 5 × 3 km (89 GHz)	1–2 days
Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP)	SMAP L-band radiometer	2015 – present	1.4 GHz (1)	47 × 39 km	1–3 days

Table 4
List of satellites that measure gravity field and their configurations. Note that the table is not exhaustive. We present only the sensors that are included as a part of this review.

Satellite	Sensor	Duration	Frequency Range (Number of Bands)	Spatial Resolution	Temporal Resolution
Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) GRACE-Follow-On (FO)	K-Band Ranging (KBR) twin-satellite system K-Band Ranging (KBR) twin-satellite system	2002 – 2017 2018 – present	24 GHz, 32 GHz 24 GHz, 32 GHz	400 km 400 km	30 days 30 days

2. Overview of remote sensing satellites

An overview of satellite sensors mentioned in this review is highlighted in this section. Table 1 presents a list of optical/thermal sensors, and Tables 2 and 3 present the list of active and passive microwave sensors. In addition to sensors that measure signals in the electromagnetic spectrum, missions such as Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) and its follow-on (GRACE-Follow-On), measure the gravity field through a pair of satellites, which essentially “look” at each other instead of the Earth surface (Table 4). We also provide the information of operation duration, spatial and temporal resolution of each of the sensors.

3. Remote sensing for assessing water security

3.1. Water quality

The rapid and continuous growth in the population and industries has led to an increase in the point and non-point sources of pollution to water bodies. While point sources can be traced to a single source, non-point sources are diffused in nature and are difficult to track. These polluting sources deteriorate the quality of water and affect unique ecosystems of both inland waters (such as rivers, lakes, and reservoirs) as well as coastal waters, which supports a wide variety of aquatic flora and fauna (Dekker et al., 1995). Therefore, monitoring water quality is crucial to ascertain the sustainability and usability of water resources.

The physical, chemical and biological properties of water determine the quality of water. Traditionally, these properties were quantified through field and laboratory analysis, which can be time-consuming and labor-intensive (Bierman et al., 2011; Glasgow et al., 2004). Also, spatio-temporal characteristics of water properties are influenced by several factors, such as the location of sampling, urbanization, land-use transitions, deforestation, and climate change (temperature change, in particular) (Delpla et al., 2009). So, in-situ surveys over large demographic areas, spanning long durations, may turn out to be infeasible. In this context, satellite and airborne sensors can provide information, which can be used to efficiently monitor certain water quality parameters such as chlorophyll-a, suspended sediments, turbidity, total phosphorus, dissolved organic content, temperature, Secchi disk depth, heavy metal pollution, among others over a large scale. Since the advent of Landsat missions in the 1970s, satellite remote sensing information is being increasingly used to assess water quality parameters (Alparslan et al., 2007; Bhavsar, 1984; Dekker and Peters, 1993).

The spectral characteristics of a clear water surface are significantly different from water mixed with impurities or pollutants. A clear water body absorbs around 97–99% of the incident energy and reflects only 1–3% of incident radiations (Büttner et al., 1987). This proportion alters with the change in the quality of water, with polluted water having higher reflectance. Further, the dominant reflected wavelength changes with change in the constituents of water. Therefore, the presence of different substances in the water body results in unique spectral signatures, which are measured by various optical and thermal sensors mounted on different satellites and airborne platforms. To monitor water quality, a relationship between spectral reflectance and water quality parameters needs to be established (Büttner et al., 1987; Ritchie et al., 2003), wherein the general form of the relationship is given by Eq. (1).

$$Y = A + BX \text{ (or)} Y = AB^X \quad (1)$$

where Y is the spectral reflectance measured by the remote sensors; X is the water quality parameter of interest, and A and B are the empirical factors.

Ritchie et al. (1976) showed that water with low sediment concentration has a maximum reflection at 0.55 μm compared to 0.6 μm by water with high sediment concentration. The ultraviolet radiations (0.

25–0.39 μm) get reflected from the water surface and usually contain the information on the presence of oil slicks on water bodies (Seyhan and Dekker, 1986). Within the visible region, due to differences in the absorption and reflection patterns, various water quality parameters such as Chlorophyll-a, suspended sediments, dissolved organic content, among others, can be identified (Büttner et al., 1987). Beyond the visible range, most of the energy is absorbed by the water body. This indicates that sensor selection is critical for monitoring water quality as reflected irradiance depends on the parameter to be examined and its concentration (Ritchie et al., 2003).

Information from Landsat (Alparslan et al., 2007; Brezonik et al., 2005; Brivio et al., 2001; Büttner et al., 1987; Ritchie et al., 1990); MODIS (Binding et al., 2012; Härmä et al., 2001; Lesht et al., 2013; Swain and Sahoo, 2017); OrbView-2 (SeaWiFS) (Gohin et al., 2019; Vos et al., 2003) satellites have often been used to detect quality of water bodies. Recently, Sentinel-2 datasets are also being employed for the study of water quality (Bonansea et al., 2019; Sòria-Perpinyà et al., 2020). Besides, hyperspectral images from sensors such as Hyperion are also increasingly used due to their better ability to detect suspended sediments, dissolved organic matter, and chlorophyll content in water bodies (Hakvoort et al., 2002; Thiemann and Kaufmann, 2002). During recent years, attempts are being made to merge hyperspectral sensor data with multispectral sensor data to map water quality (Gohin et al., 2019; Östlund et al., 2001). The merging of datasets helps in attaining good spectral as well as spatial resolutions, which is necessary to determine the quality of water accurately. For further details regarding different satellite sensors used for obtaining water quality parameters, readers are encouraged to refer to Gholizadeh et al. (2016).

Literature suggests that optical remote sensing is widely used to monitor water quality parameters. To the best of our knowledge, there are limited studies that incorporate other bands of the spectrum, such as microwave frequencies for this purpose (Zhang et al., 2002, 2003a; Zhang et al., 2003b). Hence, we limit this review to satellite optical sensors. In this section, we review the role of satellite remote sensing towards estimating four important water quality parameters, a) Chlorophyll-a, b) Turbidity and Total Suspended Sediments (TSS), c) Secchi Disk Depth (SDD), and d) Colored Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM).

3.1.1. Chlorophyll-a

Among different water quality parameters, Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) is one of the most widely examined parameters using remote sensing information. It is a photosynthesis pigment that is responsible for causing green color (reflect green wavelength while absorbing energy from other wavelengths) in plants, algae, and cyanobacteria. Its occurrence in water bodies is linked directly to the presence of algal bloom and, therefore, indicates the extent of eutrophication in water bodies. Nitrogen and phosphorous are the two compounds, sourced from fertilizer runoff and burning fossil fuels, that act as catalysts for the growth of algae and causing eutrophication of water bodies. Although eutrophication is a natural process, nutrient loading increases its rate and accelerate the degradation of water bodies (Li and Li, 2004).

The presence of different Chl-a concentration in water results in spectral reflectance curve with absorption in the blue (~0.4 μm) and red (~0.7 μm) bands, and reflectance in green (~0.5 μm) and near-infrared (~0.8 μm) bands. This property is used by various sensors to retrieve the Chl-a information from water. Although in most of the studies visible range bands from multispectral sensors are used to estimate Chl-a (Brivio et al., 2001; Dekker and Peters, 1993; Ritchie et al., 1990; Dekker and Peters (1993) and Härmä et al. (2001) found that it is challenging to determine Chl-a concentration using multispectral data in water bodies with high suspended sediments. Therefore, narrow bandwidth imagaries are necessary to measure Chl-a concentrations. Most of the literature suggests that optimum bandwidth to quantify Chl-a levels is around 675 nm and 700 nm (Gholizadeh et al., 2016). During recent years, merged satellite datasets are also being used to monitor

water clarity in a lake (Kloiber et al., 2002b; Sawaya et al., 2003; Chipman et al., 2004). Similarly, Nelson et al. (2003) found blue to red band ratio from Landsat TM to be useful in estimating SDD across 93 lakes in Michigan, USA. While the above works used Landsat data, Sawaya et al. (2003) noticed the ratio of IKONOS blue and red bands to be better than Landsat data for mapping SDD (Eq. (12)).

$$\ln(SDD) = a(Landsat^{blue}/Landsat^{red}) + b(Landsat^{blue}) + c \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, several works found strong correlation between Chl-a and SDD (Al Shehhi et al., 2019; Allee and Johnson, 1999; Brezonik, 1978; Carlson, 1977; Dekker and Peters, 1993; Doña et al., 2015; Doña et al., 2014; Gikas et al., 2009; Gikas et al., 2006; Stadelmann et al., 2001). Different satellite datasets used to measure SDD are enlisted in Table 5. Among all the datasets, Landsat TM, due to its low-cost, and high spatial and temporal coverage is most frequently used in estimating SDD.

3.1.4. Colored dissolved organic Matter (CDOM)

Colored Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM) also referred to as aquatic humus, limnohumic acid, gelbstoff, or gilvin, is composed of macromolecules with aromatic, carboxylic, fulvic, and humic acids, derived from decomposition of plant material in soils and wetlands, bacterial activity, algal growth, and sediment loading (Brezonik et al., 2005; Carder et al., 1989; Twardowski and Donaghay, 2001). These compounds impart yellow-brown color to the water body, when present in high concentrations (Brando and Kremer, 2005; Gholizadeh et al., 2016). An increase in CDOM loading affects the physical, chemical, and biological properties of water bodies. Higher CDOM levels cause attenuation to the penetration of light into water bodies and also support the growth of phytoplankton, making water bodies more eutrophic (Stedmon et al., 2011). Therefore, the presence of CDOM influences the structure and functioning of the riverine ecosystem (Kutser et al., 2005).

CDOM is found to be strongly correlated to other water quality parameters such as Chl-a, TSS and turbidity levels (Brezonik et al., 2005); therefore, visible spectral bands are found to be relevant in monitoring CDOM concentration in water bodies. Further, the presence of CDOM is noticed to cause absorption in the ultraviolet range and blue-green bands (Chen et al., 2004; Green and Blough, 1994). Brezonik et al. (2005) found that maximum energy absorbance occurs in 440 nm band due to the presence of CDOM, which coincides with the Chl-a absorption band (Carder et al., 1989). Therefore, it becomes extremely difficult to segregate CDOM levels from Chl-a concentration.

CDOM level is usually obtained by determining the wavelength absorption coefficient using different datasets. In this regard, several analytical models have been developed. Hoge et al. (1995) developed a two-band radiance model inversion technique to demonstrate the CDOM absorption coefficient using the coastal zone color scanner (CZCS) spectral bands. However, the accuracy of the method depends on the presence of chlorophyll in the water body. Hirtle and Rencz (2003) found a strong correlation between dissolved organic matter and Landsat TM blue and green bands for the Kejimkujik National Park lake. Brezonik et al. (2005) used the Landsat TM data and identified that the presence of CDOM decreases brightness in blue and green bands. Kutser et al. (2005) used both Landsat and IKONOS datasets to determine the absorption coefficient in boreal lakes to monitor CDOM levels. The authors found that in comparison to 8-bit Landsat data, 11-bit IKONOS data is more suitable for estimating the amount of CDOM in boreal lakes due to higher digital resolution. They further noticed that the ratio of 565 nm and 660 nm bands from the 16-bit Advanced land Imager (ALI) data is best in estimating CDOM.

Due to difficulty in retrieving CDOM concentrations in the presence of suspended solids and chlorophyll content, the use of hyperspectral remote sensing datasets is gaining importance in determining CDOM levels. Menken et al. (2006) identified that the ratio of 670 nm to

571 nm MODIS bands could best estimate CDOM levels. Brando and Dekker (2003) developed a matrix inversion technique to retrieve CDOM concentrations using the EO-1 Hyperion hyperspectral dataset, which was noted to show sufficient sensitivity to detect concentrations of CDOM, Chl-a, and TSS in complex waters. Zhu et al. (2011) proposed a method for inversion of hyperspectral remote sensing to determine the absorption coefficient for CDOM. Inversion algorithms, in general, are found to be efficient in estimating CDOM over a large spatio-temporal scale. Karaska et al. (2004) used the AVIRIS dataset to monitor dissolved organic content along with other water quality parameters in the Neuse River, North Carolina. Tehrani et al. (2013) used three datasets – SeaWiFS, MODIS, and MERIS to estimate CDOM concentrations and found that the ratio of 510 nm and 560 nm bands from MERIS dataset gives the most accurate results. Recently, Juhls et al. (2019) used the MERIS dataset to determine the absorption coefficient of CDOM in the Arctic shelf region. The proposed retrieval algorithm was found to perform well in extreme-absorbing and high-scattering waters with high optical complexity that cover the fluvial-marine transition. The other datasets that are used in CDOM measurements are summarized in Table 5.

3.2. Surface and sub-surface water resources

3.2.1. Streamflow estimation

Streamflow or discharge in the river is a dominant process of the hydrologic cycle by which water from the land surface is transported to the oceans. River discharge is the volume of water flowing through the river cross-section per unit of time and is expressed generally as cubic meter per second or cubic feet per second. The measurement of river discharge provides information on water availability and is, therefore, necessary for water resource management and managing flood hazards (Mishra and Coulibaly, 2009; Vörösmarty et al., 2010). Despite being a critical component for understanding surface water balance, river discharges are understood poorly due to limited in-situ networks (Shiklomanov et al., 2002; Mishra and Coulibaly, 2009). Traditionally, the in-situ measurement of discharge involves the use of an already established stage-discharge relationship or rating curve to estimate discharge corresponding to the stream stage or water level height recorded by stream gauges. The in-situ discharge measurement has several limitations, such as stream gauges are located on a few large-sized rivers and are not spatially distributed (Tang et al., 2009). Most of the globally significant rivers are sparsely gauged (Alsdorf et al., 2003).

Further, stream gauges are difficult to install in complex topography regions. Also, there is a global decrease in the number of stream gauges due to a reduction in government funds for monitoring of stream gauges (Shiklomanov et al., 2002; Mishra and Coulibaly, 2009). The existence of a political situation or transboundary water sharing condition may further hinder the procurement of discharge data for several important rivers (Kim et al., 2019). The measurement of water level by stream gauge is based on the assumption that runoff generated upstream of the catchment flows to a single downstream outlet. This assumption might not hold in basins, which are dominated by wetlands and floodplains, where water from upstream reaches is diffused and then reach the channel (Alsdorf and Lettenmaier, 2003). Also, braided rivers, characterized by several intertwined channels, which keeps on changing the shapes, pose an additional challenge for discharge measurement (Alsdorf and Lettenmaier, 2003).

Satellite remote sensing can circumvent these issues while estimating discharge and water storage (Alsdorf et al., 2003; Alsdorf and Lettenmaier, 2003). Remote sensing datasets have the potential of providing frequent global coverage of discharge estimates, which may offer an opportunity to improve the global streamflow network. However, streamflow discharge cannot be measured directly by satellite sensors. Therefore, other hydraulic variables, such as water level height, velocity, river cross-section area, river width, and surface water slope, among others, are also measured by sensors. The accuracy of

discharge measurement depends on the efficiency with which the aforementioned hydraulic variables are estimated by remotely sensed data (Kim et al., 2019). We find from the literature that a substantial amount of work is carried out using the altimeter datasets. Further, there is a progression in the way altimeter datasets are used to estimate discharge. Therefore, to keep the review concise, we focus on scientific developments in streamflow estimation using the altimetric data.

Radar altimeters are demonstrated to be useful in estimating water level variations in inaccessible areas (Leon et al., 2006). The use of altimetry came into limelight with 1969 NASA's Skylab S-193 radar altimeter experiment (Brown, 1977). Altimeters measure the time taken by the nadir-directed radar pulse to cover a round trip from satellite to water surface and use it to determine the distance from satellite to water surface. The water level is determined by computing differences in the satellite's position with respect to the reference ellipsoid and distance from the satellite to the water surface (Tang et al., 2009). The general equation to measure the height of the water surface from the satellite is given by Eq. (13).

$$H = h - R + \text{corr} \quad (13)$$

where, H is the water surface height; h is the height of satellite orbit; R is the altimeter range measurement; and corr represents the various corrections pertaining to Tropospheric Correction (TC , both dry and wet), Ionospheric Correction (IC), and Tidal correction (T) that need to be applied (Eq. (14)).

$$\text{corr} = TC + IC + T \quad (14)$$

Radar altimetry was initially intended to monitor sea surface height variations due to its large footprint. With the launch of Geosat (1985–1990), TOPEX/POSEIDON (1992–2005), ERS-1 (1991–2000), ERS-2 (1995–2011), Geosat Follow On (GFO, 1998–2008), Jason-1 (2001–2013), Jason-2 (2008–2016), ENVIRONMENTAL SATellite, ENVISAT (2002–2012), Jason-3 (2016–present), Sentinel-3(2016–present), and Saral/ALTika (2013–present) satellites, usage of altimeter was extended to inland waters (Berry et al., 2005; Birkett 1995a). Together, available global altimetry datasets provide approximately three-decade-long information on water levels of large lakes and global rivers. This information finds applications in the areas of predictions in ungauged basins, climate change detection, monitoring river water availability, and executing hydrologic models.

3.2.1.1. Using altimeters. Some of the pioneering works by Birkett (1994) and Mason et al. (1994) involve the use of radar altimetry for mapping changes in lake levels. Mason et al. (1994) used ESA's ERS-1 radar altimeter along with an infra-red radiometer, ATSR, to monitor volumes of lakes. Morris and Gill (1994) successfully demonstrated the use of Geosat altimetry data to monitor variations in the water level of five Great Lakes of the United States and Lake St. Clair. Birkett (1995a) assessed the potential of TOPEX/Poseidon (T/P) to map global lake levels. Apart from great lakes, altimeter data is often used to measure water levels in large global rivers. In one of the initial works, Koblinsky et al. (1993) demonstrated the ability of Geosat altimeter data to estimate the Amazon river level or stage at four locations. They concluded that the Geosat altimeter based water level estimates are prone to errors, which may be overcome by other missions such as ERS-1 and T/P. Birkett (1995b) monitored the Caspian Sea, the Sudd wetlands, and the Amazon river using the T/P dataset and noticed that seasonal and annual trends could be observed. However, the accuracy of the estimates was unknown, which make the results unreliable. From Geosat to ERS-1 and T/P, there is a reduction in the satellite orbital errors, making radar altimetry a routine method to monitor inland water surfaces (Smith, 1997).

Birkett (1998) identified that the T/P data could successfully monitor rivers with a width greater than 1 km. Birkett (2000) used the T/P data in conjugation with near-infrared imagery from the AVHRR dataset to obtain levels of rivers merging with Lake Chad and also

determine permanent and seasonal lake levels. Coe and Birkett (2004) extended this work to obtain mean monthly discharge estimates downstream of Lake Chad. Leon et al. (2006) used T/P and ENVISAT datasets to determine stage-discharge relationships between satellite-derived water level and river discharge at 21 virtual stations in the upper Negro basin, a sub-basin of Amazon River basin, from its source at Columbia and Venezuela borders to Manaus in Brazil. Birkett et al. (2002) evaluated the T/P data for all the major rivers and tributaries in the Amazon River basin. They successfully demonstrated that the altimeter data could be used to obtain water level information at ~10 days interval for rivers with a width of ~1 km. The authors further suggested that the inclusion of GRACE and JERS-1 datasets to the existing T/P dataset may provide more accurate variability in water levels. Da Silva et al. (2010) compared the performance of ERS-2 and ENVISAT for estimating water levels in the Amazon basin and found the latter to perform better. Sulistiadi et al. (2015) demonstrated the use of ENVISAT for small (4–200 m width) and medium (200–800 m width) rivers. Tarpanelli et al. (2014) combined velocity information from the MODIS dataset with water level data obtained from the ENVISAT altimeter to estimate discharge for the Po River, Italy. They found that the coupled dataset compares reasonably well with the in-situ data.

CryoSat-2 satellite was launched with the prime objective of monitoring the cryosphere. However, it has been used to map inland waters and is shown to have the potential to supplement the observations from ENVISAT and Jason-1 (Dibarbour et al., 2012). Villadsen et al. (2015) evaluated the performance of CryoSat-2 to monitor river levels in Ganges and Brahmaputra river basins. The authors found that despite a large revisit time of 369 days, the dataset could represent peak flows during summer due to monsoon and melting of snow in the Himalayas. Schneider et al. (2018) found accurate estimates, with an average error of 0.38 m, for water level observations from the CryoSat-2 data for the Po River, Italy, against the in-situ data.

Jason-2 mission, also known as the ocean surface topography mission (OSTM), was launched as a continuation to the T/P mission to measure the surface topography of oceans and continental surface waters (Lambin et al., 2010). Jason-2 was found to perform better than Jason-1 and T/P missions while monitoring lakes and reservoir systems (Birkett and Beckley, 2010). Kuo and Kao (2011) processed the Jason-2 altimeter data using waveform retracking and water detection algorithms to estimate the surface height of the Bajhang River in Taiwan having seasonal width ranging from 100 m to 1 km. Through this work, the use of altimeter data for monitoring small rivers with varying widths was successfully demonstrated. Jason-2 data was used successfully to estimate instantaneous discharge (Papa et al., 2012), simulate flood events (Jarihani et al., 2013), and also for flood forecasting (Chang et al., 2019; Hossain et al., 2014) purposes. Further, the use of Jason-2 and Jason-3 altimeter datasets along with ancillary data of river width and the course are reported to improve river water level estimates. Biswas et al. (2019) combined the Landsat and SAR information with Jason-3 altimeter and applied an extent-based approach in two methods, (i) river mask based K-means clustering, and (ii) K-means clustering embedded with river mask to improve the satellite altimeter-derived river heights.

Saral/AltiKa mission is the only altimeter that works with a high-frequency microwave band of Ka (36.5 GHz), which results in an effective footprint of 1.3 km. Saral is designated to fill the gap between the ENVISAT and Sentinel-3 missions (Kumar et al., 2017). Since it operates on a high frequency, the signal was initially expected to suffer from higher attenuation. However, this shortcoming was utilized to detect heavy rainfall and flood wave conditions (Roy et al., 2015). Further, the potential of the Saral altimeter to monitor inland waters (Kumar et al., 2015), which include braided rivers, was successfully demonstrated (Dubey et al., 2015). Furthermore, Gupta et al. (2015) converted water levels retrieved from the SARAL/AltiKa into discharge for the Tapi river in India and used it to set up the HEC-RAS hydraulic model for flood inundation modeling. For further applications related

affect the sustainability of water resources. So, there is a need to develop strategies to monitor and manage floods in a basin. Some of the necessary steps include a) determining the vulnerable regions that get frequently affected due to floods in a region and subsequently establish appropriate legislation in terms of land-use planning and management, b) development of control and diversion structures that take shocks in the case of flash floods and extreme precipitation events and c) development of independent infrastructure to drain floodwaters in urban landscapes. These steps require continuous monitoring of water level, flood volume, and flood extent, which are the three factors that determine the severity of flooding in a basin. It is important to note that in-situ gauge sensors are expensive to monitor and are susceptible to damage during heavy flood events, which hinders the data acquisition process. Under these circumstances, there is a need to rely on satellite remote sensing information to monitor floods. Over the past two decades, there is an increased awareness among space agencies to utilize remote sensing information to monitor floods. Typically, optical, passive, and active microwave sensors are used to monitor floods. In this section, we review the role of satellite remote sensing for monitoring the flood extent. We review the remote sensing applications in streamflow detection, which includes water depth detection, in Section 4.2.1.

3.3.1.1. Flood extent using optical sensors. In the visible and thermal electromagnetic radiation spectrum, water has a relatively low reflectivity compared to other land cover types. This is the principle based on which flood monitoring is carried out using optical sensors. Details regarding some of the operational optical satellite sensors including AVHRR, VIIRS, MODIS, Sentinel, Landsat, SPOT, ASTER, IKONOS, Quickbird, Worldview, RapidEye, Ziyuan 3 (ZY-3), and Gaofen missions, among others, used for this purpose can be found in Table 1.

Typically, optical remote sensing signals include blue (0.45–0.52 μm for Landsat 4, 5, 7, and 8 missions; 0.45–0.48 μm for MODIS), green (0.50–0.57 μm), red (0.61–0.70 μm), near-infrared (NIR) (0.7–0.9 μm), and mid-infrared (MIR) (1.5–3 μm) bands. Several indices have been proposed in the past that evolved from using reflectance information from using band ratios to using a combination of multiple indices (Ma et al., 2019). These indices are useful in assessing the flood extent by classifying the areas of dry and inundated regions. Tasseled Cap Wetness (TCW) index (Crist, 1985; Crist and Cicone, 1984) is the earliest water index, which is derived as a combination of six bands of reflectance information sourced from Landsat. A threshold of 0 is set to identify water bodies. Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) proposed by McFeeters (1996) is one of the widely used water indices. It is expressed as a ratio of $\rho_{Green} - \rho_{NIR}$ and $\rho_{Green} + \rho_{NIR}$ (where ρ is surface reflectance). However, NDWI cannot separate the presence of water in built-up areas due to similar reflective characteristics of these two features in green and NIR bands (Zhou et al., 2017). Xu (2006) addressed this issue by replacing NIR with SWIR, resulting in the ratio between $\rho_{Green} - \rho_{SWIR}$ and $\rho_{Green} + \rho_{SWIR}$. However, this method cannot delineate water bodies in snow cover regions (Huang et al., 2018). Table 6 presents a summary of the water extraction indices proposed in the literature. Further information on the use of optical sensors in flood detection can be obtained from Huang et al. (2018), Ma et al. (2019), and Zhou et al. (2017). In the thermal spectrum, few studies have utilized the diurnal land surface temperature difference as an indicator to detect flood inundation (Ordoyne and Friedl, 2008; Parinussa et al., 2016).

Despite their ease of applicability, information from optical sensors is affected by the atmosphere in the form of clouds and particulate matter and vegetation. Besides, image acquisition can be carried out only during the daytime. In case of the presence of clouds or vegetation, the reflectance from these features can be so prominent that the image may not be useful to monitor floods in real-time. It is, therefore, necessary to use information from microwave sensors for the flood

monitoring process.

3.3.1.2. Flood extent using active microwave sensors. Active microwave sensors emit their signals of electromagnetic radiations (in microwave frequencies) towards the Earth surface and measure the scattered signal (in the form of backscatter coefficient), which contains information about the surface's texture, shape and its dielectric properties (Woodhouse, 2017). Active microwave (radar) sensors can penetrate through clouds with less attenuation (Sanyal and Lu, 2004), and also provide information independent of solar illumination (Moreira et al., 2013). Their ability to acquire information in the order of ten to hundreds of meters provides an opportunity to monitor floods on rivers with a width of less than 1 km (Mason et al., 2011; Schumann et al., 2009). Some of the operational Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) microwave satellite missions include ALOS-2, SAOCOM-1A, RADARSAT-2, RISAT-1, COSMO-Skymed, and TerraSAR-X, among others. Details regarding these sensors can be found in Table 1.

The spatial and temporal resolutions of SAR imagery are critical factors for flood monitoring. There is an inherent tradeoff between these two resolutions. Generally, the spatial and temporal resolutions of SAR imagery will be in the order of 1–100 m and 11–46 days, respectively (Di Baldassarre et al., 2011; Schumann et al., 2009). To counteract the issue of low temporal resolution, space agencies are launching constellations of satellites, which work together. For example, the Sentinel-1 mission is a constellation of two satellites, which combinedly reduce the global revisit time to 6 days (Geudtner et al., 2014; Torres et al., 2012). The revisit time is reduced further to 5 days with the follow-up mission of Sentinel-2 (Drusch et al., 2012), which is launched in 2016. Besides, the COSMO-Skymed mission is also a constellation of four satellites, which can provide high-resolution SAR imagery with a revisit time as low as 2 h (Covello et al., 2010). The reduced revisit time will aid in flood monitoring as was implemented successfully in the past. The backscatter coefficient recorded in a SAR imagery is influenced by the characteristics of target and sensor such as a) surface roughness, and b) dielectric properties of target affect the backscatter coefficient, c) frequency of radiation, d) polarization, e) incidence angle, f) properties of atmosphere and g) meteorological conditions such as rainfall, wind speed, etc. The frequency of radiation has an inverse effect on the depth of penetration of the backscatter signal (Ulaby et al., 1982). Generally, backscatter coefficients from L-(0.5–1.5 GHz), C-(4–8 GHz), and X-(8–12 GHz) bands frequencies are found to be useful for flood monitoring studies with L-band having a greater ability to penetrate through vegetation and relatively lesser influence due to roughness (Grimaldi et al., 2016; Schumann et al., 2012). The sensitivity of the backscatter coefficient to surface roughness increases with increasing angles and vice versa (Ulaby et al., 1982). Water bodies generally appear darker with low backscatter signal unless affected by water surface roughness or wind stress (Bragg scatter) (Costa et al., 2006; Freeman and Durden, 1998).

Before analyzing the SAR data, it is important to pre-process the imageries in terms of geo-referencing (process of locating the image on the Earth), ortho-rectification (process of correcting the systematic sensor and platform induced geometry errors), and speckle removal (process of filtering the noise that persists in the backscatter signal) (Grimaldi et al., 2016). Several approaches have been developed in the past that map the flood extent using the SAR imageries. These methods can be called as image classification techniques since the prime objective is to differentiate the locations that are dry and wet (inundated). These methods can be broadly classified into a) visual interpretation, b) image thresholding, c) automatic image classification algorithms, d) active contour models, and e) change detection techniques. It has to be noted that each of these techniques has its advantages and disadvantages, and there is no optimal technique that applies to all case studies (Di Baldassarre et al., 2011; Grimaldi et al., 2016; Schumann et al., 2009).

The visual interpretation involves the examination of flood lines

Table 6

Summary of important optical water detection indices.

Index	Abbreviation	Equation	Satellite/Wavelengths (μm)	Reference
TCW	Tasseled Cap Wetness	$0.1509\rho_{Blue} + 0.1973\rho_{Green} + 0.3279\rho_{Red} + 0.3406\rho_{NIR} - 0.7112\rho_{SWIR-1} - 0.4572\rho_{SWIR-2}$	Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper (TM) and 7 ETM+ (Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus); ρ_{Blue} : 0.45 – 0.52 ρ_{Green} : 0.52 – 0.60 ρ_{Red} : 0.63 – 0.69 ρ_{NIR} : 0.76 – 0.90 ρ_{SWIR_1} : 1.55 – 1.75 ρ_{SWIR_2} : 2.08 – 2.35	Crist (1985); Crist and Cicone (1984)
NDWI	Normalized Difference Wetness Index	$\frac{\rho_{Green} - \rho_{NIR}}{\rho_{Green} + \rho_{NIR}}$	Landsat 5 Multispectral Sensor (MSS); ρ_{Green} : 0.50 – 0.60 ρ_{NIR} : 0.80 – 1.10	McFeeters (1996)
mNDWI	modified Normalized Difference Wetness Index	$\frac{\rho_{Green} - \rho_{SWIR}}{\rho_{Green} + \rho_{SWIR}}$	Landsat 5 TM; ρ_{Green} : 0.50 – 0.60 ρ_{SWIR} : 1.55 – 1.75	Xu (2006)
OWI	Ouma Water Index	$f(TCW \pm k, NDWI_3)$, where $k = \text{constant}; NDWI_3 = \frac{\rho_{SWIR_1} - \rho_{NIR}}{\rho_{SWIR_1} + \rho_{NIR}}$	Landsat 5 TM, Landsat 7 ETM+	Ouma and Tateishi (2006)
OWL	Open Water Likelihood index	$f\left(\frac{SWIR_1, SWIR_2}{NDWI_2, NDVI, MrVBF}\right)$, where $NDWI_2 = \frac{\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{SWIR_1}}{\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{SWIR_1}}$, $NDVI = \frac{\rho_{NIR} - \rho_R}{\rho_{NIR} + \rho_R}$, $MrVBF = f(Elevation)$ $MrVBF$: multiresolution index of valley bottom flatness (Gallant and Dowling 2003)	Landsat 5 TM, Landsat 7 ETM+, Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	Guerschman et al. (2011)
AWEI	Automated Water Extraction Index	$AWEI_{nsh} = 4(\rho_{Green} - \rho_{SWIR_1}) - (0.25\rho_{NIR} + 2.75\rho_{SWIR_2})$ (for locations with no prominent shadow effect) $AWEI_{sh} = \rho_{Blue} + 2.5\rho_{Green} - 1.5(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{SWIR_1}) - 0.25\rho_{SWIR_2}$ (for locations with prominent shadow effect)	Landsat 5 TM	Feyisa et al. (2014)
AMERL	Automated Method for Extracting Rivers and Lakes	$f\left(\frac{NDWI, mNDWI}{AWEI_{nsh}, AWEI_{sh}}\right)$	Landsat 5 TM, Landsat 7 ETM+	Jiang et al. (2014)
WI ₂₀₁₅	Water Index	$1.7204 + 171\rho_{Green} + 3\rho_{Red} - 70\rho_{NIR} - 45\rho_{SWIR_1} - 71\rho_{SWIR_2}$	Landsat 5 TM, Landsat 7 ETM+	Fisher et al. (2016)
CWI	Combination Water Index	Combination of mNDWI and vegetation indices (NDVI and EVI)	Landsat 5 TM, Landsat 7 ETM+	Zou et al. (2017)

from imageries. Although this method is a straightforward way to map the flood extent, the outcome can be erroneous due to the influence of factors such as flooded regions appearing to be brighter due to roughness and wind effects. Some of the studies that implemented this technique include (Brivio et al., 2002; Giustarini et al., 2016; MacIntosh and Profeti, 1995; Oberstadler et al., 1997). Image thresholding is an efficient and simplest approach for image classification (Landuyt et al., 2018). In these techniques, a radiometric threshold value is determined to differentiate two classes (flood, non-flood areas) that have significantly different pixel distributions. Image thresholding techniques can be broadly classified as follows (Sezgin and Sankur, 2004):

- (1) histogram shape-based methods – analyze the peaks and valleys among other properties of the smoothed histogram of image (Guo and Pandit, 1998; Martinis et al., 2009; Ramesh et al., 1995; Rosenfeld and De La Torre, 1983; Sezan, 1990),
- (2) clustering-based methods – which separate the image into two clusters that correspond to water and non-water pixels (Chang et al., 2010; Cho et al., 1989; Jawahar et al., 1997; Otsu, 1979; Ridler and Calvard, 1978; Schumann et al., 2010),
- (3) entropy-based methods – determine the entropy of the two clusters and the cross-entropy between the original and binarized images (Cheng et al., 1999; Kapur et al., 1985; Rajinikanth et al., 2018; Sahoo et al., 1997; Sarkar et al., 2016),
- (4) object attribute-based methods – which assess the similarity between original and binarized images (Dasgupta et al., 2018; Hertz

and Schafer, 1988; Martinis et al., 2009; Pikaz and Averbuch, 1996; Pulvirenti et al., 2011),

- (5) spatial methods – which use probability distributions between the pixels (Brivio et al., 2002; Cao et al., a,b,c).

The threshold value obtained from the above-described techniques is dependent on satellite sensors, land surface, and environmental conditions. So, these techniques have to be applied on a scene-by-scene basis. Consequently, these techniques turn out to be time-consuming and are also prone to errors due to the subjectivity of the image in focus. Automatic thresholding techniques try to alleviate this problem by determining automatically the threshold gray value that separates the flood and non-flood values. These techniques vary according to the estimation of the threshold at a global scale (single threshold for the whole image) (Pal and Pal 1993) or local scale (threshold according to local conditions) (Sahoo et al., 1988). They also differ based on the involvement of parameters in the models (leading to parametric and nonparametric models). Some of the automated methods were proposed by Chini et al. (2017), Giustarini et al. (2012), Martinis et al. (2015), Martinis et al. (2009), Matgen et al. (2011), and Wan et al. (2019). For instance, Martinis et al. (2015)'s technique involves the division of SAR image into non-overlapping tiles, and an iterative procedure is adopted to select representative tiles that have a histogram with strong bimodality. This technique is implemented as an on-demand TerraSAR-X based flood determination service, which is extended to Sentinel-1C-band data (Twele et al., 2016).

Active Contour Models (ACM), also called as snakes are one of the widely used automatic techniques for flood extent delineation (Caselles et al., 1993; Chan and Vese, 2001; Cohen, 1991; Horritt, 1999; Horritt et al., 2001; Kass et al., 1988). ACMs involve a dynamic curvilinear contour, which evolves, subject to image constraints, to determine objects of the image. Contours are a set of nodes connected with straight lines. The objects in the context of floods can be land and flood-inundated areas. The contour is driven by an energy function, which attracts the contour towards the edges of the object. This energy function considers the changes in backscatter coefficients inside the region, the changes in backscatter coefficients outside the region, and an edge length parameter (Debusscher and Van Coillie, 2019). This search process continues until the flooded area is identified. Several studies implemented ACMs for the detection of flood inundation (Bates et al., 1997; Bates et al., 2006; De Roo et al., 1999; Debusscher and Van Coillie, 2019; Horritt, 1999; Horritt et al., 2001; Landuyt et al., 2018; Schumann et al., 2012).

The methods described above deal single image. Change detection methods, on the other hand, use two images, non-flooding and post-flooding of the same region of interest. Through these two images, the difference in the backscatter coefficients can be obtained, which can be used further for delineating the inundated areas. The difference in the two images is calculated generally as a ratio (Moser and Serpico, 2006) or log-ratio (Bazi et al., 2005; Chini et al., 2017) to counteract the multiplicative nature of speckle in the SAR data. Hostache et al. (2012) noticed that the selection of reference (non-flooding) images could significantly affect the output from change detection techniques. This is due to the high variability of backscatter coefficients as a result of atmospheric components, land surface processes such as soil moisture, evapotranspiration, seasonality in the vegetation characteristics, land-use changes, and the image acquisition characteristics. In this context, Moya et al. (2019) identified that change detection could cause false detection of floods in agricultural areas, and attempted to address this issue by proposing a new parameter that is helpful to determine if the detected change is attributed to either flooded or non-flooded area in the agricultural region.

Recently, Landuyt et al. (2018) compared a couple of thresholding techniques, ACM and change detection, using SAR images of several flood events that occurred in the UK and Ireland. They indicate that ACMs can produce flood maps at greater accuracy at the expense of computational resources, whereas (Martinis et al., 2015)'s automated thresholding technique is suitable for near-real-time flood detection and monitoring. Efforts are made to include multiple techniques in order to obtain much accurate flood maps. For example, Matgen et al. (2011) proposed an automated SAR flood monitoring system wherein they used both thresholding and change detection techniques. Long et al. (2014) proposed Change detection and thresholding (CDAT) method for flood extent delineation in Caprivi region of Namibia.

3.3.1.3. Flood extent using passive microwave sensors. Passive microwave radiometers capture the naturally emitted electromagnetic radiations from the Earth's surface in the form of brightness temperatures. Due to the differences in the thermal inertia and the emissivity of land and water, the brightness temperatures recorded by the satellite are colder over the water surface. This contrast in brightness temperatures is generally utilized for detecting flood inundated regions (Choudhury, 1989; Hamilton et al., 1996; Schmugge, 1987; Smith, 1997). In this context, Brakenridge et al. (2007) developed a technique that uses horizontal polarization Ka-band (~ 37 GHz) frequency information. In this work, the ratio between the brightness temperature of the target grid cell and a background/calibration/reference/dry grid cell is computed, which corresponds to the variations in the discharge and the water extent in the grid cell. Besides, Basist et al. (1998) proposed a method to determine water extent using the notion of a decrease in emissivity with increase in the fraction of water surface in a pixel. They related this emissivity with the difference in brightness temperature

across multiple frequencies. In this process, they derived an index called Basin Water Index (BWI), which is demonstrated using vertically polarized SSM/I 19, 37, and 85 GHz frequencies. It is important to note that the brightness temperature measurements are only available in the order of tens of kilometers due to the low energy of microwave emissions. So, it will be possible to assess the flood extent only in the case of large catchments of areas greater than 1000 km^2 (Grimaldi et al., 2016). Also, the microwave signals have noticeable attenuation due to the atmosphere beyond X-band frequency (Prigent et al., 2016; Karthikeyan et al., 2017). So, these attenuations should also be accounted for during the retrieval process. Nevertheless, several global flood monitoring systems utilize information from passive microwave sensors. For instance, Global Flood Detection System - Version 2 (Kugler and De Goeve, 2007) coordinated by Global Disaster Alert and Coordination System (GDACS) in collaboration with Dartmouth Flood Observatory produces flood information using AMSR2 and Global Precipitation Mission (GPM) sensors (<https://www.gdacs.org/flooddetection/overview.aspx>).

There are certain merits and demerits associated with each of these optical, active, and passive microwave products. Recognizing the need to combine the strengths of each of these sensors to obtain flood information with improved accuracy, efforts have been to develop multi-satellite global flood monitoring systems. Prigent et al. (2001) developed Global Inundation Extent from Multi-satellites (GIEMS) (Prigent et al., 2016), which combines information from SSM/I (passive microwave sensor), ERS (European Remote Sensing satellite – active microwave sensor), and AVHRR (Advanced Very High-Resolution Radiometer – optical sensor) to provide monthly global inundation maps at 25 km resolution over the period 1993–2007. Furthermore, Schroeder et al. (2015) developed Surface Water Microwave Product Series (SWAMPS) by combining information from passive sensors – SSM/I, SSMIS (Special Sensor Microwave Imager/Sounder), active sensors – ERS, QuikSCAT, and ASCAT (Advanced SCATterometer), an optical sensor – MODIS. SWAMPS produced global scale surface water fraction maps at 25 km covering the period 1992–2013. Recently, Parrens et al. (2019) developed a 1 km inundation product at three days temporal resolution over the Amazon basin using information from Soil Moisture Ocean Salinity (SMOS), Landsat, and Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) over the period 2010–2016.

3.3.2. Droughts

The demand for water has increased significantly due to the growth of population and expansion of agricultural, energy and industrial sectors, which resulted in frequent water scarcity in many parts of the world (Mishra and Singh, 2010). The water scarcity is aggravated by droughts that impact surface and groundwater resources leading to reduced water supply, deteriorated water quality, risk of wildfires (Taufik et al., 2017), livestock (Murray-Tortarolo and Jaramillo, 2019), food production (Cottrell et al., 2019), water stress (Pedro-Monzón et al., 2015; Shah and Mathur, 2019) among others. Therefore, drought management related investigation (e.g., monitoring, forecasting, and risk assessment) is essential for the planning and management of water resources.

Droughts are triggered by the anomalous behavior in hydroclimatic variables, such as reduction in precipitation, increase in temperatures, low relative humidity, and distribution of rainy days (Mishra and Singh, 2010). With the advent of satellite remote sensing, it is now possible to track the anomalous behavior of such variables at different spatio-temporal scales. Droughts are classified broadly into meteorological, hydrological, agricultural, and socio-economic droughts (Mishra and Singh, 2010). Although there is no standard definition of drought (Mukherjee et al., 2018), it generally originates due to the deficit of water compared to normal conditions (Van Loon et al., 2016). Since droughts are associated with the movement of water in the hydrological cycle, the droughts mentioned above are intricately related to each other. In this context, several drought indices have been proposed in the

past that assess the individual as well as the multivariate nature of droughts (Rajsekhar et al., 2015). The following sections present the applications of remote sensing towards assessing meteorological, hydrological, and agricultural droughts.

3.3.2.1. Meteorological drought. Meteorological drought is associated with the lack of occurrence of precipitation over a duration (Mishra and Singh, 2010). Traditionally, the meteorological drought analysis is carried out using in-situ precipitation information (Zuo et al., 2019). Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) (Palmer, 1965) is used as a meteorological drought index, and it measures the departure of the moisture supply based on the supply-and-demand concept of the water balance equation. The PDSI is calculated by accounting precipitation and temperature data, as well as the local available water content of the soil. Several limitations of PDSI (Palmer, 1965; Mishra and Singh, 2010) are addressed through self-calibrating PDSI(sPDSI) (Wells et al., 2004). There are limited studies that incorporated remote sensing information to derive PDSI. For example, Yan et al. (2014) incorporated remotely sensed Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS) Leaf Area Index (LAI) based ET in to sPDSI to carry out drought assessment at the global scale.

Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) (McKee et al., 1993) is another meteorological drought index, which is calculated using long-term precipitation data at a given location. SPI involves fitting precipitation to an appropriate probability distribution and then transforming it to a normal distribution. Since the SPI requires only precipitation data, it is one of the widely used meteorological drought indices. However, there is a need for at least 30 years of precipitation data to obtain a reliable statistical fit while estimating the SPI. With the advent of long-term remote sensing based products such as CHIRPS (Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Station) (Funk et al., 2015) and MSWEP (Multi-Source Weighted-Ensemble Precipitation) (Beck et al., 2019), it is now possible to determine SPI using this information. For instance, Bayissa et al. (2017) used CHIRPS data to monitor meteorological drought in Ethiopia. Shrestha et al. (2017) assessed the accuracy of the SPI estimates of CHIRPS over the Koshi basin, Nepal, and observed that SPI values identified historical droughts. Recently, Golian et al. (2019) compared the SPI of CHIRPS and MSWEP along with reanalysis and gauge based precipitation datasets at global scale. They found that the drought indices are sensitive to the choice of precipitation dataset, its length, and spatial resolution.

In addition, some more drought indices are proposed that utilize remote sensing data. Anderson et al. (2011) and Anderson et al. (2007) developed remote sensing based Evaporative Stress Index (ESI), which represents the temporal anomalies in the ratio between actual evapotranspiration (AET) and potential evapotranspiration (PET). The ESI is computed using TIR and vegetation cover fraction imagery of Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES). Kim and Rhee (2016) proposed Standardized Evapotranspiration Deficit Index (SEDI), a fully ET-based index that is derived using the complementary relationship between evaporative demand and AET. Vicente-Serrano et al. (2018) used GLEAM AET estimates to carry out drought assessment using SEDI at global scale. Since ESI and SEDI are independent of rainfall, they can be used as a reference to evaluate the precipitation based meteorological drought indices.

Recognizing the need to include evapotranspiration while characterizing meteorological drought, Vicente-Serrano et al. (2010) proposed a new multiscale drought index named the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI). SPEI works similar to SPI, with the difference between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (PET) as the random variable of interest. This difference essentially provides climatic water balance, i.e., whether there exists a water surplus or water deficit in a particular month. In a recent study, Zhao and Ma (2019) considered TRMM, CHIRPS, and PERSIANN-CDR (Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information using Artificial Neural Networks- Climate Data Record) (Ashouri et al., 2015;

Hsu et al., 1997) along with other precipitation products to estimate SPEI at the global scale. They found PERSIANN-CDR to be relatively more suitable for long-term drought monitoring purposes. Similar to SPEI, Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) (Tsakiris et al., 2007) is a meteorological drought index computed as a ratio between accumulated rainfall and PET. Dalezios et al. (2012) determined RDI for drought assessment using remotely sensed information based PET and station rainfall data in Greece. Zhang and Jia (2013) proposed Microwave Integrated Drought Index (MIDI) that considers TRMM precipitation, AMSR-E derived land surface temperature, and soil moisture for monitoring short-term meteorological drought. Zhang et al. (2019a) attempted to improve meteorological drought monitoring using multi-satellite precipitation products with MIDI in tropical and subtropical water-limited climates. They found multi-satellite MIDI estimates to correlate well with SPI and SPEI.

3.3.2.2. Agricultural drought. Agricultural drought occurs due to a decline in soil moisture, which subsequently leads to the failure of crops (Mishra and Singh, 2010). The lack of water in the soil can be attributed to a deficit in rainfall or lack of irrigation (due to lack of water in the reservoirs) along with a greater amount of evapotranspiration from the soil. Therefore, agricultural drought monitoring is carried out primarily by analyzing the patterns of vegetation and soil moisture. Agricultural drought is also intricately related to the meteorological conditions. Several studies indicated the link between the agricultural drought and precipitation anomalies (Elagib, 2013; Kurnik et al., 2011; Rouault and Richard, 2003; Svoboda et al., 2012). So, attempts are made to study agricultural drought in an integrated manner. We find that there is a relatively greater number of agricultural drought indices compared to the meteorological drought indices proposed in the literature.

PDSI has an issue of reacting slowly to short-term droughts, which, if overlooked, can impact the monitoring of crop growth (Narasimhan and Srinivasan, 2005). Crop Moisture Index (CMI) developed by Palmer (1968) attempts to alleviate this problem. It is calculated based on PET and soil moisture depletion with soil water balance as a governing concept. On a similar idea, Relative Soil Moisture (RSM) (Thorntwaite and Mather, 1955), Agricultural Drought Index (DTx) (Matera et al., 2007). Narasimhan and Srinivasan (2005) noted that CMI, similar to PDSI, has limitations of assuming uniform properties of land use and soil in a climatic zone. They attempted to address this issue through new indices called the soil moisture deficit index (SMDI) and evapotranspiration deficit index (ETDI) by simulating soil moisture and ET using Soil Water Assessment Tool (SWAT). Attempts are made to analyze multiple types of droughts by using the water-energy balance equation (Ayantobo and Wei, 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b). Similar to the computation of SPI, Standardized Soil Moisture Index (SSI) can be derived based on the soil moisture information (Mishra et al., 2015; AghaKouchak, 2015). Zhang et al. (2019d) computed weekly SSI using ESA-CCI soil moisture product for agricultural drought monitoring of the Yellow river basin, China.

With the advent of remote sensing information, several compatible agricultural drought indices are proposed. Hunt et al. (2009) and Sridhar et al. (2008) proposed the Soil Moisture Index (SMI), which is calculated as a function of the ratio of the difference between soil moisture and wilting point, and the difference between field capacity and wilting point. Recently, Martínez-Fernández et al. (2015) modified the SMI to propose Soil Water Deficit Index (SWDI) wherein the soil moisture deficit is limited by field capacity instead of wilting point (in the numerator). Given its advantage of being independent of the length of the record, SWDI can be applied even on shorter soil moisture time series. Mishra et al. (2017) used SMAP soil moisture to generate SWDI to monitor agricultural drought over the Contiguous United States (CONUS). Carrão et al. (2016) proposed Empirical Standardized Soil Moisture Index (ESSMI) using ESA-CCI soil moisture data by estimating the standard normal variates of empirical distribution of soil moisture

2015; Li et al., 2019). Van Loon et al. (2017) used GRACE TWS anomalies along with hydrological model simulations to assess the 2015 European groundwater drought. On a similar note, Mishra et al. (2016) conducted drought assessment over India using GRACE anomalies. Recently, Thomas et al. (2017) proposed GRACE Groundwater Drought Index (GGDI) based on normalized GRACE groundwater storage deviations to study groundwater drought in California Central Valley. Recent studies attempted to assimilate the GRACE data into land surface models to improve the accuracy of groundwater storage information, which is expected to contribute towards drought monitoring purposes (Getirana et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019).

3.3.2.4. Drought prediction using remote sensing. Remote sensing information is also used for drought forecasting purposes. The drought forecasting is carried out using either statistical models or physical models in an assimilation framework (Hao et al., 2018). In the case of statistical models, time series prediction models or regression models are established between appropriate predictors and remotely sensed datasets such as SPI, SPEI, NDVI, VTCI, soil moisture, and TWS anomalies, among others (De Linage et al., 2014; Mishra and Desai, 2005, 2006; Mishra et al., 2007; Park et al., 2018; Rhee and Im, 2017). On the other hand, remote sensing products are ingested into land surface models in a data assimilation framework to carry out short-term as well as long-term prediction of droughts (Mishra and Singh, 2011; Sheffield et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2017). Satellite remote sensing products are also being utilized in drought early warning systems (Funk et al., 2019; Pozzi et al., 2013; Tadesse et al., 2014).

3.3.2.5. Regional drought analysis using remote sensing. Regional drought analysis can generate spatio-temporal drought information, which plays an important role to improve water resources management at a regional scale (Mishra and Singh, 2011). The regional analysis integrates different components of the drought characteristics, such as duration, severity, area, and frequency (Mishra and Singh, 2009, 2011). The regional analysis can be carried out using different variables, such as drought indices, rainfall, streamflow and soil moisture (Aljianian et al., 2019; Dabani et al., 2017; Hisdal et al., 2001; Mishra and Singh, 2009), and these variables can be obtained (derived) from the remote sensing products.

The regional drought analysis typically combines more than one drought characteristics, for example, Intensity-Duration Frequency curves (Hallack-Alegria and Watkins, 2007); Total areal deficit and maximum deficit intensity (Tase, 1976); severity-area-duration (SAD) curves (Andreadis et al., 2005). The gauged precipitation data can be used for regional drought analysis, or these data can be used to derive Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) for regional drought assessment (Mishra and Desai, 2005; Mishra and Singh, 2008; Bonacorso et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2015; Dabani et al., 2017).

The regional drought information is critical for water resources management (Mishra and Singh, 2011; Aljianian et al., 2019), therefore, it is important to investigate the regional or spatial behavior of droughts (Panu and Sharma, 2002; Mishra and Singh, 2011; Bonacorso et al., 2015), especially for data scarce regions (Mishra and Singh, 2011). However, one of the key limitations is lack of high-quality and continuous hydroclimate data to capture spatial coverage in many parts of the world (Mishra and Singh, 2011). To overcome such limitations, long-term satellite datasets can be a reliable alternative source for drought assessments in un-gauged basins. Some of the examples of long term (up to 30 years) satellite rainfall products are PERSIANN-CDR (Beck et al., 2017), and MSWEP (Beck et al., 2017). Although a number of studies investigated the performance of satellite rainfall products for temporal drought analysis, limited number of studies investigated the regional drought analysis (Aljianian et al., 2019). In a recent study, Aljianian et al. (2019) evaluated the performance of satellite rainfall estimates (PERSIANN-CDR and MSWEP) for regional drought analysis for Iran and to compare the four major historical severe drought events

over the different climatic regions. The performance of remote sensing products may differ with respect to gauge data in terms of quantifying drought characteristics (e.g., severity, duration, and frequency), and identification of major historical droughts. The performance of selected remote sensing products largely differs in terms of quantifying the frequency component embedded in the regional drought analysis for selected climatic regions located in Iran.

4. Summary

In this work, we review role of remote sensing in addressing three critical aspects of water security, i.e., (i) water quality, (ii) water quantity - surface and sub-surface water resources, and (iii) extreme events. This review suggests that the satellite data offers promising results for the monitoring of the aforementioned categories. The satellite measurements will increase the observation network to map the water resources globally. The use of remotely sensed data for estimating water quality parameters, river discharge, reservoir monitoring, groundwater storage, and mapping the extreme events is likely to provide valuable information related to the water availability in order to improve water security of a region. Following is the summary of the review:

Water quality: The spectral properties of clear water are significantly different from polluted water. This feature is explored by the remote sensing sensors to monitor water quality. The water quality estimation is mostly carried out in the visible region of the spectrum. Chlorophyll (Chl) concentration, turbidity and Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Secchi Disk Depth (SDD), and Colored Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM) are the most common water quality parameters estimated using the remote sensing datasets. Attempts are made to establish statistical relationship between water quality parameters and spectral reflectance. For this, data from Landsat, MODIS, OrbView-2 (SeaWiFS), MERIS, Sentinel-2, and Hyperion are increasingly used. For Chl mapping, bandwidths of 675 nm and 700 nm are found to be most useful, whereas Red and NIR bands are found to be useful for turbidity and TSS mapping. Apart from the use of single bands, incorporation of reflectance from multiple bands through band ratios is noted to improve water quality parameter estimates by reducing the effect of atmosphere and increasing the signal to noise ratio. The SDD is inversely related to turbidity and TSS concentration and is therefore estimated using empirical relationships with TSS and turbidity. Similarly, CDOM is found to be strongly correlated to Chl-a, TSS and turbidity levels. The lack of extensive availability of remote sensing datasets required for water quality monitoring adds large uncertainties to the estimates, highlighting the need for further improvement in the data. Further, most of the algorithms are empirical in nature, which requires accurate parameterization that can vary with the optical properties of the water body. During recent years, the merging of multispectral data with hyperspectral sensor data is also being attempted to accurately determine the water quality parameters.

Water quantity: Streamflow, reservoirs, and terrestrial water storage (TWS) contribute to the surface and subsurface water resources in a region. Satellite altimetric datasets are most commonly used for estimating water levels in rivers and reservoirs. A combination of different altimetric datasets is found to be useful for streamflow estimation and reservoir monitoring. The earlier altimeter missions were successful in mapping only large rivers and reservoirs due to their large footprint. However, the recent datasets such as Jason-2, Jason-3, and Saral are capable of monitoring small rivers with varying width. Besides, incorporation of other remotely sensed data pertaining to river widths and paths can significantly improve the river water level estimates. Apart from altimeter datasets, ancillary data pertaining to water-surface area, channel slope, average channel width, and velocity of rivers can be obtained from remote sensing datasets and can be used in hydraulic equations to estimate discharge. The recent advancements in the data assimilation has shown the potential to improve the water level

estimates. The upcoming SWOT mission will be a significant development in estimating river discharge and storage. For monitoring changes in TWS, the GRACE twin satellite mission is commonly used. GRACE data is also used for mapping groundwater changes and groundwater depletion. One of the major limitations of the GRACE data is its coarse resolution, which limits its application at continental scale. However, efforts are currently being made to disaggregate the TWS at fine resolution for hydrologic studies.

Extreme events (floods): Estimation of flood extent is carried out using optical/thermal, active microwave, and passive microwave sensors. The optical/thermal reflectances are used to develop indices, which can indicate the inundated areas and dry areas in a region. The applicability of these indices is restricted to daytime-cloud free conditions. Microwave sensors address this issue, by providing measurements that have atmosphere penetration capability, and are independent of solar illumination. In the case of active microwave sensors, the SAR image, after necessary pre-processing, is subjected to classification algorithms such as image thresholding, active contour models, and change detection technique, etc., to identify flooded pixels. Attempts are made to develop automatic flood extent detection schemes by using a combination of the above methods. Information from passive microwave sensors can be used to detect the flood extent based on the low emissivity of inundated areas compared to that of dry pixels. Due to the coarse resolution of brightness temperatures from passive microwave sensors, the flood extent analysis can be carried out only on large catchments. Efforts are in progress to utilize the individual merits of each of the described sensing systems towards the development of global flood inundation maps.

Extreme events (droughts): Satellite remote sensing is used to analyze meteorological, agricultural, hydrological, and groundwater droughts. Long records of remote sensing-based precipitation and ET datasets (available from the early 1980s) are useful to conduct meteorological drought analysis using indices such as SPI and SPEI. Efforts are made to develop indices to apply with microwave precipitation products. In the context of agricultural droughts, several drought indices are proposed in the literature, which characterizes the persistent soil moisture deficit and vegetation stresses. Some of the drought indices, such as SMI, SWDI, ESSMI, etc., are developed considering satellite soil moisture products. Vegetation indices are widely used to determine the vegetation stresses. Efforts are being made to combine variables affected during agricultural drought, such as ET, LST, along with soil moisture and vegetation, into drought indices. Besides, such attempts are made through a statistical viewpoint, resulting in multivariate drought indices. The role of remote sensing in analyzing hydrological and groundwater droughts has become popular with the launch of the GRACE satellite. The TWS estimates from GRACE are used to develop hydrological and groundwater drought indices. Remote sensing information is now an integral part of drought prediction algorithms. The hydrometeorological observations obtained in near-real-time from operational satellite missions are being utilized in famine early warning systems. Since remote sensing products have the ability to cover larger spatial extent, they are used to carry out regional drought analysis that typically assesses features such as intensity, duration, frequency, severity, and area of droughts. Despite the advantages, the systematic differences between the remote sensing products of the same variable may affect the outcome. So, caution should be exercised while selecting an appropriate product.

5. Outlook

Satellite remote sensing plays a vital role in monitoring key hydroclimate variables as well as in managing water resources. In this work, we review three aspects of the role of remote sensing in assessing water security, water quality, water quantity, and extreme events. In this process, we reviewed how satellite sensors that measure signals from the Earth's surface across various frequencies, including optical,

thermal, and microwave spectrum, along with gravity field measurements, are contributing to the above areas of research.

We present the following outlook with the wisdom gained from the review:

- Most of the water quality parameters, such as color dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and Secchi Disc Depth (SDD), are represented through total suspended sediments. There is a need for improvements in the sensing systems as well as retrieval methods that enable direct detection of these parameters at global scales.
- Regarding flow estimation, most of the research is limited to detecting flows in large rivers such as Mekong, Congo, Amazon, Ganga, and Brahmaputra. Although the research is still advancing to improving the flow measurement accuracies, efforts should also be directed towards developing a framework to monitor rivers of all widths at the global scale. Besides, detecting flows over trans-boundary rivers with reservoirs pose additional challenges. The upcoming SWOT mission ([Biancamaria et al., 2016](#)) is anticipated to address these issues.
- The current altimeters have low temporal resolution (in the order of tens of days), which makes it challenging to monitor rivers. One way of overcoming this limitation is to fuse multi-satellite information ([Tourian et al., 2013](#)). Furthermore, since most of the altimeters are intended to monitor ocean surface, they have limitations of echoes from land while monitoring inland water bodies ([Taranelli et al., 2019](#)), which need to be addressed.
- GRACE and GRACE-FO provide valuable information on the terrestrial water storage, which include surface and sub-surface water resources. Efforts should be directed towards downscaling these products for diversifying their applications in the areas of irrigation and drinking water supply, among others.
- Flood monitoring can be further improved with the usage of multiple satellites that alleviate the issues of cloud cover, spatial resolution, and overpass time during the flooding event.
- Quantifying the anatomy of an agricultural drought remains a challenge due to our limited understanding of root zone soil moisture availability for crop growth ([Mishra et al., 2015](#)). Therefore, there is a need to improve our understanding of soil moisture signals in different types of environments to improve agricultural water management. The continuous development in remote sensing products (e.g., SMAP, SMOS, AMSR-2, and Sentinel missions), and assimilating them into land surface model can generate root zone soil moisture ([Das and Mohanty, 2006; De Lannoy and Reichle, 2016; Li et al., 2010; Liu and Mishra, 2017; Martens et al., 2017; Reichle et al., 2017; Reichle et al., 2019](#)) to improve agricultural drought management. In addition, remote sensing soil moisture products can expand the capability to map soil moisture deficit with global coverage, and it can significantly compliment to the sparse in situ soil moisture networks. The mapping capability of satellite remote sensing products opens a new window on the onset and evolution of droughts at regional to national scale ([Mishra et al., 2017](#)).
- There is a need to ingest the information of varying temporal scales at which the hydro-meteorological variables behave while characterizing droughts. For instance, the role of persistence of soil moisture profile on agricultural droughts is yet to be well understood. Remote sensing products can be useful for regional drought assessment in ungauged basins. Remote sensing data should be promoted among the stakeholders to develop regional drought management plans to improve water security by integrating multivariate nature of drought events. This is especially important for data scarce regions in many parts of the world.
- Progress in several of the above-mentioned directions requires efforts to develop science to obtain hyper-spatio-temporal-resolution remote sensing products. Projects such as SMOS-HR (High-Resolution) ([Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2019](#)) – which is still at concept stage – may fill this requirement.

- suspended solids (TSS) and turbidity as proxies for evaluation of metal transport in river water. *Appl. Geochem.* 68, 1–9.
- Neal, J., Schumann, G., Bates, P., Buytaert, W., Matgen, P., Pappenberger, F., 2009. A data assimilation approach to discharge estimation from space. *Hydrolog. Processes: Int.* 23, 3641–3649.
- Nelson, S.A., Soranno, P.A., Cheruvilil, K.S., Batzli, S.A., Skole, D.L., 2003. Regional assessment of lake water clarity using satellite remote sensing. *J. Limnol.* 27–32.
- O'Connell, E., 2017. Towards adaptation of water resource systems to climatic and socio-economic change. *Water Resour. Manage.* 31 (10), 2965–2984.
- Oberstädler, R., Hönsch, H., Huth, D., 1997. Assessment of the mapping capabilities of ERS-1 SAR data for flood mapping: a case study in Germany. *Hydrolog. Process.* 11, 1415–1425.
- Ojha, C., Shirzaei, M., Werth, S., Argus, D.F., Farr, T.G., 2018. Sustained groundwater loss in California's Central Valley exacerbated by intense drought periods. *Water Resour. Res.* 54, 4449–4460.
- Okeowo, M.A., Lee, H., Hossain, F., Getirana, A., 2017. Automated generation of lakes and reservoirs water elevation changes from satellite radar altimetry. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* 10, 3465–3481.
- Okí, T., Kanae, S., 2006. Global hydrological cycles and world water resources. *Science* 313, 1068–1072.
- Olmanson, L.G., Bauer, M.E., Brezonik, P.L., 2008. A 20-year Landsat water clarity census of Minnesota's 10,000 lakes. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 4086–4097.
- Ordoyne, C., Friedl, M.A., 2008. Using MODIS data to characterize seasonal inundation patterns in the Florida Everglades. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 4107–4119.
- O'Reilly, J.E., Maritorena, S., Mitchell, B.G., Siegel, D.A., Carder, K.L., Garver, S.A., Kahru, M., McClain, C., 1998. Ocean color chlorophyll algorithms for SeaWiFS. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 103, 24937–24953.
- Östlund, C., Flink, P., Strömbeck, N., Pierson, D., Lindell, T., 2001. Mapping of the water quality of Lake Erken, Sweden, from imaging spectrometry and Landsat Thematic Mapper. *Sci. Total Environ.* 268, 139–154.
- Otsu, N., 1979. A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.* 9, 62–66.
- Quillon, S., Douillet, P., Petrenko, A., Neveux, J., Dupouy, C., Froidefond, J.-M., Andréfouët, S., Muñoz-Caravaca, A., 2008. Optical algorithms at satellite wavelengths for total suspended matter in tropical coastal waters. *Sensors* 8, 4165–4185.
- Ouma, Y.O., Tateishi, R., 2006. A water index for rapid mapping of shoreline changes of five East African Rift Valley lakes: an empirical analysis using Landsat TM and ETM+ data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 27, 3153–3181.
- Paiva, R., Collischonn, W., Bonnet, M.-P., De Goncalves, L., Calmant, S., Getirana, A., Santos da Silva, J., 2013. Assimilating in situ and radar altimetry data into a large-scale hydrologic-hydrodynamic model for streamflow forecast in the Amazon. *Hydrolog. Earth Syst. Sci.* 17, 2929–2946.
- Pal, N.R., Pal, S.K., 1993. A review on image segmentation techniques. *Pattern Recogn.* 26, 1277–1294.
- Palmer, W., 1965. Meteorological Drought: Research Paper No. 45. US Department of Commerce Weather Bureau, Washington, DC, 58.
- Palmer, W.C., 1968. Keeping track of crop moisture conditions, nationwide: The new crop moisture index.
- Panu, U.S., Sharma, T.C., 2002. Challenges in drought research: some perspectives and future directions. *Hydrolog. Sci. J.* 47 (S1), S19–S30.
- Papa, F., Bala, S.K., Pandey, R.K., Durand, F., Gopalakrishna, V., Rahman, A., Rossow, W.B., 2012. Ganga-Brahmaputra river discharge from Jason-2 radar altimetry: An update to the long-term satellite-derived estimates of continental freshwater forcing flux into the Bay of Bengal. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 117.
- Parinussa, R.M., Lakshmi, V., Johnson, F.M., Sharma, A., 2016. A new framework for monitoring flood inundation using readily available satellite data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43, 2599–2605.
- Park, S., Seo, E., Kang, D., Im, J., Lee, M.-I., 2018. Prediction of Drought on Pentad Scale Using Remote Sensing Data and MJO Index through Random Forest over East Asia. *Remote Sensing* 10, 1811.
- Parrens, M., Al Bitar, A., Frappart, F., Paiva, R., Wongchuig, S., Papa, F., Yamasaki, D., Kerr, Y., 2019. High resolution mapping of inundation area in the Amazon basin from a combination of L-band passive microwave, optical and radar datasets. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 81, 58–71.
- Parry, M., Parry, M.L., Canziani, O., Palutikof, J., Van der Linden, P., Hanson, C., 2007. Climate change 2007-impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Working group II contribution to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC. Cambridge University Press.
- Pavelsky, T.M., Durand, M.T., Andreadis, K.M., Beighley, R.E., Paiva, R.C., Allen, G.H., Miller, Z.F., 2014. Assessing the potential global extent of SWOT river discharge observations. *J. Hydrol.* 519, 1516–1525.
- Pearson, C.P., 1998. Changes to New Zealand's national hydrometric network in the 1990s. *J. Hydrol.* NZ 37, 1–17.
- Pedinotti, V., Boone, A., Ricci, S., Biancamaria, S., Mognard, N., 2014. Assimilation of satellite data to optimize large-scale hydrological model parameters: a case study for the SWOT mission. *Hydrolog. Earth Syst. Sci.* 18, 4485–4507.
- Pedro-Monzón, M., Solera, A., Ferrer, J., Estrela, T., Paredes-Arquiola, J., 2015. A review of water scarcity and drought indexes in water resources planning and management. *J. Hydrol.* 527, 482–493.
- Peterson, K., Sagan, V., Sidike, P., Cox, A., Martinez, M., 2018. Suspended Sediment Concentration Estimation from Landsat Imagery along the Lower Missouri and Middle Mississippi Rivers Using an Extreme Learning Machine. *Remote Sensing* 10, 1503.
- Pikaz, A., Averbuch, A., 1996. Digital image thresholding, based on topological stable-state. *Pattern Recogn.* 29, 829–843.
- Podlaha, A., Bowen, S., Löricc, M., Bhattacharya, A., 2019. Global catastrophe recap—March 2017. Aon Benfield Analytics.
- Potes, M., Costa, M.J., Salgado, R., 2012. Satellite remote sensing of water turbidity in Alqueva reservoir and implications on lake modelling. *Hydrolog. Earth Syst. Sci.* 16, 1623–1633.
- Pozzi, W., Sheffield, J., Stefanski, R., Cripe, D., Pulwarty, R., Vogt, J.V., Heim Jr, R.R., Brewer, M.J., Svoboda, M., Westerhoff, R., 2013. Toward global drought early warning capability: Expanding international cooperation for the development of a framework for monitoring and forecasting. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 94, 776–785.
- Preisendorfer, R.W., 1986. Secchi disk science: Visual optics of natural waters 1. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 31, 909–926.
- Prigent, C., Matthews, E., Aires, F., Rossow, W.B., 2001. Remote sensing of global wetland dynamics with multiple satellite data sets. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 28, 4631–4634.
- Prigent, C., Matthews, E., Lettenmaier, D., Aires, F., Papa, F., 2016. Toward a high resolution monitoring of continental surface water extent and dynamics, at global scale: From GIEMS (Global Inundation Extent from Multi-Satellites) to SWOT (Surface Water Ocean Topography). *Surv. Geophys.* 37, 339–355.
- Pulvirenti, L., Pierdicca, N., Chini, M., Guerriero, L., 2011. An algorithm for operational flood mapping from synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data based on the fuzzy logic. *Natural Hazard Earth Syst. Sci.*
- Qi, L., Hu, C., Duan, H., Barnes, B., Ma, R., 2014. An EOF-based algorithm to estimate chlorophyll a concentrations in Taihu Lake from MODIS land-band measurements: Implications for near real-time applications and forecasting models. *Remote Sensing* 6, 10694–10715.
- Quiring, S.M., Ganesh, S., 2010. Evaluating the utility of the Vegetation Condition Index (VCI) for monitoring meteorological drought in Texas. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 150, 330–339.
- Rajaram, T., Das, A., 2008. Water pollution by industrial effluents in India: Discharge scenarios and case for participatory ecosystem specific local regulation. *Futures* 40, 56–69.
- Rajinikanth, V., Fernandes, S.L., Bhushan, B., Sunder, N.R., 2018. Segmentation and analysis of brain tumor using Tsallis entropy and regularised level set. In: Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Micro-Electronics, Electromagnetics and Telecommunications. Springer, pp. 313–321.
- Rajsekhar, D., Singh, V.P., Mishra, A.K., 2015. Multivariate drought index: An information theory based approach for integrated drought assessment. *J. Hydrol.* 526, 164–182.
- Ramesh, N., Yoo, J.-H., Sethi, I., 1995. Thresholding based on histogram approximation. *IEEE Proc.-Vision, Image Signal Process.* 142, 271–279.
- Rateb, A., Kuo, C.-Y., 2019. Quantifying Vertical Deformation in the Tigris-Euphrates Basin Due to the Groundwater Abstraction: Insights from GRACE and Sentinel-1 Satellites. *Water* 11, 1658.
- Reager, J., Famiglietti, J., 2009. Global terrestrial water storage capacity and flood potential using GRACE. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36.
- Reichle, R.H., Liu, Q., Koster, R.D., Crow, W.T., De Lannoy, G.J., Kimball, J.S., Kolassa, J., 2019. Version 4 of the SMAP Level-4 Soil Moisture Algorithm and Data Product. *10*, 3106–3130.
- Reichle, R.H., De Lannoy, G.J., Liu, Q., Ardizzone, J.V., Colliander, A., Conaty, A., Koster, R.D., 2017. Assessment of the SMAP level-4 surface and root-zone soil moisture product using in situ measurements. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 18 (10), 2621–2645.
- Rhee, J., Im, J., 2017. Meteorological drought forecasting for ungauged areas based on machine learning: Using long-range climate forecast and remote sensing data. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 237, 105–122.
- Rhee, J., Im, J., Carbone, G.J., 2010. Monitoring agricultural drought for arid and humid regions using multi-sensor remote sensing data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 2875–2887.
- Richey, A.S., Thomas, B.F., Lo, M.H., Reager, J.T., Famiglietti, J.S., Voss, K., Swenson, S., Rodell, M., 2015. Quantifying renewable groundwater stress with GRACE. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 5217–5238.
- Ridder, T., Calvard, S., 1978. Picture thresholding using an iterative selection method. *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man Cybern.* 8, 630–632.
- Ritchie, J., Schiebe, F., McHenry, J., 1976. Remote sensing of suspended sediments in surface waters. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 42, 1539–1545.
- Ritchie, J.C., Cooper, C.M., 1988. Comparison of measured suspended sediment concentrations with suspended sediment concentrations estimated from Landsat MSS data. *Remote Sensing* 9, 379–387.
- Ritchie, J.C., Cooper, C.M., Schiebe, F.R., 1990. The relationship of MSS and TM digital data with suspended sediments, chlorophyll, and temperature in Moon Lake, Mississippi. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 33, 137–148.
- Ritchie, J.C., Zimba, P.V., Everett, J.H., 2003. Remote sensing techniques to assess water quality. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 69, 695–704.
- Rodda, J.C., Pieyns, S.A., Sehmi, N.S., Matthews, G., 1993. Towards a world hydrological cycle observing system. *Hydrolog. Sci. J.* 38 (5), 373–378.
- Rodell, M., Famiglietti, J., 2002. The potential for satellite-based monitoring of groundwater storage changes using GRACE: the High Plains aquifer, Central US. *J. Hydrol.* 263, 245–256.
- Rodell, M., Chen, J., Kato, H., Famiglietti, J.S., Nigro, J., Wilson, C.R., 2007. Estimating groundwater storage changes in the Mississippi River basin (USA) using GRACE. *Hydrogeol. J.* 15, 159–166.
- Rodell, M., Velicogna, I., Famiglietti, J.S., 2009. Satellite-based estimates of groundwater depletion in India. *Nature* 460, 999.
- Rodríguez-Fernández, N.J., Anterieu, E., Rougé, B., Boutin, J., Picard, G., Pellarin, T., Escorihuela, M.J., Al Bitar, A., Richaume, P., Mialon, A., 2019. SMOS-HR: A high resolution L-band passive radiometer for Earth science and applications. In: IGARSS 2019–2019 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. IEEE, pp. 8392–8395.
- Rosenfeld, A., De La Torre, P., 1983. Histogram concavity analysis as an aid in threshold selection. *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.* 231–235.

- Rosenzweig, C., Tubiello, F.N., Goldberg, R., Mills, E., Bloomfield, J., 2002. Increased crop damage in the US from excess precipitation under climate change. *Global Environ. Change* 12, 197–202.
- Rouault, M., Richard, Y., 2003. Intensity and spatial extension of drought in South Africa at different time scales. *water. SA* 29, 489–500.
- Roy, P., Sharma, S., Gairola, R.M., 2015. Detection of Rain/Cloud Pixels by Ka-band AltiKa Altimeter over the Tropical Region: Development of a Rain/Cloud Flag Algorithm and its Validation. *Mar. Geod.* 38, 86–106.
- Rügner, H., Schwientek, M., Beckingham, B., Kuch, B., Grathwohl, P., 2013. Turbidity as a proxy for total suspended solids (TSS) and particle facilitated pollutant transport in catchments. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 69, 373–380.
- Rundquist, D.C., Han, L., Schalles, J.F., Peake, J.S., 1996. Remote measurement of algal chlorophyll in surface waters: the case for the first derivative of reflectance near 690 nm. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 62, 195–200.
- Sahoo, P., Wilkins, C., Yeager, J., 1997. Threshold selection using Renyi's entropy. *Pattern Recogn.* 30, 71–84.
- Sahoo, P.K., Soltani, S., Wong, A.K., 1988. A survey of thresholding techniques. *Computer Vision, Graphics, Image Process.* 41, 233–260.
- Sánchez, N., González-Zamora, Á., Martínez-Fernández, J., Piles, M., Pablos, M., 2018. Integrated remote sensing approach to global agricultural drought monitoring. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 259, 141–153.
- Sánchez, N., González-Zamora, Á., Piles, M., Martínez-Fernández, J., 2016. A new Soil Moisture Agricultural Drought Index (SMADI) integrating MODIS and SMOS products: a case of study over the Iberian Peninsula. *Remote Sensing* 8, 287.
- Sanyal, J., Lu, X., 2004. Application of remote sensing in flood management with special reference to monsoon Asia: a review. *Nat. Hazards* 33, 283–301.
- Sarkar, S., Das, S., Chaudhuri, S.S., 2016. Hyper-spectral image segmentation using Rényi entropy based multi-level thresholding aided with differential evolution. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 50, 120–129.
- Sawaya, K.E., Olmanson, L.G., Heinert, N.J., Brezonik, P.L., Bauer, M.E., 2003. Extending satellite remote sensing to local scales: land and water resource monitoring using high-resolution imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 88, 144–156.
- Schiebe, F., Harrington Jr, J., Ritchie, J., 1992. Remote sensing of suspended sediments: the Lake Chicot, Arkansas project. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 13, 1487–1509.
- Schmidt, R., Schwintzer, P., Flechtner, F., Reigber, C., Günther, A., Döll, P., Ramillien, G., Cazenave, A., Petrovic, S., Jochmann, H., 2006. GRACE observations of changes in continental water storage. *Global Planet. Change* 50, 112–126.
- Schmugge, T., 1987. Remote sensing applications in hydrology. *Rev. Geophys.* 25, 148–152.
- Schneider, R., Tarpanelli, A., Nielsen, K., Madsen, H., Bauer-Gottwein, P., 2018. Evaluation of multi-mode CryoSat-2 altimetry data over the Po River against in situ data and a hydrodynamic model. *Adv. Water Resour.* 112, 17–26.
- Schroeder, R., McDonald, K., Chapman, B., Jensen, K., Podest, E., Tessler, Z., Bohn, T., Zimmermann, R., 2015. Development and evaluation of a multi-year fractional surface water data set derived from active/passive microwave remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing* 7, 16688–16732.
- Schumacher, M., Forootan, E., van Dijk, A., Schmied, H.M., Crosbie, R., Kusche, J., Döll, P., 2018. Improving drought simulations within the Murray-Darling Basin by combined calibration/assimilation of GRACE data into the WaterGAP Global Hydrology Model. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 204, 212–228.
- Schumann, G., Bates, P.D., Horritt, M.S., Matgen, P., Pappenberger, F., 2009. Progress in integration of remote sensing-derived flood extent and stage data and hydraulic models. *Rev. Geophys.* 47.
- Schumann, G., Di Baldassarre, G., Alsdorf, D., Bates, P., 2010. Near real-time flood wave approximation on large rivers from space: Application to the River Po, Italy. *Water Resour. Res.* 46.
- Schumann, G.J.P., Bates, P.D., Di Baldassarre, G., Mason, D.C., 2012. The use of radar imagery in riverine flood inundation studies. *Fluvial Remote Sensing Sci. Manage.* 115–140.
- Seyhan, E., Dekker, A., 1986. Application of remote sensing techniques for water quality monitoring. *Hydrobiol. Bull.* 20, 41–50.
- Sezan, M.I., 1990. A peak detection algorithm and its application to histogram-based image data reduction. *Computer Vision, Graphics, Image Process.* 49, 36–51.
- Sezgin, M., Sankur, B., 2004. Survey over image thresholding techniques and quantitative performance evaluation. *J. Electron. Imaging* 13, 146–166.
- Shah, A., Mathur, N., 2019. Contests around water allocation during a climate crisis in India: The case of 'IPL vs. drought'. *Global Environ. Change* 57, 101927.
- Sheard, B., Heinzel, G., Danzmann, K., Shaddock, D., Klipstein, W., Folkner, W., 2012. Intersatellite laser ranging instrument for the GRACE follow-on mission. *J. Geod.* 86, 1083–1095.
- Sheffield, J., Wood, E.F., 2007. Characteristics of global and regional drought, 1950–2000: Analysis of soil moisture data from off-line simulation of the terrestrial hydrologic cycle. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* 12.
- Sheffield, J., Wood, E.F., Roderick, M.L., 2012. Little change in global drought over the past 60 years. *Nature* 491, 435.
- Sheffield, J., Wood, E.F., Chaney, N., Guan, K., Sadri, S., Yuan, X., Olang, L., Amani, A., Ali, A., Demuth, S., 2014. A drought monitoring and forecasting system for sub-Saharan African water resources and food security. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 95, 861–882.
- Sheffield, J., Wood, E.F., Pan, M., Beck, H., Coccia, G., Serrat-Capdevila, A., Verbiest, K., 2018. Satellite Remote Sensing for Water Resources Management: Potential for Supporting Sustainable Development in Data-Poor Regions. *Water Resour. Res.* 54, 9724–9758.
- Shiklomanov, A.I., Lammers, R.B., Vörösmarty, C.J., 2002. Widespread decline in hydrological monitoring threatens pan-Arctic research. *Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* 83, 13–17.
- Shrestha, N.K., Qamer, F.M., Pedreros, D., Murthy, M., Wahid, S.M., Shrestha, M., 2017. Evaluating the accuracy of Climate Hazard Group (CHG) satellite rainfall estimates for precipitation based drought monitoring in Koshi basin, Nepal. *J. Hydrol.: Regional Stud.* 13, 138–151.
- Shukla, S., Wood, A.W., 2008. Use of a standardized runoff index for characterizing hydrologic drought. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35.
- Sichangi, A.W., Wang, L., Yang, K., Chen, D., Wang, Z., Li, X., Zhou, J., Liu, W., Kuria, D., 2016. Estimating continental river basin discharges using multiple remote sensing data sets. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 179, 36–53.
- Siegel, D., Maritorena, S., Nelson, N., Hansell, D.A., Lorenzi-Kayser, M., 2002. Global distribution and dynamics of colored dissolved and detrital organic materials. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* 107 21-21–21-14.
- Sinha, D., Syed, T.H., Famiglietti, J.S., Reager, J.T., Thomas, R.C., 2017. Characterizing drought in India using GRACE observations of terrestrial water storage deficit. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 18, 381–396.
- Sirajul Islam, M., Brooks, A., Kabir, M., Jahid, I., Shafiqul Islam, M., Goswami, D., Nair, G., Larson, C., Yukiko, W., Luby, S., 2007. Faecal contamination of drinking water sources of Dhaka city during the 2004 flood in Bangladesh and use of disinfectants for water treatment. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 103, 80–87.
- Smith, L.C., 1997. Satellite remote sensing of river inundation area, stage, and discharge: A review. *Hydrol. Process.* 11, 1427–1439.
- Smith, L.C., Pavelsky, T.M., 2008. Estimation of river discharge, propagation speed, and hydraulic geometry from space: Lena River, Siberia. *Water Resour. Res.* 44.
- Smith, L.C., Isacks, B.L., Bloom, A.L., Murray, A.B., 1996. Estimation of discharge from three braided rivers using synthetic aperture radar satellite imagery: Potential application to ungauged basins. *Water Resour. Res.* 32, 2021–2034.
- Smith, L.C., Isacks, B.L., Forster, R.R., Bloom, A.L., Preuss, I., 1995. Estimation of discharge from braided glacial rivers using ERS 1 synthetic aperture radar: First results. *Water Resour. Res.* 31, 1325–1329.
- Somvanshi, S., Kunwar, P., Singh, N., Shukla, S., Pathak, V., 2012. Integrated remote sensing and GIS approach for water quality analysis of Gomti river, Uttar Pradesh. *Int. J. Environ. Sci.* 3, 62.
- Sòria-Perpiñà, X., Vicente, E., Urrego, P., Pereira-Sandoval, M., Ruiz-Verdú, A., Delegido, J., Soria, J.M., Moreno, J., 2020. Remote sensing of cyanobacterial blooms in a hypertrophic lagoon (Albufera de València, Eastern Iberian Peninsula) using multitemporal Sentinel-2 images. *Sci. Total Environ.* 698, 134305.
- Sridhar, V., Hubbard, K.G., You, J., Hunt, E.D., 2008. Development of the soil moisture index to quantify agricultural drought and its "user friendliness" in severity-area-duration assessment. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 9, 660–676.
- Stadelmann, T.H., Brezonik, P.L., Kloiber, S., 2001. Seasonal patterns of chlorophyll a and Secchi disk transparency in lakes of East-Central Minnesota: Implications for design of ground-and satellite-based monitoring programs. *Lake Reservoir Manage.* 17, 299–314.
- Stedmon, C., Amon, R., Rinehart, A., Walker, S., 2011. The supply and characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the Arctic Ocean: Pan Arctic trends and differences. *Mar. Chem.* 124, 108–118.
- Sulistioadi, Y., Tseng, K.-H., Shum, C., Hidayat, H., Sumaryono, M., Suhardiman, A., Setiawan, F., Sunarso, S., 2015. Satellite radar altimetry for monitoring small rivers and lakes in Indonesia. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 19, 341–359.
- Svoboda, M., Hayes, M., Wood, D., 2012. Standardized precipitation index user guide. World Meteorological Organization Geneva, Switzerland.
- Swain, R., Sahoo, B., 2017. Mapping of heavy metal pollution in river water at daily time-scale using spatio-temporal fusion of MODIS-aqua and Landsat satellite imageries. *J. Environ. Manage.* 192, 1–14.
- Syed, T.H., Famiglietti, J.S., Chambers, D.P., 2009. GRACE-based estimates of terrestrial freshwater discharge from basin to continental scales. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 10, 22–40.
- Syed, T.H., Famiglietti, J.S., Chambers, D.P., Willis, J.K., Hilburn, K., 2010. Satellite-based global-ocean mass balance estimates of interannual variability and emerging trends in continental freshwater discharge. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 17916–17921.
- Syed, T.H., Famiglietti, J.S., Rodell, M., Chen, J., Wilson, C.R., 2008. Analysis of terrestrial water storage changes from GRACE and GLDAS. *Water Resour. Res.* 44.
- Tadesse, T., Demisse, G.B., Zaichick, B., Dinku, T., 2014. Satellite-based hybrid drought monitoring tool for prediction of vegetation condition in Eastern Africa: A case study for Ethiopia. *Water Resour. Res.* 50, 2176–2190.
- Tang, Q., Gao, H., Lu, H., Lettenmaier, D.P., 2009. Remote sensing: hydrology. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.* 33, 490–509.
- Tapley, B.D., Bettadpur, S., Ries, J.C., Thompson, P.F., Watkins, M.M., 2004a. GRACE measurements of mass variability in the Earth system. *Science* 305, 503–505.
- Tapley, B.D., Bettadpur, S., Watkins, M., Reigber, C., 2004b. The gravity recovery and climate experiment: Mission overview and early results. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31.
- Tarpanelli, A., Brocca, L., Barbetta, S., Faruolo, M., Lacava, T., Moramarco, T., 2014. Coupling MODIS and radar altimetry data for discharge estimation in poorly gauged river basins. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* 8, 141–148.
- Tarpanelli, A., Camici, S., Nielsen, K., Brocca, L., Moramarco, T., Benveniste, J., 2019. Potentials and limitations of Sentinel-3 for river discharge assessment. *Adv. Space Res.*
- Tase, N., 1976. Area-deficit-intensity characteristics of droughts. *Hydrology Paper No. 87, Colorado.* State University, Fort Collins CO.
- Taufik, M., Torfs, P.J., Uijlenhoet, R., Jones, P.D., Murdiyarso, D., Van Lanen, H.A., 2017. Amplification of wildfire area burnt by hydrological drought in the humid tropics. *Nat. Clim. Change* 7, 428.
- Tehrani, N., D'Sa, E., Osburn, C., Bianchi, T., Schaeffer, B., 2013. Chromophoric dissolved organic matter and dissolved organic carbon from sea-viewing wide field-of-view sensor (SeaWiFS), Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and MERIS Sensors: case study for the Northern Gulf of Mexico. *Remote Sensing* 5, 1439–1464.

- Thiemann, S., Kaufmann, H., 2002. Lake water quality monitoring using hyperspectral airborne data—a semiempirical multisensor and multitemporal approach for the Mecklenburg Lake District, Germany. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 81, 228–237.
- Thomas, A.C., Reager, J.T., Famiglietti, J.S., Rodell, M., 2014. A GRACE-based water storage deficit approach for hydrological drought characterization. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 1537–1545.
- Thomas, B.F., Famiglietti, J.S., Landerer, F.W., Wiese, D.N., Molotch, N.P., Argus, D.F., 2017. GRACE groundwater drought index: Evaluation of California Central Valley groundwater drought. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 198, 384–392.
- Thornthwaite, C.W., Mather, J.R., 1955. The water balance. *Climatology* 9, 1–104.
- Tian, S., Tregoning, P., Renzullo, L.J., van Dijk, A.I., Walker, J.P., Pauwels, V.R., Allgeyer, S., 2017. Improved water balance component estimates through joint assimilation of GRACE water storage and SMOS soil moisture retrievals. *Water Resour. Res.* 53, 1820–1840.
- Tiwari, V., Wahr, J., Swenson, S., 2009. Dwindling groundwater resources in northern India, from satellite gravity observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36.
- Torres, R., Snoeiij, P., Geudtner, D., Bibby, D., Davidson, M., Attema, E., Potin, P., Rommen, B., Flouri, N., Brown, M., 2012. GMES Sentinel-1 mission. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 120, 9–24.
- Tourian, M., Sneeuw, N., Bárdossy, A., 2013. A quantile function approach to discharge estimation from satellite altimetry (ENVISAT). *Water Resour. Res.* 49, 4174–4186.
- Tsakiris, G., Pangalou, D., Vangelis, H., 2007. Regional drought assessment based on the Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI). *Water Resour. Manage.* 21, 821–833.
- Tucker, C.J., 1979. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 8, 127–150.
- Twardowski, M.S., Donaghay, P.L., 2001. Separating in situ and terrigenous sources of absorption by dissolved materials in coastal waters. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 106, 2545–2560.
- Twele, A., Cao, W., Plank, S., Martinis, S., 2016. Sentinel-1-based flood mapping: a fully automated processing chain. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 37, 2990–3004.
- Tyler, J.E., 1968. The Secchi disc. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 13, 1–6.
- Ulaby, F.T., Moore, R.K., Fung, A.K., 1982. Microwave remote sensing: Active and passive. Volume 2-Radar remote sensing and surface scattering and emission theory. USGS, 2014. Estimation of Secchi Depth from turbidity Data in the Willamette River at Portland. http://or.water.usgs.gov/will_morrison/secchi_depth_model.
- Van Dijk, A., Renzullo, L.J., 2011. Water resource monitoring systems and the role of satellite observations.
- Van Dijk, A., Renzullo, L.J., Rodell, M., 2011. Use of Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment terrestrial water storage retrievals to evaluate model estimates by the Australian water resources assessment system. *Water Resour. Res.* 47.
- Van Loon, A.F., Gleeson, T., Clark, J., Van Dijk, A.I., Stahl, K., Hannaford, J., Di Baldassarre, G., Teuling, A.J., Tallaksen, L.M., Uijlenhoet, R., 2016. Drought in the Anthropocene. *Nat. Geosci.* 9, 89.
- Van Loon, A.F., Kumar, R., Mishra, V., 2017. Testing the use of standardised indices and GRACE satellite data to estimate the European 2015 groundwater drought in near-real time. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 21, 1947.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Beguería, S., López-Moreno, J.I., 2010. A multiscale drought index sensitive to global warming: the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. *J. Clim.* 23, 1696–1718.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Miralles, D.G., Domínguez-Castro, F., Azorin-Molina, C., El Kenawy, A., McVicar, T.R., Tomás-Burguera, M., Beguería, S., Maneta, M., Peñal-Gallardo, M., 2018. Global assessment of the Standardized Evapotranspiration Deficit Index (SEDI) for drought analysis and monitoring. *J. Clim.* 31, 5371–5393.
- Villadsen, H., Andersen, O.B., Stenseng, L., Nielsen, K., Knudsen, P., 2015. CryoSat-2 altimetry for river level monitoring—Evaluation in the Ganges-Brahmaputra River basin. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 168, 80–89.
- Vitousek, P.M., Aber, J.D., Howarth, R.W., Likens, G.E., Matson, P.A., Schindler, D.W., Schlesinger, W.H., Tilman, D.G., 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences. *Ecol. Appl.* 7, 737–750.
- Volpe, V., Silvestri, S., Marani, M., 2011. Remote sensing retrieval of suspended sediment concentration in shallow waters. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 44–54.
- Vörösmarty, C.J., McIntyre, P.B., Gessner, M.O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P., Glidden, S., Bunn, S.E., Sullivan, C.A., Liermann, C.R., 2010. Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature* 467, 555.
- Vos, R., Hakvoort, J., Jordans, R., Ibelings, B.W., 2003. Multiplatform optical monitoring of eutrophication in temporally and spatially variable lakes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 312, 221–243.
- Voss, K.A., Famiglietti, J.S., Lo, M., De Linage, C., Rodell, M., Swenson, S.C., 2013. Groundwater depletion in the Middle East from GRACE with implications for trans-boundary water management in the Tigris-Euphrates-Western Iran region. *Water Resour. Res.* 49, 904–914.
- Vyas, S.S., Bhattacharya, B.K., Nigam, R., Guhathakurta, P., Ghosh, K., Chattopadhyay, N., Gairola, R.M., 2015. A combined deficit index for regional agricultural drought assessment over semi-arid tract of India using geostationary meteorological satellite data. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 39, 28–39.
- Wahr, J., Swenson, S., Zlotnicki, V., Velicogna, I., 2004. Time-variable gravity from GRACE: First results. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31.
- Wan, L., Liu, M., Wang, F., Zhang, T., You, H., 2019. Automatic extraction of flood inundation areas from SAR images: a case study of Jilin, China during the 2017 flood disaster. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 40, 5050–5077.
- Wang, H., Yang, Z., Saito, Y., Liu, J.P., Sun, X., 2006. Interannual and seasonal variation of the Huanghe (Yellow River) water discharge over the past 50 years: connections to impacts from ENSO events and dams. *Global Planet. Change* 50, 212–225.
- Wang, L., Qu, J.J., 2007. NMDI: A normalized multi-band drought index for monitoring soil and vegetation moisture with satellite remote sensing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34.
- Wang, X., de Linage, C., Famiglietti, J., Zender, C.S., 2011. Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) detection of water storage changes in the Three Gorges Reservoir of China and comparison with in situ measurements. *Water Resour. Res.* 47.
- Wass, P., Marks, S., Finch, J., Leeks, G.J.L., Ingram, J., 1997. Monitoring and preliminary interpretation of in-river turbidity and remote sensed imagery for suspended sediment transport studies in the Humber catchment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 194, 263–283.
- Wells, N., Goddard, S., Hayes, M.J., 2004. A self-calibrating Palmer drought severity index. *J. Clim.* 17, 2335–2351.
- West, H., Quinn, N., Horswell, M., 2019. Remote sensing for drought monitoring & impact assessment: Progress, past challenges and future opportunities. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 232, 111291.
- Wing, O.E., Bates, P.D., Smith, A.M., Sampson, C.C., Johnson, K.A., Fargione, J., Morefield, P., 2018. Estimates of present and future flood risk in the conterminous United States. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13, 034023.
- Woodhouse, L.H., 2017. Introduction to Microwave Remote Sensing. CRC Press.
- Woodruff, D.L., Stumpf, R.P., Scope, J.A., Paerl, H.W., 1999. Remote estimation of water clarity in optically complex estuarine waters. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 68, 41–52.
- World Population Prospects. (2019). <https://www.un.org/development/desa/en/news/population/world-population-prospects-2019.html>.
- Wu, G., De Leeuw, J., Skidmore, A.K., Prins, H.H., Liu, Y., 2008. Comparison of MODIS and Landsat TM5 images for mapping tempo-spatial dynamics of Secchi disk depths in Poyang Lake National Nature Reserve, China. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 29, 2183–2198.
- Xu, H., 2006. Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 27, 3025–3033.
- Xu, K., Zhang, J., Watanabe, M., Sun, C., 2004. Estimating river discharge from very high-resolution satellite data: a case study in the Yangtze River, China. *Hydrol. Process.* 18, 1927–1939.
- Yan, H., Moradkhani, H., Zarekarizi, M., 2017. A probabilistic drought forecasting framework: A combined dynamical and statistical approach. *J. Hydrol.* 548, 291–304.
- Yan, H., Wang, S.Q., Lu, H.Q., Yu, Q., Zhu, Z.C., Myneni, R.B., Liu, Q., Shugart, H.H., 2014. Development of a remotely sensing seasonal vegetation-based Palmer Drought Severity Index and its application of global drought monitoring over 1982–2011. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 119, 9419–9440.
- Yirdaw, S.Z., Snelgrove, K.R., Agboma, C.O., 2008. GRACE satellite observations of terrestrial moisture changes for drought characterization in the Canadian Prairie. *J. Hydrol.* 356, 84–92.
- Yoon, Y., Durand, M., Merry, C.J., Clark, E.A., Andreadis, K.M., Alsdorf, D.E., 2012. Estimating river bathymetry from data assimilation of synthetic SWOT measurements. *J. Hydrol.* 464, 363–375.
- Zaitchik, B.F., Rodell, M., Reichle, R.H., 2008. Assimilation of GRACE terrestrial water storage data into a land surface model: Results for the Mississippi River basin. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 9, 535–548.
- Zambrano, F., Lillo-Saavedra, M., Verbist, K., Lagos, O., 2016. Sixteen years of agricultural drought assessment of the BíoBío region in Chile using a 250 m resolution Vegetation Condition Index (VCI). *Remote Sensing* 8, 530.
- Zargar, A., Sadiq, R., Naser, B., Khan, F.I., 2011. A review of drought indices. *Environ. Rev.* 19, 333–349.
- Zhang, A., Jia, G., 2013. Monitoring meteorological drought in semiarid regions using multi-sensor microwave remote sensing data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 134, 12–23.
- Zhang, A., Jia, G., Wang, H., 2019a. Improving meteorological drought monitoring capability over tropical and subtropical water-limited ecosystems: evaluation and ensemble of the Microwave Integrated Drought Index. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 14, 044025.
- Zhang, B., AghaKouchak, A., Yang, Y., Wei, J., Wang, G., 2019b. A water-energy balance approach for multi-category drought assessment across globally diverse hydrological basins. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 264, 247–265.
- Zhang, D., Liu, X., Bai, P., 2019c. Assessment of hydrological drought and its recovery time for eight tributaries of the Yangtze River (China) based on downscaled GRACE data. *J. Hydrol.* 568, 592–603.
- Zhang, D., Zhang, Q., Werner, A.D., Liu, X., 2016. GRACE-based hydrological drought evaluation of the Yangtze River Basin, China. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 17, 811–828.
- Zhang, J., Xu, K., Watanabe, M., Yang, Y., Chen, X., 2004. Estimation of river discharge from non-trapezoidal open channel using QuickBird-2 satellite imagery/Utilisation des images satellites de Quickbird-2 pour le calcul des débits fluviaux en chenaux ouverts non-trapézoïdaux. *Hydrolog. Sci. J.* 49.
- Zhang, L., Liu, Y., Ren, L., Jiang, S., Yang, X., Yuan, F., Wang, M., Wei, L., 2019d. Drought Monitoring and Evaluation by ESA CCI Soil Moisture Products Over the Yellow River Basin. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.*
- Zhang, S., Gao, H., Naz, B.S., 2014. Monitoring reservoir storage in South Asia from multisatellite remote sensing. *Water Resour. Res.* 50, 8927–8943.
- Zhang, Y., Pulliainen, J., Koponen, S., Hallikainen, M., 2002. Application of an empirical neural network to surface water quality estimation in the Gulf of Finland using combined optical data and microwave data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 81, 327–336.
- Zhang, Y., Pulliainen, J., Koponen, S., Hallikainen, M., 2003a. Empirical algorithms for Secchi disk depth using optical and microwave remote sensing data from the Gulf of Finland and the Archipelago Sea. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 8, 251–261.
- Zhang, Y., Pulliainen, J.T., Koponen, S.S., Hallikainen, M.T., 2003b. Water quality retrievals from combined Landsat TM data and ERS-2 SAR data in the Gulf of Finland. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 41, 622–629.
- Zhao, D., Xing, X., Liu, Y., Yang, J., Wang, L., 2010. The relation of chlorophyll-a concentration with the reflectance peak near 700 nm in algae-dominated waters and sensitivity of fluorescence algorithms for detecting algal bloom. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 31, 39–48.
- Zhao, H., Ma, Y., 2019. Evaluating the Drought-Monitoring Utility of Four Satellite-Based Quantitative Precipitation Estimation Products at Global Scale. *Remote Sensing* 11, 2010.
- Zhao, Q., Zhang, B., Yao, Y., Wu, W., Meng, G., Chen, Q., 2019. Geodetic and hydrological

- measurements reveal the recent acceleration of groundwater depletion in North China Plain. *J. Hydrol.*
- Zhou, Y., Dong, J., Xiao, X., Xiao, T., Yang, Z., Zhao, G., Zou, Z., Qin, Y., 2017. Open surface water mapping algorithms: a comparison of water-related spectral indices and sensors. *Water* 9, 256.
- Zhu, W., Yu, Q., Tian, Y.Q., Chen, R.F., Gardner, G.B., 2011. Estimation of chromophoric dissolved organic matter in the Mississippi and Atchafalaya river plume regions using above-surface hyperspectral remote sensing. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* 116, 116.
- Zou, Z., Dong, J., Menarguez, M.A., Xiao, X., Qin, Y., Doughty, R.B., Hooker, K.V., Hambright, K.D., 2017. Continued decrease of open surface water body area in Oklahoma during 1984–2015. *Sci. Total Environ.* 595, 451–460.
- Zuo, D., Cai, S., Xu, Z., Peng, D., Kan, G., Sun, W., Pang, B., Yang, H., 2019. Assessment of meteorological and agricultural droughts using in-situ observations and remote sensing data. *Agric. Water Manag.* 222, 125–138.