

PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View IssueCite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**,
16464Inorganic clusters as metalloligands: ligand effects
on the synthesis and properties of ternary
nanopropeller clusters†Jonathan A. Kephart, , Andrew C. Boggiano, , Werner Kaminsky and
Alexandra Velian *

Redox-active multimetallic platforms with synthetically addressable and hemilabile active sites are attractive synthetic targets for mimicking the reactivity of enzymatic co-factors toward multielectron transformations. To this end, a family of ternary clusters featuring three edge metal sites anchored on a $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ multimetallic support via amidophosphine ligands are a promising platform. In this report, we explore how small changes in the stereoelectronic properties of these ligands alter $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ metalloligand formation, but also substrate binding affinity and strength of the edge/support interaction in two new ternary clusters, $\text{M}_3\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6$ ($\text{M} = \text{Zn}, \text{Fe}$; $\text{L}^{(-)} = \text{Ph}_2\text{PN}^{(-)}\text{Pr}$). These clusters are characterized extensively using a range of methods, including single crystal X-ray diffraction, electronic absorption spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry. Substrate binding studies reveal that $\text{Fe}_3\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6$ resists coordination of larger ligands like pyridine or tetrahydrofuran, but binds the smaller ligand CN^tBu . Additionally, investigations into the synthesis of new $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ metalloligands using two aminophosphines, $\text{Ph}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})\text{Pr}$ (L^{H}) and $^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})\text{Pr}$, led to the synthesis and characterization of $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6^{\text{H}}$, as well as the smaller clusters $\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2(\text{CO})_6\text{L}_4^{\text{H}}$, $\text{Co}_3\text{Se}(\mu_2\text{-PPh}_2)(\text{CO})_4\text{L}_3^{\text{H}}$, and $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3(^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})\text{Pr})_2]$. Cumulatively, this study expands our understanding on the effect of the stereoelectronic properties of aminophosphine ligands in the synthesis of cobalt chalcogenide clusters, and, importantly on modulating the push–pull dynamic between the $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ support, the edge metals and incoming coordinating ligands in ternary $\text{M}_3\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6$ clusters.

Received 9th July 2020,
Accepted 24th August 2020
DOI: 10.1039/d0dt02416c
rsc.li/dalton

Introduction

Multimetallic clusters play a critical role in many enzymatic transformations, including nitrogen fixation and oxygen evolution, among other challenging multielectron catalytic sequences.¹ Key features that enable their reactivity include their overall structural plasticity, which allows flexible active site conformations, and intracluster intermetallic cooperativity to facilitate multielectron processes.^{2,3} Replicating enzymatic reactivity in synthetic clusters has been an area of intense research, and numerous synthetic platforms have been explored to achieve this. Fig. 1a displays a selection of site differentiated clusters, including the heterocubane $[\text{Mo}(\text{L}_2)\text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4\text{Cl}_3]^{2-}$ ($\text{L}_2^{2-} = \text{tetrachlorocatecholate}$),⁴ the polyoxovanadate $\text{FeV}_5\text{O}_6(\text{OCH}_3)_{12}$,⁵ and the tetrametallic oxo cluster




Fig. 1 Heterometallic clusters featuring (a) active sites rigidly embedded within the polymetallic framework, and (b) dangler sites tethered at the cluster surface.

University of Washington, Department of Chemistry, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA.
E-mail: avelian@uw.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization data, including CIF files for $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$, $[1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6][\text{PF}_6]$, 2, 3, 4, $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Zn}_3$, and $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Fe}_3$. CCDC 2014422–2014427 and 2014429. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0dt02416c

$\text{MnFe}_3(\mu_4\text{-O})\text{L}_3\text{L}'$ (L = phenylpyrazolate; L' = 1,3,5-triarylbenzene based ligand).⁶ These platforms illustrate instances in which the active site is rigidly embedded in a redox-active poly-metallic framework that modulates its stoichiometric and even catalytic reactivity. Alternatively, an active site bound in a hemilabile fashion to a polymetallic framework might better replicate the structural plasticity of enzymatic clusters and lead to improved reactivity, but achieving this requires synthetic innovations. Successful strategies to install a “dangler” metal on an inorganic cluster have been developed, especially in the context of modelling the oxygen evolving complex.^{7–10} Fig. 1b includes such an example, the heterocubane $\text{Co}_4\text{MnO}_4(\text{OAc})_6(\text{py})_3(\text{NO}_3)$ (Ac = acetate) featuring a dangling $\text{Co}(\text{II})$ subsite.⁷ Our group recently introduced a modular strategy to install active sites that interact in a hemilabile fashion with a redox-active multimetallic platform, and demonstrated that these designer clusters are catalytically competent.^{11,12} The ternary nanocluster $\text{Fe}_3\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8(\text{Ph}_2\text{PNTol})_6$ ($1^{\text{Tot-Fe}_3}$, Fig. 1b) features three Fe edge sites, each anchored to a $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ cluster core by two amides and two hemilabile $\text{Fe}\cdots\text{Se}$ interactions. This cluster concept is highly modular, as the surface binding sites are poised to host other metals (e.g. cobalt),¹² and the stereoelectronic properties of the amidophosphine ligands can be easily tuned.¹³

In our initial report,¹¹ we discovered that the strength of the $\text{Fe}\cdots\text{Se}$ interaction is redox-modulated. For example, mono-reduction of $1^{\text{Tot-Fe}_3}$, which effectively localizes an extra electron on the polymetallic cobalt core, increases the $\text{Fe}\cdots\text{Se}$ interaction strength and lowers the edge sites affinity for ligands to zero. Here, we set out to explore further this push–pull relation between the cluster support, the edge site and the incoming substrates, by probing how the nature of the edge/core interaction changes when ligand coordination is sterically blocked at the edge sites. To achieve this, we chose two aminophosphines that are slightly bulkier and also more electron rich than $\text{Ph}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})\text{Tol}$, namely ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$ and $\text{Ph}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$.^{14,15} To our surprise, we found that subtle changes in stereoelectronic properties of the aminophosphine not only affect ligand coordination at the edge sites and the electronic structure of the ternary clusters, but have long ranging consequences in the synthesis of the targeted $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ metalloligands, altering optimal reaction conditions and even favoring formation of smaller clusters. We report here our detailed findings on both fronts, including the synthesis and characterization of the two ternary clusters $\text{M}_3\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6$ ($1^{\text{iPr-M}_3}$; M = Zn, Fe; $\text{L}^{(-)} = \text{Ph}_2\text{PN}^{(-)}{}^i\text{Pr}$), the metalloligand $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6^{\text{H}}$ ($1^{\text{iPr-H}_6}$; $\text{L}^{\text{H}} = \text{Ph}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$), as well as the smaller clusters $\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2(\text{CO})_6\text{L}_4^{\text{H}}$ (**2**) and $\text{Co}_3\text{Se}(\mu_2\text{-PPh}_2)(\text{CO})_4\text{L}_3^{\text{H}}$ (**3**), and $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3({}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr})]_2$ (**4**).

Results and discussion

Ligand effects in the synthesis of a $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ metalloligand

Several protocols are available to synthesize molecular cobalt chalcogenide Chevrel-type clusters. The first reported syntheses of $\text{Co}_6\text{Q}_8\text{L}_6$ (Q = S, Se; L = PPh_3) clusters relied on the use


of silylated or anionic chalcogen sources, such as $\text{Se}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ and Na_2S , in conjunction with the phosphine-bound cobalt halide precursor, $\text{CoCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$.^{16–18} A more convenient synthetic route that circumvents the experimental challenges associated with manipulating reactive chalcogen sources involves using instead a $\text{Co}(0)$ source, elemental chalcogen, and phosphine ligands in different ratios.^{19–21} For example, to produce $\text{Co}_6\text{Q}_8\text{L}_6$ clusters, stoichiometric $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ is treated with excess of both the chalcogen and the phosphine (≥ 12 equiv.),^{20–23} whereas stoichiometric tellurium and excess phosphine are used to synthesize the more electron rich $\text{Co}_6\text{Te}_8\text{L}_6$ variants.^{19,20,24} Empirically, it has been found that using excess chalcogen alone shifts the distribution of products toward the formation of partially carbonylated clusters $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8(\text{CO})_x\text{L}_{6-x}$.²⁵

While the details of the mechanism by which Chevrel-type clusters assemble are not well understood, the phosphine is proposed to play a critical role in their synthesis. In addition to binding to Co, the phosphine effectively functions as a chalcogen transfer reagent.²⁴ Empirically, we note the identity of the phosphine has a pronounced influence over the cluster formation process. For example, replacing a single ethyl group in PET_3 with a bulkier and less electron rich group (i.e. 4-bromophenyl or 9-ethynylphenanthroline) results in significantly longer reaction times.^{20–22} The stereoelectronic nature of the phosphine stands to alter the numerous equilibria involved in the formation of $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ clusters, impacting both the rates of formation of different intermediates and the final distribution of products. Steric bulk considerations seem an especially important factor: while no $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6$ clusters have been reported to form with bulkier phosphines (i.e. P^iPr_3), phosphines of very different donor–acceptor properties (e.g. PET_3 and PPh_3)²⁶ have been successfully used to produce them.

In this study we find that while the aminophosphine $\text{Ph}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$ (L^{H}) yields the $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ cluster $1^{\text{iPr-H}_6}$, the related ligand ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$, completely inhibits its formation, halting the reaction to yield the dimer $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3({}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr})]_2$ (**4**). Comparing strictly the relative steric bulk for PPh_3 , PET_3 , $\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{Ph}$ and ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{Ph}$ (the latter two serving as proxies for L^{H} and ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$) using the Tolman cone angles in octahedral coordination environments, we find they steadily increase in the series from 152.0° , 157.8° , 165.5° , to 172.7° , respectively.^{14,27} A similar trend in steric profiles is obtained using the percent buried volume method, which estimates values for this parameter between 27.6% and 29.1% for PPh_3 , L^{H} and $\text{Ph}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})\text{Tol}$, and slightly larger for ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$, at 31.5%.¹⁵

Aminophosphine ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$ halts cluster formation to Co dimer **4**

The stoichiometric reaction of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$, Se and ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$ produced only the $\text{Co}(0)$ dimer complex **4** (38% isolated yield),



Scheme 1 Aminophosphine ${}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H}){}^i\text{Pr}$ leads to the formation of dimer **4**, and no $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ cluster.

and no other observable cobalt products (Scheme 1). The UV-vis spectrum of this dimer features an absorption band at 377 nm, and infrared analysis reveals the presence of a terminal CO ligand ($\nu_{\text{CO}} = 1943 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). X-ray quality red-orange prismatic crystals of **4** were grown from diethyl ether at -35°C , allowing for its structural characterization in the solid state. The compound features a long unsupported Co–Co bond ($2.6731(6) \text{ \AA}$; formal shortness ratio $r = 1.16$),^{28,29} with each Co(0) atom bound by three terminal CO groups and one $i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})i\text{Pr}$ aminophosphine in an overall trigonal bipyramidal geometry (Fig. S29†).³⁰ Similar $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PR}_3)]_2$ dimers equipped with a variety of phosphine ligands have been previously isolated, and used as pre-catalysts for the hydroformylation of alkenes.^{31,32} **4** is thermally robust, and does not undergo further chemical transformation upon treatment with $\text{Se}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{N}(\text{H})i\text{Pr}$ or with elemental selenium in refluxing toluene.

Aminophosphine L^{H} alters optimal reagent stoichiometry



Having quickly discovered that $i\text{Pr}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})i\text{Pr}$ does not lead to formation of the $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ cluster under the experimental conditions we sampled, we turned to a closer variant of aminophosphine $\text{Ph}_2\text{PN}(\text{H})\text{Tol}$, namely L^{H} , with a slightly bulkier and more electron rich isopropyl substituent that is spatially removed from the core-binding phosphorous center at the PPh_2 terminus. To identify a synthetic protocol to prepare $1^{i\text{Pr}}\text{-H}_6$, we investigated a range of conditions, including stoichiometric reagent ratios, excess L^{H} , and excess SeL^{H} , with each variation yielding a different mixture of products (Scheme 2). Refluxing $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ with excess SeL^{H} (12 equiv.), a protocol successfully yielding a range of $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8(\text{PR}_3)_6$ clusters,^{20–23} produced instead a mixture of partially carbonylated $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8(\text{CO})_x\text{L}^{\text{H}}_{6-x}$ clusters and the $[4,2]$ cluster **2** (Scheme 2a). Refluxing stoichiometric $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ and Se with excess L^{H} (12 equiv.), a strategy used to prepare $\text{Co}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PEt}_3)_6$,²⁰ completely hindered the formation of the $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ cluster, forming instead a mixture of **2** and **3** (Scheme 1b). Empirically, we found that increasing both the concentration of the reagents and the amounts of phosphine and selenium favored formation of **3**, allowing its isolation and characterization (Scheme 2c). Ultimately, the optimized synthetic protocol for $1^{i\text{Pr}}\text{-H}_6$ entails refluxing a stoichiometric

ratio of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$, Se, and L^{H} , followed by addition of excess L^{H} under continued reflux to convert partially carbonylated products to $1^{i\text{Pr}}\text{-H}_6$ (Scheme 2d).

$[\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2]$ cluster **2** and its conversion to $1^{i\text{Pr}}\text{-H}_6$

Having discovered that the presence of excess phosphine at the onset of the synthesis halts the cluster assembly reaction to mainly **2** (Scheme 2b), we proceeded to isolate it pure. NMR spectroscopy analysis of the crude mixture revealed the presence of SeL^{H} and L^{H} . Following their removal using n -pentane, **2** was isolated pure as a dark green solid by extraction in toluene and subsequent crystallization from THF/ n -pentane (0.219 g, 24% yield of first crop). The single set of proton NMR resonances associated with the aminophosphine ligand are accompanied by a broad singlet in the ^{31}P NMR (82 ppm, $\nu_{1/2} = 320 \text{ Hz}$), suggestive of the high symmetry of the complex. UV-vis spectroscopy revealed four broad electronic absorption bands between 329 and 626 nm (Fig. 3b), closely matching those of previously reported $\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2(\text{CO})_6(\text{P}^n\text{Bu}_3)_4$,²⁰ and distinct from those of $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ clusters. The presence of terminal and bridging carbonyl ligands is evident in the infrared spectrum of the main product, with CO stretches at 1927 and 1775 cm^{-1} .

While the combination of spectroscopic characterizations indirectly pointed to the formation of a partially carbonylated $[\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2]$ cluster as the main product of the reaction,^{24,33} its identity as **2** was confirmed using single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2). Related bicapped, rectangular $[\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2]$ clusters, such as $\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ or $\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2(\text{CO})_6(\text{P}^n\text{Bu})_4$, have been previously isolated from the reaction of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ with either the more soluble red selenium (1 : 1 mole ratio), or with a mixture of Se and P^nBu_3 (1 : 1 : 4 mole ratio).^{20,34} **2** is only the second $[\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2]$ cluster to be structurally characterized, with Co...Co and Co...Se distances closely matching its predecessor, $\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$.³⁵ The cluster core features a rectangular plane of Co(I) metals, that is capped on both faces by μ_4 -Se ligands (Fig. 2b). Interatomic Co...Co distances are observed between 2.54(1) and 2.746(1) \AA , indicative of weak Co–Co bonds (formal shortness ratio, $r = 1.09$, and 1.19, respectively),^{28,29} and Co–Se bond lengths average 2.38 \AA . The two shortened Co–Co contacts are supported by bridging CO ligands, as indicated by the presence of a 1775 cm^{-1} infrared feature. Each Co metal vertex



Scheme 2 Effects of the stoichiometry of reagents on the nuclearity and ratio of the cobalt selenide clusters produced in the reaction of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$, Se, L^{H} or SeL^{H} in refluxing toluene.




Fig. 2 Single crystal X-ray diffraction structure of **2** in full (a), and trimmed to only the inorganic core and the immediate coordination sphere (b). Selected interatomic distances(Å): Co1–Co1 2.54(1), Co1–Co2 2.746(1), Co2–Co2 2.51(1); Co1–Se1 2.386(5), Co2–Se1 2.405(2). Thermal ellipsoids are plotted at 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms are omitted, and the aminophosphine carbon atoms are plotted as wireframes for clarity.

is also bound by one L^H ligand and one terminal CO. The up/down orientation of these ligands with respect to the plane of the metal ring alternates at each corner of the rectangle, enforcing the overall C_{2v} symmetry of the inorganic unit.

[4,2] clusters, such as $Co_4Se_2(CO)_6(P^tBu_3)_4$ or $Co_4Te_2(CO)_6(PEt_3)_4$, have been shown to thermally convert to the corresponding [6,8] clusters in the presence of excess phosphine and chalcogen.^{20,24} To test if **2** is a competent precursor to $1^{iPr}-H_6$, a mixture of **2** (1 equiv.), L^H (12 equiv.) and selenium (6 equiv.) was refluxed in toluene. Mild effervescence and a gradual color change from deep green to red-brown accompanied the reaction (Fig. 3). 1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture revealed the clean conversion of the $[Co_4Se_2]$ starting material to $1^{iPr}-H_6$ (Fig. S8†). The UV-vis absorption spectrum of this mixture bears the tell-tale signs of a $[Co_6Se_8]$ cluster with three strong absorption features at 365, 444 and 505 nm. While excess L^H was found to halt the self-assembly process to the formation of **2**, excess phosphine and Se are necessary to convert this intermediate to the desired species $1^{iPr}-H_6$.

Isolation of $[Co_3Se]$ cluster **3**

Empirically, we discovered that altering the stoichiometry of the reagents to a molar ratio of 6 Co : 10 Se : 15 L^H and increasing the concentration of the reagents led to the preferential formation of the $[Co_3Se]$ cluster **3** over **2** (Scheme 2c). After a solvent workup, the new cluster could be isolated pure as a deep red solid by crystallization from a mixture of toluene and *n*-pentane (35% yield). Analysis by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy revealed that the product is desymmetrized, featuring three broad resonances in a 2 : 1 : 1 ratio at 82, 89 and 165 ppm ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 370$ –400 Hz). The signal at 165 ppm is shifted downfield significantly from Co-bound L^H , providing a first clue of the fragmentation incurred by the aminophosphine. This signal is located within a spectral region typical for bridging cobalt phosphido ligands. For example, $Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(CO)_2(PEt_2Ph)_2$




Fig. 3 (a) Thermal conversion of **2** to $1^{iPr}-H_6$ in the presence of excess L^H and Se. (b) UV-vis absorption analysis of the reaction mixture before and after the thermal conversion, revealing a clear transition to the absorption profile characteristic of $[Co_6Se_8]$ clusters.

and $Co_3(\mu_2-PPh_2)_3(CO)_5(PEt_2Ph)$ exhibit phosphido ^{31}P signals at 176, 199, and 275 ppm, respectively.³⁶ 1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy analysis indicates the presence of two L^H environments in a 2 : 1 ratio, along with a separate set of PPh_2 aromatic resonances that corroborate the fragmentation of the P–N bond. Infrared spectroscopy confirmed the presence of only terminal carbonyl ligands, with three CO stretches observed between 1982 and 1918 cm^{-1} . Finally, the UV-vis spectrum contains two broad absorption bands at 369 and 636 nm, distinguished from those typical of $[Co_6Se_8]$ or $[Co_4Se_2]$ clusters (Fig. S12†).

The product was identified as the novel $[Co_3Se]$ cluster **3** using single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 4a). Red needle-shaped crystals were grown *via* vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into a concentrated THF solution of the compound at 25 °C. The Co_3Se core consists of a triangular Co_3 unit capped by a μ_3-Se atom. The interatomic Co–Co distances, ranging between 2.47(1) and 2.62(1) Å, are again indicative of weak metal–metal bonding interactions ($r = 1.07, 1.11, 1.13$). A diphenylphosphido ligand, μ_2-PPh_2 , formed ostensibly *via* fragmentation of the aminophosphine P–N bond, bridges between two of the cobalt atoms. The asymmetry of the cluster detected in the infrared and NMR spectra is reflected also in the distribution of the terminal ligands. While each cobalt is hexacoordinate, Co2 and Co3 are terminally bound to one L^H and one CO, whereas the third metal, Co1, is coordinated by an aminophosphine and two CO ligands (Fig. 4b).

The structural similarity of $[Co_3Se]$ complexes with the $Co_3(\mu_3-Se)$ corners of the Co_6Se_8 core suggests they might be intermediates in the formation of Chevrel-type clusters. Inspecting the literature we find that $[Co_3Se]$ clusters that are




Fig. 4 Single crystal X-ray diffraction structure of **3** in full (a), and trimmed to only the inorganic core (b). Selected interatomic distances (Å): Co1–Co2 2.57(2), Co1–Co3 2.62(1), Co2–Co3 2.47(1); Co1–Se1 2.29(1), Co2–Se1 2.30(2), Co3–Se1 2.314(9); Co2–P4 2.179(9), Co3–P4 2.19(1). Thermal ellipsoids are plotted at 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms and co-crystallizing solvent molecules are omitted. The aminophosphine carbon atoms are plotted as wireframes for clarity.

bound exclusively by L-type ligands³⁷ are unstable with respect to isolation. For example, $\text{Co}_3\text{Se}(\text{CO})_9$ decomposes unless stored under carbon monoxide.³⁴ Molecular orbital considerations have attributed the high reactivity of $\text{Co}_3\text{Se}(\text{CO})_9$ to the half-occupancy of a tricobalt antibonding orbital.³⁸ Typically, the inherent instability of such 27 electron, $S = 1/2$ $[\text{Co}_3\text{Se}]$ clusters is overcome upon formal mono-oxidation to a 26 electron, diamagnetic cluster by introducing an anionic X-type ligand in the coordination environment of the metal ring.³⁹ Indeed, there is a plenitude of $[\text{Co}_3\text{Q}]$ clusters that contain an X-type ligand, such as $\text{Co}_3\text{SePh}(\text{CO})_8$ or $\text{Co}_3\text{S}(\mu_2\text{-S}^t\text{Bu})(\text{CO})_7$.^{39,40} While **3** is the first $[\text{Co}_3\text{Se}]$ cluster bound by a bridging phosphido, a close $[\text{Co}_3\text{S}]$ variant, $\text{Co}_3\text{S}(\mu_2\text{-PPh}_2)(\text{CO})_6(\text{PPh}_3)$, has been previously reported. Interestingly, this

species forms in the reaction between $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ with Ph_2PSPPh , effectively *via* the fragmentation of the thiophosphine P–S bond.⁴¹ Here, we hypothesize that if a putative 27 electron $[\text{Co}_3\text{Se}]$ cluster $\text{Co}_3\text{Se}(\text{CO})_{9-x}\text{L}^H_x$ is formed in the presence of excess aminophosphine, it favors conversion to the observed, and more stable, 26 electron species **3** *via* activation of the aminophosphine P–N bond and incorporation of the bridging phosphide. The relative susceptibility of the P–N bond toward fragmentation (compared to less reactive P–C bonds), allows here the observation and trapping of an otherwise elusive $[\text{Co}_3\text{Se}]$ cluster intermediate.

Homoleptic cluster $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ and its chemical mono-oxidation

Prepared by adding excess L^H (3 equiv.) to a refluxing a stoichiometric mixture of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$, Se, and L^H in toluene (Scheme 2d), $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ is isolated pure in good yield (11.2 g, 80% yield) as a dark red, microcrystalline solid. In solution, $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ exhibits a single set of ^1H NMR resonances for the six aminophosphine ligands, and a broad singlet in the ^{31}P NMR spectrum at 98 ppm. UV-vis absorption analysis in toluene reveals the diagnostic absorption profile anticipated for $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ clusters, with three broad absorption bands cascading from higher to lower intensity at 365, 444, and 505 nm, respectively.

The homoleptic cluster $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ crystallizes in the trigonal space group $R\bar{3}$ and features a Co_6Se_8 core with pseudo-octahedral symmetry (Fig. 5a). All eight trigonal facets of the distorted Co_6 octahedron are capped by $\mu_3\text{-Se}$ ligands, with Co–Se bond lengths of 2.34 Å (avg.). Each Co metal is bound in a square pyramidal geometry *via* four $\mu_3\text{-Se}$ atoms and an aminophosphine ligand. This mixed-valent, formally $(\text{Co}^{\text{III}})_4(\text{Co}^{\text{II}})_2$, cluster features nearly equivalent interatomic Co...Co distances, ranging from 2.9390(5) to 2.9961(6) Å.⁴² In contrast to the more reduced $[\text{Co}_3\text{Se}]$ and $[\text{Co}_4\text{Se}_2]$ congeners, these




Fig. 5 Single crystal X-ray diffraction structure of (a) neutral cluster $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ and (b) its packing in the lattice governed by π – π stacking of phenyl rings, and (c) the mono-oxidized cluster, $[1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6][\text{PF}_6]$. Selected interatomic distances (Å): (a) Co1–Se1 2.3334(5), Co1...Co1 2.9961(6), Se1...Se1 5.5081(9), Se2...Se2 5.6655(7); (b) intermolecular Ph...Ph distances 3.547(6); (c) Co1–Se1 2.350(2), Co1–P1 2.164(6), P1–N1 1.672(5). Thermal ellipsoids are plotted at 50% probability level. The aminophosphine carbon atoms are plotted as wireframes, and the atoms in PF_6^- counterion as spheres for clarity. All hydrogen atoms and disorder are omitted.


elongated Co...Co distances indicate the absence of metal-metal bonding ($r = 1.29$), which is the norm for $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6$ clusters.

Notably, in contrast to $1^{\text{Tot}}\text{-H}_6$ and other reported $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ clusters, the isopropyl derivative $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ has extremely poor solubility in conventional organic solvents post-purification. In fact, dissolving it requires stirring in chlorobenzene (PhCl) at temperatures exceeding 120°C for at least 1 h. Single crystal X-ray characterization of $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ sheds light on the root cause of its low solubility, revealing that upon crystallization an intermolecular network of π - π interactions is formed (Fig. 5b). Each cluster organizes around it a supramolecular octahedron of clusters by engaging one phenyl substituent of each of its aminophosphine ligands in π - π interactions with a phenyl group of a neighboring cluster.⁴³ Interestingly, the Co_6Se_8 core is compressed along the z axis, with axial and equatorial Se...Se distances of 5.5081(9) and 5.6655(7) Å, respectively. While this core compression is intriguing, $1^{\text{Tot}}\text{-H}_6$ exhibits a similar trend in its Se...Se diagonals, which range between 5.56(2) and 5.716(7) Å, despite the absence of similar packing effects.


Chemical mono-oxidation of $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ disrupts the π - π network and increases its solubility (Scheme 3). $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ clusters are known to exhibit very rich redox profiles, undergoing up to four reversible single-electron oxidations.⁴⁴ Treating a slurry of $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ in THF with ferrocenium hexafluorophosphate (FcPF_6 ; 1 equiv.) causes an immediate dissolution of the suspended solids, and is associated with a simultaneous change in color from red-brown to a deep red-orange hue. The mixture was stirred for 1 h before volatiles were removed *in vacuo*, and the resulting solids were slurried in toluene to remove the ferrocene. The dark red oxidized cluster, $[1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6][\text{PF}_6]$ (82% yield), now highly soluble in more polar solvents like acetonitrile, THF or DCM, can be easily crystallized from a mixture of THF and diethyl ether. Its ^1H NMR profile, recorded in d_3 -acetonitrile, is comparable to that observed for $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$, although both the NH and $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ proton resonances are slightly broadened due to the $S = 1/2$ $\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8^{+}$ core. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis confirms mono-oxidation (Fig. 5c). In addition to the presence of the PF_6^- counterion, we note changes in bond lengths that are diagnostic of cluster mono-oxidation.²¹ For example, as the intracore *trans*-Co...Co distances contract by *ca.* 0.08 Å from 4.197 to 4.120 Å (avg.), the Co-P bonds elongate by *ca.* 0.03 Å from 2.13 to 2.16 Å (avg.).

Ternary clusters $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$ ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Zn}$)

To trimetallate $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$, we adapted the previously reported protocol (Scheme 4).¹¹ To ensure the quantitative hexadeprotona-



Scheme 3 Chemical oxidation of the homoleptic $[\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8]$ cluster, $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$.



Scheme 4 Synthesis of ternary nanopropeller clusters $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Fe}_3$ and $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Zn}_3$.

tion of $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$, a solvent mixture of PhCl and THF (5:1) and excess $^n\text{BuLi}$ (8 equiv.) were used. ^{31}P NMR analysis indicates that complete deprotonation is associated with a 10 ppm upfield shift in the signal of the amidophosphine phosphorus, to 89 ppm. In contrast to $1^{\text{Tot}}\text{-H}_6$, the hexalithiated species, tentatively identified as $\text{Li}_6(\text{THF})_x\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8\text{L}_6$ ($1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Li}_6(\text{THF})_x$), does not precipitate from the PhCl solution, yet aliquots collected from the deprotonation mixture could not be redissolved in benzene- d_6 after removal of solvent. Trimetallation was achieved *in situ* in the stoichiometric reaction between the hexalithiated complex $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Li}_6(\text{THF})_x$ and MCl_2 ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Zn}$; Scheme 4). Crude NMR spectroscopy analysis indicated the formation of a one major product, $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$. Significantly more soluble than their parent metalloligand, the $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$ clusters can be isolated pure in good yields by crystallization (76 and 77% yield for Fe, and Zn respectively). Compared to the tolyl derivative $1^{\text{Tot}}\text{-Fe}_3$, the $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$ clusters are highly water sensitive, forming $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$ or a mixture of mono- and dimetallated clusters in the presence of trace water. Intrigued at the possibility to access the putative zwitterion $[1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Li}_6(\text{THF})_x][\text{PF}_6]$, we found that the hexadeprotonation of the mono-oxidized cluster $[1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6][\text{PF}_6]$ produces a mixture of species instead. Among them, a major component is neutral $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6$, presumably formed by chemical reduction of $[1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-H}_6][\text{PF}_6]$ by $^n\text{BuLi}$.

Single crystal X-ray analysis of $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$ reveals that they crystallize with no solvents coordinated to the edge sites (Fig. 6), in contrast to $1^{\text{Tot}}\text{-Fe}_3$ which was only obtained in single crystal form only as a solvent adduct.¹¹ As a result of the κ^4 chelation of M by the metalloligand, the inorganic $\text{M}_3\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8$ cores of $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$ exhibit near-perfect D_3 symmetry. Structurally, this resembles closest the previously reported monoreduced cluster $[1^{\text{Tot}}\text{-Fe}_3]^-$, isolated and characterized in the solid state free of bound surface ligands.¹¹ As was the case for $[1^{\text{Tot}}\text{-Fe}_3]^-$, the $\text{M}_3\text{Co}_6\text{Se}_8$ units of $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$ can be deconstructed into three (μ_4 -Se)-bicapped trinuclear MCo_2 subunits interconnected along their Co...Co edge by two apical, non-M-binding μ_3 -Se atoms, which also define the principle C_3 rotational axis of the cluster. Similarly to the tolyl derivatives, $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-M}_3$ crystallize as a racemic mixture of helical (Δ/Λ)-enantiomers.

In $1^{\text{iPr}}\text{-Fe}_3$, each Fe edge site is bound κ^4 by the metalloligand *via* two amides and two μ_4 -Se atoms, giving rise to a distorted-tetrahedral coordination at iron ($\tau_4 = 0.76$; Fig. 6a).⁴⁵ The six Fe-Se bonds range between 2.496(2) and 2.5360(2) Å,⁴⁶




Fig. 6 Single crystal X-ray structures of the nanopropeller clusters, Δ -1^{iPr}-Fe₃ (a) and Δ -1^{iPr}-Zn₃ (b), with insets highlighting the κ^4 -bound edge sites. (a) Selected interatomic distances (Å): (a) Fe1–Se1 2.5360(2), Fe1–Se6 2.496(2), Fe1–N1 1.962(2), Fe1–N4 1.952(4), Fe1–Co1 2.885(1), Fe1–Co6 2.831(2), Co1–Co6 2.780(5), Co1–Se1 2.373(2), Co6–Se6 2.401(2), Co6–P4 2.171(2), P4–N4 1.6512(6); (b) Zn1–Se1 2.711(2), Zn1–Se6 2.660(5), Zn1–N1 1.882(7), Zn1–N4 1.888(8), Zn1–Co1 3.181(4), Zn1–Co6 3.181(3), Co1–Co6 2.910(4), Co1–Se1 2.369(2), Co6–Se6 2.385(1), N1–P1 1.648(8), Co1–P1 2.163(3). For Δ -1^{iPr}-Zn₃, plotted is only the major component (88% occupancy), omitting for clarity the cocrystallizing 1^{iPr}-H₆ (12% occupancy; see S4.7†). Thermal ellipsoids plotted at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms, co-crystallizing solvent, and disorder are omitted. All carbon atoms are displayed as wireframes for clarity.

and cause pronounced structural distortions within the Co₆Se₈ cluster core. For example, the Co–(μ_4 -Se) distances of 2.38 Å (avg.) are significantly longer than the Co–(μ_3 -Se) bonds of 2.33 Å (avg.). This elongation effectively flattens the Co₆Se₈ cube in the equatorial plane defined by the edge sites. This distortion can be quantified by the differences between the axial (along the C_3 axis) and equatorial Se...Se diagonal distances of the Co₆Se₈ core, of 5.412(8) and 5.77 (avg.) Å, respectively. Additionally, the Fe...Co and Co...Co interatomic distances within the FeCo₂ subunits average 2.87 and 2.80 Å, respectively, whereas the Co...Co distances that separate these triangles average 3.00 Å. These trends are similar to those observed for [1^{Tol}-Fe₃][–], although in 1^{iPr}-Fe₃ the Fe–Se contacts are slightly longer (2.52 vs. 2.46 Å, avg.), and the core distortions are slightly less pronounced. While a direct comparison of bonding metrics with the neutral 1^{Tol}-Fe₃ cluster cannot be made since the latter was crystallized only as the tris-adduct 1^{Tol}-Fe₃(CN^tBu)₃, we note that the three Fe–Se bonds in 1 this trisisocyanide adduct, averaging 2.51 Å, are comparable to 2.52 Å, the average value for the six Fe–Se bonds in 1^{iPr}-Fe₃.¹¹

The trizinc variant 1^{iPr}-Zn₃ exhibits a similar structure, except the Zn(II) sites coordinate in a geometry better described as distorted seesaw than tetrahedral ($\theta_{N-Zn-N} \approx 161^\circ$; $\tau_4 = 0.70$). Compared to 1^{iPr}-Fe₃, the edge-support interactions in 1^{iPr}-Zn₃ are weaker, as reflected by weak Zn–Se bonds, ranging in length between 2.648(2) and 2.780(4) Å.⁴⁷ Consequently, the structural distortion of the Co₆Se₈ core is also less pronounced, with roughly equivalent axial and equatorial Se...Se diagonals of 5.613(4) and 5.66 (avg.) Å, respectively.

The electronic changes brought about by replacing the tolyl substituents with isopropyl ones in the 1^{iPr}-M₃ clusters was probed in solution using UV-vis-*n*IR absorption spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry (Fig. 7). A comparison of the electronic absorption profile of 1^{iPr}-Fe₃ with that of 1^{Tol}-Fe₃, collected in a non-coordinative solvent (toluene), where no surface ligands are bound to either of the two clusters, reveals the main absorption feature of the isopropyl derivative is blue-shifted by 16 nm, and slightly less intense than that of the tolyl derivative (Fig. 7a). We also note that while trimetallation with Fe perturbs significantly the characteristic absorption features of the metalloligand, this is less pronounced in the Zn derivative, empirically related to the degree of structural distortion observed within the Co₆Se₈ core. While the three absorption bands of 1^{iPr}-Zn₃ are red-shifted and broadened relative to those of 1^{iPr}-H₆, they are centered at a similar energy to the broad feature of 1^{iPr}-Fe₃.

Cyclic voltammetry reveals that both ternary 1^{iPr}-M₃ clusters feature a total of five pseudo-reversible one-electron redox processes, spanning oxidation states between –2 and +3 (Fig. 7b). We note that the HOMO–LUMO gap, approximated as the separation between the (–1/0) and (0/+1) redox couples in THF, is smaller for 1^{iPr}-Fe₃ (1.01 eV) compared to the zinc variant 1^{iPr}-Zn₃ (1.38 eV), in accordance with the relative strength of the edge-support interactions in the two species. A comparison between the electrochemical profiles of 1^{iPr}-Fe₃ and 1^{Tol}-Fe₃ carried out in a DCM, a non-coordinative solvent, reveals an overall shift of the redox events to more reducing potentials (Fig. 7c).¹¹ This change is in line with the more electron rich character of the isopropyl groups of 1^{iPr}-Fe₃ compared to the tolyl substituents in 1^{Tol}-Fe₃. Another difference is a widening




Fig. 7 (a) UV-vis-NIR spectra including the λ_{max} (nm) and corresponding extinction coefficient ϵ (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ (428; 34 100), **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃ (444, 39 800) and **1**^{iPr}-Zn₃ (397; 37 100), acquired in toluene. (b) Cyclic voltammograms of **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ and **1**^{iPr}-Zn₃ recording in DCM or THF (0.1 M TBAPF₆) at 50 mV s⁻¹. (c) Comparison between the electrochemical profiles of **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ and **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃, acquired in DCM (0.1 M TBAPF₆) at 50 mV s⁻¹.

of the HOMO/LUMO gap in the isopropyl derivative compared to the tolyl one (0.92 vs. 0.87 eV in DCM). The decreased stabilization of the LUMO level can be attributed to the diminished Lewis acidity of the Fe sites in **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃, which is also corroborated by coordination studies with CN^tBu (see below).

In solution, NMR analysis reveals that the free rotation of the isopropyl substituents at the Fe and Zn edges is hindered. For example, **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ exhibits a total of eight paramagnetic proton resonances between 20 and -20 ppm, more than the five expected for a freely rotating ligand environment (Fig. S16†). As observed for **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃, the ligand framework in **1**^{iPr}-M₃ is locked, both in the solid state and in solution, in a helical conformation, such that two phenyl groups of each phosphine adopt either an axial or equatorial orientation, giving rise to two separate sets of NMR resonances. However, ¹H integrations for **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ suggest that the ⁱPr-CH₃ groups are also resolved under D₃ symmetry, indicating that the isopropyl substituents are sterically locked in place at the edge sites. In contrast, the tolyl substituents in **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃ rotate freely even in a non-coordinative solvent, as is reflected by the singular set of tolyl resonances in its ¹H NMR profile.¹¹ Noteworthy, solution-phase magnetic measurements carried out using the Evans method⁴⁸ confirm the iron edge sites remain high spin ($S = 2$) in **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 9.4\mu_{\text{B}}$), as was observed for **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃.¹¹ In the trizinc variant, **1**^{iPr}-Zn₃ the desymmetrization associated with the hindered rotation is reflected in both its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, for example by the presence of additional proton features in the aromatic region, and two nearly overlapping sets of ⁱPr-CH₃ and ⁱPr-CH resonances (Fig. S17 and S18†).

Comparison of space filling models for **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ and [**1**^{Tol}-Fe₃]⁻,¹¹ both featuring edge sites coordinated κ^4 by the metal-ligand, reveals indeed the presence of the required space to

allow the rotation of the tolyl groups, whereas the increased steric bulk of the isopropyl substituents appears to keep them locked in **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ (Fig. 8). An important implication of the increased steric bulk at the edge Fe sites is that it might be a leading factor in preventing coordination of sufficiently large ligands. We set out to probe the affinity for ligands of the edge sites in **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃, and compare it to those in **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃. Treatment of **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ with THF, or excess pyridine (15 equiv.) in benzene-




Fig. 8 Space filling models for a single κ^4 -Fe edge site in (a) **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ and (b) [**1**^{Tol}-Fe₃]⁻, including a zoom-in on the side view, highlighting the isolated edge site, and the full cluster viewed from the top of the outlined edge site.




Fig. 9 Comparison of CN infrared stretches of free CN^tBu (black), **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃(CN^tBu)₃ (blue) and **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃(CN^tBu)_x (red).

d_6 revealed little to no changes in its paramagnetic ¹H resonances, consistent with no binding interactions (Fig. S24†). This resembles the behavior of the edge sites in the monoreduced cluster [**1**^{Tol}-Fe₃][−], but stands in contrast to the neutral **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃ which coordinates in solution to both.¹¹ To probe if the zero affinity of **1**^{iPr}-Fe for these solvents is sterically enforced, we turned to the trimmer ligand CN^tBu, known to bind to **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃, but not to the monoreduced cluster [**1**^{Tol}-Fe₃][−]. Treatment of **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ with CN^tBu (15 equiv.) elicited subtle, but noticeable changes in its proton chemical shifts, suggesting coordinative interactions. The CN infrared stretch of the coordinated isocyanide ($\nu_{\text{CN}} = 2104 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), acquired after removal of the unbound excess ligand, is red-shifted compared to both free CN^tBu and **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃(CN^tBu)₃ ($\nu_{\text{CN}} = 2167, 2141 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively; Fig. 9). In contrast to the neutral **1**^{Tol}-Fe₃ where the electron deficient Fe sites not only do not activate the isocyanide, but form one of the most deactivated adducts to be reported,¹¹ in **1**^{iPr}-Fe₃ the edge sites are sufficiently electron rich to participate in backbonding with this π -acceptor, ostensibly due to the increased donor strength of the isopropyl amido ligands.

Conclusions

The ternary clusters **1**^R-M₃ introduced by our group provide a new strategy to access redox-active multimetallic platforms with synthetically addressable, and hemilabile active sites. In previous work we demonstrated that not only the oxidation state of the overall cluster,¹¹ but also the chemical identity of the edge sites¹² provide a mechanism to tune their affinity to bind additional ligands (e.g. pyridine or CN^tBu). In this report we probed the effects of small alterations in the stereoelectronic properties of the aminophosphine ligands, and found they significantly alter the [Co₆Se₈] cluster formation process, in

addition to their affinity for external ligands and the strength of the edge/support interaction in **1**^{iPr}-M₃. While a slight increase in the steric bulk of the substituents of the amido groups that anchor the edge metals on the inorganic cobalt core appears to be the primary factor modulating ligand coordination, their increased donor strength was found to also contribute to the resulting electronic properties of the ternary clusters. In conclusion, we demonstrate how small modifications in the ligand framework of the ternary nanopropeller clusters **1**^R-M₃ can result in pronounced impacts on their chemical and physical properties, altering the push-pull dynamic between the [Co₆Se₈] support, the edge metal and external coordinating ligands.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the University of Washington by startup funds, by a Royal Research Fund (RRF) grant, and by the National Science Foundation (NSF) through a Faculty Early Career Development Program award. The X-ray crystallography facility was funded through NSF Grant 0840520. The authors thank Dr. M. Blakely and D. Rogers for additional assistance with X-ray crystallography, and Dr. A. Chirila for helpful suggestions.

References

- 1 *Biological inorganic chemistry: structure and reactivity*, ed. I. Bertini, University Science Books, Sausalito, Calif, 2007.
- 2 N. Cox, M. Retegan, F. Neese, D. A. Pantazis, A. Boussac and W. Lubitz, *Science*, 2014, **345**, 804–808.
- 3 R. M. Bullock, J. G. Chen, L. Gagliardi, P. J. Chirik, O. K. Farha, C. H. Hendon, C. W. Jones, J. A. Keith, J. Klosin, S. D. Minter, R. H. Morris, A. T. Radosevich, T. B. Rauchfuss, N. A. Strotman, A. Vojvodic, T. R. Ward, J. Y. Yang and Y. Surendranath, *Science*, 2020, **369**, eabc3183.
- 4 D. Coucouvanis, P. E. Mosier, K. D. Demadis, S. Patton, S. M. Malinak, C. G. Kim and M. A. Tyson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 12193–12194.
- 5 F. Li, R. L. Meyer, S. H. Carpenter, L. E. VanGelder, A. W. Nichols, C. W. Machan, M. L. Neidig and E. M. Matson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6379–6389.
- 6 G. de Ruiter, K. M. Carsch, S. Gul, R. Chatterjee, N. B. Thompson, M. K. Takase, J. Yano and T. Agapie, *Angew. Chem.*, 2017, **129**, 4850–4854.
- 7 A. I. Nguyen, L. E. Darago, D. Balcells and T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 9030–9033.

- 8 J. S. Kanady, P.-H. Lin, K. M. Carsch, R. J. Nielsen, M. K. Takase, W. A. Goddard and T. Agapie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14373–14376.
- 9 S. Mukherjee, J. A. Stull, J. Yano, T. C. Stamatatos, K. Pringouri, T. A. Stich, K. A. Abboud, R. D. Britt, V. K. Yachandra and G. Christou, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2012, **109**, 2257–2262.
- 10 C. Zhang, C. Chen, H. Dong, J.-R. Shen, H. Dau and J. Zhao, *Science*, 2015, **348**, 690–693.
- 11 J. A. Kephart, B. S. Mitchell, A. Chirila, K. J. Anderton, D. Rogers, W. Kaminsky and A. Velian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 19605–19610.
- 12 J. A. Kephart, C. G. Romero, C.-C. Tseng, K. J. Anderton, M. Yankowitz, W. Kaminsky and A. Velian, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039.D0SC03506H.
- 13 B. P. Greenwood, S. I. Forman, G. T. Rowe, C.-H. Chen, B. M. Foxman and C. M. Thomas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 6251–6260.
- 14 C. A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, **77**, 313–348.
- 15 L. Falivene, Z. Cao, A. Petta, L. Serra, A. Poater, R. Oliva, V. Scarano and L. Cavallo, *Nat. Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 872–879.
- 16 D. Fenske, J. Hachgenei and J. Ohmer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1985, **24**, 706–709.
- 17 D. Fenske, J. Ohmer and J. Hachgenei, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1985, **24**, 993–995.
- 18 D. Fenske, J. Ohmer and K. Merzweiler, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci.*, 1987, **42**, 803–809.
- 19 M. L. Steigerwald, T. Siegrist and S. M. Stuczynski, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 2256–2257.
- 20 S. M. Stuczynski, Y. U. Kwon and M. L. Steigerwald, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1993, **449**, 167–172.
- 21 B. Choi, J. Yu, D. W. Paley, M. T. Trinh, M. V. Paley, J. M. Karch, A. C. Crowther, C.-H. Lee, R. A. Lalancette, X. Zhu, P. Kim, M. L. Steigerwald, C. Nuckolls and X. Roy, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 1445–1449.
- 22 A. M. Champsaur, J. Yu, X. Roy, D. W. Paley, M. L. Steigerwald, C. Nuckolls and C. M. Bejger, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 1050–1055.
- 23 X. Roy, C. L. Schenck, S. Ahn, R. A. Lalancette, L. Venkataraman, C. Nuckolls and M. L. Steigerwald, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 12473–12476.
- 24 M. L. Steigerwald, T. Siegrist and S. M. Stuczynski, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 4940–4945.
- 25 A. M. Champsaur, A. Velian, D. W. Paley, B. Choi, X. Roy, M. L. Steigerwald and C. Nuckolls, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 5273–5277.
- 26 C. A. Tolman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 2953–2956.
- 27 J. Jover and J. Cirera, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 15036–15048.
- 28 *Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms*, ed. F. A. Cotton, C. A. Murillo and R. A. Walton, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- 29 L. Pauling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1947, **69**, 542–553.
- 30 N. Casati, P. Macchi and A. Sironi, *Angew. Chem.*, 2005, **117**, 7914–7917.
- 31 P. N. Bungu and S. Otto, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 9238.
- 32 J. M. Birbeck, A. Haynes, H. Adams, L. Damoense and S. Otto, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 2512–2523.
- 33 L. Markó, G. Bor, E. Klumpp, B. Markó and G. Almásy, *Chem. Ber.*, 1963, **96**, 955–964.
- 34 G. Gervasio, S. F. A. Kettle, F. Musso, R. Rossetti and P. L. Stanghellini, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 298–305.
- 35 G. Gervasio, R. Rossetti, P. L. Stanghellini, D. Braga and S. F. A. Kettle, *J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res.*, 1993, **23**, 255–264.
- 36 A. D. Harley, G. J. Guskey and G. L. Geoffroy, *Organometallics*, 1983, **2**, 53–59.
- 37 M. L. H. Green, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, **500**, 127–148.
- 38 C. E. Strouse and L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1971, **93**, 6032–6041.
- 39 S. Vastag, G. Gervasio, D. Marabello, G. Szalontai and L. Markó, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 4218–4225.
- 40 J. E. Davies, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby, V. Sarveswaran and G. P. Shields, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 775–780.
- 41 A. J. Edwards, A. Martian, M. J. Mays, D. Nazar, P. R. Raithby and G. A. Solan, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1993, 355–363.
- 42 R. Hernández Sánchez, A. M. Champsaur, B. Choi, S. G. Wang, W. Bu, X. Roy, Y. Chen, M. L. Steigerwald, C. Nuckolls and D. W. Paley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 13815–13820.
- 43 Z.-F. Yao, J.-Y. Wang and J. Pei, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2018, **18**, 7–15.
- 44 A. Turkiewicz, D. W. Paley, T. Besara, G. Elbaz, A. Pinkard, T. Siegrist and X. Roy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15873–15876.
- 45 L. Yang, D. R. Powell and R. P. Houser, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 955–964.
- 46 A search for all Fe...Se bond lengths in the Cambridge Structural Database (updated June 2020) yielded 591 hits between 2.142 and 2.949 Å (mean 2.39(6) Å).
- 47 A search for all Zn...Se bond lengths in the Cambridge Structural Database (updated June 2020) yielded 140 hits between 2.289 and 2.949 Å (mean 2.47(7) Å).
- 48 D. F. Evans, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 2003–2005.