

The Emergence of Microbiome Centers

Jennifer B.H. Martiny, Dept. of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Irvine*

Katrine L. Whiteson, Dept. of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, University of California, Irvine

Brendan J.M. Bohannon, Dept. of Environmental Studies and Dept. of Biology, University of Oregon

Lawrence David, Dept. of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology and Center for Genomics and Computational Biology, Duke University

Nicole A. Hynson, Pacific Biosciences Research Center, University of Hawaii at Manoa

Margaret McFall-Ngai, Pacific Biosciences Research Center, University of Hawaii at Manoa

John F. Rawls, Duke Microbiome Center, Dept. of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University School of Medicine

Thomas M. Schmidt, Michigan Microbiome Project, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Zaid Abdo, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Colorado State University

Martin J. Blaser, Rutgers University Microbiome Program, Center for Advanced Biotechnology and Medicine, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ

Seth Bordenstein, Vanderbilt Microbiome Initiative, Departments of Biological Sciences and Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University

Christian Bréchet, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Disease & International Medicine, University of South Florida

Carolee T. Bull, Department of Plant Pathology and Environmental Microbiology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA

Pieter Dorrestein, Center for Microbiome Innovation, Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego

Jonathan A. Eisen, UC Davis Microbiome Special Research Program, Department of Evolution and Ecology, Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, Davis

Ferran Garcia-Pichel, Center for Fundamental And Applied Microbiomics, Biodesign Institute, and School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University

Jack Gilbert, Center for Microbiome Innovation and Departments of Pediatrics and Marine Biology, University of California, San Diego

Kirsten S. Hofmockel, Earth and Biological Sciences Directorate, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA

Mary L. Holtz, Center for Microbiome Research, and Department of Pediatrics, Division of Gastroenterology, The Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

Rob Knight, Center for Microbiome Innovation and Departments of Pediatrics, Bioengineering and Computer Science & Engineering, University of California, San Diego

David B. Mark Welch, Marine Biological Laboratory

Daniel McDonald, Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego

Barbara Methé, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh

Nigel J. Mouncey, DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Noel T. Mueller, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University

Catherine A. Pfister, Department of Ecology & Evolution, University of Chicago

Lita Proctor, former Human Microbiome Project (HMP) Coordinator at the National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health

Joel L. Sachs, Dept. of Evolution Ecology & Organismal Biology, University of California, Riverside

* Corresponding author

As microbiome science expands, academic centers scramble to fill many needs from service provider to industry liaison. A new network aims to share strategies and accelerate knowledge transfer and invites others to join these efforts.

Microbiomes – assemblages of interacting microorganisms such as bacteria, archaea, fungi, and viruses – are increasingly recognized as crucial to the functioning of

ecosystems ranging from the deep sea to the human body. Understanding something as complex as a microbiome requires combining perspectives from many disciplines. Such transdisciplinary research is increasingly being organized, supported and facilitated in Microbiome Centers, academic hubs of microbiome-related research. In the US alone, more than 50 US centers have been established at universities and national labs, most of them in the past three years. We argue that this rapid and recent growth signals that microbiome science is entering a new phase in its evolution, as the field is recognized as central to the life sciences and relevant to many other disciplines and industrial applications.

What is a microbiome center and what explains their extraordinary growth in numbers? While their missions and histories vary, microbiome centers across the US primarily exist to facilitate microbiome research, which is reflected in the fact the core activities are common across many of them. The top three priorities of the centers are to (i) accelerate new microbiome research by facilitating collaborations, (ii) support new projects, and (iii) provide lab and analytical services. Furthermore, the centers serve many parts of the campus and local community, not just research faculty. Most of them also develop curriculum materials for graduate and undergraduate education, offer resources to the broader scientific community, communicate with the public about microbiome research, and act as a liaison between researchers and industry.

There are several drivers for the emergence of microbiome centers. First and foremost, the growing interest among researchers to include microbiomes in their research has outstripped the capacity of microbiome experts to collaborate with newcomers to the field. While we have models for training graduate students in a new field, we do not have good models for training mid-career researchers or beyond who seek to add a new dimension to their work. The centers have tackled this challenge in different ways, from developing service facilities and providing seed funding, to training in microbiome experimental design and analysis, as well as project consulting. A second driver of the emergence of microbiome centers is a desire for a more holistic approach to microbiome research, one that shares and builds on knowledge from across ecological systems and scientific disciplines. Microorganisms reside nearly everywhere on the planet, and regardless of their habitat, perform processes that are governed by similar evolutionary and ecological constraints. Reflective of this, few of the current centers focus exclusively on one system such as the human microbiome, and most encompass research on a variety of plant and animal hosts, environmental ecosystems, and human-engineered systems. Microbiome centers thus bring together a diverse range of scholars from the sciences to humanities that naturally bridge across the traditional university structure. Finally, the rise of microbiome centers is a result of the funding landscape. Centers provide a mechanism for involving philanthropy in microbiome science with the particular benefit that this funding may not be restricted to a specific system.

Despite these commonalities among microbiome centers, there has been relatively little communication among them, but this is now changing. Last June, a Microbiome Center Consortium (MCC) was launched after representatives of 28 US microbiome centers gathered for a meeting at the University of California, Irvine. The aim of forming such a network of centers is to strengthen their ability to develop their mission, acting as an entry point for those new to the field and providing a cross-

disciplinary bridge that paves the way for a more holistic study of microbiomes. The potential synergies of a consortium are many-fold: the network can share best practices about their broad range of activities and help reduce redundancy in their workloads, as well as become a communication hub to both advocate for the field in the media and advise policymakers. The MCC can also contribute to the development, implementation and communication of methodological and data standards, as well as curricula and other materials. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, an integrated network can provide a platform for the major work ahead for the field. Regardless of the system (e.g., host associated or environmental), the big questions in microbiome science are similar. What role do microbiomes play in system health and resilience? Are the drivers of community structure conserved across different systems? Can we alter microbiomes to improve environmental and human health and develop more sustainable biotechnology and resilient agriculture? To answer these questions, the field must uncover fundamental principles of microbiomes that will not be apparent by studying one system at a time. Addressing these challenges will require sharing knowledge, expertise and ideas widely among scientists and non-scientists alike, and across borders.

However, there is also a large structural barrier for microbiome science, which the MCC would aim to address. Over 85% of academic centers in the US are currently supported by short-term, internal funding and depend heavily on faculty volunteering their time, motivated by a desire to support their colleagues and grow microbiome science. This model is not sustainable and is insufficient to cover staffing needs and meet their long-term objectives. The reliance of the centers on transient funding is partly due to their being new, and in the near term they will require more stable support, like other research cores. However, this situation also stems from the centers' transdisciplinary nature, supporting both host-associated (e.g., human, plant) and non-host-associated (e.g., soil, water, built environments) microbiome science. While the historical distinction between host and non-host microbiome science is no longer useful or accurate, it continues to influence research funding. For example, there are few funding sources in the US that support both medical research and environmental research when in fact microbiome science often crosscuts agency priorities^{1,2}. This is a major impediment to the growth of microbiome science and a challenge that the MCC hopes to address. Some options available are broadening the scope of existing funding calls in recognition of the blurred lines of microbiome systems, developing philanthropic and industry partnerships, and providing training opportunities for students and later-career researchers to help them leap between systems.

Research networks have been very useful for other transdisciplinary and rapidly growing fields of research, from environmental science (e.g., the Ocean Carbon & Biogeochemistry Project <https://www.us-ocb.org/> and the Global Soil Biodiversity Initiative <https://www.globalsoilbiodiversity.org/>) to human health (e.g., the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center <https://www.alz.washington.edu/> in the US). These networks provide successful examples of the synergies described above, and we aim to learn from them as we grow this Microbiome Centers Consortium <http://microbiomecenters.org/>. We invite any microbiome centers that are not yet part, or new ones that arise, to join in these efforts as diverse and dense networks tend to be more robust. Advancing microbiome research so that it can fulfill its translational potential and be harnessed to improve ecosystem and human health will require the

“horizontal transfer” of knowledge, expertise and ideas widely among scientists across the globe³. Inspired by the rich biological networks of the microbiomes it studies, a Microbiome Center Consortium and its future connections with others around the globe will provide a means for this transfer and a voice for microbiome science.

References

- 1 Alivisatos, A. P. *et al.* A unified initiative to harness Earth's microbiomes. *Science* **350**, 507-508, doi:10.1126/science.aac8480 (2015).
- 2 Stulberg, E. *et al.* An assessment of US microbiome research. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, 15015, doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.15 (2016).
- 3 Dubilier, N., McFall-Ngai, M. & Zhao, L. Microbiology: Create a global microbiome effort. *Nature* **526**, 631-634, doi:10.1038/526631a (2015).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.