Journal of Materials Chemistry A



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 548

Facile new approach to high sulfur-content materials and preparation of sulfur-lignin copolymers[†]

Received 28th September 2019 Accepted 11th December 2019 Menisha S. Karunarathna,^a Andrew G. Tennyson ${f ar D}^{
m ab}$ and Rhett C. Smith ${f ar D}^{
m *a}$

DOI: 10.1039/c9ta10742h

rsc.li/materials-a

This report introduces a new approach to high sulfur-content materials. This route, RASP (radical-induced aryl halide/sulfur polymerization), expands the substrate scope beyond olefins required for the traditional inverse vulcanization route to such materials. RASP allows direct reaction of two unmodified industrial waste products to give lignin-sulfur composites.

High sulfur-content materials are at the forefront of sustainable material science because they can be thermally healable¹⁻⁷ and are sometimes so environmentally compatible that they can even be used as fertilizers.^{8,9} Elemental sulfur, itself an industrial waste product, comprises the primary component of such materials,^{6,10} many of which can be made by direct reaction of sustainably-sourced olefin comonomers with sulfur.¹¹⁻¹³

Inverse vulcanization, whereby olefins react with thermallygenerated polymeric sulfur radicals (Scheme 1A), is the preeminent route to high sulfur-content materials.^{12,14-16} Whereas inverse vulcanization is an exceptional route for copolymerization of sulfur with sustainably-sourced olefins, the most abundant biomass feedstocks such as lignin and cellulose lack olefin moieties and must be derivatized to react *via* inverse vulcanization.^{17,18} Unfortunately, olefination/purification/ separation detracts significantly from the greenness, atom economy and affordability of such processes. A more sustainable and atom economical process to valorise lignocellulosic waste for high sulfur-content materials could be a meaningful advance towards a biorefinery-driven green economy.

Herein we introduce a new route to high sulfur-content materials: radical-induced aryl halide/sulfur polymerization (RASP, Scheme 1B). RASP utilizes an aryl halide comonomer and thus expands the scope of viable monomers beyond olefins that can be polymerized by inverse vulcanization.

Mechanistically, inverse vulcanization is a modification of Goodyear's vulcanization in which sulfur serves as the majority component (Scheme 1A). The RASP approach (Scheme 1B) likewise mirrors an established industrial process, carbonatefree Macallum polymerization, but using majority component sulfur. Macallum polymerization is the established industrial route to polysulfides (in which only a single sulfur atom lies between aryl groups in the backbone) and so, like vulcanization, has demonstrated commercial scalability.¹⁹

The established mechanism by which elemental sulfur reacts with aryl chlorides at \geq 180 °C (Scheme 2) involves halide atom abstraction followed by formation of oligo- or polysulfide-bridged aryl rings.²⁰ Such polysulfide catenates relax to mono-sulfide bridges with extrusion of sulfur as the orthorhombic (S₈ ring) allotrope during or shortly following reaction. Polymeric sulfur can, however, be stabilized by a supporting crosslinked network. The lignin/sulfur network formed by inverse vulcanization in **SAL**₉₅ (Scheme 3A), for example, stabilizes polymeric sulfur chains averaging 48 sulfur atoms in length.¹⁷ It was thus hypothesized that chlorolignin/sulfur networks prepared by RASP could likewise support network-stabilized polymeric sulfur domains.

Valorisation of lignin remains a significant challenge in sustainability science, especially given that lignin product value is often a limiting economic factor in biorefinery processes. Pulp bleaching produces chlorolignin at a rate of about 60 Mt per year, a major source of toxic anthropogenic chlorinated organics in the environment.²¹ Recovering and developing useful purposes for industrial waste lignin/chlorolignin are thus imperative goals for a sustainable green economy. Chlorolignin was thus selected as the most impactful substrate for testing RASP.

The chlorolignin subjected to RASP in this study was derived from a softwood alkali lignin typical of that present in pulp waste. Lignin is a complex biopolymer made up of a variety of potential structural units²² (Table S1 and Fig. S1 in the ESI† for

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, 29634, USA. E-mail: rhett@clemson.edu

^bDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, 29634, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Proton NMR spectral data, FTIR spectra, TGA curves, analysis of char yield *versus* composition; DSC curves. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ta10742h

A). Inverse Vulcanization (Pyun, 2013): Employs an Olefin with Majority Sulfur



B). RASP (This Work): Employs an Aryl Halide with Majority Sulfur



 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Scheme 1}}$ Established (A) and new (B) routes to high sulfur-content materials.

quantification of composition of lignin used herein).²³⁻²⁵ Chlorolignin (8.75 wt% Cl from elemental analysis) was prepared by reaction of lignin with NaClO₃(aq) at room temperature. The only stoichiometric side products of this reaction are NaCl and H₂O, making this an attractive approach to produce chlorolignin from agricultural waste streams or biorefinery lignin as well. Chlorination was evident from elemental analysis and strong IR peaks of C–Cl deformation²⁶ observed at 493 and 577 cm⁻¹ and concomitant decrease in intensity and shifting of the aromatic mode from 1512 cm⁻¹ to 1496 cm⁻¹ (Fig. S2, ESI[†]).²⁷



Scheme 2 The reaction of aryl chlorides with elemental sulfur initially generates polysulfur-bridged aryls that, in the absence of a supporting network, subsequently degrade to diarylsulfide.



Scheme 3 (A) Inverse vulcanization of allyl lignin produces crosslinked networks comprising stabilized polymeric sulfur domains. In SAL₉₅, the average polysulfide crosslink is 48 sulfur atoms long. (B) RASP of chlorolignin to form CLS_x. In CLS_x, the average polysulfide crosslinks are 12–31 S atoms in length.

aroup of chlorolianin

A polymerization temperature of 230 °C was selected based on temperature-dependence studies on polysulfide formation from aryl halides.²⁸ Lignin does not exhibit appreciable reaction with sulfur at this temperature: *chlorination is necessary for RASP*. Several copolymers of chlorolignin and sulfur (CLS_x, x = wt%sulfur, varied from 80–99), however, were readily prepared by simply heating the two comonomers together under nitrogen. CLS_x copolymers are dark solids that are readily remeltable simply by heating above 140 °C and the molten samples can be poured into moulds to create various shapes (Fig. 1).

Whereas preparation of **SAL**₉₅ by inverse vulcanization (Scheme 1) suffered from significant immiscibility of lignin and



Fig. 1 Photos of CLS_x materials illustrating their appearance, remeltability and qualitative strength of small cast items.

sulfur such that a maximum of 5 wt% lignin could be incorporated,¹⁷ sulfur and chlorolignin were fully miscible at 230 °C, so nearly all of the chlorolignin in the monomer feed (up to 19 wt%) was incorporated into **CLS**_x. This was confirmed by elemental analysis (Table S3 in the ESI†). In the RASP mechanism (Scheme 2), chlorine is removed as S_2Cl_2 . The S_2Cl_2 is quite reactive, however, and is expected to react with the abundant alcohol functionalities in the lignin backbone (a reaction that takes place readily even at -95 °C) to form HCl and mixtures of ROSSOR, RO(SO)OR, and ROSSOR, which may themselves degrade to other species at the elevated reaction temperature.²⁹

Formation of C–S bonds in CLS_x was confirmed by the emergence of a peak at 605-619 cm⁻¹ (C-S stretch)^{17,30} in the infrared spectra (Fig. S3, ESI⁺). The proposed aryl-sulfur bond formation was unequivocally confirmed by analysis of depolymerization products as follows. CLS_x samples were first depolymerized by reaction with AlBr₃. Aluminium tribromide reacts with S-Calkyl bonds to produce Br-Calkyl bonds, but the S-Carvl bonds remain intact, producing aryl thiols after aqueous workup (Fig. 2A).^{31,32} In this way, the only thiols in the depolymerization mixture result from S-Caryl bonds in the polymer. Analysis of thiols by Ellman's reagent leads to a species absorbing at ~412 nm, so that thiol content can be assessed by UV/vis spectroscopy (Fig. 2B).33,34 Prior to depolymerization, CLS_x tests negative for thiols, suggesting few if any surface - SH moieties are present. After depolymerization, however, the depolymerization products tested positive for thiols, as manifest by the emergence of a strong peak with $\lambda_{max} = 409$ nm in the UV/vis spectrum (Fig. 2C), thus confirming S-Carvl bond formation as proposed. Although most of the organic fragments from depolymerization are expectedly too heavy to detect in GC-MS analysis, we were able to identify tolyl thiol and ditolylsulfide by this analysis, further confirming S-Carvl bond formation and crosslinking of aryl rings in the product (Fig. S12, ESI†).

Previous high sulfur-content materials of sulfur with cellulose/lignin produced by inverse vulcanization are composites comprised of sulfur-crosslinked networks wherein some of the voids contain CS_2 -extractable free sulfur. CS_2 -fractionation of CLS_x likewise allowed quantification of covalently bound *versus* free sulfur and thus calculation of the average length of oligosulfur crosslinks in CLS_x . This analysis revealed that the average crosslink consists of 12–31 sulfur atoms, somewhat shorter than the average crosslink length of 48 sulfur atoms in SAL_{95} . This is expected because higher lignin percentages expectedly correlate with higher crosslink density and thus shorter average crosslink lengths.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was employed to assess the thermal stability of CLS_x compared to orthorhombic sulfur and lignin. Whereas orthorhombic sulfur exhibits a single decomposition process with a decomposition temperature (T_d) at 228 °C, lignin decomposes over a broad temperature range³⁵ characterized by two primary decomposition events at 201 °C (degradation of propanoid side chains) and at 360–370 °C (cleavage of β – β and C–C linkages). Chlorolignin shares many of the same thermal decomposition features of lignin. Following



Fig. 2 (A) Reaction of AlBr₃ with sulfur-crosslinked organics leads to cleavage of $S-C_{alkyl}$ bonds but not of $S-C_{aryl}$ bonds, giving alkyl bromides and aryl thiols. (B) The reaction of thiols with Ellman's reagent produces a selective colorimetric response, producing a compound having an absorption maximum of 412 nm. (C) The UV-vis spectrum of CLS₈₀ depolymerization products showing a positive result for aryl thiols after exposure to Ellman's reagent.

some water removal from 90–100 °C, a broad degradation was observed starting at 201 °C, continuing gradually up to 450 °C. Eventually, chlorolignin lost 47 wt% of its initial mass, leaving a 53% char yield at 800 °C under N₂. Given that **CLS_x** materials are 80–99 wt% sulfur, it is unsurprising that their initial thermal decomposition resembles that of sulfur (Fig. S5, ESI†).^{1,17,18,36} The char yield (remaining mass after heating to 800 °C) of highly aromatic polymers like lignin is generally quite high. The char yield of **CLS_x** thus increases linearly with the wt% of lignin incorporated (Fig. S6 in the ESI†).

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) revealed that only higher chlorolignin content materials (**CLS**₈₀, **CLS**₉₀, and **CLS**₉₅) show endothermic peaks at -35 to -41 °C, corresponding to the characteristic glass transition for polymeric sulfur.^{37,38} **CLS**_x samples also showed cold crystallization exotherms ranging from 21 to 23 °C and 43 to 46 °C attributable to partial organization of polymeric sulfur domains within the composite (Fig. S7 in the ESI†).³⁹ These peaks confirm that the polymer networks prepared by RASP stabilize polymeric sulfur domains in a manner similar to networks prepared by inverse vulcanization, which exhibit similar features in DSC analysis.¹⁷ Each of the samples also exhibited a melting temperature ($T_{\rm m}$) of 117– 120 °C attributable to the aforementioned CS₂-extractable orthorhombic sulfur in the materials. Importantly, none of the DSC data for **CLS_x** samples showed features for lignin or chlorolignin domains separate from the crosslinked network.

The percent crystallinity (Table 1) of CLS_x relative to crystalline orthorhombic sulfur was also readily calculable from DSC data using integrations of melting and cold crystallization enthalpies (Table 2). Incorporating more crosslinking chlorolignin progressively lowers the crystallinity of the resultant material. The diminishing crystallinity corresponds to concomitant increase in the flexibility of the materials as measured by dynamic mechanical analysis (*vide infra*). The possibility of phase separation or the presence of other domains besides sulfur and the crosslinked network material was further ruled out by a combination of X-ray powder diffraction (ESI Fig. S11†) and scanning electron microscopy with element mapping by energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (SEM-EPS, ESI Fig. S14†) for all of the materials.

The flexural strengths of CLS_x composites determined from stress-strain analysis (Fig. S8, ESI[†]) significantly exceed that achievable in SAL_{95} (Table 2). In fact, even CLS_{99} , containing only 1 wt% chlorolignin crosslinker, has a flexural strength (1.8 MPa) comparable to that of SAL_{95} (2.1 MPa). The flexural strength of CLS_{80} (>3.6 MPa) is nearly identical to that of portland cement (3.7 MPa) and to the strongest reported cellulose/ sulfur composites (up to 3.8 MPa).¹⁸

Water uptake can be detrimental to mechanical properties of polymers containing polar functional groups like those found in lignin. A familiar example is the precipitous drop in the T_g of nylon-6,6 from 100 °C (dry) to 43 °C upon uptake of 3.5 wt% water, accompanied by a drop in tensile strength at yield from 80 MPa to 43 MPa.⁴⁰ Samples of **CLS_x** submerged in water for 24 h, however, absorbed $\leq 0.5\%$ wt% water without change in dimensions or mechanical properties (Table S5, ESI†). Given that high sulfur-content materials have long served as preeminent acid-resistant cements,^{41,42} a **CLS**₈₅ sample was also submerged in 0.5 M aqueous H₂SO₄ to assess its resistance to acid degradation. Impressively, even after 24 h in the acid, **CLS**₈₅ retained 100% of its flexural strength (Fig. S9 ESI†). For comparison, a portland cement sample of the same dimensions

Table 2 Flexural strengths for lignin-sulfur materials

ılus

 a The sample does not break after reaching the maximum stress (10 N) applicable by the DMA instrument, so this number represents a lower limit to the material's strength. b The sample broke at its maximum flexural strength.

loses all integrity after just 30 minutes in the acid solution. In addition to matching the flexural strength of portland cement while offering improved acid stability, CLS_x materials retain full mechanical strength over many pulverization–remelt–recast cycles (Fig. S10, ESI†), thus demonstrating recyclability unattainable with portland cement systems.

Given that CLS_x materials exhibit flexural strength on par with that of ordinary portland cement (OPC), one potential practical application of these materials could be as more sustainable and recyclable building materials. In this regard, the low thermal conductivity of sulfur (0.269 W $m^{-1} K^{-1}$) could be an asset, as walls built of CLS_x cements would be expected to have higher insulation value than traditional cement walls. As a facile demonstration, an architectural model made of 19 mmthick extruded polystyrene insulation boards was assembled with two ports cut in one of the walls (Fig. 3). One port was covered with a 4.4 mm-thick OPC tile, while the other was covered with a 3.1 mm-thick CLS_x tile. The exterior temperature (T_{ext}) was 19.9 °C (67.8 °F), while the internal temperature (T_{int}) was raised to 34.2 °C (93.6 °F) by action of a heated electric coil positioned equidistant from the tiles. The temperature breakthrough was monitored using a thermal imaging camera of the type used by building inspectors to test for building insulation leaks. The CLS_x tile allowed a 5 minute temperature breakthrough value significantly better than that of the OPC tile, despite the CLS_x tile being only 70% of the thickness of the OPC tile, with the OPC tile's external surface temperature reaching 6.3 °C greater than ambient, whereas the CLS_x tile's surface

Table 1 Thermal analysis of CLS _x materials							
Materials	$T_{\mathbf{d}}{}^{a}/^{\circ}\mathbf{C}$	Cold crystallization peaks/°C	$T_{\rm m}^{\ \ b}/^{\circ}{ m C}$	$\Delta H_{ m m}$ J g ⁻¹	$\Delta H_{\rm cc} \ { m J} \ { m g}^{-1}$	Percent crystallinity ^c	
CLS ₈₀	238	-4, 39	117	30	12	36	
CLS ₈₅	237	-10, 35	117	31	6	48	
CLS ₉₀	237	-11, 37	118	36	7.64	55	
CLS ₉₅	237	NA ^b	117	32	0.3	61	
CLS ₉₉	237	NA^b	117	39	NA	76	
S ₈	228	NA^b	120	51	NA	100	

^{*a*} The temperature at which the 5% mass loss was observed. ^{*b*} The temperature at the peak maximum of the endothermic melting. ^{*c*} The reduction of percent crystallinity of each samples was calculated with respect to sulfur (normalized to 100%).



Fig. 3 Two ports in the wall of an architectural model are filled with tiles of ordinary portland cement (OPC, left) or CLS_x (right). The internal temperature raised to 34.2 °C and the exterior was imaged by an optical camera (A) and a thermal imaging camera (B) to demonstrate the better thermal insulative ability of CLS_x .

temperature was only 4.3 °C greater than ambient (Fig. 3B). These data demonstrate that, not only is CLS_x strong and recyclable, but it also exhibits significantly improved thermal insulative ability in block-built construction over currently-employed OPC installations.

Conclusions

In conclusion, RASP has been demonstrated as a viable route to high sulfur-content materials wherein polymeric sulfur domains are stabilized by a supporting network of sulfurcrosslinked lignin. The RASP methodology thus allows direct reaction of two industrial waste products to prepare CLS_x composites. CLS_x composites exhibit flexural strength on par with that of portland cement, and are recyclable over many cycles without loss of mechanical properties by simply melting them down and pouring into molds to cast new shapes. These lignin–sulfur composites also resist challenge by oxidizing acid. Such durable, recyclable, chemically-resistant materials hold promise as elements for lignin valorization and more sustainable building practices.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this project from the National Science Foundation (CHE-1708844) is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

1 T. Thiounn, M. K. Lauer, M. S. Bedford, R. C. Smith and A. G. Tennyson, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 39074–39082.

- 2 A. D. Smith, T. Thiounn, E. W. Lyles, E. K. Kibler, R. C. Smith and A. G. Tennyson, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2019, 57, 1704–1710.
- 3 A. Takahashi, R. Goseki, K. Ito and H. Otsuka, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2017, **6**, 1280–1284.
- 4 M. Arslan, B. Kiskan and Y. Yagci, Sci. Rep., 2017, 7, 5207.
- 5 A. G. Simmonds, J. J. Griebel, J. Park, K. R. Kim, W. J. Chung, V. P. Oleshko, J. Kim, E. T. Kim, R. S. Glass, C. L. Soles, Y.-E. Sung, K. Char and J. Pyun, ACS Macro Lett., 2014, 3, 229–232.
- 6 J. J. Griebel, N. A. Nguyen, S. Namnabat, L. E. Anderson, R. S. Glass, R. A. Norwood, M. E. Mackay, K. Char and J. Pyun, ACS Macro Lett., 2015, 4, 862–866.
- 7 F. Zhao, Y. Li and W. Feng, Small Methods, 2018, 2, 1-34.
- 8 M. Mann, J. E. Kruger, F. Andari, J. McErlean, J. R. Gascooke,
- J. A. Smith, M. J. H. Worthington, C. C. C. McKinley,
- J. A. Campbell, D. A. Lewis, T. Hasell, M. V. Perkins and
- J. M. Chalker, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 1929–1936.
- 9 S. F. Valle, A. S. Giroto, R. Klaic, G. G. F. Guimaraes and C. Ribeiro, *Polym. Degrad. Stab.*, 2019, 162, 102–105.
- 10 G. Kutney, *Sulfur. History, Technology, Applications & Industry*, ChemTec, Toronto, 2007.
- 11 D. J. Parker, S. T. Chong and T. Hasell, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 27892–27899.
- 12 M. J. H. Worthington, R. L. Kucera and J. M. Chalker, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 2748–2761.
- 13 D. J. Parker, H. A. Jones, S. Petcher, L. Cervini, J. M. Griffin, R. Akhtar and T. Hasell, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 11682– 11692.
- 14 W. J. Chung, J. J. Griebel, E. T. Kim, H. Yoon, A. G. Simmonds, H. J. Ji, P. T. Dirlam, R. S. Glass, J. J. Wie, N. A. Nguyen, B. W. Guralnick, J. Park, A. Somogyi, P. Theato, M. E. Mackay, Y.-E. Sung, K. Char and J. Pyun, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, 5, 518–524.
- 15 Y. Zhang, R. S. Glass, K. Char and J. Pyun, *Polym. Chem.*, 2019, **10**, 4078–4105.
- 16 J. M. Chalker, M. J. H. Worthington, N. A. Lundquist and L. J. Esdaile, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2019, 377, 1–27.
- 17 M. Karunarathna, M. K. Lauer, T. Thiounn, R. C. Smith and A. G. Tennyson, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 15683–15690.
- 18 M. K. Lauer, T. A. Estrada-Mendoza, C. D. McMillen, G. Chumanov, A. G. Tennyson and R. C. Smith, Adv. Sustainable Syst., 2019, 3, 1900062.
- 19 A. D. Macallum, J. Org. Chem., 1948, 13, 154-159.
- 20 S. Oae and Y. Tsuchida, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1972, 1283–1286, DOI: 10.1016/s0040-4039(01)84568-8.
- 21 P. C. Lindholm-Lehto, J. S. Knuutinen, H. Ahkola and S. H. Herve, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2015, 22, 7985–7997.
- 22 L. Zoia, A. Salanti, P. Frigerio and M. Orlandi, *BioResources*, 2014, **9**, 6540–6561.
- 23 A. Granata and D. S. Argyropoulos, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1995, 43, 1538–1544.
- 24 D. S. Argyropoulos, J. Wood Chem. Technol., 1994, 14, 45-63.
- 25 C. Crestini, H. Lange, M. Sette and D. S. Argyropoulos, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 4104–4121.
- 26 E. F. Mooney, Spectrochim. Acta, 1963, 19, 877-887.

- 27 H. I. Bolker, H. E. W. Rhodes and K. S. Lee, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1977, **25**, 708–716.
- 28 B. Hortling and J. J. Lindberg, *Makromol. Chem.*, 1978, **179**, 1707–1718.
- 29 D. R. Goud, D. Pardasani, A. K. Purohit, V. Tak and D. K. Dubey, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 6875–6880.
- 30 K. K. Jena and S. M. Alhassan, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, 133, 43060.
- 31 D. S. Tarbell and D. P. Harnish, *Chemical Reviews*, Washington, DC, United States, 1951, vol. 49, pp. 1–90.
- 32 D. P. Harnish and D. S. Tarbell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70, 4123-4127.
- 33 D. P. Harnish and D. S. Tarbell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70, 4123–4127.
- 34 P. Eyer, F. Worek, D. Kiderlen, G. Sinko, A. Stuglin, V. Simeon-Rudolf and E. Reiner, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2003, 312, 224–227.

- 35 M. Brebu and C. Vasile, *Cellul. Chem. Technol.*, 2010, 44, 353–363.
- 36 S. Diez, A. Hoefling, P. Theato and W. Pauer, *Polymers*, 2017, 9, 59/51–59/16.
- 37 K. Bandzierz, L. Reuvekamp, J. Dryzek, W. Dierkes, A. Blume and D. Bielinski, *Materials*, 2016, 9, 607/601–607/617.
- 38 A. M. Zaper and J. L. Koenig, *Makromol. Chem.*, 1988, **189**, 1239–1251.
- 39 A. Smith and W. B. Holmes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1905, 27, 979– 1013.
- 40 C. C. Pai, R. J. Jeng, S. J. Grossman and J. C. Huang, *Adv. Polym. Technol.*, 1989, **9**, 157–163.
- 41 H. H. Weber, *New Applications and Expanding Markets for Sulphur Polymer Cement Concrete*, American Concrete Institute, 1993, SP-137, pp. 49–72.
- 42 H. H. Weber and W. C. McBee, New market opportunities for sulphur asphalt; Sulphur markets today and tomorrow, Washington, D.C., 2000.