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Originality-Significance Statement

Microorganisms play important roles in the biogeochemistry of coral reefs, but our understanding of reef microbial biogeochemistry in the context of reef health and protection is lacking. Here we comprehensively analyzed reef seawater microbial biogeochemistry in a highly protected ‘crown jewel’ Cuban reef-system and compared these features with more impacted reef-systems within Cuba and the Florida Keys. We demonstrate that protected and offshore Cuban reefs exhibit higher microbial alpha diversity, elevated community similarity, and nutrient-based signatures of oligotrophy compared to more impacted and nearshore reefs. This work suggests that offshore and highly protected reefs harbor distinct microbial biogeochemical signatures. Additionally, this knowledge may aid resource managers as they strive to protect and restore Caribbean coral reefs during a significant time of habitat and climate-based change.
There are few baseline reef-systems available for understanding the microbiology of healthy coral reefs and their surrounding seawater. Here, we examined the seawater microbial ecology of 25 Northern Caribbean reefs varying in human impact and protection in Cuba and the Florida Keys, USA, by measuring nutrient concentrations, microbial abundances, and respiration rates as well as sequencing bacterial and archaeal amplicons and community functional genes. Overall, seawater microbial composition and biogeochemistry were influenced by reef location and hydrography. Seawater from the highly protected ‘crown jewel’ offshore reefs in Jardines de la Reina, Cuba had low concentrations of nutrients and organic carbon, abundant *Prochlorococcus*, and high microbial community alpha diversity. Seawater from the less protected system of Los Canarreos, Cuba had elevated microbial community beta diversity whereas waters from the most impacted nearshore reefs in the Florida Keys contained high organic carbon and nitrogen concentrations and potential microbial functions characteristic of microbialized reefs. Each reef-system had distinct microbial signatures and within this context, we propose that the protection and offshore nature of Jardines de la Reina may preserve the oligotrophic paradigm and the metabolic dependence of the community on primary production by picocyanobacteria.
Introduction

Caribbean coral reefs have undergone dramatic changes over the past 35 years. The collective impacts of climate change, overfishing, and coastal development have caused shifts in functioning and energy transfer in coral reef ecosystems and these changes have been documented at the level of macro-organisms (Carpenter 1988; Gardner et al. 2003; Miller et al. 2009; Valdivia et al. 2017). In contrast, the impacts of these stressors on reef microbial communities have not been comprehensively documented because molecular techniques for characterizing uncultivated microbes were unavailable prior to the widespread decline of Caribbean coral reefs. This has led to critical gaps in our understanding of how microorganisms, the smallest and most abundant members of Caribbean coral reefs, have changed in abundance, composition, and function alongside broader ecosystem changes.

Global studies have shown that reefs harbor distinct microbial taxa and genomic adaptations compared to cells found in off-reef waters (Nelson et al. 2011; Kelly et al. 2014), suggesting that unique microbial processes occur on coral reefs. Additionally, human impacts (overfishing, pollution) may lead to shifts in reef trophic structure that favor microbial growth (Jackson et al. 2001). On coral reefs, this process of ‘microbialization’ begins when grazing pressure on algae is lessened due to the removal of herbivorous fish and sea urchins (Hughes et al. 2007; McDole et al. 2012; Haas et al. 2016). Removal of grazers leads to increases in macroalgae (Hughes et al. 2007). More macroalgae may then lead to increases in the standing stock of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) within the water column, increases in the abundance, respiration, and virulence/pathogenicity of heterotrophic microbes, and a net draw down of DOC (Haas et al. 2016). This mechanistic model is referred to as the DDAM (DOC, disease, algae, and microbes) model and has been suggested as one of the invisible causes for the global
degradation of coral reefs (Barott and Rohwer 2012; Haas et al. 2016). Despite the attention
dedicated to understanding the microbiology of declining coral reef ecosystems, there are still
numerous unknowns surrounding the microbiology supporting healthier coral reefs, especially
within the Caribbean, which harbor distinct and less diverse corals communities compared to
Indo-Pacific reefs.

Jardines de la Reina (JR) is a protected reef-system in Cuba that may provide useful
insight into the microbial ecology of relatively healthy Caribbean coral reefs. The reefs of JR
were historically protected from human activities due to their remote nature and are now further
protected because maritime traffic, fishing, and recreational diving and tourism are limited
within the boundary of Marine National Park (est. in 1996) that encapsulates most of the
archipelago. Additionally, Cuba does not currently have large-scale industrialized agriculture or
extensive development along most of its coastline (Galford et al. 2018; González-Díaz et al.
2018), minimizing the degree to which nutrient run-off and sedimentation may impact the
surrounding waters. The Ana Maria Gulf, referred to here as the JR gulf, spans the inner sea
between the island of Cuba; JR and is populated by small mangrove keys, extensive seagrass
meadows, and unvegetated sea beds. These features within the JR gulf have likely reduced
pollution as well as human-induced sedimentation and eutrophication (Galford et al. 2018;
González-Díaz et al. 2018). Together, the protection of JR from human impacts as well as the
ecological services provided by mangrove and seagrass biomes (Mumby et al. 2004; Guannel et
al. 2016) have likely buffered JR from direct human-induced stressors that plague other reefs in
the Caribbean. As a result, this reef-system is regarded as a ‘crown jewel’ because it supports
some of the highest fish biomass (including top predators like sharks and groupers) and coral
cover in the Caribbean (Valdivia et al. 2017; González-Díaz et al. 2018).
The more impacted reef-system of Los Canarreos (CAN), Cuba lies ~230 km to the west of JR. CAN encompasses three important keys that have less stringent protection compared to JR: Cayo Largo is an Ecological Reserve and the Rosario and Cantiles Keys are Faunal Refuges. Due to increased accessibility, reefs within CAN are more impacted by humans through subsistence and illegal fishing, tourism, and the diving industry compared to the remote and protected reefs within JR. Fishing has resulted in overexploitation of important finfish and invertebrates in most of Cuba’s waters with the exception of the central area within JR (Baisre 2017). The proximity of CAN to JR and the higher degree of human impact present an opportunity to examine the differences in biogeochemistry and microbiology between these two Cuban reef-systems.

The reef-system of the Florida Keys (FK) is located in close proximity to JR and CAN, but has experienced more anthropogenic impacts relative to the Cuban reef-systems. Reefs within FK (spanning ~570 km) are situated close to developed land within FK and South Florida and development activities have influenced the water quality in Floridian waters (Lapointe and Clark 1992; Lapointe et al. 2004). Additionally, FK hosts 2-3M tourists annually (Leeworthy et al. 2010), and many of these visitors engage in water activities such as boating, fishing, and scuba diving. The health of these reefs has been declining precipitously since they were first studied: algal phase shifts, eutrophication of the water column with decreases in water quality, pollution, high prevalence and spread of coral diseases, and loss of coral cover have afflicted these reefs (Szmant and Forrester 1996; Lapointe et al. 2004; Precht et al. 2016). Additionally, commercial and recreational fishing have overexploited over 50 species of predatory fish within FK (Ault et al. 1998; Ault et al. 2006). To combat these stressors, FK was designated as a national marine sanctuary in 1990 and separated into distinct marine zones. Fishing and
We designed this study to identify field-based microbial signatures of the protected and relatively healthy reef-system of Jardines de la Reina and to describe how biogeochemistry and reef water microbial communities change along a gradient of human impact. We expected to observe microbialized reefs within the Florida Keys compared to the reef-systems in Cuba. Additionally, we hypothesized that there would be small-scale differences in biogeochemistry and microbial community composition within each reef-system because we included reefs along potential hydrographic gradients and different distances from land in order to obtain an understanding of this variability.

Results

Water sampling and reef surveys were conducted at reef locations across the three reef-systems: Jardines de la Reina, Cuba (JR; 6 reefs), Los Canarreos, Cuba (CAN; 13 reefs – reef surveys were conducted at 5 reefs) and the Florida Keys, USA (FK; 6 reefs) (Fig. 1, Table S1). The sampled reefs were grouped into 5 different subregions -- JR offshore, JR gulf (Ana Maria Gulf), CAN, FK offshore and FK nearshore – a priori to capture spatial, environmental, and anthropogenic (when applicable) gradients across each reef-system. At each reef, divers surveyed the reef composition and then sampled surface (<1 m) and reef-depth seawater (within 1 m of the reef).

Reef composition

By reef-system, average living coral cover was significantly higher (ANOVA, F(2,14) = 4.89, p = 0.025) in JR and FK compared to CAN and was similar between JR and FK (Tukey’s multiple
comparisons of means, adjusted p-value <0.05; Fig. 2). In contrast, average total algal cover was significantly higher (ANOVA, F(2,14) = 5.82, p = 0.014) in CAN compared to JR and similar to the algal cover in FK (Tukey’s multiple comparisons of means, adjusted p-value <0.05; Fig. 2).

Reef composition also varied locally within each reef-system (Fig. 2). Within JR, the offshore forereefs (1 and 2) had average coral and algal covers of 27.4% and 52.5%, respectively (Fig. 2, Table S2). Site 5 within the JR gulf had 55.3% coral cover, the highest measured in this study. In CAN, the average coral cover among the five surveyed reefs was 5.4% and the algal cover was 85.3% (Fig. 2, Table S2). Of the six reefs surveyed in the Florida Keys, the nearshore site (25) had the highest macroalgal cover (26.0%) as well as the highest total cover of algae (94.7%) out of all the Florida sites and the lowest live coral cover (1.9%) out of all of the reefs surveyed (Fig. 2, Table S2).

**Macronutrients**

The concentrations of organic and inorganic macronutrients were measured at all sites in seawater collected from surface and reef-depths. The sampling location of reef-depth seawater varied across our sites ranging from an average depth of 5.1 m (0.75 – 16 m range) in JR, 5.2 m (1 – 14 m) in CAN, and 3.8 m (1 – 6 m) in FK (Table S1, Supporting Information Methods). Concentrations of total organic carbon (TOC) (ANOVA, F(7,27) = 78.19, p < 0.05), total organic nitrogen (TON) (ANOVA, F(7,74) = 53.44, p < 0.05), and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (nitrite, nitrate, and ammonium) (ANOVA, F(7,74) = 4.21, p < 0.05) were significantly higher within the JR gulf as well as nearshore FK compared to the offshore JR reefs (Tukey’s multiple comparisons of means, adjusted p-value < 0.05; Fig. 3, Fig. S1). Nitrate, nitrite, and ammonium were barely detectable in offshore JR reef seawater (Fig. 3, Fig. S1). Concentrations of nitrite (ANOVA, F(7,75) = 7.38, p < 0.05) and nitrate (ANOVA, F(7,76) = 3.39, p < 0.05) were significantly higher in CAN and FK.
compared to JR (Tukey’s multiple comparisons of means, p < 0.05; Fig. S1). Concentrations of ammonium were similarly low across most of the reef locations and depths (Fig. S1). Concentrations of TOC, TON, and silicate (ANOVA, F(7,76) = 14.11, p < 0.05) were significantly higher (Tukey’s multiple comparisons of means, p < 0.05) in FK nearshore seawater compared to seawater from other reefs (Fig. 3, Fig. S1). Lastly, nutrient concentrations between surface and reef-depth seawater within each subregion were not significantly different (ANOVA, p > 0.05; Fig. 3, Fig. S1).

Microbial abundances and carbon contributions

Across reef-systems, *Prochlorococcus* abundances were significantly higher (Kruskal-Wallis, Chi² = 18.33, df = 4, p = 0.001) within JR offshore reef-depth and surface seawater compared to JR gulf reef-depth seawater, CAN surface and reef-depth seawater, and nearshore FK seawater, but not significantly different from abundances in FK offshore reef-depth and surface seawater (Conover’s post-hoc test, adjusted p-value < 0.05, Fig. 4A). In fact, *Prochlorococcus* was significantly more abundant (approximately six times higher) in offshore JR (surface and reef-depth) as well as JR gulf surface seawater compared to JR gulf reef-depth seawater (Fig 4A). *Synechococcus* abundances followed the opposite pattern and were on average six-fold higher at sites located within the JR gulf compared to the offshore JR reefs, but not significantly different because of variability between reefs (Fig. 4C). The abundance of unpigmented cells, generally heterotrophic bacteria and archaea, was mostly similar across all reefs and reef-systems, but elevated within reef-depth gulf seawater (JR5 and 6) and highest within nearshore FK seawater (Fig. 4E). Picoeukaryotic cell abundances were more similar between reef-systems (Fig. S2A). Calculations of carbon biomass demonstrated that *Synechococcus* contributed carbon biomass to
all regions, with up to 12.5 µg of carbon l⁻¹ in JR gulf seawater (Fig. 4D). *Prochlorococcus* contributed up to 3 µg of carbon l⁻¹ in offshore JR reef seawater (Fig. 4B).

**Phytoplankton**

Chlorophyll *a* concentrations were generally low (ranging from 0.053 to 0.337 µg l⁻¹) (Fig. S3), but changes in phytoplankton community composition were observed across reef-systems. Phytoplankton community assemblages from Cuban reefs were dominated by cyanobacteria, comprising average relative abundances of 39% (JR) and 29% (CAN) of the phytoplankton community (Fig. 5). In contrast, FK reef seawater had a greater representation of the 11 other measured phytoplankton functional classes with significantly less cyanobacteria (14 +/- 8%; ANOVA, F(2,25) = 15.98, p = 3.38E-5; Tukey multiple comparisons of means, p < 0.05) (Fig. 5). The relative abundance of diatoms was significantly higher (ANOVA, F(2,25) = 4.032, p = 0.030) in FK (16%) compared to JR (5%) (Tukey multiple comparisons of means, p = 0.026) (Fig. 5).

**Microbial alpha diversity**

Offshore reef seawater in JR had the highest microbial alpha diversity (measured here as microbial richness), as indicated by number of minimum entropy decomposed (MED) nodes of bacterial and archaean SSU rRNA gene amplicons (median of 333.5 (range: 185 - 359) MED nodes) (Fig. 6). Offshore JR seawater had significantly (Kruskal-Wallis, Chi² = 21.41, df = 4, p = 2.6E-4) higher alpha diversity compared to CAN (Dunn’s test, adjusted p = 0.0001; Fig. 6) as well as FK nearshore reef seawater (Dunn’s test, adjusted p = 0.042; Fig. 6). Offshore FK seawater had the next highest median alpha diversity (275.5 (range: 141 - 330) MED nodes), followed by FK nearshore reef seawater (median alpha diversity 202 (range: 101 - 261) MED nodes). Microbial alpha diversity in CAN reef seawater had the lowest median richness of 140
(range: 58 - 336) MED nodes (Fig. 6). The variation in microbial alpha diversity between reefs within CAN as well as FK was larger compared to JR, with the largest range encountered in CAN (58 - 360 MED nodes) (Fig. 6).

**Microbial community composition**

A nested permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; Adonis) test on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index of reef seawater bacterial and archaeal SSU rRNA gene amplicons grouped into MED nodes indicated that region (reef-system; JR, CAN, or FK), subregion, reef location, and sampling depth influenced the composition of reef seawater communities, with reef location contributing the most to variation in community dissimilarity (Table 1). Additionally, a non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS) corroborated these results (Fig. 7A). At a broader scale, microbial communities collected from the same reef-system and subregion were more similar to each other (Fig. 7A and 7B). In the NMDS, all CAN seawater microbial communities were ordinated in the positive plane of the y-axis (NMDS2) and separated from JR and FK microbial communities (Fig. 7A). Surprisingly, there was high similarity in community composition between sites 22 and 23 in the FK and the JR offshore forereefs (JR 1 and 2). Microbial community dispersion was higher and more variable in seawater collected from CAN and FK offshore compared to JR offshore and JR gulf, indicating higher beta diversity across these subregions (Fig. 7B).

Within the NMDS, microbial communities sampled within JR ordinated together by location; microbial compositions from reefs JR 1 and 2 were more similar to each other than to the other communities sampled from sites located within the JR gulf (Figs. 1D and 7). Compared to the other reef-systems, all communities from JR grouped closer together and had less variance in community composition relative to CAN and FK microbial communities (Fig. 7). The pattern
of ordinating by general geographic location was not as evident in microbial communities collected from CAN and FK (Fig. 7A). Microbial community composition from site 25, one of the reefs closest to Summerland Key, was more dissimilar from the other FK microbial communities (Figs. 1B and 7A). Environmental variables were fitted to the NMDS ordination using vector fitting (‘envfit’ function) and this procedure indicated that picoeukaryote abundance \( R^2 = 0.11, p = 0.040 \) and nitrite \( R^2 = 0.33, p = 0.001 \) and silicate \( R^2 = 0.16, p = 0.010 \) concentrations were significantly correlated with the ordination of microbial communities in the NMDS.

Regionally specific microbial taxa

Reef seawater microbial community composition, assessed using bacterial and archaeal SSU rRNA gene amplicons, showed some variability at the level of Phylum (Fig. S4). Cyanobacteria were more abundant within CAN (29.7 ± 18.3%; mean and standard deviation) compared to JR (18.5 ± 5.8%) and FK (13.2 ± 5.4%) (Fig. S4). Prochlorococcus sequences were 99.2 to 99.6% identical to MIT9313, a low-light ecotype of Prochlorococcus, and this was the only ecotype identified within the amplicon-based survey. Bacteroidetes was most represented in FK reef seawater, with an average relative abundance of 16.8 ± 8.3%, and less abundant in JR (12.7 ± 5.7%) and in CAN (8.6 ± 6.4%) (Fig. S4). Verrucomicrobia also followed the same trend as Bacteroidetes and had the highest relative abundance in FK reef seawater (2.0 ± 1.4%) compared to JR (0.4 ± 0.3%) and CAN (0.6 ± 1.6%) (Fig. S4). Euryarchaeota were detected on nearly all reefs, with average relative abundances of 1.0 ± 0.7% in JR, 0.7 ± 0.8% in CAN, and 1.3 ± 1.8% in FK (Fig. S4).

Enrichment comparisons of specific taxa within reef-depth seawater collected from the most biogeochemically distinct reef-systems of JR and FK revealed that 44 discrete MED nodes
were differentially abundant (p-adjusted <0.05, Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple
testing) (Fig. 8). The 34 enriched taxa in JR belonged to microbial groups typically found in reef
seawater environments. Alphaproteobacteria comprised 29% of the enriched MED nodes,
including the SAR116 clade, Surface 1 and 2 groups within the SAR11 clade, and
Rhodobacteraceae (Fig. 8). Cyanobacteria accounted for 20.6% of reads enriched within JR
seawater, with most of the representative MED node sequences identifying as Synechococcus.
Lastly, while the prevalence of Archaea was low across the entire dataset, MED nodes affiliated
with Marine Groups II and III within the Thermoplasmata were significantly enriched in JR
communities (5.8% of enriched sequences) (Fig. 8). MED nodes significantly depleted in JR and
enriched in FK reef-depth seawater were mostly comprised of Bacteroidetes (50%),
Alphaproteobacteria (20%), and Verrucomicrobia (20%) (Fig. 8). More specifically, MED nodes
affiliated with Formosa and Coraliomargarita were enriched within FK seawater compared to
seawater collected from JR (Fig. 8). All of these MED nodes were present across the dataset at
low relative abundances (Table S3).

**Functional differences between Jardines de la Reina and the Florida Keys**

Metagenomic sequencing and analysis of the whole microbial community (eukaryotes, bacteria,
archaea, and DNA viruses) in reef-depth seawater from JR and FK resulted in 163 significantly
different functional genes (Fig. 9). These genes were grouped into KEGG modules as well as
metabolic pathways. JR metagenomes were enriched in photosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism
pathways (Fig. S7) and the KEGG modules of nitrate assimilation, assimilatory nitrate reduction,
the capsular polysaccharide transport system, and the NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase
enzyme (for chloroplasts and cyanobacteria) (Table 2). Metabolic pathways enriched in FK
included fructose and mannose metabolism, pentose and glucorionate interconversions,
lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, toluene degradation, valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis, as well as the microbial metabolisms in diverse environments category (including degradation and metabolism of xenobiotics, and energy metabolism of diverse compounds) and pathway coverage ranged from 0.02 to 0.1 (Table 2). The KEGG modules that were enriched in FK included fumarate reductase and the degradation step of benzene to catechol involved in benzene degradation with module coverages ranging from 0.2 to 1 (Table 2).

Community respiration rates

Water column community respiration was determined by monitoring oxygen through time in dark incubations. Most of the reefs (81%) had positive community respiration rates that ranged from 0.3 to 16.7 µmol of O$_2$ consumed l$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Fig. 10). The highest respiration rate of 16.7 µmol O$_2$ l$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ was measured in offshore FK seawater collected from site 21. Negative respiration rates, implying net oxygen production, were observed in seawater collected from JR 4, CAN 9, and sites FK 23 and 24 (Fig. 10). These values ranged from 0.3-6.9 µmol of O$_2$ produced, with the highest O$_2$ production at site FK 23 (Fig. 10).

Across reef-system relationships between microbial diversity, microbial abundances, and coral cover

Relationships were examined across the measured parameters, and for brevity only those with significant results are reported. There was a significant negative regression (R$^2 = 0.33$, p = 0.010, Fig. 11A) between microbial community alpha diversity and heterotrophic cell abundance across JR and the FK, with less microbial alpha diversity and slightly higher heterotrophic abundance in FK nearshore reef seawater. However, this regression was not significant when seawater from CAN was included (R$^2 = -0.02$, p = 0.49, Fig. 11B). We also detected a significant positive
regression between the abundance of picocyanobacteria (summation of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus cell abundances) and coral cover across the reef-systems ($R^2 = 0.54$, $p = 0.001$, Fig. S5).

**Discussion**

This study compared reef seawater biogeochemistry and microbial communities between protected and impacted Northern Caribbean reefs with the goal of deciphering distinct microbial features. We found that Jardines de la Reina is an oligotrophic reef-system characterized by taxonomically diverse microbial communities with high community similarity and abundant picocyanobacterial biomass, whereas Los Canarreos and the Florida Keys reefs experience more spatial variability in reef seawater microbial community alpha diversity and composition. Furthermore, the spatial variability within Los Canarreos reefs may be driven by release of nutrients from nearby wetlands (the Zapata Swamp, see below) and the hydrodynamic regime created by the complex array of cays and channels. The variability in the Florida Keys may be mostly impacted by increased concentrations of organic and inorganic macronutrients, higher productivity, and/or terrestrial sources of sediments from developed land. The nearshore reefs in the Florida Keys exhibited a few signs of microbialization, but this process was not as evident on the Florida Keys offshore reefs surveyed in this study. The microbial regimes observed across the reef-systems appear to be determined by the intersection of local anthropogenic impact as well as oceanographic processes.

*Biogeochemical and microbial features of Jardines de la Reina*
A majority of the macronutrient concentrations were low or barely detectable in Jardines de la Reina and are similar to concentrations measured in other oligotrophic systems including the Sargasso Sea, the North Subtropical Pacific Gyre, the Red Sea, and other reefs in the Caribbean and Pacific, suggesting rapid turnover of these nutrient pools by microorganisms (Lewis 1977; Westrum and Meyers 1978; Sorokin 1995; Karl et al. 1996; Dore et al. 2008). Organic carbon concentrations in JR (especially at JR 1 and 2) were similar to concentrations reported from a reef-crest in Grand Cayman (Westrum et al. 1978).

Nutrient dynamics across JR are likely influenced by differences in hydrodynamics between offshore forereefs and patch reefs within the JR gulf. The forereefs are flushed with pelagic, oligotrophic seawater that is carried to them by the Caribbean current, whereas the patch reefs within the gulf are influenced by productive mangrove forests and seagrass meadows that have less contact with the open ocean. Entrainment of nutrients from these productive biomes within the gulf and tidal flushing of these nutrients onto the forereefs are likely important processes that influence primary productivity, microbial diversity and metabolism, and grazing of cells by the reef community in Jardines de la Reina.

Picocyanobacterial abundances in Jardines de la Reina were similar to abundances observed within oligotrophic open-ocean environments (DuRand et al. 2001; Zinser et al. 2006; Charpy et al. 2012), but were two orders of magnitude higher than abundances detected in seawater from Pacific reefs (Charpy et al. 2012). Furthermore, reef seawater collected from the offshore forereefs in JR had high abundances of Prochlorococcus whereas there was a shift to high, but variable abundances of Synechococcus in seawater collected from within the JR gulf. This negative relationship between Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus has been observed previously and tracks with increased macronutrient concentrations and proximity to land (Cox et
al. 2006; Yeo et al. 2013). Additionally, the ratio of picocyanobacteria to unpigmented cells was
very similar between offshore and gulf reefs in JR, potentially indicating similar nutrient or
grazing controls on both populations. We expected that this change in the nutrient regime would
select for different ecotypes of *Prochlorococcus*, but all the sequences that identified as
*Prochlorococcus* were similar to MIT9313, a low-light adapted ecotype (Rocap et al. 2003). In
our study, there was a discrepancy between the trends observed in *Prochlorococcus*,
*Synechococcus*, and unpigmented cell abundances determined using flow cytometry and
amplicon-based relative abundances. For example, cell counts for *Prochlorococcus* and
*Synechococcus* were two orders of magnitude lower than unpigmented cells, yet they still
comprised a large portion of the bacterial and archaeal community based on relative abundances
generated from amplicon-based community analyses. This discrepancy likely arose because
amplicon-based sequencing data is not quantitative and cannot be directly compared with cell
abundance data, as has been observed previously (reviewed within Martiny et al. 2009).

Despite their prevalence on reefs, the ecological roles of *Prochlorococcus* and
*Synechococcus* within reef microbial communities have only been investigated in a few cases
(Charpy et al. 2012; McDole Somera et al. 2016). These picocyanobacteria are some of the most
important primary producers in reef seawater and they are directly and indirectly grazed by
single-celled eukaryotic heterotrophs, mixotrophic plankton, and reef organisms like corals and
sponges, effectively linking photosynthetically fixed carbon from the water column to animals
on the reef (Sorokin 1995; Ferrier-Pages and Gattuso 1998; Bertilsson et al. 2005; Patten et al.
2011; Charpy et al. 2012; McNally et al. 2017). The high prevalence of *Prochlorococcus* and
*Synechococcus* observed in this study demonstrates that picocyanobacterial dynamics on reefs
should be explored further from energetic as well as community network perspectives.
Reef seawater from Jardines de la Reina had higher microbial alpha diversity and smaller beta diversity compared to seawater from CAN and FK. There was also a negative relationship between microbial alpha diversity and heterotrophic bacterial abundance between JR and FK, indicating a potential trade-off between community alpha diversity and biomass across the different reef-systems. The consistent supply of oligotrophic seawater from the Caribbean current to JR forereefs likely enhances niche partitioning within microbial communities and leads to higher alpha diversity. The hydrodynamic regime likely contributes to the high microbial community similarity across this reef-system through mixing processes. On the opposite end of the spectrum, in more disturbed and/or nutrient-rich environments within Los Canarreos or the Florida Keys, microbial alpha diversity tends to be lower or the beta diversity is higher and more variable, suggesting that disturbances on these reefs favor active growth of fewer dominant microorganisms that outcompete other cells within the population for resources (Kearns et al. 2016; Reese and Dunn 2018). Additionally, genes indicative of photosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism were enriched in JR compared to FK, indicating the importance of photosynthesis and nitrogen acquisition in oligotrophic waters. Fewer genes were significantly enriched in JR compared to FK as well, suggesting a higher degree of functional redundancy and homogeneity across the more taxonomically diverse microbial communities in JR. The links between microbial alpha diversity and functional diversity continue to be debated (Louca et al. 2018), but our findings demonstrate that alpha diversity, in the context of reef microbial communities surveyed in JR, CAN, and FK, may be a meaningful feature of protected reefs.

Potential influence of the nutrients from wetlands within Los Canarreos

Reef seawater microbial beta diversity was higher and more variable in Los Canarreos compared to communities from Jardines de la Reina and the Florida Keys. In contrast, there was less
variance in the inorganic and organic macronutrient concentrations, picocyanobacterial
abundances, and phytoplankton community compositions across Los Canarreos. Overall, CAN
reefs were less oligotrophic than the forereefs in Jardines de la Reina and the phytoplankton
community was mostly comprised of eukaryotic phytoplankton including diatoms and
dinoflagellates, suggesting episodic instances of high water-column productivity on these reefs.
Additionally, the productivity of seawater microbial communities in CAN could be stimulated by
nutrients and organic matter released from the Zapata Swamp, an extensive wetland (Galford et
al. 2018) that is located ~60 km from this reef-system.

Elevated nutrients near land in the Florida Keys

Nearshore reefs in the Florida Keys had the highest organic carbon, total organic nitrogen, and
silicate concentrations compared to all the other reefs in this study. In fact, the total organic
carbon and nitrogen concentrations were 2-3 times higher on the nearshore reefs compared to the
offshore reefs, on par with other observations within the Florida Keys (Szmant et al. 1996;
Briceno and Boyer 2015; Apprill et al. 2016). Terrestrial run-off and sediment intrusion are
likely partially responsible for the high TOC, TON, and silicate concentrations on these
nearshore reefs, but we cannot definitively discern the relative contributions of sediment vs.
biological productivity because we did not measure sediment load. Despite the elevated organic
carbon concentrations, community respiration rates were not higher, but more variable than rates
measured in reef seawater from JR and FK.

The most notable differences in reef seawater microbial community composition between
JR and FK included the decrease and absence of Prochlorococcus cells on the FK offshore and
nearshore reefs, increase in the relative composition of Bacteroidetes, and detection of
Roseibaccilus and Coraliomargarita, both members of the Verrumicrobia phylum, across all FK
reefs. Bacteroidetes have been associated with marine particles and detected at high relative abundances following phytoplankton blooms (Pinhassi et al. 2004; Teeling et al. 2012). Furthermore, Bacteroidetes can degrade high molecular weight polymers as well as synthesize adhesion proteins for attaching to particles (Fernandez-Gomez et al. 2013). Verrumicrobia are also particle-associated, although they can be free-living, and typically recovered from terrestrial soils (Bergmann et al. 2011; Freitas et al. 2012). That being said, Verrumicrobia are also detected ubiquitously in seawater and at high relative abundances in coastal marine environments (Freitas et al. 2012). Higher abundances of Bacteroidetes and Verrumicrobia suggest that there are more particles in FK seawater compared to JR and CAN which corresponds with higher nutrient availability and shifts in phytoplankton community composition.

In the Florida Keys, we observed higher total chlorophyll $a$ concentrations and a phytoplankton population mostly comprised of diatoms, dinoflagellates, and haptophytes. The increased macronutrient concentrations likely enhance the growth of larger eukaryotic phytoplankton and select against the growth of microbial cells that are not tolerant of higher nutrient conditions. Additionally, there were more diverse functional metabolic pathways enriched in FK compared to JR, in agreement with the premise that microbial communities living in environments with more substrates available will have the functional capability to use the available nutrients. Furthermore, genes involved in the pentose – phosphate pathway have been positively correlated with algal cover on microbialized reefs (Haas et al. 2016) and we detected enrichment of this pathway (pentose and glucoranate interconversions) in FK. Microorganisms using the pentose-phosphate pathway can potentially catabolize more diverse carbon sources, including carbohydrates released by algae (Haas and Wild 2010), and this strategy has been shown to provide a selective advantage to microorganisms that need to grow faster than their
competitors (Haas et al. 2016). We did not detect significant enrichment of virulence-associated or pathogenic genes in seawater from the Florida Keys compared to Jardines de la Reina which is contrary to other studies that have observed an increase in the abundance of these genes with reef degradation or increased human impact (Dinsdale et al. 2008; Bruce et al. 2012; Kelly et al. 2012; Kelly et al. 2014; Moreira et al. 2015).

Revisiting the microbialization hypothesis in the context of different reef regimes

We hypothesized there would be significant increases in the abundance of heterotrophic bacteria, enhanced community respiration, higher concentrations of inorganic and organic macronutrients, and shifts from coral to algal dominance on the reefs along the gradient of human impact. However, we did not observe significant changes in most of these parameters. Overall, hydrogeography and subregion were the largest influences contributing to reef similarity. Offshore reefs in both JR and the FK were oligotrophic, had high abundances of picocyanobacteria, high microbial alpha diversity, and more constrained microbial beta diversity, although the magnitudes of the contrasts were different within each reef-system. The only reefs that supported some of the predictions of the DDAM model were the nearshore reefs in the Florida Keys. These two nearshore reefs had significantly higher concentrations of organic macronutrients, very low abundances of Prochlorococcus, and significant enrichment of particle-associated and copiotrophic microbial taxa. Our observations indicate that the process of microbialization on reefs may be more nuanced and that there are additional aspects of hydrogeography that impact these processes, resulting in different reef regimes. In this study, we surveyed a spectrum of reef regimes across JR, CAN, and FK, but we recognize that some subregions (e.g. JR offshore, JR gulf, FK nearshore) have fewer data points compared to the other categories due to sampling limitations and that care needs to be taken when interpreting the
statistical differences between subregions. That being said, measurements from these locations were similar within each subregion and are likely representative of the environmental conditions. However, future studies would benefit from collecting samples at a higher spatial or temporal resolution in order to unravel the process of microbialization on reefs. Additionally, there are other examples of reefs that are subjected to high loads of organic and inorganic nutrients as well as pollution, like Vardero reef in Colombia (Pizarro et al. 2017) or reefs subjected to upwelling events (Leichter et al. 2003; Stuhldreier et al. 2015). Comparisons of microbial community dynamics between these drastically different reef regimes like Vardero reef with Jardines de la Reina would extend our knowledge of how microbial communities contribute to energy cycling and reef health.

Relating and applying back to the reef

Coral and algal coverage varied locally (also observed by Caballero Aragón et al. 2019), but did not change drastically across reef-systems, indicating that these metrics may not be the most immediate and sensitive measure of reef health. Additionally, our observations of coral and algal cover are in agreement with another study examining coral diversity and cover on reef-systems surrounding the island of Cuba (González-Díaz et al. 2018). Furthermore, coral cover on JR reefs was lower than the historical baseline of ~50% cover in the Caribbean (Gardner et al. 2003) and there were observations of bleaching and coral disease, indicating that even the remote reefs of Jardines de la Reina are impacted by environmental change and disease (Ferrer et al. 2016; González-Díaz et al. 2018). In addition to coral cover, other aspects of reef composition, including taxonomic or functional compositions of corals, macroalgae and turf algae, and macro-invertebrates, can serve as important metrics of reef health (Smith et al. 2016). Fish abundances and diversity are also used as metrics for reef health and other studies have found that
abundances of commercially valuable and larger fish are higher on some reefs located within the JR National Park (Pina-Amargos et al. 2014; Valdivia et al. 2017). That being said, we are lacking an understanding of how the diversity and abundance of fish correlate with reef biogeochemistry and microbial ecology and this should be addressed by future studies.

Reef microbial ecology may instead be a more immediate and sensitive measure of reef health than coral cover or vertebrate abundance. A growing body of research has introduced the concept of using microorganisms as bioindicators on reefs (reviewed within Glasl et al. 2017) as well as to predict changes in environmental conditions (Glasl et al. 2019) and the research presented here builds upon this knowledge. We have demonstrated that the microbial signatures of high alpha diversity, high community similarity, and high prevalence of Prochlorococcus may be important indicators for reef managers and restoration specialists to acknowledge. For example, there is significant interest in restoring reefs by outplanting coral colonies onto existing reefs. While general oceanographic conditions are sometimes considered when defining sites for these efforts, reef seawater microbial ecology is not typically factored into these site decisions. As additional datasets like the one presented here emerge and we further link microbial dynamics to reef health, microbial ecology may become a more prevalent and defining factor in reef restoration efforts.

Methods

Reef surveys and sample collection

We conducted two separate research expeditions to JR (February 2015) and CAN/FK (April/May 2015) during the Caribbean dry season. Due to sampling limitations within JR, we were only able to survey and collect samples from two reef sites in the JR offshore subregion and four reefs
within the JR gulf subregion. Scuba divers conducted reef surveys at all JR and five CAN reef locations to assess the percent cover of different reef organisms and substrates (Supporting Information Methods). Coverage of a wider diversity of biotypes was assessed at FK reefs using the same methods, but with a different research team (Supporting Information Methods).

At each reef location, hydrographic profiles of the water column were obtained and seawater from surface (<1 m depth) and reef-depth (~1 m above reef) was collected for a variety of different analyses (Table S1, Supporting Information Methods). Seawater (4 l for each depth) was collected using a submersible groundwater pump (Mini-monsoon sampling pump, Proactive Environmental Products) and replicate 2 l samples were each filtered onto 0.22 μm pore size, 25 mm Supor® filters (Pall Corporation). Filters were stored in cryovials, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, transported in a dry-shipper in the field, and stored at -80 °C until DNA was extracted. Additionally, 20 l seawater from each site was filtered onto 0.1 μm pore size, 142 mm Supor® filters for shotgun metagenomic sequencing. Smaller-volume (1 ml) seawater samples were collected and preserved with 1% PFA (final concentration) for flow cytometry (Supporting Information Methods). Seawater (2 – 4 l) was also filtered onto 25 mm Whatman® GF/F glass microfiber filters (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) for phytoplankton pigment analyses. Seawater samples were collected in duplicate from both depths at each reef for analysis of organic and inorganic macronutrients (Supporting Information Methods).

**Macronutrient analysis**

Total non-purgeable organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN; organic and inorganic) concentrations were measured using a Shizmadu TOC-VCSH total organic carbon analyzer (Hansell and Carlson 2001). Concentrations of inorganic macronutrients (PO₄³⁻, NO₂⁻+NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, NH₄⁺, silicate) were analyzed using a continuous segmented flow system (as described
within Apprill and Rappé 2011). Nitrate (NO$_3^-$) concentrations for each sample were calculated by subtracting the NO$_2^-$ concentration from the NO$_2^-+NO_3^-$ concentration. Total organic nitrogen concentrations were calculated by subtracting the sum of the inorganic nitrogen species concentrations (NO$_2^-+NO_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$) from the total nitrogen (TN) concentrations for each sample.

Phytoplankton pigments

Pigment analysis was conducted using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (Van Heukelem and Thomas 2001). The Chemtax addition to the R-package limSolve (Soetaert et al. 2009), based on the program CHEMTAX (Mackey et al. 1996), was used to estimate the algal composition of chlorophytes, cyanobacteria, diatoms, cryptophytes, dinoflagellates, haptophytes 1- 4, and pasinophytes within each sample based upon the concentrations of 12 different pigments (Pinckney et al. 2015). The initial pigment ratio matrix used to evaluate phytoplankton composition was taken from Pickney et al. (2015). The converged initial pigment ratio matrix was used because the phytoplankton assemblages in these samples were not determined with a microscope.

Cell abundances

Samples collected for cell counts were analyzed with an Altra flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and a laser excitation wavelength of 488. Unstained and stained (SybrGreen I, Invitrogen™) subsamples were analyzed to estimate the abundance of picocyanobacteria (Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus), picoeukaryotes, and unpigmented cells (proxy for heterotrophic bacterial abundance) (Marie et al. 1997), respectively. Fluorescence spectra were binned, analyzed, and transformed into abundances using FlowJo (v. 6.4.7) software. Total
abundances of cells per sample were calculated by adding the abundances for each picoplankton class together. Estimates of the carbon biomass were calculated by multiplying the abundances of *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus*, and unpigmented cells by a carbon conversion factor and then converting the concentration of carbon to micrograms per liter of seawater. The carbon conversion factors for each cell type included: 30 fg of carbon per *Prochlorococcus* cell, 200 fg of carbon per *Synechococcus* cell, and 10 fg of carbon per unpigmented cell (Fukuda et al. 1998; Cermak et al. 2017).

*Microbial community sequencing and analysis using 16S rRNA gene surveys*

DNA was extracted from filters using two different DNA extraction methods in order to increase DNA yield (Santoro et al. 2010; Urakawa et al. 2010). DNA was extracted from duplicate samples taken at each site and depth to assess reproducibility between samples. Purified DNA from the two different extraction methods was pooled per sample using the Genomic DNA Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo Research Corporation), quantified using the Qubit 2.0 HS dsDNA assay (ThermoFisher Scientific), and screened for quality using gel electrophoresis (1% TBE agarose gel) and the HyperLadder™ 1kb marker (Bioline) as a size reference. DNA extraction and pooling controls (9) were also created to control for potential contamination from reagents. Lastly, genomic DNA from a microbial mock community (HM-278D, BEI Resources) was included in the final sample array to account for amplification and sequencing error.

Extracted DNA was amplified and sequenced at the W. M. Keck Center for Comparative and Functional Genomics (University of Illinois, Urbana, IL). Briefly, V4 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene were amplified using the Fluidigm® microfluidics quantitative PCR platform and prepared for 2x250 bp paired-end Illumina MiSeq sequencing (Supporting Information Methods). The Fluidigm V4 primer set 515F-Y: 5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA
accompanied with Illumina adapters, index, pad, and linker sequences, were used for
amplification (Kozich et al. 2013). Primer-sorted and demultiplexed sequences were quality-filtered using mothur v.1.36.1 (Schloss et al. 2009). Forward and reverse reads were united and locus-specific forward and reverse primers were removed. Reads with ambiguous positions or exceeding 275 bp in length were removed. Next, unknown, mitochondria, or eukaryotic sequences were identified (method = ‘knn’) using the Silva database v119 (Quast et al. 2013) and removed. UCHIME (Edgar et al. 2011) was used to identify and remove chimeric reads (reference = self). Sequences detected in the DNA extraction and pooling controls are believed to originate from amplicon contamination during sample processing or cross-talk between multiplexed samples during sequencing (Wright and Vetsigian 2016) due to their classification as marine bacteria (unclassified Rhodobacteraceae, Flavobacteria, and SAR11). To be conservative, these sequences were removed from all samples (146,540 reads; 3% of dataset, accounting for 107 MED nodes). This removal occurred prior to subsampling so that it had a minimal impact on subsequent analyses. Mock community samples were removed from the dataset prior to read clustering and analyzed separately. The sequencing error rate was 0.0027. Sequences were then subsampled to 8,500 reads per sample to minimize the impacts of uneven sequence coverage across samples, but retain as many samples within the dataset as possible. Sequences were clustered into biologically meaningful groups (MED nodes) using Minimum Entropy Decomposition (MED) (Eren et al. 2015). Sequences representing each MED node were classified in mothur (Silva v119, method = ‘knn’) and this information, along with the read counts and relative abundances, was used for microbial community analysis (Supporting
Information Methods). Raw sequences were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA517146.

**Metagenomic sequencing**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from nine reef-depth seawater samples from JR (n=4) and FK (n=5) using a modified cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) - phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol extraction (Supporting Information Methods). No samples from CAN were chosen because the DNA extracted from CAN yielded 16S rDNA sequences that were highly variable between sites. Genomic libraries were prepared using the Hyper Library construction kit (Kapa Biosystems, Inc, Wilmington, MA, USA) and sequenced at the W. M. Keck Center using 2x150 bp paired-end Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencing. Fastq files were demultiplexed, and library adaptors were trimmed from the 3’ ends of the reads (Supporting Information Methods). BBTools (Bushnell 2016) was used to remove residual sequence adaptors (ktrim=r k=23 mink=11 hdist=1 tpe tbo) as well as trim reads using the Phred algorithm (qtrim=rl trimq=10).

The program FMAP (Kim et al. 2016) was used to assign KEGG orthologs to the metagenomic reads using DIAMOND (Buchfink et al. 2015) as well as identify significantly different KEGG orthologs, KEGG pathways, and KEGG operons between JR and FK reef-depth seawater metagenomes (Kruskal – Wallis test; p-value<0.05, FDR adjusted to control for false positives). Raw files can also be found in SRA under bioProject PRJNA517146.

**Community respiration measurements**

Seawater samples (4 - 6 per site) were collected from reef-depth using a submersible groundwater pump and kept in the dark. Respiration rates incubations (~24 hours) were conducted with ~5X replication with water collected from 19 reefs in acid cleaned 60 ml glass
Biological Oxygen Demand bottles with glass stoppers. Incubations using water from sites 2, 12, and 24 were conducted twice. Acid-washed bottles were equipped with oxygen optode 'dot' sensors (PreSens) affixed to the glass using food-grade silicone adhesive. In the laboratory, the perimeter of the glass stopper was filled with water using a squeeze bottle to prevent gas exchange between the water in the bottle and the atmosphere. The concentration of oxygen in the bottles was measured over time using a handheld Fibox 4 (PreSens). Incubations were conducted in a static water bath in a darkened cooler located inside a darkened room at as close to in situ temperatures as possible in the remote location. The incubation temperatures were 26.6 ± 0.5 °C (JR), 25.0 ± 0.2 °C (CAN), and 26.5 ± 0.5 °C (FK). Initial oxygen measurements were taken every hour (h) for the first 4 h, and then approximately every 4 h after that. Ten oxygen measurement readings were taken for each incubation bottle at each timepoint. Prior to calculating respiration rates, oxygen data were quality controlled to remove any individual readings greater than one standard deviation from the mean value at a given timepoint. Linear fitting to the time course oxygen data was done in MATLAB (v. 7.13, MathWorks, Inc.) using the 'polyfit' function.

Statistical analyses

Due to the scope and breadth of this complex and nuanced dataset with sampling limitations, we implemented different statistical tests suitable for each dataset (e.g. inorganic nutrients, cell abundances, microbial community analysis) and tested for significance across different qualitative (e.g. subregion, reef-system) and quantitative (e.g. total organic carbon concentrations) parameters. To compare differences in reef cover, macronutrient concentrations, and cell abundances, data were inspected for normality using Shapiro-Wilk normality tests. Normally distributed data was tested using analysis of variance tests (ANOVA) followed by
post-hoc Tukey multiple comparisons of means tests using a 95% family-wise confidence level (adjusted \( p \text{ value}<0.05 \)). For data that was not normally distributed, Kruskal – Wallis rank sum tests, followed by either Dunn’s or Conover-Iman tests using Bonferroni corrections were used to assess significant differences (adjusted \( p \)-value<0.05). We recognize that JR offshore and JR gulf subregions have fewer data points compared to the other categories, but measurements from these locations were similar within each subregion and are likely representative of the environmental conditions. We performed linear regressions using ggplot2, geom_smooth and the method = “lm” (Wickham 2016) to investigate relationships between coral cover and picoplankton abundances, algal cover and total organic carbon concentrations, and unpigmented cell abundances and bacterial and archaeal observed richness. A principal components analysis (PCA) was conducted with biogeochemical, physicochemical, and microbial abundance data to assess collinearity between variables and to investigate which variables contributed to the most variation in both dimensions (Figure S6).

Amplicon-based microbial community statistical analyses were completed using R studio (R Core Development Team 2017). Reads identifying as chloroplasts (average 275 ± 198 reads per sample; 3% of all subsampled sequences) were removed from the dataset prior to beginning the analyses. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was conducted using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index and covariance matrices for each group were plotted as 95% confidence ellipses using ‘vegan’ (as in Eren et al. 2015; Oksanen et al. 2017). The ‘vegan’ package was also used to calculate the multivariate homogeneity of groups dispersions by subregion (function ‘betadisper’) and is defined as the average distance of group members to the group centroid (Oksanen et al. 2017). In addition, environmental vectors correlating maximally with each environmental variable were fit onto the NMDS ordination using the “envfit” function.
Reef-depth seawater collected from site FK 23 were omitted from the analysis because reef-depth TOC was not collected from this site. Nested PERMANOVA (Adonis) tests, also available within ‘vegan’, were conducted using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index (999 permutations) (p<0.05). To conduct this test, the factors reef-depth, reef location, and subregion were nested within region (reef-system). The package ‘phyloseq’ (McMurdie and Holmes 2013) was used to calculate alpha richness. DESeq2 was used to identify significantly differently enriched MED nodes between JR and FK reef-depth seawater using default parameters with a “local” fit trend line (Love et al. 2014). This procedure is able to identify significantly differentially rich taxa even if they are at low relative abundances and is useful for investigating MED-specific differences in cryptic members of the community. Samples collected in CAN were not subjected to DESeq2 analysis due to the lower number of samples.
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**Figure legends**

Fig. 1. Overview map of the reef-systems (A) and reef locations studied: B) Florida Keys (FK), Florida, USA, C) Los Canarreos (CAN), Cuba, and D) Jardines de la Reina (JR), Cuba. Outlined shapes in B) and D) delineate the two subregion reef groupings within the Florida Keys (nearshore and offshore) and Jardines de la Reina (offshore and gulf).

Fig. 2. Comparison of mean coral and algal cover of the reefs indicates that Jardines de la Reina (JR) and the Florida Keys (FK) have similar coral and algal cover, whereas the Los Canarreos reef-system has significantly lower coral cover and higher algal cover. Reef-systems with different letters are significantly different from each other (Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test, Dunn’s test with Bonferroni corrections; ANOVA, Tukey’s multiple comparisons of means test; p < 0.05) and are indicated by the letters A and B. Error bars reflect standard deviation in percent cover.

Fig. 3. Comparison of seawater organic matter and nutrient concentrations across the reefs shows differential concentrations between the reef-systems. Measurements include A) total organic carbon (TOC), B) total organic nitrogen (TON), C), phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) and D) inorganic nitrogen (NO$_2^-$ + NO$_3^-$ + NH$_4^+$). Lower and upper edges of the boxplot correspond to the first and third quartiles, the whiskers extend to the largest or smallest value at 1.5 times the interquartile, and the black bar across the box represents the median. Boxplots with different letters denote concentrations that are significantly different from each other (ANOVA, Tukey’s HSD test, p < 0.05) within each plot.
Fig. 4. Differential microbial abundances and their carbon contributions in seawater across different reef subregions for A, B) *Prochlorococcus* and C, D) *Synechococcus*. Unpigmented cell abundance (largely heterotrophic bacteria and archaea) are shown in E). Lower and upper edges of the boxplot correspond to the first and third quartiles, the whiskers extend to the largest or smallest value at 1.5 times the interquartile, and the black bar across the box represents the median. Boxplots and violin plots with different letters are significantly different from each other (Kruskal-Wallis Rank Sum test and Conover-Iman or Dunn’s tests, p < 0.05).

Fig. 5. Relative abundance of phytoplankton groups across the reefs as determined by pigment analysis. Site numbers with either an A or B were sampled twice, but on different days.

Fig. 6. Microbial species richness (alpha diversity), as indicated by the number of bacterial and archaeal SSU rRNA gene amplicons grouped into minimum entropy decomposition (MED) nodes, is significantly highest in the Jardines de la Reina offshore reefs compared to the other reefs. Lower and upper edges of the boxplot correspond to the first and third quartiles, the whiskers extend to the largest or smallest value at 1.5 times the interquartile, and the black bar across the box represents the median. Boxplots with different letters are significantly different from each other (Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test, Dunn’s test using Bonferroni corrections p < 0.05).

Fig. 7. Bacterial and archaeal community beta diversity and Bray-Curtis microbial community dispersion between the reefs. A) Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS) of reef seawater bacterial and archaeal SSU rRNA gene amplicons grouped into MED nodes and compared using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Confidence ellipses are drawn using the subregion category (using the covariance matrix), the region is indicated by color, and depth and region information for each sample is indicated by the symbol. Samples that are located outside of the
covariance ellipse are labeled with the reef number where they were collected. B) Boxplots of the microbial community dispersion within each reef grouping. Lower and upper edges of the boxplot correspond to the first and third quartiles, the whiskers extend to the largest or smallest value at 1.5 times the interquartile, and the black bar across the box represents the median.

Fig. 8. Log$_2$ fold change of the 44 significantly enriched MED nodes detected within Jardines de la Reina (JR) compared to the Florida Keys (FK) reef-depth seawater. Taxa are labeled using the highest resolved level of taxonomic annotation and colors indicate phyla or subphyla membership. Taxa identified to genus are plotted.

Fig. 9. Comparison of the reef-depth seawater metagenomes showed 163 significantly different KEGG orthologs (KOs) between Jardines de la Reina and the Florida Keys. KO abundances were scaled using the 10th and 90th quantiles of the data for visualization. The dendrogram reflects hierarchical clustering of the samples using the ‘hclust’ function in R.

Fig. 10. Comparison of net community respiration rates for reef-depth seawater across reef-systems. Lower and upper edges of the boxplot correspond to the first and third quartiles, the whiskers extend to the largest or smallest value at 1.5 times the interquartile, and the black bar across the box represents the median. Reef sites with either an A or B after the number were sampled twice, but on different days. All incubations were completed with reef seawater collected in the morning with the exception of one incubation at site 2 that was collected in the afternoon (labeled as ‘2_pm’).

Fig. 11. Negative regressions between bacterial and archaeal richness and abundance of unpigmented cells across the three reef-systems. Symbols that lie outside the line are labeled
with the site number and depth. S denotes surface and R denotes reef-depth samples. A) includes only Jardines de la Reina and Florida Keys samples. B) includes samples from all reef-systems.
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