Received: 2 April 2020 | Accepted: 28 July 2020

DOI: 10.1111/gcb.15308

PRIMARY RESEARCH ARTICLE

Global Change Biology WILEY

Global impacts of fertilization and herbivore removal on soil net nitrogen mineralization are modulated by local climate and soil properties

Anita C. Risch[1] | Stefan Zimmermann[1] | Barbara Moser[1] | Martin Schütz[1] | Frank Hagedorn[1] | Jennifer Firn[2] | Philip A. Fay[3] | Peter B. Adler[4] | Lori A. Biederman[5] | John M. Blair[6] | Elizabeth T. Borer[7] | Arthur A. D. Broadbent[8] | Cynthia S. Brown[9] | Marc W. Cadotte[10] | Maria C. Caldeira[11] | Kendi F. Davies[12] | Augustina di Virgilio[13] | Nico Eisenhauer[14,15] | Anu Eskelinen[14,16,17] | Johannes M. H. Knops[18] | Andrew S. MacDougall[19] | Rebecca L. McCulley[20] | Brett A. Melbourne[12] | Joslin L. Moore[21] | Sally A. Power[22] | Suzanne M. Prober[23] | Eric W. Seabloom[7] | Julia Siebert[14,15] | Maria L. Silveira[24] | Karina L. Speziale[13] | Carly J. Stevens[25] | Pedro M. Tognetti[26] | Risto Virtanen[17] | Laura Yahdjian[26] | Raul Ochoa-Hueso[27]

[1]Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Birmensdorf, Switzerland

[2]School of Earth, Environmental and Biological Sciences, Science and Engineering Faculty, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Brisbane, Qld, Australia

[3]USDA-ARS Grassland, Soil, and Water Research Laboratory, Temple, TX, USA

[4]Department of Wildland Resources and the Ecology Center, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA

[5]Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA

[6]Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

[7]Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA

[8]Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

[9]Department of Agricultural Biology, Graduate Degree Program in Ecology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

[10]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto-Scarborough, Toronto, ON, Canada

[11]Centro de Estudos Florestais, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

[12]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

[13]Grupo de Investigaciones en Biología de la Conservación, INIBIOMA (CONICET-UNCOMA), Bariloche, Argentina

[14]German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

[15]Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

[16]Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, UFZ, Leipzig, Germany

[17]Department of Ecology and Genetics, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

[18]Department of Health & Environmental Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong Liverpool University, Suzhou, China

[19]Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada

[20]Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

[21]School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton Campus, Vic., Australia

[22]Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, NSW, Australia

[23]CSIRO Land and Water, Wembley, WA, Australia

[24]Range Cattle Research and Education Center, University of Florida, Ona, FL, USA

[25]Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

[26]Facultad de Agronomía, IFEVA, Universidad de Buenos Aires, CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

[27]Department of Biology, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real, Spain

Correspondence
Anita C. Risch, Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Zuercherstrasse 111, Birmensdorf 8903, Switzerland.
Email: anita.risch@wsl.ch

Funding information
WSL; National Science Foundation Research Coordination Network, Grant/Award Number: NSF-DEB-1042132; Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) program, Grant/Award Number: NSF-DEB-1234162; Institute on the Environment at the University of Minnesota, Grant/Award Number: DG-0001-13; German Research Foundation, Grant/Award Number: FZT 118; TERN Great Western Woodlands Supersite; CEF, Grant/Award Number: UIDB/00239/2020; USDA-ARS

Abstract

Soil nitrogen (N) availability is critical for grassland functioning. However, human activities have increased the supply of biologically limiting nutrients, and changed the density and identity of mammalian herbivores. These anthropogenic changes may alter net soil N mineralization (soil net N_{min}), that is, the net balance between N mineralization and immobilization, which could severely impact grassland structure and functioning. Yet, to date, little is known about how fertilization and herbivore removal individually, or jointly, affect soil net N_{min} across a wide range of grasslands that vary in soil and climatic properties. Here we collected data from 22 grasslands on five continents, all part of a globally replicated experiment, to assess how fertilization and herbivore removal affected potential (laboratory-based) and realized (field-based) soil net N_{min}. Herbivore removal in the absence of fertilization did not alter potential and realized soil net N_{min}. However, fertilization alone and in combination with herbivore removal consistently increased potential soil net $N_{min.}$ Realized soil net N_{min}, in contrast, significantly decreased in fertilized plots where herbivores were removed. Treatment effects on potential and realized soil net N_{min} were contingent on site-specific soil and climatic properties. Fertilization effects on potential soil net N_{min} were larger at sites with higher mean annual precipitation (MAP) and temperature of the wettest quarter (T.q.wet). Reciprocally, realized soil net N_{min} declined most strongly with fertilization and herbivore removal at sites with lower MAP and higher T.q.wet. In summary, our findings show that anthropogenic nutrient enrichment, herbivore exclusion and alterations in future climatic conditions can negatively impact soil net N_{min} across global grasslands under realistic field conditions. This is an important context-dependent knowledge for grassland management worldwide.

KEYWORDS
anthropogenic change, global grasslands, grazers, nitrogen, NutNet, phosphorus, potassium, potential and realized soil net nitrogen mineralization, precipitation, temperature

1 | INTRODUCTION

The availability of biologically limiting nutrients, such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), drives the productivity (Elser et al., 2007; Fay et al., 2015; Harpole et al., 2011) and functioning of grassland ecosystems worldwide (Neff et al., 2000). Soil N availability is largely determined by the breakdown and depolymerization of organic material to monomers and inorganic N for which plants and microbes compete (Butterbach-Bahl & Gundersen, 2011; Kuzyakov & Xu, 2013; Mooshammer, Wanek, Zechmeister-Boltenstern, & Richter, 2014; Schimel & Bennett, 2004). The net balance between N mineralization and immobilization, further referred to as soil net N mineralization (soil net N_{min}), is largely controlled by soil physical and chemical properties (e.g., clay content, bulk density, pH, carbon (C) and N content), the type and amount of above- and belowground organic matter inputs (e.g., plant production), plant and soil microbial composition and activity (release of enzymes, nutrient uptake), and climatic factors (Booth, Stark, & Rastetter, 2005; Conant et al., 2011; Craine, Fierer, & McLauchlan, 2010; Dessureault-Rompré et al., 2010; Giardina, Ryan, Hubbard, & Binkley, 2001; Giese, Gao, Lin, & Lin, 2011; Risch et al., 2019; Schimel & Bennett, 2004).

Human activities that alter the biodiversity and structure of grassland plant communities worldwide also can have important direct and indirect consequences for soil functioning, including soil net N_{min} (Rockström, Steffen, & Noone, 2009; Steffen et al., 2015). Two of the most pervasive human impacts threatening grassland ecosystems are increases in the supply of biologically limiting nutrients, for example, through burning of fossil fuels or fertilization (Fowler et al., 2013; Peñuelas et al., 2013; Sardans et al., 2017; Steffen et al., 2015), and alterations in the density of native mammalian herbivores by overexploitation or their replacement by livestock (Dirzo et al., 2014; Estes et al., 2011; Ripple, Newsome, & Wolf, 2015; WWF, 2018). However, we still do not know the relative contribution of climatic and edaphic factors versus anthropogenic drivers, such as increases in soil nutrient content and altered grazing regimes, in

regulating soil net N_{min} in global grasslands (e.g., Thébault, Mariotte, Lortie, & MacDougall, 2014).

Grassland soil net N_{min} can be impacted by the presence and activity of herbivores through plant biomass consumption, trampling, burrowing, and deposition of urine and dung (e.g., Bakker, Olff, Boekhoff, Gleichman, & Berendse, 2004; Olofsson, 2009; Risch et al., 2015; Schrama et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2017). However, the response of a system to a change in grazing conditions depends on soil texture and water availability (Schrama et al., 2013), grazing intensity (Zhou et al., 2017), herbivore species identity (Risch et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2017), and herbivore body size (Bakker et al., 2004; Risch et al., 2015, 2018). Similarly, the impacts of anthropogenic nutrient inputs on grassland soil net N_{min} depend on plant and soil characteristics, as well as local climatic conditions (Changhui, Feng, Xiang, & Kuanhu, 2014; Chen, Xing, et al., 2019; Hicks, Rousk, Rinnan, & Rousk, 2020; Mueller, Hobbie, Tilman, & Reich, 2013; Ochoa-Hueso, Bell, & Manrique, 2014; Wei, Reich, Hobbie, & Kazanski, 2017). Both herbivore removal and nutrient additions can have positive, negative, or neutral effects on soil net N_{min}, largely depending on site conditions (e.g., Bakker et al., 2004; Changhui et al., 2014; Risch et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2017). This strong context-dependency makes it difficult to estimate how increases in soil nutrient availability and shifts in the presence of mammalian herbivores, individually and in combination, influence the ability of grassland soil communities around the world to mineralize N from soil organic matter.

Reliably estimating soil net N_{min} is not straightforward, and methodological constraints can limit, or even misguide, our understanding of this key process under real-world, field conditions (Arnold, Corre, & Veldkamp, 2008; Makarov, Kuznetsova, Malysheva, Maslov, & Menyailo, 2017; Pinto, Brito, & Coutinho, 2020; Risch et al., 2019). For example, measures of potential soil net N_{min} assessed in the laboratory may allow us to better understand the processes by which global change affects the overall magnitude of soil N availability across grasslands worldwide; that is, they reflect the potential of grasslands to respond to global change (Risch et al., 2019). Measures of realized soil net N_{min} obtained directly in the field, in contrast, may provide a more realistic indication of how grasslands will respond to fertilization and herbivore removal under heterogeneous environmental and climatic field conditions (Risch et al., 2019). Moreover, these two distinct measures, potential and realized soil net N_{min}, only weakly correlate across grasslands worldwide (Risch et al., 2019) and it is unclear whether knowledge about global change effects gained from laboratory assessments of soil net N_{min} will allow us to estimate field rates. Thus, to understand and generalize how fertilization and herbivore removal affect soil net N_{min}, standardized, globally replicated experiments are required. These experiments should span a wide range of environmental and climatic contexts, and measure both potential and realized soil net N_{min} simultaneously.

To address this knowledge gap, we assessed how the removal of mammalian herbivores (Fence) and fertilization with growth-limiting nutrients (N, P, K, plus nine essential macro- and micronutrients; NPK) individually, and in combination (NPK+Fence), affected potential and realized soil net N_{min} across 22 natural and seminatural grasslands on five continents (Figure 1; Figure S1). Our sites spanned a comprehensive range of climatic and edaphic conditions found across the grassland biome (Figure 1; Tables S1 and S2). We focused on grasslands, because they cover 40%–50% of the ice-free land surface and provide vital ecosystem functions and services. They are particularly important for forage production and C sequestration. Worldwide, grasslands store approximately 20%–30% of the Earth's terrestrial C, most of it in the soil (Schimel, 1995; White, Rohweder, & Murray, 2000). We assessed how treatment differed in potential and realized soil net N_{min} compared to control plots using linear mixed-effects models (LMMs). We also analyzed how the treatments affected potential and realized soil net ammonification and net nitrification, which are the two main steps of soil net N_{min}. To gain a mechanistic system-level understanding of how fertilization and herbivore removal affect soil net N_{min}, we used structural equation modeling (SEM) to test a conceptual model that also considered the



FIGURE 1 Geographic and climatic distribution of experimental sites. (a) Location of the 22 NutNet sites where the field experiment was conducted and soil samples were collected for laboratory analyses. (b) The 22 study sites represent a wide range of mean annual temperature (MAT) and mean annual precipitation (MAP) conditions. Our sites also cover a wide range of soil edaphic conditions as described in the main text and shown in Table S2. Numbers refer to # in Tables S1 and S2

role of potentially modulating environmental and climate variables (Eisenhauer, Bowker, Grace, & Powell, 2015; Grace, 2006).

Overall, we expected that our grazing exclusion and nutrient addition treatments, individually and in combination, should lead to higher soil nutrient availability and higher quality of plant litter returned to the soil (Anderson et al., 2018; Coley, Bryant, & Chapin, 1985), which, in turn, should have a positive effect on both potential and realized soil net N_{min} across our globally distributed grassland study sites (Bakker et al., 2004; Frank & Groffmann, 1998; Hobbie, 2015; Ouyang, Reeve, & Norton, 2018; Risch et al., 2015). However, we predicted that the magnitude of response of potential soil net N_{min} to our treatments would be larger than that for realized soil net N_{min} due to the optimal and standardized conditions associated with laboratory incubations. Finally, we expected that treatment responses in both potential and realized soil net N_{min} would be modulated by soil properties and long-term climatic conditions, such as precipitation and temperature, because the structure and abundance of soil communities, and the processes they drive, are conditional on long-term water availability (Ochoa-Hueso et al., 2018).

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study sites and experimental design

The 22 sites contributing to this project are part of the Nutrient Network Global Research Cooperative (NutNet, https://nutnet.umn.edu/). Mean annual temperature across our 22 sites ranged from −4 to 22°C, mean annual precipitation (MAP) from 252 to 1,592 mm, and elevations from 6 to 4,261 m above sea level (Figure 1; Table S1). Soil organic C varied from 0.8% to 7.8%, soil total N from 0.1% to 0.6%, and the soil C:N ratio from 9.1 to 21.5. Soil clay content spanned from 3.0% to 35%, and soil pH from 3.4 to 7.6 (Table S2). Thus, the sites covered a wide range of environments in which grasslands occur (Figure 1; Tables S1 and S2).

At each site, the effects of nutrient addition and herbivore removal were tested via a randomized block design (Borer et al., 2014; Figure S1a). Three replicate blocks with 10 treatment plots each were established at each site, with the exception of the site at bldr.us, where only two blocks were established (Figure S1a). The 10 plots were randomly assigned to a nutrient or fencing treatment, but only a subset of four plots was used in the current study, each with a different treatment (see below; Figure S1a). All plots were 5 × 5 m and divided into four 2.5 × 2.5 m subplots (Figure S1b). Each subplot was further divided into four 1 × 1 m square sampling plots, one of which was set aside for soil sampling (Borer et al., 2014; Figure S1b). Plots were separated by at least 1 m wide walkways.

In this study, we collected data from the following four treatments: (a) untreated control plots (Control); (b) herbivore removal plots (Fence); (c) plots fertilized with N, P, K, plus nine essential macro- and micronutrients (NPK); and (d) plots with simultaneous fertilizer addition and herbivore removal (NPK+Fence; Figure S1a). The number of years of treatment differed among sites (2–9 years since start of treatment; Table S1). For the nutrient additions, all sites applied 10 g N m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ as time-release urea; 10 g P m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ as triple-super phosphate; 10 g K m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ as potassium sulfate. A micronutrient mix (Fe, S, Mg, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Mo, Ca) was applied at 100 g/m^2 together with K in the first year of treatments but not thereafter.

The vertebrate herbivore removal treatment (Fence) was established by fencing two plots, one control and one NPK plot, within each of the blocks (Figure S1a). We designed the fences so that they would effectively exclude aboveground mammalian herbivores with a body mass of over 50 g (Borer et al., 2014). At the majority of sites, the height of the fences was 180 cm, and the fence design included wire mesh (1 cm holes) on the first 90 cm along with a 30 cm outward-facing flange stapled to the ground to exclude burrowing animals; climbing and subterranean animals may potentially still access these plots (Borer et al., 2014). For slight modifications in fence design at a few sites, see Table S3. While most sites only had native herbivores, a few sites (4) were also grazed by domestic animals (Table S1).

2.2 | Potential and realized soil net N mineralization, ammonification, nitrification, and other soil properties

Each site participating in the study received a package containing identical material from the Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL) to be used for sampling and on-site N incubations. For the field incubation, we followed the protocol by Risch et al. (2015, 2019). Briefly, we drove a 5 × 15 cm (diameter × depth) steel cylinder 13.5 cm deep into the soil after clipping the vegetation at randomized locations in each plot. The top 1.5 cm of the cylinder remained empty to capture incoming N from run-off or deposition with a polyester mesh bag (mesh-size 250 μm) filled with 13.2 ± 0.9 g of acidic and alkaline exchanger resin (1:1 mixture; ion-exchanger I KA/ion-exchanger III AA; Merck AG). The bag was fixed in place with a metal Seeger ring (Bruetsch-Rüegger Holding). We then removed 1.5 cm soil at the bottom of the cylinder and placed another resin bag to capture N leached from the soil column. The exchange resin was saturated with H^+ and Cl^- prior to filling the bags by stirring the mixture in 1.2 M HCl for 1 hr and then rinsing it with demineralized water until the electrical conductivity of the water reached 5 μS/cm. The cylinders were then re-inserted into the cored hole, level with the soil surface, and incubated for an average of 42 days (range 40–57 days). The individual site coordinators chose the timing of incubation to start approximately 6 weeks prior to peak plant biomass production. All incubations were completed between February 2015 and January 2016 accounting for differences in growing season between northern and southern hemispheres. At the end of the incubation, the cylinders were recollected and immediately shipped to WSL in an insulated box together with cold packs to halt further mineralization. Gloves were worn at all times to avoid contamination of the samples. Upon arrival at WSL, we extracted the resin bags and a 20 g subsample of sieved soil (4 mm) separately in a 100 ml PE-bottle with 80 ml 1 M KCl for 1.5 hr on an end-over-end shaker and filtered through ashless folded filter paper (DF 5895 150,

ALBET LabScience). We measured NO_3 (colorimetrically; Norman & Stucki, 1981) and NH_4 concentrations (flow injection analysis; FIAS 300, Perkin Elmer) on these filtrates.

At the start of the field incubation, we additionally collected two soil cores of 5 × 12 cm (diameter × depth) in each sampling plot and composited them to measure potential soil net N_{min}, soil chemical and biological properties (see below). We also collected an additional sample (5 × 12 cm) to assess soil physical properties, which remained within the steel cylinder. Both ends were tightly closed with plastic caps. Cores were carefully packed to avoid further disturbance, and together with the composited soil samples, were shipped to the laboratory at WSL within a few days after collection.

From the composited samples, we extracted an equivalent of 20 g dry soil with KCl, as described above, and measured NO_3 and NH_4 concentrations. Realized soil net N_{min} was then calculated as the difference between the inorganic N content of samples collected at the end of the incubation (plus N extracted from the bottom resin bag) and the N content at the beginning of the incubation; values were scaled to represent daily mineralization rates (mg N kg^{-1} soil day^{-1}; Risch et al., 2015). Realized soil net N_{min} values represent an average period of 42 days prior to peak biomass, typically the highest period of biological activity, and not the entire year (Risch et al., 2019).

A second subsample of the composited sample was used to determine potential soil net N_{min} in the laboratory (Risch et al., 2019). Briefly, we weighed duplicate samples (8 g dry soil) into 50 ml Falcon tubes. Soil moisture was brought to 60% of the field capacity of each plot, the Falcon tubes tightly closed and then incubated at 20°C for 42 days in a dark room. Every week the Falcon tubes were opened and ventilated. At the end of the incubation, the soil samples were extracted the same way as described above and NO_3 and NH_4 was determined. Potential soil net N_{min} was calculated as the difference between the N content before and after the incubation and scaled to represent daily values (mg N kg^{-1} soil d^{-1}). Using our NO_3 and NH_4 measures we also calculated potential and realized soil net nitrification and soil net ammonification to be able to better understand the drivers of fertilization and herbivore removal effects on potential and realized soil net N_{min}.

A third subsample of the composite soil sample was sieved (2 mm mesh) and microbial biomass (μg C_{mic}/g soil dry weight) was estimated by measuring the maximal respiratory response to the addition of glucose solution (4 mg glucose/g soil dry weight dissolved in distilled water; substrate-induced respiration method) on approximately 5.5 g of soil (Anderson & Domsch, 1978). The rest of the composited sample was dried at 65°C for 48 hr, ground and sieved (2 mm mesh) to assess a series of soil chemical properties (Risch et al., 2019). We measured the percentage of clay as an indicator of soil texture (Gee & Bauder, 1986; Risch et al., 2019).

2.3 | Statistical analyses

Potential and realized soil net N_{min} were square root transformed to account for a highly skewed data distribution (y_t = sign(y)*sqrt|y|; negative values in the data set impeded log transformation). To assess treatment effects on potential and realized soil net N_{min}, we used LMMs fitted by maximum likelihood using the lme function from the nlme package (version 3.131.1; Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, & Sarkar, 2016; R version 3.6.1; R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Treatment (Control, Fence, NPK, NPK+Fence) was a fixed factor, with site and block as random factors, where block was nested within site. We also tested for effects of time since start of treatments in preliminary analyses by adding total treatment years as an additional fixed factor. We did not find a significant effect of years of treatment, and thus dropped this variable from the models. The LMMs were corrected using varIdent if the homogeneity of variance criterion was not met (Pinheiro et al., 2016). To visualize our results, we calculated treatment effects using Cohen's *d* statistic (Cohen, 1977; Koricheva, Gurevitch, & Mengersen, 2013). Note that calculating response ratios (or log response ratios) was not possible with our data, as we have both negative and positive values. We also fitted LMMs for potential and realized soil net ammonification and nitrification to gain more insight into how global change affects the processes underpinning potential and realized soil net N_{min}. We also sqrt-transformed (see above) these dependent variables. Treatment was included as a fixed factor with random factors as described above. In addition, we assessed how potential and realized soil net N_{min} were related to potential and realized soil net ammonification and nitrification, respectively. For this, we calculated site averages for each treatment separately. We then ran LMMs, with potential and realized soil net N_{min} as the dependent variable, and potential and realized soil net ammonification/nitrification as the independent ones. Site was included as a random factor.

Based on our previous work (Risch et al., 2019) and the existing literature (Liu et al., 2017; Schimel & Bennett, 2004), we developed a priori causal conceptual models of relationships among treatments, environmental drivers, and potential and realized soil net N_{min} (Figure S2) to test with SEM using a *d-sep* approach (Lefcheck, 2016; Shipley, 2009). The variables included in the model were long-term climatic conditions, specifically, site-level MAP and temperature of the wettest quarter (T.q.wet), plot-level soil texture (clay content), and soil microbial biomass. MAP and T.q.wet were obtained from WorldClim (Hijmans, Cameron, Parra, Jones, & Jarvis, 2005) (http://www.worldclim.org/) and together with the experimental treatments were predicted to directly affect soil properties and soil net N_{min} (Figure S2). Soil clay content was, in turn, predicted to affect microbial biomass and soil net N_{min}. Because we determined microbial biomass prior to incubating the samples in the laboratory or field, we assumed that the abundance of these microbes would be responsible for N process rates and not vice versa (Figure S2). We tested our conceptual model (Figure S2) using the piecewiseSEM package (version 2.0.2; Lefcheck, 2016) in R 3.4.0, in which a structured set of linear models are fitted individually. This approach allowed us to account for the nested experimental design, and overcome some of the limitations of standard structural equation models, such as small sample sizes (Lefcheck, 2016; Shipley, 2009). We used the lme function of the nlme package to model response variables, including site as a random factor. Good fit of the SEM was assumed when Fisher's *C* values were non-significant ($p > .05$). For all significant interactions

between covariates and experimental treatments detected in the SEMs, we calculated treatment effect sizes, that is, the differences in potential or realized soil net N_{min} between control and treatments (Fence, NPK, NPK+Fence) and plotted these values against the climate or soil covariates. Finally, we fitted LMMs for the soil variables included in our SEMs, with treatment as the fixed factor, and with site and block as random factors, where block was nested within site.

3 | RESULTS

As predicted, our treatments increased potential soil net N_{min}. Mineralization was higher in fertilized plots, both with mammalian herbivores present (NPK: +34% on average across all sites) and with herbivores excluded (NPK+Fence: +66%), but there was no response to herbivore removal alone (Fence; Figure 2a,b; Table S4). In contrast



FIGURE 2 Treatment effects on potential and realized soil net N mineralization across 22 grasslands globally. Potential and realized soil net N_{min} (a–c), soil net ammonification (d–f) and soil net nitrification (g–j). Treatment effect size presented as Cohen's *d* in (a, d and g). Significant treatment effects are indicated with *. (b, c, e, f, h, j) Boxplots of raw data. They show the median (50th percentile), 25th and 75th percentile of the data across sites. Individual measures are shown in the background. Control = control plots, Fence = herbivores removed, NPK = fertilized with N, P, K and micronutrients, NPK+Fence = fertilized with N, P, K and micronutrients and herbivores removed. Potential and realized soil net N_{min}, net ammonification and nitrification values were square-root transformed

and counter to our hypothesis, realized soil net N_{min} was significantly lower in fertilized plots where herbivores were excluded compared to control plots (NPK+Fence: −42%; Figure 2a; Table S4). However, herbivore removal (Fence) and fertilization (NPK) on their own did not lead to any discernable difference in realized soil net N_{min} compared to the control plots (Figure 2a,c; Table S4). The fertilization and fencing treatments led to greater variability (standard deviation [*SD*]), compared to control plots, in both potential (Control: 0.33 [*SD*]; Fence: 0.49; NPK: 0.63; NPK+Fence: 0.56) and realized (Control: 0.28; Fence: 0.41; NPK: 0.75; NPK+Fence: 0.77) soil net N_{min} across the 22 global grassland sites (see also Figure 2b,c; Figure S3).

Potential soil net ammonification had a negative but non-significant response to the NPK+Fence treatment (−29%), whereas realized soil net ammonification was significantly lower in fertilized treatments (NPK: −44%; NPK+Fence: −61%) compared to the control plots (Figure 2d–f; Table S4). In contrast, potential soil net nitrification was significantly higher in both fertilized plots compared to the control plots (NPK: +62%; NPK+Fence: +71%), whereas realized soil net nitrification remained unaffected by our treatments (Figure 2g–j; Table S4). Potential soil net N_{min} was higher at sites with higher potential nitrification (Figure S4a,b), while realized soil net N_{min} was higher at sites where both realized soil net ammonification and nitrification were high (Figure S4c,d). Soil clay content and microbial biomass did not differ among our treatments (Figure S5).

Our SEM explained 19% (marginal R^2) of the variability in potential soil net N_{min} across our grasslands and showed that potential soil net N_{min} increased directly in response to fertilization, independent of herbivore presence (Figure 3a), in line with the results of our LMM (Figure 2a). However, fertilization in combination with herbivore removal (NPK+Fence) had a larger positive effect on potential soil net N_{min} at sites with higher MAP (Figures 3a and 4a). Similarly, we found larger increases in potential soil net N_{min} when nutrients were added at sites with higher T.q.wet, and this was not modified by the presence of herbivores (NPK, NPK+Fence; Figures 3a and 4b). Sites with higher MAP also had higher microbial biomass, which directly and positively affected potential soil net N_{min} (Figure 3a).

Our SEM for realized soil net N_{min} explained 33% (marginal R^2) of the variability in this measure across our grasslands (Figure 3b). Fertilization with herbivore removal directly and negatively affected realized soil net N_{min}, also in line with our LMM results (Figure 2b). However, we found that the negative joint effect of fertilization with herbivore removal (NPK+Fence) on realized soil net N_{min} was larger at sites with lower MAP and higher T.q.wet (Figure 4d,e). Moreover, the decline of realized soil net N_{min} with nutrient additions in the presence of herbivores (NPK) was conditional to sites with higher T.q.wet



FIGURE 3 Influence of local environmental conditions on the response of potential and realized soil net N_{min} to herbivore removal and fertilization. Structural equation model diagram representing connections between treatment, climatic conditions and soil properties found to influence (a) potential soil net N_{min} and (b) realized soil net N_{min}. The width of the connections represents estimates of the standardized path coefficients, with solid lines representing a positive relationship and dashed lines a negative relationship. Interaction effects are depicted with arrows pointing to solid blue dots. Significant connections and R^2 are shown in black, non-significant ones in light-gray. †$p < .1$, *$p < .05$, **$p < .01$, ***$p < .001$. MAP, mean annual precipitation; T.q.wet, temperature of the wettest quarter; Treatments: Control = control plots, Fence = herbivores removed, NPK = fertilized with N, P, K, and micronutrients, NPK+Fence = fertilized with N, P, K and micronutrients and herbivores removed, Clay content = soil clay content, Micr. Biom. = soil microbial biomass, total number of observations for potential soil net N_{min} = 244, total number of observations for realized soil net N_{min}. = 256, total number of sites for potential soil net N_{min} = 21, total number of sites for realized soil net N_{min} = 22. Potential and realized soil net N_{min} values are square-root transformed



FIGURE 4 Treatment effects on soil net N_{min} in relation to site-specific differences in climate or soil properties for interactions in the SEMs. Relationships for potential soil net N_{min} (a, b), and realized soil net N_{min} (c, d, e, f). Control = control plots, Fence = herbivores removed, NPK = fertilized with N, P, K, and micronutrients, NPK+Fence = fertilized with N, P, K, and micronutrients and herbivores removed. Potential and realized soil net N_{min} values are square-root transformed. Treatment effects were calculated as the difference between values of control and treatment (Fence, NPK, NPK+Fence) plots. Note that only the relationships marked with blue dots in Figure 3 are significant, but all relationships are presented here to facilitate comparisons

and more clay in the soil (Figures 3b and 4d,e). Site-dependent decreases in realized soil net N_{min} in response to herbivore removal regardless of nutrient additions (Fence, NPK+Fence) were only evident at sites with greater microbial biomass (Figures 3b and 4f). Sites with higher clay content and higher MAP had higher soil microbial biomass (Figure 3b).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we did not detect any differences in potential or realized soil net N_{min} when herbivores were removed from global grasslands in the absence of fertilization. However, fertilization led to consistently higher potential soil net N_{min}, either individually (NPK),

or when combined with herbivore removal (NPK+Fence). In contrast, and counter to our expectations, realized soil net N_{min}, was significantly lower compared to the control plots when we simultaneously added fertilizer and removed herbivores (NPK+Fence). This was surprising, as we expected increases in both potential and realized soil net N_{min} with our treatments, although with lower values for realized soil net N_{min}. We discuss potential reasons for these findings below. Moreover, despite the overall patterns in treatment response in potential and realized soil net N_{min}, we found that site-specific differences in soil and climatic properties strongly influenced how fertilization and herbivore removal affected both potential and realized soil net N_{min}. This context dependence, together with the increased variability in both potential and realized soil net N_{min} caused by our treatments, could explain the previous lack of consensus over the direction of grassland N mineralization responses to fertilizer additions and herbivore removal.

4.1 | Herbivores alone do not affect potential and realized soil net N_{min} across global grasslands

The lack of a clear-cut response in soil net N_{min} to herbivore removal, in the absence of fertilization, is consistent with a study conducted in the Swiss Alps, where the removal of large ungulates did not affect soil net N_{min} (Risch et al., 2015). However, it contrasts with other findings where higher (Bakker et al., 2004; Frank & Groffmann, 1998; Zhou et al., 2017) or lower (Wang et al., 2020) soil net N_{min} were detected in grazed compared to ungrazed grasslands. In our study, we only found a reduction in realized soil net N_{min} with herbivore removal at sites with higher microbial biomass; however, this context-dependent response was not strong enough to allow for a clear overall pattern to emerge across sites. Apart from microbial biomass, differences in population densities, stocking rates, or composition of herbivore communities that result in different grazing intensities may also contribute toward explaining our variable responses, but we lacked this information in our study. A recent meta-analysis, which included mixed data for both potential and realized soil net N_{min}, showed, however, that moderate grazing led to significantly higher soil net N_{min} compared to ungrazed plots across global grasslands, whereas light and heavy grazing may have no effect (Zhou et al., 2017). Similarly, the removal of large ungulates alone did not affect realized soil net N_{min} in the Swiss Alps, but when all mammalian herbivores were excluded and only invertebrates were present, realized soil net N_{min} increased (Risch et al., 2015). In a Dutch grassland, only the removal of cattle led to increases in realized soil net N_{min}, while the additional removal of rabbits and voles did not lead to further changes (Bakker et al., 2004). These studies highlight the importance of considering the functional diversity of the excluded herbivores (Wang et al., 2019). Finally, time since treatment implementation, that is, establishing the fences, may potentially explain some variability in the response of grassland mineralization to herbivore removal (Bakker et al., 2004; Frank & Groffmann, 1998; Risch et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020), although we did not find any statistical evidence for this in our study.

4.2 | Nutrient addition alone and with herbivore removal affects potential and realized soil net N_{min}

We found higher potential soil net N_{min} in both fertilized treatments (NPK, NPK+Fence) compared to the control plots. We are not aware of other studies that have assessed how potential soil net N_{min} responded to NPK fertilizer additions, but N additions have been shown to increase potential soil net N_{min} in a semi-arid grassland with loamy-sand soils (Chen, Xing, et al., 2019). Our findings suggest that in our fertilized treatments, soil microbes, either generally or certain microbial groups specifically, consistently increased their activity under the standardized and optimized conditions in the laboratory, particularly for samples collected from sites with higher MAP and higher T.q.wet. This context dependence on long-term climatic conditions may, in turn, be mediated by greater plant productivity and soil microbial biomass at wetter and warmer sites leading to a greater pool of readily mineralizable soil organic N. This finding is similar to what has been shown in the Mongolian steppe, where fertilization increased potential soil net N_{min} under both moderate and heavy grazing, but with additional irrigation, potential soil net N_{min} was only higher at the moderately grazed sites (Chen et al., 2018).

In contrast to findings for potential soil net N_{min}, fertilization alone (NPK) did not alter realized soil net N_{min} across our global grasslands, which is similar to results reported from several site-specific fertilization experiments (Mueller et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2017). Fertilization in combination with herbivore removal (NPK+Fence) did, however, lead to a decrease in soil net N_{min}, which was unexpected, yet similar to findings from a Californian serpentine grassland study (Esch, Hernández, Pasari, Kantor, & Selmants, 2013). This decrease might be due to the strong context-dependence in the response of realized soil net N_{min} to our nutrient additions, with or without herbivores. We found that fertilization led to lower realized mineralization rates at sites with higher soil clay content (NPK) and higher T.q.wet (NPK, NPK+Fence). The treatment interaction with soil clay content could potentially be attributed to the fact that our treatments disrupted organo-mineral interactions within the soil matrix (Zhao, Callister, & Thompson, 2020). Moreover, given that higher soil clay content was generally associated with higher microbial biomass, our findings may also suggest that microbial communities were downregulating the 'mining' for nutrients from soil organic matter and released less mineral nutrients to the soil system when limiting nutrients were added (Dijkstra, Carrillo, Pendall, & Morgan, 2013). Consistent with these findings, a recent meta-analysis including field-based studies from different terrestrial biomes found that microbial extracellular enzyme activities were downregulated by application rates of 100 kg N ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$ (Jia et al., 2020). Yet, 4 years of adding N and P fertilizer had no effect on enzyme activities related to N cycling in three different Chinese grasslands (Chen, Hao, et al., 2019). Similarly, fertilization and herbivore removal individually or in combination did not affect microbial extracellular enzyme activities in a Californian grassland (Esch et al., 2013), further emphasizing the variability of results found across studies.

4.3 | Differences in the response of potential and realized soil net N_{min} to nutrient additions and herbivore removal

In contrast to our expectations, our treatments only led to increases in soil net N_{min} in the laboratory under standardized, optimal conditions, but not in the field, where our treatments led to a reduction in realized soil net N_{min}. This shows that laboratory measures, although useful to explore and understand soil processes under standardized conditions, do not allow us to anticipate what happens under ambient conditions in the field, as already previously suggested by other studies (Arnold et al., 2008; Risch et al., 2019). Hence, to predict and estimate how global change drivers such as biodiversity loss, fertilization and climate change, alter the rates of N mineralization in grassland ecosystems, it is important to measure soil N processes in the field and not in the laboratory.

Mechanistically, the differences in the response of potential and realized soil net N_{min} to our treatments may be attributed to a combination of sample preparation (mixing, sieving, removing roots) and a shift in the composition or activity of different microbial groups under optimal versus ambient conditions. In the field, dead roots remained in the incubated soil cores while the roots were removed for the laboratory incubations. Hence, more labile C was available in the field, which is known to increase N immobilization and decrease soil net N_{min} (Hook & Burke, 1995; Knops, Bradley, & Wedin, 2002). Similarly, soil preparation (mixing, sieving) for determining potential soil net N_{min} makes formerly protected soil organic matter available. Fine aggregates have been shown to have much higher potential N mineralization than coarse aggregates (Bimüller, Kreyling, Kölbl, von Lützow, & Kögel-Knabner, 2016) or undisturbed soils (Hassink, 1992). In addition, soil microbial communities associated with different sized soil aggregates have been shown to interact differently with NPK fertilizer (Liao et al., 2018).

Furthermore, we found higher potential soil net nitrification with fertilization (regardless of presence or absence of herbivores), while there was only a weak effect of NPK+Fence on potential soil net ammonification. In contrast, realized soil net nitrification remained unaffected by our treatments. This indicates that nitrifiers were likely more active in the laboratory when limiting nutrients were added as indicated by much higher nitrification rates under fertilized conditions compared to the field. Hence, more NO_3-N was processed when fertilized, increasing potential soil net N_{min}. In line with these findings, potential soil net nitrification was higher in NPK fertilized agricultural soil under maize compared to the control sites (Li, Han, He, Zhang, & Zhang, 2019). The authors explained this enhanced potential net nitrification with a higher abundance of ammonia oxidizing bacteria and archaea (nitrifying microbes). Similarly, the decrease of realized soil net N_{min} in response to fertilizer addition in our study could be due to the lower activity of ammonifiers, as we found lower realized soil net ammonification when fertilizer was added (regardless of herbivore presence/absence), but no change in realized soil net nitrification. Consequently, less NH_4-N was released, which ultimately led to lower realized soil net N_{min}. Unfortunately, we were not able to find any studies that assessed how fertilization or grazing affect the relationship between the activities of ammonifiers/nitrifiers and net ammonification/nitrification, and how this would feed back to potential and realized soil net N_{min} to compare with our result. Further studies are, therefore, needed to evaluate the role of different microbial taxa in regulating soil organic matter processing and nutrient cycling under different management regimes, which may allow for the identification of specific communities that function better under particular conditions.

Finally, across our grasslands, the response of both potential and realized soil net N_{min} to fertilization with herbivore removal (NPK+Fence), and potential soil net N_{min} to fertilization alone (NPK), depended on MAP and T.q.wet. Thus, expected future alterations in global precipitation regimes (Fischer & Knutti, 2014) due to global climate change will likely have a strong impact on grassland soil net N_{min} in combination with different grassland management regimes, as shown by Chen et al. (2018). Similarly, N additions in combination with increases in soil water availability can have more consistent positive effects on nitrogen-mineralizing enzyme activities than the two factors in isolation (Tian et al., 2017).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Our study provides strong evidence that human activities impact the capacity of grassland ecosystems to provide key ecosystem functions such as soil net N_{min}. We show that a nutrient-enriched, herbivore-impoverished, and climatically more variable world, will have negative consequences for the ability of soil communities to mineralize N under realistic field conditions. In the long-term, this might lead to a reduced functional ability of grasslands to mineralize soil N, making them increasingly dependent on external nutrient inputs. Thus, our findings strongly support farmers and land managers advocating to move away from high input agriculture and promote a more sustainable management of grassland ecosystems and their soils. Moreover, our results show under which environmental conditions, fertilization and herbivore removal cause the strongest negative effects on soil nutrient cycling and which may thus require particular attention.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was conducted within the Nutrient Network (http://www.nutnet.org) experiment, funded at the site-scale by individual researchers. The soil net N_{min} study was funded by an internal competitive WSL grant to A.C.R., B.M., M.S., S.Z., and F.H. Coordination and data management have been supported by funding from the National Science Foundation Research Coordination Network (NSF-DEB-1042132) to E.T.B. and E.W.S., and from the Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) program (NSF-DEB-1234162 to the Institute on the Environment as other LTER sites), and the Institute on the Environment at the University of Minnesota (DG-0001-13). We also thank the Minnesota Supercomputer Institute for hosting project data, and the Institute on the Environment for hosting Network meetings. We are grateful

to Roger Köchli and Simon Baumgartner for their help with sample processing and analyses. N.E. and J.S. acknowledge support by the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research Halle–Jena–Leipzig, funded by the German Research Foundation (FZT 118). S.M.P. thanks Georg Wiehl for field assistance and Denise and Malcolm French for access to their property at Mt. Caroline. The Mt. Caroline site was supported through the TERN Great Western Woodlands Supersite. M.C.C. thanks the CEF (UIDB/00239/2020, Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia I.P., Portugal) for support. P.A.F. was supported by in-house funding from USDA-ARS. USDA is an Equal Opportunity Employer. Comments by two anonymous reviewers greatly helped us to improve our manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

A.C.R., S.Z., F.H., M.S., B.M., and R.O.-H. developed the overall research idea. A.C.R. and S.Z. coordinated data collection and laboratory analyses. S.Z., J.S., and N.E. analyzed the samples. R.O.-H. and A.C.R. analyzed the data. A.C.R. and R.O.-H. wrote the paper with contributions and input from all authors. E.W.S. and E.T.B. are Nutrient Network coordinators. All authors collected data used in this analysis. Author contribution matrix provided as Table S5.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data is available on the www.envidat.ch online portal, https://doi.org/10.16904/envidat.168.

ORCID

Anita C. Risch https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0531-8336
Stefan Zimmermann https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7085-0284
Barbara Moser https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4305-7192
Jennifer Firn https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6026-8912
Lori A. Biederman https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2171-7898
John M. Blair https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0072-0721
Elizabeth T. Borer https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2259-5853
Arthur A. D. Broadbent https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8438-7163
Cynthia S. Brown https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8486-7119
Maria C. Caldeira https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3586-8526
Nico Eisenhauer https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0371-6720
Anu Eskelinen https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1707-5263
Rebecca L. McCulley https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2393-0599
Joslin L. Moore https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9809-5092
Sally A. Power https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2723-8671
Eric W. Seabloom https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6780-9259
Julia Siebert https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9720-4146
Karina L. Speziale https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2224-2097
Carly J. Stevens https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2390-1763
Pedro M. Tognetti https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7358-1334
Risto Virtanen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8295-8217
Laura Yahdjian https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9635-1221
Raul Ochoa-Hueso https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1839-6926

REFERENCES

Anderson, J., & Domsch, K. (1978). A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *10*, 215–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(78)90099-8

Anderson, T. M., Griffith, D. M., Grace, J. B., Lind, E. M., Adler, P. B., Biederman, L. A., … Borer, E. T. (2018). Herbivory and eutrophication mediate grassland plant nutrient responses across a global climatic gradient. *Ecology*, *99*, 822–831. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2175

Arnold, J., Corre, M. D., & Veldkamp, E. (2008). Cold storage and laboratory incubation of intact soil cores do not reflect in-situ nitrogen cycling rates of tropical forest soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *40*, 2480–2483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.06.001

Bakker, E. S., Olff, H., Boekhoff, M., Gleichman, J. M., & Berendse, F. (2004). Impact of herbivores on nitrogen cycling: Contrasting effects of small and large species. *Oecologia*, *138*, 91–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1402-5

Bimüller, C., Kreyling, O., Kölbl, A., von Lützow, M., & Kögel-Knabner, I. (2016). Carbon and nitrogen mineralization in hierarchically structured aggregates of different size. *Soil and Tillage Research*, *160*, 23–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.12.011

Booth, M. S., Stark, J. M., & Rastetter, E. (2005). Controls on nitrogen cycling in terrestrial ecosystems: A synthetic analysis of literature data. *Ecological Monographs*, *75*, 139–157. https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0988

Borer, E. T., Harpole, W. S., Adler, P. B., Lind, E. M., Orrock, J. L., Seabloom, E. W., & Smith, M. D. (2014). Finding generality in ecology: A model for globally distributed experiments. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *5*, 65–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12125

Butterbach-Bahl, K., & Gundersen, P. (2011). Nitrogen processes in terrestrial ecosystems. In M. A. Sutton, C. M. Howard, J. W. Erisman, G. Billen, A. Bleeker, P. Grennfelt, H. van Grinsven, & B. Grizetti (Eds.), *The European nitrogen assessment* (pp. 99–125). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Changhui, W., Feng, Z., Xiang, Z., & Kuanhu, D. (2014). The effects of N and P additions on microbial N transformations and biomass on saline-alkaline grassland of Loess Plateau of Northern China. *Geoderma*, *213*, 419–425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.08.003

Chen, D., Xing, W., Lan, Z., Saleem, M., Wu, Y., Hu, S., & Bai, Y. (2019). Direct and indirect effects of nitrogen enrichment on soil organisms and carbon and nitrogen mineralization in a semi-arid grassland. *Functional Ecology*, *33*, 175–187. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13226

Chen, Q., Wang, Z.-L., Zou, C. B., Fan, Y., Dittert, K., & Lin, S. (2018). Legacy effects of historical grazing affect the response of vegetation dynamics to water and nitrogen addition in semi-arid steppe. *Applied Vegetation Science*, *21*, 229–239. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12364

Chen, X., Hao, B., Jing, X., He, J.-S., Ma, W., & Zhu, B. (2019). Minor responses of soil microbial biomass, community structure and enzyme activities to nitrogen and phosphorus addition in three grassland ecosystems. *Plant and Soil*, *444*, 21–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04250-3

Cohen, J. (1977). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press, Elsevier, 490 pp.

Coley, P. D., Bryant, J. P., & Chapin, F. S. (1985) (1985). Resource availability and plant antiherbivore defense. *Science*, *230*(4728), 895–899. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.230.4728.895

Conant, R. T., Ryan, M. G., Ågren, G. I., Birge, H. E., Davidson, E. A., Eliasson, P. E., … Bradford, M. A. (2011). Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates – Synthesis of current knowledge and a way forward. *Global Change Biology*, *17*, 3392–3404. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02496.x

Craine, J. M., Fierer, N., & McLauchlan, K. (2010). Widespread coupling between the rate and temperature sensitivity of organic matter decay. *Nature Geoscience*, *3*, 854–857. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1009

Dessureault-Rompré, J., Zebarth, B. J., Georgallas, A., Burton, D. L., Grant, C. A., & Drury, C. F. (2010). Temperature dependence of soil nitrogen mineralization rate: Comparison of mathematical models, reference temperatures and origin of the soils. *Geoderma*, *157*, 97–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.04.001

Dijkstra, F., Carrillo, Y., Pendall, E., & Morgan, J. (2013). Rhizosphere priming: A nutrient perspective. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *4*, 216. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00216

Dirzo, R., Young, H. S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N. J. B., & Collen, B. (2014). Defaunation in the anthropocene. *Science*, *345*, 401–406. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251817

Eisenhauer, N., Bowker, M. A., Grace, J. B., & Powell, J. R. (2015). From patterns to causal understanding: Structural equation modeling (SEM) in soil ecology. *Pedobiologia*, *58*, 65–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2015.03.002

Elser, J. J., Bracken, M. E. S., Cleland, E. E., Gruner, D. S., Harpole, W. S., Hillebrand, H., … Smith, J. E. (2007). Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters*, *10*, 1135–1142. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01113.x

Esch, E. H., Hernández, D. L., Pasari, J. R., Kantor, R. S. G., & Selmants, P. C. (2013). Response of soil microbial activity to grazing, nitrogen deposition, and exotic cover in a serpentine grassland. *Plant and Soil*, *366*, 671–682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1463-5

Estes, J. A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J. S., Power, M. E., Berger, J., Bond, W. J., … Wardle, D. A. (2011). Trophic downgrading of planet earth. *Science*, *333*, 301–306. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1205106

Fay, P. A., Prober, S. M., Harpole, W. S., Knops, J. M. H., Bakker, J. D., Borer, E. T., … Yang, L. H. (2015). Grassland productivity limited by multiple nutrients. *Nature Plants*, *1*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.80

Fischer, E. M., & Knutti, R. (2014). Detection of spatially aggregated changes in temperature and precipitation extremes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *41*, 547–554. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058499

Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., … Voss, M. (2013). The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *368*, 20130164. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0164

Frank, D. A., & Groffmann, P. M. (1998). Ungulate vs. landscape control of soil carbon and nitrogen processes in grasslands of Yellowstone National Park. *Ecology*, *79*, 2229–2241.

Gee, G. W., & Bauder, J. W. (1986). Particle-size analysis. In A. Klute (Ed.), *Methods of soil analysis*, *part I*, *physical and mineralogical methods*. Agronomy Monograph no. 9 (2nd ed., pp. 383–411). Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America.

Giardina, C. P., Ryan, M. G., Hubbard, R. M., & Binkley, D. (2001). Tree species and soil textural controls on carbon and nitrogen mineralization rates. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *65*, 1272–1279. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2001.6541272x

Giese, M., Gao, Y. Z., Lin, S., & Lin, M. G. S. (2011). Nitrogen availability in a grazed semi-arid grassland is dominated by seasonal rainfall. *Plant and Soil*, *340*, 157–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0509-9

Grace, J. B. (2006). *Structural equation modeling and natural systems*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Harpole, W. S., Ngai, J. T., Cleland, E. E., Seabloom, E. W., Borer, E. T., Bracken, M. E. S., … Smith, J. E. (2011). Nutrient co-limitation of primary producer communities. *Ecology Letters*, *14*, 852–862. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01651.x

Hassink, J. (1992). Effects of soil texture and structure on carbon and nitrogen mineralization in grassland soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *14*, 126–134. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00336262

Hicks, L. C., Rousk, K., Rinnan, R., & Rousk, J. (2020). Soil microbial responses to 28 years of nutrient fertilization in a subarctic heath. *Ecosystems*, *23*, 1107–1119.

Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, G., & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, *25*, 1965–1978. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276

Hobbie, S. E. (2015). Plant species effects on nutrient cycling: Revisiting litter feedbacks. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *30*, 357–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.03.015

Hook, P. B., & Burke, I. C. (1995). Evaluation of methods for estimating net nitrogen mineralization in a semiarid grassland. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *59*, 831–837. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1995.03615995005900030028x

Jia, X., Zhong, Y., Liu, J., Zhu, G., Shangguan, Z., & Yan, W. (2020). Effects of nitrogen enrichment on soil microbial characteristics: From biomass to enzyme activities. *Geoderma*, *366*, 114256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114256

Knops, J. M. H., Bradley, K. L., & Wedin, D. A. (2002). Mechanisms of plant species impacts on ecosystem nitrogen cycling. *Ecology Letters*, *5*, 454–466. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00332.x

Koricheva, J., Gurevitch, J., & Mengersen, K. (2013). *Handbook of meta-analysis in ecology and evolution*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 520 pp.

Kuzyakov, Y., & Xu, X. (2013). Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: Mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytologist*, *198*, 656–669. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12235

Lefcheck, J. S. (2016). piecewiseSEM: Piecewise structural equation modelling in R for ecology, evolution, and systematics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *7*, 573–579.

Li, P.-P., Han, Y.-L., He, J.-Z., Zhang, S.-Q., & Zhang, L.-M. (2019). Soil aggregate size and long-term fertilization effects on the function and community of ammonia oxidizers. *Geoderma*, *338*, 107–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.11.033

Liao, H., Zhang, Y., Zuo, Q., Du, B., Chen, W., Wei, D., & Huang, Q. (2018). Contrasting responses of bacterial and fungal communities to aggregate-size fractions and long-term fertilizations in soils of northeastern China. *Science of the Total Environment*, *635*, 784–792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.168

Liu, Y., Wang, C., He, N., Wen, X., Gao, Y., Li, S., … Yu, G. (2017). A global synthesis of the rate and temperature sensitivity of soil nitrogen mineralization: Latitudinal patterns and mechanisms. *Global Change Biology*, *23*, 455–464. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13372

Makarov, M. I., Kuznetsova, E. Y., Malysheva, T. I., Maslov, M. N., & Menyailo, O. V. (2017). Effect of the storage conditions of soil samples on carbon and nitrogen extractability. *Eurasian Soil Science*, *50*, 549–558. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064229317030085

Mooshammer, M., Wanek, W., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., & Richter, A. (2014). Stoichiometric imbalances between terrestrial decomposer communities and their resources: Mechanisms and implications of microbial adaptations to their resources. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *5*, 22. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00022

Mueller, K. E., Hobbie, S. E., Tilman, D., & Reich, P. B. (2013). Effects of plant diversity, N fertilization, and elevated carbon dioxide on grassland soil N cycling in a long-term experiment. *Global Change Biology*, *19*, 1249–1261. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12096

Neff, J. C., Townsend, A. R., Gleixner, G., Lehman, S. J., Turnbull, J., & Bowman, W. D. (2000). Variable effects of nitrogen additions on the stability and turnover of soil carbon. *Nature*, *419*, 915–917.

Norman, R., & Stucki, J. W. (1981). The determination of nitrate and nitrite in soil extracts by ultraviolet spectrophotometry. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *45*, 347–353. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1981.03615995004500020024x

Ochoa-Hueso, R., Bell, M. D., & Manrique, E. (2014). Impacts of increased nitrogen deposition and altered precipitation regimes on soil fertility and functioning in semiarid Mediterranean shrublands. *Journal of Arid Environments*, *104*, 106–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2014.01.020

Ochoa-Hueso, R., Collins, S. L., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Hamonts, K., Pockman, W. T., Sinsabaugh, R. L., … Power, S. A. (2018). Drought consistently alters the composition of soil fungal and bacterial communities in grasslands from two continents. *Global Change Biology*, *24*, 2818–2827. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14113

Olofsson, J. (2009). Effects of simulated reindeer grazing, trampling, and waste products on nitrogen mineralization and primary production. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, *41*, 330–338. https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-41.3.330

Ouyang, Y., Reeve, J. R., & Norton, J. M. (2018). Soil enzyme activities and abundance of microbial functional genes involved in nitrogen transformations in an organic farming system. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *54*, 437–450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1272-y

Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Sardans, J., Ciais, P., van der Velde, M., Bopp, L., … Janssens, I. A. (2013). Human-induced nitrogen–phosphorus imbalances alter natural and managed ecosystems across the globe. *Nature Communications*, *4*, 2934. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3934

Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., & R Core Development Team. (2016). nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effect models. R package version 2.1-128. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/nlme/index.html

Pinto, R., Brito, L. M., & Coutinho, J. (2020). Nitrogen mineralization from organic amendments predicted by laboratory and field incubations. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, *51*(4), 515–526. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2020.1717510

Ripple, W. J., Newsome, T. M., Wolf, C., Dirzo, R., Everatt, K., Galetti, M., … Van Valkenburgh, B. (2015). Collapse of the world's largest herbivores. *Science Advances*, *1*, e1400103. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400103

Risch, A. C., Ochoa-Hueso, R., van der Putten, W. H., Bump, J. K., Busse, M. D., Frey, B., … Schütz, M. (2018). Size-dependent loss of aboveground animals differentially affects grassland ecosystem coupling and functions. *Nature Communications*, *9*, 3684. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06105-4

Risch, A. C., Schütz, M., Vandegehuchte, M. L., van der Putten, W. H., Duyts, H., Raschein, U., … Zimmermann, S. (2015). Aboveground vertebrate and invertebrate herbivore impact on net N mineralization in subalpine grasslands. *Ecology*, *96*, 3312–3322. https://doi.org/10.1890/15-0300.1

Risch, A. C., Zimmermann, S., Ochoa-Hueso, R., Schütz, M., Frey, B., Firn, J. L., … Moser, B. (2019). Soil net nitrogen mineralisation across global grasslands. *Nature Communications*, *10*, 4981. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12948-2

Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Stuart Chapin III, F., Lambin, E. F., … Foley, J. (2009). Planetary boundaries: Exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, *461*, 472–475.

Sardans, J., Bartrons, M., Margalef, O., Gargallo-Garriga, A., Janssens, I. A., Ciais, P., … Peñuelas, J. (2017). Plant invasion is associated with higher plant–soil nutrient concentrations in nutrient-poor environments. *Global Change Biology*, *23*, 1282–1291. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13384

Schimel, J. P. (1995). Terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon cycle. *Global Change Biology*, *1*, 77–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.1995.tb00008.x

Schimel, J. P., & Bennett, J. (2004). Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology*, *85*, 591–602. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-8002

Schrama, M., Veen, G. F. C., Bakker, E. S. L., Ruifrok, J. L., Bakker, J. P., & Olff, H. (2013). An integrated perspective to explain nitrogen mineralization in grazed ecosystems. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, *15*, 32–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2012.12.001

Shipley, B. (2009). Confirmatory path analysis in a generalized multilevel context. *Ecology*, *90*, 363–368. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1034.1

Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. M., … Sorlin, S. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science*, *347*, 1259855. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259855

Thébault, A., Mariotte, P., Lortie, C. J., & MacDougall, A. S. (2014). Land management trumps the effects of climate change and elevated CO_2 on grassland functioning. *Journal of Ecology*, *102*, 896–904.

Tian, J., Wei, K., Condron, L. M., Chen, Z., Xu, Z., Feng, J., & Chen, L. (2017). Effects of elevated nitrogen and precipitation on soil organic nitrogen fractions and nitrogen-mineralizing enzymes in semi-arid steppe and abandoned cropland. *Plant and Soil*, *417*, 217–229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3253-6

Wang, B., Wu, L., Chen, D., Wu, Y., Hu, S., Li, L., & Bai, Y. (2020). Grazing simplifies soil micro-food webs and decouples their relationships with ecosystem functions in grasslands. *Global Change Biology*, *26*, 960–970. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14841

Wang, L., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Wang, D., Isbell, F., Liu, J., Feng, C., … Liu, C. (2019). Diversifying livestock promotes multidiversity and multifunctionality in managed grasslands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *116*, 6187–6192. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1807354116

Wei, X., Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., & Kazanski, C. E. (2017). Disentangling species and functional group richness effects on soil N cycling in a grassland ecosystem. *Global Change Biology*, *23*, 4717–4727. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13757

White, R., Rohweder, M., & Murray, S. (2000). *Pilot analysis of global ecosystems: Grassland ecosystems technical report*. Washington, DC: World Resource Institute.

WWF. (2018). *Living planet report - 2018: Aiming higher* (M. Grooten & R. E. A. Almond, Eds.). Gland, Switzerland: WWF.

Zhao, Q., Callister, S. J., Thompson, A. M., Kukkadapu, R. K., Tfaily, M. M., Bramer, L. M., … Hofmockel, K. S. (2020). Strong mineralogic control of soil organic matter composition in response to nutrient addition across diverse grassland sites. *Science of the Total Environment*, *736*, 137839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137839

Zhou, G., Zhou, X., He, Y., Shao, J., Hu, Z., Liu, R., … Hosseinibai, S. (2017). Grazing intensity significantly affects belowground carbon and nitrogen cycling in grassland ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, *23*, 1167–1179. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13431

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Risch AC, Zimmermann S, Moser B, et al. Global impacts of fertilization and herbivore removal on soil net nitrogen mineralization are modulated by local climate and soil properties. *Glob Change Biol*. 2020;00:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15308