

1 Observation of Ultrafast Coherence Transfer and Degenerate States 2 with Polarization-Controlled Two-Dimensional Electronic 3 Spectroscopy

4 Published as part of The Journal of Physical Chemistry virtual special issue "Yoshitaka Tanimura Festschrift".

5 Andy S. Sardjan, Floris P. Westerman, Jennifer P. Ogilvie, and Thomas L. C. Jansen*



Cite This: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c08126>



Read Online

ACCESS |




Metrics & More



Article Recommendations

6 **ABSTRACT:** Optical spectroscopy is a powerful tool to inter-
7 rogate quantum states of matter. We present simulation results for
8 the cross-polarized two-dimensional electronic spectra of the light-
9 harvesting system LH2 of purple bacteria. We identify a spectral
10 feature on the diagonal, which we assign to ultrafast coherence
11 transfer between degenerate states. The implication for the
12 interpretation of previous experiments on different systems and
13 the potential use of this feature are discussed. In particular, we
14 foresee that this kind of feature will be useful for identifying mixed
15 degenerate states and for identifying the origin of symmetry
16 breaking disorder in systems like LH2. Furthermore, this may help identify both vibrational and electronic states in biological
17 systems such as proteins and solid-state materials such as hybrid perovskites.



18 ■ INTRODUCTION

19 Coherent multidimensional spectroscopies (CMDS) are
20 powerful tools to unravel optical, structural, and dynamical
21 properties of matter.^{1–8} Examples include the determination of
22 protein structures,⁹ unraveling the tumbling motion of organic
23 cations in hybrid perovskites,¹⁰ and the determination of
24 relaxation pathways in natural light-harvesting systems.^{11–17}
25 Since the early development of CMDS techniques, it was
26 realized that polarization control provides a crucial handle to
27 suppress unwanted signals,^{18,19} highlight specific proper-
28 ties,^{20–22} and simplify the interpretation of spectra.²³ One of
29 the polarization schemes proposed was the cross-polarized
30 sequence applicable in two-dimensional infrared (2DIR)²⁰ and
31 two-dimensional electronic spectroscopy (2DES).²⁴ This
32 technique was designed to eliminate diagonal peaks and
33 expose the cross-peaks needed for determining structure.
34 Despite several successes,^{20,24–28} the cross-polarized sequence
35 has received limited attention due to the difficulty of
36 interpreting the resulting spectra and the inherent low intensity
37 of the signals. Here, we will simulate the cross-polarized 2DES
38 spectra for the light-harvesting complex LH2 and demonstrate
39 that the spectra contain a persistent feature originating from
40 ultrafast coherence transfer, which can be used as a signature of
41 mixed degenerate states in the system. That is, degenerate
42 states involving the same chromophores will be visible, while
43 accidental degenerate states will not give rise to the observed
44 signature.

The cross-polarization scheme illustrated in Figure 1 was 45 fl
originally demonstrated to suppress the 2DIR signal of isolated 46
vibrations in isotropic media.²⁰ This also implies that the 47
individual ground-state bleach, stimulated emission, and 48
excited-state absorption signals are suppressed for an isolated 49
vibrational or electronic state. If the transition dipole of the 50
transition is further fixed in space, the suppression is perfect, 51
resulting in zero 2DIR/ES signal from isolated states. Still, 52
weak diagonal ground-state bleach signals were observed in 53
experiments and simulations.²⁶ As stated above, we will here 54
demonstrate that such signals can arise due to ultrafast 55
coherence transfer.

Shortly after the first coherent two-dimensional spectra^{7,29} 56
were published, ultrafast coherence transfer was reported.³⁰ 57
This was followed up by a few reports for different two- 58
dimensional techniques.^{31–33} The process of coherence 60
transfer is illustrated in the double-sided Feynman diagrams 61
in Figure 1. During the coherence times (t_1 and t_3) the system 62
is in a coherence between two states separated by an energy 63
comparable to the energy of the laser photons. While a 64

Received: September 6, 2020

Revised: September 22, 2020

Published: September 29, 2020




Figure 1. Top: illustration of the rephasing ground-state bleach (RGB), rephasing stimulated emission (RSE), and rephasing excited-state absorption (REA) double-sided Feynman diagrams. The letter g signifies the ground state, letters a–e represent different single excited states, and the letters n and m represent double excited states. The two coherence times are labeled t_1 and t_3 , while the population time is labeled t_2 . The change of letter during these times illustrates the possibility for the quantum state to change. The horizontal blue lines indicate potential population transfer, while horizontal red lines indicate potential coherence transfer. Bottom left: the angles between the four polarization vectors in the cross-polarization experiment is illustrated. The arrows show the polarization of each collinear beam with the time order given by the added numbers. Bottom right: labeling of the different energy levels is illustrated.

coherence may initially be generated between, for example, the ground state and the state a, the process of coherence transfer arising from the bath induced mixing of quantum states in the system may lead to a coherence between the ground state and the state b. During the population time, both coherence transfer and population transfer may take place. The coherence times are often quite short, suppressing coherence transfer during these time delays. Therefore, coherence transfer pathways are often neglected in the modeling and interpretation of two-dimensional spectra.³⁴

In the remainder of this paper, we will first describe the methods used to simulate the two-dimensional electronic spectra of the LH2 system. Then we will present the results for different polarization directions and population times and analyze the origin of the spectral features. Finally, we will draw our conclusions.

METHODS

The LH2 system was treated by considering each of the 27 bacteriochlorophyll molecules as a two-level electronic system representing the ground and the Q_y state of each molecule. The structure of the system was taken from the protein database (pdb) structure named 1kzu.³⁵ The two-level systems

were coupled using the transition-dipole coupling scheme. This allows the system to be described by a time-dependent Frenkel exciton Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = \sum_i \epsilon_i(t) b_i^\dagger b_i + \sum_{j \neq i} J_{ij} b_i^\dagger b_j + \sum_i \vec{\mu}_i \cdot \vec{E}(t) [b_i^\dagger + b_i] \quad (1)$$

Here, i and j label the 27 bacteriochlorophylls, b_i^\dagger and b_i are the Paulionic creation and annihilation operators, and $\vec{E}(t)$ is the external electric field. The time-dependent energy gap for each molecule is given by $\epsilon_i(t)$, while the transition-dipole moment vector is $\vec{\mu}_i$. The coupling between different molecules is given by J_{ij} . A transition dipole strength was set to 5.001 D, and this transition dipole is located on the magnesium atom and directed from the nitrogen denoted NB in the pdb structure to the nitrogen denoted ND in the pdb structure. The structure and resulting transition dipoles and couplings were assumed fixed throughout the simulations. The chromophores in LH2 are arranged in two rings. One ring contains 18 bacteriochlorophyll molecules and is termed B850 for its absorption at 850 nm. The other ring of nine bacteriochlorophyll molecules absorbs light at 800 nm and is termed B800.

The average energy of the B850 chromophores was set to 12255 cm^{-1} while the energy of the B800 chromophores was set to 12495 cm^{-1} . The dynamic disorder was modeled with overdamped Brownian motion with a standard deviation of the fluctuation of 320 cm^{-1} for the B850 chromophores and 141 cm^{-1} for the B800 chromophores. For both types of chromophores, the correlation time was set to 150 fs. These parameters are slightly adjusted for better agreement with the linear absorption as compared to the values used previously.³⁶ The coupling was obtained by using the transition dipole coupling model. In this way, a 600 ps long trajectory of the Hamiltonian is created where the off-diagonal elements are constant as the location and orientation of the chromophores are fixed as defined by the pdb structure. The diagonal elements, on the other hand, are fluctuating, reflecting the effect of the bath dynamics on the excitation frequencies of the individual chromophores. The python script used to generate the Hamiltonian is available on github.³⁷

The 2DES spectra were calculated by using the Numerical Integration of the Schrödinger Equation (NISE) scheme,^{38,39} which essentially uses the classical path approximation to calculate the four-point transition dipole response functions governing the 2DES signal. For the present simulations an improved implementation was done explicitly by using coupled two-level systems instead of three-level systems with large anharmonicity effectively moving overtone peaks out of the observation window. In this method, the quantum system is propagated by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for short (3 fs) time intervals assuming that the Hamiltonian, including the effect of the bath fluctuations, can be considered constant during each interval. This procedure accounts for nonadiabatic transitions during all time delays and therefore includes both population transfer and coherence transfer processes as driven by the bath fluctuations. The most important approximation of this scheme is that the bath is independent of the system's degrees of freedom, and a detailed balance is not recovered.⁴⁰ For the spectral properties studied here this limitation is not important.

Furthermore, the code was parallelized by using a hybrid MPI and OpenMP approach. The calculation can be split up in large, independent chunks of work: different starting positions

for averaging along the trajectory as well as the 21 different box polarizations²¹ for each starting position. By use of MPI, each of these chunks is distributed to different nodes. Each chunk of work consists of long-running loops iterating over t_1 ; these loops were parallelized by using OpenMP to make use of the multiple cores in a node. In essence, the time-dependent Hamiltonian trajectory is used to calculate the response function through propagating the electronic wave function from numerous starting points along the trajectory and evaluating the average. More details are provided in recent reviews.^{2,41} The calculations were performed by using the same setting as in our previous study of F-2DES and 2DES with parallel polarization.³⁶ The most important simulation details are summarized in the following. The coherence times (t_1 and t_3) were varied from 0 to 192 fs in 3 fs steps. The waiting times were varied from 0 fs to 2 ps. A 300 fs exponential apodization function was used to suppress numerical noise. The spectral disorder in the diagonal energies. These realizations were equally spaced along the generated Hamiltonian trajectory.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The calculated 2DES spectra at zero waiting time for the parallel, perpendicular, and cross-polarization are shown in Figure 2. For the parallel and perpendicular polarization clear




Figure 2. 2DES spectra for LH2 at waiting time zero for parallel (||, top), perpendicular (⊥, middle), and cross (x, bottom) polarization. Contour lines as plotted at 10% levels of the maximum of the individual 2DES spectrum. Red contours represent the absorption signal, while blue contours represent bleach.

bleach signals are observed at the diagonal around 11700 cm^{-1} (the B850 band) and around 12500 cm^{-1} (the B800 band). Above the diagonal B850 band a clear excited-state absorption feature is observed. No obvious cross-peaks are observed between the two bands. These general trends are in good agreement with both previous simulations and experiments. The biggest difference between the parallel and perpendicular

polarization spectra is that the intensity of the parallel spectrum is about 3 times larger than that of the perpendicular one. This is not visible in Figure 2 due to the individual scaling of the contour lines. The cross-polarization spectrum is very different. The intensity is about 50 times lower, which also results in a worse signal-to-noise ratio. The spectrum consists of three peaks all located in the B850 region. No clear peaks are observed in the B800 region. The interpretation of the cross-polarization spectrum is complex, but essentially this choice of polarization is thought to eliminate all diagonal peaks, leaving only cross-peaks in the spectra. As the B850 band essentially originates from 18 coupled chromophores, the observed peaks should consist of cross-peaks between the resulting collective excitations. In a simplified manner, the spectrum can be seen as two peak pairs: one at the position of the diagonal peak of the parallel spectrum and another peak pair at the position of the excited-state absorption peak. This kind of structure arises as the peaks are dominated by coherent excitations of collective excited states²⁶ which result in peaks that are expected to acquire a complex phase with waiting time determined by the energy difference between the involved states. In other words, we expect that these peaks are oscillating signatures of electronic coherences between the collective excited states in the B850 band. As the excitations in the B800 band are much more localized,^{40,42} it is not surprising that peaks in that spectral region are too weak to be observed.

The cross-polarization 2DES spectra were calculated for different waiting times. In Figure 3 the spectra are shown for representative choices of these. We observe that the peak intensity rapidly decreases with waiting time, and from around 72 fs the spectrum is dominated by a single sharp diagonal bleach feature. This bleach peak is very persistent and is even clearly visible above the numerical noise level after 2 ps. The




Figure 3. Cross-polarized 2DES spectra for LH2 for different waiting times. From top to bottom: 0, 72, 300, and 2000 fs. Contour lines as plotted at 10% levels of the maximum of the 2DES spectrum at 0 fs waiting time.

observation of this persistent constant amplitude peak was unexpected. In the papers, where this polarization configuration was first proposed, it was found that diagonal peaks should be eliminated.²⁰ From symmetry considerations, as worked out in detail in refs 20 and 21, the signal from individual chromophores should completely vanish and no ground-state bleach signal should be present, at least as long as the identity of the excited states was preserved during the coherence times. We would, therefore, expect that the signals should oscillate and rapidly decay as they should originate from stimulated emission or excited-state absorption diagrams involving electronic coherences. The same data are shown in Figure 4 where the data are normalized for each individual waiting time. This reveals that there is no significant diagonal peak at the B800 peak position.




Figure 4. Cross-polarized 2DES spectra for LH2 for different waiting times. From top to bottom: 0, 72, 300, and 2000 fs (same as Figure 3). Contour lines as plotted at 10% levels of the maximum of the 2DES spectrum for each individual waiting time.

The origin of the persistent peak was analyzed by separating the 2DES cross-polarized spectrum at 300 fs in the ground-state bleach, stimulated emission, and excited-state contributions as shown in Figure 5. Interestingly, the stimulated emission signal is not distinguishable from the numerical noise, while the excited-state absorption has a small absorptive contribution at the location of the persistent peak feature. The strongest signal is seen in the ground-state bleach contribution, which was expected to be efficiently suppressed by the cross-polarization scheme. We did find that stimulated emission contributes to the signal at shorter times; however, that signal is dominated by short-lived rapidly oscillating electronic coherences between the B800 and B850 states. The absence of a stimulated emission peak rules out a simple interpretation that the peak arises from an electronic coherence during the population time between two degenerate states, whose energies are correlated on a 300 fs time scale. The ground-state bleach signal at 300 fs is round, and any frequency




Figure 5. Decomposition of the two-dimensional cross-polarization spectra at 300 fs. From top to bottom: ground-state bleach, stimulated emission, excited-state absorption, and the full two-dimensional electronic spectrum. Contour lines as plotted at 10% levels of the maximum of the ground-state bleach spectrum.

memory has been lost during the population time. It is therefore expected that no further dynamics will take place and this signal will persist, decaying with the 1 ns lifetime⁴³ of the electronic excitation.

We proceed by examining diagonal cuts in the cross-polarization 2DES spectrum at population time 300 fs in Figure 6. The cuts through the cross-polarization spectra were




Figure 6. Comparison of diagonal cuts of the two-dimensional cross-polarization spectrum at 300 fs (red), the ground-state bleach contribution to the cross-polarization spectrum at 300 fs (green), and the two-dimensional parallel polarization spectrum at 300 fs (blue) with the linear absorption spectrum (black). The cross-polarization data are scaled by a factor of 50 compared to the parallel polarization data, while the linear absorption spectrum is scaled to match the peak height of the parallel polarization data.

251 scaled by a factor of 50 to bring them to the same scale as the
 252 parallel polarization cuts. From the presented cuts we observed
 253 that there is indeed only a persistent signal at the B850 peak
 254 position and nothing at the B800 peak position. The peaks
 255 seen in the diagonal cuts through the parallel polarization
 256 2DES spectra are narrower than the corresponding peaks in
 257 the calculated absorption spectrum. Still, the persistent peak in
 258 the cross-polarization spectrum is even narrower. This could
 259 suggest that states in a narrower range of energies are
 260 responsible for this feature or that an interference involving
 261 contributions with the opposite sign contributes to the signal.
 262 The time evolution of the signals at the B850 peak position
 263 is shown in Figure 7. The normal parallel polarization 2DES




Figure 7. Comparison of the intensity of different spectral components at the position of the B850 peak ($\omega_1 = \omega_3 = 12434 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). In dashed black the overall intensity of the parallel polarization signal is shown scaled with a factor 0.02 for comparison. The stimulated emission contribution is shown in blue, the excited-state absorption contribution is shown in red, and the ground-state bleach contribution to the cross-polarization signal is shown in green. The full cross-polarization signal is shown in black. All intensities are plotted relative to the parallel signal intensity at waiting time zero.

264 signal is scaled with a factor of 0.02. The parallel polarization
 265 signal initially decays to about one-third of the initial value as
 266 excitation is redistributed over the chromophores. The ground-
 267 state bleach, stimulated emission, and excited-state absorption
 268 signal contributions to the cross-polarization signal are
 269 essentially flat over the whole time range except at very early
 270 times ($<500 \text{ fs}$). The ground-state bleach signal is negative and
 271 about twice the magnitude of the excited-state absorption
 272 signal. The stimulated emission signal is close to zero except at
 273 very early times, where oscillations are observed due to
 274 electronic coherences. The variations after $\sim 300 \text{ fs}$ in the
 275 cross-polarization signal are attributed to numerical noise
 276 resulting from the numerical average over numerous disorder
 277 realizations, which is enhanced as the cross-polarization spectra
 278 are calculated as differences between signals.^{21,26} The cross-
 279 polarization at the B850 diagonal point is thus about 50 times
 280 weaker than the parallel polarization signal. In previous
 281 experiments, cross-polarization signals 20 times weaker than
 282 the parallel polarization were resolved, and suppression of a
 283 parallel polarization contributions by a factor 125 has
 284 previously been reported.²⁶

285 The anisotropy was obtained from the simulation data near
 286 the diagonal B850 and B800 peak using the relation

$r(t) = \frac{I_{\parallel}(t) - I_{\perp}(t)}{I_{\parallel}(t) + 2I_{\perp}(t)}$, where $I_{\parallel}(t)$ and $I_{\perp}(t)$ are the intensities at
 population time $t = t_2$ at the given spectral location for
 experiments with parallel and perpendicular laser pulse
 polarization, respectively. This is a measure of the loss of the
 orientational correlation of the transition dipole connected
 with the underlying transitions. In this case, the value starts
 close to 0.4, indicating perfect correlation and decays to 0.1 as
 the transition dipole is distributed over a circle in the plane of
 the aggregate. The experimental decay was reconstructed from
 the exponential decay constants reported in refs 44 and 45,
 demonstrating good agreement with the present simulation.
 The decay time of 60 fs in the B850 band is much faster than
 the 383 fs decay time in the B800 band, reflecting the weaker
 coupling between the nine chromophores in the B800 ring
 than that found for the more closely packed 18 chromophores
 in the B850 ring. The fast anisotropy decay indicates that the
 eigenstates in the B850 band exhibit either rapid nonadiabatic
 mixing or fast population transfer, and the transition dipole
 direction for the bright eigenstates loses orientational
 correlation in the plane spanned by the transition dipoles on
 the fast 60 fs time scale, more than 6 times faster than the same
 process in the B800 band. The anisotropy decay is compared
 with the absolute value linear response function connected
 with the chromophores contributing to a particular band:
 $R_{BXXX}(t) = |\sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} \langle \mu_{\alpha}(t) U(t,0) P_{BXXX} \mu_{\alpha}(0) \rangle|$, where $\mu_{\alpha}(t)$ is
 the transition dipole moment and $U(t,0)$ is the time evolution
 operator. P_{BXXX} is a projection matrix that selects the
 chromophores contributing to each band. Thus, its elements
 are zero except on the diagonal, where they take a value of one
 for chromophores contributing to the B800 or B850 band. The
 dephasing time given by the decay of the response function
 essentially determines the width of the given peak in the
 absorption spectrum. The fast anisotropy decay observed for
 the B850 band means that the transition dipole moments of
 the B850 bright eigenstates lose their orientational identity.
 For ring structures like the B850 ring, it is well-known that for
 symmetry reasons the excited states dominating the absorption
 band are a set of degenerate states denoted the $k = \pm 1$ states.
 The transition dipoles of these two states are perpendicular to
 each other. The disorder in the system breaks the degeneracy
 slightly and efficiently mixes the two states. In the two-
 dimensional spectra, this takes place as a coherence transfer
 process during the coherence times. As the anisotropy decay
 and the dephasing time of the B850 states are comparable, the
 coherence transfer is efficient enough to scramble the
 transition-dipole directions during the coherence times. This
 is much weaker for the B800 band as the anisotropy decay is
 significantly slower than the dephasing time.

We can now understand why there is a persistent ground-
 state bleach B850 signal for the cross-polarization as the
 polarization is in essence scrambled by coherence transfer
 during the t_1 and t_3 time periods, which destroys the
 suppression of the diagonal peak. For the B800 band, the
 coherence transfer is too slow to produce a visible cross-
 polarization peak. The stimulated emission peak, on the other
 hand, disappears at long time delays as coherence and
 population transfer during the population time induce strong
 interference between signals from the two dominant
 eigenstates. The excited-state absorption signal is still nonzero
 at longer times, and as seen in Figure 6, roughly half of the
 ground-state bleach signal is canceled by the excited-state
 contribution.




Figure 8. Comparison of the anisotropy decay as a function of waiting time extracted from the calculated 2DES spectra for LH2 at the position of the B850 peak ($\omega_1 = 11739 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\omega_3 = 11653 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) (red) and the B800 peak ($\omega_1 = \omega_3 = 12434 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) (blue) and the scaled absolute value linear response function (dotted lines) as defined for the two types of chromophores in the text. Anisotropy decay reconstructed from the experimental 2DES data of ref 44 at the B850 (red dashed) and B800 (blue dashed) peak positions are shown for comparison.

results very well. In the cross-polarization data, an unexpected persistent ground-state bleach feature was observed, which was assigned to coherence transfer resulting in the mixing of the two degenerate states with the strongest transition dipoles. This feature was only observed for the B850 band and not for the B800 band. This can be understood as this feature requires the mixing of the degenerate exciton states on a time scale faster or comparable to the dephasing time of the involved exciton states. The coupling between the closely packed molecules in the B850 ring is much larger than the coupling in the less densely packed B800 ring, and therefore the coherence transfer is much faster in the B850 ring as also reflected in the faster anisotropy decay.

The cross-polarization 2D spectra are more challenging to obtain due to the inherently weak signals compared to the conventional parallel and perpendicular polarization spectra. However, in the cross-polarized spectrum, the sharply defined peak enables determination of the peak position of the degenerate pair more sensitively than in the conventional polarization spectra. This sharp feature may also be very sensitive to symmetry breaking.

The feature observed here for the cross-polarization two-dimensional electronic spectra of LH2 can also be expected to be found in other systems with degenerate states as well as in two-dimensional infrared and fluorescence detected two-dimensional spectroscopies. A few examples can be found in the infrared spectra of the asymmetric NH bend of alkylammonium ions (such as methylammonium), the amide I spectrum of α -helices,⁵⁵ the electronic spectra of thiagulene derivatives,⁵⁶ and the spectra of natural⁵⁷ and artificial⁵⁸ tubular aggregates. Furthermore, the observed feature may explain weak ground-state bleach features reported in the cross-polarization two-dimensional electronic spectra of the Fenna–Matthews–Olson complex.²⁶

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Thomas L. C. Jansen – Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0001-6066-6080; Email: t.l.c.jansen@rug.nl

Authors

Andy S. Sardjan – Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands
 Floris P. Westerman – Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands
 Jennifer P. Ogilvie – Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-4060-5437

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c08126>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.P.O. gratefully acknowledges the support of the National Science Foundation through Grant #PHY -1914608. T.L.C.J., F.P.W., and A.S.S. thank the Center for Information Technology of the University of Groningen for their support and for providing access to the Peregrine high performance

The persistent ground-state bleach feature identified in this paper will likely be even more prominent in fluorescence detected two-dimensional electronic spectra (F-2DES)^{46,47} as these spectra tend to be dominated by ground-state bleach and stimulated emission signals.^{36,48–50} The same coherence transfer mechanism observed here is the likely explanation for the weak ground-state bleach signals in the cross-polarization experiments and theory signals observed for the Fenna–Matthews–Olson complex, where polarization was used to suppress ground-state vibrational coherences.²⁶ When studying electronic coherences in this way, there is therefore a need to consider ground-state vibrational signals arising from coherence transfer processes.

In this study, we neglected the potential presence of strongly coupled vibrational modes. Such modes are known to contribute to electronic spectra including two-dimensional electronic spectra.^{51–53} Vibronic effects could potentially affect the predicted cross-polarization spectra. However, we would only expect them to affect the presence of the observed persistent peak if they break the symmetry, resulting in the mixed degenerate states.

In this study, we assumed the perfect symmetry of the LH2 system reported in the X-ray crystal structure. However, single-molecule experiments⁵⁴ suggest that this symmetry is in practice broken by a C_2 symmetry perturbation. Potentially, such perturbations will modify the persistent ground-state bleach feature observed in the ensemble cross-polarization two-dimensional spectra. Such experiments may thus provide a great handle on studying symmetry breaking in both LH2 and other systems, which otherwise require the use of single-molecule spectroscopy for detection.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we modeled the population time-resolved two-dimensional electronic spectra of the LH2 light-harvesting system using different laser polarization schemes. The calculated anisotropy decay reproduced recent experimental

444 computing cluster. Ariba Javed and Vivek Tiwari are kindly
445 acknowledged for fruitful discussions.

446 ■ REFERENCES

- 447 (1) Tanimura, Y.; Mukamel, S. Two-dimensional femtosecond
448 vibrational spectroscopy of liquids. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *99*, 9496.
449 (2) Jansen, T. L. C.; Knoester, J. Waiting Time Dynamics in Two-
450 Dimensional Infrared Spectroscopy. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 1405–
451 1411.
452 (3) Cho, M. Coherent two-dimensional optical spectroscopy. *Chem.*
453 *Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 1331.
454 (4) Jonas, D. M. Two-dimensional femtosecond spectroscopy. *Annu.*
455 *Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2003**, *54*, 425.
456 (5) Hochstrasser, R. M. Two-dimensional spectroscopy at infrared
457 and optical frequencies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104*,
458 14190.
459 (6) Wright, J. C. Multiresonant Coherent Multidimensional
460 Spectroscopy. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2011**, *62*, 209–230.
461 (7) Hybl, J. D.; Albrecht, A. W.; Faeder, S. M. G.; Jonas, D. M. Two-
462 Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *297*,
463 307–313.
464 (8) Hamm, P.; Lim, M.; DeGrado, W. F.; Hochstrasser, R. M. The
465 two-dimensional IR nonlinear spectroscopy of a cyclic penta-peptide
466 in relation to its three-dimensional structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
467 *S. A.* **1999**, *96*, 2036–2041.
468 (9) Manor, J.; Mukherjee, P.; Lin, Y.-S.; Leonov, H.; Skinner, J. L.;
469 Zanni, M. T.; Arkin, I. T. Gating Mechanism of the Influenza A M2
470 Channel Revealed by 1D and 2D IR Spectroscopies. *Structure* **2009**,
471 *17*, 247–254.
472 (10) Bakulin, A. A.; Selig, O.; Bakker, H. J.; Rezes, Y.; Müller, C.;
473 Lovrincic, R.; Sun, Z.; Chen, Z.; Walsh, A.; Frost, J.; et al. Real-Time
474 Observation of Organic Cation Reorientation in Methylammonium
475 Lead Iodide Perovskites. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 3663–3669.
476 (11) Brixner, T.; Stenger, J.; Vaswani, H. M.; Cho, M.; Blankenship,
477 R. E.; Fleming, G. R. Two-dimensional spectroscopy of electronic
478 couplings in photosynthesis. *Nature* **2005**, *434*, 625.
479 (12) Collini, E.; Wong, C. Y.; Wilk, K. E.; Curmi, P. M. G.; Brumer,
480 P.; Scholes, G. D. Coherently Wired Light-Harvesting in Photo-
481 synthetic Marine Algae at Ambient Temperature. *Nature* **2010**, *463*,
482 644.
483 (13) Dostál, J.; Mančal, T.; Augulis, R.; Vácha, F.; Pšenčík, J.;
484 Zigmantas, D. Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy Reveals
485 Ultrafast Energy Diffusion in Chlorosomes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**,
486 *134*, 11611–11617.
487 (14) Lewis, K. L. M.; Ogilvie, J. P. Probing Photosynthetic Energy
488 and Charge Transfer with Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy.
489 *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *3*, 503–510.
490 (15) Wells, K. L.; Lambrev, P. H.; Zhang, Z.; Garab, G.; Tan, H.-S.
491 Pathways of energy transfer in LHCI revealed by room-temperature
492 2D electronic spectroscopy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*,
493 11640–11646.
494 (16) Ferretti, M.; Hendrikx, R.; Romero, E.; Southall, J.; Cogdell, R.
495 J.; Novoderezhkin, V. I.; Scholes, G. D.; van Grondelle, R. Dark States
496 in the Light-Harvesting complex 2 Revealed by Two-dimensional
497 Electronic Spectroscopy. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 20834.
498 (17) Do, T. N.; Huerta-Viga, A.; Akhtar, P.; Nguyen, H. L.;
499 Nowakowski, P. J.; Khyasudeen, M. F.; Lambrev, P. H.; Tan, H.-S.
500 Revealing the excitation energy transfer network of Light-Harvesting
501 Complex II by a phenomenological analysis of two-dimensional
502 electronic spectra at 77 K. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *151*, 205101.
503 (18) Jansen, T. L. C.; Snijders, J. G.; Duppen, K. Interaction induced
504 effects in the nonlinear Raman response of liquid CS₂: a finite field
505 nonequilibrium molecular dynamics approach. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**,
506 *114*, 10910.
507 (19) Kubarych, K.; Milne, C. J.; Miller, R. J. D. Heterodyne detected
508 fifth-order Raman response of liquid CS₂: 'Dutch Cross' polarization.
509 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *369*, 635.
510 (20) Zanni, M. T.; Ge, N.-H.; Kim, Y. S.; Hochstrasser, R. M. Two-
511 dimensional IR spectroscopy can be designed to eliminate the

- diagonal peaks and expose only the crosspeaks needed for structure
determination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2001**, *98*, 11265.
(21) Hochstrasser, R. M. Two-Dimensional IR-Spectroscopy:
Polarization Anisotropy Effects. *Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *266*, 273–284.
(22) Ham, S.; Hahn, S.; Lee, C.; Kim, T.-K.; Kwak, K.; Cho, M.
Amide I modes of alpha-helical polypeptide in liquid water:
Conformational fluctuation, phase correlation and linear and
nonlinear vibrational spectra. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 9333.
(23) Tokmakoff, A. Orientational correlation functions and
polarization selectivity for nonlinear spectroscopy of isotropic
media. II. Fifth order. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 13.
(24) Schlau-Cohen, G. S.; Ishizaki, A.; Calhoun, T. R.; Ginsberg, N.
S.; Ballottari, M.; Bassi, R.; Fleming, G. R. Elucidation of the
timescales and origins of quantum electronic coherence in LHCI.
Nat. Chem. **2012**, *4*, 389–395.
(25) Westenhoff, S.; Palecek, D.; Edlund, P.; Smith, P.; Zigmantas,
D. Coherent Picosecond Exciton Dynamics in a Photosynthetic
Reaction Center. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 16484–16487.
(26) Thyrrhaug, E.; Tempelaar, R.; Alcocer, M. J. P.; Židek, K.; Bina,
D.; Knoester, J.; Jansen, T. L. C.; Zigmantas, D. Identification and
characterization of diverse coherences in the Fenna–Matthews–
Olson complex. *Nat. Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 780–786.
(27) Maekawa, H.; Formaggio, F.; Toniolo, C.; Ge, N. H. Onset of
3₁₀-helical secondary structure in Aib Oligopeptides probed by
coherent 2D IR spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 6556.
(28) Sengupta, N.; Maekawa, H.; Zhuang, W.; Toniolo, C.;
Mukamel, S.; Tobias, D. J.; Ge, N. H. Sensitivity of 2D IR Spectra
to Peptide Helicity: A Concerted Experimental and Simulation Study
of an Octapeptide. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 12037–12049.
(29) Hamm, P.; Lim, M. H.; Hochstrasser, R. M. Structure of the
Amide I Band of Peptides Measured by Femtosecond Nonlinear-
Infrared Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, *102*, 6123–6138.
(30) Khalil, M.; Demirdöven, N.; Tokmakoff, A. Vibrational
coherence transfer characterized with Fourier-transform 2D IR
spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *121*, 362.
(31) Nee, M. J.; Baiz, C. R.; Anna, J. M.; McCanne, R.; Kubarych, K.
J. Multilevel vibrational coherence transfer and wavepacket dynamics
probed with multidimensional IR spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**,
129, 084503.
(32) Baiz, C. R.; Kubarych, K. J.; Geva, E. Molecular Theory and
Simulation of Coherence Transfer in Metal Carbonyls and Its
Signature on Multidimensional Infrared Spectra. *J. Phys. Chem. B*
2011, *115*, 5322–5339.
(33) Pakoulev, A. V.; Rickard, M. A.; Mathew, N. A.; Kornau, K. M.;
Wright, J. C. Frequency-Domain Time-Resolved Four Wave Mixing
Spectroscopy of Vibrational Coherence Transfer with Single-Color
Excitation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 6320–6329.
(34) Read, E. L.; Engel, G. S.; Calhoun, T. R.; Mancal, T.; Ahn, T.
K.; Blankenship, R. E.; Fleming, G. R. Cross-peak-specific two-
dimensional electronic spectroscopy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
2007, *104*, 14203–14208.
(35) Prince, S.; Papiz, M.; Freer, A.; McDermott, G.;
Hawthornthwaite-Lawless, A.; Cogdell, R.; Isaacs, N. Apoprotein
structure in the LH2 complex from *Rhodospseudomonas acidophila*
strain 10050: modular assembly and protein pigment interactions. *J.*
Mol. Biol. **1997**, *268*, 412–423.
(36) Kunsel, T.; Tiwari, V.; Matutes, Y. A.; Gardiner, A. T.; Cogdell,
R. J.; Ogilvie, J. P.; Jansen, T. L. C. Simulating Fluorescence-Detected
Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy of Multichromophoric
Systems. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2019**, *123*, 394–406.
(37) https://github.com/lacourjansenlab/LH2_Hamiltonian.
(38) https://github.com/GHLacour/NISE_2017.
(39) Liang, C.; Jansen, T. L. C. An Efficient N³-Scaling Propagation
Scheme for Simulating Two-Dimensional Infrared and Visible
Spectra. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 1706–1713.
(40) van der Vegte, C. P.; Prajapati, J. D.; Kleinekathöfer, U.;
Knoester, J.; Jansen, T. L. C. Atomistic Modeling of Two-
Dimensional Electronic Spectra and Excited-State Dynamics for a
Light Harvesting 2 Complex. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2015**, *119*, 1302–1313.

- (41) Jansen, T. L. C.; Saito, S.; Jeon, J.; Cho, M. Theory of coherent two-dimensional vibrational spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *150*, 100901.
- (42) Trinkunas, G.; Herek, J. L.; Polívka, T.; Sundström, V.; Pullerits, T. Exciton Delocalization Probed by Excitation Annihilation in the Light-Harvesting Antenna LH2. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2001**, *86*, 4167–4170.
- (43) Monshouwer, R.; Abrahamsson, M.; van Mourik, F.; van Grondelle, R. Superradiance and Exciton Delocalization in Bacterial Photosynthetic Light-Harvesting Systems. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1997**, *101*, 7241–7248.
- (44) Massey, S. C.; Ting, P.-C.; Yeh, S.-H.; Dahlberg, P. D.; Sohail, S. H.; Allodi, M. A.; Martin, E. C.; Kais, S.; Hunter, C. N.; Engel, G. S. Orientational Dynamics of Transition Dipoles and Exciton Relaxation in LH2 from Ultrafast Two-Dimensional Anisotropy. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2019**, *10*, 270–277.
- (45) Jimenez, R.; Dikshit, S. N.; Bradforth, S. E.; Fleming, G. R. Electronic Excitation Transfer in the LH2 Complex of Rhodobacter sphaeroides. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 6825–6834.
- (46) Tekavec, P. F.; Lott, G. A.; Marcus, A. H. Fluorescence-detected two-dimensional electronic coherence spectroscopy by acousto-optic phase modulation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *127*, 214307.
- (47) Tiwari, V.; Matutes, Y. A.; Gardiner, A. T.; Jansen, T. L. C.; Cogdell, R. J.; Ogilvie, J. P. Spatially-resolved fluorescence-detected two-dimensional electronic spectroscopy probes varying excitonic structure in photosynthetic bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 4219.
- (48) Maly, P.; Mancal, T. Signatures of Exciton Delocalization and Exciton-Exciton Annihilation in Fluorescence-Detected Two-Dimensional Coherent Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 5654–5659.
- (49) Kühn, O.; Mančal, T.; Pullerits, T. Interpreting Fluorescence Detected Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 838–842.
- (50) Schröter, M.; Pullerits, T.; Kühn, O. Using fluorescence detected two-dimensional spectroscopy to investigate initial exciton delocalization between coupled chromophores. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *149*, 114107.
- (51) Halpin, A.; Johnson, P. J. M.; Tempelaar, R.; Murphy, R. S.; Knoester, J.; Jansen, T. L. C.; Miller, R. J. D. Two-Dimensional Spectroscopy of a Molecular Dimer Unveils the Effects of Vibronic Coupling on Exciton Coherences. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 196–201.
- (52) Tiwari, V.; Peters, W. K.; Jonas, D. M. Electronic Resonance with Anticorrelated Pigment Vibrations Drives Photosynthetic Energy Transfer Outside the Adiabatic Framework. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110*, 1203–1208.
- (53) Butkus, V.; Zigmantas, D.; Valkunas, L.; Abramavicius, D. Vibrational vs. electronic coherences in 2D spectrum of molecular systems. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *545*, 40–43.
- (54) Matsushita, M.; Ketelaars, M.; van Oijen, A. M.; Köhler, J.; Aartsma, T. J.; Schmidt, J. Spectroscopy on the B850 Band of Individual Light-Harvesting 2 Complexes of Rhodospirillum rubrum. *Biophys. J.* **2001**, *80*, 1604–1614.
- (55) Woutersen, S.; Hamm, P. Time-Resolved Two-Dimensional Vibrational Spectroscopy of a Short Alpha-Helix in Water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *115*, 7737–7743.
- (56) Haedler, A. T.; Kreger, K.; Issac, A.; Wittmann, B.; Kivala, M.; Hammer, N.; Köhler, J.; Schmidt, H.-W.; Hildner, R. Long-range energy transport in single supramolecular nanofibres at room temperature. *Nature* **2015**, *523*, 196–199.
- (57) Dostál, J.; Mančal, T.; Vácha, F.; Pšenčík, J.; Zigmantas, D. Unraveling the nature of coherent beatings in chlorosomes. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *140*, 115103.
- (58) Milota, F.; Prokhorenko, V. I.; Mancal, T.; von Berlepsch, H.; Bixner, O.; Kauffmann, H. F.; Hauer, J. Vibronic and Vibrational Coherences in Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectra of Supramolecular J-Aggregates. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2013**, *117*, 6007–6014.