

The first decade of scientific insights from the Deepwater Horizon oil release

Elizabeth B. Kujawinski^{1†}, Christopher M. Reddy¹, Ryan P. Rodgers², J. Cameron Thrash³, David L. Valentine⁴, Helen K. White⁵

¹ Department of Marine Chemistry & Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA

² National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

³ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

⁴ Department of Geological Sciences, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

⁵ Departments of Chemistry and Environmental Studies, Haverford College, Haverford PA

†email: ekujawinski@whoi.edu

Submitted January 15, 2020 to *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*

Revised (in part) February 24, 2020; (in full) March 14, 2020

Abstract

The 2010 Deepwater Horizon disaster remains the largest single accidental release of oil and gas into the ocean. During the 87-day release, scientists used oceanographic tools to collect wellhead oil and gas samples, interrogate microbial community shifts and activities, and track the chemical composition of dissolved oil in the ocean's interior. In the decade since the disaster, field and laboratory investigations studied the physics and chemistry of irrupted oil and gas at high pressure and low temperature, the role of chemical dispersants in oil composition and microbial hydrocarbon degradation, and the impact of combined oil, gas and dispersants on the flora and fauna of the deep-sea and the coastal environments. The multi-faceted, multi-disciplinary scientific response to the released oil, gas and dispersants culminated in better understanding of the environmental factors that influence the short- and long-term

fate and transport of oil in marine settings. In this Review, we summarize the unique aspects of the Deepwater Horizon release and highlight the advances in oil chemistry and microbiology that resulted from novel applications of emerging technologies. We end with an outlook on the applicability of these findings to possible future oil releases in future deep-sea drilling locations and newly-opened high-latitude shipping lanes.

Key points

- The Deepwater Horizon disaster was the largest single accidental release of oil and gas to the ocean. Over 87 days, oil, gas and dispersants impacted 11,000 square kilometers of ocean surface and 2000 km of coastline.
- Subsurface dispersant application was a unique characteristic of the DWH disaster. Empirical observations, laboratory data and modeling efforts offer conflicting conclusions as to whether dispersants reduced the sea surface expression of released oil.
- The DWH disaster was the first environmental application of emerging systems biology tools based on microbial gene analysis. These tools included meta- and single-cell genomics, metatranscriptomics, microarrays, and stable-isotope probing enrichments. They provided unprecedented insights into the identity, structure, growth dynamics, succession, and overall response of microbial communities to oil, gas and dispersant substrates released to marine ecosystems.
- Advanced analytical chemistry technologies including ultra-high resolution Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry and comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography provided novel information regarding source oil composition, biodegradation, photochemical oxidation, water-column processes, accurate measurements of biomarkers, and identification of oil weathering products irrespective of boiling point.
- The Gulf of Mexico coastline and deep ocean were contaminated with oil, gas and dispersants to differing degrees. In many cases, coastal ecosystems recovered as predicted from previous oil release studies, while in others, the disaster combined with other stressors to deleterious effect. Examination of the disaster's impacts on the deep sea, and its ongoing recovery, continue.
- Insights from the first decade of DWH-related research underscore the need for integrated analytical platforms and data synthesis to understand the complexities of the environmental responses to oil, gas and dispersant release. Although conclusions from the DWH disaster may not be fully applicable to the next spill, the spill science community should be ready to work

60 collaboratively across academia, industry and government during possible future oil releases in
61 the deep sea and high latitudes.

62 **Table of contents summary**

63 The Deepwater Horizon disaster was the largest accidental discharge of oil into the environment, and
64 was intensely studied during and after the event. In this Review, the subsequent advances made in oil
65 chemistry, dispersant application, and microbiology are discussed.

[H1] Introduction

The explosion of the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) drilling rig in the northern Gulf of Mexico on April 20, 2010 caused the deaths of 11 crew members and marked the onset of an uncontrolled oil and natural gas release from the damaged Macondo Well, located 80 km off the coast of Louisiana in the United States' (US) Bureau of Ocean Energy Management's Mississippi Canyon lease block 252 (MC-252) at a water depth of 1,500 meters (**Fig. 1**). The well was finally capped on July 15, 2010, by a team of academic and industrial engineers. Over the 87-day period, the uncapped well released 5.3×10^{11} g of oil (defined as hydrocarbons with ≥ 6 carbons that are liquid at 1 atm; REF¹) and 1.7×10^{11} g of natural gas (hydrocarbons with ≤ 5 carbons that are gases at 1 atm; REF¹) at high pressure (1.5×10^4 kPa) into the overlying water column, leading to extensive dissolution of hydrocarbons in the deep sea^{2,3}, referred to as the subsurface intrusion. The remainder of the hydrocarbons traveled to the sea surface, where $1.4\text{--}2.0 \times 10^{11}$ g of the volatile compounds ($\leq n\text{-C}_{16}$ compounds) evaporated into the atmosphere within 3–10 h of surfacing^{4,6} and $3.3\text{--}3.6 \times 10^{11}$ g of the less-volatile compounds ($> n\text{-C}_{16}$ compounds^{4,6}) spread over $11 \pm 5 \times 10^3$ km² (REF⁷), reaching a total of 2×10^3 km of coastline along five Gulf of Mexico states⁸ (**Fig. 1**). Approximately 2–20% of the total released hydrocarbons were deposited on the seafloor⁹, primarily as oil residue. In response to the disaster, 2.9×10^6 and 4.1×10^6 L of chemical dispersants [G] were applied at the wellhead and to the sea surface, respectively¹⁰, in efforts to reduce surface oil slicks and sequester oil in the deep sea, more than any other known application in history. In total, the DWH spill was unprecedented by almost any metric of marine oil discharge disasters, including volume and scale of hydrocarbon release, depth of the discharge, and application of surface and sub-surface dispersants.

The DWH disaster posed several scientific and logistical challenges, including where the oil and gas would travel after irruption from the wellhead, whether chemical dispersants could alter the oil and gas fate and transport without significant environmental impact and how affected ecosystems and their inhabitants—from the deep-sea to the coastal marshes— would respond to discharged oil and gas and the applied dispersants. The unique location (deep-sea) and oil-and-gas volume-spilled of this disaster rendered previous models and predictions of oil and gas fate poorly applicable, thus requiring a rapid shift in response planning and execution. Within weeks of the DWH explosion, researchers and responders realized that four zones would need to be examined for released oil, gas and dispersants: the subsurface where dissolved oxygen anomalies indicated a large intrusion of hydrocarbons was degraded by oxygen-consuming bacteria^{3,11,12}; the surface where oil sheens and emulsions extended over 11,000 km²

(REF⁷); the atmosphere where volatilized oil and gas were rapidly transported away from the crippled oil rig¹³, and the sea floor, where oil might sink due to weathering or ballasting^{14,15}.

These overarching challenges required observing and sampling the earliest stages of the oil discharge, which is difficult as such disasters progress faster than most research can be mobilized¹⁶. Furthermore, these challenges could not be addressed with the standard technologies at the time, which were mostly prescribed by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (legislated partly in response to the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* spill) and were obsolete because academic research on oil spills had stagnated. Nevertheless, the scientific community responded quickly to the DWH disaster by altering field plans, and as a result, research expeditions in the Gulf of Mexico were the first to detect^{17,18} and map^{12,19} the subsurface intrusions of oil and gas travelling away from the wellhead. Academic and government scientists mobilized tools and techniques repurposed from basic science uses, such as those used when studying natural oil and gas seeps and the biogeochemical cycling of methane and other gases²⁰⁻²³ (**Fig. 2**). Oceanographic instrumentation was deployed to track and sample oil and gas flowing from the damaged well¹ and to measure oil droplet sizes²⁴ and dissolved low-molecular weight components within the subsurface plume^{19,25}. During the DWH event, additional research expeditions collected surface and deep water to assess microbial community dynamics^{3,11}, explore chemical transformations in oil and gas components² and track the fate of chemical dispersants²⁶. Government scientists at the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) mobilized overflights to assess air quality and hydrocarbons that had volatilized to the atmosphere¹³. While early analyses of these samples and the follow-up field, laboratory and modelling studies were funded initially by a combination of BP, the US National Science Foundation (NSF; “RAPID” grants), the US Department of Energy (DOE) and the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), BP and other responsible parties paid \$19 billion US towards post-disaster research and recovery²⁷, including \$500M for the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative (GoMRI). Scientific investigation following the DWH disaster has collectively culminated in over 5000 publications to date (January 2020, based on Google Scholar search with “Deepwater Horizon” and “oil spill”), with research still ongoing. The breadth and diversity of scientific inquiry into the DWH disaster enabled significant advances in oil chemistry and microbiology, but also shifted perceptions in these fields about the knowledge gained from integration of complementary datasets to understand the complexity of environmental perturbations.

In this Review, scientific questions raised by and results from the DWH disaster are discussed, with a focus on the chemical and microbiological aspects of the disaster and ongoing recovery. The eruption,

dissolution, and microbial degradation of oil and gas in the deep-sea, which is inaccessible to typical oil response procedures^{3,5,12,19}, are detailed. We then explore the impact of subsurface dispersant applications on oil composition, the extent of hydrocarbon biodegradation and deep-water ecosystems, which were previously unpredictable because prior usage was solely on the sea surface. Finally, we review environmental factors that led to extensive weathering of the oil and gas over the 87-day duration of the discharge, the broader environmental impacts of the spill, and future directions for oil disaster science.

[H1] Subsurface oil, gas and dispersants

During a deep-sea oil well blowout like the DWH disaster, 100°C hydrocarbon gas and oil erupts at high pressure into 4°C water¹. Components of the released oil and gas follow different paths from the wellhead, with some rising to the surface, and the remainder forming a deep-sea intrusion of oil and gas at 1100 m water depth due to natural buoyancy^{17,19}. Predicting the partitioning of erupted oil and gas between the subsurface intrusion layer and the sea surface is very difficult, as the distance and speed of the transport depends on the physical properties of the surrounding seawater (which were known), the oil-to-gas ratio and flow dynamics at the release point, and oil composition, droplet size, and buoyancy. Existing models of oil droplet size distribution were based on surface water models and lab experiments, and thus did not reflect deep-sea conditions; as a result, *in situ* observations were needed to constrain the oil's fate. In one example, holographic imaging equipment developed for studying the morphologies and distributions of marine micro- and mesozooplankton (the Video Plankton Recorder and the Holocam²⁸⁻³⁰) was adapted. Starting in early June (approximately six weeks after the DWH well blowout), oil droplets and plankton at depth were successfully imaged with this equipment a few km away from the Macondo well^{24,30,31}, providing oil droplet size distribution data that was previously unattainable and highlighting a technology that can be used in future deep-sea spills²⁷. Imaging data did not capture the oil droplet size distribution at the wellhead, and thus subsequent modeling^{32,33} and laboratory^{34,35} efforts have focused on understanding the role of oil-to-gas ratio, source geometry conditions and dispersant application on release trajectories and droplet-size distributions.

Oil droplets can be categorized into three size categories, each with different buoyancies, but with overlapping chemical compositions⁵. The largest oil droplets (greater than 0.3 mm diameter) exhibited sufficient buoyancy to rise to the ocean surface, with rise times below four hours⁵, transporting a mixture of soluble and insoluble compounds to the sea surface where some volatilized into the atmosphere^{13,36} (**Fig. 3**). The medium-sized droplets (0.1 – 0.3 mm) ascended more slowly (rise times below 10 hrs), and

their composition was very sensitive to initial oil composition and release dynamics. For example, moderately soluble hydrocarbons such as toluene, xylene, naphthalene and (methyl)cyclopentane partitioned to the aqueous phase from all droplet sizes as a function of the droplet exposure time and surface area-to-volume ratio, as well as their relative concentration in the source oil⁵. In contrast, the smallest droplets (smaller than 0.1 mm) and soluble gases (methane, ethane, propane) lacked the buoyancy to rise after being emplaced in deep-sea intrusions, although the degree of hydrocarbon dissolution could not be measured due to challenges in separating oil droplets from the surrounding waters⁵. The bulk of the released oil and gas (by mass) was retained in the deep-sea intrusions because of the relatively high proportion of gases in the DWH irruption. However, reducing the sea surface expression of released oil was a high priority during DWH mitigation efforts and thus responders were motivated to disrupt the larger, faster-rising size classes of oil droplets into small droplets that would remain in the deep-sea. At the same time, reducing droplet sizes and maximizing dissolution should make oil and gas more accessible to microbial degradation³⁷.

Chemical dispersants were used by the DWH disaster responders to decrease the size of oil droplets³⁷. Dispersants (such as Corexit 9500, the primary formulation used in the DWH disaster) are a mixture of nonionic surfactants (~30% Tween and Span surfactants), an anionic surfactant (~20% dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate or DOSS) and solvent carriers (~50% petroleum distillates³⁸). However, prior to the DWH disaster, dispersants had been applied only to surface oil spills³⁷ with their use determined by wind speed, wave height, and oil age and composition. Dispersants were used on the sea surface during the DWH disaster, but they were also applied directly at the wellhead in the first deep-sea dispersant application. The fate of these added dispersants was a public and scientific concern; after all, 7×10^6 L dispersant were added to the deep-sea with unknown consequences. Because dispersants are a complex mixture, specific components had to be analyzed separately to ascertain the lability and persistence of the dispersants during and after the spill. After the disaster, DOSS was used to track the fate of the deep-sea dispersants because it comprised a large and relatively constant fraction of Corexit and other dispersant formulations and it was amenable to existing analytical protocols. Samples taken during and a few months after the spill indicated that DOSS persisted in the subsurface intrusion and was not degraded²⁶, contrary to expectations based on laboratory experiments performed at surface conditions³⁹. These data suggest that the chemical dispersants were not degraded appreciably in the deep sea in the aftermath of the DWH disaster. Subsequent laboratory work showed that DOSS was less labile than the solvent carriers in the dispersants under deep-sea conditions³⁹, and others have found minimal degradation of

DOSS at low temperatures⁴⁰. Other surfactant components have been more challenging to detect and quantify due to their isomeric diversity and amphiphilic [G] properties⁴¹, but recent studies have shown that these components were present in the subsurface intrusion layers (typically below 15 µg/L⁴²) and are degraded at deep-sea (4°C) temperatures⁴³.

Once it appeared that deep-sea dispersants were retained in the subsurface intrusion, the primary question was whether this intervention had indeed shifted the oil-droplet size distributions as intended. Unfortunately, empirical observations, laboratory data and modeling efforts offer conflicting conclusions as to the efficacy of the dispersants in this regard. Operational tests during the DWH disaster noted oil reduction at the sea surface during “on/off” experiments⁴⁴. Modeling results are equivocal on whether dispersants entrained additional oil and gas into the subsurface intrusion layers, with one group arguing that they did⁴ and another arguing that they did not^{32,33}. The inconsistency of the modeling results may be due to the challenges of accurately parameterizing the complex physics of the outflow at the wellhead, including the impacts of initial droplet size distribution, churn flow⁴⁵, source pressure, orifice geometry, and dispersant applicator type. Nevertheless, dispersant addition in the subsea appeared to impact sea surface expression, fulfilling the first motivation of the response teams. Whether dispersants shifted the droplet size distribution or enhanced oil degradation in the subsurface intrusion layer remains a matter of debate.

[H1] Microbial degradation of oil, gas and dispersants

During and after the DWH disaster, most microbiology research focused on the subsurface intrusion layer because of its novelty. Comparatively little data was collected on the microbes responding to oil in surface waters in part because microbial degradation was a minor loss term, relative to the natural processes of evaporation and photochemical weathering⁴⁶ and the responder oil mitigation efforts of skimming and burning. The surface Gulf of Mexico has low concentrations of nutrients which prevented bloom formation, although some biodegradation was observed during the spill⁴⁷. Limited data indicated an enrichment of *Cycloclasticus*, with minor contributions from organisms including *Alteromonas*, *Colwellia*, and *Pseudoalteromonas* in the surface slick⁴⁸. Enrichments showed that *Cycloclasticus* and *Colwellia* were dominant phenanthrene-degraders, whereas *Alteromonas* dominated the naphthalene-degrading community^{49,50}. Some of these organisms were observed in pre-DWH studies in surface Gulf waters^{51,52}.

Unlike surface waters, nutrients are abundant at depth in the Gulf of Mexico, setting the stage for microbial blooms driven by the entrainment of hydrocarbon substrates defining the subsurface intrusion layers. Microbial degradation was the only option available for oil mitigation in this environment because perpetual darkness prevents **photo-oxidation** [G], and meaningful recovery of dilute oil from depth is technically infeasible. The sudden influx of oil, gas, and dispersants enriched a community of hydrocarbon-degrading organisms¹¹, the composition of which was influenced by the physical forms and chemical composition of the oil-gas-dispersant mixtures. As the hydrocarbon composition in droplets and dissolved phases changed⁵³, different microbes bloomed^{54,55} following the succession pattern of Oceanspirillales - *Colwellia* - *Cycloclasticus* - *Methylophaga* and *Methylococcaceae* - *Flavobacteriaceae* and *Rhodobacteriaceae*^{11,54,55} (**Fig. 4**). This succession was captured in groundbreaking detail through the first effective application of combined systems biology tools to an environmental event, something was previously prohibitively expensive and computationally unfeasible⁵⁶. The use of **metagenomics** [G], **metatranscriptomics**, [G] and other molecular biology tools on hundreds of samples offered a view into the response of the hydrocarbon-degrading microbial community to released oil and gas over environmentally relevant spatial (meters to kilometers) and temporal (days to weeks) scales⁵⁶.

In addition to enabling the rapid assessment of the identities of the responding microorganisms, the biological data (including **stable isotope probing** [G], or SIP) provided insight into the microbial potential for degradation of hydrocarbons in the hydrocarbon-contaminated deep sea (reviewed in REF⁵²). Field-based microbial community composition assessments¹¹ combined with metagenomics⁵⁷ and transcriptome microarray data¹¹ noted a rapid enrichment of Oceanspirillales spp. (Gamma-proteobacteria) within the subsurface intrusion. These organisms were the first to bloom and likely degraded highly labile *n*-alkanes and cycloalkanes⁵⁷, based on metabolic potential reconstructed from DNA sequences within field populations (metagenomes and single-cell amplified genomes)⁵⁷ and incubations with ¹³C-naphthalene⁴⁹. Similarly, SIP incubations and single cell-amplified genome data suggested that *Cycloclasticus* and *Colwellia* degraded the dissolved gases, propane and ethane^{58,59}, and *Marinobacter* and *Alcanivorax* degraded *n*-hexadecane^{49,50}. *Cycloclasticus* populations likely also mineralized less labile hydrocarbons, such as the aromatic compounds of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX)⁵⁸, based on the presence of genes encoding aromatic hydrocarbon degradation in SIP incubations with ¹³C-benzene. Lastly, *Cycloclasticus* collected from the deep sea contained the genetic potential for various divergent monooxygenases, which are enzymes that oxidize alkyl functional groups on hydrocarbons⁵⁹, and expressed those genes at high abundance in DWH-contaminated waters⁶⁰.

By late May and early June 2010, genes for methane oxidation were enriched in the subsurface intrusion, suggesting an increase in methane- and methyl-degrading bacteria, such as *Methylobacter*, *Methylobacter*, and *Methylobacter*, in the subsurface intrusions^{2,55,60,61}. Finally, in late August and September 2010, bacterial clades with scavenging members such as Flavobacteriaceae and Rhodobacteriaceae bloomed in the subsurface intrusion, with likely roles in degrading residual hydrocarbons and complex organic matter released by earlier microbial blooms⁵⁵. The increase in transcript abundance was also higher than the relative increase in bacterial cell numbers (factor of 2-3 cell number increase in subsurface intrusion samples versus unaffected samples¹¹), suggesting a broad ability of indigenous deep-sea Gulf of Mexico bacteria to degrade hydrocarbons. In total, few bacteria were inhibited by the presence of oil and gas (~5% of taxa showed decreased transcript expression in the intrusion layer relative to uncontaminated samples⁶⁰) and many common non-hydrocarbon degrading deep-sea bacteria, such as SAR11, did not respond to the oil, gas, or dispersants⁶².

The metabolic map and succession of hydrocarbon-degrading communities described above was developed with gene-based analyses, including meta- and single-cell genomics, metatranscriptomics, and microarrays. These tools were applied to field samples, stable-isotope probing enrichments, and cultured isolates. Converting these data to rates of hydrocarbon degradation, however, is not straightforward. Instantaneous rate measurements were possible for selected DWH components, most notably methane^{3,60,63}, the largest component by mass¹, but most substrate degradation rates remain unknown⁶⁴. Rate assays with chemical monitoring of substrates are challenging experiments, particularly when microbial consortia (rather than monocultures) work synergistically to degrade released oil and gas, and when the hydrocarbon substrates are present in complex mixtures, rather than in single additions. Selecting microbes⁶⁵ (or groups of microbes) and their substrates⁶⁶ (or groups of substrates) is fraught with potential artifacts; yet these experiments are critically needed to support predictive modeling of oil degradation under different environmental scenarios. While indirect geochemical referencing provided long-term degradation rates for some compounds⁶⁷, a fundamental challenge for future oil spills will be to connect the intricacy of hydrocarbon-degrading metabolism, inferred from gene-based data, with the complexity of the petroleum substrate.

The addition of chemical dispersants like Corexit 9500 impacted the response of the microbial community to the DWH disaster, though the type and magnitude of the impact is unresolved²⁷, and the effects of the dispersants depend on the specific oil compounds and on the bacterial species present⁶⁸⁻⁷¹. Current evidence indicates that the dispersant Corexit 9500 is effective at decreasing oil droplet size, leading to

increased microbial degradation of hydrocarbons relative to untreated oil, especially at higher temperatures (such as 25°C) and/or when oil concentrations are in the low to sub-ppm range^{40,72-76}. Investigators established mesocosms with uncontaminated deep Gulf seawater to explore the microbial responses to oil, Corexit or both additions. *Colwellia* spp. were likely consumers of ethane and propane⁵⁸, but were also enriched with oil and dispersed oil treatments, and dominated flocs, in mesocosms³⁹. Other mesocosms reported enrichment of *Colwellia* spp. within Corexit 9500 and dispersed oil treatments, but not in oil-only treatments, where *Marinobacter* spp. were enriched instead⁶⁶. This evidence is consistent with results from dispersed oil enrichments yielding *Colwellia* spp. strain RC254, an isolate that could degrade DOSS and oil in pure culture^{39,68}. However, the initial microbial community influenced enrichment outcomes. Mesocosms using surface water GOM inocula containing mostly *Vibrio* spp. did not enrich *Colwellia* spp. with Corexit or dispersed oil⁷³. Mesocosms in the same study using a deep GOM water column community inoculum for Corexit 9500-only enrichments saw a marked increase in *Colwellia* activity and an increase in *Winogradskyella* spp. abundance⁷³. When similar experiments were repeated with other inocula and alternative oil sources, *Marinobacter* spp., *Alcanivorax* spp., *Cycloclasticus* spp., and *Alteromonas* spp.^{72,77,78} were enriched in treatments with Corexit 9500 or dispersed oil. Regardless of the specific organisms enriched, many of these mesocosm experiments showed distinct and repeatable differences between microbial responses to oil and dispersed oil, suggesting phylogenetic or metabolic differences manifesting between the two substrate mixtures.

Some incubation studies showed enhanced oil degradation^{40,73} with Corexit while others observed no enhancement^{74,75} or suppressed⁶⁶ hydrocarbon biodegradation in the presence of Corexit (reviewed in REF⁷⁹). Where enhanced biodegradation occurred, degradation followed the typical pattern in which lower molecular weight hydrocarbons, and those in more labile forms like alkanes, were preferentially degraded relative to larger molecules or those with aromatic structures such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)⁶⁷. Thus, while Corexit 9500 can sometimes improve biodegradation at low oil concentrations and/or higher temperatures, it has a range of effects that include inhibition of biodegradation, at least in limited laboratory studies. Such experiments are challenging to design and interpret due to the uncertainties associated with complex initial microbial communities, disparate oil sources, the physical form of the oil and dispersants, and the difficulty of mimicking partitioning and dilution that occur in the environment. Nevertheless, the release of oil and dispersant chemicals applied during the DWH disaster provided an opportunity to link basic microbial concepts with the real-world complexity of marine ecosystems, providing insight to the interplay of petroleum's physical and chemical

behavior with seed populations, metabolic specificity, nutrient availability and growth dynamics, and interaction with the non-microbial components of the deep-sea ecosystems.

[H1] Oil weathering at the sea surface

Oil is an exceedingly complex mixture, comprised of millions of compounds containing primarily carbon and hydrogen, and sometimes oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur¹ (Figure 5). Each of these molecules has different physical-chemical properties and reactivities towards light and biology, and on the ocean surface, they are subjected to environmental weathering processes, including evaporation, dissolution, biodegradation, and photo-oxidation⁵. Weathering physically fractionates the initial oil composition between phases (such as oil residue, water, and air) and chemically alters the original oil molecules^{1,80-83}. Evaporation from the sea surface removes low-boiling (“light”) compounds, whereas dissolution removes remaining 1-3 ring aromatics with few alkyl groups^{81,84} and highly polar species, such as naphthenic acids and alcohols⁸⁵; however the extent of one process over the other depends on the physical-chemical properties of each compound. Prior to the DWH disaster, few studies (e.g., REF⁸⁶) were able to comprehensively apportion the relative importance of these processes due to under-sampling in time and space, and analytical barriers to assess compositional differences between oil types⁸⁷. The oil released during the disaster was a light crude oil dominated by **saturated hydrocarbons**¹ **[G]** (~74%) and as a result, up to 60% of its mass was lost to evaporation within hours to days of surfacing⁸¹. Due to the subsurface oil release, though, dissolution played a larger role in the DWH disaster than in prior surface spills where evaporation was dominant⁸⁸. The physical changes and mass losses resulting from evaporation and dissolution increases the viscosity of the remaining oil and the relative proportion of surfactants present^{89,90}. The enrichment of these naturally-occurring surfactants, and the physical mixing of seawater into oil, can lead to emulsion formation. During the DWH disaster, thick emulsions were formed from the oil that reached the sea surface, hampering oil recovery efforts and resisting most microbial degradation^{47,91,92}.

However, the observed emulsions (also referred to as mousses) did not form solely from the result of oil-derived surfactants or mass loss. They were also due to *in situ* photo-chemical changes, which modified some oil components to products with more oxygen and increased surfactant-like properties (that is, they were interfacially active and displayed both oil and water partial solubility)⁹³⁻⁹⁶. Specifically, photo-oxidative weathering⁹⁷⁻⁹⁹, the dominant weathering process acting on DWH surface oil^{46,80}, increased the oxygen content of surface oil^{80,83,100} and added ketone, hydroxyl, and carboxylic acid functionalized

(singly and in combination) hydrocarbons that were either lost to the air³⁶ or remained on the sea surface¹⁰¹. The weathering changed the surface oil's physical properties, and reduced the effectiveness of surface-applied dispersants to <45% at 2-4 days transit time on surface⁹¹. Further oxidation of interfacially-active molecules yielded water soluble species that move from the oil into seawater as photo-solubilized bioavailable carbon¹⁰². Indeed, as determined through ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry (Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry; FT-ICR MS), elemental assignments for tens-of-thousands of molecules within each crude oil fraction (oil-soluble non-interfacially active, oil-soluble interfacially active, and water soluble) indicate that (decreasing) carbon and (increasing) oxygen number determined the progression of molecules from oil-soluble to water-soluble¹⁰³. Both the oil- and water-soluble photo-transformed species span aliphatic to highly aromatic structures^{80,101}, indicating that these products originate from both aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbon precursors¹⁰⁴. This suggests that both direct and indirect photo-oxidation contribute to the generation of transformation products.

The use of novel analytical chemistry technologies developed in academic settings enabled detailed quantitative estimation of photochemical oxygenation^{100,104} and exploration of photochemical mechanisms and rates^{46,91,105} unattainable during previous oil disasters, such as the *Exxon Valdez* spill. In parallel, advanced characterization of the molecules within the emulsions provided new insights into remediation efforts aimed at these compound types¹⁰³. Ultra-high resolution FT-ICR MS equipped with ionization sources, such as electrospray-ionization (ESI) or atmospheric pressure photoionization (APPI) allowed researchers to analyze oil (and oil weathering products) irrespective of boiling point. Thus, it provided access to important molecular information on the changes induced by weathering for oil, interfacially active species, and ultimately water soluble compounds that affect the fate, transport, toxicity, and viscosity of surface oil^{80,83,102,106,107}.

Similarly, comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography (GCxGC) increased the chemical resolution, assessment of chemical ordering, and capacity to model weathering processes achievable through GC analyses, giving new understanding into source oil composition, biodegradation, photochemical oxidation, water-column processes, and accurate measurements of biomarkers^{104,108-111}. These research efforts advanced the collective understanding of weathering and its effect on the initial oil composition, which previously was characterized by conventional gas chromatography (GC)-based techniques. The GCxGC approach is now scientifically mature and is used to examine changes in the abundance of compounds and/or compound classes in the parent oil, which are then ascribed to various

categories of physical and chemical weathering^{84,87,88,109,110,112-117}. However, even with the expanded compositional information¹⁰⁴ through GCxGC, GC-based techniques are unable to detect many oxidative transformation products, notably those that are highly oxidized with boiling points outside of the GC range¹¹⁸.

Although predicting the fate of discharged oil is extremely challenging on a molecular level and requires knowledge of the original oil composition, new technologies facilitated substantial advances during the DWH disaster. The complexity of oil requires a suite of analytical tools to comprehensively explore the weathering mechanisms and products. In addition to the GC-based and FT-ICR MS tools highlighted here, thin-layer chromatography flame-ionization detection (TLC-FID)¹⁰⁰ and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)¹¹⁹ provided quantitative and informative estimates of functional group changes from weathering processes. Together, this suite of technologies provided a greater understanding of the reaction mechanisms and impacts of weathering processes such as photo-oxidation and the identity of its transformation products, as well as their impacts on physical properties, bioavailability and toxicity of the discharged oil. This expanded understanding, combined with application of complementary analytical platforms, will inform real-time responses in future oil spills.

[H1] Broader environmental impacts

The magnitude and duration of the Deepwater Horizon event, as well as its occurrence at depth, led to impacts over a wide area that encompassed different types of ecosystems (**Fig. 6**). Coastal marshes and beaches received oil washed ashore from the oil well discharge approximately 80 km away, while deep-sea sediments¹²⁰ and corals¹²¹ received fallout oil from the surface and intrusion layers in the form of oil-associated detritus and mineral aggregates. Pelagic fish and cetaceans swam through surface and deep-sea oil, and thus were exposed to the oil, gas and dispersant mixtures present in these areas¹²²⁻¹²⁴. While the general impacts of oil on coastal organisms and environments were known prior to the DWH disaster¹²⁵, the duration, magnitude, and scope of the discharge posed challenges to assessing the overall impact and recovery, particularly in the deep-sea where baseline studies are rare to nonexistent. In many cases, the ecosystems recovered as predicted from previous oil discharge studies, while in others, the oil discharge combined with other stressors to cause larger impacts than expected^{121,126,127}.

Oil contaminated over 2000 km of Gulf Coast shoreline, half of which was located in Louisiana⁸. The oiling was patchy in both quantity and location, and impacted predominantly marsh ecosystems¹²⁸ and beaches¹²⁹. For marshes, instances of heavy oiling (such as seen in Barataria Bay, LA) led to substantial

grass die-off at the marsh edge and subsequent enhanced shoreline erosion¹²⁷ led to permanent damage to the marshes. In marshes that were less oiled, grass re-growth was evident after two years¹²⁸. On oiled beaches, oil-contaminated sands hosted blooms of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria (such *Alcanivorax*, a genus within the Oceanspirallales¹³⁰, and *Candidatus* Macondimonas diazotrophica¹³¹) within days of oiling, followed by a succession to bacteria (such as *Rhodobacteriaceae*) that likely degraded more recalcitrant oil compounds over the course of the disaster¹³⁰. Despite the removal of large quantities of oiled materials during the response phase¹³², oiled sand patties were found years after the spill on beaches in the states of Florida, Alabama, Mississippi and Louisiana^{100,133}. Many of these oil-sand patties are impervious to water and have few nutrients, thus they are not effectively degraded by bacteria¹³⁴. However, other than being unsightly, no significant problems or concerns have been identified with these oil residues, although for unknown reasons, the pathogen *Vibrio vulnificus* was enriched on these ‘tar balls’ compared to sand and seawater¹³⁵. Continued monitoring of the oil content in marshes and beaches is necessary to understand long-term impacts and recovery of these ecosystems to pre-DWH conditions.

Compared to the relatively well-understood nearshore ecosystems, the impact of oil from DWH on the deep-sea was unprecedented and unknown¹³⁶. A unique aspect of the DWH disaster was the observation of significant flocculated material in sediments near the site of the blowout. This material was determined to be aggregates of phytoplankton detritus, mineral aggregates, and microbial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), commonly referred to as “marine snow”. During the DWH disaster, marine snow captured oil and then sank to the seafloor, transporting oil through the water column in a transport process dubbed Marine Oil Snow Sedimentation and Flocculent Accumulation, or MOSSFA¹³⁷⁻¹³⁹. Surface dispersant applications appear to enhance **floc [G]** formation in experimental systems^{39,66,140,141} due to interactions of EPS and dispersants that form quickly-sedimenting material¹⁴². MOSSFA-derived oil on the seafloor is estimated to be between 0.5-14% of the total oil released^{14,15}, and two regions of the sea floor with heavy marine oil snow suggest that the spatial extent of MOSSFA deposition is between 12,805 and 35,425 km² (REF¹⁴³). Sediment microbial communities responded to the influx of hydrocarbons to the seafloor, including the enrichment of *Colwellia* and uncultured gamma-proteobacterial strains that were similar to strains observed in the subsurface intrusion layer¹⁴⁴. In heavily-oiled sediments, genes from anaerobic microbes such as *Desulfovibrionales*, *Desulfomonadales*, and *Desulfobacterales* in the Deltaproteobacteria¹⁴⁵ and from anaerobic metabolism such as **denitrification [G]**¹⁴⁴ were observed. Even after 4 years, significant oil residue was still present in sediments within 40km of the DWH blowout, with biodegradation most inhibited in concentrated seafloor oil deposits⁶⁷.

Sedimented oil harmed deep-sea benthic organisms, causing decreases in sediment macro- and meiofauna diversity^{120,146} and high mortality rates of benthic foraminifera^{147,148}. Coral communities were also impacted, where octocorals were damaged or dead after being covered in flocculent material containing oil and dispersant from the spill and response activities^{136,149,150}. Recovery of the corals after two years was mixed, with the average overall visible impact to corals on decreasing over time¹⁵¹. In some instances, the tissue on the impacted corals had died and the remaining skeletons were colonized by hydrozoa¹⁵¹, indicative of coral deterioration. Colonization of corals by brittle stars, however, was a sign of recovery as brittle stars are hypothesized to deter hydroid larvae from settling on coral branches¹⁵². As recently as 2018, heavily oiled areas near the DWH blowout hosted lower biological diversity of deep-sea megafauna, although with higher numbers of arthropods (such as crabs and shrimp) in many sites¹⁵³, underscoring the long term impact of the DWH disaster on benthic communities.

[H1] Outlook

The needs and opportunities following the Deepwater Horizon disaster led to a renaissance in oil spill science, with numerous breakthroughs on the transport and behavior, fate, and short- and long-term effects of acute releases of oil and gas in the marine environment. Scientists and engineers from outside oceanography fields were engaged; a generation of scientists was trained; new chemical and biological methods were developed, often with novel technology or modified from other scientific fields; highly detailed insights were gained on the rates, specificity, mechanisms, and products of abiotic and biotic processes; and case studies, lessons learned, and recommendations on mechanical and chemical response technologies were proposed and presented^{27,154}.

In the 10 years since the disaster, detailed monitoring and observations have yielded the most comprehensive oceanographic investigation of the northern Gulf of Mexico. The collective scientific effort identified what was unknown before the DWH (photo-oxidation of surface oil, MOSSFA, deep-sea dispersant use and fate) and prioritized new knowledge that was necessary to change the scientific or practical response to the spill. There is now a deeper understanding of the microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons and whether nutrient stimulants can or should be applied to offset potential nutrient limitation during bioremediation (while being mindful to avoid full oxygen depletion, which would shut down efficient aerobic degradation)⁵⁶. There have been several paradigm shifts in our understanding of oil weathering, most notably regarding the onset and rates of oil photo-oxidation⁴⁶, and the molecular specificity of its transformation products. In particular, we learned that oxygenated

products from oil photo-oxidation are polyfunctional¹⁰¹, impact the efficacy of surface applications of dispersants⁹¹, and have very complex roles in emulsion formation¹⁰³. With the ability to isolate and characterize these transformation products, new mitigation strategies can be developed.

How the results from the DWH disaster can be applied to future of oil spills must be considered, as drilling and recovery technologies are implemented and deep-sea oil drilling is expanded, wider and multi-seasonal shipping lanes in northern latitudes are opened, above and below ground pipelines near aquatic environments are installed, and oil recovery in remote locations is increased¹⁵⁵. The response and continued studies of the DWH disaster benefited from the relatively accessible location for a deep-water well (80 km offshore) and nearly-optimal weather (favorable summer currents, mild winds and calm seas). Along the relatively populated Gulf Coast, responders and scientists used existing infrastructure for shipping, housing, and land and water access. A more remote location with limited infrastructure, such as in the high-latitudes would prohibit a commensurate response, and would effectively exclude academic scientists. A further complication would be the uncertain impact of temperature and different oil composition on the processes observed in the DWH disaster, such as microbial biodegradation, floc formation, and weathering. For example, dispersants seem to be less effective at stimulating biodegradation at colder temperatures^{40,73}. In addition, heavier crude oils in high-latitude reservoirs are more polar and contain more oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur than those discharged during DWH; consequently they will be more viscous, harder to disperse, and less bioavailable¹⁵⁶. Lastly, some studies have implicated the polar components of oil in higher ecotoxicity to resident fish populations¹⁵⁷, with implications for local fisheries and tourism. All of these factors inhibit simple extension of DWH results to a high-latitude spill.

A critical area for additional research lies at the nexus of systems biology and analytical chemistry in the context of oil degradation. Metabolic databases are relatively complete for the degradation of simple hydrocarbons and aromatic compounds like BTEX but they are missing information on the metabolic pathways that degrade heteroatom-containing hydrocarbons¹⁵⁸. In other words, we can detect degradation products but do not know which genes or microbes are responsible for producing them from the source oil. Moreover, as there could be substantial functional redundancy between different oil-degrading communities^{60,78,159-161}, identifying the members of the microbial community may not matter in assessing degradation potential if the functional genes are present and expressed similarly. Ideally, chemical or biological markers will be identified that allow assessment of the “state” of an oil discharge event, supporting response strategies that increase oil degradation rates. Inexpensive portable long-read

sequencing technology, like that from Oxford Nanopore, might provide near real-time microbial functional gene expression measurements, enabling adaptive responses and mitigation strategies tuned to microbial functionality⁵⁶. As high-throughput approaches for reconstructing microbial genomes, monitoring chemical transformations, and combining biological and chemical characterizations mature, this problem is poised to be solved.

One of the biggest open questions after the DWH disaster concerns the use of dispersants and their complicated cost-benefit calculations. A primary goal of dispersant application during DWH was reduction in oil droplet size to enhance sequestration of oil in the deep sea³⁷. The potential to enhance biodegradation was a secondary consideration and although dispersants potentially improve hydrocarbon degradation, they could also prevent or delay this desirable impact, depending on environmental and biological circumstances²⁷. Interdisciplinary teams of chemists, biologists, ecologists and geochemists must work to ascertain the conditional tradeoffs in dispersant use, particularly in deep-sea environments where degradation potential is greater but oxygen is finite and megafauna such as pelagic fish and sessile corals have much longer generation times and slower recovery times. Importantly, tradeoffs with dispersant use are not binary, adding another layer of complexity to the decision process. For example, if the subsurface dispersant to oil ratio effectively modulates droplet size, it is possible to envision a scenario in which dispersant applications could be used dynamically to control the extent, rate and location of oil reaching the surface.

Between the *Exxon Valdez* and the DWH disaster, expertise in the academic community on oil spill response and oil degradation dwindled due to lack of funding and the effectiveness of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 to assign blame and assess fines. Although the scientific community was able to re-tool quickly to respond to DWH, we are now at a similar crossroads with the ending of the GoMRI research program and the fading memory of the 2010 event. A substantial cohort of graduate students, postdoctoral researchers, and early career scientists have been trained in oil chemistry and microbiology, but their future interests, job prospects in this field, and funding portfolios for federal and private agencies are uncertain. The DWH disaster benefited from the expertise of scientists and responders who were involved in the *Exxon Valdez* and the *Ixtoc* spills (1979, in the Gulf of Mexico), but many of these key knowledge-holders are retiring. Even if they are replaced, there will be a loss in critical mass of talented individuals that can apply and interpret the new chemical and biological technologies. We are concerned about future funding for known knowledge gaps, particularly in the usage of dispersants. A consortium of academics, government scientists and industrial partners must continue to explore these chemicals and

524 their short- and long-term impacts on marine environments. While there have been great strides with
525 academia developing and collaborating with government and industry, these relationships should be
526 fostered and clear channels of communication between these different groups must be strengthened¹⁶².
527 These relationships will allow for trust building and recognition that while the interests of academia are
528 not always lockstep with the response community, overlapping interests can provide key opportunities
529 for future funding and collaborative research¹⁶².

530 As we look back on the past decade, a few signals rise from the collective noise and provide a framework
531 for planning effective responses to future oil spills. First, scientific findings and recommendations should
532 influence policy and regulators, with a strategic embrace of complex biological and chemical data as
533 diagnostics of environmental processes. Second, the intricacies of interacting microbes and environmental
534 factors make understanding the oceans before, during, and after oil spills extraordinarily challenging,
535 requiring a plan for interacting and complementary analytical platforms across molecular biology and
536 chemistry. We cannot retreat again to one or two analytical methods as they unintentionally blind us to
537 the interdependent cascade of environmental processes, some of which are likely to be more important in
538 the next spill than they were in the DWH disaster. Finally, response teams and research collaborations
539 with common purpose are capable of working together to understand the impact of this spill on affected
540 ecosystems such as the Gulf of Mexico; in so doing, they are responding to a critical societal need.

541

References

- 1 Reddy, C. M. *et al.* Composition and fate of gas and oil released to the water column during the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 20229-20234 (2012).
- 2 Kessler, J. D. *et al.* A persistent oxygen anomaly reveals the fate of spilled methane in the deep Gulf of Mexico. *Science* **331**, 312-315 (2011).
- 3 Valentine, D. L. *et al.* Propane respiration jump-starts microbial response to a deep oil spill. *Science* **330**, 208-211 (2010).
- 4 Gros, J. *et al.* Petroleum dynamics in the sea and influence of subsea dispersant injection during *Deepwater Horizon*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 10065-10070 (2017).
- 5 Ryerson, T. B. *et al.* Chemical composition measurements quantify *Deepwater Horizon* hydrocarbon emissions and distribution in the marine environment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 20246-20253 (2012).
- 6 Drozd, G. T. *et al.* Modeling comprehensive chemical composition of weathered oil following a marine oil spill to predict ozone and potential secondary aerosol formation and constrain transport pathways. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **120**, 7300-7135 (2015).
- 7 MacDonald, I. R. *et al.* Natural and unnatural oil slicks in the Gulf of Mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **120**, 8364-8380 (2015).
- 8 Nixon, Z. *et al.* Shoreline oiling from the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **107**, 170-178 (2016).
- 9 Passow, U. & Stout, S. A. Character and sedimentation of “lingering” Macondo oil to the deep-sea after the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Marine Chemistry* **218**, 103733 (2020).
- 10 Lehr, B., Bristol, S. & Possolo, A. Oil Budget Calculator. (National Incident Command, 2010).
- 11 Hazen, T. C. *et al.* Deep-sea oil plume enriches indigenous oil-degrading bacteria. *Science* **330** (2010).
- 12 Joye, S. B., MacDonald, I. R., Leifer, I. & Asper, V. Magnitude and oxidation potential of hydrocarbon gases released from the BP oil well blowout. *Nature Geoscience* **4**, 160 (2011).
- 13 Ryerson, T. B. *et al.* Atmospheric emissions from the *Deepwater Horizon* spill constrain air-water partitioning, hydrocarbon fate, and leak rate. *Geophysical Research Letters* **38**, L07803 (2011).
- 14 Valentine, D. L. *et al.* Fallout plume of submerged oil from *Deepwater Horizon*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 15906-15911 (2014).
- 15 Chanton, J. P. *et al.* Using natural abundance radiocarbon to trace the flux of petrocarbon to the seafloor following the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Environmental Science & Technology* **49**, 847-854 (2014).
- 16 Gros, J. *et al.* First day of an oil spill on the open sea: Early mass transfers of hydrocarbons to air and water. *Environmental Science & Technology* **48**, 9400-9411 (2014).

584 17 Diercks, A. R. *et al.* Characterization of subsurface polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons at
585 the Deepwater Horizon site. *Geophysical Research Letters* **37**, L20602 (2010).

586 18 Diercks, A. R. *et al.* NIUST - Deepwater Horizon oil spill response cruise. in *Oceans 2010*
587 *MTS/IEEE*. 1-7 (IEEE, Seattle WA, 2010).

588 19 Camilli, R. *et al.* Tracking hydrocarbon plume transport and biodegradation at
589 Deepwater Horizon. *Science* **330**, 201-204 (2010).

590 20 MacDonald, I. R. *et al.* Asphalt volcanism and chemosynthetic life in the Campeche
591 Knolls, Gulf of Mexico. *Science* **304**, 999-1002 (2004).

592 21 Valentine, D. L. *et al.* Asphalt volcanoes as a potential source of methane to late
593 Pleistocene coastal waters. *Nature Geoscience* **3**, 345-348 (2010).

594 22 Joye, S. B. *et al.* The anaerobic oxidation of methane and sulfate reduction in sediments
595 from Gulf of Mexico cold seeps. *Chemical Geology* **205**, 219-238 (2004).

596 23 MacDonald, I. R. *et al.* Natural oil slicks in the Gulf of Mexico visible from space. *Journal*
597 *of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **98**, 16351-16354 (1993).

598 24 Davis, C. S. & Loomis, N. C. NRDA Image Data Processing Plan-Holocam: Data Processing
599 Methods. (2014).

600 25 Camilli, R. *et al.* Acoustic measurement of the *Deepwater Horizon* Macondo well flow
601 rate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 20235-20239 (2012).

602 26 Kujawinski, E. B. *et al.* Fate of dispersants associated with the Deepwater Horizon oil
603 spill. *Environmental Science & Technology* **45**, 1298-1306 (2011).

604 27 NASEM. The use of dispersants in marine oil spill response. (The National Academies of
605 Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Washington DC, 2019).

606 28 Davis, C. S., Gallagher, S. M., Berman, M. S., Haury, L. R. & Strickler, J. R. The Video
607 Plankton Recorder (VPR): Design and initial results. *Arch. Hydrobiol. Beih.* **36**, 67-81
608 (1992).

609 29 Davis, C. S., Thwaites, F., Gallagher, S. M. & Hu, Q. A three-axis fast-tow digital Video
610 Plankton Recorder for rapid surveys of plankton taxa and hydrography. *Limnology and*
611 *Oceanography: Methods* **3** (2005).

612 30 Loomis, N. C. *Computational imaging and automated identification for aqueous*
613 *environments* PhD thesis, MIT/WHOI, (2011).

614 31 Li, Z. *et al.* Technical reports for Deepwater Horizon water column injury assessment: Oil
615 particle data from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill (FE_TR.41). (South Kingstown RI,
616 2015).

617 32 Paris, C. B. *et al.* Evolution of the Macondo well blowout: Simulating the effects of the
618 circulation and synthetic dispersants on the subsea oil transport. *Environmental Science*
619 *& Technology* **46**, 13293-13302 (2012).

620 33 Paris, C. B. *et al.* BP Gulf science data reveals ineffectual subsea dispersant injection for
621 the Macondo blowout. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **5**, 389 (2018).

622 34 Malone, K., Pesch, S., Schlüter, M. & Krause, D. Oil droplet size distributions in deep-sea
623 blowouts: Influence of pressure and dissolved gases. *Environmental Science &*
624 *Technology* **52**, 6326-6333 (2018).

625 35 Malone, K., Aman, Z. M., Pesch, S., Schlüter, M. & Krause, D. Jet formation at the spill
626 site and resulting droplet size distributions. in *Deep Oil Spills* (eds S. A. Murawski *et al.*)
627 43-64 (Springer, Cham, 2020).

- 36 de Gouw, J. A. *et al.* Organic aerosol formation downwind from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Science* **331**, 1295-1299 (2011).
- 37 NRC. *Oil Spill Dispersants: Efficacy and Effects*. (National Academy of Sciences, 2005).
- 38 John, V., Arnosti, C., Field, J., Kujawinski, E. B. & McCormick, A. The role of dispersants in oil spill remediation: Fundamental concepts, rationale for use, fate and transport issues. *Oceanography* **29**, 108-117 (2016).
- 39 Baelum, J. *et al.* Deep-sea bacteria enriched by oil and dispersant from the Deepwater Horizon spill. *Environmental Microbiology* **14**, 2405-2416 (2012).
- 40 Campo, P., Venosa, A. D. & Suidan, M. T. Biodegradability of Corexit 9500 and dispersed South Louisiana crude oil at 5 and 25 oC. *Environmental Science & Technology* **47**, 1960-1967 (2013).
- 41 Choyke, S. & Ferguson, P. L. Molecular characterization of nonionic surfactant components of the Corexit 9500 oil spill dispersant by high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* **33**, 1683-1694 (2019).
- 42 Place, B. J. *et al.* Trace analysis of surfactants in Corexit oil dispersant formulations and seawater. *Deep Sea Research II* **129**, 273-281 (2016).
- 43 Choyke, S. *Environmental fate of chemical dispersant Corexit®9500 in Seawater by High-resolution Mass Spectrometry* Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, (2019).
- 44 Tulis, D. & Stanislaus, M. Interview to Commission staff, October 1, 2010. in *Report to the President* by National Commission on the BP Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill and Offshore Drilling. (Washington DC, 2010).
- 45 Boufadel, M. C. *et al.* Was the Deepwater Horizon well discharge churn flow? Implications on the estimation of the oil discharge and droplet size distribution. *Geophysical Research Letters* **45**, 2396-2403 (2018).
- 46 Ward, C. P. *et al.* Partial photochemical oxidation was a dominant fate of *Deepwater Horizon* surface oil. *Environmental Science & Technology* **52**, 1797-1805 (2018).
- 47 Edwards, B. R. *et al.* Rapid microbial respiration of oil from the *Deepwater Horizon* spill in offshore surface waters of the Gulf of Mexico. *Environmental Research Letters* **6**, 035301 (2011).
- 48 Yang, T. *et al.* Pulsed blooms and persistent oil-degrading bacterial populations in the water column during and after the Deepwater Horizon blowout. *Deep Sea Research II* **129**, 282-291 (2016).
- 49 Dombrowski, N. *et al.* Reconstructing metabolic pathways of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Nature Microbiology* **1**, 1-7 (2016).
- 50 Gutierrez, T. *et al.* Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria enriched by the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill identified by cultivation and DNA-SIP. *ISME Journal* **7**, 2091-2104 (2013).
- 51 King, G. M., Smith, C. B., Tolar, B. & Hollibaugh, J. T. Analysis of composition and structure of coastal to mesopelagic bacterioplankton communities in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **3**, 438 (2013).
- 52 King, G. M., Kostka, J. E., Hazen, T. C. & Sobecky, P. A. Microbial responses to the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill: From coastal wetlands to the deep sea. *Annual Reviews in Marine Science* **7**, 377-401 (2015).

- 53 Payne, J. R. & Driskell, W. B. Water-column measurements and observations from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill Natural Resource Damage Assessment in *International Oil Spill Conference Proceedings*. 3071-3090 (2017).
- 54 Valentine, D. L. *et al.* Dynamic autoinoculation and the microbial ecology of a deep water hydrocarbon irruption. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 20286-20291 (2012).
- 55 Dubinsky, E. A. *et al.* Succession of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria in the aftermath of the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. *Environmental Science & Technology* **47**, 10860-10867 (2013).
- 56 Joye, S. B. & Kostka, J. E. Microbial Genomics of the Global Ocean System. (American Academy of Microbiology, Washington DC, 2020).
- 57 Mason, O. U. *et al.* Metagenome, metatranscriptome and single-cell sequencing reveal microbial response to Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *ISME Journal* **6**, 1715-1727 (2012).
- 58 Redmond, M. C. & Valentine, D. L. Natural gas and temperature structured a microbial community response to the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 20292-20297 (2012).
- 59 Rubin-Blum, M. *et al.* Short-chain alkanes fuel mussel and sponge *Cycloclasticus* symbionts from deep-sea gas and oil seeps. *Nature Microbiology* **2**, 17093 (2017).
- 60 Rivers, A. R. *et al.* Transcriptional response of bathypelagic marine bacterioplankton to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *ISME Journal* **7**, 2315-2329 (2013).
- 61 Crill, P. M. & Martens, C. S. Methane Production from Bicarbonate and Acetate in an Anoxic Marine Sediment. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **50**, 2089-2097 (1986).
- 62 Kleindienst, S. *et al.* Diverse, rare microbial taxa responded to the *Deepwater Horizon* deep-sea hydrocarbon plume. *ISME Journal* **10**, 400-415 (2016).
- 63 Crespo-Medina, M. *et al.* The rise and fall of methanotrophy following a deepwater oil-well blowout. *Nature Geoscience* **7**, 423-427 (2014).
- 64 Brakstad, O. G., Almås, I. K. & Krause, D. F. Biotransformation of natural gas and oil compounds associated with marine oil discharges. *Chemosphere* **182**, 555-558 (2017).
- 65 Kim, S. J. *et al.* Dynamic response of *Mycobacterium vanbaalenii* PYR-1 to BP Deepwater Horizon crude oil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **81**, 4263-4276 (2015).
- 66 Kleindienst, S. *et al.* Chemical dispersants can suppress the activity of natural oil-degrading microorganisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 14900-14905 (2015).
- 67 Bagby, S. C., Reddy, C. M., Aeppli, C., Fisher, G. B. & Valentine, D. L. Persistence and biodegradation of oil at the ocean floor following *Deepwater Horizon*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, E9-E18 (2017).
- 68 Chakraborty, R., Borglin, S. E., Dubinsky, E. A., Andersen, G. L. & Hazen, T. C. Microbial response to the MC-252 oil and Corexit 9500 in the Gulf of Mexico. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **3**, 357 (2012).
- 69 Overholt, W. A. *et al.* Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria exhibit a species-specific response to dispersed oil while moderating ecotoxicity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **82**, 518-527 (2016).
- 70 Bookstaver, M., Bose, A. & Tripathi, A. Interaction of *Alcanivorax borkumensis* with a surfactant decorated oil-water interface. *Langmuir* **31**, 5875-5881 (2015).

714 71 Hamdan, L. J. & Fulmer, P. A. Effects of Corexit EC9500A on bacteria from a beach oiled
715 by the Deepwater Horizon spill. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* **63**, 101-109 (2011).

716 72 Sun, X. *et al.* Dispersant enhances hydrocarbon degradation and alters the structure of
717 metabolically active microbial communities in shallow seawater from the northeastern
718 Gulf of Mexico. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **10**, 2387 (2019).

719 73 Techtmann, S. M. *et al.* Corexit 9500 enhances oil biodegradation and changes active
720 bacterial community structure of oil-enriched microcosms. *Applied and Environmental*
721 *Microbiology* **83**, e03462-03416 (2017).

722 74 McFarlin, K. M., Prince, R. C., Perkins, R. & Leigh, M. B. Biodegradation of dispersed oil in
723 Arctic seawater at -10C. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e84297 (2014).

724 75 Prince, R. C. *et al.* The primary biodegradation of dispersed crude oil in the sea.
725 *Chemosphere* **90**, 521-526 (2013).

726 76 Zahed, M. A. *et al.* Kinetic modeling and half life study on bioremediation of crude oil
727 dispersed by Corexit 9500. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **185**, 1027-1031 (2011).

728 77 Tremblay, J. *et al.* Chemical dispersants enhance the activity of oil- and gas condensate-
729 degrading marine bacteria. *ISME Journal* **11**, 2793-2808 (2017).

730 78 Tremblay, J. *et al.* Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic responses of natural oil
731 degrading bacteria in the presence of dispersants. *Environmental Microbiology* **21**,
732 2307-2319 (2019).

733 79 Kleindienst, S., Paul, J. H. & Joye, S. B. Using dispersants after oil spills: Impacts on the
734 composition and activity of microbial communities. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **13**,
735 388-396 (2015).

736 80 Ruddy, B. M. *et al.* Targeted petroleomics: Analytical investigation of Macondo well oil
737 oxidation products from Pensacola Beach. *Energy and Fuels* **28**, 4043-4050 (2014).

738 81 Stout, S. A., Payne, J. R., Emsbo-Mattingly, S. D. & Baker, G. Weathering of field-
739 collected floating and stranded Macondo oils during and shortly after the Deepwater
740 Horizon oil spill. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **105**, 7-22 (2016).

741 82 Payne, J. R. *Petroleum Spills in the Marine Environment: The chemistry and formation of*
742 *water-in-oil emulsions and tar balls*. 2nd edn, (CRC Press, 2018).

743 83 Chen, H. *et al.* 4 years after the Deepwater Horizon spill: molecular transformation of
744 Macondo Well oil in Louisiana salt marsh sediments revealed by FT-ICR mass
745 spectrometry. *Environmental Science & Technology* **50**, 9061-9069 (2016).

746 84 Arey, J. S., Nelson, R. K., Plata, D. L. & Reddy, C. M. Disentangling oil weathering using
747 GC x GC. 2. Mass transfer calculations. *Environmental Science & Technology* **41**, 5747-
748 5755 (2007).

749 85 Stanford, L. A. *et al.* Identification of water-soluble heavy crude oil organic-acids, bases,
750 and neutrals by electrospray ionization and field desorption ionization Fourier
751 transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *Environmental Science &*
752 *Technology* **41**, 2696-2702 (2007).

753 86 Wolfe, D. *et al.* The Fate of the Oil Spilled from the Exxon Valdez. *Environmental Science*
754 *& Technology* **28**, 560A-568A (1994).

755 87 Arey, J. S., Nelson, R. K. & Reddy, C. M. Disentangling oil weathering using GCxGC. 1.
756 Chromatogram analysis. *Environmental Science & Technology* **41**, 5738-5746 (2007).

- 88 Payne, J. R. & Driskell, W. B. Macondo oil in northern Gulf of Mexico waters – Part 1: Assessments and forensic methods for *Deepwater Horizon* offshore water samples. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **129**, 399-411 (2018).
- 89 Lewan, M. D. *et al.* Asphaltene content and composition as a measure of *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill losses within the first 80 days. *Organic Geochemistry* **75**, 54-60 (2014).
- 90 Daling, P. S. *et al.* Surface weathering and dispersibility of MC252 crude oil. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **87**, 300-310 (2014).
- 91 Ward, C. P., Armstrong, C. J., Conmy, R. N., French-McCay, D. P. & Reddy, C. M. Photochemical oxidation of oil reduced the effectiveness of aerial dispersants applied in response to the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* **5**, 226-231 (2018).
- 92 Payne, J. R. & Driskell, W. B. Water column sampling for forensics. in *Standard Handbook Oil Spill Environmental Forensics* p. 983-1014 (Academic Press., 2016).
- 93 Ray, P. Z., Chen, H., Podgorski, D. C., McKenna, A. M. & Tarr, M. A. Sunlight creates oxygenated species in water-soluble fractions of *Deepwater Horizon* oil. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **280**, 636-643 (2014).
- 94 King, S. M., Leaf, P. A., Olson, A. C., Ray, P. Z. & Tarr, M. A. Photolytic and photocatalytic degradation of surface oil from the *Deepwater Horizon* spill. *Chemosphere* **95**, 415-422 (2013).
- 95 Prince, R. C. *et al.* The roles of photooxidation and biodegradation in long-term weathering of crude and heavy fuel oils. *Spill Science & Technology Bulletin* **8**, 145-156 (2003).
- 96 Thingstad, T. F. & Bengnerud, B. The formation of “chocolate mousse” from Statfjord crude oil and seawater. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **14**, 214-216 (1983).
- 97 Radović, J. R. *et al.* Assessment of photochemical processes in marine oil spill fingerprinting. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **79**, 268-277 (2014).
- 98 Bacosa, H. P., Erdner, D. L. & Liu, Z. Differentiating the roles of photooxidation and biodegradation in the weathering of Light Louisiana Sweet crude oil in surface water from the *Deepwater Horizon* site. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **95**, 265-272 (2015).
- 99 Fingas, M. F. & Fieldhouse, B. Studies of the formation process of water-in-oil emulsions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **47**, 369-396 (2003).
- 100 Aepli, C. *et al.* Oil weathering after the *Deepwater Horizon* disaster led to the formation of oxygenated residues. *Environmental Science & Technology* **46**, 8799-8807 (2012).
- 101 Niles, S. F. *et al.* Molecular-level characterization of oil-soluble ketone/ aldehyde photo-oxidation products by Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry reveals similarity between microcosm and field samples. *Environmental Science & Technology* **53**, 6887-6894 (2019).
- 102 Vaughan, P. P. *et al.* Photochemical changes in water accommodated fractions of MC252 and surrogate oil created during solar exposure as determined by FT-ICR MS. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **104**, 262-268 (2016).
- 103 Zito, P. *et al.* Sunlight-induced molecular progression of oil into oxidized oil soluble species, interfacial material and dissolved organic matter *Energy and Fuels*, on-line Feb 21 (2020).

801 104 Hall, G. J. *et al.* Oxygenated weathering products of Deepwater Horizon oil come from
802 surprising precursors. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **75**, 140-149 (2013).

803 105 Ward, C. P. *et al.* Oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) trace photochemical hydrocarbon oxidation at
804 the sea surface. *Geophysical Research Letters* **46**, 6745-6754 (2019).

805 106 McKenna, A. M. *et al.* Expansion of the analytical window for oil spill characterization by
806 ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry: Beyond gas chromatography. *Environmental*
807 *Science & Technology* **47**, 7530-7539 (2013).

808 107 Zito, P. *et al.* Molecular-level composition and acute toxicity of photosolubilized
809 petrogenic carbon. *Environmental Science & Technology* **53**, 8235-8243 (2019).

810 108 Gros, J., Reddy, C. M., Nelson, R. K., Socolofsky, S. A. & Arey, J. S. Gas-liquid-water
811 partitioning and fluid properties of petroleum mixtures under pressure: Implications for
812 deep-sea blowouts. *Environmental Science & Technology* **50**, 7397-7408 (2016).

813 109 Aeppli, C., Nelson, R. K., Radovic, J. R., Carmichael, C. A. & Reddy, C. M. Recalcitrance
814 and degradation of petroleum biomarkers in Deepwater Horizon oil upon abiotic and
815 biotic natural weathering. *Environmental Science & Technology* **48**, 6726-6734 (2014).

816 110 Gros, J. *et al.* Resolving biodegradation patterns of persistent saturated hydrocarbons in
817 weathered oil samples from the Deepwater Horizon disaster. *Environmental Science &*
818 *Technology* **48**, 1628-1637 (2014).

819 111 Nelson, R. K. *et al.* Applications of comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography
820 (GCxGC) in studying the source, transport, and fate of petroleum hydrocarbons in the
821 environment. in *Oil Spill Fingerprinting and Source Identification* (eds Z. Wang & S. A.
822 Stout) (Elsevier, 2016).

823 112 Driskell, W. B. & Payne, J. R. Macondo oil in northern Gulf of Mexico waters - Part 2:
824 Dispersant-accelerated PAH dissolution in the *Deepwater Horizon* plume. *Marine*
825 *Pollution Bulletin* **129**, 412-419 (2018).

826 113 Turner, R. E., Overton, E. B., Meyer, B. M., Miles, M. S. & Hooper-Bui, L. Changes in the
827 concentration and relative abundance of alkanes and PAHs from the Deepwater Horizon
828 oiling of coastal marshes. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **86**, 291-297 (2014).

829 114 DeMello, J. A. *et al.* Biodegradation and environmental behavior of biodiesel mixtures in
830 the sea: An initial study. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **54**, 894-904 (2007).

831 115 Frysinger, G. S., Gaines, R. B. & Reddy, C. M. GCx GC--a new analytical tool for
832 environmental forensics. *Environmental Forensics* **3**, 27-34 (2002).

833 116 Stout, S. A., Payne, J. R., Ricker, R. W., Baker, G. & Lewis, C. Macondo oil in deep-sea
834 sediments: Part 2 - Distribution and distinction from background and natural oil seeps.
835 *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **111**, 381-401 (2016).

836 117 Stout, S. A. & Payne, J. R. Macondo oil in deep-sea sediments: Part 1 - sub-sea
837 weathering of oil deposited on the seafloor. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **111**, 365-380
838 (2016).

839 118 Aeppli, C. *et al.* How persistent and bioavailable are oxygenated *Deepwater Horizon* oil
840 transformation products? *Environmental Science & Technology* **52**, 7250-7258 (2018).

841 119 White, H. K. *et al.* Long-term weathering and continued oxidation of oil residues from
842 the Deepwater Horizon spill. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **113**, 380-386 (2016).

843 120 Montagna, P. A. *et al.* Deep-sea benthic footprint of the Deepwater Horizon blowout.
844 *PLoS ONE* **8**, e70540 (2013).

- 121 Fisher, C. R. *et al.* Coral communities as indicators of ecosystem-level impacts of the Deepwater Horizon spill. *Bioscience* **64** (2014).
- 122 Pasamontes, A. *et al.* Noninvasive respiratory metabolite analysis associated with clinical disease in cetaceans: A Deepwater Horizon oil spill study. *Environmental Science & Technology* **51**, 5737-5746 (2017).
- 123 Whitehead, A. *et al.* Genomic and physiological footprint of the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill on resident marsh fishes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 20298-20302 (2012).
- 124 Incardona, J. P. *et al.* Deepwater Horizon crude oil impacts the developing hearts of large predatory pelagic fish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, E1510-E1518 (2014).
- 125 NRC. *Oil in the Sea III: Inputs, Fates, and Effects*. (The National Academies Press, 2003).
- 126 Mendelssohn, I. A. *et al.* Oil impacts on coastal wetlands: implications for the Mississippi River Delta ecosystem after the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *BioScience* **62**, 562-574 (2012).
- 127 Silliman, B. R. *et al.* Degradation and resilience in Louisiana salt marshes after the BP-*Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 11234-11239 (2012).
- 128 Rabalais, N. N. & Turner, R. E. Effects of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on coastal marshes and associated organisms. *Oceanography* **29**, 150-159 (2016).
- 129 Clement, T. P., John, G. F. & Yin, F. Assessing the increase in background oil contamination levels along Alabama's beaches resulting from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. in *Oil Spill Science and Technology* pp. 851-888 (Elsevier, 2017).
- 130 Kostka, J. E. *et al.* Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria and the bacterial community response in Gulf of Mexico beach sands impacted by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **77**, 7962-7974 (2011).
- 131 Karthikeyan, S. *et al.* "*Candidatus* Macondimonas diazotrophica", a novel gammaproteobacterial genus dominating crude-oil-contaminated coastal sediments. *ISME Journal* **13**, 2129-2134 (2019).
- 132 Michel, J. *et al.* Three years of shoreline cleanup assessment technique (SCAT) for the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, Gulf of Mexico, USA. in *International Oil Spill Proceedings*. 1251-1266 (American Petroleum Institute, 2014).
- 133 Morrison, A. E., Dhoonmoon, C. & White, H. K. Chemical characterization of natural and anthropogenic-derived oil residues on Gulf of Mexico beaches. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **137**, 501-508 (2018).
- 134 Elango, V., Urbano, M., Lemelle, K. R. & Pardue, J. H. Biodegradation of MC252 oil in oil:sand aggregates in a coastal headland beach environment. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **5**, 161 (2014).
- 135 Tao, Z., Bullard, S. & Arias, C. High Numbers of *Vibrio vulnificus* in Tar Balls Collected from Oiled Areas of the North-Central Gulf of Mexico Following the 2010 BP *Deepwater Horizon* Oil Spill. *EcoHealth* **8**, 507-511 (2011).
- 136 Fisher, C. R. *et al.* Footprint of *Deepwater Horizon* blowout impact to deep-water coral communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 11744-11749 (2014).

- 137 Vonk, S. M., Hollander, D. J. & Murk, A. J. Was the extreme and wide-spread marine oil-
snow sedimentation and fluocculent accumulation (MOSSFA) event during the
Deepwater Horizon blow-out unique? *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **100**, 5-12 (2015).
- 138 Kinner, N. E., Belden, L. & Kinner, P. Unexpected sink for Deepwater Horizon oil may
influence future spill response. Town hall: Marine Oil Snow Sedimentation and
Flocculent Accmulation (MOSSFA); Mobile Alabama 27 January 2014. *EOS, Transactions
American Geophysical Union* **95**, 176 (2014).
- 139 Passow, U., Ziervogel, K., Asper, V. & Diercks, A. R. Marine snow formation in the
aftermath of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. *Environmental
Research Letters* **7**, 035301 (2012).
- 140 Fu, J., Gong, Y., Zhao, X., O'Reilly, S. E. & Zhao, D. Effects of oil and dispersant on
formation of marine oil snow and transport of oil hydrocarbons. *Environmental Science
& Technology* **48**, 14392-14399 (2014).
- 141 Doyle, S. M. *et al.* Rapid formation of microbe-oil aggregates and changes in community
composition in coastal surface water following expsure to oil and the dispersant Corexit.
Frontiers in Microbiology **9**, 689 (2018).
- 142 Quigg, A. *et al.* The role of microbial exopolymers in determining the fate of oil and
chemical dispersants in the ocean. *Limnology & Oceanography: Letters* **1**, 3-26 (2016).
- 143 Schwing, P. T. *et al.* Constraining the spatial extent of marine oil snow sedimentation
and flocculent accumulation following the Deepwater Horizon event using an excess
210Pb flux approach. *Environmental Science & Technology* **51**, 5962-5968 (2017).
- 144 Mason, O. U. *et al.* Metagenomics reveals sediment microbial community response to
Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *ISME Journal* **8**, 1464-1475 (2014).
- 145 Kimes, N. E. *et al.* Metagenomic analysis and metabolite profiling of deep-sea sediments
from the Gulf of Mexico following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Frontiers in
Microbiology* **4**, 50 (2013).
- 146 van Eenennaam, J. S. *et al.* Marine snow increases the adverse effects of oil on benthic
invertebrates. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **126**, 339-348 (2018).
- 147 Schwing, P. T. *et al.* Tracing the incorporation of carbon into benthic foaminiferal calcite
following the Deepwater Horizon event. *Environmental Pollution* **237**, 424-429 (2018).
- 148 Schwing, P. T. *et al.* A decline in benthic foraminifera following the Deepwater Horizon
event in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0120565 (2015).
- 149 White, A. E., Watkins-Brandt, K. S., Engle, M. A., Burkhardt, B. & Paytan, A.
Characterization of the rate and temperature sensitivities of bacterial remineralization
of dissolved organic phosphorus compounds by natural populations. *Frontiers in
Microbiology* **2**, 276 (2012).
- 150 White, H. K. *et al.* Long-term persistence of dispersants following the Deepwater
Horizon oil spill. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* **1**, 295-299 (2014).
- 151 Hsing, P.-Y. *et al.* Evidence of lasting impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on a deep
Gulf of Mexico coral community. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* **1**, 000012
(2013).
- 152 Girard, F., Fu, B. & Fisher, C. R. Mutualistic symbiosis with ophiuroids limited the impact
of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on deep-sea octcorals. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
549, 89-98 (2016).

- 153 McClain, C. R., Nunnally, C. & Benfield, M. C. Persistent and substantial impacts of the
Deepwater Horizon oil spill on deep-sea megafauna. *Royal Society Open Science* **6**,
191164 (2019).
- 154 French-McCay, D. P. *et al.* Modeling distribution, fate, and concentrations of Deepwater
Horizon oil in subsurface waters of the Gulf of Mexico in *Oil Spill Environmental
Forensics Case Studies* pp. 683-735 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 2018).
- 155 Murawski, S. A. *et al.* *Deep Oil Spills*. (Springer Nature, 2020).
- 156 Schreiber, L. *et al.* Potential for microbially mediated natural attenuation of diluted
bitumen on the coast of British Columbia (Canada). *Applied and Environmental
Microbiology* **85**, e00086-00019 (2019).
- 157 Incardona, J. P. *et al.* Unexpectedly high mortality in Pacific herring embryos exposed to
the 2007 Cosco Busan oil spill in San Francisco Bay. *Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences* **109**, E51-E58, (2012).
- 158 Liu, Y. *et al.* Multi-omics insights into the microbial degradation of seawater-soluble
crude oil components. *EarthArXiv*, doi:eartharxiv.org/xmv7e (2019).
- 159 Wang, W., Cai, B. & Shao, Z. Oil degradation and biosurfactant production by the deep
sea bacterium *Dietzia maris* As-13-3. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **5**, 711 (2014).
- 160 Ribicic, D. *et al.* Oil type and temperature dependent biodegradation dynamics:
Combining chemical and microbial community data through multivariate analysis. *BMC
Microbiology* **18**, 83 (2018).
- 161 Karthikeyan, S. *Microbes, Oil Spills and Beyond: Using Microbes to Predict the Impact of
Oil Spills* Ph.D. thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, (2019).
- 162 Mease, L. A. *et al.* Designing a solution to enable agency-academic scientific
collaboration for disasters. *Ecology and Society* **22**, 18 (2017).
- 163 White, H. K., Conmy, R., McDonald, I. R. & Reddy, C. M. Methods of Oil Detection in
Response to the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill. *Oceanography* **29**, 76-87 (2016).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank DWH-related funding for establishing collaborations and conversations that enabled this manuscript (the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative to EBK, RPR, CMR, and HKW; a Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Award to HKW; an Early Career Research Fellowship and a Collaborators Grant from the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine Gulf Research Program to JCT; the National Science Foundation to EBK [OCE-1045811], CMR [OCE-1634478 and OCE-1756242], and DLV [OCE-1756947 and OCE-1635562]). Work performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory ICR User Facility is supported by the National Science Foundation Division of Chemistry through Cooperative Agreement DMR-1644779, and the State of Florida. The authors thank their research groups and collaborators for spirited discussions and constructive comments on the paper.

Author contributions

The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Peer review information

[To be added after acceptance]

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Figures

Figure 1. (a) Map of oiled area between April and July 2010 with surface dispersant application, adapted from ERMA. Research expeditions to assess the extent of the subsurface intrusion occurred between May and July 2010, within the region denoted by the (color) circle. (b) The time-line of sampling from research vessels, aerial surveys and the IGT subsurface sampler. Adapted from REF⁵.

Figure 2. Integration of technologies used in Deepwater Horizon oil spill response. Numerous oceanographic tools were deployed to assess surface and deep expressions of oil, gas and dispersants between April and July 2010. These included autonomous vehicles, water and sediment sampling equipment, and aerial assets. Adapted from REF¹⁶³.

Figure 3. Distribution of DWH hydrocarbons in three primary reservoirs in the Gulf of Mexico: the atmosphere (A), the ocean surface (B) and the subsurface intrusion (C). During the spill, oil and gas were partitioned among these reservoirs (D). Adapted from REF⁵.

Figure 4. Schematic of subsurface intrusion, microbial succession and flocculent material. A typical depth profile of hydrocarbon concentrations near the MC-252 wellhead (left) shows the location and vertical extent of the subsurface intrusion. Microbes degraded oil and gas, with different microbes blooming at different times. Dispersants were present in both the subsurface intrusion and the surface slicks, while flocculent material (or MOSSFA) was deposited on the sediment floor.

Figure 5. Analysis of DWH oil and field samples (sand/oil patties collected from GoM beaches) with four different techniques that captures collective effects of abiotic and biotic weathering, which are manifested in changes of: (A) GC-FID chromatograms, (B) TLC-FID chromatograms, (C) FT-IR absorbance, and (D) broadband FT-ICR-MS spectra. Overall, weathering lead to degradation of saturated and aromatic compounds leaving behind recalcitrant compounds in the unresolved complex mixtures, to an increase in OxHC fractions relative to saturated and aromatic compounds, and to increases in hydroxyl and carbonyls. The (-)ESI FT-ICR-MS revealed a complexity increase in the number of peaks (from m/z 200–1000) and the appearance of oxygenated species (red peaks) in a mass-scale expanded 400 mDA segment. Of note, similar molecular information is available for all other nominal masses in the mass spectrum. Panels A-C modified from REF¹⁰⁰. Credit: C. Aeppli, C. Reddy, R. Rodgers

Figure 6. Comparison of oiled (right) and non-oiled (left) marine ecosystems, during the DWH disaster. Primary impacts included the subsurface intrusion, oiled beaches and marshes, and oiled sediments and corals.

1012	
1013	Glossary terms
1014	Amphiphilic: a chemical compound that has both hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties
1015	Denitrification: a multi-step microbial process that reduces nitrate to molecular nitrogen
1016	Dispersants: chemical mixtures used during oil spill response to break up and decrease the size of oil
1017	slicks or oil droplets so that they can be more easily mixed with water
1018	Floc: a mass of loosely-associated particles formed from the aggregation of minerals and organic particles
1019	suspended in water
1020	Metagenomics: the study of the genes (DNA) present in a mixed community, provide an assessment of
1021	metabolic potential in that community
1022	Metatranscriptomics: the study of the transcripts (RNA) present in a community, provides a snapshot of
1023	the genes being expressed at that time
1024	Photo-oxidation: a chemical modification reaction resulting from the absorption of light in the presence of
1025	oxygen
1026	Saturated hydrocarbons: chemical compounds that are comprised of carbon and hydrogen
1027	(hydrocarbons) in which all carbon-carbon bonds are single bonds.
1028	Stable isotope probing: a technique to trace the microbial consumption of a substrate through the
1029	examination of the stable isotopic composition of the substrate and the resulting biomass of the consumer