



Remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle: A review of advances over 50 years



Jingfeng Xiao^{a,*}, Frederic Chevallier^b, Cecile Gomez^c, Luis Guanter^d, Jeffrey A. Hicke^e, Alfredo R. Huete^f, Kazuhito Ichii^g, Wenjian Ni^h, Yong Pangⁱ, Abdullah F. Rahman^j, Guoqing Sun^k, Wenping Yuan^l, Li Zhang^m, Xiaoyang Zhangⁿ

^a Earth Systems Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA

^b Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA, CNRS, UVSQ/IPSL, Université Paris-Saclay, France

^c LISAH, Univ Montpellier, IRD, INRA, SupAgro, F-34060 Montpellier, France

^d Centro de Tecnologías Físicas, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camí de Vera s/n, 46022 València, Spain

^e Department of Geography, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA

^f School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia

^g Center for Environmental Remote Sensing, Chiba University, Chiba, 263-8522, Japan

^h State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100094, China

ⁱ Institute of Forest Resource Information Technique, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing 100091, China

^j Coastal Studies Lab, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, TX 78597, USA

^k Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20740, USA

^l School of Atmospheric Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Zhuhai, Guangzhou 519082, China

^m Key Laboratory of Digital Earth Science, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RAD), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100094, China

ⁿ Geospatial Sciences Center of Excellence, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD 57007, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Edited by Jing M. Chen

Keywords:

Carbon fluxes

Carbon stocks

Optical remote sensing

Microwave remote sensing

Lidar

Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence

Carbon cycling

Aboveground biomass

Disturbance

Carbon-climate feedbacks

ABSTRACT

Quantifying ecosystem carbon fluxes and stocks is essential for better understanding the global carbon cycle and improving projections of the carbon-climate feedbacks. Remote sensing has played a vital role in this endeavor during the last five decades by quantifying carbon fluxes and stocks. The availability of satellite observations of the land surface since the 1970s, particularly the early 1980s, has made it feasible to quantify ecosystem carbon fluxes and stocks at regional to global scales. Here we provide a review of the advances in remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle from the early 1970s to present. First, we present an overview of the terrestrial carbon cycle and remote sensing of carbon fluxes and stocks. Remote sensing data acquired in a broad wavelength range (visible, infrared, and microwave) of the electromagnetic spectrum have been used to estimate carbon fluxes and/or stocks. Second, we provide a historical overview of the key milestones in remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle. Third, we review the platforms/sensors, methods, findings, and challenges in remote sensing of carbon fluxes. The remote sensing data and techniques used to quantify carbon fluxes include vegetation indices, light use efficiency models, terrestrial biosphere models, data-driven (or machine learning) approaches, solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF), land surface temperature, and atmospheric inversions. Fourth, we review the platforms/sensors, methods, findings, and challenges in passive optical, microwave, and lidar remote sensing of biomass carbon stocks as well as remote sensing of soil organic carbon. Fifth, we review the progresses in remote sensing of disturbance impacts on the carbon cycle. Sixth, we also discuss the uncertainty and validation of the resulting carbon flux and stock estimates. Finally, we offer a forward-looking perspective and insights for future research and directions in remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle. Remote sensing is anticipated to play an increasingly important role in carbon cycling studies in the future. This comprehensive and insightful review on 50 years of remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle is timely and valuable and can benefit scientists in various research communities (e.g., carbon cycle, remote sensing, climate change, ecology) and inform ecosystem and carbon management, carbon-climate projections, and climate policymaking.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: j.xiao@unh.edu (J. Xiao).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111383>

Received 9 January 2019; Received in revised form 10 August 2019; Accepted 20 August 2019

Available online 08 September 2019

0034-4257/ © 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Terrestrial ecosystems “breathe” in carbon dioxide (CO₂) through photosynthesis and release carbon (C) into the atmosphere through respiration and therefore play an important role in the global C cycle and the Earth's climate (Schimel, 1995). The terrestrial C cycle has received tremendous attention from the research community during the last several decades. Remote sensing has been widely used to study the terrestrial C cycle by quantifying ecosystem C fluxes and stocks (e.g., Running et al., 2004; Saatchi et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2014a) and examining the impacts of global change on C dynamics (e.g., Nemani et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018a) and the feedbacks to the climate (e.g., Ollinger et al., 2008; Xiao, 2014). The availability of satellite observations of the land surface since the 1970s, particularly from the early 1980s, has made it feasible to assess the magnitude, spatial patterns, interannual variability, and long-term trends of ecosystem C dynamics at landscape, regional, and global scales.

Ecosystem C fluxes have been widely measured or quantified using remotely sensed data. For example, satellite-derived vegetation indices (VIs) (e.g., normalized difference vegetation index or NDVI, enhanced vegetation index or EVI) are traditionally used to approximate or estimate gross primary production (GPP) (e.g., Zhou et al., 2001; Xiao and Moody, 2004; Rahman et al., 2005), and are also used in light use efficiency (LUE) models for quantifying GPP and net primary production (NPP) (Potter et al., 1993; Running et al., 2004). The recent advent of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) has offered an innovative and independent approach for estimating GPP (Frankenberg et al., 2011; Joiner et al., 2011; Li et al., 2018b). In addition, satellite-derived land surface temperature (LST) has also been used to quantify ecosystem respiration (ER) (e.g., Rahman et al., 2005). Moreover, the measurement of atmospheric column CO₂ concentrations from the Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT) and the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) allows the estimation of net biome production (NBP) (Kondo et al., 2015). Besides directly quantifying C fluxes, remote sensing has also been used to estimate leaf area index (LAI) that is in turn used to prescribe LAI in diagnostic ecosystem models (Liu, Xiao, et al., 2018) or to be assimilated into prognostic models (Hazarika et al., 2005) for simulating ecosystem C fluxes.

Both optical and microwave remote sensing data have been used to quantify and monitor biomass C stocks during the last few decades. For example, surface reflectance and VIs derived from optical sensors have been used to quantify aboveground biomass (AGB) using empirical models (Myneni et al., 2001; Zhang and Kondragunta, 2006) and machine learning approaches (John et al., 2018). Active microwave remote sensing has been widely used to estimate AGB since the early 1990s (Le Toan et al., 1992; Ni et al., 2016). Lidar (light detection and ranging) has emerged as an effective technique for quantifying AGB for forests and other ecosystems and has been increasingly used over the last two decades (Lefsky et al., 1999, 2005). Besides biomass C stocks, soil organic C (SOC) has also been estimated from remotely sensed data using empirical or machine learning approaches (Gomez et al., 2008; Mishra et al., 2010; Chen, Chang, Xiao, et al., 2019).

During the last five decades, a number of airborne and satellite sensors have observed the Earth's land surface, and a wide variety of techniques have been developed to quantify C fluxes and stocks using remotely sensed data. Several previous studies have reviewed the remote sensing of C fluxes or stocks (e.g., Roughgarden et al., 1991; Hilker et al., 2008; Ladoni et al., 2010; Goetz and Dubayah, 2011; Schimel et al., 2015; Ryu et al., 2019). For example, Hilker et al. (2008) reviewed the status and future directions of simulating GPP via the use of remote sensing in LUE models. Turner et al. (2004) reviewed the integration of remotely sensed data (e.g., vegetation type, stand age, biomass, phenology, LAI, and tree height) with ecosystem process models for regional assessment of C fluxes. Ladoni et al. (2010) reviewed the potential and limitations of remotely sensed data for mapping SOC. A more recent study reviewed the progress in photosynthesis

research based on remote sensing (Ryu et al., 2019). Despite these review and overview efforts, to our knowledge, no study has systematically reviewed the remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle over the last 50 years. Moreover, the last decade has witnessed more rapid advances in remote sensing and its extensive use for quantifying C fluxes and stocks. A comprehensive review on the history and advances in remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle over the 50 years will be timely and valuable.

Here we provide a review on 50 years of advances in remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle. We conducted a comprehensive review of the achievements, challenges, and opportunities of remote sensing science and technology in terrestrial C cycle studies from the 1970s to present. Section 2 presents an overview of the terrestrial C cycle and remote sensing of C fluxes and stocks based on a broad wavelength region (visible, infrared, and microwave) on the electromagnetic spectrum. In Section 3, we provide a historical overview of the main milestones in remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle. In Section 4, we review the platforms/sensors, methods, achievements, and challenges for quantifying ecosystem C fluxes. The remotely sensed data and techniques used for quantifying C fluxes include vegetation indices, LUE models, terrestrial biosphere models, data-driven (or machine learning) approaches, SIF, LST, and atmospheric inversions. In Section 5, we review the platforms/sensors, methods, achievements, and challenges in passive optical, microwave, and lidar remote sensing of biomass C stocks and also in remote sensing of C stocks in soils. In Section 6, we review the methods and challenges for assessing the impacts of disturbances on the terrestrial C cycle. In Section 7, we discuss the uncertainty and validation of the resulting C fluxes and stock estimates. Finally, we offer a forward looking perspective and insights for future research and directions in the terrestrial C cycle area of environmental remote sensing in Section 8.

2. Overview of the terrestrial carbon cycle and remote sensing

The terrestrial C cycle encompasses the exchange of C among the terrestrial biosphere, pedosphere, geosphere, and atmosphere of the Earth. Plants absorb C from the atmosphere through photosynthesis and store the C in biomass (leaves, branches, trunks, and roots). GPP, the amount of C fixed by terrestrial ecosystems through photosynthesis, constitutes the largest C flux between the terrestrial biosphere and the atmosphere. GPP is the basis for the production of food, wood, and fiber, and therefore has important implications for human welfare. A part of the C absorbed is returned to the atmosphere through plant respiration (i.e., autotrophic respiration), and the difference between GPP and autotrophic respiration is termed as NPP. Litterfall, plant materials that fall to the ground (leaves, branches, flowers, and fruits), contributes to the buildup of the soil C pool. The C input from litterfall and root mortality/exudation and the C release from decomposition (i.e., heterotrophic respiration) determine the size of the SOC pool (Liu et al., 2011). GPP along with ER, the sum of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration, determine the net ecosystem production (NEP). Other processes such as deforestation, harvest, and fire can also lead to the loss of C, and the net ecosystem C balance is NBP. Disturbances are critical ecosystem processes that influence C cycle dynamics. Direct emissions from one disturbance type, wildfire, transfer C from ecosystems to the atmosphere immediately (while burning). Major impacts to GPP and respiration can occur following fires as well as other disturbance types, which include natural disturbances (insect and disease outbreaks, drought, and severe storms) and harvesting, and these impacts can last for decades as ecosystems recover (Odum, 1969). The C fluxes and stocks that are quantified using remote sensing data and methods are illustrated in Fig. 1. Better understanding the terrestrial C cycle has important implications for climate projections. Remote sensing has played a critical role in quantifying C fluxes (e.g., GPP, NPP, ER, NEP, NBP) (Running et al., 2004; Xiao et al., 2011a) and stocks (biomass and SOC) (Saatchi et al., 2011) at various spatial and temporal




Fig. 1. Carbon (C) fluxes and stocks that are quantified using remote sensing data and methods. The C fluxes that are estimated by remote sensing include gross primary production (GPP), ecosystem respiration (ER), net primary production (NPP), net ecosystem production (NEP), and net biome production (NBP). The C stocks that are quantified by remote sensing consist of aboveground biomass (AGB) and soil organic C (SOC). Fluxes contributing to NPP are GPP and autotrophic respiration (AR) ($NPP = GPP - AR$). Fluxes contributing to NEP are GPP, AR, and heterotrophic respiration (HR) ($NEP = NPP - HR$). The net ecosystem C balance, NBP, is mainly determined by NEP minus the loss of C by processes such as fire and harvest.

scales during the last five decades.

Ecosystem C fluxes and stocks are quantified with remotely sensed data acquired in the broad wavelength range of the electromagnetic spectrum from visible light to microwave (Fig. 2). Ecosystem C flux components (e.g., GPP, NPP, ER, NEP, and NBP) are typically estimated using optical remote sensing data acquired in the visible, near-infrared (NIR), and shortwave infrared (SWIR) wavelength. ER can also be estimated using LST observations from the thermal infrared (TIR) wavelength (Rahman et al., 2005; Kimball et al., 2009). Satellite-derived VIs (Rahman et al., 2005), LUE models (Running et al., 2004), and SIF (red and far red wavelength) (Li et al., 2018c) have been used to estimate GPP. Remotely sensed data have also been integrated with machine learning approaches (Xiao et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2009; Xiao et al., 2014a) and process-based models (Hazarika et al., 2005; Liu, Xiao, et al., 2018) for quantifying C fluxes (e.g., GPP, NPP, ER, NEP, and NBP). NBP can also be estimated from total column CO_2 concentrations retrieved mainly in the SWIR wavelength (Rayner and O'Brien, 2001). Both optical (Zhang and Kondragunta, 2006; Blackard et al., 2008) and microwave (Dobson et al., 1992; Le Toan et al., 1992) remote sensing have been used to estimate biomass with empirical approaches. Optical remote sensing data have also been used to quantify SOC with empirical and machine learning approaches (Ben-Dor et al., 2002; Gomez et al., 2008). Fig. 2 illustrates the electromagnetic spectrum and the remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle.

3. Milestones in remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle

The development of the terrestrial C cycle area of environmental remote sensing during the last five decades is characterized by a series of milestones (Fig. 3). The main milestones are briefly described below.

3.1. First Earth observing satellite and longest record of Earth observations – the Landsat archive

Remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle mainly started from the launch of the first Landsat satellite (Landsat 1) on July 23, 1972. The launch of Landsat 1 made the global land monitoring possible with optical measurements for the first time. The Landsat series of Earth-observing satellites (Landsat 1–8), co-managed by U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), provides the longest continuous observations of the Earth's surface from space (Wulder et al., 2016). Imagery from the Landsat series of satellites (Landsat 1–8) has been used to quantify C fluxes and stocks at regional to global scales (Foody et al., 2003; Masek and Collatz, 2006). The Landsat archive now includes almost 50 years of observations globally, providing opportunities for investigating regional or global ecosystem C dynamics at multidecadal scales.

3.2. Start of global continuous vegetation observations and longest NDVI record – the AVHRR record

The advanced very high resolution radiometer (AVHRR), an instrument on board the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) polar-orbiting meteorological satellites (NOAA 7, 9, 11, 14, 16–19), provides spatially and temporally continuous observations of global vegetation from 1981 to present (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014). The AVHRR provides the longest, continuous surface reflectance and NDVI records globally at the spatial resolution of 8 km. The availability of the AVHRR observations since 1981 has made it feasible to monitor photosynthetic activity and GPP seamlessly over the globe. The most widely used AVHRR-derived NDVI records are perhaps the Global Inventory Monitoring and Modeling Studies (GIMMS) NDVI dataset and the GIMMS3g product (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014). Other widely used AVHRR NDVI records include the Pathfinder




Fig. 2. The electromagnetic spectrum and the remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon (C) cycle. The C flux components typically consist of gross primary production (GPP), net primary production (NPP), ecosystem respiration (ER), net ecosystem production (NEP), and net biome production (NBP). The C stocks consist of biomass and soil organic C (SOC). NIR, SWIR, and TIR stand for near-infrared, shortwave infrared, and thermal infrared wavelength, respectively, while MLA stands for machine learning approaches.




Fig. 3. Milestones in remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle.

NDVI dataset (James and Kalluri, 1994) and AVHRR long term data record (LTDR) (Pedelty et al., 2007). The 30+ year AVHRR record allows the research community to examine C fluxes and/or stocks globally at annual and decadal times.

3.3. Mapping forest biomass using synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery

The availability of SIR-C/X-SAR and ERS-1/2 SAR imagery since the early 1990s greatly facilitated the monitoring of forest biomass using SAR data (Dobson et al., 1992; Le Toan et al., 1992). They advanced studies of SAR interferometry on the estimation of forest AGB. The JERS-1, PALSAR, and PALSAR2 provide multi-year global coverage of L-band SAR data which enabled more studies on forest biomass estimation from SAR data. In addition, PolInSAR and TomoSAR provide a new technique for estimating AGB by detecting the 3D structure of forests. Microwave signals can penetrate clouds and therefore SAR can collect data continuously in all weather conditions, allowing the estimation of biomass in large regions including areas often covered by clouds.

3.4. Start of the MODIS era and the first operational global GPP/NPP product

The MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on board two key satellites of NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS) - Terra and Aqua provides observations of the Earth's surface and atmosphere with daily coverage in 36 spectral bands and a spatial resolution from 250 m to 1 km. These two major EOS platforms have been providing global observations of the atmosphere, land, and oceans since February 2000 and June 2002, respectively. Compared with AVHRR, MODIS provides observations of the Earth's surface with better radiometric quality and higher spatial resolution. In particular, the MODIS GPP/NPP product (Running et al., 2004), the first operational global GPP/NPP product, provides spatially continuous GPP and NPP estimates globally since 2000. The MODIS data products have also been used to develop continental scale forest biomass maps (Zhang and Kondragunta, 2006; Blackard et al., 2008), and also to enable the estimation of GPP, ER, and NEP with 1 km spatial resolution at regional and continental scales (Xiao et al., 2014a). The availability of MODIS ASCII subsets for a variety of MODIS data products (e.g., surface reflectance, NDVI/EVI, LAI, the fraction of photosynthetically active radiation or FAPAR, GPP/NPP, albedo) (Cook et al., 2004) allows scientists to extract MODIS data for the grid cell or local area (e.g., 3 km × 3 km, 7 km × 7 km) surrounding specific research sites. This has greatly facilitated the calibration and evaluation of LUE models (Yuan et al., 2007; Mahadevan et al., 2008) and machine learning approaches (Xiao et al., 2008) and the data assimilation of terrestrial biosphere models (Stockli et al., 2008) for estimating C fluxes.

3.5. Emergence of lidar technology

Lidar can provide accurate information on the vertical structure of forests and therefore can be used to quantify forest AGB. Lidar data were first used to estimate AGB of temperate deciduous forests (Lefsky et al., 1999). Following this pioneering work, many studies have quantified forest AGB at landscape or regional scales using airborne lidar data (Drake et al., 2002; Naesset and Gobakken, 2008). The availability of spaceborne lidar data in the 2000s made it feasible to map forest AGB over large regions or even over the globe using lidar data (Lefsky et al., 2005). Lidar data have also been used to estimate biomass for other ecosystems such as shrublands and grasslands (Wu et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017). The integration of spaceborne lidar data with other satellite data (e.g., MODIS, Landsat, SAR) can be used to map forest biomass seamlessly at regional to global scales (Saatchi et al., 2012).

3.6. Integrating remotely sensed data with AmeriFlux and FLUXNET measurements

The eddy covariance (EC) technique provides continuous measurements of C, water, and energy exchange at the ecosystem level at various timescales (e.g., diurnal, synoptic, seasonal, and interannual) (Nie et al., 1992; Wofsy et al., 1993; Baldocchi et al., 2001). The partitioning of the NEE measurements can lead to continuous GPP and ER estimates. The EC technique was pioneered in the late 1980s in field campaigns (e.g., FIFE grassland study) (Nie et al., 1992). A growing number of EC flux sites have been established across the globe since the early 1990s. Regional flux networks (e.g., AmeriFlux) and FLUXNET coordinate analysis of EC flux measurements at regional and global scales, respectively, and have made standardized flux datasets available to the research community. The recently released FLUXNET2015 dataset (<http://fluxnet.fluxdata.org/data/fluxnet2015-dataset/>) provides standardized and high-quality flux and meteorological data for a total of 212 sites globally and has up to 15 years of overlap between flux data and MODIS data products. These flux datasets have been used to evaluate or calibrate C fluxes derived from remote sensing approaches. For example, AmeriFlux data have been used to evaluate the MODIS GPP product (Heinsch et al., 2006; Xiao et al., 2014a) and to estimate parameters in LUE models (Xiao et al., 2011b, 2014b). The release of the AmeriFlux and FLUXNET datasets also enabled the upscaling of flux observations and the generation of gridded GPP, ER, and NEP estimates at regional to global scales using machine learning approaches (Xiao et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2011). The National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON) has begun operations, and this continental-scale observation system will measure C, water, and energy fluxes through 47 terrestrial sites across the U.S. The integration of remote sensing with the measurements from AmeriFlux, FLUXNET, NEON, and other flux networks will greatly benefit carbon cycle studies.

3.7. Quantifying NBP from satellite observations of column CO₂ concentrations

The availability of column CO₂ concentrations retrievals from satellites in the 2000s and 2010s has made it feasible to quantify NEP from satellite observations. Column CO₂ concentrations data have been obtained from the SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric Cartography (SCIAMACHY) on board ESA's ENVironment SATellite (EnviSat) (2002–2012) (Barkley et al., 2006), the Thermal and Near Infrared Sensor for Carbon Observation-Fourier Transform Spectrometer (TANSO-FTS) on board GOSAT (launched in 2009) (Wunch et al., 2011), NASA's OCO-2 (2014–present), and the Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Grating Spectrometer (ACGS) on board the Chinese Carbon Dioxide Observation Satellite (TanSat) (2016–present). For example, Basu et al. (2013) estimated the distribution of CO₂ fluxes globally using column CO₂ measurements from GOSAT. Inversions of satellite CO₂ observations provide useful constraints on terrestrial C sinks/sources, although significant biases exist for fluxes even aggregated over continental scales (Basu et al., 2013; Reuter et al., 2014).

3.8. Free access to the Landsat record

Prior to 2008, the cost and access to Landsat imagery had always limited our ability to monitor the Earth's land surface (Woodcock et al., 2008). On April 21, 2008, the USGS announced plans to make all archived Landsat scenes available to all users at no charge. The research community has had “Web-enabled” access to the entire Landsat record since then. This new data policy has revolutionized the use of the Landsat archive in creating new science, methods, and data products (Wulder et al., 2012). The Landsat archive is also readily available on the Google Earth Engine (Gorelick et al., 2017) for scientific analysis. The free-access policy of the Landsat archive and its availability on Google Earth Engine are anticipated to promote the study of the

terrestrial C cycle.

3.9. Advent of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence measurement from space

The recent availability of satellite-based SIF measurements has provided great potential for estimating terrestrial GPP regionally or globally (Frankenberg et al., 2011; Joiner et al., 2011; Li and Xiao, 2019). Previous studies have demonstrated strong correlations between SIF and GPP by examining the relationship of satellite-derived SIF with coarse-resolution, gridded GPP data products (Guanter et al., 2012; Parazoo et al., 2014) or flux tower GPP more recently (Sun et al., 2017; Verma et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018b, 2018c). Previous studies showed that SIF predicted GPP better than satellite-derived VIs and LUE models (Frankenberg et al., 2011; Li et al., 2018b, 2018c). SIF is also likely to be more sensitive to changes in plant photosynthetic status caused by environmental stresses such as heat and water stresses than most VIs (Daumard et al., 2010; Middleton et al., 2018; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2013; Joiner et al., 2014; Rascher et al., 2015) and has been increasingly used to examine the dynamics of terrestrial photosynthesis and its responses to drought (Sun et al., 2015; Yoshida et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018a). Satellite-based SIF observations will likely bring revolutionary changes to the estimation of terrestrial photosynthesis from space.

4. Remote sensing of carbon fluxes

Carbon fluxes can be quantified using various remote sensing data and methods. This section is divided into the following sub-sections: vegetation indices, light use efficiency models, terrestrial biosphere models, data-driven (or machine learning) approaches, solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence, land surface temperature, and atmospheric inversions.

4.1. Satellite-derived vegetation indices as proxies of GPP and NPP

VIs derived from remote sensing spectral measurements are perhaps the most basic spectral measures of plant biologic activity from space. They combine the chlorophyll-sensitive red absorbing band with the leaf- and canopy structure-sensitive, NIR band, to represent a community property of canopy “greenness” encompassing canopy structure, chlorophyll content, plant phenology and leaf ontogeny. VIs aim to quantify the presence and extent of green vegetation and enable intercomparisons of vegetation “greenness” across space and time. The earliest VIs, such as the NDVI (Rouse et al., 1974), the perpendicular vegetation index (PVI) (Richardson and Wiegand, 1977), and the tasseled cap green vegetation index (TC-GVI) (Kauth and Thomas, 1976), have been widely used for estimating plant productivity. More recently developed greenness and chlorophyll indices include the EVI (Huete et al., 2002), the wide dynamic range vegetation index (WDRVI) (Gitelson, 2004), and the MERIS total chlorophyll index (MTCI) (Dash and Curran, 2004). The robustness of VIs and available long term time series have generated a vast number of carbon-climate studies over northern latitudes, Africa, and the Amazon (Tucker et al., 1986; Myneni et al., 1997a; Tucker et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2001; Xiao and Moody, 2005; Saleska et al., 2016).

The phenological life cycle of plant species has large effects on photosynthesis rates and annual productivity (Tucker et al., 1986), and VIs are able to provide seasonal and annual growing season metrics of plant productivity. Previous studies found strong relationships between aboveground NPP (ANPP) and growing season integrated NDVI for North American biomes (Goward et al., 1985) and later between ANPP and EVI for U.S. arid grasslands to forests (Ponce-Campos et al., 2013) and arid and mesic grasslands (Moran et al., 2014). In general, VIs provide measures of the capacity of plants to absorb light energy and to reflect recent environmental stresses with reductions in NDVI indicative

of less chlorophyll and/or less foliage (Running et al., 2004). Primary production is essentially an integrator of resource availability, and according to the resource optimization theory (Field et al., 1995), plants can maximize photosynthesis and growth with the adjustment plant characteristics by ecological processes to match the environmental capacity at weekly to monthly timescales. Often in-situ ANPP-VI uncertainties may arise due to discrepancies in timing of harvesting sampling periods. For example, when EVI was only partially integrated from the beginning to the peak of the growing season period (rather than the full season), significant improvements in productivity–EVI relationships were found across grassland sites (Moran et al., 2014). The NDVI integral over the early growing season was strongly related to in-situ measurements of tree diameter and ring width in the central Great Plains (Wang et al., 2004). Continuous VI growing season productivity profiles allow one to better synchronize VI temporal values with actual in-situ sampling periods.

VIs are used to estimate the rates of ecosystem processes (e.g., photosynthesis) that depend on absorbed light (Monteith and Unsworth, 1990). With the widespread use of VIs in large-scale productivity assessments, there is great interest in learning how to best couple VIs with in-situ productivity measures from flux towers. Further, the flux tower observations are top-of canopy measurements that do not need details of canopy architecture or LAI, facilitating their comparisons with VI measures that similarly involve community properties resulting from integrative, top-of-canopy radiation interactions. VIs in combination with meteorological data (e.g., temperature, vapor pressure deficit or VPD, solar radiation) have been used to estimate GPP at various spatial scales using LUE models as described below in 3.2. There have also been many attempts to estimate GPP based solely on remote sensing inputs, thereby minimizing or eliminating the need for meteorological and LUE information. Spectral VIs were found to accurately estimate GPP across a wide range of North American ecosystems (Wylie et al., 2003; Rahman et al., 2005), African tropical savannas (Sjostrom et al., 2011), Australian mesic and xeric tropical savannas (Ma et al., 2013), various terrestrial ecosystems in China (Xiao, Zhou, et al., 2015), and dry to humid tropical forests in Southeast Asia and the Amazon (Huete et al., 2006; Huete et al., 2008). A more recent study examined the relationship between satellite-derived VIs and flux tower GPP for 121 FLUXNET sites encompassing a wide range of biomes across the globe and assessed how the VI-GPP relationship varies with indices, biomes, timescales, and the bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) effect (Huang et al., 2019). These studies indicated that VIs are able to estimate GPP with relatively high accuracy, thus potentially simplifying C balance models and offering opportunities for region-wide upscaling of C fluxes (Glenn et al., 2008).

The above studies, however, reported weaker relationships between VIs with tower GPP over aseasonal evergreen forests compared to seasonally contrasting deciduous forests. This may simply be due to the low seasonal signal range of VI values from which to statistically fit good relationships, although significant differences in VI relationships with tower GPP were found between biologically driven and meteorologically driven ecosystems (Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2016). No significant relationships between GPP and VIs were observed for primarily meteorological-driven and relatively aseasonal ecosystems (e.g., tropical forests). On the other hand, for phenology-driven ecosystems, GPP is driven by changes in vegetation status and can be well represented by VIs. The highest VI-GPP correlations were found in ecosystems with synchronous meteorology and phenology, while low correlations were observed in locations with asynchronous meteorology and phenology (e.g., Mediterranean ecosystems). When successful, satellite-derived VIs constitute measurements of ecosystem structure and function, and are more related to measures of photosynthetic capacity (the maximum photosynthesis rate under ideal conditions of light, moisture, temperature, and nutrient availability) rather than GPP. Besides VIs, biophysical variables (e.g., canopy nitrogen content, chlorophyll content) derived from remote sensing data have also been used to estimate C

fluxes. For example, canopy nitrogen content retrieved from hyperspectral airborne (e.g., the Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer or AVIRIS) and broad-band satellite (e.g., MODIS) imagery has been used to estimate photosynthetic capacity (Ollinger et al., 2008) and NPP (Smith et al., 2002). These studies are mainly limited to landscapes and small regions due to the limited availability of hyperspectral data.

4.2. Estimating GPP and NPP with light use efficiency models

The LUE models (i.e., production efficiency models) are widely used to quantify GPP and NPP from remotely sensed data. LUE models are based on the original radiation use efficiency logic of Monteith (1972) that under well-watered and fertilized conditions the productivity of a cropland exhibits a linear relationship with the absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (APAR) by the canopies. Under actual environmental conditions, potential optical energy utilization rate is affected by water, temperature, and other environmental factors. Therefore, GPP (or NPP) can be simulated in the LUE logic as APAR multiplied by maximum LUE and environmental stresses. The LUE approach has been one of the most important methods to map GPP and NPP regionally or globally (Potter et al., 1993; Running et al., 2004).

Remote sensing data play a significant role in the LUE approach by providing information on vegetation type, growth status, and environmental conditions. FAPAR is derived through spectral VI relationships (Asrar et al., 1984; Sellers, 1985; Goward and Huemmrich, 1992) or based on FAPAR products (e.g., MODIS FAPAR) (Zhao et al., 2005). Asrar et al. (1984) demonstrated the NDVI was linearly related with vegetation absorption of light energy (APAR) or FAPAR, and thereby related to productivity through the potential capacity of vegetation to absorb light for photosynthesis. The linear relationship between NDVI and FAPAR has been documented through field measurements (Ruimy et al., 1995; Fensholt et al., 2004) and theoretical analyses (Sellers, 1985; Goward and Huemmrich, 1992; Myneni and Williams, 1994), although their relationship differs with canopy type, structure, soil, and sun-view orientation and saturates at high values (e.g., NDVI > 0.7). AVHRR-FAPAR (Zhu et al., 2013) and GLASS-FAPAR products (Xiao, Liang, et al., 2015) extend the temporal coverage of FAPAR back to 1982 and can potentially lead to long-term GPP estimates. A previous study suggested that the fraction of PAR absorbed by chlorophyll throughout the canopy (fAPARchl) could lead to more accurate cropland GPP estimates than the MODIS FAPAR (Zhang et al., 2014b). Remote sensing also provides measures of two other inputs of LUE models: water stress (Jones et al., 2017) and incident radiation (Zhang et al., 2014c). The photochemical reflectance index (PRI) (Gamon et al., 1997) derived from tower-based spectral measurements (Middleton et al., 2009) and MODIS data (Middleton et al., 2016) has been shown to be a good proxy for LUE. In addition, remote sensing also provides spatially explicit information on land cover type (Loveland et al., 2000; Friedl et al., 2010) that determines maximum LUE and other model parameters.

A number of LUE models have been developed and widely used for quantifying GPP (or NPP) (Potter et al., 1993; Running et al., 2004; Xiao et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2007). In particular, a LUE approach along with NDVI (or EVI) was implemented in the Carnegie Ames Stanford Approach (CASA) Biosphere model for simulating NPP (Potter et al., 1993). CASA has been widely used to simulate C dynamics at regional to global scales although it could lead to large biases in simulated C fluxes (Randerson et al., 2009). Moreover, a LUE approach along with MODIS data were used to develop the standard MODIS GPP/NPP product, the first operational and most widely used GPP/NPP product (Running et al., 2004) (Fig. 4). All LUE models integrate the physiological regulations of temperature and water demand (soil moisture or atmospheric VPD). Some models also incorporate the enhancement effects of atmospheric CO₂ fertilization (Veroustraete et al., 2002). In addition, some models (e.g., CFlux, TL-Model) explicitly

simulate the differential effects of diffuse and direct radiation on photosynthesis (Gu et al., 2002) using an empirical equation or a two-leaf model (King et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2016). LUE models with the addition of a linear or nonlinear function of air temperature have also been used to estimate NEE (Mahadevan et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2011b). Different LUE models differed in algorithms describing the regulations of environmental stresses particularly water stress on GPP (Yuan et al., 2014). Different model structures and parameterization schemes can result in marked discrepancies in GPP estimates (Xiao et al., 2011b; Cai et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2014). Having proper model structure that accurately represents the regulation of all driving factors on GPP is essential for improving the GPP estimates (Zheng et al., 2018). Uncertainty in model parameters could lead to substantial uncertainty in flux estimates at regional scales (Xiao et al., 2014b).

Reducing uncertainty in the GPP (or NPP) estimates is one of the challenges of LUE models. A model-data comparison study showed most LUE models underestimated GPP at cloudy or overcast days because the effects of diffuse radiation from cloud cover on LUE were ignored (Yuan et al., 2014). A recent study revealed that soil moisture stress alone reduced GPP at semi-humid, semi-arid, or arid sites by up to 40%, but most LUE models only integrate the effects of atmospheric water demand that cannot account for the limitation of soil moisture on GPP (Stocker et al., 2018). A second challenge revolves around the fact that the uncertainty of remote sensing data would further lead to uncertainty in vegetation productivity estimates. For instance, the saturation problem of satellite-derived VIs generally results in underestimation of GPP or NPP in areas with dense vegetation. In addition, the global GPP products based on LUE models are at medium spatial resolution (e.g., 1 km), limiting their use in some applications (e.g., estimating regional crop yield, quantifying GPP in urban areas) that require GPP products with finer spatial resolution. The fusion of temporally dense satellite data (e.g., MODIS) and spatially finer observations (e.g., Landsat) can allow the estimation of GPP at finer spatial resolution (e.g., 30 m) (He et al., 2018), overcoming the limitations of current GPP products due to coarse resolution.

4.3. Quantifying carbon fluxes using terrestrial biosphere models

Process-based terrestrial ecosystem models describe terrestrial C, water, and energy fluxes in a mechanistic way to quantify these terrestrial cycles. The process-based representation of ecosystem processes allows us to understand terrestrial ecosystem status and changes in mechanistic ways. These models can be divided into two categories: diagnostic models and prognostic models. Diagnostic models use remotely sensed data (e.g., LAI, FAPAR) as temporally-variant input data to capture spatial and temporal variations in terrestrial vegetation in a more realistic way, and therefore their simulations are limited to the period when remotely sensed data are available. Prognostic models, in general, use climate data as temporally-variant input data and can simulate past, present, and future changes in terrestrial ecosystem C dynamics. Many terrestrial model intercomparison studies revealed large uncertainties in simulated CO₂ fluxes at both site (Ichii et al., 2013) and regional (Huntzinger et al., 2012) scales. Therefore, refinements of these models are strongly required. Both diagnostic models and prognostic models can make use of remotely sensed data to improve their performance in quantifying C fluxes.

Diagnostic models generally adopted process-based photosynthesis sub-models (Farquhar et al., 1980; Collatz et al., 1991). With temporally-variant inputs of satellite-based LAI and/or FAPAR, photosynthesis is simulated and GPP is then calculated. These models are designed to estimate GPP in a more realistic way by considering mechanistic behaviors of photosynthesis responding to environmental conditions (e.g., climate and atmospheric CO₂ concentration) and incorporating actual spatial and temporal variations in LAI and/or FAPAR. For example, multiple satellite-derived LAI products were used to prescribe LAI in the BEPS model to simulate C and water fluxes for China's




Fig. 4. Global mean annual NPP ($\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$) over the period 2000–2015 based on the MODIS GPP/NPP product. (Courtesy of Steven W. Running)

terrestrial ecosystems (Liu, Xiao, et al., 2018). Most diagnostic models, such as SiB2 (Sellers et al., 1996), BEPS (Liu et al., 1997), InTEC (Chen et al., 2000), and BEAMS (Sasai et al., 2005), contain biomass and soil C pools. Therefore, these models can simulate not only GPP but also other C fluxes (e.g., NPP, ER, NEP, and NBP). In general, these process-based models use a similar approach to calculate autotrophic respiration and heterotrophic respiration based on the first-order decay with the responses to environmental factors. NEP is calculated as the difference of GPP and ER. Some diagnostic models like the Breathing Earth System Simulator (Ryu et al., 2011) can only explicitly simulate GPP.

Remotely sensed data are also integrated with prognostic models for quantifying ecosystem C fluxes. Prognostic models internally simulate LAI based on climate and soil data, C allocation, and specific leaf area. The data assimilation is an effective approach to constrain and improve prognostic models and to reproduce more realistic ecosystem status using remotely sensed data (e.g., LAI). For example, satellite-based LAI data were used to nudge the Sim-CYCLE model (Hazarika et al., 2005). If the simulated LAI did not converge with the MODIS-LAI, the simulated LAI was replaced with the MODIS-LAI and then C fluxes were re-simulated. The assimilation of the MODIS LAI improved the simulation of NPP. Similarly, the assimilation of MODIS LAI retrievals into a Dynamic Global Vegetation Model (DGVM) reduced the growing season length and improved the estimates of GPP and NPP globally (Demarty et al., 2007). Kleidon (2004) and Ichii et al. (2009) improved NPP and GPP simulations by inversely estimating rooting depths using simple diagnostic ecosystem models and satellite-derived APAR and evapotranspiration. Satellite data have also been used to optimize parameters of terrestrial biosphere models. For example, satellite-derived FAPAR was used to reduce the uncertainty in parameters in a terrestrial ecosystem model – BETHY, and the parameter optimization improved the performance of the model for simulating C fluxes (Kato et al., 2013). A more recent study used satellite NDVI observations together with EC data and atmospheric measurements to optimize a number of parameters in the ORCHIDEE model (Peylin et al., 2016).

Satellite-derived data products can have significant uncertainty that in turn can propagate through model simulations and result in uncertainty in simulated fluxes. For example, a recent modeling study showed that the significant uncertainty in satellite-derived LAI products led to substantial uncertainty in GPP simulated by BEPS, a prognostic process-based model (Liu, Xiao, et al., 2018). The uncertainty in satellite data products (e.g., LAI) will also lead to uncertainty in C fluxes simulated by prognostic models assimilating these products. Moreover, additional remotely sensed data that process-based models require may not be readily available. For example, historical disturbance

information (e.g., land cover change, forest age, fire) are key inputs to process-based models for simulating the terrestrial C cycle. Forest age maps have been generated at regional to continental scales using inventory and remote sensing information (Pan et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014a), although it still remains a challenge to develop forest age maps at the global scale. Diagnostic process-based models typically contain a number of processes and parameters and are much more complex than LUE models. Unlike LUE models, diagnostic models also require model initialization (model spinup), and therefore powerful computation resources are often required to run these models at regional or global scales. In addition, the required spinup process to create initial state of terrestrial biosphere pools sometimes makes the model simulation difficult in the case of diagnostic process based models. In general, time-series inputs of remotely sensed products (e.g. LAI, FAPAR, NDVI) are limited to the satellite observation periods, and therefore it is sometimes difficult to create reasonable initial spinup outputs.

4.4. Quantifying GPP, ER, and NEP using data-driven approaches

Remote sensing has been widely used to scale flux observations from EC flux towers to broad regions during the last decade or so. The growing network of flux towers provides continuous observations of the exchange of C, water, and energy between ecosystems and the atmosphere. Despite the large number of flux towers across the globe, they are not uniformly distributed and the tower measurements only represent the fluxes at the small tower footprint. The quantification of C fluxes at regional to global scales require the upscaling of the flux observations to these broad regions (Xiao et al., 2008).

Data-driven (or machine learning) approaches have been used to upscale C fluxes (GPP, ER, NEE) from sites to regional or global scales (Zhang et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2008, 2010, 2011a; Jung et al., 2011; Ichii et al., 2017). A special issue published in Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences (Xiao et al., 2012) reflected the progress in the upscaling of carbon and water fluxes from towers to broad regions prior to 2012, and significant advances have been made since then. The machine learning approaches used include artificial neural network (Papale and Valentini, 2003), support vector machine (Yang et al., 2007), piecewise regression models (Zhang et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2008), model tree ensemble (Jung et al., 2009), and random forest (Bodesheim et al., 2018). For example, a data-driven approach based on piecewise regression models were used map GPP, ER, and NEE for North America from MODIS and meteorological data (Xiao et al., 2014a) (Fig. 5). A recent study showed that four different data-driven techniques, including the adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system,




Fig. 5. Mean annual carbon fluxes over North America for the period 2001–2012: (a) GPP; (b) ER; and (c) NEP derived from a data-driven approach. The units for carbon fluxes are $\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$.

(Adapted from Xiao et al., 2014a)

artificial neural network, extreme learning machine, and support vector machine, had almost identical performance in estimating forest C fluxes (Dou and Yang, 2018). Although machine learning approaches differ from mechanistic models and do not explicitly incorporate biogeochemical processes, the machine learning methods can effectively estimate C fluxes through time and space and also reveal plant responses to environmental controls.

A variety of remotely sensed datasets such as VIs (e.g., NDVI, EVI), LAI, FAPAR, and land cover type have been used in data-driven approaches for the upscaling of C fluxes. Both daytime and nighttime LST data from MODIS have been incorporated to provide temperature estimates with finer spatial resolution than meteorological reanalysis data (Xiao et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2014a). Satellite-derived measures of water availability such as the normalized difference water index (NDWI) (Gao, 1996) have also been incorporated as explanatory variables in upscaling efforts (Xiao et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2014a). Some studies used additional remotely sensed variables. For example, the incorporation of stand age and AGB improved the estimation of C fluxes for forests, and the addition of the proxy for canopy nitrogen content (i.e., the NIR reflectance integrated over the peak portion of the growing season) reduced the uncertainty in C fluxes for both forests and non-forests (Xiao et al., 2014a). A more recent study integrated satellite-derived SIF into the artificial neural network model for global GPP estimation, and indicated that SIF could improve the estimation of GPP in regions where flux variability is not mainly driven by phenology and incident radiation (Alemohammad et al., 2017).

Machine learning approaches have been used to estimate C fluxes with reasonable accuracy (Zhang et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2009; Xiao et al., 2010). The accuracy of the resulting GPP estimates is generally comparable to or slightly better than that of other approaches (e.g., LUE models) (Zhang et al., 2007; Ichii et al., 2017). The accuracy of ER was generally lower than that of GPP (Xiao et al., 2014a; Boyte et al., 2018) partly because the spatially explicit information on C pools and soil conditions is not readily available (Xiao et al., 2014a) and the regulation of soil respiration by temperature and precipitation is not well understood (Boyte et al., 2018). In general, the NEP estimation accuracy was lower than that of GPP and ER (Jung et al., 2011; Tramontana et al., 2016; Ichii et al., 2017; Bodesheim et al., 2018). Tramontana et al. (2016) compared 11 regression algorithms for estimating global ecosystem C fluxes, indicating that the accuracy of ER was slightly lower than that of GPP but higher than that of NEP. The lower accuracy in NEP estimates can be attributed to the lack of information on disturbance history, stand age, biomass, SOC,

and management practices (Xiao et al., 2014a; Papale et al., 2015). Based on the inter-comparison of models, a previous study showed that the Support Vector Machine was comparable to eight process-based models (Ichii et al., 2010). Another multi-model comparison study showed that the upscaling approach based on piecewise regression models (Xiao et al., 2010, 2011a) was comparable to, or slightly better than, most ecosystem models for estimating C fluxes (Raczka et al., 2013).

Despite their simplicity and effectiveness, the machine learning approaches face challenges and the resulting flux estimates have various sources of uncertainty. First, the number of EC flux sites and their distribution can significantly affect the accuracy and interannual variability of the regional flux estimates based on machine learning methods (Papale et al., 2015). A recent study based on multiple machine learning algorithms found that fluxes were better predicted in temperate regions than under-represented regions (e.g., the tropics) (Tramontana et al., 2016). In addition, the errors or uncertainty of satellite data and other explanatory variables (e.g., meteorological data) could propagate and lead to biases in the gridded flux estimates. The NEE estimates could have substantial uncertainty, particularly in productive regions, which makes it challenging to quantify the sizes of C sinks and sources. Explicitly incorporating information on management and disturbance into the machine learning approaches is anticipated to improve the accuracy of the resulting flux estimates (Xiao et al., 2011a).

4.5. Estimating GPP with solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence

SIF is an electromagnetic signal emitted in the red and far-red portions of the spectrum by green leaves after excitation by solar radiation. SIF originates at the core of the photosynthetic apparatus and holds a mechanistic link to photosynthesis and is thus a better proxy of GPP than other biophysical parameters or VIs (Porcar-Castell et al., 2014). The relationship between SIF and GPP has been examined by a growing number of field studies (Flexas et al., 2000; Meroni et al., 2008; Rascher et al., 2009; Daumard et al., 2010; Damm et al., 2015; Rossini et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015b; Migliavacca et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Miao et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018; Gu et al., 2019). These studies have shown that SIF has the potential to indicate actual (as opposed to potential) plant photosynthetic activity, but at the same time have revealed the complexity of the link between top-of-canopy SIF measurements and GPP for the whole canopy.

At regional to global scales, an important breakthrough was made in 2011 with the development of global SIF maps (Frankenberg et al.,

2011; Joiner et al., 2011) based on measurements from the Japanese GOSAT mission. The SIF was retrieved by evaluating the in-filling of the solar Fraunhofer lines by SIF present in the 755–775 nm window. Following these two pioneering studies, several global SIF datasets have been produced from other space-borne spectrometers, including GOME-2 (the Global Monitoring Ozone Experiment 2) and SCIAMACHY (the SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric Cartography) (Joiner et al., 2012; Joiner et al., 2013; Kohler et al., 2015; Wolanin et al., 2015) and more recently from OCO-2 (Sun et al., 2018) and TROPOMI (the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument) (Kohler et al., 2018). The GOME-2 SIF dataset has been the most widely used SIF product because of its continuous spatial sampling, global coverage, and long time series despite its coarse spatial resolution (40 km × 40 km in the best case). OCO-2, in turn, samples only a small portion of the Earth's land surface, but its relatively high spatial resolution (1.3 km × 2.25 km at nadir) makes it to be the first mission allowing direct comparisons of SIF retrievals and flux tower-based GPP estimates at the ecosystem scale (Verma et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018b, 2018c), which promises to deliver important information for the understanding of SIF-GPP relationships. The discrete OCO-2 soundings have also been used to generate spatially and temporally continuous SIF estimates globally. For example, Li and Xiao (2019) developed the global, OCO-2 based SIF product (GOSIF) with 0.05-degree resolution and 8-day time step for the period from 2000 to present using OCO-2 SIF, MODIS, and meteorological reanalysis data (Fig. 6). In addition, the first SIF retrievals from TROPOMI have become available very recently (Kohler et al., 2018). TROPOMI shares the spatially-continuous sampling of GOME-2 and its potential for SIF retrieval at both red and far-red wavelengths, but offers a much better monitoring potential because of the finer spatial resolution (i.e., 3 km × 7 km at nadir), a number of clear-sky SIF observations, and daily global coverage. The bidirectional effects associated with the wide swath of TROPOMI might introduce difficulty to the retrieval of far-red fluorescence.

A number of studies based on global SIF products have demonstrated that a close relationship between SIF and GPP holds at ecosystem to global scales. A strong linear correlation was observed

between SIF based on GOSAT and gridded GPP data derived from data-driven models for global and annual data composites (Frankenberg et al., 2011), and the same applied to monthly averages over single biomes (Guanter et al., 2012). Joiner et al. (2014) found a good correspondence between the temporal trajectories of SIF and GPP. Li et al. (2018b) conducted a global-scale analysis based on OCO-2 soundings and flux tower data, showing that there was a strong relationship between SIF and GPP at the ecosystem level, and the relationship was also nearly universal across a wide variety of biomes. Other studies have focused on the analysis of the magnitude and dynamics of GPP using satellite-based SIF data over particular biomes, such as large crop belts (Guanter et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014d; Song et al., 2018), the Amazon forest (Lee et al., 2013; Parazoo et al., 2013; Guan et al., 2015), high latitude forests (Walther et al., 2016; Jeong et al., 2017), temperate forests (Li et al., 2018c), tundra ecosystems (Luus et al., 2017), and dryland ecosystems in Southwest U.S. (Smith et al., 2018). There is therefore a large body of literature showing that space-based SIF retrievals are able to represent GPP better than the reflectance-based vegetation parameters widely used in remote sensing.

To relate SIF to GPP some considerations should be taken into account. First, SIF is known to be a good indicator of green APAR, as SIF responds to PAR and is directly emitted by chlorophyll-a molecules. This close relationship between SIF and green APAR is most likely to drive the high linear SIF-GPP correlations at coarse spatial and temporal scales (Yang et al., 2018) for those ecosystems (e.g., croplands, grasslands) in which productivity is driven by total chlorophyll content (Guanter et al., 2014). However, there is much less remote sensing-based evidence for the ability of SIF to track short-term changes in photosynthetic efficiency (Middleton et al., 2018). The SIF yield might be less sensitive than the photosynthesis yield under stress conditions (Wohlfahrt et al., 2018). In addition, although photosynthesis and fluorescence yields tend to be positively correlated under natural conditions, they have different temporal trajectories within the day and season for certain stress conditions (Porcar-Castell et al., 2014). Second, the relationship between photosynthesis and top-of-canopy SIF measurements is complicated by leaf and plant structure effects: a fraction of the SIF photons emitted by one leaf can be trapped by other leaves,




Fig. 6. Global solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) map based on averaged daily values over the 8-day period July 12–19, 2017. The SIF data are from the global, OCO-2 based SIF product (GOSIF) (<http://globalecology.unh.edu>) (Li and Xiao, 2019). GOSIF has 0.05-degree spatial resolution and 8-day time step and spans the period from 2000 to present.

and is therefore not counted in the satellite measurement. This effect strongly depends on canopy structure and leaf morphology and orientation (Fournier et al., 2012) as well as wavelength. Some studies dealing with this topic and proposing different solutions for canopy-to-leaf downscaling have been published recently (Liu, Guanter, et al., 2018; Romero et al., 2018; Yang and van der Tol, 2018), and further research is warranted in the next years. Third, the clear-sky bias in space-based SIF retrievals complicates their use to quantify daily GPP estimates comprising both clear- and cloudy-sky conditions. This clear-sky bias especially affects SIF-based GPP estimates for those ecosystems in which clear-sky GPP differs substantially from cloudy-sky GPP (Zhang et al., 2018b).

The systematic estimation of GPP from space-based SIF retrievals requires therefore an explicit or implicit consideration of those confounding factors. Process-based modeling appears as a potentially effective approach to dealing with this problem. Mechanistic models with explicit representations of SIF and photosynthesis are being developed. For example, the SCOPE (Soil Canopy Observation, Photochemistry and Energy fluxes) photosynthesis and fluorescence model (van der Tol et al., 2009) couples SIF and GPP with a series of inputs describing vegetation status (pigment content and canopy structure) and meteorological conditions, and it is being widely used to analyze the relationship between SIF and GPP (Verrelst et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). In parallel, the photosynthesis modules of some DGVMs are being extended in order to incorporate a consistent modeling of SIF (Lee et al., 2015b; Thum et al., 2017). An accurate representation of photosynthesis and SIF in such global C models is a pre-condition to the use of space-based SIF retrievals to benchmark terrestrial biosphere models and to improve their global GPP predictions, which could be based on data assimilation schemes (Scholze et al., 2017; MacBean et al., 2018).

4.6. Estimating ER from land surface temperature

ER is a large C flux from the land to the atmosphere, and is thus an important contributor to the increasing atmospheric CO₂ and, consequently, global climate change (Le Quere et al., 2009). The underlying reason of correlating air (or soil) temperature to ER is that temperature is a main environmental controlling factor of respiration rates (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992; Lloyd and Taylor, 1994). A remote sensing approach of using LST has the potential of providing spatially distributed estimates of ER on a per-pixel basis. Rahman et al. (2005) first found that the MODIS-derived LST (MOD11) was strongly correlated with the EC-derived ER, especially for densely forested sites. Many studies then tested the ER-LST relationship and its variations in other regions and for different biomes. A strong correlation between MODIS LST and tower ER was found for two Swedish peat lands ($R^2 = 0.93$ to 0.98) (Schubert et al., 2010). Moore et al. (2013) utilized a modified version of the 'TG' model (Sims et al., 2008), in which LST was combined with the EVI of a high-elevation insect-infested forest to estimate GPP and then to derive ER (Moore et al., 2013). The MODIS-derived LST was combined with a MODIS-derived water index to model ER of a mixed temperate forest with improved seasonal cycles in NEE (Tang et al., 2011). Although most of the LST-ER correlation studies used MODIS LST data, Kimball et al. (2009) used the LST derived from the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS (AMSR-E) sensor and found it is an effective surrogate to soil respiration (HR and root respiration) across a broad range of boreal forest, grassland and tundra sites in the boreal and arctic biomes.

There are a few concerns on the use of LST for estimating ER. LST is not an exact measure of either soil temperature or vegetation temperature, but a complex thermal representation of the three-dimensional land surface. Satellite pixel size and the time of data acquisition also affect the LST values. Averaging LST values over a temporal period (such as 8 days for MODIS) and over a larger area (such as 3×3 MODIS pixels) acts as a smoothing filter, which can provide a better correlation with time averaged ER. Another limitation of the semi-empirical LST-

ER correlation is that it does not include other constraints to ER, such as plant productivity, soil moisture, and nutrient limitations (Jagermeyr et al., 2014). In addition, although the biochemical processes involved in the two main components of ER (i.e., autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration) have been studied quite extensively (Atkin and Tjoelker, 2003; Sitch et al., 2003; Wehr et al., 2016), measuring these component fluxes separately from an ecosystem is challenging, mainly due to the difficulty in their direct measurement. The EC technique does not provide estimates of the ER components, and therefore the satellite-derived LST can only be used to directly estimate ER.

A few recent studies have extended the LST-ER relationships to include a wider range of ecosystem functionalities and parameters for improving ER estimates using remotely sensed data. Wu et al. (2014) found that the nighttime LST, when combined with NDVI, was strongly correlated with ER ($R^2 = 0.86$) of aspen, black spruce and jack pine stands in Saskatchewan, Canada and the NDVI was an important driver of ER, confirming the connection between photosynthetic activities and both AR and HR (Wu et al., 2014). Similarly, Gao et al. (2015) developed an ER model by assuming that one part of ER is derived from the current photosynthate with the respiratory rate coupling closely with GPP, and the other part of ER is derived from reserved ecosystem organic matter, with the respiratory rate responding strongly to temperature change. Their model was driven by MODIS-derived EVI, NDWI, and LST and explained 93% of the variance in EC-derived ER for temperate mixed forest, temperate steppe, alpine shrubland, alpine marsh and alpine meadow-steppe in northern China and the Tibetan Plateau (Gao et al., 2015). In another study, ER was modeled as an exponential function of soil temperature and moisture and the model output explained up to 82% of the variance in the EC-derived ER of a temperate deciduous broadleaf forest in Ozark, Missouri (Huang et al., 2014). More recently, six different process models were used to examine the LST-ER relationships of global terrestrial vegetation, showing that the LST-ER response curves largely displayed exponential trend of respiration rates with increasing temperature, although the exact forms of the relationships varied among the models (Ai et al., 2018). Based on this finding, Ai et al. (2018) combined the EVI of global vegetation types in their models to incorporate the effects of vegetation on respiration and developed models of reference respiration, which was capable of capturing the spatial and temporal patterns of ER at the global scale.

Since the first publication showing the promise of estimating ER from satellite-derived LST (Rahman et al., 2005), most studies on this topic have used semi-empirical methods to correlate ER with LST. As mentioned above, a few recent studies have attempted to utilize the LST in process-based models in order to estimate ER of larger areas and diverse ecosystems. Further improvement on incorporating satellite-derived LST in the process-based ER models would allow the use of LST in operational models for routinely deriving ER values for global ecosystems. The finer-resolution LST data derived from Landsat have not been used to estimate ER yet. Recent studies have addressed the generation of consistent LST data from Landsat imagery and estimating their uncertainties (Fu and Weng, 2016; Laraby and Schott, 2018). Studies are needed to explore whether a smaller pixel of LST (such as 30 m of Landsat vs. 1 km of MODIS) data would lead to better ER estimates, particularly in heterogeneous regions. It should be noted that the overpass time (around 10 am) is not perfect to studying LST and thereby ER. The AVHRR provides routine LST data of global coverage (1.1 km, two passes each day), and Terra and Aqua MODIS together offers global daytime and nighttime LST measurements for four different times of the day. ASTER provides 90 m resolution LST data every 16 days, but these data are available free of charge. Landsat 9, which has been fast-tracked for a December 2020 launch, will have a Thermal Infrared Sensor 2 (TIRS-2) with two TIR bands onboard which will enable consistent retrieval of LST. Use of thermal sensors onboard unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV, or drones) will be able to provide high resolution, near surface LST measurements for studying ER at detailed




Fig. 7. Annual net biome production (NBP) estimates based on GOSAT L4A, a flux product derived from GOSAT atmospheric CO_2 concentrations with Bayesian inverse modeling: (a) terrestrial regions (i.e., tiles) used for the development of the GOSAT L4A product; (b) mean annual NBP over the period 2000–2014.

spatial scales and on-demand temporal intervals. The NOAA's Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES) provide routine LST data (4 km, once every 15 min) for North America and South America. These GOES LST data can potentially be used to examine the diurnal cycles of ER. The ECOSystem Spaceborne Thermal Radiometer Experiment on Space Station (ECOSTRESS) launched on June 29, 2018 has the diurnal sampling capability, and the resulting LST imagery ($70 \text{ m} \times 70 \text{ m}$ spatial resolution) can also potentially be used to study the diurnal variations of ER.

4.7. Quantifying NBP using atmospheric inversions

NBP, the net carbon exchange between ecosystems and the atmosphere, can be quantified using remotely sensed column CO_2 concentrations. CO_2 is a passive tracer in the atmosphere: it has no chemical sink there and is only absorbed at the Earth's surface, by oceans and the terrestrial biosphere. This characteristic, for instance, prevents defining a lifetime for CO_2 (Tans, 1997). Marginal sources in the atmosphere from the oxidation of reduced C (Folberth et al., 2005) and from aircraft contribute to the increase of its concentrations, while

respiration from the biosphere, outgassing from the oceans and the volcanoes, fires and anthropogenic sources have a much larger impact altogether (Ciais et al., 2013). Air motions therefore directly relate these various sources and sinks, termed “fluxes” hereafter, to the CO_2 concentrations that are observed in the atmosphere. This link is not a bijection and inverting it is meaningful only from a statistical point of view. Inversion is usually performed by assimilating the observed CO_2 concentrations into a numerical transport model under the constraint of some prior estimation of the fluxes and within a Bayesian framework (Peylin et al., 2013). Such an approach has been developed in the 1990s for the interpretation of measurements from the surface air sample networks (Enting et al., 1995), but sparse measurement coverage, particularly in low or high latitudes and over the oceans, limited the flux information content and motivated the extension of the approach to satellite observations of the CO_2 column (Rayner and O'Brien, 2001).

Initial satellite studies focused on partial columns above the planetary boundary layer retrieved from radiances measured in the TIR wavelength by orbiting sensors that were designed for other purposes like meteorology (Chevallier et al., 2009; Nassar et al., 2011; Chevallier et al., 2014): High resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder-2 (HIRS-2)

(Chedin et al., 2003), Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) (Engelen et al., 2004) and Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) (Kulawik et al., 2010). Only Nassar et al. (2011) found the impact of the assimilation of such data (TES in this case) positive, and only by assimilating it jointly with measurements from the surface network. In general, these early attempts were hampered by systematic errors in the retrievals and in the transport models (particularly for vertical motion) of various amplitudes. The variability of the CO₂ column over the globe and over a year is of the order of 10‰ of the CO₂ dry air model fractions and most of it is already known: the useful signal is of the order of 1‰ of the retrieval value (Chevallier et al., 2005) and ideally should not be obscured by similar errors.

These issues have been reduced by optimizing the sensors for atmospheric inversion. Appropriate choice of the wavelengths in the SWIR rather than the TIR range extended the column retrievals to the planetary boundary layer, close to the surface fluxes, in sunlit and cloud-free areas, and therefore relaxed the constraint on the accuracy of the transport model a bit. It also allowed better identification of the CO₂ signal in the measured spectrum with respect to the signals from other atmospheric constituents or from the surface, therefore reducing the retrieval systematic errors. This evolution was started by SCIAMACHY on-board Envisat operated from 2002 until 2012, and has been continued by TANSO-FTS on-board GOSAT in 2009 (still being operated, and is followed by GOSAT-2 launched in October 2018), and by NASA's OCO-2 in 2014 (still being operated). Similar data from three recent Chinese satellites (e.g., TanSat) were not as widely available at the time of writing, but more instruments are being planned in the USA, Asia, and Europe. Residual systematic errors in the SWIR retrievals are further damped by empirical bias-corrections against reference retrievals from ground-based spectrometers (Wunch et al., 2011), even though these reference data themselves have non-negligible absolute uncertainties (Wunch et al., 2010). Transport models have progressed on their side with increased horizontal and vertical resolutions, better meteorological analyses, and improved parameterization of subgrid-scale processes (Locatelli et al., 2015; Krol et al., 2018).

A few inverse modeling studies attempted to document the natural surface fluxes at regional to global scales using these SWIR retrievals (Basu et al., 2013; Reuter et al., 2014), specifically for extreme events such as the 2010 summer heat wave in Eurasia (Guerlet et al., 2013), the 2011 La Niña episode in Australia (Detmers et al., 2015), and the 2015–2016 El Niño episode in the Tropics (Liu et al., 2017). Yet these studies have been paralleled by intercomparison exercises between inversions, or with aircraft data or C inventories that questioned the reliability of existing satellite-based CO₂ inversions (Chevallier et al., 2014; Reuter et al., 2017). Kondo et al. (2015) examined global NBP (Fig. 7) derived from the inversion of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations retrieved by GOSAT SWIR spectra, and found large differences in the NBP with flux estimate derived from a bottom-up approach.

The much increased scientific visibility of CO₂ remote sensing (Eldering et al., 2017), manifests the fact that the accuracy of the CO₂ retrievals and of the transport models is approaching the levels needed to constrain the fluxes from the natural biosphere (Buchwitz et al., 2017) despite all residual uncertainties in the end-to-end processing chains. The remote sensing of CO₂ has defined unprecedented quality standards in the monitoring of the Earth's atmosphere. The technical challenges have been taken up by major space agencies, while the various levels of the processing chains are being developed by a growing scientific community. The current lack of routine reference CO₂ column observations with systematic errors < 1‰ is a hard limit (WMO/IAEA, 2016) that, e.g., the development of in-situ vertical light profile measurements may erase in the future (Karion et al., 2010). In the meantime, a collective approach is needed, where diverse radiative transfer and transport models, several satellite instruments and some of the related data described in the other sections are used to identify and interpret the robust signals in the satellite-based atmospheric inversions.

5. Remote sensing of carbon stocks

Remote sensing has been widely used to quantify biomass carbon stocks at regional to global scales since the early 1990s. Biomass C stock has been estimated using passive optical remote sensing data, microwave remote sensing data, and lidar remote sensing data. Carbon stocks in soils have also been quantified using remote sensing, mainly for croplands and at relatively small spatial scales.

5.1. Passive optical remote sensing of biomass

AGB has been frequently estimated from passive optical remote sensing that is particularly sensitive to vegetation canopy properties. Passive optical satellite observations with a wide range of spatial resolutions have been applied for estimating AGB. The coarse-resolution data (250 m - 8000 m) (e.g., AVHRR, SPOT, and MODIS) are often used to estimate biomass at regional or global scales for various ecosystems such as forests (Dong et al., 2003; Zhang and Kondragunta, 2006; Chopping et al., 2011), grasslands (John et al., 2018), and Arctic tundra (Epstein et al., 2012). The medium spatial resolution data (~30 m), mainly from Landsat TM/ETM+ /OLI, Sentinel-2 Multispectral Imager (MSI), and Terra/Aqua ASTER, are perhaps the widely-used optical remote sensing data for biomass estimation at local and regional scales for different ecosystems such as forests (Fazakas et al., 1999; Turner et al., 2004; Sibanda et al., 2015), grasslands (Friedl et al., 1994), and shrublands (Shoshany and Karnibad, 2011). The high spatial resolution data (< 5 m), including high-resolution satellite imagery from QuickBird (2.44 m in multispectral and 0.61 m in panchromatic), IKONOS (3.2 m in multispectral and 0.82 m in panchromatic), and WorldView-2/3 (1.85 m in multispectral and 46 cm in panchromatic), are commonly used to calculate local tree biomass (Leboeuf et al., 2007; Palace et al., 2008; Fuchs et al., 2009) and grass biomass (Sibanda et al., 2017).

The variables derived from passive optical remote sensing for biomass estimation mainly consist of spectral reflectance, VIs, spatial texture, and vegetation canopy attributes. Spectral reflectances are the simplest variables, which vary from visible to SWIR wavelength. VIs are more frequently used in biomass estimation because they can enhance green vegetation signals and minimize the impacts from soil background, sun-canopy-sensor geometry, and atmosphere (Huete et al., 1985). The commonly used VIs are NDVI, EVI, Simple Ratio (SR), middle infrared (MIR) index, chlorophyll based difference index, and tasseled cap (TC) transformation or principal component analysis (PCA) of spectral bands. Spatial texture of spectral or panchromatic bands, which describes the spatial characteristics of images and identifies objects or regions in images, has also been used for biomass estimation (Sarker and Nichol, 2011). Canopy spatial texture is scale dependent, which can be calculated using a 3 × 3 window size or Fourier Transform Textural Ordination (FOTO) method from very high spatial resolution imagery (Eckert, 2012; Dube and Mutanga, 2015b; Ploton et al., 2017).

Moreover, vegetation canopy attributes derived from optical satellite data can be used as effective predictors of AGB. The frequently used attributes include LAI, canopy structure, and tree shadow fraction. LAI, an important biophysical factor regulating photosynthesis, evapotranspiration, and C fluxes, has been estimated from spectral VIs based on either empirical, regression models (Heiskanen, 2006) or radiative transfer (RT) algorithms (Myneni et al., 1997b). Canopy structure is generally quantified using biophysical parameters (e.g., tree crown size/area, height, and density), and both foliage biomass and total standing biomass are related to these parameters (Franklin and Hiernaux, 1991; Wu and Strahler, 1994). Canopy reflectance models have been used to estimate the crown size and density within each grid cell. The Li-Strahler geometric-optical (GO) model is a widely used canopy reflectance model (Li and Strahler, 1985). Shadow fraction can also be quantified from medium-resolution satellite imagery (e.g.,

Landsat TM/ETM+) using spectral mixture analysis (SMA) (Hall et al., 1995) and from high-resolution satellite imagery using a digital value threshold approach (Leboeuf et al., 2007). Although it is not a biophysical forest attribute, shadow fraction has proven useful for the estimation of forest biomass (Greenberg et al., 2005).

AGB is generally estimated by empirically or functionally relating to satellite-derived variables based on empirical models (regression or machine learning approaches) and physically-based allometric models. Simple regression models are established by associating a single VI or spectral reflectance to field biomass measurements (Roy and Ravan, 1996; Calvao and Palmeirim, 2004; Heiskanen, 2006). The simple linear model format could also be transformed from logarithmic or exponential functions. The model coefficients can be estimated with the ordinary least-squares approach by assuming that independent variables have high accuracy or the reduced major axis regression method by assuming that both dependent and independent variables have significant uncertainties (Larsson, 1993; Powell et al., 2010). Although the simple model is widely applied to estimate AGB in a local region, the model accuracy and optimal independent variables vary with spectral variable and local environment (Roy and Ravan, 1996; Foody et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2004). Further, the simple regression models could also correlate biomass to shadow fraction from high resolution imagery (Jasinski and Crago, 1999; Leboeuf et al., 2007) and to LAI in the logarithmic relationships (Saatchi et al., 2007; Madugundu et al., 2008).

A multiple regression model is able to improve biomass estimates by combining surface reflectance, VIs, and biophysical variables (Zheng et al., 2004; Hall et al., 2006). Multiple regression analyses can be performed in three different ways. First, the multiple regression from the ordinary least-squares approach typically includes all explanatory variables regardless of the strength of the relationship between each variable and biomass. Second, stepwise regression analysis can be used to identify the most important explanatory variables for the final model based on their relative contributions. Third, the canonical correlation analysis can maximize the correlation among variables and align spectral bands with the variation in biomass (Heiskanen, 2006) and therefore allows multiple regression analysis in a simple and linear way (Cohen et al., 2003). A variety of multiple regression models have been used to estimate biomass (Lu, 2005; Saatchi et al., 2007; Powell et al., 2010; Eckert, 2012; Dube and Mutanga, 2015b), while the variables used vary among studies and it is still challenging to identify the optimal variables for a given study.

The machine learning algorithm or non-parametric approach has been widely used in recent biomass estimation efforts. Unlike regression methods, this approach can easily handle a large number of explanatory variables derived from remotely-sensed and ancillary data that are linearly or nonlinearly related to biomass. The commonly used machine learning algorithms include neural networks (Foody et al., 2001) and regression trees (John et al., 2018). The machine learning approaches have been established for estimating AGB using time series of MODIS reflectance with ancillary data (including climate and topographic variables) in large regional areas for forests in California (Baccini et al., 2004), Africa (Baccini et al., 2008), Russia (Houghton et al., 2007), and the conterminous U.S. (Blackard et al., 2008) and for grasslands in Mongolia and Inner Mongolia (John et al., 2018). Similarly, machine learning approaches, particularly random forest (Breiman, 2001), are also established using other optical satellite observations (such as Landsat TM/OLI, Sentinel-2 MSI, and Worldview-2) and spectral variables to calculate biomass at regional scales (Powell et al., 2010; Dube and Mutanga, 2015a; Karlson et al., 2015; Pandit et al., 2018). In general, the regression tree approach has advantages over other models in producing consistent estimates with smaller errors (Powell et al., 2010).

Allometric model is a physically-based approach and has been widely used for the estimation of forest AGB. It converts the measurements of tree variables (e.g., canopy crown size, crown depth, diameter

at breast height or DBH, and tree height) to AGB based on field observations (TerMikaelian and Korzukhin, 1997). The allometric models for biomass estimation are in various forms (Pastor et al., 1984; TerMikaelian and Korzukhin, 1997), and AGB is most commonly estimated as a power function of DBH. Although the specific forms of allometric models vary with species and site, generalized allometric models have also been used for biomass estimation across large regions dominated by mixed species (Jenkins et al., 2003; Wirth et al., 2004).

Most vegetation attributes, particularly tree height and stem diameter, are hard to be retrieved from passive optical remote sensing data, but canopy properties can be easily detected by optical remote sensing data. A foliage-based allometric model was developed to associate AGB to foliage biomass that is a function of satellite-derived LAI (Zhang and Kondragunta, 2006). As a result, the maximum LAI derived from 1 km MODIS LAI product (Myneni et al., 2002) was used to estimate AGB across the conterminous United States after the allometric models were established for needleleaf forests, broadleaf forests, and mixed forests, respectively (Zhang and Kondragunta, 2006). Moreover, a biomass allometric model based on satellite data was also established with tree crown area. Allometric models are typically developed for coniferous and deciduous trees separately using field measurements and linear least squares regression. Tree crown area derived from the GO model (Soenen et al., 2010; Chopping et al., 2011), object-based classification (Rasmussen et al., 2011), or high-resolution satellite data (Hirata et al., 2014) is used to estimate forest biomass at regional scales based on general allometric models. This method was used to estimate biomass in Canada from SPOT multispectral data and was shown to be better than empirical approaches (NDVI or shadow fraction) (Soenen et al., 2010). Canopy structure parameters were also retrieved from both MODIS and MISR data for the estimation of biomass (Chopping et al., 2011).

Passive optical remote sensing provides perhaps the best tool for biomass estimation at regional to global scales because of its global coverage, multiple spatial resolutions, repeat visits, and cost-effectiveness. However, the satellite variables used in the developed empirical models (regression or machine learning approaches) for AGB estimation vary greatly across various regional environments and the models cannot be directly transferred across biomes and different vegetation phenological stages of satellite observations. Further, it is difficult to evaluate the relative performance of different models because of the complexity in AGB estimation. Satellite-based allometric model is an alternative approach to estimate AGB from vegetation structural variables. It is challenging to accurately retrieve vegetation canopy attributes from passive optical remote sensing data. The spectral signal tends to saturate when biomass is high, leading to underestimation of high biomass density and overestimation of low biomass density.

5.2. Microwave remote sensing of biomass

Microwave remote sensing sensors include radiometers and scatterometers. The scatterometer is also referred to as an active sensor because it actively sends microwave pulses to the ground and measures the backscattered power, while the radiometer is referred to as a passive sensor because it passively observes the microwave radiance from the Earth and its atmosphere (Liu, 2015). Real aperture radar (RAR) is a scatterometer that measures the range from the sensor to the target. The resolution of RAR depends on the pulse width in the range direction and the distance from the antenna to the target in the azimuth direction, while the beam width of the radar depends on both wavelength and the antenna size. In 1951, Carl A. Wiley found that a long antenna could be synthesized through the frequency analysis of Doppler shift of the signal reflected to the radar by the objects (McCandless and Jackson, 2004). The synthetic aperture radar (SAR) was invented based on RAR using Wiley's findings. The first civilian spaceborne SAR was the one onboard the SEASAT which was launched in June 1978. Although the SAR onboard SEASAT only operated for a short period of time (e.g.,




Fig. 8. Relationships between bin-averaged means of ALOS/PALSAR HV backscatter coefficient (σ_0) and aboveground biomass (AGB) values of the middle of the bin for tropical moist forests (Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America) and temperate conifer forests. Solid lines stand for the regression lines between HV σ_0 and AGB. For fitting purposes, outliers marked with x were excluded and bins above 155 Mg ha^{-1} were cut off for tropical forests. (Reproduced from Yu and Saatchi, 2016)

~100 days), this satellite opened a new era of remote sensing (Shi et al., 2012). Since then, several civilian SAR satellites have been placed in orbit by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), European Space Agency (ESA), Japanese space Agency (JAXA), and Canadian Space Agency (CSA) for the observation of earth surface including both the sea and the land.

The wavelength or frequency of SAR is an important parameter for forest monitoring. The microwave with longer wavelength can penetrate deeper into forest canopies. The classic bands (frequency or wavelength) used by SAR in ascending order of wavelength include X-band (9.6G, 3.0 cm), C-band (5.6G, 5.7 cm), S-band (3.0G, 10 cm), L-band (1.27G, 23.5 cm), and P-band (0.435G, 70.0 cm). The well-known SAR satellites include SIR-A (USA, L-band, 1981), SIR-B (USA, L-band, 1984), ERS-1 (Europe, C-band 1991), ALMAZ (USSR, S-band, 1991), JERS-1 (Japan, L-band, 1992), SIR-C/X-SAR (USA, C-band, L-band; Germany, X-band, 1994), ERS-2 (Europe, C-band, 1995), RADARSAT-1 (Canada, C-band, 1995), SRTM (USA, C-band; Germany, X-band, 2000), ENVISAT (Europe, ASAR, C-band, 2002), ALOS/PALSAR (Japan, L-band, 2006), RADARSAT-2 (Canada, C-band 2007), TerraSAR-X (Germany, X-band, 2007), TanDEM-X (Germany, X-band, 2010), HJ-1C (China, S-band, 2012), ALOS-2 (Japan, L-band, 2014), and Gaofen-3 (China, C-band, 2016). Several new missions under preparation include BIOMASS (Europe, P-band, scheduled 2022), NISAR (USA, L-band; India, S-band, scheduled 2020), and TanDEM-L (Germany, L-band, scheduled 2022).

Microwave radar data are coherent signals with both amplitude and phase information and can be operated at various polarization, such as H (horizontal) and V (vertical) polarizations. Polarimetric SAR includes signals at quad-polarization (HH, HV, VH, and VV). The radar backscatter intensity (i.e., the power of the backscatter signal) at HV polarization was the first used for forest biomass estimation. In addition to the use of SAR backscattering intensity, the other use of SAR data includes SAR interferometry (InSAR) (Rosen et al., 2000), Radar-grammetry (Schanda, 1985), Polarimetric SAR interferometry (PolInSAR) (Cloude and Papathanassiou, 1998), and tomographic SAR (TomoSAR) (Frey et al., 2008). In the period of SIR-A and SIR-B, the information on the Earth's surface was mainly extracted from the SAR image intensity. Radargrammetry is the application of photogrammetry

(optical image) on SAR images. Radargrammetry can detect the vertical distribution of ground objects by measuring displacements of one object on two SAR images acquired with different view angles. Scientists have conducted studies of radargrammetry since the 1960s (LaPrade, 1963; Vastaranta et al., 2014). In addition to terrain elevations, the improvement of spatial resolution of SAR images provided radargrammetry a new opportunity to capture the 3D structures of forest (Vastaranta et al., 2012). The InSAR data from SIR-C/X-SAR mission and tandem ERS-1 and ERS-2 was a milestone in the monitoring of forest using SAR data. They advanced studies of SAR interferometry, especially interferometric coherence, on the estimation of forest AGB. PolInSAR and TomoSAR can directly detect the 3D structure of forest and provide a new technique for monitoring forest AGB. The new missions, including BIOMASS (Le Toan et al., 2011), NISAR (Rosen et al., 2016), and Tandem-L, have the work mode to acquire SAR data for PolInSAR and TomoSAR.

Spaceborne SAR can work at all time under all-weather conditions. Therefore, it has great potential for the estimation of forest AGB even over areas that are often covered by clouds or fog throughout the year. The estimation of forest AGB using SAR data was pioneered by two papers published in the early 1990s. Le Toan et al. (1992) observed the strong correlation of L- and P-band SAR backscattering coefficients with red pine biomass. Dobson et al. (1992) reported that the backscatter of polarimetric airborne SAR at P-, L- and C-bands was dependent on AGB of conifer forests. Following these two pioneering studies, many scientists estimated forest biomass using multi-band and multi-polarization SAR data (Beaudoin et al., 1994; Rignot et al., 1994; Harrell et al., 1995; Kasischke et al., 1995; Pulliainen et al., 1996; Ranson et al., 1997; Ranson and Sun, 2000; Sun et al., 2002; Ni et al., 2016). In addition to radar backscatter coefficients, interferometric coherence was also used to estimate forest AGB in the early 2000s (Luckman et al., 2000; Gaveau, 2002; Santoro et al., 2002; Santoro et al., 2007). These studies showed that the SAR backscatter increased almost linearly with increasing biomass and then gradually saturates at high biomass level. The biomass saturation level depends on radar frequency with ~200 tons/ha at P-band and ~100 tons/ha at L-band, while the backscatter at the C-band is much less sensitive to biomass (Shi et al., 2012). For example, Yu and Saatchi (2016) examined the relationship between ALOS/PALSAR HV backscatter and AGB for tropical forests and temperate conifer forests, and found different relationships and different levels of sensitivity to AGB among the biomes (Fig. 8). Neither the SAR backscattering coefficients nor the interferometric coherence is the direct measurement of forest AGB. Their strong correlation with AGB could be easily destroyed by environmental factors such as precipitation and soil moistures (Kasischke et al., 2011).

Forest AGB is directly determined by four parameters: tree density, tree height, tree DBH, and tree species. Forest spatial structures are characterized by the first three parameters. The direct measurement of forest spatial structure using PolInSAR and TomoSAR data is potentially a solution to overcome the saturation issue for the estimation of forest AGB. PolInSAR makes compound use of the dependence of penetration capability of microwave on both frequency and polarizations, while TomoSAR combines the penetration capability of microwave on frequency and view angles. Many studies have measured forest height using SAR imagery (Garestier et al., 2008; Minh et al., 2016; Khati et al., 2017). Currently, the data used in studies on PolInSAR and TomoSAR are acquired by airborne sensors. The advantages and disadvantages of PolInSAR and TomoSAR will be fully explored when the BIOMASS, NISAR, and Tandem-L data are available in near future.

5.3. Lidar remote sensing of biomass

Lidar is an active remote sensing technology which determines the distance between the sensor and the target using laser energy. Lidar is able to provide accurate information on the vertical structure of forests through recorded discrete returns or waveforms (Lefsky et al., 2007;




Fig. 9. A workflow of deriving vegetation vertical structure and biomass from raw lidar data. Airborne discrete return lidar data are used as an example here, and a similar workflow applies to other types of lidar data. (Adapted from Zhao et al., 2018b)

Pang et al., 2008; Simard et al., 2011). Discrete return lidar systems record several returns from each laser pulse. Waveform lidar systems record a continuous waveform with the return signal as a function of time from the sensor to the target. Lidar data can be acquired from terrestrial, aircraft, and spacecraft lidar. These data can characterize the vertical information of forests at different scales varying from leaf, branch, individual tree, to large forest stands. Fig. 9 illustrates a typical workflow of deriving vegetation vertical structure and biomass from raw lidar data (Zhao et al., 2018b). Lidar data have also been used to estimate biomass of other ecosystems such as shrublands (Li et al., 2017) and grasslands (Wu et al., 2009).

The terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) sensor provides very dense point cloud data with millimeter intervals (Liang et al., 2016). After classification, TLS data can be separated into points from trunk, branch, and leaves for forests. Branch and foliage volume can then be estimated using shape information fitted from point cloud data (Hauglin et al., 2013; Stovall et al., 2017). This provides a non-destructive way to estimate AGB, even for allometric equation development (Kankare et al., 2013; Stovall et al., 2017). A more recent study showed how to produce quantitative 3D models of branch and trunk using quantitative structural models (Disney et al., 2018). These models improved the estimates of AGB especially for irregular tree stems. Another recent study demonstrated the potential of this direct biomass estimation method for tropical forests (Rahman et al., 2017). Besides biomass estimation at the individual tree level, forest biomass or volume at the stand level has also been successfully estimated using single-scan TLS data (Astrup et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2016).

Airborne laser scanners (ALS) have been increasingly used for biomass estimation. Strong relationships between laser data and AGB have been shown in many forests because of the capability of ALS to capture canopy height and density accurately. Through segmentation of canopy height model or point cloud data with high density, the ALS data can be used to quantify height, crown width, and crown volume that in turn can be used to estimate biomass at the individual tree level (Popescu, 2007; Tao et al., 2014). With the increasing availability of multi-temporal ALS data, changes in tree level biomass can also be estimated, although the correction of negative height biases might be needed (Zhao et al., 2018b). Recently, lidar data acquired from UAVs have also

been used to estimate AGB of forests (Messinger et al., 2016) and grasslands (Wang et al., 2017) at landscape scales.

More operational applications at the stand level or over large areas make use of low point density ALS data. The lidar-derived height and density metrics along with biomass data from field plots are often used to build biomass estimation models (Naesset and Gobakken, 2008; Zhao et al., 2009; Pang and Li, 2012). For example, Naesset and Gobakken (2008) investigated the relationship between forest biomass and canopy coverage using two groups of variables derived from lidar data which include quartile heights and crown densities. Zhao et al. (2009) estimated biomass using two scale-invariant models based on lidar-derived canopy height distributions and quintile functions, respectively. Pang and Li (2012) showed the benefits from species group stratification for component biomass estimation of stem, branch, and leaves. The airborne large footprint waveform data also led to reasonable biomass estimates for a variety of forest types (Lefsky et al., 1999; Drake et al., 2002). Lefsky et al. (1999) estimated biomass of a temperate deciduous forest using height indices from the Scanning LiDAR Imager of Canopies by Echo Recovery (SLICER) data. Drake et al. (2002) used the height of median energy from the Laser Vegetation Imaging Sensor (LVIS) data as a good index for biomass estimation in tropical forests. Recently, some studies also estimated biomass at the provincial or even national scale using ALS data (GOCF-GOLD, 2016; Price et al., 2017). Price et al. (2017) proved that ALS data could also be used to estimate biomass of trees outside forest. The GOCF-GOLD recommended the use of ALS data for biomass estimation in local efforts in reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+) (GOCF-GOLD, 2016). Lidar-based biomass surveys at regional scales may require less intensive field sampling than other remote sensing approaches.

Over the past decade, several spaceborne lidar sensors have been on-orbit. The main advantage of spaceborne lidar is the capability to collect data routinely for large regions or even globally. The data from the Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) aboard the ICESat satellite that operated from 2003 to 2008 have been used to estimate forest AGB. Lefsky et al. (2005) first showed that it was feasible to estimate forest AGB using the GLAS waveform data. The GLAS data were then used to estimate biomass for pan-tropical forests (Baccini et al., 2008; Saatchi et al., 2011). The main limitations of spaceborne lidar

systems are the spatial discontinuity and short operation period. Therefore, these discrete footprint biomass estimates are usually fused with estimates from other remotely sensed data (e.g., MODIS, Landsat, and PALSAR) to generate spatially continuous biomass estimates (Baccini et al., 2008; Saatchi et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2011).

With the development of the lidar technology, more lidar data are becoming available from new generation systems such as the Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System (ATLAS) and the Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation (GEDI). The ATLAS onboard ICESat-2 launched in September 2018 is a micro-pulse, multi-beam photon counting lidar (Markus et al., 2017). Compared to waveform data, the returns based on the photon counting approach contain abundant noise from the atmosphere and even below the ground, making it difficult to extract canopies and the ground surface in vegetated areas. A few studies have been conducted to detect the noise and separate the signal. For example, a methodological framework was recently developed to retrieve ground and canopy height using data from the Multiple Altimeter Beam Experimental Lidar (MABEL), an airborne simulator of ATLAS (Popescu et al., 2018). The MABEL data along with a local outlier factor algorithm showed good performance for not only lower noise rate with relatively flat terrain surface but also the high noise rate environment with relatively rough terrain (Chen, Pang, et al., 2019). These studies showed good performance for MABEL and simulated data, but further development of noise filtering is still necessary to explore vegetation applications for the new ATLAS data. A study comparing photon counting height metrics with both MABEL and discrete return lidar (DRL) in a savanna ecosystem (Gwenzi et al., 2016) showed moderate correlation between MABEL-derived height metrics and DRL-derived height metrics and weaker correlation between simulated ATLAS data with DRL indices. The GEDI system contains 3-laser system flying on the International Space Station (ISS), and the full waveform lidar has a circular footprint of ~25 m. Compared with GLAS system with 40 Hz frequency, GEDI will work with 242 Hz (Stavros et al., 2017). GEDI, launched on December 5, 2018, is the first spaceborne lidar mission that was specifically designed to study forests. GEDI will cover the geographical region between ~51.6° N and S latitudes from the ISS. The availability of the lidar data from these spaceborne platforms will greatly facilitate the estimation of AGB at large scales.

5.4. Remote sensing of soil organic carbon

Remote sensing has also been used to quantify C stocks in soils, mainly for croplands and at relatively small spatial scales. The remote sensing of SOC builds upon laboratory spectral characteristics of soils in the Visible, NIR, and SWIR (VNIR-SWIR, 400–2500 nm) domain that have been studied since the mid-1990s (Ben-dor and Banin, 1995; Ben-Dor et al., 1999; Rossel et al., 2006). The base line height and shape of the soil spectral signatures are associated with soil physical features and the absorption bands are linked to soil chemical features. For example, clay minerals produce an absorption band centred at 2200 nm due to the combination of vibrations associated with the OH and OH-Al-OH bonds (Hunt et al., 1971) and organic matter induces changes in spectral shape and absorption bands due to vibrations of O-H and C-H bonds linked to lignin and cellulose (Ben-Dor et al., 1997). Previous studies have then demonstrated that VNIR-SWIR spectral measurements acquired in laboratory conditions over soil samples can be used to accurately estimate several soil properties, such as soil organic matter (SOM) or SOC, Clay, Calcium Carbonate and Iron content (Chang and Laird, 2002; Shepherd and Walsh, 2002). For example, Shi et al. (2014) classified 1581 soil samples to clusters with different SOM content based on VNIR-SWIR spectra using a fuzzy clustering method, and found that the spectral reflectance of the soil clusters generally decreased with increasing SOM content (Fig. 10).

The mapping of soil properties from VNIR-SWIR imaging data has followed encouraging results of laboratory VNIR-SWIR spectroscopy and is achieved by developing either SpectroTransfer Functions (STFs)




Fig. 10. Reflectance spectra of soil samples in different clusters with different soil organic matter (SOM) content.

(Adapted from Shi et al., 2014)

models based on multivariate regression models (Gomez and Lagacherie, 2016) or SCORPAN (soils and/or soil properties, climate and/or climate properties, organisms like flora and fauna and human activities, relief settings, parent material, age, and spatial coordinate n) models based on machine learning techniques (McBratney et al., 2003). The STFs models link the soil properties measured by conventional laboratory analysis (dependent variables) and the VNIR-SWIR spectra (predictor variables). The SCORPAN models link the soil properties measured by conventional laboratory analysis (dependent variables) and several environmental variables with the potential to explain the dependent variables (e.g., climatic data, topographic data, VNIR-SWIR spectra and spectral index). The STFs models are mainly based on multivariate regression methods such as the partial least squares regression and support vector machine (Gomez et al., 2008; Stevens et al., 2010). The SCORPAN models are mainly based on random forest and boosted regression trees (Wang et al., 2018). SFTs functions are developed and applied only for bare soils as soil surface components (such as the dry and green vegetation) affect imaging VNIR-SWIR measurements (Bartholomeus et al., 2011). SFTs functions are therefore developed for croplands during ploughing periods (Ben-Dor et al., 2002) and arid ecosystems (Jarmer et al., 2010). By contrast, SCORPAN models which use environmental variables indirectly linked to the SOC content and stock can be applied for croplands, rangelands, shrublands and grasslands. The SCORPAN models therefore have higher mapping coverage potential than SFTs models.

The SOC content is a key soil property associated with soil physical, chemical, and biological fertility. It is also related to soil structure and porosity maintenance. The first SOC content mapping effort based on remotely sensed data and SFTs models was conducted over croplands in Israel using reflectance data from an airborne hyperspectral sensor, the Digital Airborne Imaging Spectrometer (DAIS) (Ben-Dor et al., 2002). Then, several studies have successfully mapped SOC content at landscape and regional scales using VNIR-SWIR imaging data acquired by multispectral satellite sensors (Wilcox et al., 1994; Jarmer et al., 2010), hyperspectral Hyperion sensor aboard the Earth Observing-1 (EO-1) satellite (Gomez et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2013; Minu et al., 2017), and hyperspectral airborne sensors (Selige et al., 2006; Hbirkou et al., 2012). These studies had moderate to high performances in SOC content estimation, with the Ratio of Performance to Deviation (RPD) from 1.4 to 2 and the coefficient of determination (R^2) from 0.5 to 0.8.

The SOC stock, the mass of C per unit area for a given depth (expressed in g C ha^{-1}), is a function of SOC content (expressed in g C kg^{-1} or %), bulk density (expressed in g cm^{-3}), and soil depth (expressed in cm) (Mishra et al., 2010). Some recent studies estimated SOC stock using SFTs models with laboratory (Rossel and Hicks, 2015; Guo et al., 2019), field (Cambou et al., 2016), and imaging (Guo et al., 2019) VNIR-SWIR data. Two approaches were proposed to estimate SOC stocks with VNIR-SWIR spectroscopy. With the first approach, the SOC

stock is estimated directly using a STF which links a set of SOC stocks measured by conventional laboratory analysis and a set of VNIR-SWIR spectra. With the second approach, each variable of SOC stocks (SOM, soil bulk density) is estimated by SFTs and then the SOC stocks are estimated using an equation which links the SOC stock to these variables (Mishra et al., 2010). The estimation of SOC stock using laboratory VNIR-SWIR spectroscopy had reasonable performance ($R^2 = 0.65$, $RMSE = 3.27 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$). They also obtained moderate performances of SOC stock estimation using VNIR-SWIR imaging data ($R^2 = 0.42$, $RMSE = 3.6 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$).

Recent studies have mapped SOC content and stock using SCORPAN models and VNIR-SWIR satellite imagery data. For example, Wang et al. (2018) showed that the use of seasonal fractional cover data derived from VNIR-SWIR imagery data in association with classical environmental predictors (climate, lithology, relief and weathering covariates) improved the performance of SOC stock prediction. The VNIR-SWIR imagery data used for the estimation of SOC stock are mainly provided by MODIS (Mishra et al., 2010; Gray et al., 2015) and Landsat satellite sensors (Were et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015a; Grinand et al., 2017; Schillaci et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). The VNIR-SWIR imagery from MODIS was also used for SOC content mapping (Ratnayakea et al., 2016; Somarathna et al., 2016). VNIR-SWIR imagery data can be used as spectral bands (Were et al., 2015; Ratnayakea et al., 2016; Grinand et al., 2017) or to generate biophysical variables or VIs such as percent vegetation cover (Gray et al., 2015) and NDVI (Mishra et al., 2010; Ratnayakea et al., 2016; Somarathna et al., 2016; Schillaci et al., 2017). These studies exhibited large variability in the performance of SOC stock estimation, with $R^2 = 0.4$ and $RMSE = 3 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ in semi-arid rangelands of eastern Australia (Wang et al., 2018) and $R^2 = 0.72$ and $RMSE = 14.4 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ in southeastern Madagascar dominated by forest, crop, and pasture (Grinand et al., 2017). Long-term satellite data (e.g., MODIS) can also be used to examine the trends in SOC (or SOM) at regional scales (Chen, Chang, Xiao, et al., 2019).

6. Remote sensing of disturbance impacts on the terrestrial carbon cycle

Ecosystems can exhibit a range of responses to disturbances depending on disturbance type, severity (damage per area), timing, and ecosystem type, and these responses govern the type and success of remote sensing methods employed. Key milestones for using remote sensing to study disturbance effects on C cycling began with the early use of satellite imagery to document disturbed areas, including deforestation (Stone et al., 1983) and wildfire (Turner et al., 1994). The development of global time series of VIs with AVHRR (Los et al., 1994) allowed the combination of satellite data with C cycle models (e.g., CASA) (Field et al., 1995) and longer studies of disturbance effects over large areas (Hicke et al., 2003). The availability of free Landsat imagery facilitated the assembly of large-scale, fine-resolution disturbance datasets (Masek et al., 2008; Hansen et al., 2013) as well as disturbance datasets key for C studies such as burned areas from the Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity (MTBS) project (Eidenshink et al., 2007). The development and release of MODIS products (e.g., LAI, GPP/NPP) have provided useful data globally in studying C cycling. More recent significant advances include the use of lidar data to estimate C stocks with high certainty and the use of remote sensing to scale net C fluxes from EC flux towers. Remotely sensed data offer substantial opportunities to incorporate disturbance information into C cycle studies (Frolking et al., 2009; McDowell et al., 2015).

Multiple attributes of disturbance (e.g., severity, timing) and C cycling (fluxes and stocks) can be studied with remote sensing. The area of disturbance is an important attribute (Senf et al., 2017) and can include damage to ecosystems (e.g., stress or canopy damage) or plant mortality. Widespread plant mortality is the largest disturbance effect on the C cycle and therefore one of the easiest to study with remote sensing. The loss of canopy (fires, storms, insects, disease, harvest) or

change in needle or leaf color (fires, insects, disease) allows quantification of disturbance extent and severity. Changes in vegetation or soil color following burning also facilitates detection. Substantial (wildfires) or more subtle (insects, drought) changes in canopy temperature during and after disturbances may aid with detection. Disturbances across large regions that include a mix of disturbance types have been mapped (Masek et al., 2008; Cohen et al., 2016). More muted ecosystem responses and therefore decreased accuracy can result from lower mortality severity (e.g., background tree mortality). Finer resolution data (e.g., Landsat and finer) are better for detecting smaller disturbances than coarser resolution imagery (e.g., AVHRR, MODIS), but data processing and storage requirements are substantially greater, precluding many analyses over broad regions. Remote sensing of disturbances that do not cause vegetation mortality (e.g., low or moderate drought or defoliation) is challenging. To address these challenges, methods that detect disturbances using imagery time series have been employed (Jepsen et al., 2009; Meigs et al., 2011a).

Quantifying the magnitude of vegetation response or area of damage within a pixel provides additional information beyond a binary classification of disturbed/undisturbed. Often, information on disturbance type and therefore the response of vegetation is available from expert knowledge or ancillary data (e.g., aerial surveys documenting insect and disease damage or burned area datasets) and can aid the estimation of the fraction that a pixel is disturbed. Drought typically has a relatively large spatial footprint, and most vegetation within a satellite pixel is likely to experience similar stress. Some insect outbreaks (e.g., bark beetle outbreaks) kill trees, and therefore anomalous signals (from predisturbance conditions) can be translated into an estimate of the number of trees killed (Meddens and Hicke, 2014). The MTBS dataset classifies burn severity into low, moderate, and high using Landsat imagery (Eidenshink et al., 2007). Other disturbance types, such as insect defoliation, prove more challenging to determine damage severity because a given reflectance signal may result from widespread low damage or substantial damage over a smaller area. The amount of damage within a pixel also determines the detectability of a disturbance. Meddens et al. (2013) found that accuracy of classifying trees killed by bark beetles increases with fraction of pixel occupied by killed trees. Tradeoffs exist between spatial resolution and extent: very fine spatial resolution imagery (e.g., 1–2 m) can detect individual killed trees but have limited extent (e.g., Hicke and Logan, 2009), whereas coarse-resolution imagery requires larger disturbances within a pixel but has global extent.

The timing and evolution of a disturbance are other important attributes. Repeated remotely sensed observations of a disturbance are often available either on a regular basis or for select times. Temporal resolutions can range from daily to yearly depending on the revisit time of the sensor and objective of the study. For example, active fire and burned area maps are produced globally as both daily (MOD14A1 and MYD14A1) and monthly (MCD64A1) MODIS products, respectively (Justice et al., 2002), drought monitoring is available at approximately two-week intervals (Hargrove et al., 2009), and insect outbreaks have been mapped at annual resolution (Meddens and Hicke, 2014). Continental (Zhao et al., 2018a) and global (Hansen et al., 2013) time series maps of changes in forest cover have been produced from Landsat imagery. Spatiotemporal dynamics of individual events, or parts of events, can also be studied with remotely sensed data. Landsat time series are particularly valuable for slow-moving disturbances such as insect or disease outbreaks (Meigs et al., 2011a; Meddens and Hicke, 2014). Remote sensing data are useful for estimating not only the immediate effects of disturbance but also the recovery rates of stocks and fluxes. For example, the recovery of GPP following wildfires (Hicke et al., 2003) and bark beetle outbreaks (Bright et al., 2013) was studied using LUE models along with annual time series of AVHRR and MODIS imagery, respectively.

The impacts of disturbances on C fluxes can be estimated by combining satellite observations with models. LUE models have been used




Fig. 11. Illustration of remote sensing applications to assess carbon cycle impacts of different disturbance types with different methods across a range of spatial scales. (a) Use of satellite imagery and carbon cycle modeling to estimate mean annual combustion emissions from wildfires (g C m⁻² year⁻¹), 1997–2016 (van der Werf et al., 2017). (b) Anomalies of annual NEE in 2006 relative to the 6-year period 2001–2006, indicating that hurricane Katrina led to a net C release into the atmosphere (Xiao et al., 2011a). The white lines indicate the isotachs, including tropical storm (TS), hurricane category 1 (C1), and hurricane category 2 (C2). (c) Drought effects (right column; precipitation anomalies from 1970 to 1999 means, mm) on annual NEE (left column; g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) in 2002 (top row) and 2006 (bottom row) estimated by upscaling eddy flux covariance measurements with satellite remote sensing (Xiao et al., 2011a). (d) Integration of lidar data and aerial imagery to estimate the percentage of carbon stocks in trees within an area of a mountain pine beetle outbreak (Bright et al., 2012b).

to compare predisturbance GPP and NPP estimated with satellite reflectances to postdisturbance values, including monitoring recovery rates (Hicke et al., 2003). A bark beetle outbreak caused a 5–26% decrease in MODIS-derived GPP, depending on mortality severity (Bright et al., 2013). Global fire emissions (C fluxes from combustion) were estimated to be 2.2 Pg C year⁻¹ during 1997–2016 by combining satellite-driven model estimates of C stocks and satellite-derived maps of burned area (van der Werf et al., 2017) (Fig. 11a). Net ecosystem fluxes following disturbances can also be estimated by upscaling EC flux tower data using satellite data (Xiao et al., 2011a; Schwalm et al., 2012). For example, hurricane Katrina led to a net carbon release into the atmosphere in the Gulf Coast region impacted by the disturbance (Xiao et al., 2011a) (Fig. 11b). The early 2000s drought in western North America reduced the regional C sink by 30–298 Tg C yr⁻¹ (Schwalm et al., 2012), and the 2006 drought had a greater effect across the conterminous US (Xiao et al., 2011a) (Fig. 11c). Mechanistic ecosystem models can quantify modifications to C cycling following disturbance by using satellite-derived information on the extent and timing of

disturbances (Meigs et al., 2011b).

Estimating the amount of C stocks within disturbance areas has been accomplished with remote sensing in multiple ways (Frolking et al., 2009). Bright et al. (2012b) overlaid airborne optical imagery (for mapping disturbance area) on airborne lidar data (for estimating C stocks) to quantify the amount of C in lodgepole pines killed by mountain pine beetles (Fig. 11d). Satellite imagery is needed for larger disturbance areas. Chambers et al. (2007) estimated the number of trees and associated C stocks affected by hurricane Katrina using spectral mixture analysis and Landsat and MODIS imagery. By combining Landsat imagery and field observations, Huang et al. (2010) estimated that drought-induced mortality of piñon-juniper woodlands resulted in a loss of 4.6 Tg C in an area in the southwestern US in the early 2000s. Hicke et al. (2013) used Landsat-based MTBS burn severity data and insect data from aerial surveys in the western US together with a biomass map produced using MODIS imagery (Blackard et al., 2008) to estimate the amount of C in trees killed by wildfires and bark beetle outbreaks.

Different sensors provide different capacities for studying disturbance effects on the C cycle. Sensors in the visible through shortwave infrared portions of the electromagnetic spectrum (e.g., Sentinel, Landsat TM/ETM+/OLI, AVHRR, and MODIS), are useful for quantifying the extent, severity, and timing of disturbances. Such sensors can detect changes in greenness as represented by NDVI, decreases in water content following plant stress or death, or changes in canopy (leaf area or color). Some of these satellites/sensors (e.g., Landsat, AVHRR, MODIS) have long time records, facilitating the study of a longer disturbance or ecosystem recovery. For instance, Meddens and Hicke (2014) used 16 years of Landsat imagery to document the spatio-temporal characteristics of a mountain pine beetle outbreak in Colorado, and Hicke et al. (2003) quantified NPP responses following fire disturbances using 17 years of AVHRR data. Emitted longwave radiation can also be used to identify disturbances. Plant stress and mortality results in a reduction or loss of cooling associated with transpiration, thereby raising surface temperatures (Heller, 1968; Hesslerová et al., 2018). The MODIS LST product was combined with EVI to produce a Disturbance Index that takes advantage of this change in surface temperature (Mildrexler et al., 2007). Because of nonlinear relationships between canopy closure and biomass (or C) as well as confounding influences of green understory, challenges exist for using shortwave reflectances to estimate biomass following disturbances. Lidar data can facilitate biomass estimates (Frolking et al., 2009). Returns from ground-based, airborne, and space-based lidar systems (in the visible and NIR portions of the electromagnetic spectrum) has been used to estimate canopy height and volume (Dolan et al., 2011), and the resulting biomass estimates along with disturbance area can then be used to quantify the loss of C stocks (Bright et al., 2012a). For example, C stocks and recovery were assessed by mapping fires with Landsat imagery and estimating biomass with airborne lidar (Bolton et al., 2015).

Despite the significant contribution of remote sensing to advances in the understanding of disturbance impacts on the C cycle, important challenges remain. Maintaining the continuity of existing long-term satellite records, especially Landsat and MODIS, is critical for providing additional understanding of this topic. Studies of future disturbances, trends in disturbances and their changes through time, and recovery following disturbances will benefit from continuing these records. Refinement and development of global, wall-to-wall mapping of disturbances at 30-m spatial resolution and finer will increase the capability to separate disturbance types, leading to better estimates of immediate and legacy impacts on the C cycle. Imagery at fine spatial resolution and broad spatial extent is needed to map diffuse, low-severity disturbances that are nevertheless widespread across the landscape, such as background tree mortality (van Mantgem et al., 2009) and disease outbreaks (Sturrock et al., 2011). Continued development of translating disturbance area into C cycle variables (stocks and fluxes) is needed.

7. Uncertainty and validation of carbon flux and stock estimates

7.1. Uncertainty

Estimates of C fluxes and stocks based on remote sensing can have significant uncertainty. One important source of uncertainty in C flux/stock estimates is the uncertainty of the remote sensing data products. For example, the satellite-derived surface reflectance, vegetation indices, SIF, and LAI have several sources of uncertainty such as atmospheric effects (e.g., Rayleigh scattering, ozone, aerosol, and water vapor content), retrieval errors, cloud contamination, and sensor degradation (van Leeuwen et al., 2006; Fang et al., 2012). Besides uncertainty associated with remote sensing data, C fluxes and/or stocks estimated from modeling approaches have three other main sources of uncertainty: other input data (e.g., meteorological data), model structure (e.g., incomplete or flawed underlying processes and assumptions),

and model parameters (e.g., imperfectly or poorly defined parameters due to lack of information). For example, the uncertainty in meteorological data (Zhao et al., 2006), satellite-derived LAI data (Liu et al., 2018b), and land cover maps (Quaife et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2011b; Zheng et al., 2018) can lead to significant uncertainty in regional C fluxes from LUE or diagnostic models. The uncertainty of model parameters can also lead to significant uncertainty in C fluxes in LUE and diagnostic models (Hilton et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2014b). The biomass estimates have several sources of uncertainty: biases in field sampling, errors in plot locations, measurement errors in DBH and height, misrepresentation of allometric relationships or processes in models, errors in remotely sensed data, and imperfect retrieval methods (Lu et al., 2012). Friedl et al. (2001) attributed the uncertainty of remotely sensed data to three main sources: (1) errors introduced during the acquisition process of the observations, (2) errors generated by the processing of the remotely sensed data; (3) errors resulting from the scale mismatch between the grid cells of remotely sensed data and the scale of the ecological variables or processes of interest on the ground.

The uncertainty in remote sensing data products and the resulting C flux estimates can obscure the analysis or comparison of the magnitude, interannual variability, and long-term trends in vegetation productivity and C dynamics. As mentioned earlier, satellite-derived VIs are widely used as proxies of GPP, while LUE models, machine learning approaches, and diagnostic process-based models are more routinely used to quantify GPP. We used the GIMMS3g NDVI dataset based on AVHRR data (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014), the EVI dataset based on MODIS data (Huete et al., 2002), the MODIS GPP product based on a LUE model (Running et al., 2004), GPP data from a diagnostic process-based model – BEPS (Liu et al., 2018b), and GPP data from a machine learning approach (EC-MOD) (Xiao et al., 2014a) to examine the trends in vegetation productivity in China from 2000 to 2014 (Fig. 12). All these data products exhibited increasing trends, indicating that vegetation productivity had been increasing due to various factors (e.g., climatic warming, CO₂ fertilization, afforestation, and improved agricultural management practices). Despite the general agreement in the trends, the rate of increase was different between the two NDVI products




Fig. 12. Trends of satellite-derived vegetation indices (VIs) and estimated GPP for China over the period 2000–2014. The VIs consist of GIMMS3g NDVI and MODIS EVI. Mean values averaged throughout the year are used for both NDVI and EVI. The EVI is multiplied by 2 for displaying purposes. The total annual GPP estimates are from a data-driven (or machine learning approach) – EC-MOD, a LUE model (MODIS-GPP), and a diagnostic process-based model – BEPS. The curves with symbols stand for annual mean NDVI, EVI, or GPP. The lines stand for the fitted trend lines: NDVI ($y = 0.00057x - 0.83$, $R^2 = 0.21$, $p = 0.095$), EVI ($y = 0.0012x - 2.21$, $R^2 = 0.81$, $p < 0.0001$), MODIS GPP ($y = 0.049x - 95.19$, $R^2 = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$), EC-MOD ($y = 0.058x - 110.13$, $R^2 = 0.59$, $p < 0.01$), and BEPS ($y = 0.017x - 28.93$, $R^2 = 0.31$, $p < 0.05$).




Fig. 13. Forest aboveground biomass (AGB) carbon stock of the conterminous U.S. based on different datasets: (a) the Blackard et al. map; (b) the Carbon Monitoring System (CMS) map; (c) the Kelldorfer et al. map; (d) the Wilson et al. map; (e) the Zhang et al. map; (f) the total forest AGB carbon stock of the five products.

(GIMMS3g NDVI and MODIS EVI) and among different GPP products (MODIS-GPP, BEPS, and EC-MOD), and there were also large discrepancies in total annual GPP among the three GPP products. Reducing the uncertainty in various remote sensing data products is essential for better understanding the dynamics of ecosystem C fluxes.

Similarly, the C stock maps based on different remote sensing data and approaches can exhibit significant discrepancies. For example, several forest AGB maps have been developed for the conterminous U.S. (Fig. 13). Some maps are solely based on optical remote sensing data. For example, Zhang and Kondragunta (2006) developed an AGB map for North America using MODIS data streams (e.g., LAI, land cover, vegetation continuous fields) and foliage-based generalized allometric models. Blackard et al. (2008) developed an AGB map with 250 m resolution for the U.S. from MODIS data (surface reflectance, NDVI, and

percent tree cover), topographic variables, climate, and plot-level biomass data from the U.S. Forest Service's Forest Inventory Data (FIA) Program using a machine learning approach. Some other maps are based on optical and microwave remote sensing data. Wilson et al. (2013) developed a forest AGB map using FIA field data, environmental factors (e.g., temperature, precipitation), MODIS-derived vegetation phenology, and tree cover data based on Landsat. Some other studies, however, combined SAR and/or lidar data with optical remote sensing data. For example, Kelldorfer et al. (2013) developed a forest AGB map using biomass data from the USDA Forest Service FIA Program, high-resolution InSAR data from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM), and optical remote sensing data from Landsat ETM+ using an empirical modeling approach. The NASA-CMS map (Saatchi et al., 2012) is based on a multi-scale approach along with a variety of

geospatial layers (e.g., ICESat GLAS, MODIS, PALSAR, climate, topography, Landsat disturbance, and Landsat LAI). The ICESat GLAS waveforms were linked to FIA-measured biomass via the Lorey's Height metric, and the biomass converted from waveforms were then used as training data for statistical models of biomass (Saatchi et al., 2012). Four maps (Fig. 13a–d) had the highest AGB in the Pacific Northwest and intermediate values in the Appalachian Mountains and the Rocky Mountains regions, while the Zhang and Kondragunta map had similar AGB values in the Pacific Northwest and the Appalachian Mountains. The CMS map had higher AGB in the eastern U.S. and the Rocky Mountains than the other four products. The total AGB C stock ranged from 17.2 to 28.3 Pg C (Fig. 13f). The Zhang and Kondragunta product had the highest total C stock, and the CMS product also had much higher C stock than the other products. Quantifying and reducing the uncertainty in remote sensing C stock products are essential for assessing regional or global C storage and informing management and climate policymaking.

Quantifying and reducing uncertainty in C flux and stock estimates remain a grand challenge. It is important to quantify the full uncertainty of these estimates by considering various sources of uncertainty. Future efforts are needed to pursue the quantification of the full uncertainty in C flux and stock estimates. Meanwhile, it is equally important to reduce the uncertainty in the estimates of C fluxes and/or stocks by using remotely sensed data with higher quality and finer resolution and better approaches.

7.2. Validation

The remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle typically requires calibration and validation. Both in situ measurements and satellite/airborne data have been used to assess the accuracy of the C flux/stock estimates. C flux estimates have been typically validated using in situ measurements such as flux data from eddy covariance flux towers (Heinsch et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2018b) and NPP measurements. EC flux measurements from flux networks (e.g., FLUXNET, AmeriFlux, Fluxnet-Canada, EUROFLUX, USCCC) (Wofsy et al., 1993; Baldocchi et al., 2001; Xiao et al., 2013) are perhaps the most accurate and most widely used data for validating carbon flux estimates based on remote sensing methods. For example, flux tower data from AmeriFlux and Fluxnet-Canada were used to develop and validate the data-driven approach based on MODIS data streams for the generation of the gridded, 1-km carbon flux product (GPP, ER, and NEP) over North America (Xiao et al., 2014a) (Fig. 14). Besides the flux networks (e.g., AmeriFlux and FLUXNET), measurements have from other networks have also been to validate carbon fluxes. The Spectral Network (SpecNet) integrates remote sensing with carbon flux measurements (Gamon et al., 2010). The ES1309 (Innovative optical Tools for proximal sensing of ecophysiological processes or OPTIMISE) Cost Action network aims at exploring optical observations from drones and airborne sensors with high spectral, spatial, and temporal resolutions to explore the links among light use, plant physiology and ecosystem functioning and to validate satellite missions such as Sentinel and the proposed FLuorescence EXplorer (FLEX) missions. The C stock (AGB or SOC) estimates are typically validated using plot-based C stock data (Lefsky et al., 1999; Blackard et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2011).

However, the observations from satellite instruments often have medium to coarse resolution ranging from a few hundred meters to tens of square kilometers. Therefore there could be a moderate to large scale mismatch between the footprint of in situ measurements and the grid cell of satellite data, which makes it challenging to directly evaluate remotely sensed data using in situ measurements. For example, the ground area that a MODIS grid cell stands for is much larger than a field plot for in-situ LAI measurements, and to evaluate the MODIS-based LAI data products, gridded LAI estimates derived from finer-resolution satellite imagery (e.g., Landsat) have been used to bridge the gap between in situ measurements and MODIS-based estimates. A field plot is close

to the grid cell of finer-resolution satellite data (e.g., Landsat) or airborne data, and the in situ measurements are used to calibrate/validate the finer-resolution satellite (or airborne) estimates that will then in turn be used to evaluate the estimates from medium- or coarse-resolution satellite data. This kind of calibration and validation framework was proposed to evaluate multiple MODIS data products (e.g., GPP/NPP, land cover, LAI) using field measurements in the Bigfoot Project (Cohen et al., 2006) (Fig. 15). For example, the MODIS GPP and NPP products were validated using 30-m resolution GPP and NPP estimates driven by the Biome-BGC model and Landsat-derived LAI data (Turner et al., 2006). High-resolution satellite data and fine-resolution airborne and/or UAV data can bridge the gap between field plots or flux towers and satellite grid cells and help evaluate and validate satellite-derived C flux/stock estimates.

Field campaigns are an important component of NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS) and the evaluation and validation of remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle. NASA has initiated a series of field campaigns over the last three to four decades. For example, the First ISLSCP (International Satellite Land Surface Climatology Project) Field Experiment (FIFE) (Sellers et al., 1988) was conducted at and around the Konza Prairie in Kansas in 1987 and 1989. The objectives of FIFE were to understand the processes regulating the exchange of energy, water, and carbon between the land surface and the atmosphere, develop and test remote sensing methods, and understand how to scale information and processes from the pixel or ecosystem level to regional scales. The Boreal Ecosystem-Atmosphere Study (BOREAS), a joint U.S.-Canadian effort, had field deployments in 1994 and 1996, aimed at quantifying the exchanges of carbon, water, and energy between the boreal forest and the atmosphere (Sellers et al., 1995). BOREAS collected and compiled remote sensing and ground-based (e.g., fluxes) observations. The Large Scale Biosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia (LBA), one of the largest coordinated scientific endeavors in the tropics, started in 1998 (Nobre et al., 2001). One of the themes of LBA is carbon storage and exchange, and one of the strategies is to scale processes from small scales to the entire basin scale using models and remote sensing. The latest NASA field campaign is perhaps the Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABOVE) in Alaska and western Canada that started in 2015 and is planned to last for 8 to 10 years (Kasischke et al., 2010). ABOVE seeks a better understanding of the vulnerability and resilience of arctic and boreal ecosystems to the changing environment. These field campaigns can help develop and refine methods for spatial and temporal scaling of field observation and evaluating remotely sensed data products.

8. Prospects for remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle

8.1. Remote sensing of carbon fluxes

Key challenges and opportunities with the use of satellite-derived VIs in approximating or estimating ecosystem C fluxes involve the extension of the long time series data record, amidst ever increasing space and time fidelity of the satellite data, including reduced uncertainties in geolocation, radiometry, and snow and cloud detection (Tucker et al., 2005). The continuity and extension of the satellite VI data record and other land products have recently been provided by the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) onboard NOAA-20, the First Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS-1) launched in November 2017 (Justice et al., 2013). The NASA/NOAA JPSS series will further extend our capabilities to examine long-term trends in ecosystem C fluxes and/or stocks. Geostationary data at 1 km pixel resolutions such as Himawari AHI (Advanced Himawari Imager) and GOES-R ABI (Advanced Baseline Imager) are now becoming widely available at sub-hourly time intervals and enabling improved precision of phenological time factors (e.g., budburst, full leaf expansion, dormancy) into ecosystem production models. Better understanding how flux tower data and VIs converge (e.g., Huang et al., 2019) will further reveal the underlying mechanisms




Fig. 14. Cross-validation of a data-driven upscaling approach based on MODIS data streams for the development of gridded, 1-km carbon fluxes over North America with flux tower data from AmeriFlux and Fluxnet-Canada (Xiao et al., 2014a). Top panels: GPP for forests and non-forests; middle panels: ER for forests and non-forests; bottom panels: NEP for forests and non-forests ($p < 0.001$ for all cases). The units of GPP and RMSE are $\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$.

of the C cycle (e.g., phenology and climate drivers) and help interpret satellite-derived greenness measures (Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2016).

Satellite phenology products, based on normalized bidirectional adjusted reflectances and a 2-band EVI (EVI2) have been developed for MODIS (MCD12Q2) and extended to VIIRS (Zhang et al., 2018a). There are also phenology metrics being derived from Landsat at 30 m spatial resolution (Melaas et al., 2016) enabling improved spatial-temporal scaling within heterogeneous landscapes, and ready upscaling of surface C measurements to larger landscape units and regional to global studies, which can constrain C cycle models. Ground-based phenocam networks can provide independent sources of land surface phenology information for comparisons and potential validation with satellite phenology products (Richardson et al., 2018).

Additionally, VIs can also play an important role in validating ecosystem responses to climate change. For example, Ichii et al. (2007) used an ecosystem model, BIOME-BGC, to determine which rooting depth could best estimate GPP based on the consistency between simulated GPP and satellite-based EVI seasonality and thereby to better simulate C, water, and energy cycles of tropical forests. Thus, although remote sensing can only directly monitor some topsoil properties, it can help parameterize models, and with extrapolated climatic data, make it possible to estimate whole ecosystem C exchange under conditions not yet encountered.

The relationships between SIF and VIs and their relationships with photosynthesis (e.g., Li et al., 2018b, 2018c) should be examined at various timescales to exploit the complementary use of VIs with SIF and to better understand the interplay between direct photosynthesis

process measures (SIF) and photosynthetic capacity measures (VIs). Plants respond to the dynamics of environmental variables through stomatal closure and other diurnal adjustments that cannot be easily sensed by VIs, and are more likely captured by SIF measurements. The Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3 (OCO-3) recently launched on May 4, 2019 has the diurnal but temporally fragmented sampling capability and its measurements will help understand the diurnal cycles of SIF and photosynthesis. At longer time scales, plants may increase leaf area and their photosynthetic capacity under favorable environmental conditions, and reduce LAI under stress when leaves are expensive to produce and maintain. Thus, at longer time scales, there would be a convergence of satellite greenness signals with biologic and structural canopy properties. Future research is needed to reveal whether SIF is able to detect plant stress almost immediately and can be effectively used for temporal remote sensing of vegetation photosynthesis.

The discrete SIF soundings from OCO-2 and OCO-3 can be used to generate global, gridded-SIF estimates (e.g., GOSIF) (Li and Xiao, 2019) with finer spatial and temporal resolutions using spatially and temporally continuous MODIS and meteorological data along with data-driven approaches. Moreover, newly operating and upcoming missions such as TROPOMI and the FLuorescence EXplorer (FLEX), respectively, will provide gridded SIF observations. The TROPOMI instrument aboard Sentinel-5P that was launched in October 2017 provides continuous SIF retrievals at 0.2° spatial resolution globally (Guanter et al., 2015a; Kohler et al., 2018). The TROPOMI SIF product promises to lead a new breakthrough in the application of SIF for the monitoring of vegetation C fluxes. The FLEX that is anticipated to be launched in 2022



Fig. 15. A framework for calibrating and validating carbon flux and stock estimates derived from satellite remote sensing using in situ measurements. Finer-resolution satellite or airborne data can bridge the gap between in situ measurements and medium/coarse resolution satellite data. (Reproduced from [Cohen et al., 2006](#))

will map SIF globally at the finest spatial resolution (300 m) of all existing and upcoming spaceborne instruments ([Drusch et al., 2017](#)). In addition, a series of geostationary satellites are scheduled for launch in the 2019–2021 timeframe, and will provide unprecedented SIF measurements in sub-daily time intervals over some regions in Europe (Sentinel-4) and the Americas (TEMPO and GeoCARB). The variety of SIF datasets have the potential to open up a new era in mapping terrestrial photosynthesis. However, further work is needed in order to develop methods to estimate GPP from SIF observations. These models will have to deal with, at least, the effect of canopy structure effects on SIF data, the physiological relationships between SIF, photosynthesis and non-photochemical quenching, and the upscaling from instantaneous and clear-sky SIF data to the diurnal and all-sky conditions in which GPP estimates are typically reported.

The growing number of EC flux sites globally, especially more sites in under-represented ecosystems and regions, is anticipated to benefit the upscaling of C fluxes to regional to global scales based on machine learning approaches. A tremendous amount of new remotely sensed data can be used to upscale ecosystem C fluxes. For example, new satellite data products such as gridded SIF products derived from OCO-2 (e.g., GOSIF) ([Li and Xiao, 2019](#)), gridded SIF observations from TROPOMI ([Kohler et al., 2018](#)) and FLEX, and gridded soil moisture products from the Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) mission ([Brown et al., 2013](#)) will potentially improve the upscaling of flux observations. The integration of the Landsat archive and Sentinel data will greatly improve the frequency of cloud-free observations, which can make it possible to upscale C fluxes from towers to regional scales with finer spatial resolution (e.g., 30 m). The fusion between Landsat and Sentinel data with higher spatial resolution and MODIS data with more frequent

coverage could lead to reflectance and VIs with higher temporal and spatial resolution that will help capture fast responses of vegetation to stress conditions (e.g., droughts) and thus the model capacity to capture the detailed seasonal variations and abrupt changes. Other potential directions in the machine learning approaches include how to better incorporate management and disturbance information and how to quantify and reduce the uncertainties in upscaled fluxes.

The column CO₂ concentrations observed by satellite missions such as GOSAT, OCO-2, and GOSAT-2 are expected to be more frequently used to quantify NBP at regional to global scales, while reducing the uncertainty in the resulting NBP estimates is critical for assessing C budgets and informing climate policy. The launch of high-resolution imaging CO₂ sensors in a few years ([Polonsky et al., 2014](#); [Pinty et al., 2017](#)) will allow monitoring the plumes of CO₂-rich or CO₂-depleted air. Anthropogenic emissions are a primary target but they will also provide novel information about natural fluxes. However, they will further challenge the quality of the transport models that will have to properly simulate these plumes within the inverse systems. Merging atmospheric inversion with Numerical Weather Prediction data assimilation could be a natural way forward.

Besides the satellite missions mentioned above, hyperspectral imagery from recently launched and forthcoming satellites is expected to benefit the estimation of C fluxes. The recently launched and forthcoming sensors, such as PRISMA (Prototype Research Instruments and Space Mission technology Advancement) (launched in March 2019) ([Stefano et al., 2013](#)), SBG (Surface Biology and Geology) (previously Hyperspectral InfraRed Imager, HypIRI) ([Lee et al., 2015a](#)), and EnMap (Environmental Mapping and Analysis Program) ([Guanter et al., 2015b](#)), will also produce an increasing amount of hyperspectral data

with spatial resolution of 20–30 m, 30 m, and 60 m, respectively. In addition, new CubeSat-type constellations of dozens or hundreds of small satellites in orbit will potentially provide land observations with high spatial and temporal coverage that will be useful to C cycle studies, although the processing and inter-calibration of these observations can be a challenge.

Integrating satellite-based data products and process-based models will continue to be an effective way to improve our understanding of terrestrial C cycle processes. First, satellite-based data products need to be improved by reducing their uncertainties. Second, some key variables such as disturbance history, which we currently face difficulties to estimate globally, will benefit process-based modeling. Third, methods of model and data integration, including model parameter optimization and data assimilation, need to be further explored. These model and data integration studies mostly rely on a single satellite-based product. Use of multiple satellite-based products can likely refine terrestrial process-based models. Currently, many terrestrial process-based prognostic models are used to evaluate regional to global terrestrial C budget (Huntzinger et al., 2012; Lovenduski and Bonan, 2017) and show large model-by-model differences in estimated terrestrial C fluxes. With the aid of improved and new satellite-based terrestrial products and integration techniques with process models, these model-by-model differences can be potentially reduced.

8.2. Remote sensing of carbon stocks

Passive optical remote sensing, microwave remote sensing, and lidar remote sensing will continue to be used for AGB estimation. Passive optical remote sensing will continue to be one of the most important data sources for AGB estimation because of its high spatial and temporal resolution and spatially and temporally continuous coverage. Recently, the NASA Harmonized Landsat and Sentinel-2 (HLS) product has been operationally produced in near real time by combining Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2 observations (Claverie et al., 2018), which allows us to monitor vegetation dynamics at a 30-m field scale with an interval of fewer than 5 days across the globe. Thus, the HLS time series could be used to extract an optimal time series for estimating AGB, which will enhance the capability of consistent AGB estimation from local to global scales. However, the establishment of empirical models or deep machine learning algorithms based on passive optical remote sensing requires a large set of reliable training data representing a broad range of biomass values, while such training data are usually insufficient at regional or global scales. There is a need for the generation of large AGB samples from in situ measurements, very high-resolution passive remote sensing data (e.g., QuickBird, IKONOS, and UAVs), and lidar measurements. Combining HLS data, satisfactory training samples, and deep machine learning algorithms will significantly improve the performance of AGB estimation. The saturation issue in biomass estimation using passive optical remote sensing is expected to be at least partly solved by the fusion of passive optical remote sensing with lidar and microwave remote sensing data.

It has been nearly three decades since the SAR data were initially used for the estimation of forest AGB. The accurate mapping of forest biomass with SAR data at regional scales faces three challenges: saturation problems, terrain effects, and scale issue between the size of field sampling plot and the basic unit of regional mapping of forest AGB. The saturation problem in biomass estimation using radar backscatter intensity may be improved or solved by incorporating forest spatial structure information derived from InSAR data (Sun et al., 2011) or other data such as optical stereo images (Zhang et al., 2017). Using the three-dimensional information derived from InSAR, Radar-grammetry, and optical stereoscopy to estimate forest height or to improve the biomass estimation from SAR data will remain an active study area. For example, the digital surface model (DSM) from TanDEM-X data can be used to monitor forest biomass changes (Karila et al., 2019). If the digital terrain model (DTM) exists, the InSAR DSM can be used to

generate canopy height model (CHM) or biomass maps. NASA's NISAR, to be launched in 2021, will provide global L-band InSAR data for biomass mapping and forest disturbance monitoring. As mentioned earlier, the PolInSAR or TomoSAR data, especially at L and P bands, can be used to retrieve the 3D structure of forest canopy directly without DTM. With the launch of TanDEM-L and BIOMASS (Quegan et al., 2019), both scheduled in 2022, the PolInSAR and TomoSAR data will be widely available to users, and therefore the effects of complex terrains on PolInSAR or TomoSAR data can be further explored. The terrain effect is a barrier for accurate biomass estimation based on SAR data. The development of theoretical models and simulations will likely help identify a proper solution to terrain effects (Ni et al., 2018). One solution to the scale issue could be the synergy of SAR data with other types of data such as lidar (Qi and Dubayah, 2016) and stereo imagery (Zhang et al., 2017).

Lidar sensors and systems have evolved dramatically. TLS is becoming more portable and is being integrated with mobile platforms (e.g., mobile laser scanning or MLS, backpack laser scanning or BLS). ALS data have the tendency to be integrated with data from other sensors like hyperspectral sensors (Asner et al., 2007; Kampe et al., 2010; Cook et al., 2013; Pang et al., 2016). With the decrease of the data acquisition cost, ALS is being applied for biomass estimation (Price et al., 2017) or sampling at large scales (Matasci et al., 2018). With more spaceborne lidar systems on-orbit, the expendability and generalizability of the AGB estimation models based on lidar data will need more consideration for operational regional or global biomass mapping efforts. The recently launched GEDI will provide estimates of forest biomass over broad regions. The GEDI will produce high resolution laser ranging observations of the 3D structure of the Earth's surface using a geodetic-class lidar laser system with a 25 m footprint. The integration of spaceborne lidar, SAR, and passive optical remote sensing data is anticipated to provide seamless, more accurate AGB estimates at the global scale.

Passive optical remote sensing will continue to be used for the mapping of SOC at landscape to regional scales. The recent launch of the Sentinel-2A and Sentinel-2B satellite sensors by the European Space Agency (Baillarin et al., 2012) will produce an increasing amount of multispectral VNIR-SWIR data over the world with high revisit frequency (5 days) and spatial resolution from 10 to 20 m, which could be used in SCORPAN models for SOC content and stock mapping. This high revisit frequency would allow the acquisition of Sentinel-2 data during both periods either with adequate vegetation coverage and periods with high coverage of bare soils. Hyperspectral imagery from recently launched and forthcoming satellites is expected to benefit the estimation of SOC at regional scales. The launch of forthcoming sensors, such as PRISMA (Stefano et al., 2013), SBG (Lee et al., 2015a), and EnMap (Stuffer et al., 2007) satellite sensors will also produce an increasing amount of hyperspectral VNIR-SWIR data with spatial resolution of 20–30 m, 30 m, and 60 m, respectively, which could be used for SOC content and stock mapping.

8.3. Quantifying and reducing uncertainty in carbon flux and stock estimates

Uncertainty information of carbon fluxes is essential for carbon cycle studies. First, modeled fluxes with uncertainty bounds can facilitate the direct comparison between modeled and observed fluxes/stocks. Second, the quantification of uncertainty will also facilitate the intercomparison of different approaches (e.g., modeling, inventory, atmospheric inversions). Third, the availability of uncertainty information will help interpret the dynamics in carbon fluxes/stocks. Fourth, a better understanding of the sources of uncertainty can provide insight on future improvement of ecosystem approaches and input data streams. Finally, better quantification of the uncertainty in C flux/stock estimates is essential for sound climate and decision-making. Estimates of C fluxes and stocks based on remote sensing could have significant

uncertainties, while quantifying and reducing these uncertainties still remain a grand challenge. Future efforts are needed to account for various sources of uncertainty and thereby to quantify the full uncertainty in C flux and stock estimates. Meanwhile, it is equally important to reduce the uncertainty in the estimates of C fluxes and/or stocks by using remotely sensed data with higher quality and finer resolution and better approaches.

8.4. Long-term trends in carbon fluxes and stocks

Recent decades have witnessed dramatic changes in the Earth's climate, including a dramatic rise in air temperature, elevated atmospheric CO₂, enhanced nitrogen (N) deposition, and increasing drought frequency and severity (IPCC, 2013). Rapid changes in land cover/land use, including deforestation, afforestation, and urbanization, have also occurred. These changes have substantially altered ecosystem function and processes. For example, there is compelling evidence that many parts of the northern middle and high latitudes have been exhibiting "greening" trends since the early 1980s (Zhou et al., 2001; Xiao and Moody, 2005; Saleska et al., 2016). Evidence of the greening is mainly from the NDVI record derived from AVHRR on board NOAA's polar-orbiting satellites. The satellite-derived greening signal has been widely interpreted as evidence that ecosystem productivity and net C uptake have been increasing in many parts of the Earth's land surface. Despite these efforts, a more recent study based on long-term satellite and FLUXNET records found that there were no trends in spring and autumn phenology in the northern hemisphere during the warming hiatus (Wang et al., 2019). Meanwhile, long-term drying (Jiang et al., 2019) and institutional and socioeconomic changes (Zhou et al., 2019) could reduce vegetation productivity and led to "browning" trends. More studies are needed to reveal the long-term trends in carbon fluxes. Meanwhile, a mechanistic understanding of the observed trends is also needed. Satellite observations have also been used to assess the long-term trends in terrestrial biomass (Liu et al., 2015). Inter-calibration among different sensors and bias correction for orbital drift and sensor degradation are critical for long-term analyses in remote sensing data. Inter-calibration among sensors and bias correction are essential for ensuring a high-quality, long-term GIMMS3g NDVI record (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014). The radiometric degradation of MetOp-A GOME-2 over its lifetime has led to declining SIF (Joiner et al., 2016), which has hindered long-term analyses of SIF. The lengthening of the satellite records and the addition of new satellite observations will further extend our capabilities to examine long-term trends in ecosystem C fluxes and/or stocks and their responses and feedbacks to the climate.

8.5. Geospatial processing platforms facilitating remote sensing of the terrestrial carbon cycle

Geospatial processing platforms that provide high performance computing and massive storage capacity are anticipated to play an important role in remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle in the future. Google Earth Engine is perhaps the most advanced cloud-based geospatial processing platform in the world that is currently available to the remote sensing research community and the public (Gorelick et al., 2017). This platform allows the users to perform highly-interactive algorithm development and develop data products at the global scale. It makes a tremendous amount of widely-used data (e.g., Landsat, MODIS) available so that the users do not need to download or store these data. Downloading satellite data for multiple years for the globe could be a formidable task to an individual scientist for the medium resolution (e.g., 1 km), not to mention finer spatial resolution (e.g., 30 m). With the massive computing and storage capabilities, various built-in functions, and tremendous amount of remote sensing and ancillary (e.g., climate) data, Google Earth Engine and potentially other geospatial processing platforms are anticipated to greatly facilitate planetary-scale remote sensing of the terrestrial C cycle.

8.6. Synergy and integration of remote sensing data in carbon cycle studies

Remotely sensed data from different platforms/sensors have been synergistically used. For example, the VIs from the AVHRR and MODIS have been merged to generate the Vegetation Index and Phenology product (Didan, 2014). OCO-2 SIF soundings and MODIS data were blended to develop global, gridded SIF estimates for the period from 2000 to present (e.g., GOSIF) (Li and Xiao, 2019). The lidar-radar synergies improved the accuracy in forest biomass estimates (Hyde et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2011), and the synergy of Landsat 8/OLI and ICESat-2 improved the estimation of shrub/herbaceous biomass (Glenn et al., 2016). Synergies of different remote sensing data will receive growing attention in future C cycle studies. For example, the synergies of VIs and SIF are likely to improve the estimation of C fluxes. The synergistic use of lidar, radar, and passive optical remote sensing data is expected to be more widely used for biomass estimation, particularly at regional to global scales. The synergy and integration of different remote sensing data and methods have the potential to quantify the different C flux components and C stocks and therefore to assess the entire terrestrial C cycle at regional to global scales. For example, VIs, SIF, LUE models, data-driven methods, and atmospheric inversions can be used to estimate C fluxes; biophysical variables and vegetation properties (e.g., LAI, FAPAR, canopy N content) derived from multispectral and hyperspectral imagery can be assimilated to process-based models for simulating C fluxes and/or stocks; lidar, SAR, and the synergy between lidar/SAR and passive optical remote sensing data (e.g., Landsat, Sentinel, MODIS) can be used to quantify biomass C stocks; the impacts of disturbance on the C cycle can also be quantified using remote sensing data. The synergistic use and integration of various remote sensing data and methods can potentially provide a holistic picture of the terrestrial C cycle.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) (the Carbon Cycle Science Program: NNX14AJ18G; the Climate Indicators and Data Products for Future National Climate Assessments: NNX16AG61G; the Science of Terra and Aqua: NNX14AI70G) and National Science Foundation (NSF) (MacroSystems Biology: EF-1638688). The lead author (J. Xiao) would like to thank Dr. David Schimel for helpful discussion. We thank Dr. Xufeng Wang for processing the GIMMS3g NDVI and MODIS EVI data and Dr. Yibo Liu for providing BEPS GPP data. We thank Dr. Steve Running and the two anonymous reviewers for their constructive and insightful comments on the manuscript.

Acronyms and abbreviations

ABI	Advanced Baseline Imager
ACGS	Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Grating Spectrometer
AGB	aboveground biomass
AHI	Advanced Himawari Imager
AIRS	Atmospheric Infrared Sounder
ALS	Airborne Laser Scanners
AMSR-E	Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS
ANPP	aboveground net primary production (NPP)
AOP	Airborne Observation Platform
APAR	absorbed photosynthetically active radiation
AR	autrophic respiration
ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
ASTER	Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer
ATLAS	Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
AVIRIS	Airborne Visible/InfraRed Imaging Spectrometer
BEAMS	Biosphere model integrating Eco-physiological And Mechanistic approaches using Satellite data

BEPS	Boreal Ecosystems Productivity Simulator	NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
BLS	backpack laser scanning	NBP	net biome production
BRDF	bidirectional reflectance distribution function	NDVI	normalized difference vegetation index
CASA	Carnegie Ames Stanford Approach Biosphere model	NDWI	normalized difference water index
CHM	canopy height model	NEE	net ecosystem exchange
CLM	Community Land Model	NEON	National Ecological Observatory Network
CMS	Carbon Monitoring System	NEP	net ecosystem production
CO ₂	carbon dioxide	NIR	near-infrared
CSA	Canadian Space Agency	NISAR	NASA-ISRO SAR Mission
DAIS	Digital Airborne Imaging Spectrometer	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
DBH	diameter at breast height	NPP	net primary production
DGVM	Dynamic Global Vegetation Model	OCO-2	Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2
DRL	discrete return lidar	OCO-3	Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3
DSM	Digital Surface Model	OLI	Operational Land Imager
DTM	Digital Terrain Model	ORCHIDEE	Organizing Carbon and Hydrology in Dynamics Ecosystems model
EC	eddy covariance	PALSAR	Phased Array type L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar
EC-MOD	Eddy Covariance-MODIS	PAR	photosynthetically active radiation
EnMap	Environmental Mapping and Analysis Program	PCA	principal component analysis
EnviSat	ENVironment SATEllite	PolInSAR	Polarimetric SAR interferometry
EO-1	Earth Observing-1	PRISMA	Prototype Research Instruments and Space Mission technology Advancement
EOS	Earth Observing System	PVI	perpendicular vegetation index
ER	ecosystem respiration	RAR	real aperture radar
ERS	European Remote Sensing	REDD +	reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation
ESA	European Space Agency	RMSE	root mean squared error
ETM +	Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus	RT	radiative transfer
EVI	enhanced vegetation index	SAR	synthetic aperture radar
EVI-2	two-band EVI	SCIAMACHY	SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CartographY
FAPAR	fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation	SCOPE	Soil Canopy Observation, Photochemistry and Energy fluxes
FIA	Forest Inventory and Analysis	SCORPAN	soils and/or soil properties, climate and/or climate properties, organisms like flora and fauna and human activities, relief settings, parent material, age, and spatial coordinate <i>n</i>
FLEX	FLuorescence EXplorer	SeaSat	Seafaring Satellite
FOTO	Fourier Transform Textural Ordination	SiB2	Simple Biosphere Model 2
GEDi	Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation	SIF	solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence
GeoCARB	Geostationary Carbon Observatory	SIR-C/X-SAR	Spaceborne Imaging Radar-C/X-Band Synthetic Aperture Radar
GIMMS	Global Inventory Monitoring and Modeling System	SLICER	Scanning LiDAR Imager of Canopies by Echo Recovery
GLAS	Geoscience Laser Altimeter System	SMA	spectral mixture analysis
GLASS	Global LAnd Surface Satellite	SMAP	soil moisture active passive mission
GO	geometric-optical	SOC	soil organic carbon
GOES	Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites	SPOT	Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre
GOF-C-GOLD	Global Observation of Forest Cover and Land Dynamics	SR	simple ratio
GOME-2	Global Monitoring Ozone Experiment 2	STFs	SpectroTransfer Functions
GOSAT	Greenhouse gases Observing SATEllite	SWIR	shortwave infrared
GPP	gross primary production	TanSat	Chinese Carbon Dioxide Observation Satellite
HIRS-2	High resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder-2	TANSO-FTS	Carbon Observation-Fourier Transform Spectrometer
HLS	Harmonized Landsat and Sentinel-2	TC	Tasseled cap transformation
HR	heterotrophic respiration	TC-GVI	Tasseled cap green vegetation index
Hyperion	hyperspectral imager	TEMPO	Tropospheric Emissions: Monitoring of Pollution
HypIRI	Hyperspectral Infrared Imager	TES	Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer
ICESat	Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite	TIR	thermal infrared
InSAR	SAR interferometry	TIRS-2	Thermal Infrared Sensor 2
InTEC	Integrated Terrestrial Ecosystem C-budget model	TLS	terrestrial laser scanning
ISS	International Space Station	TM	thematic mapper
JAXA	Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency	TomoSAR	tomographic SAR
JERS-1	Japanese Earth Resources Satellite 1	TROPOMI	Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument
JPSS-1	First Joint Polar Satellite System	UAV	unmanned aerial vehicles
LAI	leaf area index	USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
lidar	light detection and ranging	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
LST	land surface temperature	VI	vegetation index
LUE	light use efficiency	VIIRS	visible infrared imaging radiometer suite
LVIS	Laser Vegetation Imaging Sensor	VNIR/SWIR	visible near infrared and short wave infrared
MABEL	Multiple Altimeter Beam Experimental Lidar	WDRVI	wide dynamic range vegetation index
MIR	middle infrared		
MLS	mobile laser scanning		
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer		
MSI	Multispectral Imager		
MTBS	Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity		
MTCI	MERIS total chlorophyll index		

References

- Ai, J.L., Jia, G.S., Epstein, H.E., Wang, H.S., Zhang, A.Z., Hu, Y.H., 2018. MODIS-based estimates of global terrestrial ecosystem respiration. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 123, 326–352.
- Alemohammad, S.H., Fang, B., Konings, A.G., Aires, F., Green, J.K., Kolassa, J., Miralles, D., Prigent, C., Gentile, P., 2017. Water, energy, and carbon with artificial neural networks (WECANN): a statistically based estimate of global surface turbulent fluxes and gross primary productivity using solar-induced fluorescence. *Biogeosciences* 14, 4101–4124.
- Asner, G.P., Knapp, D.E., Kennedy-Bowdoin, T., Jones, M.O., Martin, R.E., Boardman, J., Field, C.B., 2007. Carnegie Airborne Observatory: in-flight fusion of hyperspectral imaging and waveform light detection and ranging (wLiDAR) for three-dimensional studies of ecosystems. *J. Appl. Remote. Sens.* 1, 013536. <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.2794018>.
- Asrar, G., Fuchs, M., Kanemasu, E.T., Hatfield, J.L., 1984. Estimating absorbed photosynthetic radiation and leaf-area index from spectral reflectance in wheat. *Agron. J.* 76, 300–306.
- Astrup, R., Ducey, M.J., Granhus, A., Ritter, T., von Lupke, N., 2014. Approaches for estimating stand-level volume using terrestrial laser scanning in a single-scan mode. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere* 44, 666–676.
- Atkin, O.K., Tjoelker, M.G., 2003. Thermal acclimation and the dynamic response of plant respiration to temperature. *Trends Plant Sci.* 8, 343–351.
- Baccini, A., Friedl, M.A., Woodcock, C.E., Warbington, R., 2004. Forest biomass estimation over regional scales using multisource data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31, L10501. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019782>.
- Baccini, A., Laporte, N., Goetz, S.J., Sun, M., Dong, H., 2008. A first map of tropical Africa's above-ground biomass derived from satellite imagery. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 3, 045011. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/3/4/045011>.
- Baillarin, S.J., Meygret, A., Dechoz, C., Petrucci, B., Lacherade, S., Tremas, T., Isola, C., Martimort, P., Spoto, F., Jee, 2012. Sentinel-2 level 1 products and image processing performances. In: 2012 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. IEEE, New York, pp. 7003–7006.
- Baldocchi, D., Falge, E., Gu, L.H., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C., Davis, K., Evans, R., Fuentes, J., Goldstein, A., Katul, G., Law, B., Lee, X.H., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T., Munger, W., Oechel, W., U, K.T.P., Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H.P., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., Wofsy, S., 2001. FLUXNET: a new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 82, 2415–2434.
- Barkley, M.P., Monks, P.S., Engelen, R.J., 2006. Comparison of SCIAMACHY and AIRS CO₂ measurements over North America during the summer and autumn of 2003. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33, L20805. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026807>.
- Bartholomew, H., Koistira, L., Stevens, A., van Leeuwen, M., van Wesemael, B., Ben-Dor, E., Tychon, B., 2011. Soil organic carbon mapping of partially vegetated agricultural fields with imaging spectroscopy. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 13, 81–88.
- Basu, S., Guerlet, S., Butz, A., Houweling, S., Hasekamp, O., Aben, I., Krummel, P., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R., Torn, M., Biraud, S., Stephens, B., Andrews, A., Worthy, D., 2013. Global CO₂ fluxes estimated from GOSAT retrievals of total column CO₂. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 8695–8717.
- Beaudoin, A., Letoan, T., Goze, S., Nezry, E., Lopes, A., Mougin, E., Hsu, C.C., Han, H.C., Kong, J.A., Shin, R.T., 1994. Retrieval of forest biomass from SAR data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 15, 2777–2796.
- Ben-dor, E., Banin, A., 1995. Near-infrared analysis as a rapid method to simultaneously evaluate several soil properties. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 59, 364–372.
- Ben-Dor, E., Inbar, Y., Chen, Y., 1997. The reflectance spectra of organic matter in the visible near-infrared and short wave infrared region (400–2500 nm) during a controlled decomposition process. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 61, 1–15.
- Ben-Dor, E., Irons, J.A., Epema, G.F., 1999. Soil reflectance. In: *Manual of Remote Sensing: Remote Sensing for the Earth Sciences*. John Wiley & Sons Inc, New York, pp. 111–189.
- Ben-Dor, E., Patkin, K., Banin, A., Karnieli, A., 2002. Mapping of several soil properties using DAIS-7915 hyperspectral scanner data - a case study over clayey soils in Israel. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 23, 1043–1062.
- Blackard, J.A., Finco, M.V., Helmer, E.H., Holden, G.R., Hoppus, M.L., Jacobs, D.M., Lister, A.J., Moisen, G.G., Nelson, M.D., Rieman, R., Ruefenacht, B., Salajana, D., Weyeremann, D.L., Winterberger, K.C., Brandeis, T.J., Czaplewski, R.L., McRoberts, R.E., Patterson, P.L., Tymcio, R.P., 2008. Mapping US forest biomass using nationwide forest inventory data and moderate resolution information. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 1658–1677.
- Bodesheim, P., Jung, M., Gans, F., Mahecha, M.D., Reichstein, M., 2018. Upscaled diurnal cycles of land-atmosphere fluxes: a new global half-hourly data product. *Earth System Science Data* 10, 1327–1365.
- Bolton, D.K., Coops, N.C., Wulder, M.A., 2015. Characterizing residual structure and forest recovery following high-severity fire in the western boreal of Canada using Landsat time-series and airborne lidar data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 163, 48–60.
- Boyte, S.P., Wylie, B.K., Howard, D.M., Dahal, D., Gilmanov, T., 2018. Estimating carbon and showing impacts of drought using satellite data in regression-tree models. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 39, 374–398.
- Breiman, L., 2001. Random forests. In: *Machine Learning*. vol. 45. pp. 5–32.
- Bright, B.C., Hicke, J.A., Hudak, A.T., 2012a. Estimating aboveground carbon stocks of a forest affected by mountain pine beetle in Idaho using lidar and multispectral imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 124, 270–281.
- Bright, B.C., Hicke, J.A., Hudak, A.T., 2012b. Landscape-scale analysis of aboveground tree carbon stocks affected by mountain pine beetles in Idaho. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 7, 045702.
- Bright, B.C., Hicke, J.A., Meddens, A.J.H., 2013. Effects of bark beetle-caused tree mortality on biogeochemical and biophysical MODIS products. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 118, 974–982.
- Brown, M.E., Escobar, V., Moran, S., Entekhabi, D., O'Neill, P.E., Njoku, E.G., Doorn, B., Entin, J.K., 2013. NASA's Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) mission and opportunities for applications users. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 94, 1125–1128.
- Buchwitz, M., Reuter, M., Schneising, O., Hewson, W., Detmers, R.G., Boesch, H., Hasekamp, O.P., Aben, I., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J.P., Butz, A., Chevallier, F., Dils, B., Frankenberg, C., Heymann, J., Lichtenberg, G., De Maziere, M., Notholt, J., Parker, R., Warneke, T., Zehner, C., Griffith, D.W.T., Deutscher, N.M., Kuze, A., Suto, H., Wunch, D., 2017. Global satellite observations of column-averaged carbon dioxide and methane: the GHG-CCI XCO₂ and XCH₄ CRDP3 data set. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 203, 276–295.
- Cai, W.W., Yuan, W.P., Liang, S.L., Zhang, X.T., Dong, W.J., Xia, J.Z., Fu, Y., Chen, Y., Liu, D., Zhang, Q., 2014. Improved estimations of gross primary production using satellite-derived photosynthetically active radiation. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 119, 110–123.
- Calvao, T., Palmeirim, J.M., 2004. Mapping Mediterranean scrub with satellite imagery: biomass estimation and spectral behaviour. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 25, 3113–3126.
- Cambou, A., Cardinael, R., Kouakoua, E., Villeneuve, M., Durand, C., Barthes, B.G., 2016. Prediction of soil organic carbon stock using visible and near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (VNIRS) in the field. *Geoderma* 261, 151–159.
- Chambers, J.Q., Fisher, J.I., Zeng, H.C., Chapman, E.L., Baker, D.B., Hurtt, G.C., 2007. Hurricane Katrina's carbon footprint on U. S. Gulf Coast forests. *Science* 318, 1107.
- Chang, C.W., Laird, D.A., 2002. Near-infrared reflectance spectroscopic analysis of soil C and N. *Soil Sci.* 167, 110–116.
- Chedin, A., Serraz, S., Scott, N.A., Crevoisier, C., Armante, R., 2003. First global measurement of midtropospheric CO₂ from NOAA polar satellites: tropical zone. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 108 (D18), 4581. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003439>. (2003).
- Chen, J., Chen, W.J., Liu, J., Cihlar, J., Gray, S., 2000. Annual carbon balance of Canada's forests during 1895–1996. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 14, 839–849.
- Chen, B., Pang, Y., Li, Z., Lu, H., Liu, L., North, P.R.J., Rosette, J.A.B., 2019. Ground and top of canopy extraction from photon counting LiDAR data using local outlier factor with ellipse searching area. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* <https://doi.org/10.1109/LGRS.2019.2899011>.
- Chen, D., Chang, N.J., Xiao, J.F., Zhou, Q.B., Wu, W.B., 2019. Mapping dynamics of soil organic matter in croplands with MODIS data and machine learning algorithms. *Sci. Total Environ.* 669, 844–855.
- Chevallier, F., Engelen, R.J., Peylin, P., 2005. The contribution of AIRS data to the estimation of CO₂ sources and sinks. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L23801. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024229>.
- Chevallier, F., Engelen, R.J., Carouge, C., Conway, T.J., Peylin, P., Pickett-Heaps, C., Ramonet, M., Rayner, P.J., Xueref-Remy, I., 2009. AIRS-based versus flask-based estimation of carbon surface fluxes. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 114, D20303. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012311>.
- Chevallier, F., Palmer, P.I., Feng, L., Boesch, H., O'Dell, C.W., Bousquet, P., 2014. Toward robust and consistent regional CO₂ flux estimates from in situ and spaceborne measurements of atmospheric CO₂. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 1065–1070.
- Chopping, M., Schaaf, C.B., Zhao, F., Wang, Z.S., Nolin, A.W., Moisen, G.G., Martonchik, J.V., Bull, M., 2011. Forest structure and aboveground biomass in the southwestern United States from MODIS and MISR. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 2943–2953.
- Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., DeFries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R.B., Piao, S., Thornton, P., 2013. Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Claverie, M., Ju, J., Masek, J.G., Dungan, J.L., Vermote, E.F., Roger, J.C., Skakun, S.V., Justice, C., 2018. The harmonized Landsat and Sentinel-2 surface reflectance data set. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 219, 145–161.
- Cloude, S.R., Papathanassiou, K.P., 1998. Polarimetric SAR interferometry. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 36, 1551–1565.
- Cohen, W.B., Maierseperger, T.K., Gower, S.T., Turner, D.P., 2003. An improved strategy for regression of biophysical variables and Landsat ETM+ data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 84, 561–571.
- Cohen, W.B., Maierseperger, T.K., Turner, D.P., Ritts, W.D., Pflugmacher, D., Kennedy, R.E., Kirschbaum, A., Running, S.W., Costa, M., Gower, S.T., 2006. MODIS land cover and LAI collection 4 product quality across nine sites in the western hemisphere. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 44, 1843–1857.
- Cohen, W.B., Yang, Z.Q., Stehman, S.V., Schroeder, T.A., Bell, D.M., Masek, J.G., Huang, C.Q., Meigs, G.W., 2016. Forest disturbance across the conterminous United States from 1985–2012: the emerging dominance of forest decline. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 360, 242–252.
- Collatz, G.J., Ball, J.T., Griwet, C., Berry, J.A., 1991. Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis, and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 54, 107–136.
- Cook, R.B., Margle, S.M., Holladay, S.K., Heinsch, F.A., Schaaf, C.B., 2004. Subsets of remote sensing products for AmeriFlux sites: MODIS ASCII subsets. In: *AmeriFlux Annual Meeting*. (Boulder, CO).
- Cook, B.D., Corp, L.A., Nelson, R.F., Middleton, E.M., Morton, D.C., McCorkel, J.T., Masek, J.G., Ranson, K.J., Ly, V., Montesano, P.M., 2013. NASA Goddard's LiDAR, hyperspectral and thermal (G-LiHT) airborne imager. *Remote Sens.* 5, 4045–4066.

- Damm, A., Guanter, L., Paul-Limoges, E., van der Tol, C., Hueni, A., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., Ammann, C., Schaepman, M.E., 2015. Far-red sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence shows ecosystem-specific relationships to gross primary production: an assessment based on observational and modeling approaches. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 166, 91–105.
- Dash, J., Curran, P.J., 2004. The MERIS terrestrial chlorophyll index. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 25, 5403–5413.
- Daumard, F., Champagne, S., Fournier, A., Goulas, Y., Ounis, A., Hanocq, J.F., Moya, I., 2010. A field platform for continuous measurement of canopy fluorescence. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 48, 3358–3368.
- Demarty, J., Chevallier, F., Friend, A.D., Viovy, N., Piao, S.L., Ciais, P., 2007. Assimilation of global MODIS leaf area index retrievals within a terrestrial biosphere model. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, L15402. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030014>.
- Detmers, R.G., Hasekamp, O., Aben, I., Houweling, S., van Leeuwen, T.T., Butz, A., Landgraf, J., Kohler, P., Guanter, L., Poulter, B., 2015. Anomalous carbon uptake in Australia as seen by GOSAT. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 8177–8184.
- Didan, K., 2014. Multi-Satellite Earth Science Data Record for Studying Global Vegetation Trends and Changes. The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Disney, M.I., Vicari, M.B., Burt, A., Calders, K., Lewis, S.L., Raunonen, P., Wilkes, P., 2018. Weighing trees with lasers: advances, challenges and opportunities. *Interface Focus* 8, 20170048. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2017.0048>.
- Dobson, M.C., Ulaby, F.T., LeToan, T., Beaudoin, A., Kasischke, E.S., Christensen, N., 1992. Dependence of radar backscatter on coniferous forest biomass. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 30, 412–415.
- Dolan, K.A., Hurr, G.C., Chambers, J.Q., Dubayah, R.O., Frolking, S., Masek, J.G., 2011. Using ICESat's Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) to assess large-scale forest disturbance caused by hurricane Katrina. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 86–96.
- Dong, J.R., Kaufmann, R.K., Myrneni, R.B., Tucker, C.J., Kauppi, P.E., Liski, J., Buermann, W., Alexeyev, V., Hughes, M.K., 2003. Remote sensing estimates of boreal and temperate forest woody biomass: carbon pools, sources, and sinks. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 84, 393–410.
- Dou, X.M., Yang, Y.G., 2018. Estimating forest carbon fluxes using four different data-driven techniques based on long-term eddy covariance measurements: model comparison and evaluation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 627, 78–94.
- Drake, J.B., Dubayah, R.O., Clark, D.B., Knox, R.G., Blair, J.B., Hofton, M.A., Chazdon, R.L., Weishampel, J.F., Prince, S.D., 2002. Estimation of tropical forest structural characteristics using large-footprint lidar. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 79, 305–319.
- Drusch, M., Moreno, J., Del Bello, U., Franco, R., Goulas, Y., Huth, A., Kraft, S., Middleton, E.M., Miglietta, F., Mohammed, G., Nedbal, L., Rascher, U., Schtmeyer, D., Verhoef, W., 2017. The FLuorescence EXplorer mission concept-ESA's earth Explorer 8. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 55, 1273–1284.
- Dube, T., Mutanga, O., 2015a. Evaluating the utility of the medium-spatial resolution Landsat 8 multispectral sensor in quantifying aboveground biomass in uMgeni catchment, South Africa. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 101, 36–46.
- Dube, T., Mutanga, O., 2015b. Investigating the robustness of the new Landsat-8 Operational Land Imager derived texture metrics in estimating plantation forest aboveground biomass in resource constrained areas. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 108, 12–32.
- Eckert, S., 2012. Improved forest biomass and carbon estimations using texture measures from WorldView-2 satellite data. *Remote Sens.* 4, 810–829.
- Eidenshink, J., Schwind, B., Brewer, K., Zhu, Z., Quayle, B., Howard, S., 2007. A project for monitoring trends in burn severity. *Fire Ecology* 3, 3–12.
- Eldering, A., Wennberg, P.O., Crisp, D., Schimel, D.S., Gunson, M.R., Chatterjee, A., Liu, J., Schwandner, F.M., Sun, Y., O'Dell, C.W., Frankenberg, C., Taylor, T., Fisher, B., Osterman, G.B., Wunch, D., Hakkarainen, J., Tamminen, J., Weir, B., 2017. The Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 early science investigations of regional carbon dioxide fluxes. *Science* 358, eaam5745. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5745>.
- Engelen, R.J., Andersson, E., Chevallier, F., Hollingsworth, A., Matricardi, M., McNally, A.P., Thepaut, J.N., Watts, P.D., 2004. Estimating atmospheric CO₂ from advanced infrared satellite radiances within an operational 4D-Var data assimilation system: methodology and first results. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 109, D19309. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004777>.
- Enting, I.G., Trudinger, C.M., Francey, R.J., 1995. A synthesis inversion of the concentration and delta-C-13 of atmospheric CO₂. *Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology* 47, 35–52.
- Epstein, H.E., Reynolds, M.K., Walker, D.A., Bhatt, U.S., Tucker, C.J., Pinzon, J.E., 2012. Dynamics of aboveground phytomass of the circumpolar Arctic tundra during the past three decades. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 7, 015506. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/015506>.
- Fang, H.L., Wei, S.S., Jiang, C.Y., Scipal, K., 2012. Theoretical uncertainty analysis of global MODIS, CYCLOPES, and GLOBECARBON LAI products using a triple collocation method. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 124, 610–621.
- Farquhar, G.D., Caemmerer, S.V., Berry, J.A., 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* 149, 78–90.
- Fazakas, Z., Nilsson, M., Olsson, H., 1999. Regional forest biomass and wood volume estimation using satellite data and ancillary data. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 98–9, 417–425.
- Fensholt, R., Sandholt, I., Rasmussen, M.S., 2004. Evaluation of MODIS LAI, fAPAR and the relation between fAPAR and NDVI in a semi-arid environment using in situ measurements. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 91, 490–507.
- Field, C.B., Randerson, J.T., Malmstrom, C.M., 1995. Global net primary production: combining ecology and remote sensing. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 51, 74–88.
- Flexas, J., Briantais, J.M., Cerovic, Z., Medrano, H., Moya, I., 2000. Steady-state and maximum chlorophyll fluorescence responses to water stress in grapevine leaves: a new remote sensing system. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 73, 283–297.
- Folberth, G., Hauglustaine, D.A., Ciais, P., Lathiere, J., 2005. On the role of atmospheric chemistry in the global CO₂ budget. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L08801. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021812>.
- Footy, G.M., Cutler, M.E., McMorris, J., Pelz, D., Tangki, H., Boyd, D.S., Douglas, I., 2001. Mapping the biomass of Bornean tropical rain forest from remotely sensed data. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 10, 379–387.
- Footy, G.M., Boyd, D.S., Cutler, M.E.J., 2003. Predictive relations of tropical forest biomass from Landsat TM data and their transferability between regions. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 85, 463–474.
- Fournier, A., Daumard, F., Champagne, S., Ounis, A., Goulas, Y., Moya, I., 2012. Effect of canopy structure on sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 68, 112–120.
- Frankenberg, C., Fisher, J.B., Worden, J., Badgley, G., Saatchi, S.S., Lee, J.E., Toon, G.C., Butz, A., Jung, M., Kuze, A., Yokota, T., 2011. New global observations of the terrestrial carbon cycle from GOSAT: patterns of plant fluorescence with gross primary productivity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, L17706. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048738>.
- Franklin, J., Hiernaux, P.H.Y., 1991. Estimating foliage and woody biomass in Sahelian and Sudanian woodlands using a remote sensing model. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 12, 1387–1404.
- Frey, O., Morsdorf, F., Meier, E., 2008. Tomographic imaging of a forested area by airborne multi-baseline P-band SAR. *Sensors* 8, 5884–5896.
- Friedl, M.A., Michaelsen, J., Davis, F.W., Walker, H., Schimel, D.S., 1994. Estimating grassland biomass and leaf area index using ground and satellite data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 15, 1401–1420.
- Friedl, M.A., McGwire, K.C., McIver, D.K., 2001. An overview of uncertainty in optical remotely sensed data for ecological applications. In: Hunsaker, M.F.G.C.T., Friedl, M.A., Case, T.J. (Eds.), *Spatial Uncertainty in Ecology: Implications for Remote Sensing and GIS Applications*. Springer, New York, NY, USA, pp. 258–283.
- Friedl, M.A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., Huang, X.M., 2010. MODIS collection 5 global land cover: algorithm refinements and characterization of new datasets. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 168–182.
- Frolking, S., Palace, M.W., Clark, D.B., Chambers, J.Q., Shugart, H.H., Hurr, G.C., 2009. Forest disturbance and recovery: a general review in the context of spaceborne remote sensing of impacts on aboveground biomass and canopy structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 114, G00E02. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000911>.
- Fu, P., Weng, Q.H., 2016. Consistent land surface temperature data generation from irregularly spaced Landsat imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 184, 175–187.
- Fuchs, H., Magdon, P., Kleinn, C., Flessa, H., 2009. Estimating aboveground carbon in a catchment of the Siberian forest tundra: combining satellite imagery and field inventory. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 113, 518–531.
- Gamon, J.A., Serrano, L., Surfus, J.S., 1997. The photochemical reflectance index: an optical indicator of photosynthetic radiation use efficiency across species, functional types, and nutrient levels. *Oecologia* 112, 492–501.
- Gamon, J.A., Coburn, C., Flanagan, L.B., Huemmrich, K.F., Kiddle, C., Sanchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Thayer, D.R., Vescovo, L., Gianelle, D., Sims, D.A., Rahman, A.F., Pastorello, G.Z., 2010. SpecNet revisited: bridging flux and remote sensing communities. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* 36, S376–S390.
- Gao, B.C., 1996. NDWI-A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 58, 257–266.
- Gao, Y.N., Yu, G.R., Li, S.G., Yan, H.M., Zhu, X.J., Wang, Q.F., Shi, P.L., Zhao, L., Li, Y.N., Zhang, F.W., Wang, Y.F., Zhang, J.H., 2015. A remote sensing model to estimate ecosystem respiration in Northern China and the Tibetan Plateau. *Ecol. Model.* 304, 34–43.
- Garestier, F., Dubois-Fernandez, P.C., Papanthassiou, K.P., 2008. Pine forest height inversion using single-pass X-band PolInSAR data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 46, 59–68.
- Gaveau, D.L.A., 2002. Modelling the dynamics of ERS-1/2 coherence with increasing woody biomass over boreal forests. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 23, 3879–3885.
- Gitelson, A.A., 2004. Wide dynamic range vegetation index for remote quantification of biophysical characteristics of vegetation. *J. Plant Physiol.* 161, 165–173.
- Glenn, E.P., Huete, A.R., Nagler, P.L., Nelson, S.G., 2008. Relationship between remotely-sensed vegetation indices, canopy attributes and plant physiological processes: what vegetation indices can and cannot tell us about the landscape. *Sensors* 8, 2136–2160.
- Glenn, N.F., Neuenschwander, A., Vierling, L.A., Spaete, L., Li, A.H., Shinneman, D.J., Pilliod, D.S., Arkle, R.S., McIlroy, S.K., 2016. Landsat 8 and ICESat-2: performance and potential synergies for quantifying dryland ecosystem vegetation cover and biomass. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 185, 233–242.
- Goetz, S., Dubayah, R., 2011. Advances in remote sensing technology and implications for measuring and monitoring forest carbon stocks and change. *Carbon Management* 2, 231–244.
- GOFC-GOLD (2016). A sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring and reporting anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions and removals associated with deforestation, gains and losses of carbon stocks in forests remaining forests, and forestation. In: GOFC-GOLD Report Version COP22-1, (GOFC-GOLD Land Cover Project Office, Wageningen University, The Netherlands).
- Gomez, C., Lagacherie, P., 2016. Mapping of primary soil properties using optical visible and near infrared (Vis-NIR) remote sensing. In: Baghdadi, N., Zribi, M. (Eds.), *Land Surface Remote Sensing in Agriculture and Forest*. Elsevier, pp. 1–35.
- Gomez, C., Rossel, R.A.V., McBratney, A.B., 2008. Soil organic carbon prediction by hyperspectral remote sensing and field vis-NIR spectroscopy: an Australian case study. *Geoderma* 146, 403–411.
- Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., Moore, R., 2017. Google Earth Engine: planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 202, 18–27.
- Goward, S.N., Huemmrich, K.F., 1992. Vegetation canopy PAR absorbance and the

- normalized difference vegetation index: an assessment using the SAIL model. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 39, 119–140.
- Goward, S.N., Tucker, C.J., Dye, D.G., 1985. North American vegetation patterns observed with the NOAA-7 advanced very high resolution radiometer. *Vegetatio* 64, 3–14.
- Gray, J.M., Bishop, T.F.A., Wilson, B.R., 2015. Factors controlling soil organic carbon stocks with depth in eastern Australia. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 79, 1741–1751.
- Greenberg, J.A., Dobrowski, S.Z., Ustin, S.L., 2005. Shadow allometry: estimating tree structural parameters using hyperspatial image analysis. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 97, 15–25.
- Grinand, C., Le Maire, G., Vieilledent, G., Razafimanarivo, H., Razafimbelo, T., Bernoux, M., 2017. Estimating temporal changes in soil carbon stocks at ecoregional scale in Madagascar using remote-sensing. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 54, 1–14.
- Gu, L.H., Baldocchi, D., Verma, S.B., Black, T.A., Vesala, T., Falge, E.M., Dowty, P.R., 2002. Advantages of diffuse radiation for terrestrial ecosystem productivity. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 107 (D6), 4050. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001242>.
- Gu, L., Wood, J.D., Chang, C.Y.Y., Sun, Y., Riggs, J.S., 2019. Advancing terrestrial ecosystem science with a novel automated measurement system for sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence for integration with eddy covariance flux networks. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 124, 127–146.
- Guan, K.Y., Pan, M., Li, H.B., Wolf, A., Wu, J., Medvigy, D., Caylor, K.K., Sheffield, J., Wood, E.F., Malhi, Y., Liang, M.L., Kimball, J.S., Saleska, S.R., Berry, J., Joiner, J., Lyapustin, A.I., 2015. Photosynthetic seasonality of global tropical forests constrained by hydroclimate. *Nat. Geosci.* 8, 284–289.
- Guanter, L., Frankenberg, C., Dudhia, A., Lewis, P.E., Gomez-Dans, J., Kuze, A., Suto, H., Grainger, R.G., 2012. Retrieval and global assessment of terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence from GOSAT space measurements. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 121, 236–251.
- Guanter, L., Zhang, Y.G., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Voigt, M., Berry, J.A., Frankenberg, C., Huete, A.R., Zarco-Tejada, P., Lee, J.E., Moran, M.S., Ponce-Campos, G., Beer, C., Camps-Valls, G., Buchmann, N., Gianelle, D., Klumpp, K., Cescatti, A., Baker, J.M., Griffis, T.J., 2014. Global and time-resolved monitoring of crop photosynthesis with chlorophyll fluorescence. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. vol. 111. pp. E1327–E1333.
- Guanter, L., Aben, I., Tol, P., Krijger, J.M., Hollstein, A., Kohler, P., Damm, A., Joiner, J., Frankenberg, C., Landgraf, J., 2015a. Potential of the TROPospheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) onboard the Sentinel-5 Precursor for the monitoring of terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 8, 1337–1352.
- Guanter, L., Kaufmann, H., Segl, K., Foerster, S., Rogass, C., Chabrillat, S., Kuester, T., Hollstein, A., Rossner, G., Chlebek, C., Straif, C., Fischer, S., Schrader, S., Storch, T., Heiden, U., Mueller, A., Bachmann, M., Muhle, H., Muller, R., Habermeyer, M., Ohndorf, A., Hill, J., Buddenbaum, H., Hostert, P., van der Linden, S., Leitao, P.J., Rabe, A., Doerffer, R., Krasemann, H., Xi, H.Y., Mauser, W., Hank, T., Locherer, M., Rast, M., Staenz, K., Sang, B., 2015b. The EnMAP spaceborne imaging spectroscopy mission for earth observation. *Remote Sens.* 7, 8830–8857.
- Guerlet, S., Basu, S., Butz, A., Krol, M., Hahne, P., Houweling, S., Hasekamp, O.P., Aben, I., 2013. Reduced carbon uptake during the 2010 Northern Hemisphere summer from GOSAT. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 2378–2383.
- Guo, L., Zhang, H., Shi, T., Chen, Y., Jiang, Q., Linderman, M., 2019. Prediction of soil organic carbon stock by laboratory spectral data and airborne hyperspectral images. *Geoderma* 337, 32–41.
- Gwenzi, D., Lefsky, M.A., Suchdeo, V.P., Harding, D.J., 2016. Prospects of the ICESat-2 laser altimetry mission for savanna ecosystem structural studies based on airborne simulation data. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 118, 68–82.
- Hall, F.G., Shimabukuro, Y.E., Huemmrich, K.F., 1995. Remote sensing of forest biophysical structure using mixture decomposition and geometric reflectance models. *Ecol. Appl.* 5, 993–1013.
- Hall, R.J., Skakun, R.S., Arsenault, E.J., Case, B.S., 2006. Modeling forest stand structure attributes using Landsat ETM+ data: application to mapping of aboveground biomass and stand volume. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 225, 378–390.
- Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S.V., Goetz, S.J., Loveland, T.R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C.O., Townshend, J.R.G., 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *Science* 342, 850–853.
- Hargrove, W.W., Spruce, J.P., Gasser, G.E., Hoffman, F.M., 2009. Toward a national early warning system for forest disturbances using remotely sensed canopy phenology. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 75, 1150–1156.
- Harrell, P.A., Bourgeau-Chavez, L.L., Kasischke, E.S., French, N.H.F., Christensen, N.L., 1995. Sensitivity of ERS-1 and JERS-1 radar data to biomass and stand structure in Alaskan boreal forest. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 54, 247–260.
- Hauglin, M., Astrup, R., Gobakken, T., Naesset, E., 2013. Estimating single-tree branch biomass of Norway spruce with terrestrial laser scanning using voxel-based and crown dimension features. *Scand. J. For. Res.* 28, 456–469.
- Hazarika, M.K., Yasuoka, Y., Ito, A., Dye, D., 2005. Estimation of net primary productivity by integrating remote sensing data with an ecosystem model. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 94, 298–310.
- Hbirkou, C., Patzold, S., Mahlein, A.K., Welp, G., 2012. Airborne hyperspectral imaging of spatial soil organic carbon heterogeneity at the field-scale. *Geoderma* 175, 21–28.
- He, M.Z., Kimball, J.S., Maneta, M.P., Maxwell, B.D., Moreno, A., Begueria, S., Wu, X.C., 2018. Regional crop gross primary productivity and yield estimation using fused Landsat-MODIS data. *Remote Sens.* 10 (3), 372. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10030372>.
- Heinsch, F.A., Zhao, M.S., Running, S.W., Kimball, J.S., Nemani, R.R., Davis, K.J., Bolstad, P.V., Cook, B.D., Desai, A.R., Ricciuto, D.M., Law, B.E., Oechel, W.C., Kwon, H., Luo, H.Y., Wofsy, S.C., Dunn, A.L., Munger, J.W., Baldocchi, D.D., Xu, L.K., Hollinger, D.Y., Richardson, A.D., Stoy, P.C., Siqueira, M.B.S., Monson, R.K., Burns, S.P., Flanagan, L.B., 2006. Evaluation of remote sensing based terrestrial productivity from MODIS using regional tower eddy flux network observations. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 44, 1908–1925.
- Heiskanen, J., 2006. Estimating aboveground tree biomass and leaf area index in a mountain birch forest using ASTER satellite data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 27, 1135–1158.
- Heller, R.C., 1968. Previsual detection of ponderosa pine trees dying from bark beetle attack. In: *Proceeds of the Fifth Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment*. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, pp. 387–434.
- Hesslerová, P., Huryňa, H., Pokorný, J., Procházka, J., 2018. The effect of forest disturbance on landscape temperature. *Ecol. Eng.* 120, 345–354.
- Hicke, J.A., Logan, J.A., 2009. Mapping whitebark pine mortality caused by a mountain pine beetle outbreak with high spatial resolution satellite imagery. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 30, 4427–4441.
- Hicke, J.A., Asner, G.P., Kasiske, E.S., French, N.H.F., Randerson, J.T., Collatz, G.J., Stocks, B.J., Tucker, C.J., Los, S.O., Field, C.B., 2003. Postfire response of North American boreal forest net primary productivity analyzed with satellite observations. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 9, 1145–1157.
- Hicke, J.A., Meddens, A.J.H., Allen, C.D., Kolden, C.A., 2013. Carbon stocks of trees killed by bark beetles and wildfire in the western United States. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 8, 035032.
- Hilker, T., Coops, N.C., Wulder, M.A., Black, T.A., Guy, R.D., 2008. The use of remote sensing in light use efficiency based models of gross primary production: a review of current status and future requirements. *Sci. Total Environ.* 404, 411–423.
- Hilton, T.W., Davis, K.J., Keller, K., 2014. Evaluating terrestrial CO₂ flux diagnoses and uncertainties from a simple land surface model and its residuals. *Biogeosciences* 11, 217–235.
- Hirata, Y., Tabuchi, R., Patanaponpaiboon, P., Pongparn, S., Yoneda, R., Fujioka, Y., 2014. Estimation of aboveground biomass in mangrove forests using high-resolution satellite data. *J. For. Res.* 19, 34–41.
- Houghton, R.A., Butman, D., Bunn, A.G., Krankina, O.N., Schlesinger, P., Stone, T.A., 2007. Mapping Russian forest biomass with data from satellites and forest inventories. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2, 045032. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/2/4/045032>.
- Huang, C.Y., Asner, G.P., Barger, N.N., Neff, J.C., Floyd, M.L., 2010. Regional above-ground live carbon losses due to drought-induced tree dieback in pinon-juniper ecosystems. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 1471–1479.
- Huang, N., Gu, L.H., Niu, Z., 2014. Estimating soil respiration using spatial data products: a case study in a deciduous broadleaf forest in the Midwest USA. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 119, 6393–6408.
- Huang, X., Xiao, J., Ma, M., 2019. Evaluating the performance of satellite-derived vegetation indices for estimating gross primary productivity using FLUXNET observations across the globe. *Remote Sens.* 11 (15), 1823. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11151823>.
- Huete, A.R., Jackson, R.D., Post, D.F., 1985. Spectral response of a plant canopy with different soil background. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 17, 37–53.
- Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E.P., Gao, X., Ferreira, L.G., 2002. Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 83, 195–213.
- Huete, A.R., Didan, K., Shimabukuro, Y.E., Ratana, P., Saleska, S.R., Hutyra, L.R., Yang, W.Z., Nemani, R.R., Myneni, R., 2006. Amazon rainforests green-up with sunlight in dry season. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33, L06405. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025583>.
- Huete, A.R., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Ratana, P., Didan, K., Saleska, S.R., Ichii, K., Panuthai, S., Gamo, M., 2008. Multiple site tower flux and remote sensing comparisons of tropical forest dynamics in Monsoon Asia. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 148, 748–760.
- Hunt, G.R., Salisbury, J.W., Lenhoff, C.J., 1971. Visible and near-infrared spectra of minerals and rocks: III. Oxides and hydroxides. *Mod. Geol.* 2, 195–205.
- Huntzinger, D.N., Post, W.M., Wei, Y., Michalak, A.M., West, T.O., Jacobson, A.R., Baker, I.T., Chen, J.M., Davis, K.J., Hayes, D.J., Hoffman, F.M., Jain, A.K., Liu, S., McGuire, A.D., Neilson, R.P., Potter, C., Poulter, B., Price, D., Raczka, B.M., Tian, H.Q., Thornton, P., Tomelleri, E., Viogy, N., Xiao, J., Yuan, W., Zeng, N., Zhao, M., Cook, R., 2012. North American Carbon Program (NACP) regional interim synthesis: terrestrial biospheric model intercomparison. *Ecol. Model.* 232, 144–157.
- Hyde, P., Nelson, R., Kimes, D., Levine, E., 2007. Exploring LIDAR-RADAR synergy - predicting aboveground biomass in a southwestern ponderosa pine forest using LiDAR, SAR and InSAR. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 106, 28–38.
- Ichii, K., Hashimoto, H., White, M.A., Potters, C., Hutyra, L.R., Huete, A.R., Myneni, R.B., Nemanis, R.R., 2007. Constraining rooting depths in tropical rainforests using satellite data and ecosystem modeling for accurate simulation of gross primary production seasonality. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 13, 67–77.
- Ichii, K., Wang, W.L., Hashimoto, H., Yang, F.H., Votava, P., Michaelis, A.R., Nemani, R.R., 2009. Refinement of rooting depths using satellite-based evapotranspiration seasonality for ecosystem modeling in California. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 149, 1907–1918.
- Ichii, K., Suzuki, T., Kato, T., Ito, A., Hajima, T., Ueyama, M., Sasai, T., Hirata, R., Saigusa, N., Ohtani, Y., Takagi, K., 2010. Multi-model analysis of terrestrial carbon cycles in Japan: limitations and implications of model calibration using eddy flux observations. *Biogeosciences* 7, 2061–2080.
- Ichii, K., Kondo, M., Lee, Y.H., Wang, S.Q., Kim, J., Ueyama, M., Lim, H.J., Shi, H., Suzuki, T., Ito, A., Kwon, H., Ju, W.M., Huang, M., Sasai, T., Asanuma, J., Han, S.J., Hirano, T., Hirata, R., Kato, T., Li, S.G., Li, Y.N., Maeda, T., Miyata, A., Matsuura, Y., Murayama, S., Nakai, Y., Ohta, T., Saitoh, T.M., Saigusa, N., Takagi, K., Tang, Y.H., Wang, H.M., Yu, G.R., Zhang, Y.P., Zhao, F.H., 2013. Site-level model-data synthesis of terrestrial carbon fluxes in the CarboEastAsia eddy-covariance observation network: toward future modeling efforts. *J. For. Res.* 18, 13–20.
- Ichii, K., Ueyama, M., Kondo, M., Saigusa, N., Kim, J., Alberto, M.C., Ardo, J., Euskirchen,

- E.S., Kang, M., Hirano, T., Joiner, J., Kobayashi, H., Marchesini, L.B., Merbold, L., Miyata, A., Saitoh, T.M., Takagi, K., Varlagin, A., Bret-Harte, M.S., Kitamura, K., Kosugi, Y., Kotani, A., Kumar, K., Li, S.G., Machimura, T., Matsuura, Y., Mizoguchi, Y., Ohta, T., Mukherjee, S., Yanagi, Y., Yasuda, Y., Zhang, Y.P., Zhao, F.H., 2017. New data-driven estimation of terrestrial CO₂ fluxes in Asia using a standardized database of eddy covariance measurements, remote sensing data, and support vector regression. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 122, 767–795.
- IPCC, 2013. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jagermeyr, J., Gerten, D., Lucht, W., Hostert, P., Migliavacca, M., Nemani, R., 2014. A high-resolution approach to estimating ecosystem respiration at continental scales using operational satellite data. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 1191–1210.
- James, M.E., Kalluri, S.N.V., 1994. The Pathfinder AVHRR land data set - an improved coarse resolution data set for terrestrial monitoring. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 15, 3347–3363.
- Jarmer, T., Hill, J., Lavee, H., Sarah, P., 2010. Mapping topsoil organic carbon in non-agricultural semi-arid and arid ecosystems of Israel. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote. Sens.* 76, 85–94.
- Jasinski, M.F., Crago, R.D., 1999. Estimation of vegetation aerodynamic roughness of natural regions using frontal area density determined from satellite imagery. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 94, 65–77.
- Jenkins, J.C., Chojnacki, D.C., Heath, L.S., Birdsey, R.A., 2003. National-scale biomass estimators for United States tree species. *For. Sci.* 49, 12–35.
- Jeong, S.J., Schimel, D., Frankenberg, C., Drewry, D.T., Fisher, J.B., Verma, M., Berry, J.A., Lee, J.E., Joiner, J., 2017. Application of satellite solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence to understanding large-scale variations in vegetation phenology and function over northern high latitude forests. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 190, 178–187.
- Jepsen, J.U., Hagen, S.B., Hogda, K.A., Ims, R.A., Karlsen, S.R., Tommervik, H., Yoccoz, N.G., 2009. Monitoring the spatio-temporal dynamics of geometrid moth outbreaks in birch forest using MODIS-NDVI data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 113, 1939–1947.
- Jiang, Y., Zhou, L., Tucker, C.J., Raghavendra, A., Hua, W., Liu, Y.Y., Joiner, J., 2019. Widespread increase of boreal summer dry season length over the Congo rainforest. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 9, 617–622.
- John, R., Chen, J.Q., Giannico, V., Park, H., Xiao, J.F., Shirkey, G., Ouyang, Z.T., Shao, C.L., Laforteza, R., Qi, J.G., 2018. Grassland canopy cover and aboveground biomass in Mongolia and Inner Mongolia: spatiotemporal estimates and controlling factors. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 213, 34–48.
- Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Vasilkov, A.P., Yoshida, Y., Corp, L.A., Middleton, E.M., 2011. First observations of global and seasonal terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence from space. *Biogeosciences* 8, 637–651.
- Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Vasilkov, A.P., Middleton, E.M., Campbell, P.K.E., Yoshida, Y., Kuze, A., Corp, L.A., 2012. Filling-in of near-infrared solar lines by terrestrial fluorescence and other geophysical effects: simulations and space-based observations from SCIAMACHY and GOSAT. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 5, 809–829.
- Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Lindstrot, R., Voigt, M., Vasilkov, A.P., Middleton, E.M., Huemmrich, K.F., Yoshida, Y., Frankenberg, C., 2013. Global monitoring of terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence from moderate-spectral-resolution near-infrared satellite measurements: methodology, simulations, and application to GOME-2. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 6, 2803–2823.
- Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Vasilkov, A., Schaefer, K., Jung, M., Guanter, L., Zhang, Y., Garrity, S., Middleton, E.M., Huemmrich, K.F., Gu, L., Marchesini, L.B., 2014. The seasonal cycle of satellite chlorophyll fluorescence observations and its relationship to vegetation phenology and ecosystem atmosphere carbon exchange. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 152, 375–391.
- Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Guanter, L., Middleton, E.M., 2016. New methods for the retrieval of chlorophyll red fluorescence from hyperspectral satellite instruments: simulations and application to GOME-2 and SCIAMACHY. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 9, 3939–3967.
- Jones, L.A., Kimball, J.S., Reichle, R.H., Madani, N., Glassy, J., Ardizzone, J.V., Colliander, A., Cleverly, J., Desai, A.R., Eamus, D., Euskirchen, E.S., Hutley, L., Macfarlane, C., Scott, R.L., 2017. The SMAP level 4 carbon product for monitoring ecosystem land-atmosphere CO₂ exchange. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 55, 6517–6532.
- Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Bondeau, A., 2009. Towards global empirical upscaling of FLUXNET eddy covariance observations: validation of a model tree ensemble approach using a biosphere model. *Biogeosciences* 6, 2001–2013.
- Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H.A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A.D., Arain, M.A., Arneeth, A., Bernhofer, C., Bonal, D., Chen, J.Q., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch, W., Lasslop, G., Law, B.E., Lindroth, A., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E.J., Papale, D., Sottocornola, M., Vaccari, F., Williams, C., 2011. Global patterns of land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance, satellite, and meteorological observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 116, G00J07. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001566>.
- Justice, C.O., Giglio, L., Korontzi, S., Owens, J., Morissette, J.T., Roy, D., Descloitres, J., Alleeume, S., Petitcolin, F., Kaufman, Y., 2002. The MODIS fire products. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 83, 244–262.
- Justice, C.O., Roman, M.O., Csizsar, I., Vermote, E.F., Wolfe, R.E., Hook, S.J., Friedl, M., Wang, Z.S., Schaaf, C.B., Miura, T., Tschudi, M., Riggs, G., Hall, D.K., Lyapustin, A.I., Devadiga, S., Davidson, C., Masuoka, E.J., 2013. Land and cryosphere products from Suomi NPP VIIRS: overview and status. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 118, 9753–9765.
- Kampe, T.U., Johnson, B.R., Kuester, M., Keller, M., 2010. NEON: the first continental-scale ecological observatory with airborne remote sensing of vegetation canopy biochemistry and structure. *J. Appl. Remote. Sens.* 4, 043510.
- Kankare, V., Holopainen, M., Vastaranta, M., Puttonen, E., Yu, X.W., Hyypä, J., Vaaja, M., Hyypä, H., Alho, P., 2013. Individual tree biomass estimation using terrestrial laser scanning. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 75, 64–75.
- Karila, K., Yu, X.W., Vastaranta, M., Karjalainen, M., Puttonen, E., Hyypä, J., 2019. TanDEM-X digital surface models in boreal forest above-ground biomass change detection. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 148, 174–183.
- Karion, A., Sweeney, C., Tans, P., Newberger, T., 2010. AirCore: an innovative atmospheric sampling system. *J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol.* 27, 1839–1853.
- Karlson, M., Ostwald, M., Reese, H., Sanou, J., Tankoano, B., Mattsson, E., 2015. Mapping tree canopy cover and aboveground biomass in Sudano-Sahelian woodlands using Landsat 8 and random forest. *Remote Sens.* 7, 10017–10041.
- Kasischke, E.S., Christensen, N.L., Bourgeau-chavez, L.L., 1995. Correlating radar backscatter with components of biomass in loblolly-pine forests. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 33, 643–659.
- Kasischke, E.S., Goetz, S.J., Kimball, J.S., Mack, M.M., 2010. The Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABOVE): A Concise Plan for a NASA-Sponsored Field Campaign. http://cce.nasa.gov/terrestrial_ecology/pdfs/ABOVE%20Final%20Report.pdf.
- Kasischke, E.S., Tanase, M.A., Bourgeau-Chavez, L.L., Borr, M., 2011. Soil moisture limitations on monitoring boreal forest regrowth using spaceborne L-band SAR data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 227–232.
- Kato, T., Knorr, W., Scholze, M., Veenendaal, E., Kaminski, T., Kattge, J., Gobron, N., 2013. Simultaneous assimilation of satellite and eddy covariance data for improving terrestrial water and carbon simulations at a semi-arid woodland site in Botswana. *Biogeosciences* 10, 789–802.
- Kauth, R.J., Thomas, G.S., 1976. The tasseled cap. A graphic description of the spectral-temporal development of agricultural crops as seen by Landsat. In: 2nd International Symposium on Machine Processing of Remotely Sensed Data, IEEE Cat. 76, CH-1103-1, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN and Proc. of LACIE Symp., Houston, TX.
- Kellndorfer, J., Walker, W., LaPoint, L., Bishop, J., Cormier, T., Fiske, G., Kirsch, K., Westfall, J., 2013. NACP Aboveground Biomass and Carbon Baseline Data, V.2 (NBCD 2000), U.S.A., 2000. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. <https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAAC/1161>.
- Khati, U., Singh, G., Ferro-Famil, L., 2017. Analysis of seasonal effects on forest parameter estimation of Indian deciduous forest using TerraSAR-X PolInSAR acquisitions. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 199, 265–276.
- Kimball, J.S., Jones, L.A., Zhang, K., Heinsch, F.A., McDonald, K.C., Oechel, W.C., 2009. A satellite approach to estimate land-atmosphere CO₂ exchange for boreal and Arctic biomes using MODIS and AMSR-E. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 47, 569–587.
- King, D.A., Turner, D.P., Ritts, W.D., 2011. Parameterization of a diagnostic carbon cycle model for continental scale application. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 1653–1664.
- Kleidon, A., 2004. Global datasets of rooting zone depth inferred from inverse methods. *J. Clim.* 17, 2714–2722.
- Kohler, P., Guanter, L., Joiner, J., 2015. A linear method for the retrieval of sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence from GOME-2 and SCIAMACHY data. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 8, 2589–2608.
- Kohler, P., Frankenberg, C., Magney, T.S., Guanter, L., Joiner, J., Landgraf, J., 2018. Global retrievals of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence with TROPOMI: first results and intersensor comparison to OCO-2. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 45, 10456–10463.
- Kondo, M., Ichii, K., Takagi, H., Sasakawa, M., 2015. Comparison of the data-driven top-down and bottom-up global terrestrial CO₂ exchanges: GOSAT CO₂ inversion and empirical eddy flux upscaling. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 120, 1226–1245.
- Krol, M., de Bruine, M., Killaars, L., Ouwersloot, H., Pozzer, A., Yin, Y., Chevallier, F., Bousquet, P., Patra, P., Belikov, D., Maksyutov, S., Dhomse, S., Feng, W.H., Chipperfield, M.P., 2018. Age of air as a diagnostic for transport timescales in global models. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 3109–3130.
- Kulawik, S.S., Jones, D.B.A., Nassar, R., Irion, F.W., Worden, J.R., Bowman, K.W., Machida, T., Matsueda, H., Sawa, Y., Biraud, S.C., Fischer, M.L., Jacobson, A.R., 2010. Characterization of Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) CO₂ for carbon cycle science. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 10, 5601–5623.
- Ladoni, M., Bahrami, H.A., Alavipanah, S.K., Norouzi, A.A., 2010. Estimating soil organic carbon from soil reflectance: a review. *Precis. Agric.* 11, 82–99.
- LaPrade, G.L., 1963. An analytical and experimental study of stereo for radar. *Photogramm. Eng.* 29, 294–300.
- Laraby, K.G., Schott, J.R., 2018. Uncertainty estimation method and Landsat 7 global validation for the Landsat surface temperature product. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 216, 472–481.
- Larsson, H., 1993. Linear regression for canopy cover estimation in Acacia woodlands using Landsat-TM, -MSS, and SPOT HRV XS data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 14, 2129–2136.
- Le Quere, C., Raupach, M.R., Canadell, J.G., Marland, G., Bopp, L., Ciais, P., Conway, T.J., Doney, S.C., Feely, R.A., Foster, P., Friedlingstein, P., Gurney, K., Houghton, R.A., House, J.I., Huntingford, C., Levy, P.E., Lomas, M.R., Majkut, J., Metzl, N., Ometto, J.P., Peters, G.P., Prentice, I.C., Randerson, J.T., Running, S.W., Sarmiento, J.L., Schuster, U., Sitch, S., Takahashi, T., Viovy, N., van der Werf, G.R., Woodward, F.I., 2009. Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Nat. Geosci.* 2, 831–836.
- Le Toan, T., Beaudoin, A., Riou, J., Guyon, D., 1992. Relating forest biomass to SAR data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 30, 403–411.
- Le Toan, T., Quegan, S., Davidson, M.W.J., Balzter, H., Paillou, P., Papatthanassiou, K., Plummer, S., Rocca, F., Saatchi, S., Shugart, H., Ulander, L., 2011. The BIOMASS mission: mapping global forest biomass to better understand the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 2850–2860.
- Leboeuf, A., Beaudoin, A., Fournier, R.A., Guindon, L., Luther, J.E., Lambert, M.C., 2007. A shadow fraction method for mapping biomass of northern boreal black spruce forests using Quick Bird imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 110, 488–500.
- Lee, J.E., Frankenberg, C., van der Tol, C., Berry, J.A., Guanter, L., Boyce, C.K., Fisher, J.B., Morrow, E., Worden, J.R., Asefi, S., Badgley, G., Saatchi, S., 2013. Forest

- productivity and water stress in Amazonia: observations from GOSAT chlorophyll fluorescence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 280, 20130171. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.0171>.
- Lee, C.M., Cable, M.L., Hook, S.J., Green, R.O., Ustin, S.L., Mandl, D.J., Middleton, E.M., 2015a. An introduction to the NASA Hyperspectral Infra Red Imager (HyspIRI) mission and preparatory activities. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 167, 6–19.
- Lee, J.E., Berry, J.A., Van der Tol, C.S., Yang, X., Guanter, L., Damm, A., Baker, I., Frankenberger, C., 2015b. Simulations of chlorophyll fluorescence incorporated into the Community Land Model version 4. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 3469–3477.
- Lovenduski, N.S., Bonan, G.B., 2017. Reducing uncertainty in projections of terrestrial carbon uptake. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 12, 044020.
- van Leeuwen, W.J.D., Orr, B.J., Marsh, S.E., Herrmann, S.M., 2006. Multi-sensor NDVI data continuity: uncertainties and implications for vegetation monitoring applications. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 100, 67–81.
- Lefsky, M.A., Harding, D., Cohen, W.B., Parker, G., Shugart, H.H., 1999. Surface lidar remote sensing of basal area and biomass in deciduous forests of eastern Maryland, USA. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 67, 83–98.
- Lefsky, M.A., Harding, D.J., Keller, M., Cohen, W.B., Carabajal, C.C., Espirito-Santo, F.D., Hunter, M.O., de Oliveira, R., 2005. Estimates of forest canopy height and above-ground biomass using ICESat. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L22S02. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023971>.
- Lefsky, M.A., Keller, M., Pang, Y., de Camargo, P.B., Hunter, M.O., 2007. Revised method for forest canopy height estimation from geoscience laser altimeter system waveforms. *J. Appl. Remote Sens.* 1, 013537.
- Li, X.W., Strahler, A.H., 1985. Geometric-optical modeling of a conifer forest canopy. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 23, 705–721.
- Li, X., Xiao, J.F., 2019. A global, 0.05-degree product of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence derived from OCO-2, MODIS, and reanalysis data. *Remote Sens.* 11 (5), 517. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11050517>.
- Li, A.H., Dhakal, S., Glenn, N.F., Spaete, L.P., Shinneman, D.J., Pilliod, D.S., Arkle, R.S., McIlroy, S.K., 2017. Lidar aboveground vegetation biomass estimates in shrublands: prediction, uncertainties and application to coarser scales. *Remote Sens.* 9, 903. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9090903>.
- Li, X., Xiao, J.F., He, B.B., 2018a. Higher absorbed solar radiation partly offset the negative effects of water stress on the photosynthesis of Amazon forests during the 2015 drought. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13, 044005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aab0b1>.
- Li, X., Xiao, J.F., He, B.B., Arain, M.A., Beringer, J., Desai, A.R., Emmel, C., Hollinger, D.Y., Krasnova, A., Mammarella, I., Noe, S.M., Ortiz, P.S., Rey-Sanchez, A.C., Rocha, A.V., Varlagin, A., 2018b. Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence is strongly correlated with terrestrial photosynthesis for a wide variety of biomes: first global analysis based on OCO-2 and flux tower observations. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 3990–4008.
- Li, X., Xiao, J.F., He, B.B., 2018c. Chlorophyll fluorescence observed by OCO-2 is strongly related to gross primary productivity estimated from flux towers in temperate forests. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 204, 659–671.
- Liang, X.L., Kankare, V., Hyypä, J., Wang, Y.S., Kukko, A., Haggren, H., Yu, X.W., Kaartinen, H., Jaakkola, A., Guan, F.Y., Holopainen, M., Vastaranta, M., 2016. Terrestrial laser scanning in forest inventories. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 115, 63–77.
- Liu, W.T., 2015. Satellites and satellite remote sensing|surface wind and stress. In: North, G.R., Pyle, J., Zhang, F. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Atmospheric Sciences*, Second edition. Academic Press, Oxford, pp. 138–144.
- Liu, J., Chen, J.M., Cihlar, J., Park, W.M., 1997. A process-based boreal ecosystem productivity simulator using remote sensing inputs. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 62, 158–175.
- Liu, S.G., Bond-Lamberty, B., Hicke, J.A., Vargas, R., Zhao, S.Q., Chen, J., Edberg, S.L., Hu, Y.M., Liu, J.X., McGuire, A.D., Xiao, J.F., Keane, R., Yuan, W.P., Tang, J.W., Lu, Y.Q., Potter, C., Oeding, J., 2011. Simulating the impacts of disturbances on forest carbon cycling in North America: processes, data, models, and challenges. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 116, G00K08. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001585>.
- Liu, Y.Y., van Dijk, A., de Jeu, R.A.M., Canadell, J.G., McCabe, M.F., Evans, J.P., Wang, G.J., 2015. Recent reversal in loss of global terrestrial biomass. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 5, 470–474.
- Liu, J.J., Bowman, K.W., Schimel, D.S., Parazoo, N.C., Jiang, Z., Lee, M., Bloom, A.A., Wunch, D., Frankenberger, C., Sun, Y., O'Dell, C.W., Gurney, K.R., Menemenlis, D., Gierach, M., Crisp, D., Eldering, A., 2017. Contrasting carbon cycle responses of the tropical continents to the 2015–2016 El Niño. *Science* 358 (191+).
- Liu, X., Guanter, L., Liu, L., Damm, A., Malenovsky, Z., Rascher, U., Peng, D., Du, S., Gastellu-Etchegorry, J.-P., 2018a. Downscaling of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence from canopy level to photosystem level using a random forest model. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 231, 110772.
- Liu, Y.B., Xiao, J.F., Ju, W.M., Zhu, G.L., Wu, X.C., Fan, W.L., Li, D.Q., Zhou, Y.L., 2018b. Satellite-derived LAI products exhibit large discrepancies and can lead to substantial uncertainty in simulated carbon and water fluxes. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 206, 174–188.
- Lloyd, J., Taylor, J.A., 1994. On the temperature-dependence of soil respiration. *Funct. Ecol.* 8, 315–323.
- Locatelli, R., Bousquet, P., Houdin, F., Saunio, M., Cozic, A., Couvreur, F., Grandpeix, J.Y., Lefebvre, M.P., Rio, C., Bergamaschi, P., Chambers, S.D., Karstens, U., Kazan, V., van der Laan, S., Meijer, H.A.J., Moncrieff, J., Ramonet, M., Scheeren, H.A., Schlosser, C., Schmidt, M., Vermeulen, A., Williams, A.G., 2015. Atmospheric transport and chemistry of trace gases in LMDz5B: evaluation and implications for inverse modelling. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 8, 129–150.
- Los, S.O., Justice, C.O., Tucker, C.J., 1994. A global 1-degree-by-1-degrees NDVI data set for climate studies derived from the GIMMS continental NDVI data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 15, 3493–3518.
- Loveland, T.R., Reed, B.C., Brown, J.F., Ohlen, D.O., Zhu, Z., Yang, L., Merchant, J.W., 2000. Development of a global land cover characteristics database and IGBP DISCover from 1 km AVHRR data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 21, 1303–1330.
- Lu, D., 2005. Aboveground biomass estimation using Landsat TM data in the Brazilian Amazon. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 26, 2509–2525.
- Lu, D.S., Mausel, P., Brondizio, E., Moran, E., 2004. Relationships between forest stand parameters and Landsat TM spectral responses in the Brazilian Amazon Basin. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 198, 149–167.
- Lu, D., Chen, Q., Wang, G., Moran, E., Batistella, M., Zhang, M., Laurin, G.V., Saah, D., 2012. Aboveground forest biomass estimation with Landsat and LiDAR data and uncertainty analysis of the estimates. *International Journal of Forestry Research* 436537. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/436537>.
- Lu, P., Wang, L., Niu, Z., Li, L.H., Zhang, W.H., 2013. Prediction of soil properties using laboratory VIS-NIR spectroscopy and Hyperion imagery. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 132, 26–33.
- Luckman, A., Baker, J., Wegmuller, U., 2000. Repeat-pass interferometric coherence measurements of disturbed tropical forest from JERS and ERS satellites. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 73, 350–360.
- Luus, K.A., Commare, R., Parazoo, N.C., Benmergui, J., Euskirchen, E.S., Frankenberger, C., Joiner, J., Lindsaas, J., Miller, C.E., Oechel, W.C., Zona, D., Wofsy, S., Lin, J.C., 2017. Tundra photosynthesis captured by satellite-observed solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 44, 1564–1573.
- Ma, X.L., Huete, A., Yu, Q., Coupe, N.R., Davies, K., Broich, M., Ratana, P., Beringer, J., Hutley, L.B., Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., Eamus, D., 2013. Spatial patterns and temporal dynamics in savanna vegetation phenology across the North Australian tropical transect. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 139, 97–115.
- MacBean, N., Maignan, F., Bacour, C., Lewis, P., Peylin, P., Guanter, L., Kohler, P., Gomez-Dans, J., Disney, M., 2018. Strong constraint on modelled global carbon uptake using solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence data. *Sci. Rep.* 8 (1973). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20024-w>.
- Madugundu, R., Nizalapur, V., Jha, C.S., 2008. Estimation of LAI and above-ground biomass in deciduous forests: Western Ghats of Karnataka, India. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 10, 211–219.
- Mahadevan, P., Wofsy, S.C., Matross, D.M., Xiao, X.M., Dunn, A.L., Lin, J.C., Gerbig, C., Munger, J.W., Chow, V.Y., Gottlieb, E.W., 2008. A satellite-based biosphere parameterization for net ecosystem CO₂ exchange: Vegetation Photosynthesis and Respiration Model (VPRM). *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 22, GB2005. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002735>.
- van Mantgem, P.J., Stephenson, N.L., Byrne, J.C., Daniels, L.D., Franklin, J.F., Fule, P.Z., Harmon, M.E., Larson, A.J., Smith, J.M., Taylor, A.H., Veblen, T.T., 2009. Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western United States. *Science* 323, 521–524.
- Markus, T., Neumann, T., Martino, A., Abdalati, W., Brunt, K., Csatho, B., Farrell, S., Fricker, H., Gardner, A., Harding, D., Jasinski, M., Kwok, R., Magruder, L., Lubin, D., Luthcke, S., Morison, J., Nelson, R., Neuenschwander, A., Palm, S., Popescu, S., Shum, C.K., Schutz, B.E., Smith, B., Yang, Y.K., Zwally, J., 2017. The Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2): science requirements, concept, and implementation. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 190, 260–273.
- Masek, J.G., Collatz, G.J., 2006. Estimating forest carbon fluxes in a disturbed southeastern landscape: integration of remote sensing, forest inventory, and biogeochemical modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 111, G01006. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000062>.
- Masek, J.G., Huang, C., Wolfe, R., Cohen, W., Hall, F., Kutler, J., Nelson, P., 2008. North American forest disturbance mapped from a decadal Landsat record. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 2914–2926.
- Matasci, G., Hermosilla, T., Wulder, M.A., White, J.C., Coops, N.C., Hobart, G.W., Zald, H.S.J., 2018. Large-area mapping of Canadian boreal forest cover, height, biomass and other structural attributes using Landsat composites and lidar plots. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 209, 90–106.
- McBratney, A.B., Santos, M.L.M., Minasny, B., 2003. On digital soil mapping. *Geoderma* 117, 3–52.
- McCandless, S.W., Jackson, C.R., 2004. Principles of synthetic aperture radar. In: Jackson, C.R., Apel, J.R. (Eds.), *Synthetic Aperture Radar Marine User's Manual*, pp. 1–81.
- McDowell, N.G., Coops, N.C., Beck, P.S.A., Chambers, J.Q., Gangodagamage, C., Hicke, J.A., Huang, C.-y., Kennedy, R., Krofcheck, D.J., Litvak, M., Meddens, A.J.H., Muss, J., Negrón-Juarez, R., Peng, C., Schwantes, A.M., Swenson, J.J., Vernon, L.J., Williams, A.P., Xu, C., Zhao, M., Running, S.W., Allen, C.D., 2015. Global satellite monitoring of climate-induced vegetation disturbances. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 114–123.
- Meddens, A.J.H., Hicke, J.A., 2014. Spatial and temporal patterns of Landsat-based detection of tree mortality caused by a mountain pine beetle outbreak in Colorado, USA. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 322, 78–88.
- Meddens, A.J.H., Hicke, J.A., Vierling, L.A., Hudak, A.T., 2013. Evaluating methods to detect bark beetle-caused tree mortality using single-date and multi-date Landsat imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 132, 49–58.
- Meigs, G.W., Kennedy, R.E., Cohen, W.B., 2011a. A Landsat time series approach to characterize bark beetle and defoliator impacts on tree mortality and surface fuels in conifer forests. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 3707–3718.
- Meigs, G.W., Turner, D.P., Ritts, W.D., Yang, Z.Q., Law, B.E., 2011b. Landscape-scale simulation of heterogeneous fire effects on pyrogenic carbon emissions, tree mortality, and net ecosystem production. *Ecosystems* 14, 758–775.
- Melaas, E.K., Sulla-Menashe, D., Gray, J.M., Black, T.A., Morin, T.H., Richardson, A.D., Friedl, M.A., 2016. Multisite analysis of land surface phenology in North American temperate and boreal deciduous forests from Landsat. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 186, 452–464.
- Meroni, M., Rossini, M., Picchi, V., Panigada, C., Cogliati, S., Nali, C., Colombo, R., 2008.

- Assessing steady-state fluorescence and PRI from hyperspectral proximal sensing as early indicators of plant stress: the case of ozone exposure. *Sensors* 8, 1740–1754.
- Messinger, M., Asner, G.P., Silman, M., 2016. Rapid assessments of Amazon forest structure and biomass using small unmanned aerial systems. *Remote Sens.* 8 (8), 615. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8080615>.
- Miao, G.F., Guan, K.Y., Yang, X., Bernacchi, C.J., Berry, J.A., DeLucia, E.H., Wu, J., Moore, C.E., Meacham, K., Cai, Y.P., Peng, B., Kimm, H., Masters, M.D., 2018. Sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, and light use efficiency of a soybean field from seasonally continuous measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 123, 610–623.
- Middleton, E.M., Cheng, Y.B., Hilker, T., Black, T.A., Krishnan, P., Coops, N.C., Huemmrich, K.F., 2009. Linking foliage spectral responses to canopy-level ecosystem photosynthetic light-use efficiency at a Douglas-fir forest in Canada. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* 35, 166–188.
- Middleton, E.M., Huemmrich, K.F., Landis, D.R., Black, T.A., Barr, A.G., McCaughey, J.H., 2016. Photosynthetic efficiency of northern forest ecosystems using a MODIS-derived Photochemical Reflectance Index (PRI). *Remote Sens. Environ.* 187, 345–366.
- Middleton, E.M., Huemmrich, K.F., Zhang, Q., Campbell, P.K.E., Landis, D.R., 2018. Spectral bio-indicators of photosynthetic efficiency and vegetation stress. In: Thenkabail, P.S., Lyon, J.G., Huete, A. (Eds.), *Hyperspectral Remote Sensing of Vegetation* (Second Edition, 4 Volume set), Chapter 5, Biophysical and Biochemical Characterization and Plant Species Studies. vol. 3. Taylor & Francis, pp. 133–179.
- Migliavacca, M., Perez-Priego, O., Rossini, M., El-Madany, T.S., Moreno, G., van der Tol, C., Rascher, U., Berninger, A., Bessenbacher, V., Burkart, A., Carrara, A., Fava, F., Guan, J.H., Hammer, T.W., Henkel, K., Juarez-Alcalde, E., Julitta, T., Kolle, O., Martin, M.P., Musavi, T., Pacheco-Labrador, J., Perez-Burgueno, A., Wutzler, T., Zaehle, S., Reichstein, M., 2017. Plant functional traits and canopy structure control the relationship between photosynthetic CO₂ uptake and far-red sun-induced fluorescence in a Mediterranean grassland under different nutrient availability. *New Phytol.* 214, 1078–1091.
- Mildrexler, D.J., Zhao, M.S., Heinsch, F.A., Running, S.W., 2007. A new satellite-based methodology for continental-scale disturbance detection. *Ecol. Appl.* 17, 235–250.
- Minh, D.H.T., Le Toan, T., Rocca, F., Tebaldini, S., Villard, L., Rejou-Mechain, M., Phillips, O.L., Feldpausch, T.R., Dubois-Fernandez, P., Scipal, K., Chave, J., 2016. SAR tomography for the retrieval of forest biomass and height: cross-validation at two tropical forest sites in French Guiana. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 175, 138–147.
- Minu, S., Shetty, A., Minasy, B., Gomez, C., 2017. The role of atmospheric correction algorithms in the prediction of soil organic carbon from Hyperion data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 38, 6435–6456.
- Mishra, U., Lal, R., Liu, D.S., Van Meirvenne, M., 2010. Predicting the spatial variation of the soil organic carbon pool at a regional scale. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 74, 906–914.
- Monteith, J.L., 1972. Solar-radiation and productivity in tropical ecosystems. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 9, 747–766.
- Monteith, J.L., Unsworth, M.H., 1990. *Principles of Environmental Physics*, 2nd ed. Antony Rowe Ltd., Eastbourne, U.K.
- Moore, D.J.P., Trahan, N.A., Wilkes, P., Quaife, T., Stephens, B.B., Elder, K., Desai, A.R., Negron, J., Monson, R.K., 2013. Persistent reduced ecosystem respiration after insect disturbance in high elevation forests. *Ecol. Lett.* 16, 731–737.
- Moran, M.S., Ponce-Campos, G.E., Huete, A., McClaran, M.P., Zhang, Y.G., Hamerlynck, E.P., Augustine, D.J., Gunter, S.A., Kitchen, S.G., Peters, D.P.C., Starks, P.J., Hernandez, M., 2014. Functional response of U.S. grasslands to the early 21st-century drought. *Ecology* 95, 2121–2133.
- Myneni, R.B., Williams, D.L., 1994. On the relationship between FAPAR and NDVI. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 49, 200–211.
- Myneni, R.B., Keeling, C.D., Tucker, C.J., Asrar, G., Nemani, R.R., 1997a. Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991. *Nature* 386, 698–702.
- Myneni, R.B., Nemani, R.R., Running, S.W., 1997b. Estimation of global leaf area index and absorbed par using radiative transfer models. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 35, 1380–1393.
- Myneni, R.B., Dong, J., Tucker, C.J., Kaufmann, R.K., Kauppi, P.E., Liski, J., Zhou, L., Alexeyev, V., Hughes, M.K., 2001. A large carbon sink in the woody biomass of Northern forests. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 14784–14789.
- Myneni, R.B., Hoffman, S., Knyazikhin, Y., Privette, J.L., Glassy, J., Tian, Y., Wang, Y., Song, X., Zhang, Y., Smith, G.R., Lott, A., Friedl, M., Morisette, J.T., Votava, P., Nemani, R.R., Running, S.W., 2002. Global products of vegetation leaf area and fraction absorbed PAR from year one of MODIS data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 83, 214–231.
- Naesset, E., Gobakken, T., 2008. Estimation of above- and below-ground biomass across regions of the boreal forest zone using airborne laser. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 3079–3090.
- Nassar, R., Jones, D.B.A., Kulawik, S.S., Worden, J.R., Bowman, K.W., Andres, R.J., Suntharalingam, P., Chen, J.M., Brenninkmeijer, C.A.M., Schuck, T.J., Conway, T.J., Worthy, D.E., 2011. Inverse modeling of CO₂ sources and sinks using satellite observations of CO₂ from TES and surface flask measurements. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 6029–6047.
- Nemani, R.R., Keeling, C.D., Hashimoto, H., Jolly, W.M., Piper, S.C., Tucker, C.J., Myneni, R.B., Running, S.W., 2003. Climate-driven increases in global terrestrial net primary production from 1982 to 1999. *Science* 300, 1560–1563.
- Ni, W., Sun, G., Zhang, Z., Yu, H., 2016. Retrieval of forest aboveground biomass through the synergy of X-band (TeraSAR-X/TandDEM-X) and L-band (PALSAR-2) InSAR data. In: 2016 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), pp. 5304–5306.
- Ni, W.J., Zhang, Z.Y., Sun, G.Q., Liu, Q.H., 2018. Modeling interferometric SAR features of forest canopies over mountainous area at landscape scales. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 56, 2958–2967.
- Nie, D., Kanemasu, E.T., Fritschen, L.J., Weaver, H.L., Smith, E.A., Verma, S.B., Field, R.T., Kustas, W.P., Stewart, J.B., 1992. An intercomparison of surface-energy flux measurement systems used during FIFE 1987. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 97, 18715–18724.
- Nobre, C.A., Wickland, D., Kabat, P.I., 2001. Large scale biosphere-atmosphere experiment in Amazonia (LBA). *Global Change Newsletter* 2–4.
- Odum, E.P., 1969. Strategy of ecosystem development. *Science* 164, 262–270.
- Ollinger, S.V., Richardson, A.D., Martin, M.E., Hollinger, D.Y., Frolking, S.E., Reich, P.B., Plourde, L.C., Katul, G.G., Munger, J.W., Oren, R., Smith, M.L., U. K.T.P., Bolstad, P. V., Cook, B.D., Day, M.C., Martin, T.A., Monson, R.K., Schmid, H.P., 2008. Canopy nitrogen, carbon assimilation, and albedo in temperate and boreal forests: functional relations and potential climate feedbacks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 105, 19336–19341.
- Palace, M., Keller, M., Asner, G.P., Hagen, S., Braswell, B., 2008. Amazon forest structure from IKONOS satellite data and the automated characterization of forest canopy properties. *Biotropica* 40, 141–150.
- Pan, Y., Chen, J.M., Birdsey, R., McCullough, K., He, L., Deng, F., 2011. Age structure and disturbance legacy of North American forests. *Biogeosciences* 8, 715–732.
- Pandit, S., Tsuyuki, S., Dube, T., 2018. Estimating above-ground biomass in sub-tropical buffer zone community forests, Nepal, using Sentinel 2 data. *Remote Sens.* 10 (4), 601. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040601>.
- Pang, Y., Li, Z.-Y., 2012. Inversion of biomass components of the temperate forest using airborne Lidar technology in Xiaoxing'an Mountains, Northeastern of China. *Chinese Journal of Plant Ecology* 36, 1095–1105.
- Pang, Y., Lefsky, M., Andersen, H.E., Miller, M.E., Sherrill, K., 2008. Validation of the ICESat vegetation product using crown-area-weighted mean height derived using crown delineation with discrete return lidar data. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* 34, S471–S484.
- Pang, Y., Li, Z.Y., Ju, H.B., Lu, H., Jia, W., Si, L., Guo, Y., Liu, Q.W., Li, S.M., Liu, L.X., Xie, B.B., Tan, B.X., Dian, Y.Y., 2016. LiCHY: the CAF's LiDAR, CCD and hyperspectral integrated airborne observation system. *Remote Sens.* 8, 398. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8050398>.
- Papale, D., Valentini, A., 2003. A new assessment of European forests carbon exchanges by eddy fluxes and artificial neural network spatialization. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 9, 525–535.
- Papale, D., Black, T.A., Carvalhais, N., Cescatti, A., Chen, J.Q., Jung, M., Kiely, G., Lasslop, G., Mahecha, M.D., Margolis, H., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E., Olesen, J.E., Reichstein, M., Tramontana, G., van Gorsel, E., Wohlfahrt, G., Raduly, B., 2015. Effect of spatial sampling from European flux towers for estimating carbon and water fluxes with artificial neural networks. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 120, 1941–1957.
- Parazoo, N.C., Bowman, K., Frankenberg, C., Lee, J.E., Fisher, J.B., Worden, J., Jones, D.B.A., Berry, J., Collatz, G.J., Baker, I.T., Jung, M., Liu, J.J., Osterman, G., O'Dell, C., Sparks, A., Butz, A., Guerlet, S., Yoshida, Y., Chen, H.L., Gerbig, C., 2013. Interpreting seasonal changes in the carbon balance of southern Amazonia using measurements of XCO₂ and chlorophyll fluorescence from GOSAT. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 2829–2833.
- Parazoo, N.C., Bowman, K., Fisher, J.B., Frankenberg, C., Jones, D.B.A., Cescatti, A., Perez-Priego, O., Wohlfahrt, G., Montagnani, L., 2014. Terrestrial gross primary production inferred from satellite fluorescence and vegetation models. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 3103–3121.
- Pastor, J., Aber, J.D., Melillo, J.M., 1984. Biomass prediction using generalized allometric regressions for some Northeast tree species. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 7, 265–274.
- Pedely, J., Devadiga, S., Masuoka, E., Brown, M., Pinzon, J., Tucker, C., Roy, D., Ju, J.C., Vermote, E., Prince, S., Nagol, J., Justice, C., Schaaf, C., Liu, J.C., Privette, J., Pinheiro, A., Lee, 2007. Generating a long-term land data record from the AVHRR and MODIS instruments. In: *Igarss: 2007 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, Vols 1–12: Sensing and Understanding Our Planet. Ieee, New York, pp. 1021–1024.
- Peylin, P., Law, R.M., Gurney, K.R., Chevallier, F., Jacobson, A.R., Maki, T., Niwa, Y., Patra, P.K., Peters, W., Rayner, P.J., Rodenbeck, C., van der Laan-Luijkx, I.T., Zhang, X., 2013. Global atmospheric carbon budget: results from an ensemble of atmospheric CO₂ inversions. *Biogeosciences* 10, 6699–6720.
- Peylin, P., Bacour, C., MacBean, N., Leonard, S., Rayner, P., Kuppel, S., Koffi, E., Kane, A., Maignan, F., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Prunet, P., 2016. A new stepwise carbon cycle data assimilation system using multiple data streams to constrain the simulated land surface carbon cycle. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 3321–3346.
- Pinty, B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Dowell, M., Zunker, H., Brunhes, T., Ciais, P., Dee, D., Denier van der Gon, H., Dolman, H., Drinkwater, M., Engelen, R., Heimann, M., Holmlund, K., Husband, R., Kentarchos, A., Meijer, Y., Palmer, P., Scholze, M. (2017). An operational anthropogenic CO₂ emissions monitoring verification support capacity - baseline requirements. Model Components and Functional Architecture. In E.E. European Commission Joint Research Centre (Ed.)
- Pinzon, J.E., Tucker, C.J., 2014. A non-stationary 1981–2012 AVHRR NDVI3g time series. *Remote Sens.* 6, 6929–6960.
- Ploton, P., Barbier, N., Coutron, P., Antin, C.M., Ayyappan, N., Balachandran, N., Barathan, N., Bastin, J.F., Chuyong, G., Dauby, G., Droissart, V., Gastellu-Etchegorry, J.P., Kamdem, N.G., Kenfack, D., Libalah, M., Mofack, G., Momo, S.T., Pargal, S., Petronelli, P., Proisy, C., Rejou-Mechain, M., Sonke, B., Texier, N., Thomas, D., Verley, P., Dongmo, D.Z., Berger, U., Pelissier, R., 2017. Toward a general tropical forest biomass prediction model from very high resolution optical satellite images. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 200, 140–153.
- Polonsky, I.N., O'Brien, D.M., Kumer, J.B., O'Dell, C.W., Geo, C.T., 2014. Performance of a geostationary mission, geo CARB, to measure CO₂ and CO column-averaged concentrations. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 7, 959–981.
- Ponce-Campos, G.E., Moran, M.S., Huete, A., Zhang, Y.G., Bresloff, C., Huxman, T.E., Eamus, D., Bosch, D.D., Buda, A.R., Gunter, S.A., Scallie, T.H., Kitchen, S.G., McClaran, M.P., McNab, W.H., Montoya, D.S., Morgan, J.A., Peters, D.P.C., Sadler,

- E.J., Seyfried, M.S., Starks, P.J., 2013. Ecosystem resilience despite large-scale altered hydroclimatic conditions. *Nature* 494, 349–352.
- Popescu, S.C., 2007. Estimating biomass of individual pine trees using airborne lidar. *Biomass Bioenergy* 31, 646–655.
- Popescu, S.C., Zhou, T., Nelson, R., Neuenschwander, A., Sheridan, R., Narine, L., Walsh, K.M., 2018. Photon counting LiDAR: an adaptive ground and canopy height retrieval algorithm for ICESat-2 data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 208, 154–170.
- Porcar-Castell, A., Tyystjärvi, E., Atherton, J., van der Tol, C., Flexas, J., Pfundel, E.E., Moreno, J., Frankenberg, C., Berry, J.A., 2014. Linking chlorophyll a fluorescence to photosynthesis for remote sensing applications: mechanisms and challenges. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 4065–4095.
- Potter, C.S., Randerson, J.T., Field, C.B., Matson, P.A., Vitousek, P.M., Mooney, H.A., Klooster, S.A., 1993. Terrestrial ecosystem production: a process model based on global satellite and surface data. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 7, 811–841.
- Powell, S.L., Cohen, W.B., Healey, S.P., Kennedy, R.E., Moisen, G.G., Pierce, K.B., Ohmann, J.L., 2010. Quantification of live aboveground forest biomass dynamics with Landsat time-series and field inventory data: a comparison of empirical modeling approaches. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 1053–1068.
- Price, B., Gomez, A., Mathys, L., Gardi, O., Schellenberger, A., Ginzler, C., Thuring, E., 2017. Tree biomass in the Swiss landscape: nationwide modelling for improved accounting for forest and non-forest trees. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 189, 106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-5816-7>.
- Pulliaainen, J.T., Mikkela, P.J., Hallikainen, M.T., Ikonen, J.P., 1996. Seasonal dynamics of C-band backscatter of boreal forests with applications to biomass and soil moisture estimation. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 34, 758–770.
- Qi, W.L., Dubayah, R.O., 2016. Combining Tandem-X InSAR and simulated GEDI lidar observations for forest structure mapping. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 187, 253–266.
- Quaife, T., Quegan, S., Disney, M., Lewis, P., Lomas, M., Woodward, F.I. 2008. Impact of land cover uncertainties on estimates of biophysical carbon fluxes. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 22, GB 4016. [doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB003097](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB003097).
- Quegan, S., Toan, L.T., Chave, J., Dall, J., Exbrayat, J.F., Minh, D.H.T., Lomas, M., D'Alessandro, M.M., Paillou, P., Papathanassiou, K., Rocca, F., Saatchi, S., Scipal, K., Shugart, H., Smallman, T.L., Soja, M.J., Tebaldini, S., Ulander, L., Villard, L., Williams, M., 2019. The European Space Agency BIOMASS mission: measuring forest above-ground biomass from space. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 227, 44–60.
- Raczka, B.M., Davis, K.J., Huntzinger, D., Neilson, R.P., Poulter, B., Richardson, A.D., Xiao, J.F., Baker, I., Ciais, P., Keenan, T.F., Law, B., Post, W.M., Ricciuto, D., Schaefer, K., Tian, H.Q., Tomelleri, E., Verbeeck, H., Viovy, N., 2013. Evaluation of continental carbon cycle simulations with North American flux tower observations. *Ecol. Monogr.* 83, 531–556.
- Rahman, A.F., Sims, D.A., Cordova, V.D., El-Masri, B.Z., 2005. Potential of MODIS EVI and surface temperature for directly estimating per-pixel ecosystem C fluxes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L19404. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024127>.
- Rahman, M.Z., Abu Bakar, M.A., Razak, K.A., Rasib, A., Kanniah, K.D., Kadir, W.H.W., Omar, H., Faidi, A., Kassim, A., Latif, Z., 2017. Non-destructive, laser-based individual tree aboveground biomass estimation in a tropical rainforest. *Forests* 8 (3), 86. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f8030086>.
- Raich, J.W., Schlesinger, W.H., 1992. The global carbon-dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. *Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology* 44, 81–99.
- Randerson, J.T., Hoffman, F.M., Thornton, P.E., Mahowald, N.M., Lindsay, K., Lee, Y.H., Nevison, C.D., Doney, S.C., Bonan, G., Stockli, R., Covey, C., Running, S.W., Fung, I.Y., 2009. Systematic assessment of terrestrial biogeochemistry in coupled climate-carbon models. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 15, 2462–2484.
- Ranson, K.J., Sun, G., 2000. Effects of environmental conditions on boreal forest classification and biomass estimates with SAR. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 38, 1242–1252.
- Ranson, K.J., Sun, G., Weishampel, J.F., Knox, R.G., 1997. Forest biomass from combined ecosystem and radar backscatter modeling. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 59, 118–133.
- Rascher, U., Agati, G., Alonso, L., Cecchi, G., Champagne, S., Colombo, R., Damm, A., Daumard, F., de Miguel, E., Fernandez, G., Franch, B., Franke, J., Gerbig, C., Gioli, B., Gomez, J.A., Goulas, Y., Guanter, L., Gutierrez-de-la-Camara, O., Hamdi, K., Hostert, P., Jimenez, M., Kosvancova, M., Lognoli, D., Meroni, M., Miglietta, F., Moersch, A., Moreno, J., Moya, I., Neininger, B., Okujeni, A., Onnis, A., Palombi, L., Raimondi, V., Schickling, A., Sobrino, J.A., Stellmes, M., Toci, G., Toscano, P., Udelhoven, T., van der Linden, S., Zalzei, A., 2009. CEFLES2: the remote sensing component to quantify photosynthetic efficiency from the leaf to the region by measuring sun-induced fluorescence in the oxygen absorption bands. *Biogeosciences* 6, 1181–1198.
- Rascher, U., Alonso, L., Burkart, A., Cilia, C., Cogliati, S., Colombo, R., Damm, A., Drusch, M., Guanter, L., Hanus, J., Hyvärinen, T., Julitta, T., Jussila, J., Kataja, K., Kokkalis, P., Kraft, S., Kraska, T., Matveeva, M., Moreno, J., Muller, O., Panigada, C., Piki, M., Pinto, F., Prey, L., Pude, R., Rossini, M., Schickling, A., Schurr, U., Schuttmeyer, D., Verrelst, J., Zemek, F., 2015. Sun-induced fluorescence - a new probe of photosynthesis: first maps from the imaging spectrometer HyPlant. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 4673–4684.
- Rasmussen, M.O., Gottsche, F.M., Diop, D., Mbow, C., Olesen, F.S., Fensholt, R., Sandholt, I., 2011. Tree survey and allometric models for tiger bush in northern Senegal and comparison with tree parameters derived from high resolution satellite data. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 13, 517–527.
- Ratnayake, R.R., Karunaratne, S.B., Lessels, J.S., Yogenthirand, N., Rajapaksha, R.P.S.K., Gnanavelrajah, N., 2016. Digital soil mapping of organic carbon concentration in paddy growing soils of Northern Sri Lanka. *Geoderma Regional* 7, 167–176.
- Rayner, P.J., O'Brien, D.M., 2001. The utility of remotely sensed CO₂ concentration data in surface source inversions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 28, 175–178.
- Restrepo-Coupe, N., Huete, A., Davies, K., Cleverly, J., Beringer, J., Eamus, D., van Gersel, E., Hutley, L.B., Meyer, W.S., 2016. MODIS vegetation products as proxies of photosynthetic potential along a gradient of meteorologically and biologically driven ecosystem productivity. *Biogeosciences* 13, 5587–5608.
- Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Hilker, M., Heymann, J., Schneising, O., Pillai, D., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J.P., Bosch, H., Parker, R., Butz, A., Hasekamp, O., O'Dell, C.W., Yoshida, Y., Gerbig, C., Nehrkorn, T., Deutscher, N.M., Warneke, T., Notholt, J., Hase, F., Kivi, R., Sussmann, R., Machida, T., Matsueda, H., Sawa, Y., 2014. Satellite-inferred European carbon sink larger than expected. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14, 13739–13753.
- Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Hilker, M., Heymann, J., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J.P., Houweling, S., Liu, Y.Y., Nassar, R., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Marshall, J., Reichstein, M., 2017. How much CO₂ is taken up by the European terrestrial biosphere? *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 98, 665–671.
- Richardson, A.J., Wiegand, C.L., 1977. Distinguishing vegetation from soil background information. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote. Sens.* 43, 1541–1552.
- Richardson, A.D., Hufkens, K., Milliman, T., Frolking, S., 2018. Intercomparison of phenological transition dates derived from the PhenoCam Dataset V1.0 and MODIS satellite remote sensing. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 5679. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23804-6>.
- Rignot, E., Way, J.B., Williams, C., Viereck, L., 1994. Radar estimates of aboveground biomass in boreal forests of interior Alaska. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 32, 1117–1124.
- Romero, J.M., Cordon, G.B., Lagorio, M.G., 2018. Modeling re-absorption of fluorescence from the leaf to the canopy level. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 204, 138–146.
- Rosen, P.A., Hensley, S., Joughin, I.R., Li, F.K., Madsen, S.N., Rodriguez, E., Goldstein, R.M., 2000. Synthetic aperture radar interferometry. *Proc. IEEE* 88, 333–382.
- Rosen, P., Hensley, S., Shaffer, S., Edelstein, W., Kim, Y., Kumar, R., Misra, T., Bhan, R., Satish, R., Sagi, R., Ieee, 2016. An update on the NASA-ISRO dual-frequency dbf SAR (NISAR) mission. In: 2016 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. Ieee, New York, pp. 2106–2108.
- Rossel, R.A.V., Hicks, W.S., 2015. Soil organic carbon and its fractions estimated by visible-near infrared transfer functions. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 66, 438–450.
- Rossel, R.A.V., Walvoort, D.J.J., McBratney, A.B., Janik, L.J., Skjemstad, J.O., 2006. Visible, near infrared, mid infrared or combined diffuse reflectance spectroscopy for simultaneous assessment of various soil properties. *Geoderma* 131, 59–75.
- Rossini, M., Nedbal, L., Guanter, L., Ac, A., Alonso, L., Burkart, A., Cogliati, S., Colombo, R., Damm, A., Drusch, M., Hanus, J., Janoutova, R., Julitta, T., Kokkalis, P., Moreno, J., Novotny, J., Panigada, C., Pinto, F., Schickling, A., Schuttmeyer, D., Zemek, F., Rascher, U., 2015. Red and far red Sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence as a measure of plant photosynthesis. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 1632–1639.
- Roughgarden, J., Running, S.W., Matson, P.A., 1991. What does remote sensing do for ecology? *Ecology* 72, 1918–1922.
- Rouse, J.W., Haas, R.H., Schell, J.A., Deering, D.W., 1974. Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. In: Paper Presented at the 3rd ERTS-1 Symposium, Greenbelt, Maryland.
- Roy, P.S., Ravan, S.A., 1996. Biomass estimation using satellite remote sensing data - an investigation on possible approaches for natural forest. *J. Biosci.* 21, 535–561.
- Ruimy, A., Jarvis, P., Baldocchi, D., Saugier, B., 1995. CO₂ fluxes over plant canopies and solar radiation: a review. *Adv. Ecol. Res.* 26, 1–68.
- Running, S.W., Nemani, R.R., Heinsch, F.A., Zhao, M.S., Reeves, M., Hashimoto, H., 2004. A continuous satellite-derived measure of global terrestrial primary production. *Bioscience* 54, 547–560.
- Ryu, Y., Baldocchi, D.D., Kobayashi, H., van Ingen, C., Li, J., Black, T.A., Beringer, J., van Gorsel, E., Knohl, A., Law, B.E., Rouspard, O., 2011. Integration of MODIS land and atmosphere products with a coupled-process model to estimate gross primary productivity and evapotranspiration from 1 km to global scales. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 25, GB4017. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004053>.
- Ryu, Y., Berry, J.A., Baldocchi, D.D., 2019. What is global photosynthesis? History, uncertainties and opportunities. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 223, 95–114.
- Saatchi, S.S., Houghton, R.A., Alvala, R., Soares, J.V., Yu, Y., 2007. Distribution of aboveground live biomass in the Amazon basin. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 13, 816–837.
- Saatchi, S.S., Harris, N.L., Brown, S., Lefsky, M., Mitchard, E.T.A., Salas, W., Zutta, B.R., Buermann, W., Lewis, S.L., Hagen, S., Petrova, S., White, L., Silman, M., Morel, A., 2011. Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 9899–9904.
- Saatchi, S., Yu, Y., Fore, A., Nuemann, M., Chapman, B., Nguyen, M., Nemani, R., Ganguly, S., Zhang, G., Votava, P., Masek, J., Tucker, C., Hall, F., Nelson, R., Cook, B., Birdsey, R., Healey, S., Johnson, K., Dubayah, R., Lefsky, M., 2012. CMS US Forest Biomass Map. https://nacp-files.nacarbon.org/biomass_pilot/JPL_ARC_Maps/Continental_US/.
- Saleska, S.R., Wu, J., Guan, K.Y., Araujo, A.C., Huete, A., Nobre, A.D., Restrepo-Coupe, N., 2016. Dry-season greening of Amazon forests. *Nature* 531, E4–E5.
- Santoro, M., Askne, J., Smith, G., Fransson, J.E., 2002. Stem volume retrieval in boreal forests from ERS-1/2 interferometry. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 81, 19–35.
- Santoro, M., Shvidenko, A., McCallum, I., Askne, J., Schumilius, C., 2007. Properties of ERS-1/2 coherence in the Siberian boreal forest and implications for stem volume retrieval. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 106, 154–172.
- Sarker, L.R., Nichol, J.E., 2011. Improved forest biomass estimates using ALOS AVNIR-2 texture indicators. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 968–977.
- Sasai, T., Ichii, K., Yamaguchi, Y., Nemani, R., 2005. Simulating terrestrial carbon fluxes using the new biosphere model "biosphere model integrating eco-physiological and mechanistic approaches using satellite data" (BEAMS). *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 110, G02014. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000045>.
- Schanda, E., 1985. A Radargrammetry experiment in a mountain region. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 6, 1113–1124.
- Schillaci, C., Acutis, M., Lombardo, L., Lipani, A., Fantappie, M., Marker, M., Saia, S.,

2017. Spatio-temporal topsoil organic carbon mapping of a semi-arid Mediterranean region: the role of land use, soil texture, topographic indices and the influence of remote sensing data to modelling. *Sci. Total Environ.* 601, 821–832.
- Schimel, D.S., 1995. Terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon cycle. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 1, 77–91.
- Schimel, D., Pavlick, R., Fisher, J.B., Asner, G.P., Saatchi, S., Townsend, P., Miller, C., Frankenberg, C., Hibbard, K., Cox, P., 2015. Observing terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon cycle from space. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 1762–1776.
- Scholz, M., Buchwitz, M., Dorigo, W., Guanter, L., Shaun, Q.G., 2017. Reviews and syntheses: systematic earth observations for use in terrestrial carbon cycle data assimilation systems. *Biogeosciences* 14, 3401–3429.
- Schubert, P., Eklundh, L., Lund, M., Nilsson, M., 2010. Estimating northern peatland CO₂ exchange from MODIS time series data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 1178–1189.
- Schwalm, C.R., Williams, C.A., Schaefer, K., Baldocchi, D., Black, T.A., Goldstein, A.H., Law, B.E., Oechel, W.C., Kyaw, T.P.U., Scott, R.L., 2012. Reduction in carbon uptake during turn of the century drought in western North America. *Nat. Geosci.* 5, 551–556.
- Selge, T., Bohnert, J., Schmidhalter, U., 2006. High resolution topsoil mapping using hyperspectral image and field data in multivariate regression modeling procedures. *Geoderma* 136, 235–244.
- Sellers, P.J., 1985. Canopy reflectance, photosynthesis and transpiration. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 6, 1335–1372.
- Sellers, P.J., Hall, F.G., Asrar, G., Strebel, D.E., Murphy, R.E., 1988. The first ISLSCP Field experiment (FIFE). *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 69, 22–27.
- Sellers, P., Hall, F., Margolis, H., Kelly, B., Baldocchi, D., Denhartog, G., Cihlar, J., Ryan, M.G., Goodison, B., Crill, P., Ranson, K.J., Lettenmaier, D., Wickland, D.E., 1995. The boreal ecosystem-atmosphere study (BOREAS) – an overview and early results from the 1994 field year. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 76, 1549–1577.
- Sellers, P.J., Randall, D.A., Collatz, G.J., Berry, J.A., Field, C.B., Dazlich, D.A., Zhang, C., Collelo, G.D., Bounoua, L., 1996. A revised land surface parameterization (SIB2) for atmospheric GCMs. 1. Model formulation. *J. Clim.* 9, 676–705.
- Senf, C., Seidl, R., Hostert, P., 2017. Remote sensing of forest insect disturbances: current state and future directions. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 60, 49–60.
- Shepherd, K.D., Walsh, M.G., 2002. Development of reflectance spectral libraries for characterization of soil properties. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66, 988–998.
- Shi, J.C., Du, Y., Du, J.Y., Jiang, L.M., Chai, L.N., Mao, K.B., Xu, P., Ni, W.J., Xiong, C., Liu, Q., Liu, C.Z., Guo, P., Cui, Q., Li, Y.Q., Chen, J., Wang, A.Q., Luo, H.J., Wang, Y.H., 2012. Progresses on microwave remote sensing of land surface parameters. *Science China-Earth Sciences* 55, 1052–1078.
- Shi, Z., Wang, Q.L., Peng, J., Ji, W.J., Liu, H.J., Li, X., Viscarra Rossel, R.A., 2014. Development of a national VNIR soil spectral library for soil classification and prediction of organic matter concentrations. *Science China-Earth Sciences* 57, 1671–1680.
- Shoshany, M., Karnibad, L., 2011. Mapping shrubland biomass along Mediterranean climatic gradients: the synergy of rainfall-based and NDVI-based models. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 32, 9497–9508.
- Sibanda, M., Mutanga, O., Rouget, M., 2015. Examining the potential of Sentinel-2 MSI spectral resolution in quantifying above ground biomass across different fertilizer treatments. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 110, 55–65.
- Sibanda, M., Mutanga, O., Rouget, M., Kumar, L., 2017. Estimating biomass of native grass grown under complex management treatments using WorldView-3 spectral derivatives. *Remote Sens.* 9 (1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9010055>.
- Simard, M., Pinto, N., Fisher, J.B., Baccini, A., 2011. Mapping forest canopy height globally with spaceborne lidar. *Journal of Geophysical Research - Biogeosciences* 116, G04021. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001708>.
- Sims, D.A., Rahman, A.F., Cordova, V.D., El-Masri, B.Z., Baldocchi, D.D., Bolstad, P.V., Flanagan, L.B., Goldstein, A.H., Hollinger, D.Y., Misson, L., Monson, R.K., Oechel, W.C., Schmid, H.P., Wofsy, S.C., Xu, L., 2008. A new model of gross primary productivity for North American ecosystems based solely on the enhanced vegetation index and land surface temperature from MODIS. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 1633–1646.
- Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I.C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J.O., Levis, S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M.T., Thonicke, K., Venevsky, S., 2003. Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 9, 161–185.
- Sjostrom, M., Ardo, J., Arneth, A., Boulain, N., Cappelare, B., Eklundh, L., de Grandcourt, A., Kutsch, W.L., Merbold, L., Nouvellon, Y., Scholes, R.J., Schubert, P., Seaquist, J., Veenendaal, E.M., 2011. Exploring the potential of MODIS EVI for modeling gross primary production across African ecosystems. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 1081–1089.
- Smith, M.L., Ollinger, S.V., Martin, M.E., Aber, J.D., Hallett, R.A., Goodale, C.L., 2002. Direct estimation of aboveground forest productivity through hyperspectral remote sensing of canopy nitrogen. *Ecol. Appl.* 12, 1286–1302.
- Smith, W.K., Reed, S.C., Cleveland, C.C., Ballantyne, A.P., Anderegg, W.R.L., Wieder, W.R., Liu, Y.Y., Running, S.W., 2016. Large divergence of satellite and Earth system model estimates of global terrestrial CO₂ fertilization. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 6, 306–310.
- Smith, W.K., Biederman, J.A., Scott, R.L., Moore, D.J.P., He, M., Kimball, J.S., Yan, D., Hudson, A., Barnes, M.L., MacBean, N., Fox, A.M., Litvak, M.E., 2018. Chlorophyll fluorescence better captures seasonal and interannual gross primary productivity dynamics across dryland ecosystems of southwestern North America. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 45, 748–757.
- Soenen, S.A., Peddle, D.R., Hall, R.J., Coburn, C.A., Hall, F.G., 2010. Estimating above-ground forest biomass from canopy reflectance model inversion in mountainous terrain. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 1325–1337.
- Somarathna, P.D.S.N., Malone, B.P., Minasny, B., 2016. Mapping soil organic carbon content over New South Wales, Australia using local regression kriging. *Geoderma* Regional 7, 38–48.
- Song, L., Guanter, L., Guan, K.Y., You, L.Z., Huete, A., Ju, W.M., Zhang, Y.G., 2018. Satellite sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence detects early response of winter wheat to heat stress in the Indian indo-Gangetic Plains. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 4023–4037.
- Stavros, E.N., Schimel, D., Pavlick, R., Serbin, S., Swann, A., Duncanson, L., Fisher, J.B., Fasnacht, F., Ustin, S., Dubayah, R., Schweiger, A., Wennberg, P., 2017. ISS observations offer insights into plant function. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1 (0), 194. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0194>.
- Stefano, P., Angelo, P., Simone, P., Filomena, R., Federico, S., Tiziana, S., Umberto, A., Vincenzo, C., Raffaele, C., Roberto, D.B., Giovanni, L., Acito, N., Marco, D., Stefania, M., Giovalmi, C., Cristina, A., Ieee, 2013. The PRISMA hyperspectral mission: Science activities and opportunities for agriculture and land monitoring. In: 2013 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. Ieee, New York, pp. 4558–4561.
- Stevens, A., Udelhoven, T., Denis, A., Tychon, B., Lioy, R., Hoffmann, L., van Wesemael, B., 2010. Measuring soil organic carbon in croplands at regional scale using airborne imaging spectroscopy. *Geoderma* 158, 32–45.
- Stocker, B.D., Zscheischler, J., Keenan, T.F., Prentice, I.C., Penuelas, J., Seneviratne, S.I., 2018. Quantifying soil moisture impacts on light use efficiency across biomes. *New Phytol.* 218, 1430–1449.
- Stockli, R., Rutishauser, T., Dragoni, D., O'Keefe, J., Thornton, P.E., Jolly, M., Lu, L., Denning, A.S., 2008. Remote sensing data assimilation for a prognostic phenology model. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 113, G04021. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000781>.
- Stone, T.A., Houghton, R.A., Melillo, J.M., Woodwell, G.M., 1983. Deforestation in the Amazon basin measured by satellite – a release of CO₂ to the atmosphere. *Biol. Bull.* 165, 511.
- Stovall, A.E.L., Vorster, A.G., Anderson, R.S., Evangelista, P.H., Shugart, H.H., 2017. Non-destructive aboveground biomass estimation of coniferous trees using terrestrial LiDAR. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 200, 31–42.
- Stuffer, T., Kaufmann, C., Hofer, S., Forster, K.P., Schreier, G., Mueller, A., Eckardt, A., Bach, H., Penne, B., Benz, U., Haydn, R., 2007. The EnMAP hyperspectral imager—an advanced optical payload for future applications in Earth observation programmes. *Acta Astronautica* 61, 115–120.
- Sturrock, R.N., Frankel, S.J., Brown, A.V., Hennon, P.E., Kliejunas, J.T., Lewis, K.J., Worrall, J.J., Woods, A.J., 2011. Climate change and forest diseases. *Plant Pathol.* 60, 133–149.
- Sun, G., Ranson, K.J., Kharuk, V.I., 2002. Radiometric slope correction for forest biomass estimation from SAR data in the Western Sayani Mountains, Siberia. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 79, 279–287.
- Sun, G.Q., Ranson, K.J., Guo, Z., Zhang, Z., Montesano, P., Kimes, D., 2011. Forest biomass mapping from lidar and radar synergies. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 2906–2916.
- Sun, Y., Fu, R., Dickinson, R., Joiner, J., Frankenberg, C., Gu, L.H., Xia, Y.L., Fernando, N., 2015. Drought onset mechanisms revealed by satellite solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence: insights from two contrasting extreme events. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 120, 2427–2440.
- Sun, Y., Frankenberg, C., Wood, J.D., Schimel, D.S., Jung, M., Guanter, L., Drewry, D.T., Verma, M., Porcar-Castell, A., Griffis, T.J., Gu, L., Magney, T.S., Kohler, P., Evans, B., Yuen, K., 2017. OCO-2 advances photosynthesis observation from space via solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. *Science* 358, eaam5747. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5747>.
- Sun, Y., Frankenberg, C., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Kohler, P., Magney, T., 2018. Overview of Solar-Induced chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2: retrieval, cross-mission comparison, and global monitoring for GPP. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 209, 808–823.
- Tang, X.G., Liu, D.W., Song, K.S., Munger, J.W., Zhang, B., Wang, Z.M., 2011. A new model of net ecosystem carbon exchange for the deciduous-dominated forest by integrating MODIS and flux data. *Ecol. Eng.* 37, 1567–1571.
- Tans, P.P., 1997. The CO₂ lifetime concept should be banished - comment. *Clim. Chang.* 37, 487–490.
- Tao, S.L., Guo, Q.H., Li, L., Xue, B.L., Kelly, M., Li, W.K., Xu, G.C., Su, Y.J., 2014. Airborne Lidar-derived volume metrics for aboveground biomass estimation: a comparative assessment for conifer stands. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 198, 24–32.
- TerMikaelian, M.T., Korzukhin, M.D., 1997. Biomass equations for sixty-five North American tree species. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 97, 1–24.
- Thum, T., Zaehle, S., Kohler, P., Aalto, T., Aurela, M., Guanter, L., Kolari, P., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Magnani, F., Van der Tol, C., Markkanen, T., 2017. Modelling sun-induced fluorescence and photosynthesis with a land surface model at local and regional scales in northern Europe. *Biogeosciences* 14, 1969–1987.
- van der Tol, C., Verhoef, W., Timmermans, J., Verhoef, A., Su, Z., 2009. An integrated model of soil-canopy spectral radiances, photosynthesis, fluorescence, temperature and energy balance. *Biogeosciences* 6, 3109–3129.
- Tramontana, G., Jung, M., Schwalm, C.R., Ichii, K., Camps-Valls, G., Raduly, B., Reichstein, M., Arain, M.A., Cesatti, A., Kiely, G., Merbold, L., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Sickert, S., Wolf, S., Papale, D., 2016. Predicting carbon dioxide and energy fluxes across global FLUXNET sites with regression algorithms. *Biogeosciences* 13, 4291–4313.
- Tucker, C.J., Fung, I.Y., Keeling, C.D., Gammon, R.H., 1986. Relationship between atmospheric CO₂ variations and a satellite-derived vegetation index. *Nature* 319, 195–199.
- Tucker, C.J., Slayback, D.A., Pinzon, J.E., Los, S.O., Myneni, R.B., Taylor, M.G., 2001. Higher northern latitude normalized difference vegetation index and growing season trends from 1982 to 1999. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 45, 184–190.
- Tucker, C.J., Pinzon, J.E., Brown, M.E., Slayback, D.A., Pak, E.W., Mahoney, R., Vermote, E.F., El Saleous, N., 2005. An extended AVHRR 8-km NDVI dataset compatible with

- MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 26, 4485–4498.
- Turner, M.G., Hargrove, W.W., Gardner, R.H., Romme, W.H., 1994. Effects of fire on landscape heterogeneity in Yellowstone-National-Park, Wyoming. *J. Veg. Sci.* 5, 731–742.
- Turner, D.P., Ollinger, S.V., Kimball, J.S., 2004. Integrating remote sensing and ecosystem process models for landscape- to regional-scale analysis of the carbon cycle. *Bioscience* 54, 573–584.
- Turner, D.P., Ritts, W.D., Cohen, W.B., Gower, S.T., Running, S.W., Zhao, M.S., Costa, M.H., Kirschbaum, A.A., Ham, J.M., Saleska, S.R., Ahl, D.E., 2006. Evaluation of MODIS NPP and GPP products across multiple biomes. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 102, 282–292.
- Vastaranta, M., Holopainen, M., Karjalainen, M., Kankare, V., Hyypä, J., Kaasalainen, S., Hyypä, H., 2012. SAR Radargrammetry and scanning Lidar in predicting Forest canopy height. In: 2012 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, pp. 6515–6518.
- Vastaranta, M., Holopainen, M., Karjalainen, M., Kankare, V., Hyypä, J., Kaasalainen, S., 2014. TerraSAR-X stereo radargrammetry and airborne scanning LiDAR height metrics in imputation of forest aboveground biomass and stem volume. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 52, 1197–1204.
- Verma, M., Schimel, D., Evans, B., Frankenberg, C., Beringer, J., Drewry, D.T., Magney, T., Marang, I., Hutley, L., Moore, C., Eldering, A., 2017. Effect of environmental conditions on the relationship between solar-induced fluorescence and gross primary productivity at an OzFlux grassland site. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 122, 716–733.
- Veroustraete, F., Sabbe, H., Eeren, H., 2002. Estimation of carbon mass fluxes over Europe using the C-Fix model and Euroflux data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 83, 376–399.
- Verrelst, J., Rivera, J.P., van der Tol, C., Magnani, F., Mohammed, G., Moreno, J., 2015. Global sensitivity analysis of the SCOPE model: what drives simulated canopy-leaving sun-induced fluorescence? *Remote Sens. Environ.* 166, 8–21.
- Walther, S., Voigt, M., Thum, T., Gonsamo, A., Zhang, Y.G., Kohler, P., Jung, M., Varlagin, A., Guanter, L., 2016. Satellite chlorophyll fluorescence measurements reveal large-scale decoupling of photosynthesis and greenness dynamics in boreal evergreen forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 22, 2979–2996.
- Wang, J., Rich, P.M., Price, K.P., Kettle, W.D., 2004. Relations between NDVI and tree productivity in the central Great Plains. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 25, 3127–3138.
- Wang, D.L., Xin, X.P., Shao, Q.Q., Brolly, M., Zhu, Z.L., Chen, J., 2017. Modeling aboveground biomass in Hulunber grassland ecosystem by using unmanned aerial vehicle discrete lidar. *Sensors* 17, 180. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17010180>.
- Wang, B., Waters, C., Orgill, S., Gray, J., Cowie, A., Clark, A., Liu, D.L., 2018. High resolution mapping of soil organic carbon stocks using remote sensing variables in the semi-arid rangelands of eastern Australia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 630, 367–378.
- Wang, X.F., Xiao, J.F., Li, X., Cheng, G.D., Ma, M.G., Zhu, G.F., Arain, M.A., Black, T.A., Jassal, R.S., 2019. No trends in spring and autumn phenology during the global warming hiatus. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 2389. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10235-8>.
- Wehr, R., Munger, J.W., McManus, J.B., Nelson, D.D., Zahniser, M.S., Davidson, E.A., Wofsy, S.C., Saleska, S.R., 2016. Seasonality of temperate forest photosynthesis and daytime respiration. *Nature* 534, 680.
- Were, K., Bui, D.T., Dick, O.B., Singh, B.R., 2015. A comparative assessment of support vector regression, artificial neural networks, and random forests for predicting and mapping soil organic carbon stocks across an Afrotropical landscape. *Ecol. Indic.* 52, 394–403.
- van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T.T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B.M., Mu, M.Q., van Marle, M.J.E., Morton, D.C., Collatz, G.J., Yokelson, R.J., Kasibhatla, P.S., 2017. Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016. *Earth System Science Data* 9, 697–720.
- Wilcox, C.H., Frazier, B.E., Ball, S.T., 1994. Relationship between soil organic carbon and Landsat TM data in eastern Washington. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote. Sens.* 60, 777–781.
- Wilson, B.T., Woodall, C.W., Griffith, D.M., 2013. Imputing forest carbon stock estimates from inventory plots to a nationally continuous coverage. *Carbon Balance and Management* 8 (1). <http://www.cbmjournal.com/content/8/1/1>.
- Wirth, C., Schumacher, J., Schulze, E.D., 2004. Generic biomass functions for Norway spruce in Central Europe - a meta-analysis approach toward prediction and uncertainty estimation. *Tree Physiol.* 24, 121–139.
- WMO/IAEA, 2016. 18th WMO/IAEA Meeting on Carbon Dioxide, Other Greenhouse Gases and Related Measurement Techniques (GGMT-2015). (13–17 September 2015; La Jolla, CA, USA).
- Wofsy, S.C., Goulden, M.L., Munger, J.W., Fan, S.M., Bakwin, P.S., Daube, B.C., Bassow, S.L., Bazzaz, F.A., 1993. Net exchange of CO₂ in a midlatitude forest. *Science* 260, 1314–1317.
- Wohlfahrt, G., Gerdel, K., Migliavacca, M., Rotenberg, E., Tatarinov, F., Muller, J., Hammerle, A., Julitta, T., Spielmann, F.M., Yakir, D., 2018. Sun-induced fluorescence and gross primary productivity during a heat wave. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 14169. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32602-z>.
- Wolani, A., Rozanov, V.V., Dinter, T., Noel, S., Vountas, M., Burrows, J.P., Bracher, A., 2015. Global retrieval of marine and terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence at its red peak using hyperspectral top of atmosphere radiance measurements: feasibility study and first results. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 166, 243–261.
- Wood, J.D., Griffis, T.J., Baker, J.M., Frankenberg, C., Verma, M., Yuen, K., 2017. Multiscale analyses of solar-induced fluorescence and gross primary production. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 44, 533–541.
- Woodcock, C.E., Allen, R., Anderson, M., Belward, A., Bindschadler, R., Cohen, W., Gao, F., Goward, S.N., Helder, D., Helmer, E., Nemani, R., Oreopoulos, L., Schott, J., Thenkabail, P.S., Vermote, E.F., Vogelmann, J., Wulder, M.A., Wynne, R., Landsat Sci. T., 2008. Free access to Landsat imagery. *Science* 320, 1011.
- Wu, Y.C., Strahler, A.H., 1994. Remote estimation of crown size, stand density, and biomass on the Oregon transect. *Ecol. Appl.* 4, 299–312.
- Wu, J., van Aardt, J.A.N., Asner, G.P., Mathieu, R., Kennedy-Bowdoin, T., Knapp, D., Wessels, K., Erasmus, B.F.N., Smit, I., Ieee, 2009. Connecting the dots between laser waveforms and herbaceous biomass for assessment of land degradation using small-footprint waveform LiDAR data. In: 2009 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. vols 1–5 Ieee, New York pp. 585–+.
- Wu, C.Y., Gaumont-Guay, D., Black, T.A., Jassal, R.S., Xu, S.G., Chen, J.M., Gonsamo, A., 2014. Soil respiration mapped by exclusively use of MODIS data for forest landscapes of Saskatchewan, Canada. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 94, 80–90.
- Wulder, M.A., Masek, J.G., Cohen, W.B., Loveland, T.R., Woodcock, C.E., 2012. Opening the archive: how free data has enabled the science and monitoring promise of Landsat. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 122, 2–10.
- Wulder, M.A., White, J.C., Loveland, T.R., Woodcock, C.E., Belward, A.S., Cohen, W.B., Fosnight, E.A., Shaw, J., Masek, J.G., Roy, D.P., 2016. The global Landsat archive: status, consolidation, and direction. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 185, 271–283.
- Wunch, D., Toon, G.C., Wennberg, P.O., Wofsy, S.C., Stephens, B.B., Fischer, M.L., Uchino, O., Abshire, J.B., Bernath, P., Biraud, S.C., Blavier, J.F.L., Boone, C., Bowman, K.P., Browell, E.V., Campos, T., Connor, B.J., Daube, B.C., Deutscher, N.M., Diao, M., Elkins, J.W., Gerbig, C., Gottlieb, E., Griffith, D.W.T., Hurst, D.F., Jimenez, R., Keppel-Aleks, G., Kort, E.A., Macatangay, R., Machida, T., Matsueda, H., Moore, F., Morino, I., Park, S., Robinson, J., Roehl, C.M., Sawa, Y., Sherlock, V., Sweeney, C., Tanaka, T., Zondlo, M.A., 2010. Calibration of the total carbon column observing network using aircraft profile data. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 3, 1351–1362.
- Wunch, D., Wennberg, P.O., Toon, G.C., Connor, B.J., Fisher, B., Osterman, G.B., Frankenberg, C., Mandrake, L., O'Dell, C., Ahonen, P., Biraud, S.C., Castano, R., Cressie, N., Crisp, D., Deutscher, N.M., Eldering, A., Fisher, M.L., Griffith, D.W.T., Gunson, M., Heikkinen, P., Keppel-Aleks, G., Kyro, E., Lindenmaier, R., Macatangay, R., Mendonca, J., Messerschmidt, J., Miller, C.E., Morino, I., Notholt, J., Oyafuso, F.A., Rettinger, M., Robinson, J., Roehl, C.M., Salawitch, R.J., Sherlock, V., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Tanaka, T., Thompson, D.R., Uchino, O., Warneke, T., Wofsy, S.C., 2011. A method for evaluating bias in global measurements of CO₂ total columns from space. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 12317–12337.
- Wylie, B.K., Johnson, D.A., Laca, E., Saliendra, N.Z., Gilmanov, T.G., Reed, B.C., Tieszen, L.L., Worstell, B.B., 2003. Calibration of remotely sensed, coarse resolution NDVI to CO₂ fluxes in a sagebrush-steppe ecosystem. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 85, 243–255.
- Xiao, J.F., 2014. Satellite evidence for significant biophysical consequences of the “Grain for Green” Program on the Loess Plateau in China. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 119, 2261–2275.
- Xiao, J.F., Moody, A., 2004. Photosynthetic activity of US biomes: responses to the spatial variability and seasonality of precipitation and temperature. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 10, 437–451.
- Xiao, J., Moody, A., 2005. Geographical distribution of global greening trends and their climatic correlates: 1982–1998. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 26, 2371–2390.
- Xiao, X.M., Hollinger, D., Aber, J., Goltz, M., Davidson, E.A., Zhang, Q.Y., Moore, B., 2004. Satellite-based modeling of gross primary production in an evergreen needle-leaf forest. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 89, 519–534.
- Xiao, J.F., Zhuang, Q.L., Baldocchi, D.D., Law, B.E., Richardson, A.D., Chen, J.Q., Oren, R., Starr, G., Noormets, A., Ma, S.Y., Verma, S.B., Wharton, S., Wofsy, S.C., Bolstad, P.V., Burns, S.P., Cook, D.R., Curtis, P.S., Drake, B.G., Falk, M., Fischer, M.L., Foster, D.R., Gu, L.H., Hadley, J.L., Hollinger, D.Y., Katul, G.G., Litvak, M., Martin, T.A., Matamala, R., McNulty, S., Meyers, T.P., Monson, R.K., Munger, J.W., Oechel, W.C., Paw U, K.T., Schmid, H.P., Scott, R.L., Sun, G., Suyker, A.E., Torn, M.S., 2008. Estimation of net ecosystem carbon exchange for the conterminous United States by combining MODIS and AmeriFlux data. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 148, 1827–1847.
- Xiao, J.F., Zhuang, Q.L., Law, B.E., Chen, J.Q., Baldocchi, D.D., Cook, D.R., Oren, R., Richardson, A.D., Wharton, S., Ma, S.Y., Martin, T.A., Verma, S.B., Suyker, A.E., Scott, R.L., Monson, R.K., Litvak, M., Hollinger, D.Y., Sun, G., Davis, K.J., Bolstad, P.V., Burns, S.P., Curtis, P.S., Drake, B.G., Falk, M., Fischer, M.L., Foster, D.R., Gu, L.H., Hadley, J.L., Katul, G.G., Roser, Y., McNulty, S., Meyers, T.P., Munger, J.W., Noormets, A., Oechel, W.C., Paw U, K.T., Schmid, H.P., Starr, G., Torn, M.S., Wofsy, S.C., 2010. A continuous measure of gross primary production for the conterminous United States derived from MODIS and AmeriFlux data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 576–591.
- Xiao, J.F., Zhuang, Q.L., Law, B.E., Baldocchi, D.D., Chen, J.Q., Richardson, A.D., Melillo, J.M., Davis, K.J., Hollinger, D.Y., Wharton, S., Oren, R., Noormets, A., Fischer, M.L., Verma, S.B., Cook, D.R., Sun, G., McNulty, S., Wofsy, S.C., Bolstad, P.V., Burns, S.P., Curtis, P.S., Drake, B.G., Falk, M., Foster, D.R., Gu, L.H., Hadley, J.L., Katul, G.G., Litvak, M., Ma, S.Y., Martin, T.A., Matamala, R., Meyers, T.P., Monson, R.K., Munger, J.W., Oechel, W.C., Paw U, K.T., Schmid, H.P., Scott, R.L., Starr, G., Suyker, A.E., Torn, M.S., 2011a. Assessing net ecosystem carbon exchange of U.S. terrestrial ecosystems by integrating eddy covariance flux measurements and satellite observations. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 151, 60–69.
- Xiao, J.F., Davis, K.J., Urban, N.M., Keller, K., Saliendra, N.Z., 2011b. Upscaling carbon fluxes from towers to the regional scale: influence of parameter variability and land cover representation on regional flux estimates. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 116, G00J06. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001568>.
- Xiao, J.F., Chen, J.Q., Davis, K.J., Reichstein, M., 2012. Advances in upscaling of eddy covariance measurements of carbon and water fluxes. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 117, G00J01. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001889>.
- Xiao, J.F., Sun, G., Chen, J.Q., Chen, H., Chen, S.P., Dong, G., Gao, S.H., Guo, H.Q., Guo, J.X., Han, S.J., Kato, T., Li, Y.L., Lin, G.H., Lu, W.Z., Ma, M.G., McNulty, S., Shao, C.L., Wang, X.F., Xie, X., Zhang, X.D., Zhang, Z.Q., Zhao, B., Zhou, G.S., Zhou, J., 2013. Carbon fluxes, evapotranspiration, and water use efficiency of terrestrial ecosystems in China. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 182–183, 76–90.

- Xiao, J.F., Ollinger, S.V., Frolking, S., Hurt, G.C., Hollinger, D.Y., Davis, K.J., Pan, Y.D., Zhang, X.Y., Deng, F., Chen, J.Q., Baldocchi, D.D., Law, B.E., Arain, M.A., Desai, A.R., Richardson, A.D., Sun, G., Amiro, B., Margolis, H., Gu, L.H., Scott, R.L., Blanken, P.D., Suyker, A.E., 2014a. Data-driven diagnostics of terrestrial carbon dynamics over North America. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 197, 142–157.
- Xiao, J.F., Davis, K.J., Urban, N.M., Keller, K., 2014b. Uncertainty in model parameters and regional carbon fluxes: a model-data fusion approach. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 189, 175–186.
- Xiao, J.F., Zhou, Y., Zhang, L., 2015. Contributions of natural and human factors to increases in vegetation productivity in China. *Ecosphere* 6 (11), 233. <https://doi.org/10.1890/ES14-00394.1>.
- Xiao, Z.Q., Liang, S.L., Sun, R., Wang, J.D., Jiang, B., 2015. Estimating the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation from the MODIS data based GLASS leaf area index product. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 171, 105–117.
- Yang, P.Q., van der Tol, C., 2018. Linking canopy scattering of far-red sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence with reflectance. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 209, 456–467.
- Yang, F.H., Ichii, K., White, M.A., Hashimoto, H., Michaelis, A.R., Votava, P., Zhu, A.X., Huete, A., Running, S.W., Nemani, R.R., 2007. Developing a continental-scale measure of gross primary production by combining MODIS and AmeriFlux data through Support Vector Machine approach. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 110, 109–122.
- Yang, R.M., Rossiter, D.G., Liu, F., Lu, Y.Y., Yang, F., Yang, F., Zhao, Y.G., Li, D.C., Zhang, G.L., 2015a. Predictive mapping of topsoil organic carbon in an alpine environment aided by Landsat TM. *PLoS One* 10 (10), e0139042. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139042>.
- Yang, X., Tang, J.W., Mustard, J.F., Lee, J.E., Rossini, M., Joiner, J., Munger, J.W., Kornfeld, A., Richardson, A.D., 2015b. Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence that correlates with canopy photosynthesis on diurnal and seasonal scales in a temperate deciduous forest. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 2977–2987.
- Yang, H.L., Yang, X., Zhang, Y.G., Heskel, M.A., Lu, X.L., Munger, J.W., Sun, S.C., Tang, J.W., 2017. Chlorophyll fluorescence tracks seasonal variations of photosynthesis from leaf to canopy in a temperate forest. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 2874–2886.
- Yang, K., Ryu, Y., Dechant, B., Berry, J.A., Hwang, Y., Jiang, C., Kang, M., Min, J., Kimm, H., Kornfeld, A., Yang, X., 2018. Sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence is more strongly related to absorbed light than to photosynthesis at half-hourly resolution in a rice paddy. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 216, 658–673.
- Yoshida, Y., Joiner, J., Tucker, C., Berry, J., Lee, J.E., Walker, G., Reichle, R., Koster, R., Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., 2015. The 2010 Russian drought impact on satellite measurements of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence: insights from modeling and comparisons with parameters derived from satellite reflectances. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 166, 163–177.
- Yu, Y.F., Saatchi, S., 2016. Sensitivity of L-band SAR backscatter to aboveground biomass of global forests. *Remote Sens.* 8 (6), 522. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8060522>.
- Yuan, W.P., Liu, S., Zhou, G.S., Zhou, G.Y., Tieszen, L.L., Baldocchi, D., Bernhofer, C., Gholz, H., Goldstein, A.H., Goulden, M.L., Hollinger, D.Y., Hu, Y., Law, B.E., Stoy, P.C., Vesala, T., Wofsy, S.C., AmeriFlux, C., 2007. Deriving a light use efficiency model from eddy covariance flux data for predicting daily gross primary production across biomes. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 143, 189–207.
- Yuan, W.P., Liu, S.G., Dong, W.J., Liang, S.L., Zhao, S.Q., Chen, J.M., Xu, W.F., Li, X.L., Barr, A., Black, T.A., Yan, W.D., Goulden, M.L., Kulmala, L., Lindroth, A., Margolis, H.A., Matsuura, Y., Moors, E., van der Molen, M., Ohta, T., Pilegaard, K., Varlagin, A., Vesala, T., 2014. Differentiating moss from higher plants is critical in studying the carbon cycle of the boreal biome. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4270. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5270>.
- Zarco-Tejada, P.J., Morales, A., Testi, L., Villalobos, F.J., 2013. Spatio-temporal patterns of chlorophyll fluorescence and physiological and structural indices acquired from hyperspectral imagery as compared with carbon fluxes measured with eddy covariance. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 133, 102–115.
- Zhang, X.Y., Kondragunta, S., 2006. Estimating forest biomass in the USA using generalized allometric models and MODIS land products. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33, L09402. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL025879>.
- Zhang, L., Wylie, B., Loveland, T., Fosnight, E., Tieszen, L.L., Ji, L., Gilmanov, T., 2007. Evaluation and comparison of gross primary production estimates for the Northern Great Plains grasslands. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 106, 173–189.
- Zhang, C.H., Ju, W.M., Chen, J.M., Li, D.Q., Wang, X.Q., Fan, W.Y., Li, M.S., Zan, M., 2014a. Mapping forest stand age in China using remotely sensed forest height and observation data. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 119, 1163–1179.
- Zhang, Q.Y., Cheng, Y.B., Lyapustin, A.I., Wang, Y.J., Gao, F., Suyker, A., Verma, S., Middleton, E.M., 2014b. Estimation of crop gross primary production (GPP): fAPAR (chl) versus MOD15A2 FPAR. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 153, 1–6.
- Zhang, X.T., Liang, S.L., Zhou, G.Q., Wu, H.R., Zhao, X., 2014c. Generating Global Land Surface Satellite incident shortwave radiation and photosynthetically active radiation products from multiple satellite data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 152, 318–332.
- Zhang, Y.G., Guanter, L., Berry, J.A., Joiner, J., van der Tol, C., Huete, A., Gitelson, A., Voigt, M., Kohler, P., 2014d. Estimation of vegetation photosynthetic capacity from space-based measurements of chlorophyll fluorescence for terrestrial biosphere models. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 3727–3742.
- Zhang, Y.G., Guanter, L., Berry, J.A., van der Tol, C., Yang, X., Tang, J.W., Zhang, F.M., 2016. Model-based analysis of the relationship between sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence and gross primary production for remote sensing applications. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 187, 145–155.
- Zhang, Z.Y., Ni, W.J., Sun, G.Q., Huang, W.L., Ranson, K.J., Cook, B.D., Guo, Z.F., 2017. Biomass retrieval from L-band polarimetric UAVSAR backscatter and PRISM stereo imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 194, 331–346.
- Zhang, X.Y., Liu, L.L., Liu, Y., Jayavelu, S., Wang, J.M., Moon, M., Henebry, G.M., Friedl, M.A., Schaaf, C.B., 2018a. Generation and evaluation of the VIIRS land surface phenology product. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 216, 212–229.
- Zhang, Y., Xiao, X.M., Zhang, Y.G., Wolf, S., Zhou, S., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Verma, M., Sun, Y., Yang, X., Paul-Limoges, E., Gough, C.M., Wohlfahrt, G., Gioli, B., van der Tol, C., Nouvellon, Y., Lund, M., de Grandcourt, A., 2018b. On the relationship between sub-daily instantaneous and daily total gross primary production: implications for interpreting satellite-based SIF retrievals. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 205, 276–289.
- Zhao, M.S., Heinsch, F.A., Nemani, R.R., Running, S.W., 2005. Improvements of the MODIS terrestrial gross and net primary production global data set. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 95, 164–176.
- Zhao, M., Running, S.W., Nemani, R.R., 2006. Sensitivity of Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) terrestrial primary production to the accuracy of meteorological reanalyses. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 111.
- Zhao, K.G., Popescu, S., Nelson, R., 2009. Lidar remote sensing of forest biomass: a scale-invariant estimation approach using airborne lasers. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 113, 182–196.
- Zhao, F., Huang, C.Q., Goward, S.N., Schleeuwis, K., Rishmawi, K., Lindsey, M.A., Denning, E., Keddl, L., Cohen, W.B., Yang, Z.Q., Dungan, J.L., Michaelis, A., 2018a. Development of Landsat-based annual US forest disturbance history maps (1986–2010) in support of the North American carbon program (NACP). *Remote Sens. Environ.* 209, 312–326.
- Zhao, K.G., Suarez, J.C., Garcia, M., Hu, T.X., Wang, C., Londo, A., 2018b. Utility of multi-temporal lidar for forest and carbon monitoring: tree growth, biomass dynamics, and carbon flux. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 204, 883–897.
- Zheng, D.L., Rademacher, J., Chen, J.Q., Crow, T., Bresee, M., le Moine, J., Ryu, S.R., 2004. Estimating aboveground biomass using Landsat 7 ETM+ data across a managed landscape in northern Wisconsin, USA. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 93, 402–411.
- Zheng, Y., Zhang, L., Xiao, J., Yuan, W., Yan, M., Li, T., Zhang, Z., 2018. Sources of uncertainty in gross primary productivity simulated by light use efficiency models: model structure, parameters, input data, and spatial resolution. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 263, 242–257.
- Zhou, L.M., Tucker, C.J., Kaufmann, R.K., Slayback, D., Shabanov, N.V., Myneni, R.B., 2001. Variations in northern vegetation activity inferred from satellite data of vegetation index during 1981 to 1999. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 106, 20069–20083.
- Zhou, Y.L., Wu, X.C., Ju, W.M., Chen, J.M., Wang, S.Q., Wang, H.M., Yuan, W.P., Black, T.A., Jassal, R., Ibrom, A., Han, S.J., Yan, J.H., Margolis, H., Rouspard, O., Li, Y.N., Zhao, F.H., Kiely, G., Starr, G., Pavelka, M., Montagnani, L., Wohlfahrt, G., D'Odorico, P., Cook, D., Arain, M.A., Bonal, D., Beringer, J., Blanken, P.D., Loubet, B., Leclerc, M.Y., Matteucci, G., Nagy, Z., Olejnik, J., U, K.T.P., Varlagin, A., 2016. Global parameterization and validation of a two-leaf light use efficiency model for predicting gross primary production across FLUXNET sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 121, 1045–1072.
- Zhou, Y., Zhang, L., Xiao, J., Williams, C.A., Vitkovskaya, I., Bao, A., 2019. Spatiotemporal transition of institutional and socioeconomic impacts on vegetation productivity in Central Asia over last three decades. *Sci. Total Environ.* 658, 922–935.
- Zhu, Z.C., Bi, J., Pan, Y.Z., Ganguly, S., Anav, A., Xu, L., Samanta, A., Piao, S.L., Nemani, R.R., Myneni, R.B., 2013. Global data sets of vegetation leaf area index (LAI)3g and fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (FPAR)3g derived from global inventory modeling and mapping studies (GIMMS) normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)3g for the period 1981 to 2011. *Remote Sens.* 5, 927–948.