PLANT-MICROBE-ANIMAL INTERACTIONS - ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Plant invasion impacts on fungal community structure and function depend on soil warming and nitrogen enrichment

M. A. Anthony^{1,4} · K. A. Stinson² · J. A. M. Moore^{1,3} · S. D. Frey¹

Received: 10 July 2020 / Accepted: 24 October 2020 © The Author(s) 2020, corrected publication 2021

Abstract

The impacts of invasive species on biodiversity may be mitigated or exacerbated by abiotic environmental changes. Invasive plants can restructure soil fungal communities with important implications for native biodiversity and nutrient cycling, yet fungal responses to invasion may depend on numerous anthropogenic stressors. In this study, we experimentally invaded a long-term soil warming and simulated nitrogen deposition experiment with the widespread invasive plant *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard) and tested the responses of soil fungal communities to invasion, abiotic factors, and their interaction. We focused on the phytotoxic garlic mustard because it suppresses native mycorrhizae across forests of North America. We found that invasion in combination with warming, but not under ambient conditions or elevated nitrogen, significantly reduced soil fungal biomass and ectomycorrhizal relative abundances and increased relative abundances of general soil saprotrophs and fungal genes encoding for hydrolytic enzymes. These results suggest that warming potentially exacerbates fungal responses to plant invasion. Soils collected from uninvaded and invaded plots across eight forests spanning a 4 °C temperature gradient further demonstrated that the magnitude of fungal responses to invasion was positively correlated with mean annual temperature. Our study is one of the first empirical tests to show that the impacts of invasion on fungal communities depends on additional anthropogenic pressures and were greater in concert with warming than under elevated nitrogen or ambient conditions.

Keywords Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi · Ectomycorrhizal fungi · Invasive species · Soil warming · Nitrogen deposition

Communicated by Amy Austin.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-020-04797-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

M. A. Anthony manthony5955@gmail.com

Published online: 03 November 2020

- Department of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA
- Department of Environmental Conservation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01001, USA
- Present Address: Bioscience Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA
- Present Address: Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zürich, 8006 Zurich, Switzerland

Introduction

Plant invasions are increasing at historically unprecedented rates (Seebens et al. 2018), and interactions with abiotic global changes may further promote establishment and spread of non-native species beyond their home ranges (Milchunas and Lauenroth 1995; Howard et al. 2004). The interactive effects of multiple anthropogenic global change drivers are widely recognized as important in determining future ecosystem functioning; however, less than 1% of all global change studies in soil ecology have tested interactions between biotic invasion (plant, animal, or microbes) and other global change factors (Rillig et al. 2019). Thus, we currently lack an empirical assessment of how belowground ecosystem structure and function respond to simultaneous invasion and abiotic global changes.

Most terrestrial plants host a belowground consortium of microorganisms, including fungi, which affect how ecosystems respond to invasion (Inderjit and van der Putten 2010). While most studies to date focus on plant responses



to invasion, fungi are drivers of ecosystem nutrient cycling as decomposers and mycorrhizal symbionts (Treseder and Lennon 2015). How fungi respond to invasion can also feed-back to impact native plant communities (Stinson et al. 2006), soil carbon (C) storage (Ehrenfeld 2003; Tamura and Tharayil 2014), and ecosystem restoration efforts (Lankau et al. 2014; Anthony et al. 2019). Fungi are highly sensitive to abiotic stressors such as warming and nitrogen deposition (Lilleskov et al. 2011; Geml et al. 2015; Morrison et al. 2016; Fernandez et al. 2017), but the interactive effects of invasion and concurrent abiotic global changes on soil fungi are rarely investigated (Wheeler et al. 2017).

Here, we tested how two abiotic global changes of significance in the non-native range of Alliaria petiolata (garlic mustard), atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition and soil warming, influence fungal community and functional responses to invasion. We focused on garlic mustard because it is invasive throughout temperate forests of North America (Rodgers et al. 2008), and on N deposition and warming because these anthropogenic pressures are co-occurring throughout the non-native range of garlic mustard (Galloway et al. 2004; Allen et al. 2018). Soil fungal biomass is reduced and community composition is altered by garlic mustard invasion due to it being non-mycorrhizal and producing antifungal phytochemicals (Rodgers et al. 2008; Barto et al. 2011; Lankau 2011). Garlic mustard particularly suppresses mycorrhizal fungi (Roberts and Anderson 2001; Stinson et al. 2006; Wolfe et al. 2008; Wheeler et al. 2017) which has been linked to reduced native plant diversity (Stinson et al. 2007) and growth (Stinson et al. 2006; Wheeler et al. 2017). The decline in mycorrhizal fungi with garlic mustard invasion is also associated with a shift towards increased saprotrophic and plant pathogenic fungal dominance (Anthony et al. 2017), but we do not understand how garlic mustard affects fungal communities and ecosystem function in the context of progressing global changes.

Our objective was to test whether the effects of garlic mustard invasion on soil fungi are ameliorated, unaffected, or amplified by conditions of soil N enrichment and warming. Typically, potential effects of invasion are inferred from changes in invasive species growth and cover across environmental conditions (Bradley et al. 2010; Merow et al. 2017). Previous work has shown that garlic mustard grows larger with N additions (Meekins and McCarthy 2000) but not under warmer temperatures (Anderson and Cipollini 2013), which suggests that N additions but not warming may amplify the impacts of invasion. However, the magnitude of the invasion effect will also depend on how N enrichment and warming influence fungal community resistance to invasion (i.e., capacity to be unchanged) which may be uncoupled from garlic mustard growth. To address this, we experimentally invaded a long-term soil warming and simulated N deposition experiment with garlic mustard and measured fungal community structure and function, including fungal growth, stress response, and cellulolytic and oxidative enzyme decomposition genes.

Materials and methods

Site description and experimental design

This work was conducted at the Soil Warming × Nitrogen Addition Study located at the Harvard Forest LTER in Petersham, MA (42°29'1" N 72°11'15" W). This experiment, initiated in 2006 to examine interactions between soil warming and simulated N deposition (see Contosta et al. 2011), is located in an even-aged, mixed deciduous stand with a canopy of red and black oak (Quercus rubra, Q. velutina), red and striped maple (Acer rubrum, A. pensylvanicum), American beech (Fagus grandifolia), white birch (Betula papyrifera), and an understory of saplings of the same species, along with stump sprouts of American chestnut (Castanea denata). Mean annual temperature at Harvard Forest is 8.3 °C and annual precipitation is 1247 mm (Boose and VanScoy 2001). Warming (5 °C above ambient) is achieved with heating cables buried to 10 cm, and simulated N deposition plots are fertilized with aqueous NH_4 - NO_3 (5 g $N\ m^{-2}\ year^{-1}$) at equal monthly doses throughout May-October.

In each of the plots (9 m²), we established uninvaded and invaded subplots (1 m²) using a fully factorial, randomly distributed design (n = 5; see diagram in Supplementary Fig. 1). First year garlic mustard seedlings were planted in invaded subplots in April 2015 at densities typical to the region (20 plants m⁻²) and allowed to establish and grow for approximately 1 year, then removed prior to the onset of reproduction. Though the size of the subplots is small, garlic mustard establishes in small, dense patches in temperate forest understories (Nuzzo 1999). To simulate a realistic invasion, the number of garlic mustard plants in each plot was maintained throughout the invasion at a similar density across treatments (see Wheeler et al. 2017 for details). To summarize, the full experiment had 4 main treatments (control, warming, N addition, N addition × warming), 2 invasion statuses (uninvaded, invaded), and 5 replicates for a total of 40 experimental units.

Soil sampling and processing

Soil samples were collected a little over 1 year after the artificial invasion (July 2016) at two randomly selected locations within each plot. At each location, we removed a 100 cm^2 block of the organic horizon to the depth of the mineral soil followed by a cylindrical core (5 cm width \times 10 cm depth) of mineral soil. The two samples



from each plot were homogenized by depth increment. Homogenized samples were stored on frozen ice packs in the field and immediately placed at 4 °C within 12 h of sampling. Samples were sieved (<4 mm) within 24 h of sampling to remove roots, rocks, and organic debris. Subsamples for molecular (~2 g) and lipid (~10 g) analyses were taken from the sieved material and stored at -80 °C and -20 °C, respectively, until processing. The remaining soil was stored at 4 °C.

Edaphic analyses

Soil pH was measured on soil slurries made from airdried soil and deionized water (10 g soil: 20 mL deionized water). Total soil C and N were measured on dried, finely ground soils using an elemental analyzer (Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHN, Waltham, MA). Soil C stocks were calculated on a volumetric basis using bulk density measurements previously made at the site (unpublished data). Soil inorganic N concentrations were determined on 2 M extracts (10 g soil: 40 mL potassium chloride) using a colorimetric approach (Braman and Hendrix 1989), and net N mineralization was estimated by measuring inorganic N concentrations before and after a 7-day laboratory incubation and calculating the difference. In a separate incubation, we measured C mineralization using a 10-day laboratory incubation. Field moist soil (10 g) was incubated in Mason jars with lids equipped with sealed septa. The headspace of each jar was sampled daily and analyzed for CO₂ concentration on a LICOR 6252 Infrared Gas Analyzer (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE). After 10 days, we calculated an average respiration rate as a proxy for labile C. Fine root biomass was estimated by picking fine roots (≤ 2 mm) from 100 g of fresh mineral soil; fine root stocks were calculated on a volumetric basis using soil bulk density.

Fungal biomass was assessed via phospholipid and neutral lipid fatty acid analysis (P/N-LFA) for total fungi and AMF, respectively, consistent with Olsson et al. (1995). In short, lipids were extracted from freeze-dried soil (1 g) using phosphate buffer, chloroform, and methanol (0.8:1:2; v:v:v). The polar (phospholipids) and neutral lipids were isolated separately using silicic acid chromatography and methylated using 0.2 M methanolic potassium hydroxide (1 mL) at 60 °C for 30 min to form fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) that were quantified on a Varian CP-3800 gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). We compared FAME peaks against a standard library of FAMES specific to fungi $(18:2\omega6, 9c, 18:1\omega9c)$ and AMF $(16:1\omega5c)$. Standards for each marker were used to convert peak area concentrations to nmol PLFA/NLFA g⁻¹ dry soil.

Fungal community characterization

Fungal (including ectomycorrhizal fungi; EMF) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (AMF) community structure was characterized using ITS2 and 18S metabarcoding on the Illumina MiSeq platform, respectively. DNA was extracted from soil (0.25 g) using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The ITS2 region was amplified using the fungal specific primer pair fITS7 (Ihrmark et al. 2012) and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). Primers currently used to study fungi poorly cover the Glomeromycotina and therefore the 18S region was also amplified using the Glomeromycotina (AMF) specific primer pair NS31 (Simon et al. 1992) and AML2 (Lee et al. 2008). Going forward, we refer to fungi identified by the ITS2 region as 'fungi' and AMF identified by the 18S region as 'AMF'. PCR primers contained the Illumina adaptor sequence, an 8 bp pad sequence, a 2 bp linker sequence, and were dual indexed to include two unique 8 bp sequences (see custom PCR primer constructs, Supplementary Table 1). PCR reactions were performed in triplicate for each sample in 25 µL reactions with the following reagents: PCR Grade H₂O (13 µL), Phusion[®] High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix with HF Buffer (10 µL; New England BioLabs Inc, Ipswitch, MA), 10 μM forward primer (0.5 μL), 10 μM reverse primer (0.5 µL), and template DNA (1 µL). Thermocycler conditions and library preparation followed that of Anthony et al. (2017). Equimolar libraries of the ITS2 and 18S samples were sequenced on two, replicate Illumina MiSeq v2 (2×250 bp) and two MiSeq v3 (2×300 bp) runs at the Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics at Indiana State University, Bloomington, IN, respectively. Different sequencing chemistries were used because the ITS2 amplicons are shorter than the 18S amplicons. Sequences are available in the NCBI database under the BioProject PRJNA522440 for ITS2 sequences and PRJNA522442 for 18S sequences.


All sequences were passed through a series of quality control measures. Illumina adapter and PCR primer sequences, reads < 100 bp, and low-quality bases and reads (Phred scores < 2) were removed using Trimmomatic (v0.32; Bolger et al. 2014). We then merged forward and reverse reads using the join_paired_ends.py function in QIIME (Caporaso et al. 2010). The ITS2 reads were merged at a 20 bp overlap allowing 5% mismatch. The 18S reads were merged at a 10 bp overlap allowing 10% mismatch. Merged ITS2 sequences were then passed through ITSx (Bengtsson-Palme et al. 2013) to isolate the ITS2 region from flanking LSU and 5.8S regions. A total of 60% and 62% of initial paired end sequences were retained for the ITS2 and 18S datasets, respectively, after quality control (see Supplementary Table 2 for details on sequence retention). We used USEARCH (v8) to create OTU tables (Edgar 2010). Sequences were dereplicated (-fastx uniques), sorted by



size with singletons removed (-sortbysize), and clustered at 97% sequence similarity with chimera removal (-cluster_otus). We assigned taxonomy to ITS2 OTUs using the UNITE reference database (v7; Abarenkov et al. 2010) and the assign taxonomy.py function in QIIME. 18S OTUs were blasted against the MARJAAM database (Opik et al. 2010). OTUs without a match to fungi in the UNITE or MAR-JAAM databases were then blasted against the entire NCBI nt database, and OTUs assigned to non-fungal organisms for ITS2 data or non-Glomeromycotina fungi for the 18S sequences were removed from subsequent analyses to constrain analysis to the target groups. To confirm the taxonomy of the top 20 most common ITS2 fungi, we also performed manual BLAST searches and selected the best hit (lowest E value) if sequence identity was > 98%. Fungi from the ITS2 dataset were assigned guild annotations using FUNGuild and all "probable" or higher designations were included (Nguyen et al. 2016). Information on the number of OTUs and proportion of sequences assigned taxonomy and guild annotations is in Supplementary Table 2.

Fungal functional characterization

To investigate fungal functional potential, we enriched and sequenced targeted functional genes. We focused on genes encoding hydrolytic extracellular enzymes (betaglucosidase, cellobiohydrolase, cellobioside dehydrogenase), oxidative extracellular enzymes (lignin peroxidase, manganese peroxidase, laccase, laccase-like multicopper oxidase), proteins used for general stress tolerance (RNA helicase, neutral trehalase; beta-1,3-glucan synthase; polyketide synthase), and ribosomal DNA production (partial 18S rRNA genes). The short, 18S rRNA gene sequences were used to investigate investments in processes such as growth since rRNA is frequently used as a marker for microbial biomass (Zhang et al. 2017) and rRNA levels increase during microbial growth (Klappenbach et al. 2000). We identified 2322 genes in NCBI related to the aforementioned fungal functions. Arbor Biosciences (Ann Arbor, MI) used these template sequences to design 34,249 candidate probes. Probe-to-probe complementary was diagnosed, and probes with > 94% similarity across > 83% of the sequences were clustered into a single representative of each cluster for the final probe set which consisted of 20,005 probes. The final custom probe set had a > 97% target gene enrichment success rate. Probes lengths were 100 nucleotides, and those < 100 nucleotides were padded with T's. This length was chosen because of the relatively high GC content of the target sequences (average: 51%). Since we were targeting divergent regions of the fungal genome (i.e., length, similarity, rearrangements), probes were varied with 3×tiling density. We used the myBaits Custom kit (Arbor Biosciences) and followed the manufacturer's protocol to target and enrich genes.



Sequences were first passed through Trimmomatic to remove any Illumina adapter sequences and low-quality sequences (Phred < 2 at a 20 bp sliding window; < 1% sequences removed). Sequences were aligned using bwa (v7.17; Li et al. 2009) against a custom database constructed from the NCBI template DNA sequences. The probe database was created using the index algorithm, and forward and reverse reads were aligned using the bwa mem algorithm. We filtered alignments to include forward sequence alignments (retaining 59% of the sequences) because the forward and reverse reads did not pair. We only included alignments with > 90% sequence similarity using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). A total of 38% of the sequences were aligned. Four samples were removed because of low sequencing depth. The remaining samples were rarified to the lowest sequence depth (9539 sequences per sample) using the rarefy function in vegan. We then calculated the relative abundance of individual genes and functional groups of genes encoding for hydrolytic enzymes, oxidative enzymes, stress tolerance, and growth.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted in R (v3.6.1; R Core Team 2019) with criteria for rejecting the null hypothesis set to a P value of \leq 0.05. Linear mixed effects models were used to assess whether the main effects of N addition, warming, and invasion, plus all interaction terms, had a significant effect on soil properties, soil processes, fungal biomass, and fungal functional guild relative abundances. We used the lme function adapted for type III sums of squares within the nlme package (Pinheiro et al. 2017). Since uninvaded and invaded subplots were within the same plot (i.e., split-plot design), plot was included in the model as a random effect. For all statistical models, we confirmed that residuals were normally distributed using Shapiro–Wilk tests of normality



and we visually assessed qqnorm and residual versus fitted model plots.

To assess how much each treatment level effected the fungal community, we compared the effect size of each treatment level relative to uninvaded control plots. This allowed us to test whether the effect of invasion was enhanced, unchanged, or amplified by conditions of soil warming and N addition, which was our primary objective. We calculated effect sizes as Cohen's D for each treatment level using the cohen.d function in the psych package (Revelle and Revelle 2015). Cohen's *D* values of 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8 or greater represent small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively. We also used Welch's two-sample t tests with unequal variance and the base t.test function to assess whether independent treatment level differences were significant from uninvaded, control plots. Lastly, we calculated response ratios in treatment plots relative to uninvaded, control plots as log10 (Treatment_{Value}/Control_{Mean}) with 95% confidence intervals. Confidence intervals which did not pass through 0 were considered significant.

Variation in fungal communities across the abiotic global change treatments (nitrogen and warming) and invasion statuses were assessed on OTU relative abundances converted to Bray-Curtis dissimilarities using the vegdist function in vegan (Oksanen et al. 2013). The effects of nitrogen, warming, invasion, plus all two- and three-way interactions on fungal community composition were assessed using PER-MANOVA implemented using the adonis function in vegan. To control for the effect of horizon, we included it as a random effect using the strata option. To compare treatment plots to uninvaded, control plots, we split the data-frame by treatment groups and performed pair-wise PERMANOVA comparisons. Lastly, we performed distance-based redundancy analysis (ds-RDA) using the capscale function (vegan) to visualize differences in fungal community composition using nitrogen, warming, and invasion as predictor variables.

Results

Fungal biomass and community composition

None of the global change factors affected fungal biomass individually, but there was a significant three-way interaction among N addition, warming, and invasion in the full statistical model in the organic horizon (P = 0.02; see mixed effects model results in Supplementary Table 3). Based on independent comparisons, fungal biomass was reduced by 43% in the two-factor warming × invasion plots in the organic horizon relative to control plots (Table 1). The effect size for fungal biomass was large in the warming × invasion plots (Cohen's D = 2.1), particularly compared to the single

factor invasion treatment (Cohen's D=0.44; Supplementary Fig. 2). Fungal community composition (ITS2) was unaffected by garlic mustard invasion and N additions alone, but it differed between ambient temperature and warmed plots (see polygons in Fig. 1a; P=0.004), and there was also a significant three-way interaction among N addition, warming, and invasion in the full PERMANOVA model (P=0.03; see PERMANOVA model results in Supplementary Table 4). Treatment level comparisons relative to the uninvaded, control further revealed that fungal community composition was distinct in the single-factor warming and two-factor warming × invasion treatment (Supplementary Table 4).

Relative abundances of fungal functional and taxonomic groups

Ectomycorrhizal fungi were the dominant guild and comprised 48–83% of the total ITS2 sequences across treatment levels, though relative abundance was not impacted by N addition, invasion, or warming as single or interacting effects (Supplementary Table 5). However, independent comparisons relative to uninvaded, control plots revealed that the relative abundance of EMF was significantly reduced in the two-factor warming × invasion plots (Fig. 2a). The effect size for EMF relative abundance was large in the warming × invasion plots (Cohen's D=1.26), especially compared to the single-factor invasion treatment where the effect size was negligible (Cohen's D=0.02; Supplementary Fig. 3).

Saprotrophs were the second most dominant fungal guild, and while relative abundance was not affected by the single factor treatments, there was a significant three-way interaction effect on saprotroph relative abundance among N addition, warming, and invasion in the full statistical model in the organic horizon (P = 0.05) and between warming and invasion in the mineral soil (P = 0.02; see linear mixed effects model results in Supplementary Table 5). In comparison to the uninvaded control plots, the relative abundance of saprotrophs increased in relative abundance in the warming x invasion plots (Fig. 2b) and had a large effect size (Cohen's D = 1.14). The third most dominant guild included plant pathogenic fungi which were not affected by the abiotic treatments as main effects, but increased in relative abundance in the two-factor warming × invasion treatment, though only significantly in the organic horizon (Fig. 2d). There were no shifts in the relative abundance of white rot fungi, the fourth most common guild.

Relative abundances of the most dominant taxa, which were different EMF Russulaceae, varied in the single-factor and two-factor warming × invasion treatments relative to control plots (Fig. 3a, b). We only present the results for these two treatments for this fine-resolution analysis because fungal community composition exclusively differed from control plots for the warming and



Organic Control 0.51 (0.12) 4.6 254 (2.32) 13 (13.4) 16.5 (6.6) 5 (1.2) 2 (7.7) 47 (6) Warming 0.46 (0.11) 4.2 16.40 (344) 10 (6.2) 13 (13.4) 16.5 (6.6) 5 (1.2) 24 (1.2) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) 47 (1) <th< th=""><th>Soil horizon</th><th>Treatment</th><th>Soil moisture (g H_2O g⁻¹ soil)</th><th>Hd</th><th>Organic C (g C m⁻²)</th><th>C min (mg CO_2 g⁻¹ soil d⁻¹)</th><th>Inorganic N (μg N g⁻¹ soil)</th><th>N min $(\mu g \ N \ g^{-1} \sin l \ d^{-1})$</th><th>Fine root biomass (mg dry roots m^{-2})</th><th>Fungal biomass (nmol PLFA g⁻¹ soil)</th><th>AMF biomass (nmol NLFA g⁻¹ soil)</th></th<>	Soil horizon	Treatment	Soil moisture (g H_2O g ⁻¹ soil)	Hd	Organic C (g C m ⁻²)	C min (mg CO_2 g ⁻¹ soil d ⁻¹)	Inorganic N (μg N g ⁻¹ soil)	N min $(\mu g \ N \ g^{-1} \sin l \ d^{-1})$	Fine root biomass (mg dry roots m^{-2})	Fungal biomass (nmol PLFA g ⁻¹ soil)	AMF biomass (nmol NLFA g ⁻¹ soil)
Marming 0.46 (0.11) 4.2 1640 (364) 107 (62) 28.8 (7.4) 5.1 (2) 24 (1) Nitrogen 0.49 (0.11) (0.2) 107 (343) 128 (33) 28.1 (8.4) 5.4 (2.5) 24 (1) Invasion 0.54 (0.14) (0.1) 2.63 (1.73) 118 (43) 29.5 (8.8) 4.7 (1) 30 (5) Warmshing 0.40 (0.12) (0.1) 18.75 (447) 48 (25) 22.2 (4.6) 6.1 (2.2) 48 (17) Warmshing 0.37 (0.09) (0.1) 18.75 (447) 48 (25) 22.2 (4.6) 6.1 (2.2) 48 (14) Warming 0.56 (0.04) 0.3 1064 (408) 89 (27) 16.8 (2.3) 3.1 (0.8) 134 (9) Warming 0.26 (0.04) 4.3 1644 (200) 21 (8) 15.3 (2.9) 10.6 48 (16) Warming 0.26 (0.04) 4.3 3.44 (200) 21 (8) 15.3 (2.9) 10.6 48 (16) Warming 0.26 (0.04) 4.3 3.44 (200) 21 (8) 12.3 (2.9) 10.6 10.6 10.10 <td>Organic</td> <td>Control</td> <td>0.51 (0.12)</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>2594 (252)</td> <td>131 (31.4)</td> <td>16.5 (6.6)</td> <td>5 (1.2)</td> <td>27 (7)</td> <td>47 (6)</td> <td>227 (26)</td>	Organic	Control	0.51 (0.12)	4.0	2594 (252)	131 (31.4)	16.5 (6.6)	5 (1.2)	27 (7)	47 (6)	227 (26)
Nitrogen 0.49 (0.11) 3.9 2107 (343) 128 (33) 28.1 (84) 54 (2.5) 23 (3) Inwasion 0.40 (0.12) 0.40 (0.12) 2.551 (730) 118 (43) 29.5 (8.8) 4.7 (1) 30 (5) Warm- 0.40 (0.12) 0.4.1 2.551 (730) 118 (43) 22.2 (4.6) 6.1 (2.2) 48 (17) 30 (5) Warm- 0.37 (0.09) 4.3 1875 (44) 48 (35) 22.2 (4.6) 6.1 (2.2) 48 (10) Nitrogen ximo- sion 0.58 (0.08) 0.41 1604 (408) 89 (27) 16.8 (2.3) 3.1 (0.8) 44 (17) Warming 0.26 (0.04) 4.3 3.44 (200) 21 (8) 12.4 (3.7) 1.0 (3.2) 1.0 (1.7) Warmingen 0.26 (0.04) 4.3 3.44 (200) 21 (8) 12.4 (3.2) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) 1.1 (0.5) <		Warming	0.46 (0.11)	4.2 (0.2)	1640 (364)	107 (62)	25.8 (7.4)	5.1 (2)	24 (1)	55 (15)	229 (45)
Warm- ing xmirogen vings 6.40 (0.12) 4.0 £651 (730) 118 (43) 29.5 (8.8) 4.7 (1) 30 (5) Warm- ing xmirogen ving xmirogen ving xmirogen ving xmirogen ving xmirogen 0.40 (0.12) 4.2 2274 (609) 79 (39) 23 (2.8) 4.7 (1) 40 (17) Warm- ving xmirogen ving xmirogen v		Nitrogen	0.49 (0.11)	3.9 (0.1)	2107 (343)	128 (33)	28.1 (8.4)	5.4 (2.5)	23 (3)	59 (8)	285 (63)
Warm		Invasion	0.54 (0.14)	4.0	2651 (730)	118 (43)	29.5 (8.8)	4.7 (1)	30 (5)	53 (9)	215 (47)
Warm-ling ing xilivrasion ling xil		Warm- ing×nitrogen	0.40 (0.12)	4.2 (0.1)	2274 (609)	79 (39)	23 (2.8)	3.4 (1.4)	41 (17)	47 (8)	257 (93)
Nitrogenx invaluations 0.75 (0.09) 3.9 (0.64) 153 (34) 24.8 (7.3) 6 (3.3) 134 (5) sion 0.13 4.0 16.4 (408) 89 (27) 16.8 (2.3) 3.1 (0.8) 134 (5) gen x invaluations sion 0.26 (0.04) 4.3 3444 (200) 21 (8) 15.3 (2.9) 1 (0.5) 255 (59) Warming 0.26 (0.03) 4.3 3267 (133) 8 (4) 12.4 (3) 2.1 (0.8) 140 (17) Nitrogen 0.28 (0.03) 4.3 3267 (133) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 17 (0.6) 661 (383) Nitrogen 0.28 (0.03) 4.3 324 (273) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 17 (0.6) 157 (30) Warm- 0.24 (0.03) 4.3 324 (273) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 17 (0.6) 17 (0.2) Warm- 0.24 (0.03) 4.3 324 (515) 13.8 (4.8) 0.3 (0.2) 17 (0.5) 17 (0.2) Warm- 0.24 (0.03) 4.3 344 (3.3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) Nirrogen xinva-		Warm- ing×invasion	0.37 (0.09)	4.3 (0.1)	1875 (447)	48 (25)	22.2 (4.6)	6.1 (2.2)	48 (16)	27 (3)	(9) 62
Warm-ing existing graph ing xintors sion 0.28 (0.08) 4.0 1694 (408) 89 (27) 168 (2.3) 3.1 (0.8) 34 (9) sion control 0.26 (0.04) 4.3 3444 (200) 21 (8) 15.3 (2.9) 1 (0.5) 255 (59) Warming 0.26 (0.03) 4.3 3267 (133) 8 (4) 12.4 (3) 2.1 (0.8) 140 (17) Nitrogen 0.28 (0.03) 4.2 4327 (972) 11 (2) 18.1 (4) 1 (0.6) 661 (383) Invasion 0.28 (0.05) 4.2 3842 (273) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 1.7 (0.6) 157 (30) Warm-ing xintrogen 0.24 (0.03) 4.3 3284 (515) 11 (3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.3 (0.2) 170 (28) Warm-ing xintrogen 0.24 (0.03) 4.1 3486 (545) 8 (3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) Warm-ing xintrogen 0.30 (0.03) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 18.2 (1.6) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) Warm-ing xintrogen 0.30 (0.03) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 1		Nitrogen x invasion		3.9 (0.1)	2706 (504)	153 (34)	24.8 (7.3)	6 (3.3)	134 (5)	43 (13)	295 (118)
Control 6.26 (0.04) 4.3 3444 (200) 21 (8) 15.3 (2.9) 1 (0.5) 255 (59) Warming 0.26 (0.03) 4.3 3267 (133) 8 (4) 12.4 (3) 2.1 (0.8) 140 (17) Nitrogen 0.28 (0.03) 4.2 4327 (972) 11 (2) 18.1 (4) 1 (0.6) 661 (383) Invasion 0.32 (0.05) 4.2 3842 (273) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 1.7 (0.6) 661 (383) Warm-ingx-invasion 0.24 (0.03) 4.4 3486 (545) 8 (3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.3 (0.2) 170 (28) Nitrogen xinva-ing xinn 0.30 (0.03) 4.01 4124 (538) 13 (4) 10.4 (1.9) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) Warm-sion 0.30 (0.03) 4.1 4124 (538) 13 (4) 10.4 (1.9) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) Warm-sion 0.30 (0.03) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 18.2 (1.6) 0 (0.3) 169 (6)		Warm- ing×nitro- gen×inva- sion	0.58 (0.08)	4.0 (0.2)	1694 (408)	89 (27)	16.8 (2.3)	3.1 (0.8)	34 (9)	56 (9)	210 (31)
0.26 (0.03) 4.3 3267 (133) 8 (4) 12.4 (3) 2.1 (0.8) 140 (17) 0.28 (0.03) 4.2 4327 (972) 11 (2) 18.1 (4) 1 (0.6) 661 (383) 0.28 (0.05) 4.2 3842 (273) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 1.7 (0.6) 157 (30) trogen (0.1) 3254 (515) 11 (3) 15.6 (2.4) 1.1 (0.5) 170 (28) vasion (0.1) 4.4 3486 (545) 8 (3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.3 (0.2) 313 (184) vina- 0.37 (0.01) 4.01 4124 (538) 13 (4) 10.4 (1.9) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) vina- 0.30 (0.03) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 182 (1.6) 0 (0.3) 169 (6)	Mineral	Control	0.26 (0.04)	4.3 (0.2)	3444 (200)	21 (8)	15.3 (2.9)	1 (0.5)	255 (59)	15 (2)	49 (27)
(0.28 (0.03) 4.2 4327 (972) 11 (2) 18.1 (4) 1 (0.6) 661 (383) (0.1) 4.2 3842 (273) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 1.7 (0.6) 157 (30) trogen 4.2 3254 (515) 11 (3) 15.6 (2.4) 1.1 (0.5) 170 (28) vasion 0.24 (0.03) 4.4 3486 (545) 8 (3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.3 (0.2) 313 (184) vasion (0.1) 4.01 4124 (538) 13 (4) 10.4 (1.9) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) var 0.30 (0.03) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 18.2 (1.6) 0 (0.3) 169 (6)		Warming	0.26 (0.03)	4.3 (0.0)	3267 (133)	8 (4)	12.4 (3)	2.1 (0.8)	140 (17)	11 (3)	32 (13)
trogen 0.24 (0.05) 4.2 3842 (273) 22 (6) 12.3 (2.1) 1.7 (0.6) 157 (30) trogen 0.28 (0.05) 4.3 3254 (515) 11 (3) 15.6 (2.4) 1.1 (0.5) 170 (28) vasion 0.24 (0.03) 4.4 3486 (545) 8 (3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.3 (0.2) 313 (184) vasion (0.1) 4.01 4124 (538) 13 (4) 10.4 (1.9) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) tro- (0.2) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 18.2 (1.6) 0 (0.3) 169 (6)		Nitrogen	0.28 (0.03)	4.2 (0.1)	4327 (972)	11 (2)	18.1 (4)	1 (0.6)	661 (383)	13 (3)	64 (21)
nitrogen 0.28 (0.05) 4.3 3.254 (515) 11 (3) 15.6 (2.4) 1.1 (0.5) 170 (28) nitrogen 0.24 (0.03) 4.4 3486 (545) 8 (3) 13.8 (4.8) 0.3 (0.2) 313 (184) n xinva- 0.37 (0.01) 4.01 4124 (538) 13 (4) 10.4 (1.9) 0.7 (0.4) 87 (2) n xinva- 0.30 (0.03) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 18.2 (1.6) 0 (0.3) 169 (6)		Invasion	0.32 (0.05)	4.2 (0.1)	3842 (273)	22 (6)	12.3 (2.1)	1.7 (0.6)	157 (30)	11 (3)	38 (11)
invasion		Warm- ing×nitrogen	0.28 (0.05)	4.3 (0.1)	3254 (515)	11 (3)	15.6 (2.4)	1.1 (0.5)	170 (28)	10 (2)	33 (10)
n xinva- $0.37 (0.01)$ 4.01 $4124 (538)$ $13 (4)$ $10.4 (1.9)$ $0.7 (0.4)$ $87 (2)$ (0.1) (0.1) (0.1) (0.1) (0.1) (0.1) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2) (0.2)		Warm- ing×invasion	0.24 (0.03)	4.4 (0.1)	3486 (545)	8 (3)	13.8 (4.8)	0.3 (0.2)	313 (184)	15 (4)	112 (54)
0.30 (0.03) 4.1 3782 (1512) 15.8 (3.1) 18.2 (1.6) 0 (0.3) 169 (6) nitro- (0.2)		Nitrogen x invasion		4.01	4124 (538)	13 (4)	10.4 (1.9)	0.7 (0.4)	87 (2)	26 (11)	108 (55)
		Warm- ing x nitro- gen x inva-	0.30 (0.03)	4.1 (0.2)	3782 (1512)	15.8 (3.1)	18.2 (1.6)	0 (0.3)	169 (6)	12 (1)	87 (25)

C min C mineralization measured using short-term laboratory incubations as a proxy for C lability, N min Net N mineralization measured using laboratory incubations Values represent the mean, with standard errors in parentheses. Values in bold are significantly different from the control $(P \le 0.05)$






Fig. 1 Fungal community composition across the global change treatments based on fungal ITS2 (a) and AMF 18S (b) DNA metabarcoding. Community composition was visualized using distance-based redundancy analysis (ds-RDA). Points represents the average site score configurations based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity and error bars are the standard error. Pink and gold polygons outline the ranges of ambient temperature versus warmed plots which significantly differed from each other ($P_{\rm ITS2}{=}0.008$, $P_{\rm 18S}{=}0.004$), respectively. Asterisks indicate significantly different treatment level community compositions relative to the uninvaded control plots ($P{\leq}0.05$; see Supplementary Table 4)

warming \times invasion treatments. Different *Russula* lineages were dominant in control (*R. cyanoxantha*) versus warming only (*R. lauroceras*i) or warming \times invasion plots (*Russula sp. 108*; see Supplementary Table 7), but *R. laurocerasi* was overly dominant in the single-factor warming plots (i.e., double the relative abundance in control plots; P = 0.04) and sensitive to the additional pressures of invasion. Three of the four most abundant saprotrophs (*Mortierella minutissima*, *Umbelopsis nana*, and *U. ramanniana*) were most abundant in the warming \times invasion plots (Fig. 3a, b).

AMF community biomass, composition, and taxonomic relative abundances

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal biomass was reduced by 65% in the organic horizon by the combined effects of warming and invasion (Table 1), but was not affected by invasion, N addition, or warming as single factor treatments (Supplementary Table 3). The effect size for AMF biomass was large (3.9), especially compared to the singlefactor invasion treatment (0.15; Supplementary Fig. 2). AMF (18S) community composition differed between uninvaded and invaded plots as a single factor (P = 0.02)and between ambient temperature and warmed plots (see polygons in Fig. 1b; P = 0.001), but neither N addition nor the combined effects of N addition and warming had an effect (Supplementary Table 4). As observed for general fungi (ITS2), AMF communities were distinct from control in warming (P = 0.004) and warming \times invasion plots (P=0.02; Supplementary Table 4).


AMF within the *Glomus* genus were dominant and communities were hyper-uneven, with the two most dominant taxa having twofold higher relative abundances than the rest of the community (Fig. 3c, d). *Glomus* sp. 3 had twice the relative abundance in warming only compared to control plots (P = 0.01) and the warming×invasion plots (P = 0.002; Fig. 3; see Supplementary Table 7). Conversely, the relative abundance of *Glomus* sp. 1 was more than double the relative abundance in warming×invasion (43%; relative abundance) compared to control plots (21%; P = 0.02; Fig. 3c, d), and to a lesser extent warming alone (23%; P = 0.06). The second most common AMF genus, *Scutellospora*, did not vary with invasion or N addition, but it had reduced relative abundance with warming (3%) compared to control plots (12%; P = 0.03).

Changes in fungal functional gene profiles

Fungal functional genes shifted in both the soil warming and N addition plots. The relative abundance of rRNA genes (a proxy for growth) was higher in the warming only treatment compared to uninvaded, control plots, but this was only significant in the organic horizon (P < 0.05; Fig. 4). Nitrogen addition alone reduced relative abundances of hydrolytic enzyme encoding and stress-response genes in the organic horizon. Nitrogen addition crossed with invasion increased rRNA and stress response gene proportions in mineral soil and increased hydrolytic enzyme encoding gene relative abundances in the organic horizon. Warming crossed with invasion also increased hydrolytic enzyme gene proportions, though only significantly in mineral soil.



Fig. 2 The relative abundance of fungal functional guilds. Relative abundances of ectomycorrhizal fungi (EMF), saprotrophic, white rot, and plant pathogenic fungi are plotted separately for organic (left bars) and mineral soil (right bars). Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to uninvaded, control plots ($P \le 0.05$). Note different y-axis limits on each panel



Soil properties and processes

Changes in soil properties and processes were generally small across the treatment plots with the exception of total soil C in the organic horizon. Neither invasion nor N addition as single-factors had an effect on soil properties or processes (Supplementary Table 3). Soil C was affected by warming in the organic horizon (P=0.01), and specifically, was reduced compared to uninvaded, control plots in the single-factor warming and N addition × warming × invasion plots (Table 1). Carbon mineralization, a proxy for C lability, was reduced in the warming × invasion plots relative to control plots in the organic horizon. Neither, total inorganic N concentration nor net N mineralization were affected by N addition, warming, or invasion alone. Fine root biomass was not affected by warming or N addition, but organic horizon samples in the warming x invasion plots had more fine roots compared to uninvaded, control plots (Table 1).

Discussion

As decomposers, mycorrhizal symbionts, and pathogens, soil fungi strongly shape the functioning of forested ecosystems (Treseder and Lennon 2015). What we know about their sensitivities to global change primarily comes from singlefactor studies (Lekberg et al. 2007; Lilleskov et al. 2011; Morrison et al. 2016; Fernandez et al. 2017; Gibbons et al. 2017), but global change factors typically do not occur in isolation from each other (Aber et al. 2001). The impact of multiple global change stressors on microbial communities are seldom tested—only 20% of studies have examined more than one factor, and only 1% have examined more than two factors (Rillig et al. 2019). Our study addressed this gap by experimentally testing how soil fungal communities and their functional potential responded to simultaneous soil warming, N addition, and invasion by the non-native, phytotoxic plant, garlic mustard. Our study demonstrates that soil warming was






Fig. 3 Rank abundance curves showing the top 20 most dominant fungal (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) and AMF (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) taxa. Bars represent the mean relative abundance. Only treatments where fungal community composition

was significantly different from control are shown. Relative abundances are plotted separately for organic (a,c) and mineral (b,d) soil horizons

the dominant factor to impact fungal communities and their functional capacity, but warming interacted with invasion and N addition. There was a significant three-way interaction effect among N addition, warming, and invasion in the full statistical models for fungal biomass and community composition; however, the effect size relative to uninvaded control plots was large and significant in the two-factor warming×invasion treatment but not in the single-factor invasion, two-factor nitrogen×invasion, or three-factor nitrogen×warming×invasion plots. This suggests that the impacts of garlic mustard invasion on soil fungi may be enhanced under warmer conditions but only in the absence of N additions.


Warming altered fungal community structure and increased invasibility to garlic mustard

Garlic mustard invasion profoundly restructures temperate forest understories (Stinson et al. 2007; Rodgers et al.

2008) and belowground fungal communities (Barto et al. 2011; Lankau 2011) in North America. In part, this is related to the production of secondary chemicals (glucosinolates) which suppress native mycorrhizal fungi in North American forests where garlic mustard is invading (Stinson et al. 2006; Cantor et al. 2011). Surprisingly, we found no effect of invasion on soil fungi in absence of abiotic stressors. The impact of garlic mustard invasion on soil fungal communities can take years to manifest (Lankau 2011), which could explain why we saw no effect during our short-term (i.e., ~ 1 year) experimental invasion. However, in combination with warming, invasion reduced fungal and AMF biomass and altered the community composition of both groups. This indicates that fungi responded to a greater degree to multiple global change factors than single factors, and the community shaped by the abiotic filter of warming was especially susceptible to garlic mustard invasion.



Fig. 4 Response ratios of mean (±95% CI) relative abundance of functional gene groups in treatment plots compared to control plots. Values which do not pass through 0 are significant at the 5% confidence level. rRNA ribosomal RNA, Oxi. enz. oxidative enzyme encoding genes, Hydro. enz. hydrolytic enzyme encoding genes



Among plants, community composition can affect resistance to invasion if common species are sensitive to the invader (Wilsey and Polley 2002; Losure et al. 2007; Hillebrand et al. 2008). A similar form of resistance to invasion (or lack thereof) may apply to fungi as observed dominant fungi in the warming plots were sensitive to invasion whereas dominant fungi in the control plots were less responsive. The EMF, Russula laurocerasi, and the AMF, Glomus sp. 3, were both overly dominant in the warming plots (i.e., more than double the relative abundance of control plots), and the relative abundances of both taxa were reduced by warming × invasion. While we know little about the actual sensitivities of these taxa to invasion, warming within the temperature range of our study can stimulate fungal growth (Rillig et al. 2002; Pietikäinen et al. 2005). Faster growth reduces microbial 'bet-hedging' or the allocation of energy to unrequired growth conditions which facilitate adaptation to environmental changes (Mori et al. 2017; Kim et al. 2020). We observed that warming increased the relative abundance of fungal rRNA genes, a proxy for growth. Thus, one hypothesis is that faster growth in the warming

plots reduced fungal capacities to adapt to garlic mustard invasion, leading to enhanced community turnover.

Long-term garlic mustard invasion can reduce fungal biomass (Cantor et al. 2011), EMF abundances (Wolfe et al. 2008), and shift fungal trophic guild dominance towards saprotrophic and plant pathogenic fungi (Anthony et al. 2017). While there were no differences in fungal functional group relative abundances in the invasion-only or invasion plus N addition plots, invasion in concert with warming reduced fungal biomass and relative abundance of EMF and increased that of saprotrophs and plant pathogens (Fig. 2). This mirrors expected results from previous research on long-term established invasions in the study region (Anthony et al. 2017, 2019). One possibility is that under warmer conditions garlic mustard invasion more strongly (or quickly) suppresses mutualistic fungi and selects for saprotrophic and pathotrophic taxa. As a result, under future warmer conditions, the phytotoxic effect of garlic mustard on forest fungi may be amplified, and forest soils may be more susceptible to new garlic mustard invasions than has been previously shown (Lankau 2011).



As a further test of the hypothesis that warming intensifies fungal responses to invasion, we examined whether fungal communities at sites with higher mean annual temperature (MAT) were more responsive to garlic mustard invasion compared to sites with lower MAT. We used previously published data on fungal communities from uninvaded and invaded plots across eight temperate forests in NY and MA (see Anthony et al. 2019). We calculated fungal community response ratios to garlic mustard invasion using non-metric multidimensional scaling configurations as log10 (invaded composition/uninvaded, control plot composition). We found a strong positive correlation between fungal community response to invasion and MAT over a temperature gradient of 4 °C (Fig. 5). This correlation could be due to other environmental variables, but when taken together with our experimental soil warming results, it provides another line of evidence supporting the hypothesis that warming intensifies fungal responses to invasion. It is also worth noting that the warming treatment in our experimental study was instrumented using buried heating cables. While this technique increases soil temperature, it does not appreciably warm aboveground (Aronson and McNulty 2009). Thus, the experimental effects we observed in the warming plots might be different, and possibly even stronger, if we warmed aboveground using infrared heating lamps.




Fig. 5 Dissimilarity in fungal community composition associated with garlic mustard invasion in relation to mean annual temperature (MAT). Responses were calculated as the log ratio of fungal community composition in plots actively invaded by garlic mustard to uninvaded plots across eight mixed temperate forests in the northeastern USA. Points represent mean configurations from non-metric multidimensional scaling [Data from Anthony et al. (2019)]

Nitrogen additions alone and in combination with invasion weakly affected fungal community composition

Nitrogen additions have well-known but variable effects on soil fungi (Frey et al. 2004; Lilleskov et al. 2011; Morrison et al. 2016; Linde et al. 2018). The impacts of N addition on fungi as a single-factor depend on the quantity of added N (Linde et al. 2018) and the duration of additions, and our study applied N at levels and over a time period (10 years) which do not always affect fungal community composition (Morrison et al. 2016). Nitrogen addition also had little effect on fungal community responses to invasion in the absence of warming. However, the effects of added N can potentially nullify the impacts of warming. For example, a recent meta-analysis found that warming elevates soil respiration and microbial biomass, but this effect is reversed by concurrent N additions (Yue et al. 2017). Here, we show that relative to control plots, communities were different in the single-factor warming treatment but when warming was crossed with N addition (N addition x warming; N addition × warming × invasion) no changes were observed, which supports the idea that N additions can mitigate the impacts of warming.

Treatment effects on fungal functional genes, soil properties, and soil processes

In addition to fungal community shifts, there were differences in fungal functional profiles in response to warming and warming x invasion. The effects of warming on fungal functional potential varied by soil horizon: growth (rRNA) functional genes increased in relative abundance in the organic horizon where warming may be selecting for faster growing r-strategists (as discussed earlier), but this remains to be tested. In the two-factor warming x invasion plots, there was increased relative abundance of hydrolytic genes in mineral soil, which may promote the degradation of cellulose and beta-1,4, glucans (Ljungdahl and Eriksson 1985). Interestingly, warming × invasion promoted cellulose degrading genes and the saprotrophic fungi with fully sequenced genomes that were abundant in those plots possess > 10 copies of these cellulose degrading genes (Grigoriev et al. 2014). Our data suggest a higher potential for fungal growth in the warming plots and the enhanced degradation of cellulose in the warming x invasion treatment.

Nitrogen additions reduced the relative abundance of hydrolytic enzyme encoding genes but only as a single-factor treatment and in the organic horizon. Since soil organic matter decomposition in temperate forests is suppressed by N additions (Zak et al. 2008; Frey et al. 2014), this result is not unexpected. Relative abundance of stress response genes was also reduced by N additions, though only significantly in the




organic horizon. Stress response genes were also at reduced relative abundance in the N addition × warming × invasion plots. There may be lower requirements for the enzymes encoded by these stress response genes under N additions because fungi are less metabolically active under chronic N limitations compared to ambient conditions (Frey et al. 2014), but this remains to be tested and would be limited to a certain N addition range as N eventually becomes toxic to microbes (Bowman et al. 2008). While we have demonstrated community composition and functional profile shifts specific to soil fungi, future studies should target particular fungal taxa that play disproportionate roles in mediating ecosystem responses to global changes. It is also important to acknowledge that whether these genes are being expressed is unknown. Future work on transcriptomes and proteomes remains to be done.

Physical soil characteristics were less sensitive to the treatments than were soil fungal communities, though there were changes in soil C stocks, C mineralization, fine root biomass and fungal biomass. Warming alone reduced total soil C stock, an expected outcome of elevated soil respiration under warming conditions (Contosta et al. 2011; Melillo et al. 2017). The observed higher relative abundance of rRNA genes under warming (Fig. 4) without a concomitant increase in fungal biomass (Table 1), further suggests shifts in fungal physiology including reduced growth efficiency. Warming can increase carbon allocation towards CO2 and away from biomass production (lower growth efficiency) and this can reduce soil C stocks (Frey et al. 2013). In the warming x invasion plots, carbon mineralization, a proxy for C lability, was reduced; fine root biomass increased; and total fungal and AMF biomass decreased in the organic horizon of the warming x invasion plots, suggesting that garlic mustard reduced a labile carbon source for fungi and fungal biomass via increased root in-growth. Fine root biomass was also higher in the organic horizons of the nitrogen x invasion plots, though this was not associated with other changes in soil properties/processes or fungal biomass. Garlic mustard has well known negative impacts on AMF (Cantor et al. 2011) and EMF biomass (Wolfe et al. 2008), and the effect of garlic mustard invasion on fungal biomass appeared to be amplified in the context of warming.

Conclusion

This study shows that warming impacts how soil fungi respond to plant invasion but only in the absence of N additions. We acknowledge that it is possible that invasion modified the impact of warming as a single factor, but the observed fungal community shifts in mycorrhizal, saprotroph, and pathogen guilds were similar to those seen under long-term, established garlic mustard invasions. Reduced



Acknowledgements We thank Mel Knorr, Amber Kittle, Christina Lyons, and Julia Wheeler for laboratory and field assistance. Sequencing was performed by David Miller at the Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics at Indiana University.

Author contribution statement Conceptualization, SDF, KAS, MAA, JAMM; formal analysis, MAA and JAMM; investigation, MAA and SDF; writing—original draft, review and editing: MAA, SDF, KAS, JAMM; supervision, SDF.

Funding Open access funding provided by Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich. This work was funded by a U.S. Department of Defense Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) Grant (NRC2326) to KAS and SDF. The Soil Warming×Nitrogen Addition Study at Harvard Forest is maintained with support from the NSF Long Term Ecological Research Program (LTER; DEB-1832110) and a Long Term Research in Environmental Biology grant (LTREB; DEB-1456610) to SDF. MAA was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (DGE 1450271) and a Dissertation Year Fellowship from the University of New Hampshire. Views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed as an official Department of Defense position or decision unless so designated by other official documentation.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



References

- Abarenkov K, Nilsson RH, Larsson K-H et al (2010) The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi recent updates and future perspectives. New Phytol 186:281–285. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03160.x
- Aber J, Neilson RP, McNulty S et al (2001) Forest processes and global environmental change: predicting the effects of individual and multiple stressors: we review the effects of several rapidly changing environmental drivers on ecosystem function, discuss interactions among them, and summarize predicted changes in productivity, carbon storage, and water balance. Bioscience 51:735–751. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0735:FPAGE C]2.0.CO;2
- Allen MR, de Coninck H, Dube OP et al (2018) Technical summary. In: Global warming of 1.5 °C: An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, pp 27–46
- Anderson LJ, Cipollini D (2013) Gas exchange, growth, and defense responses of invasive *Alliaria petiolata* (Brassicaceae) and native *Geum vernum* (Rosaceae) to elevated atmospheric CO2 and warm spring temperatures. Am J Bot 100:1544–1554
- Anthony M, Frey S, Stinson K (2017) Fungal community homogenization, shift in dominant trophic guild, and appearance of novel taxa with biotic invasion. Ecosphere 8:e01951
- Anthony M, Stinson K, Trautwig A et al (2019) Fungal communities do not recover after removing invasive *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard). Biol Invasions 21:3085–3099
- Aronson EL, McNulty SG (2009) Appropriate experimental ecosystem warming methods by ecosystem, objective, and practicality. Agric For Meteorol 149:1791–1799. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.06.007
- Barto EK, Antunes PM, Stinson K et al (2011) Differences in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities associated with sugar maple seedlings in and outside of invaded garlic mustard forest patches. Biol Invasions 13:2755–2762
- Bengtsson-Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M et al (2013) Improved software detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS 2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental sequencing data. Methods Ecol Evol 4:914–919
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120
- Boose E, VanScoy M (2001) Fisher meteorological station at Harvard Forest since 2001. Harv For Data Arch HF001
- Bowman WD, Cleveland CC, Halada Ĺ et al (2008) Negative impact of nitrogen deposition on soil buffering capacity. Nat Geosci 1:767–770. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo339
- Bradley BA, Wilcove DS, Oppenheimer M (2010) Climate change increases risk of plant invasion in the Eastern United States. Biol Invasions 12:1855–1872
- Braman RS, Hendrix SA (1989) Nanogram nitrite and nitrate determination in environmental and biological materials by vanadium (III) reduction with chemiluminescence detection. Anal Chem 61:2715–2718
- Cantor A, Hale A, Aaron J et al (2011) Low allelochemical concentrations detected in garlic mustard-invaded forest soils inhibit fungal growth and AMF spore germination. Biol Invasions 13:3015–3025. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-9986-x
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J et al (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335

- Contosta AR, Frey SD, Cooper AB (2011) Seasonal dynamics of soil respiration and N mineralization in chronically warmed and fertilized soils. Ecosphere 2:1–21
- Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26:2460–2461
- Ehrenfeld JG (2003) Effects of exotic plant invasions on soil nutrient cycling processes. Ecosystems 6:503–523
- Fernandez CW, Nguyen NH, Stefanski A et al (2017) Ectomycorrhizal fungal response to warming is linked to poor host performance at the boreal-temperate ecotone. Glob Change Biol 23:1598–1609. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13510
- Frey SD, Knorr M, Parrent JL, Simpson RT (2004) Chronic nitrogen enrichment affects the structure and function of the soil microbial community in temperate hardwood and pine forests. For Ecol Manag 196:159–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.03.018
- Frey SD, Lee J, Melillo JM, Six J (2013) The temperature response of soil microbial efficiency and its feedback to climate. Nat Clim Change 3:395–398. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1796
- Frey SD, Ollinger S, Nadelhoffer K et al (2014) Chronic nitrogen additions suppress decomposition and sequester soil carbon in temperate forests. Biogeochemistry 121:305–316. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-014-0004-0
- Galloway JN, Dentener FJ, Capone DG et al (2004) Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. Biogeochemistry 70:153–226
- Geml J, Morgado LN, Semenova TA et al (2015) Long-term warming alters richness and composition of taxonomic and functional groups of arctic fungi. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:fiv095
- Gibbons SM, Lekberg Y, Mummey DL et al (2017) Invasive plants rapidly reshape soil properties in a grassland ecosystem. mSystems. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00178-16
- Grigoriev IV, Nikitin R, Haridas S et al (2014) MycoCosm portal: gearing up for 1000 fungal genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D699–D704. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1183
- Hillebrand H, Bennett DM, Cadotte MW (2008) Consequences of dominance: a review of evenness effects on local and regional ecosystem processes. Ecology 89:1510–1520. https://doi. org/10.1890/07-1053.1
- Howard TG, Gurevitch J, Hyatt L et al (2004) Forest invasibility in communities in southeastern New York. Biol Invasions 6:393-410
- Ihrmark K, Bödeker I, Cruz-Martinez K et al (2012) New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region–evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 82:666–677
- Inderjit, van der Putten WH (2010) Impacts of soil microbial communities on exotic plant invasions. Trends Ecol Evol 25:512–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.06.006
- Kim J, Darlington A, Salvador M et al (2020) Trade-offs between gene expression, growth and phenotypic diversity in microbial populations. Curr Opin Biotechnol 62:29–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.08.004
- Klappenbach JA, Dunbar JM, Schmidt TM (2000) rRNA operon copy number reflects ecological strategies of bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:1328–1333
- Lankau RA (2011) Resistance and recovery of soil microbial communities in the face of *Alliaria petiolata* invasions. New Phytol 189:536–548
- Lankau RA, Bauer JT, Anderson MR, Anderson RC (2014) Longterm legacies and partial recovery of mycorrhizal communities after invasive plant removal. Biol Invasions 16:1979–1990
- Lee J, Lee S, Young JPW (2008) Improved PCR primers for the detection and identification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 65:339–349
- Lekberg Y, Koide RT, Rohr JR et al (2007) Role of niche restrictions and dispersal in the composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal



- fungal communities. J Ecol 95:95–105. https://doi.org/10.111 1/j.1365-2745.2006.01193.x
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A et al (2009) The sequence alignment/ map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079
- Lilleskov E, Hobbie EA, Horton T (2011) Conservation of ectomycorrhizal fungi: exploring the linkages between functional and taxonomic responses to anthropogenic N deposition. Fungal Ecol 4:174–183
- Ljungdahl LG, Eriksson K-E (1985) Ecology of microbial cellulose degradation. In: Marshall KC (ed) Advances in microbial ecology, 8th edn. Springer, Boston, pp 237–299
- Losure DA, Wilsey BJ, Moloney KA (2007) Evenness–invasibility relationships differ between two extinction scenarios in tallgrass prairie. Oikos 116:87–98. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0030-1299.15341.x
- Meekins JF, McCarthy BC (2000) Responses of the biennial forest herb *Alliaria petiolata* to variation in population density, nutrient addition and light availability. J Ecol 88:447–463
- Melillo JM, Frey SD, DeAngelis KM et al (2017) Long-term pattern and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world. Science 358:101–105. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan2874
- Merow C, Bois ST, Allen JM et al (2017) Climate change both facilitates and inhibits invasive plant ranges in New England. Proc Natl Acad Sci 114:E3276–E3284. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.16096
- Milchunas DG, Lauenroth WK (1995) Inertia in plant community structure: state changes after cessation of nutrient-enrichment stress. Ecol Appl 5:452–458. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942035
- Mori M, Schink S, Erickson DW et al (2017) Quantifying the benefit of a proteome reserve in fluctuating environments. Nat Commun 8:1225. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01242-8
- Morrison EW, Frey SD, Sadowsky JJ et al (2016) Chronic nitrogen additions fundamentally restructure the soil fungal community in a temperate forest. Fungal Ecol 23:48–57
- Nguyen NH, Song Z, Bates ST et al (2016) FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild. Fungal Ecol 20:241–248
- Nuzzo V (1999) Invasion pattern of herb garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) in high quality forests. Biol Invasions 1:169–179. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010009514048
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R et al (2013) Package 'vegan.' Community Ecol Package Version 2:1–295
- Olsson PA, Bååth E, Jakobsen I, Söderström B (1995) The use of phospholipid and neutral lipid fatty acids to estimate biomass of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil. Mycol Res 99:623–629
- Öpik M, Vanatoa A, Vanatoa E et al (2010) The online database MaarjAM reveals global and ecosystemic distribution patterns in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomeromycota*). New Phytol 188:223–241. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03334.x
- Pietikĥinen J, Pettersson M, Bĥĥth E (2005) Comparison of temperature effects on soil respiration and bacterial and fungal growth rates. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 52:49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.10.002
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S et al (2017) Package 'nlme.' Linear Nonlinear Mix Eff Models Version 3–1
- R Core Team (2019) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/
- Revelle W, Revelle MW (2015) Package 'psych.' Compr R Arch Netw

- Rillig MC, Wright SF, Shaw MR, Field CB (2002) Artificial climate warming positively affects arbuscular mycorrhizae but decreases soil aggregate water stability in an annual grassland. Oikos 97:52–58. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.970105.x
- Rillig MC, Ryo M, Lehmann A et al (2019) The role of multiple global change factors in driving soil functions and microbial biodiversity. Science 366:886–890. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay2832
- Roberts KJ, Anderson RC (2001) Effect of garlic mustard [*Alliaria* petiolata (Beib. Cavara & Grande)] extracts on plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi. Am Midl Nat 146:146–153
- Rodgers VL, Stinson KA, Finzi AC (2008) Ready or not, garlic mustard is moving in: *Alliariapetiolata* as a member of eastern North American forests. Bioscience 58:426–436
- Seebens H, Blackburn TM, Dyer EE et al (2018) Global rise in emerging alien species results from increased accessibility of new source pools. Proc Natl Acad Sci 115:E2264–E2273
- Simon L, Lalonde M, Bruns T (1992) Specific amplification of 18S fungal ribosomal genes from vesicular-arbuscular endomycorrhizal fungi colonizing roots. Appl Environ Microbiol 58:291–295
- Stinson KA, Campbell SA, Powell JR et al (2006) Invasive plant suppresses the growth of native tree seedlings by disrupting belowground mutualisms. PLOS Biol 4:e140. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040140
- Stinson K, Kaufman S, Durbin L, Lowenstein F (2007) Impacts of garlic mustard invasion on a forest understory community. Northeast Nat 14:73–89
- Tamura M, Tharayil N (2014) Plant litter chemistry and microbial priming regulate the accrual, composition and stability of soil carbon in invaded ecosystems. New Phytol 203:110–124. https:// doi.org/10.1111/nph.12795
- Treseder KK, Lennon JT (2015) Fungal traits that drive ecosystem dynamics on land. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 79:243–262. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00001-15
- van der Linde S, Suz LM, Orme CDL et al (2018) Environment and host as large-scale controls of ectomycorrhizal fungi. Nature 558:243–248. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0189-9
- Wheeler J, Frey S, Stinson K (2017) Tree seedling responses to multiple environmental stresses: interactive effects of soil warming, nitrogen fertilization, and plant invasion. For Ecol Manag 403:44–51
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. PCR Protoc Guide Methods Appl 18:315–322
- Wilsey BJ, Polley HW (2002) Reductions in grassland species evenness increase dicot seedling invasion and spittle bug infestation. Ecol Lett 5:676–684. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00372
- Wolfe BE, Rodgers VL, Stinson KA, Pringle A (2008) The invasive plant *Alliariapetiolata* (garlic mustard) inhibits ectomycorrhizal fungi in its introduced range. J Ecol 96:777–783
- Yue K, Fornara DA, Yang W et al (2017) Influence of multiple global change drivers on terrestrial carbon storage: additive effects are common. Ecol Lett 20:663–672. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12767
- Zak DR, Holmes WE, Burton AJ et al (2008) Simulated atmospheric No3- deposition increases soil organic matter by slowing decomposition. Ecol Appl 18:2016–2027. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1743.1
- Zhang Z, Qu Y, Li S et al (2017) Soil bacterial quantification approaches coupling with relative abundances reflecting the changes of taxa. Sci Rep 7:4837

