# Environmental Science Water Research & Technology



View Article Online

## PAPER



Cite this: Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2020, **6**, 3080

Received 8th June 2020, Accepted 30th August 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ew00545b

rsc.li/es-water

#### Water impact

Recent studies on drinking water quality have revealed that many parts of the world have been severely affected due to contamination caused by heavy metal ions. Even though many adsorption/absorption-based technologies have been examined for the removal of these toxic metal ions from contaminated water, inexpensive and sustainable methods are still necessary. Experimental results suggested that rice husk derived nanocellulose based adsorbents provide a suitable and environmentally viable solution for the removal of Pb(II) and La(III) toxic metal ions from water.

## 1. Introduction

Exploiting underutilized material sources to extract effective sorption materials is a sustainable and cost-effective way to tackle pressing global water challenges.<sup>1,2</sup> As the food demand increases, the generation of agricultural residues during food production also increases. However, the

benjamin.hsiao@stonybrook.edu; Tel: +1(631)632 7793

# Rice husk based nanocellulose scaffolds for highly efficient removal of heavy metal ions from contaminated water<sup>†</sup>

Chengbo Zhan, <sup>(D)</sup><sup>ab</sup> Priyanka R. Sharma, <sup>(D)</sup><sup>b</sup> Hongrui He,<sup>b</sup> Sunil K. Sharma,<sup>b</sup> Alexis McCauley-Pearl,<sup>c</sup> Ruifu Wang<sup>b</sup> and Benjamin S. Hsiao <sup>(D)</sup>\*<sup>b</sup>

Rice husks are an agricultural residue of great annual production and have a high cellulose content. In this study, we have prepared highly charged carboxyl cellulose nanofibers (CNFs) from rice husks using the TEMPO-oxidation method and the extracted CNFs were evaluated as an adsorbent for the removal of lead(II) and lanthanum(III) (Pb(II) and La(IIII)) ions from contaminated water. Three different forms of nanocellulose adsorbents were prepared: suspension, freeze-dried, and nanocomposite containing magnetic nanoparticles, where their adsorption performance was tested against the removal of the two chosen heavy metal ions. The maximum adsorption capacity of rice husk based CNFs was found to be the highest in the nanocellulose suspension, *i.e.*, 193.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for Pb(II) and 100.7 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for La(IIII). The separation of the used adsorbent in the suspension was further facilitated by the gelation of the CNFs and metal cations, where the resulting floc could be removed by gravity-driven filtration. The absorption mechanism of the investigated CNF system is mainly due to electrostatic interactions between negatively charged carboxylate groups and multivalent metal ions. It was found that 90% lanthanum content in the form of lanthanum oxychloride (determined by X-ray powder diffraction) could be obtained by incinerating the CNF/LaCl<sub>3</sub> gel. This study demonstrates a viable and sustainable solution to upcycle agricultural residues into remediation nanomaterials for the removal and recovery of toxic heavy metal ions from contaminated water.

conventional paths to process these "waste" materials often become not economically sustainable.<sup>3</sup> In this study, we demonstrate that agricultural residues are an ideal and sustainable source to produce effective water purification sorption materials, thus improving the nexus of food, energy and water systems.

Rice is a major food staple around the globe, where its annual production in 2017 was about 769 million tons worldwide according to the Food and Agriculture Organization in the UN. Rice husks are an inedible byproduct during the milling process to produce edible rice (brown or white). The tremendous amount of rice husks, which contain a mixture of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and silica, has often been considered as an industrial raw material.<sup>4</sup> Rice husks could be a good material to produce activated carbon, and this process is often coupled with the process of silica extraction.<sup>5,6</sup> Unlike forestry (wood-based)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Space Institute of Southern China, Shenzhen 518117, China.

E-mail: chengbo.zhan@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY11794-3400,

USA. E-mail: priyanka.r.sharma@stonybrook.edu, hongrui.he@stonybrook.edu, sunil.k.sharma@stonybrook.edu, ruifu.wang@stonybrook.edu,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Smithtown High School East, Saint James, NY 11780, USA.

E-mail: almp1101@gmail.com

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ d0ew00545b

products, rice husks have a high silica content (up to 20%) because silica is an essential component for the development and yield of rice.<sup>7,8</sup> Silica is typically deposited in the stems, leaves and seeds of the rice plants, and provides the critical function of increasing the stem strength and sealing the epidermis to minimize water evaporation.<sup>9,10</sup> However, the high inorganic content also hinders the biodegradation process of rice husks. To date, the most common treatment to deal with rice husks is by burning, where a great deal of progress has been made on converting rice husks into energy output, such as fuel and electricity. The burnt ash can be used to produce silicon-based materials.<sup>11,12</sup> However, the burning processes produce a very high volume of  $CO_2$  emission,<sup>13,14</sup> while the majority of the organic component in rice husks is completely wasted.

In addition to the efforts of extracting silica from rice husks, extracting organics from rice husks is also economically attractive since rice husks are rich in proteins and oils.<sup>15</sup> There have been studies using nonpolar solvents, polar solvents, or enzyme-assisted aqueous solvents to extract rice bran oil.<sup>16,17</sup> On the other hand, cellulose is a major component of rice husks. There have been several reports about the extraction of nanocellulose from rice husks, but none demonstrated the use of extracted nanocellulose as a purification material.<sup>18–20</sup> In recent years, water nanocellulose has been recognized as a sustainable nanomaterial with great potential in water purification, as it can be used as an adsorbent, flocculant, coagulant, membrane material, or a catalyst.<sup>21-32</sup> We believe that nanocellulose extracted from rice husks can also be used for this purpose to tackle the toxic metal contamination problems. In this study, lead(II) (Pb(II) or Pb<sup>2+</sup>) and lanthanum(III) (La(III) or La<sup>3+</sup>) were chosen as model contaminants. Lead is notorious for its high toxicity that can cause irreversible damage on neural systems and kidney,<sup>33</sup> as lead ions are not biodegradable and can be accumulated in the body through food or water consumption.<sup>34</sup> The second contaminant lanthanum is a rare earth element (REE), and has been widely used in the electronics industry. In recent years, elevated environmental REE concentration has been detected in many regions.35,36 Besides the process of removing REEs from water, recycling REEs has also become desirable because of their low abundancy and high value. The adsorption of La(III) by various adsorbents could be due to the interactions with hydroxyl, carboxyl, amino, or phosphoryl groups.<sup>37-41</sup> As a result, there have been several reports utilizing biosorbents based on algae, fish scales, and fruit peels in the raw state or with minimal chemical modifications to recycle lanthanum.42-44

In this study, we have prepared rice husk nanocellulose, which has abundant negatively charged carboxylate groups and an extremely large specific surface area. This material should have good performance in adsorbing positively charged metal ions, such as Pb(n) and La(m).<sup>45</sup> The adsorption efficiency and corresponding mechanism of nanocellulose in three different forms, suspension, freeze-

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

Raw rice husks were obtained from Mexico. Sodium chlorite  $(NaClO_2)$ , 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO), sodium hypochlorite solution (NaClO, chlorine content: 10–15%), sodium bromide (NaBr) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; lead nitrate (Pb(NO\_3)\_2) was purchased from Acros; ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), ferrous chloride hydrate (FeCl<sub>2</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O), lanthanum chloride (LaCl<sub>3</sub>), lead acetate (Pb(OAc)\_2) and lead nitrate (Pb(NO\_3)\_2) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. All chemicals were used without further purification.

#### 2.2. Materials preparation

2.2.1. Preparation of rice husk carboxyl cellulose **nanofibers (RHCNFs).** Raw rice husks ( $\leq 5$  mm in length) were delignified at 80 °C for 2 h using a sodium hydroxide solution (1 mol  $L^{-1}$ ) with a 10:1 solvent to biomass ratio. After the base treatment, the delignified rice husks were washed with deionized (DI) water and dried in an oven. The dried sample was then bleached 2 times using an acidic solution of sodium chlorite (1 % w v<sup>-1</sup>, the pH was decreased at around 4 by glacial acetic acid) with a 30:1 solvent to biomass ratio at 70 °C for 1 h. The product was then rinsed with DI water, dried and stored. TEMPO-mediated oxidation was carried out on the treated (bleached) rice husk sample using a previously reported procedure.46 In brief, the bleached rice husks (10 g, 61.7 mmol) were suspended in DI water (960 mL), to which NaBr (1 g, 9.72 mmol) and TEMPO (0.1 g, 0.64 mmol) were added. The suspension was kept stirring for 15 min until TEMPO and NaBr were fully dissolved, and NaClO (75 g, 12% aqueous solution, 121 mmol) was subsequently added to the mixture. During the reaction, the pH value of the suspension was maintained between 10 and 10.5 by using a NaOH solution (0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$ ). After 24 h, the reaction was terminated with the addition of 10 mL ethanol. The oxidized fiber slurry was dialyzed with DI water until the conductivity of the dialysate was below 5 µS (after equilibration for 12 h). Finally, the slurry was mechanically defibrillated with a homogenizer (GEA Niro Soavi, Panda Plus) operated at 200 bars for 3 cycles. One part of the suspension was freeze-dried for characterization (solid state NMR, SEM, TGA, and XRD). The rest of the suspension was diluted into varying concentrations for the adsorption test.

**2.2.2.** Synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles. To prepare magnetic nanoparticles,  $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  (0.1 g, 0.37 mmol) and

Paper

#### Paper

FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.0397 g, 0.20 mmol) were first dissolved in 50 mL of water. 50 mL of NaOH solution (0.1 M) was subsequently added to the solution, where the temperature was raised to 80 °C. The mixture was kept stirring for 3 h to form magnetic nanoparticles. After the reaction, the resulting black precipitates were separated by centrifugation and washed twice with DI water.<sup>47</sup>

**2.2.3.** Synthesis of the magnetic nanocellulose composite (Mag–RHCNF). The magnetic nanoparticles prepared above were resuspended in 50 mL of DI water, where 100 mL of rice husk-based CNF suspension (0.465 wt%) was subsequently added. The mixture was heated to 80 °C and the reaction was stopped after 2 h. The product was washed with DI water and centrifuged 3 times and freeze-dried.

#### 2.3. Characterization

**2.3.1. Zeta potential measurements.** Zeta potential of the RHCNF sample was measured with a Zetaprobe Analyzer (Colloid Dynamics) equipped with a pH electrode and ESA sensor probe. Before analyzing the sample, the pH electrode was calibrated using 3 different pH buffer standards (pH = 4.01, 7.01 and 10.01). The ESA sensor was calibrated using the standard zeta probe polar solution (KSiW solution). Upon the completion of calibration test, the RHCNF suspension (0.2 wt%, 280 mL) was added to the sample holder, where the pH probe and the ESA sensor were then inserted. During the measurement, the sample was under continuous stirring with a mixer.

**2.3.2.** Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometry. A Nicolet iS20 FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to measure the IR spectra in the transmission mode, where the spectra were taken between 400 and 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. A total of 16 scans were taken per sample. The spectra of the RHCNF and raw rice husk samples were recorded in the attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode with a resolution of 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**2.3.3.** <sup>13</sup>C CPMAS NMR. Solid state <sup>13</sup>C CPMAS NMR measurements of the RHCNFs were carried out using a Bruker Ultrashield 500WB Plus (500 MHz) instrument, equipped with a 2.5 mm triple resonance magic angle spinning (MAS) probe. The resonance frequency for <sup>13</sup>C was 10 000 Hz and the samples were spun at the magic angle with a speed of 12 kHz.

**2.3.4. X-ray diffraction (XRD).** XRD measurements of the RHCNFs and incinerated gel were carried out using a benchtop Rigaku MiniFlex 600 instrument. The samples were loaded onto a zero-diffraction silicon plate. The Cu K $\alpha$  radiation was generated at 40 kV and 40 mA ( $\lambda = 0.154$  nm) using a Ni filter. Data collection was carried out using a flat holder in the Bragg–Brentano geometry (5–70°).

2.3.5. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). TGA was performed with a Q50 thermogravimetric analyzer (TA Instruments). About 10 mg of RHCNF samples were loaded onto the platinum pan and then heated up from 25 °C to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> under dry nitrogen flow. The weight loss (%) of the samples was recorded as a function of temperature.

2.3.6. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). TEM images of the RHCNFs and various floc samples were acquired using a JEM-1400 microscope (JEOL). In the typical TEM measurement, 2  $\mu$ L of RHCNF suspension at 0.01 wt% concentration was deposited on a glow-discharged 300 mesh copper grid with a carbon film coating. The operating voltage for the TEM measurement was 120 kV. The TEM image analysis was performed by ImageJ software.

**2.3.7. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).** SEM test was performed using a Crossbeam 340 scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy) with the capability of energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, X-Max 50, Oxford Instruments). Freeze-dried RHCNF samples before and after the adsorption test were loaded onto the sample holder with a piece of carbon tape for the acquisition of SEM images. The measurements were carried out with an SE detector at 3 kV of electron high tension (EHT) and elemental mappings were collected at 20 kV of EHT.

**2.3.8. Atomic force microscopy (AFM).** AFM measurement was performed in the tapping mode using a Bruker Dimension ICON scanning probe microscope (Bruker Corporation, U.S.A.) equipped with a Bruker OTESPA tip (radius: 7 nm). A Piranha cleaned silica wafer substrate was immersed in the RHCNF suspension (0.0015 wt%) overnight and taken out before measurement. NanoScope Analysis, a software by Bruker, was used for all the AFM image analyses.

#### 2.4. Static adsorption test

Lanthanum chloride, lead acetate and lead nitrate stock solutions were prepared at concentrations ranging from 10 to 2000 ppm. For the adsorption capability study of the RHCNFs and Mag-RHCNF, about 5 mg of the solid sample was added to 2 mL of the metal ion solution. To test the adsorption capacity of the RHCNF suspension, 2 mL of metal ion solution was mixed with 2 mL of 0.12 wt% RHCNF suspension. After the adsorption of metal ions, the mixture from the above adsorbent (freeze-dried RHCNF, Mag-RHCNF and RHCNF suspensions) was centrifuged, where the supernatant was collected. The supernatant was diluted down to the level of 100 ppb, and the exact ion concentration was analyzed using the inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) technique (SQ-ICP-MS, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Based on the adsorption rates at various concentrations, and at different pH values, an isotherm curve was generated. The maximum adsorption capacity for the metal ions was determined by the Langmuir model as follows:

$$q = \frac{Kq_{\rm m}c}{1+Kc}$$

where q is the adsorption capacity with the concentration of the adsorbate at c when equilibrium is reached,  $q_m$  is the maximum capacity of the adsorbent, and K is the Langmuir constant.

## 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Characterization of RHCNFs and RHCNF-based adsorbents

RHCNFs were prepared by the TEMPO-mediated oxidation of rice husks after delignification/bleaching treatments. The introduction of carboxyl groups on the RHCNFs was confirmed by FT-IR and <sup>13</sup>C solid-state NMR measurements and their results are shown in Fig. 1. It was seen that the peak at 1600  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in the IR spectrum (Fig. 1A) and the peak at 171 ppm in the NMR spectrum (Fig. 1B) could be assigned to the carboxyl groups, confirming that the process of TEMPOmediated oxidation was successfully carried out to fabricate the RHCNFs. It was also found that the peaks assigned to lignin syringyl (153 ppm in the NMR spectrum) and lignin guaiacyl units (171 ppm in the NMR spectrum) disappeared in the RHCNFs, indicating that the lignin components were completely removed.<sup>48,49</sup> The carboxyl content of the RHCNFs was further determined by conductometric titration as 1.10 mmol  $g^{-1}$ , and the zeta-potential was about -55 mV. These results indicated that the RHCNFs have a negatively charged surface. Subsequently, the morphology of the RHCNFs was determined by TEM and AFM methods (results shown in Fig. 1C and D). It was found that the RHCNFs exhibited fibrous morphology with an average width of about 5 nm and

a length at the micrometer scale, confirming that the RHCNFs have a high aspect ratio and very high specific area. The RHCNF sample was also characterized by the XRD technique (Fig. 1E), where results exhibited typical diffraction peaks of cellulose crystals. Compared with the XRD profiles between the raw rice husks and RHCNFs, the results indicated that the amorphous part of raw rice husks had been largely removed. The above results suggested that the RHCNFs possess high crystallinity, a large amount of carboxyl groups, and a high surface area with great potential as an effective adsorption agent for removing cationic contaminants.

In this study, RHCNF-based adsorbents in three different forms were evaluated: RHCNF suspension, freeze-dried RHCNF and RHCNF-based nanocomposite containing nanoparticles (Mag-RHCNF). The RHCNF magnetic suspension was in the original state of the nanocellulose product after TEMPO oxidation and defibrillation treatments, so it required no additional processing. It was found that the RHCNF suspension could form a gel after the adsorption of multivalent metal ions, such that the used adsorbent could be easily removed from the treated water. The separation of the gelled RHCNF-metal ion floc from water is shown in the ESI,† where the gel could be filtered out from water using a commercial coffee filter having a mesh size of about 100 µm (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>) by gravity.



Fig. 1 Characterization of the RHCNFs with (A) FT-IR, (B) solid state <sup>13</sup>C CPMAS NMR, (C) TEM, (D) AFM and (E) XRD techniques. The comparison of the raw rice husks and RHCNFs ((A), (B) and (E)) confirmed the successful preparation of TEMPO-mediated oxidized cellulose nanofibers. The microscopy results ((C) and (D)) confirmed the fibrous morphology of the RHCNFs.

The solid RHCNF adsorbent could be produced by freezedrying the RHCNF suspension. As the freeze-drying process can remove more than 99.5 wt% of water from the RHCNF suspension, the total weight and volume of the RHCNF adsorbent would become considerably reduced, thus saving a great deal of transportation cost. However, as the dried RHCNFs cannot be easily dispersed in water, the removal of consumed RHCNFs requires the use of ultrafiltration with a smaller membrane size, or the application of centrifugation to separate the secondary contaminant from treated water, thus imposing higher energy consumption compared to the removal of the gel floc.

To overcome this problem, we also prepared a magnetic composite scaffold (Mag-RHCNF), consisting of iron oxide nanoparticles anchored in the RHCNF scaffold, as a solid adsorbent. The adoption of Mag-RHCNF would enable us to use magnetic separation to separate the secondary contaminant from water, which has been demonstrated in some water treatments.<sup>50,51</sup> The characterization of Mag-RHCNF is described in the ESI.† The successful incorporation of nanoscale magnetic nanoparticles (Fig. S2,† the average diameter of the nanoparticles was about 2.8 nm) in the RHCNF scaffold was confirmed by SEM (Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>), TGA (Fig. S4A<sup>†</sup>) and XRD (Fig. S4B<sup>†</sup>) experiments. The chosen content of magnetic nanoparticles loaded into Mag-RHCNF composites was about 7 wt%. Based on the XRD profile, the observed diffraction peaks from the nanoparticles indicated that they consisted of the spinel phase of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> crystals.<sup>52</sup> This iron oxide phase has been shown to be a ferrite with properties of magnetite.<sup>53</sup> A demonstration of magneticassisted separation using Mag-RHCNF could be seen in Fig. S5.† The adsorption efficiency of these three adsorbents for the two model metal ions (*i.e.*, La(III) and Pb(II)) are as follows.

#### 3.2. Adsorption performance against LaCl<sub>3</sub>

LaCl<sub>3</sub> was chosen as a representative heavy metal ion compound from the rare earth element group. Two adsorption studies, adsorption kinetics and adsorption capacity, of all the three RHCNF adsorbents in different forms against La(III) were conducted. The adsorption kinetics evaluation from the solid RHCNF and Mag-RHCNF adsorbents was carried out by using 250 ppm metal ions, where the results are shown in Fig. S5.† It was seen that the maximum adsorption capacity was achieved around 24 h, which was used as the time period for all the static adsorption studies. The static adsorption evaluation of the maximum adsorption capacity was carried out by adding 2 mL of RHCNF suspension or 5 mg of solid adsorbent (RHCNF and Mag-RHCNF) into 2 mL of a La(III) solution at varying concentrations (i.e., 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm). After 24 h of adsorption, the concentration of La(III) was measured to calculate the equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate  $(C_e)$  and the amount of the adsorbate adsorbed at equilibrium concentration  $(q_e)$ . The adsorption isotherms of the RHCNF suspension, freeze-dried

#### Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology

RHCNF and Mag-RHCNF adsorbents for LaCl<sub>3</sub> removal at pH = 7 are depicted in Fig. 2A. These isotherms were fitted by the nonlinear Langmuir equation to estimate the maximum adsorption capacity  $(q_m)$ . It was found that the  $q_m$  value was 100.7 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for the RHCNF suspension, 59.0 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for the freeze-dried RHCNF and 41.4 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for Mag-RHCNF. This trend could be correlated with the carboxylate content of the adsorbent. The carboxylate content of the adsorbent was determined by the conductometric titration method, where the results indicated that it was 1.10 mmol  $g^{-1}$  for the RHCNF suspension, 0.73 mmol  $g^{-1}$  for the freeze-dried RHCNF, and 0.46 mmol  $g^{-1}$  for Mag-RHCNF. The above observations suggested that the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent against La(III) was highly dependent on the carboxylate content. The RHCNF suspension, with the highest carboxylate content, exhibited the best adsorption performance. The freeze-dried RHCNF showed the secondbest adsorption performance. This is reasonable because the freeze-dried RHCNF sample could not be fully defibrillated in water, leading to some hidden surface area not available for adsorption (ionic interaction). The lowest carboxylate content on Mag-RHCNF suggested that some carboxylate functional groups (negatively charged) were probably consumed by the anchoring of metal oxide nanoparticles (positively charged). The adsorption capacity and efficiency of the RHCNF suspension against  $La^{3+}$  ions at pH = 7 in the concentration range of 10-1000 ppm are shown in Table 1. It was found that the adsorption efficiency increased with the decrease in La(III) concentration. This behavior is quite desirable for the recovery of La<sup>3+</sup> ions from highly diluted sources.

The pH effect on the adsorption performance of the RHCNF suspension was also studied to reveal the adsorption mechanism. The results are shown in Fig. 2B. It was seen that the adsorption capacity increased under basic conditions, which was probably due to the precipitation of lanthanum hydroxides. In contrast, the adsorption capacity was considerably reduced under acidic conditions. The reason for this behavior was probably due to the protonation of carboxylate groups at low pH values. To test this hypothesis, the zeta-potential of the RHCNF suspension under those low pH conditions was measured, and the results are shown in Fig. 2B. It was found that at low pH values, the zeta-potential of the RHCNF suspension was significantly elevated, which indicated that the negatively charge density on the RHCNF surface was greatly reduced.<sup>54</sup> The decrease in negative charges would result in weaker interactions between the adsorbent and La<sup>3+</sup> ions, thereby leading to the decrease in adsorption capacity. The results indicated that the RHCNFs in the suspension possessed high efficiency at neutral or high pH values, but the performance would be reduced at low pH values.

#### 3.3. Adsorption performance against Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub>

 $Pb(OAc)_2$  was chosen as the second representative contaminant as toxic heavy metal ions, and research has



**Fig. 2** (A) The adsorption isotherms of the RHCNF suspension, freeze-dried RHCNF and Mag-RHCNF adsorbents for La(III) (*i.e.* LaCl<sub>3</sub>) removal. The initial La(III) concentrations were 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm; (B) the adsorption capacity of the different adsorbents at different pH values (pH = 3, 7, and 9) with an initial La(III) concentration of 250 ppm, and the corresponding zeta-potential of the RHCNF samples in the suspension. All the measurements abovementioned were conducted at pH = 7 and room temperature. The dosage of the adsorbent was 5 mg for the solid samples (freeze-dried RHCNF and Mag-RHCNF) and 2 mL for the 0.12 wt% RHCNF suspension.

Table 1 Adsorption capacity and efficiency of the RHCNF suspension against  $La^{3+}$  ions at pH = 7 in the concentration range of 10–1000 ppm, at pH 7 and room temperature

Original La <sup>3+</sup> conc. (ppm)	Final La <sup>3+</sup> conc. (ppm)	RHCNF content <sup><i>a</i></sup> (0.12 wt%)/2 mL (mg)	Experimental adsorption capacity $(q_e, \text{ mg g}^{-1})$	Adsorption efficiency <sup>b</sup>
1000	898	2.4	$85.0 \pm 6.50$	0.102
500	412	2.4	$73.3 \pm 1.51$	0.176
250	192	2.4	$48.8 \pm 5.93$	0.232
100	68.9	2.4	$25.9 \pm 5.40$	0.311
50	25.6	2.4	$20.4 \pm 7.04$	0.488
25	5.38	2.4	$16.4 \pm 8.41$	0.785
10	1.23	2.4	$7.31 \pm 3.47$	0.877

<sup>*a*</sup> Total amount of the RHCNFs used in 2 mL of 0.12 wt% suspension was 2 g  $\times$  0.12% = 2.4 mg. <sup>*b*</sup> Adsorption efficiency = (Original conc. – Final conc.)/Original conc.



**Fig. 3** (A) The adsorption isotherms of the RHCNF suspension, freeze-dried RHCNF and Mag-RHCNF adsorbents for Pb(II) (*i.e.*  $Pb(OAc)_2$ ) removal. The initial Pb(II) concentrations were 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm; (B) the adsorption capacity of the different adsorbents at different pH values (pH = 3, 7, and 9) with an initial Pb(II) concentration of 250 ppm and the zeta-potential of the RHCNF suspension. All the measurements abovementioned were conducted at pH = 7 and room temperature. The dosage of the adsorbent was 5 mg for the solid samples (freeze-dried RHCNF and Mag-RHCNF) and 2 mL for the 0.12 wt% RHCNF suspension.

demonstrated the capability of carboxyl cellulose nanofibers to adsorb Pb(II).<sup>55</sup> The kinetic and static adsorption studies were carried out using the same procedures as in the above La(III) studies. The adsorption isotherm results of the RHCNF freeze-dried RHCNF suspension, and Mag-RHCNF absorbents for Pb(II) (*i.e.*,  $Pb(OAc)_2$ ) removal are shown in Fig. 3A. These isotherms were also analyzed by the nonlinear Langmuir equation, yielding the  $q_{\rm m}$  value of 193.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for the RHCNF suspension, 170.4 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for the freeze-dried RHCNF and 52.9 mg  $g^{-1}$  for Mag-RHCNF. The adsorption capacity of the different adsorbents at different pH values at an initial La(III) concentration of 250 ppm is shown in Fig. 3B. Unlike the case of LaCl<sub>3</sub>, the adsorption capacity for the RHCNF suspension for Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> removal only showed a slight decrease at the low pH value. The latter behavior could not be correlated with the zeta-potential values of the RHCNF suspensions at different pH values, indicating that the adsorption of Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> by the RHCNFs in the suspension was not totally dependent on the surface charge of the RHCNFs.

We hypothesize that the different adsorption behaviors of using RHCNFs to remove Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> followed the dissociation property of the compound rather than the electrostatic interactions between the RHCNFs and Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions. To verify this hypothesis, the adsorption study of the RHCNFs was further carried out using Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, which is an ionic salt that can fully dissociate in water. The comparison of the different adsorption isotherms using the RHCNF suspension to remove Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> *versus* Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> from water is shown in Fig. 4A. Based on this diagram, the RHCNF suspension exhibited that the maximum adsorption capacity for Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is 150.0 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, which is not as high as that for Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (193.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup>). However, the pH test results were also different. Fig. 4B shows that Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> exhibited a notable decrease in the adsorption capacity at low pH values, which was similar to the case of  $LaCl_3$ . Thus, we verified the hypothesis that the adsorption behavior against  $Pb(OAc)_2$  was more related to its dissociation state rather than the electrostatic interactions between the metal ions and RHCNFs.

#### 3.4. The adsorption mechanism of the RHCNF adsorbents

The adsorption study for  $LaCl_3$  showed that the adsorption capacity of the RHCNFs was closely related to the carboxylate content of the adsorbent, where the capacity significantly decreased at low pH values. However, the adsorption capacity for Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> of the RHCNFs was not sensitive to the pH change. The difference in the adsorption behavior indicated that the removal of these two contaminants exhibited different mechanisms, which were revealed by TEM and XRD measurements.

The XRD and TEM results from the characterization of the gel floc samples formed by mixing the RHCNF suspension and various metal ion solutions are shown in Fig. 5. In Fig. 5A, the XRD pattern of the RHCNF-La(III) gel was almost identical to that of the pristine RHCNF, which suggested no notable occurrence of mineralization after La(III) adsorption. The TEM results (Fig. 5B) also showed clear fibrous morphology of the RHCNFs after adsorption with no signs of inorganic entity, even though the fiber width was found to increase to about 10 nm due to interfibrillar aggregation. This suggests that the La<sup>3+</sup> ions behaved like ionic crosslinking agents, binding the adjacent RHCNFs and forming fiber aggregates. In contrast, the XRD pattern of the RHCNF-Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> gel floc exhibited sharp diffraction peaks, indicating the presence of lead hydroxide (Pb(OH)<sub>2</sub>) crystals formed by mineralization. The presence of lead hydroxide crystals was



**Fig. 4** (A) The adsorption isotherms of the RHCNF suspension for  $Pb(OAc)_2$  and  $Pb(NO_3)_2$  removal. The initial Pb(II) concentrations were 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm; (B) the adsorption capacity of the RHCNF suspension for  $LaCl_3$ ,  $Pb(OAc)_2$  and  $Pb(NO_3)_2$  with the initial metal concentration of 250 ppm. All the measurements were conducted at pH = 7 and room temperature. The dosage of the adsorbent was 2 mL for the 0.12 wt% RHCNF suspension.





**Fig. 5** Characterization of the gel floc formed by mixing the RHCNF suspension with metal ion solutions. (A) The XRD patterns of the pristine RHCNF, RHCNF-LaCl<sub>3</sub> gel, and RHCNF-Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> gel, where the diffraction peak from the (110) plane of lead hydroxide could be clearly observed (peaks marked by # belong to lead hydroxide). The spectra were vertically shifted for better clarity. (B) TEM image of the RHCNF-LaCl<sub>3</sub> gel. (C) TEM image of the RHCNF-Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> gel.

also evident in the TEM image (Fig. 5C), where elongated crystalline regions extruded from the RHCNFs were seen. The higher maximum adsorption capacity of the RHCNF suspension for  $Pb(OAc)_2$  than that for  $Pb(NO_3)_2$  could thus be understood, as the former was dominated by the mineralization of lead hydroxide crystals.

The difference in the adsorption mechanism could also account for the adsorption results from the mixed solutions (Table S1, ESI†), indicating the competition of different metal ions during the adsorption process. The results showed that the adsorption capacity for Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> continuously increased with time but the adsorption of LaCl<sub>3</sub> remained about constant (after saturation). This indicated that the charged-induced adsorption of La(m) was limited by the available adsorption sites (carboxylate groups) in the RHCNFs, but the limiting factors in the adsorption of Pb( $\mu$ ) included not only the adsorption sites but also the mineralization process of the Pb(OH)<sub>2</sub> crystal formation.

The different adsorption behavior between the removal of LaCl<sub>3</sub> versus Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> could also be explained as follows. LaCl<sub>3</sub> is an ionic salt that fully dissociates in aqueous solution, where  $La^{3+}$  ions can interact with the  $-COO^{-}$  groups, but much weaker with the neutral -COOH groups. Therefore, the adsorption capacity was significantly reduced when the carboxylate groups were protonated at the low pH value. As for Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, the dissociation constant is  $9.4 \times 10^{-9}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> and the major ionic species is Pb(OAc)<sup>+.56</sup> Taking a 100 ppm solution for consideration, which is a median concentration solution, the lead acetate concentration was about  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ mol  $L^{-1}$ . Thus, the concentration of dissociated species was only about  $1.7 \times 10^{-6}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that only less than 1% Pb(OAc)<sub>2</sub> was dissociated. Thus, the adsorption of  $Pb(OAc)_2$  was not sensitive to the low pH conditions. Therefore, we concluded that for dissociated metal ions, the adsorption took place on the surface of the cellulose nanofibers and the driving force was the electrostatic

interactions between negatively charged carboxylate groups and the cations.<sup>57,58</sup> In contrast, for weakly dissociated salts, the combined effects of the RHCNF surface-induced mineralization and electrostatic interactions led to the removal of the contaminant.

#### 3.5. Recovery of lanthanum

A comparison of the different adsorbents and their reported adsorption capacity values for La(III) removal is listed in Table 2. It was found that the RHCNF suspension exhibited the highest adsorption capacity among all the adsorbents tested, including polymers and inorganic materials. However, the RHCNF suspension system may offer some additional advantages. One is that the RHCNF suspension is more sustainable than synthetic polymeric adsorbents for water purification, as the RHCNF adsorbent system is extracted from rice husks that are extremely abundant and low-cost. Another advantage is that the RHCNF suspension can offer an easy pathway for lanthanum recovery because lanthanum is a rare metal ion and extensively used for various important applications in the electronics, medical and nuclear industries.35 However, the desorption process of lanthanum from inorganic adsorbents would require strong acid treatment.<sup>59-61</sup> In this section, we further demonstrated a simple lanthanum recovery method, which involved the thermolysis of the RHCNF-La suspension or gel. It has been shown that the RHCNF suspension could form a gel floc when it encountered La3+ ions. The resulting gel floc, containing nanocellulose and La(III) content, could be easily separated by low energy microfiltration (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>). The most efficient way to recover the lanthanum compound is by incineration of the gel floc, where the organic scaffold can be reduced leaving behind mainly the inorganic element. In this demonstration, we collected the gel floc from the addition of 20 mL of the 0.12 wt% RHCNF suspension and 20 mL of 2000 ppm LaCl<sub>3</sub> solution and then incinerated the mixture at 500 °C in air. The weight of the resulting residue (white powder) was 2.6 mg, and the corresponding XRD pattern is shown in Fig. 6. In this pattern, the initial peaks from nanocellulose disappeared completely, where the newly emerging peaks perfectly matched the diffraction profile of lanthanum oxychloride (LaOCl)<sup>62</sup> with high purity. Based on the adsorption capacity of the RHCNF suspension (85.0 mg



Fig. 6 The XRD patterns of the RHCNFs and the incineration residue of the gel floc formed by mixing the RHCNF suspension and LaCl<sub>3</sub> solution. The peaks of the incineration residue could be assigned to lanthanum oxychloride (LaOCl), where the indices of the diffraction peaks are shown.

 $L^{-1}$  in Table 1), the weight of the adsorbent (24 mg) and the product of lanthanum oxychloride (LaOCl), we calculated the theoretical yield to be 2.8 mg. Thus, the recovery ratio was found to be 92.3%. The results suggested that the RHCNF suspension could be used to effectively remove and recover lanthanum from contaminated water.

Although the incineration process seems to be a nonenvironmentally friendly method and is associated with high energy consumption, this treatment is still worth considering based on the life cycle analysis and practicality. For example, due to the limited space in landfill, one popular disposal approach for solid waste is incineration, where the heat coupled with this process can be converted to electricity.<sup>11</sup> In addition, the high temperature combustion (could be up to 900 °C) process is routinely used to produce silica and activated carbon.<sup>7</sup> As compared to the production of activated carbon, our demonstration of the RHCNF production and the post-incineration step for the recovery of precious metals is comparable the perspective of environmental in

Table 2      Comparison of the La(III) adsorption capacity of the RHCNF suspension with those of other adsorbents				
Adsorbent	Maximum adsorption capacity (mg $g^{-1}$ )	Ref		
RHCNF suspension	100.3	This work		
Algae	$\sim 100$	42		
Amine modified activated carbon	66.2	59		
Clay	35.9	60		
Zeolite and bentonite	0.45	61		
Amino phosphate modified nanotitania	14.33	63		
Magnetic alginate-chitosan gel	97.1	64		
Polydopamine complex membranes	59.5	65		
Durian rind pectin	41.2	66		

sustainability as the RHCNF decomposition takes place at lower temperatures (around 500 °C). We believe that the demonstrated CNF adsorbent system could be a viable solution to recover rare-earth elements from industrial wastewater.

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, TEMPO-oxidized rice husk cellulose nanofibers (RHCNFs) were prepared into 3 different forms as adsorbents (suspension, freeze-dried, and nanocomposite containing magnetic nanoparticles). Three model contaminants, LaCl<sub>3</sub>,  $Pb(OAc)_2$  and  $Pb(NO_3)_2$ , were also used in the adsorption study. All the 3 adsorbent systems exhibited good removal performance against the contaminants, while the RHCNF suspension exhibited the highest adsorption capacity and the resulting RHCNF-metal ion floc could be separated by gravity-driven microfiltration. However, the chosen contaminants exhibited different adsorption mechanisms: (i) for ionic salts,  $LaCl_3$  and  $Pb(NO_3)_2$ , the adsorption was dominated by the electrostatic interactions between the carboxylate groups and multivalent metal ions; (ii) for the salt with weak dissociation,  $Pb(OAc)_2$ , the contaminants deposited on the adsorbent surface, which could lead to the mineralization process. We further demonstrated that after the incineration of the RHCNF/La(III) gel floc at 500 °C, the nanocellulose scaffold could be completely decomposed and over 92% lanthanum could be recovered in the form of LaOCl crystals with high purity. The results indicated that rice husks could be a sustainable source for extracting value-added nanocellulose for water purification.

## Conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

## Acknowledgements

The financial support for this work was provided by a grant from the Polymer Program of the Division of Materials Science in the National Science Foundation (DMR-1808690). This research used electron microscopy instruments in the Center for Functional Nanomaterials at the Brookhaven National Laboratory, which is a U.S. DOE Office of Science Facility (Contract No. DE-SC0012704). The authors further thank Dr. Chung-Chueh Chang at the Advanced Energy Research and Technology Center in Stony Brook University for his assistance in the TGA measurement, and Ms. Katie Wooton at the Facility for Isotope Research and Student Training in Stony Brook University for her help with the ICP-MS study.

## References

- 1 J. Diamond, *Collapse: How societies choose to fail or succeed*, Penguin, 2005.
- 2 P. R. Sharma, S. K. Sharma, T. Lindström and B. S. Hsiao, *Adv. Sustainable Syst.*, 2020, 4, 2070009.

- 4 T. N. Ang, G. C. Ngoh, A. S. M. Chua and M. G. Lee, *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2012, 5, 67.
- 5 M. M. Alam, M. A. Hossain, M. D. Hossain, M. Johir, J. Hossen, M. S. Rahman, J. L. Zhou, A. Hasan, A. K. Karmakar and M. B. Ahmed, *Processes*, 2020, 8, 203.
- 6 M. Asadullah, M. S. Kabir, M. B. Ahmed, N. A. Razak, N. S. A. Rasid and A. Aezzira, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 2013, 30, 2228–2234.
- 7 N. Soltani, A. Bahrami, M. Pech-Canul and L. González, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, 264, 899–935.
- 8 J. F. Ma, K. Tamai, N. Yamaji, N. Mitani, S. Konishi, M. Katsuhara, M. Ishiguro, Y. Murata and M. Yano, *Nature*, 2006, 440, 688–691.
- 9 J. F. Ma, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 2004, 50, 11-18.
- 10 N. Mitani, J. F. Ma and T. Iwashita, *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 2005, 46, 279–283.
- 11 A. Faaij, Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang., 2006, 11, 343-375.
- 12 J. C. Marchal, D. J. Krug III, P. McDonnell, K. Sun and R. M. Laine, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 3931–3940.
- 13 J. Sun, H. Peng, J. Chen, X. Wang, M. Wei, W. Li, L. Yang, Q. Zhang, W. Wang and A. Mellouki, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2016, **112**, 2625–2631.
- 14 S. Pongpiachan, M. Hattayanone and J. Cao, *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, 2017, 8, 1069–1080.
- 15 Y. P. Pal and A. P. Pratap, J. Oleo Sci., 2017, 66, 551-556.
- 16 N. Pengkumsri, C. Chaiyasut, B. S. Sivamaruthi, C. Saenjum, S. Sirilun, S. Peerajan, P. Suwannalert, S. Sirisattha, K. Chaiyasut and P. Kesika, *Food Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 35, 493–501.
- 17 P. Hanmoungjai, D. Pyle and K. Niranjan, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 2001, 78, 817–821.
- 18 N. Johar, I. Ahmad and A. Dufresne, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2012, 37, 93–99.
- 19 E. Kalita, B. Nath, P. Deb, F. Agan, M. R. Islam and K. Saikia, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2015, **122**, 308–313.
- 20 P. Nascimento, R. Marim, G. Carvalho and S. Mali, *Mater. Res.*, 2016, **19**, 167–174.
- 21 W. Chen, Q. Li, Y. Wang, X. Yi, J. Zeng, H. Yu, Y. Liu and J. Li, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, 7, 154–161.
- 22 N. Mohammed, A. Baidya, V. Murugesan, A. A. Kumar, M. A. Ganayee, J. S. Mohanty, K. C. Tam and T. Pradeep, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 6167–6176.
- 23 P. R. Sharma, A. Chattopadhyay, S. K. Sharma, L. H. Geng, N. Amiralian, D. Martin and B. S. Hsiao, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 3279–3290.
- 24 G. Metreveli, L. Wågberg, E. Emmoth, S. Belák, M. Strømme and A. Mihranyan, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2014, 3, 1546–1550.
- 25 A. Mautner, K.-Y. Lee, P. Lahtinen, M. Hakalahti, T. Tammelin, K. Li and A. Bismarck, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 5778–5781.
- 26 H. Ma, C. Burger, B. S. Hsiao and B. Chu, *Biomacromolecules*, 2011, 12, 970–976.

Paper

#### View Article Online

#### **Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology**

### Paper

- 27 T. Suopajärvi, H. Liimatainen, O. Hormi and J. Niinimäki, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2013, 231, 59–67.
- 28 X. Sun, C. Danumah, Y. Liu and Y. Boluk, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2012, **198**, 476–481.
- 29 S. Ge, P. Champagne, H. Wang, P. G. Jessop and M. F. Cunningham, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **50**, 7896–7903.
- 30 X. D. Wu, C. H. Lu, W. Zhang, G. P. Yuan, R. Xiong and X. X. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 8645–8652.
- 31 N. Bossa, A. W. Carpenter, N. Kumar, C.-F. de Lannoy and M. Wiesner, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2017, 4, 1294–1303.
- 32 Z. Shi, J. Tang, L. Chen, C. Yan, S. Tanvir, W. A. Anderson,
  R. M. Berry and K. C. Tam, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, 3, 603–611.
- 33 A. L. Wani, A. Ara and J. A. Usmani, *Interdiscip. Toxicol.*, 2015, 8, 55–64.
- 34 S. Dhanakumar, G. Solaraj and R. Mohanraj, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2015, **113**, 145–151.
- 35 H. Herrmann, J. Nolde, S. Berger and S. Heise, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2016, **124**, 213–238.
- 36 S. Kulaksız and M. Bau, Environ. Int., 2011, 37, 973-979.
- 37 T. Kameda, K. Hoshi and T. Yoshioka, *Solid State Sci.*, 2011, 13, 366–371.
- 38 M. Wang, X. Li, W. Hua, L. Shen, X. Yu and X. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 23995–24007.
- 39 Q. Zhou, H. Yang, C. Yan, W. Luo, X. Li and J. Zhao, *Colloids Surf.*, A, 2016, 501, 9–16.
- 40 F. Zhao, E. Repo, Y. Song, D. Yin, S. B. Hammouda, L. Chen, S. Kalliola, J. Tang, K. C. Tam and M. Sillanpää, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 4816–4828.
- 41 D. Wu, Y. Sun and Q. Wang, J. Hazard. Mater., 2013, 260, 409-419.
- 42 Z. Birungi and E. Chirwa, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2014, **160**, 43–51.
- 43 D. Das, C. J. S. Varshini and N. Das, *Miner. Eng.*, 2014, 69, 40-56.
- 44 M. Torab-Mostaedi, M. Asadollahzadeh, A. Hemmati and A. Khosravi, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2015, **41**, 559–573.
- 45 T. Saito, T. Uematsu, S. Kimura, T. Enomae and A. Isogai, *Soft Matter*, 2011, 7, 8804–8809.

- 46 T. Saito, S. Kimura, Y. Nishiyama and A. Isogai, *Biomacromolecules*, 2007, 8, 2485–2491.
- 47 W. Wu, Q. He and C. Jiang, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2008, 3, 397.
- 48 P. R. Sharma, R. Joshi, S. K. Sharma and B. S. Hsiao, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, 18, 2333–2342.
- 49 P. R. Sharma and A. J. Varma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 8818-8820.
- 50 J. Navratil, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 2001, 248, 571-574.
- 51 A. K. Jha, A. Bose and J. P. Downey, *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, **41**, 3297–3312.
- 52 Z. Surowiec, M. Budzyński, K. Durak and G. Czernel, *Nukleonika*, 2017, **62**, 73–77.
- 53 D. Predoi, Dig. J. Nanomater. Biostruct., 2007, 2, 169-173.
- 54 P. R. Sharma, B. Zheng, S. K. Sharma, C. Zhan, R. Wang, S. R. Bhatia and B. S. Hsiao, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2018, 1, 3969–3980.
- 55 P. R. Sharma, A. Chattopadhyay, C. Zhan, S. K. Sharma, L. Geng and B. S. Hsiao, *Cellulose*, 2018, 25, 1961–1973.
- 56 P. Proll and L. Sutcliffe, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1961, 57, 1078–1087.
- 57 C. Zhan, Y. Li, P. R. Sharma, H. He, S. K. Sharma, R. Wang and B. S. Hsiao, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 40565–40576.
- 58 Y. Li, J. Zhang, C. Zhan, F. Kong, W. Li, C. Yang and B. S. Hsiao, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2020, 115838.
- 59 E. M. Iannicelli-Zubiani, P. G. Stampino, C. Cristiani and G. Dotelli, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **341**, 75–82.
- 60 E. M. Iannicelli-Zubiani, C. Cristiani, G. Dotelli and P. G. Stampino, *Waste Manage.*, 2017, **60**, 582–590.
- 61 A. K. Mosai, L. Chimuka, E. M. Cukrowska, I. A. Kotzé and H. Tutu, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, 2019, 230, 188.
- 62 G. Li, C. J. Li, C. Zhang, Z. Y. Cheng, Z. Quan, C. Peng and J. Lin, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 8936–8943.
- 63 Z. Shojaei, E. Iravani, M. Moosavian and M. Torab-Mostaedi, Desalin. Water Treat., 2016, 57, 27754–27767.
- 64 D. Wu, L. Zhang, L. Wang, B. Zhu and L. Fan, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2011, 86, 345–352.
- 65 G. Hong, L. Shen, M. Wang, Y. Yang, X. Wang, M. Zhu and B. S. Hsiao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, 244, 307–316.
- 66 E. Kusrini, W. Wicaksono, C. Gunawan, N. Z. A. Daud and A. Usman, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 6580–6588.