Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Current Opinion in Neurobiology

Network dynamics underlie learning and performance of birdsong

Richard Bertram[1], Richard L Hyson[2], Amanda J Brunick[2], Diana Flores[1] and Frank Johnson[2]

Understanding the sensorimotor control of the endless variety of human speech patterns stands as one of the apex problems in neuroscience. The capacity to learn – through imitation – to rapidly sequence vocal sounds in meaningful patterns is clearly one of the most derived of human behavioral traits. Selection pressure produced an analogous capacity in numerous species of vocal-learning birds, and due to an increasing appreciation for the cognitive and computational flexibility of avian cortex and basal ganglia, a general understanding of the forebrain network that supports the learning and production of birdsong is beginning to emerge. Here, we review recent advances in experimental studies of the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*), which offer new insights into the network dynamics that support this surprising analogue of human speech learning and production.

Addresses
[1] Department of Mathematics, Program in Neuroscience, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4510, United States

[2] Department of Psychology, Program in Neuroscience, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4301, United States

Corresponding author: Johnson, Frank (johnson@psy.fsu.edu)

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2020, **64**:119–126

This review comes from a themed issue on **Systems neuroscience**

Edited by **Dan Feldman** and **Kristin Scott**

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2020.04.004

Serial order in behavior – the ability to link several distinct motor gestures to produce a purposeful sequence of behavior – is perhaps best exemplified by human speech. For example, if you read this sentence aloud your vocal tract will effortlessly transition through a sequence of distinct motor configurations on a millisecond time scale. How the human brain accomplishes such feats remains largely unknown. However, by harnessing the unique behavioral and neural features of song learning by the zebra finch, several underlying principles are beginning to emerge. Notable parallels to human speech include: **1.)** a brain and vocal tract that are the result of selection pressure to hear and produce rapid sequences of vocal sounds; **2.)** a dedicated forebrain network that supports auditory processing and motor sequencing of learned vocal sounds; **3.)** the origins of adult vocal patterns are found in developmental sensitive periods for auditory learning and sensorimotor learning.

Auditory learning in a 'motor' pathway

Although only male zebra finches learn to produce song, juveniles of both sexes experience auditory learning, forming an auditory memory of the song of the adult male 'tutor' that raises them. For juvenile males, the auditory memory of tutor song serves as an internal reference – accessed via auditory feedback – to guide the sensorimotor learning of song. To understand how auditory memory is encoded, a first step is to characterize the neural loci and pathways involved in memory formation.

While much work has focused on the formation of juvenile auditory memories within higher portions of the ascending auditory stream (reviewed in Ref. [1••]), recent evidence suggests that a juvenile auditory memory of tutor song also forms within HVC, a premotor region long known to direct song production in adults (see Figure 1a, all acronyms defined in Figure 1 legend). Briefly, the formation of an auditory memory can be blocked by targeting HVC with pharmacological or optogenetic manipulations, but only when these manipulations coincide with exposure to a tutor song [2]. So too when manipulations target cortical (NIf) or dopaminergic (PAG) input to HVC [2,3], but not when manipulations target avian auditory cortex, Field L [2]. An important role for the NIf-HVC pathway is further demonstrated by 'optogenetic tutoring' of juvenile males by stimulating NIf input to HVC with patterned light [4].

Formation of an auditory memory of tutor song within HVC appears to involve both synaptic and non-synaptic plasticity. Here, note that HVC is a mosaic of at least 4 cell populations – interneurons, and distinct populations that project to Av (association cortex), RA (vocal motor cortex), and Area X (basal ganglia). Exposure to a tutor song stabilizes HVC spine turnover [5], shifts the balance of HVC inhibitory and excitatory synapses [6], and exerts intriguing effects on the intrinsic physiology of HVC neurons [7]. That is, exposure to tutor song promotes creation of transient 'juvenile-typical' intrinsic physiology in HVC neurons [8], whereas tutor deprivation results in

Figure 1



Sagittal/horizontal views of selected brain regions and pathways that underlie the learning and performance of zebra finch song. Compared to mammals, note that the avian forebrain possesses a distinct and arguably more 'flight-worthy' (weight and space efficient) organization. Avian cortex eschews lamination in favor of modularity, permitting a greater density of neurons per unit of enclosed volume [69••] and supporting cognitive and computational functions that rival or exceed those of much larger primate brains [70]. **(a)** Selected brain regions and pathways involved in the juvenile formation of an auditory memory of an adult tutor song. For clarity, ascending auditory pathways – where there is also evidence of auditory memory formation [1••] – and descending vocal-motor pathways are not shown. **(b)** During sensorimotor learning, distinct

premature adult-like phenotypes [7]. Unlike the slower-developing efferent connectivity of HVC-RA neurons, HVC-X neurons establish robust connectivity with the basal ganglia before auditory learning [9]. Given the key role of the basal ganglia in sensorimotor learning (see below), HVC-X neurons seem an attractive candidate for encoding or conveying a memory of tutor song.

Do female zebra finches also form auditory memories in HVC? Although they do not sing, psychophysical evidence indicates that juvenile female zebra finches form an auditory memory of tutor song [10,11]. Despite evidence that HVC plays a role in song perception in females of other songbird species [12,13], HVC in female zebra finches has received modest experimental attention as it was initially believed to be vestigial, lacking male-typical network connectivity. However, we recently demonstrated that female zebra finches possess a fully networked HVC [14] and preliminary data show that female HVC neurons have intrinsic physiological properties that are quite similar to those of males. For example, HVC-X neurons respond to depolarizing current with tonic spiking, while HVC-RA neurons typically respond with one or a few spikes (Figure 2). These similarities suggest a conserved function between sexes and, since the females do not sing, one possibility is that HVC may also be a site of auditory memory formation in female zebra finches. These findings, however, say nothing about the local synaptic connectivity of female HVC neurons, or the behavioral function of the female network, which could be quite different from that of males [15,16].

Sensorimotor learning – distinct neural pathways for variation, acquisition, and evaluation of song

Three behavioral stages characterize sensorimotor learning in males – subsong, plastic song, and crystallized adult song. Figure 1b shows the configuration of the network at the onset of juvenile subsong, when the activity of vocal motor cortex (RA) is dominated by input from the Anterior Forebrain Pathway (AFP, green). AFP premotor activity, conveyed to RA from $LMAN^{core}$, results in rambling vocal sequences that resemble infant babbling [17]. By driving the vocal organ throughout its dynamic range, subsong may act as a calibration step, allowing the juvenile bird to form associations between different vocal gestures and different sounds. Recent work suggests that the unpatterned acoustic structure of subsong is regulated by Area X of the avian basal ganglia [18,19], where activity is modulated by descending input from HVC and LMAN and ascending dopaminergic input from the midbrain. Note that ablation of either LMAN or Area X in juveniles disrupts sensorimotor learning [20,21].

Rudiments of the tutor song first appear during plastic song, often beginning with repetitions of a single syllable [22]. Plastic song reflects the growing influence of a second premotor pathway (magenta in Figure 1b) that culminates in HVC input to vocal motor cortex. Multiple sources of afferent input to HVC, organized in a fascinating orthogonal topography [23], influence the motor acquisition of song by HVC. Among these inputs to HVC, thalamic input (Uva) drives HVC premotor activity [24•] and ablation evidence shows the importance of NIf [25,26], Av [27], and MMAN [28] for sensorimotor learning (for clarity MMAN input to HVC not shown in Figure 1). As sensorimotor learning unfolds, vocal output is shaped by the combined activity of the two premotor inputs to RA – HVC and LMAN [29]. However, as HVC influence grows, due in part to the addition of HVC-RA neurons via neurogenesis [30], $LMAN^{core}$ influence on vocal motor cortex diminishes, but does not completely extinguish, as crystallized adult song is achieved (compare Figure 1b and c and see Ref. [31]).

A longstanding question is how the juvenile zebra finch brain evaluates and shapes vocal output toward a facsimile of the tutor song. Although two distinct premotor pathways control vocal output during sensorimotor learning [29], recent data suggest that only one – the AFP – is targeted by brain regions that evaluate the developing song pattern (purple in Figure 1b). In this regard, AFP function in zebra finches supports the broad consensus that midbrain dopaminergic input to the mammalian basal ganglia is critical for goal-directed learning. To summarize work across multiple laboratories, dopaminergic (VTA) input to Area X of the avian basal ganglia, possibly acting on D1 receptors located on Area X interneurons, influences that ability of auditory feedback to guide adaptive changes in vocal output [32,33,34,35,36,37]. Further evidence of mammal-bird homology comes in the form of basal ganglia expression of members of the FoxP family, transcription factors essential for human speech development [38]. Area X expression of FoxP genes also influences zebra finch sensorimotor learning [39••,40••].

brain regions and pathways drive juvenile vocal variation and exploration, vocal acquisition of a facsimile of the tutor song, and feedback-based evaluation. **(c)** Adult recitation of song requires a small subset of the brain regions and pathways necessary to learn song. Interestingly, many of the regions and pathways involved in juvenile sensorimotor learning retain the ability to evaluate and modulate the fine spectral-temporal structure of adult song (see text for additional details). Brain Region Acronyms: **Aiv** – ventral intermediate Arcopallium; **Area X** – Area X of the avian basal ganglia; **Av** – nucleus Avalanche; **DLM** – Dorsal Lateral nucleus of the Medial thalamus; **HVC** – acronym is name; **LMAN** – Lateral Magnocellular nucleus of the Anterior Nidopallium; **MMAN** – Medial Magnocellular nucleus of the Anterior Nidopallium; **NIf** – Nucleus Interfacialis; **PAG** – Periaqueductal Gray; **RA** – Robust nucleus of the Arcopallium; **Uva** – nucleus Uvaeformis, **VP** – Ventral Pallidum; **VTA** – Ventral Tegmental Area.

Figure 2



Physiological phenotypes of HVC projection neurons in adult female zebra finches. HVC projection neurons in adult males have distinct physiological phenotypes [7,8] and this is true for adult female HVC neurons as well. Those projecting to Area X (identified by prior injection of a retrograde tracer) tend to show multiple spikes in response to depolarizing current injection and a subtle 'sag' in response to hyperpolarizing current injection. They also tend to have a depolarization after release from hyperpolarizing current that sometimes results in a rebound action potential. Putative RA-projecting cells, contrastingly, often show a limited number of action potentials in response to depolarizing currents and no sag or rebound in response to hyperpolarizing currents.

Two regions, Aiv and VP, appear to control performance-based dopamine release in Area X via opposing glutaminergic and GABAergic inputs to VTA, respectively [41••,42••]. Juvenile ablation of either region disrupts sensorimotor learning [42••,43,44]. Interestingly, it appears that the integrated activity of Aiv and VP may 'guardrail' (constrain and shape) AFP premotor activity in the direction of the target song. That is, the pitch of a syllable will be moved away from an 'error' or toward a 'correct' production depending on whether the Aiv or VP input to VTA is activated [41••,42••]. It should be noted that these conclusions are based on studies of adult birds and it remains to be seen whether the circuits needed for adult birds to shift the pitch of a previously learned syllable are the only ones used for developmental learning of song. For example, a third region, $LMAN^{shell}$, which surrounds $LMAN^{core}$, shows differential auditory responses to tutor song versus a juvenile bird's own developing song that gradually dissipate as song is learned, potentially reflecting an ongoing consolidation of progress made toward a facsimile of the tutor song [45].

Although important questions remain – such as how HVC acquires the song [46] when performance-based feedback appears to be directed toward Area X – studies of zebra finch sensorimotor learning have already revealed the deep conservation of basal ganglia function across vertebrate species. In demonstrating the conserved function of the FoxP family of transcription factors in human and zebra finch vocal development [39••,40••] and by fulfilling predictions of actor-critic models of mammalian basal ganglia function [41••,42••] this work marks an important step toward a general understanding of vertebrate brain function.

Adult song – a small portion of the forebrain network required to learn song is needed to recite it

The adult songs of male zebra finches contain 3–5 spectrally distinct syllables produced in a serial order. Songs are produced several hundred times per day in a variety of social settings, the most salient being female-directed singing for the purpose of courtship. One of the fascinating aspects of adult song is that only a small portion of the forebrain sensorimotor network needed to learn the song pattern is required to recite it (magenta in Figure 1c). Remarkably, recent work suggests that this extends to the cell populations that comprise HVC itself. HVC-X neurons, essential for juvenile song learning, can be selectively ablated in adult birds without affecting recitation of song [47,48]. This is not to say that the other portions of the network (green and purple in Figure 1c) have no effect on adult vocal production, but these effects are fine spectral-temporal modulations of the vocal pattern, brought about by operant means (as in Refs. [27,32,41••,42••]) or by presentation of a female zebra finch (as in Ref. [49]). In the case of NIf and Av, note that these sources of HVC afferent input, critical for the juvenile acquisition of song (Figure 1b), retain a modulatory role during the recitation of adult song (Figure 1c).

Interestingly, the vocal influence of the AFP (green in Figure 1c) can also be 'awakened' under the condition of adult deafness. As in adult human speech, auditory feedback is required for maintenance of the adult song. In zebra finches, this occurs because auditory feedback maintains the functional dominance of HVC over LMAN in the control of vocal motor cortex (reviewed in Ref. [31]). Deafness results in the increasing influence of variable premotor input from LMAN, impairing the

ability to recite the learned song in a structured manner [50] even as HVC premotor activity remains largely unchanged [51•].

Given the limited forebrain architecture needed to recite adult song – for clarity Figure 1 does not show the descending pathways from vocal motor cortex nor ascending pathways to Uva – theories for the neural circuitry that encodes adult song have focused on HVC, where chains of interconnected HVC-RA neurons, so-called 'synfire' chains, are thought to control the timing and serial ordering of song syllables. Recent anatomical work helps constrain these theories, showing a highly modular HVC architecture, with individual modules defined by isolated patterns of extrinsic and intrinsic connectivity [23,52]. However, some HVC neurons show expansive intrinsic axon collaterals that could coordinate activity across individual modules [52]. Because HVC-X neurons are not needed to recite the adult song [47,48], attention has focused on elucidating the connectivity between HVC-RA neurons, revealing extensive connectivity among HVC-RA neurons via synaptic connections at distal dendrites, supporting the plausibility of HVC-RA synfire chains [53]. Such chains could provide syllable timing control housed entirely within HVC [54], or they could be supplemented by ascending timing input from Uva [55,56•]. Evidence that cooling HVC lengthens song syllables more than the gaps between syllables [57] motivated a model in which HVC chains code for syllables and gaps separately [58]. In addition, recent data showing independent learning of syllable phonology and syllable sequence [59], different vocal deficits following partial ablations of medial versus lateral HVC [60], and distinct populations of HVC-RA neurons that respond at characteristic moments before, during, and after song [61] support a functional heterogeneity across multiple HVC-RA chains [58].

Not all circuit models assume HVC-RA synfire chains, however. One model suggests that HVC-RA activity is propagated via functional syllable units, which do not require direct HVC-RA to HVC-RA coupling [62]. Another suggests that each HVC-RA neuron excites the next through a brainstem loop [56•], and yet another proposes that HVC projection neurons code only for vocal-gesture trajectory extrema and are not the basis of song timing [63]. These last two models are hard to reconcile with data showing that the population of HVC-RA neurons is continuously active throughout the song [64•,65•].

A different question, how stereotyped syllable production can persist in the face of variable premotor firing patterns [66,67], was recently addressed through neural network simulations [68]. This study demonstrated that firing variability increases the ability of the neural system to adjust to intrinsic perturbations such as HVC neuron death and replacement as well as environmental perturbations, with only minimal reduction in the accuracy of the system's output. One intriguing possibility is that the variable premotor activity of the AFP, necessary for song learning but not for song recitation, may play a long-term role in song homeostasis in the adult.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Richard Bertram: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft. **Richard L Hyson:** Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Validation. **Amanda J Brunick:** Writing - review & editing. **Diana Flores:** Writing - review & editing, Investigation. **Frank Johnson:** Conceptualization, Writing - original draft.

Acknowledgements

The Bertram, Hyson, and Johnson laboratories acknowledge generous support from the National Science Foundation (IOS-1456965, IOS-1656360).

References

1. •• Ikeda MZ, Trusel M, Roberts TF: **Memory circuits for vocal imitation**. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2019, **60**:37-46 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2019.11.002 [Epub ahead of print] Review. PubMed PMID: 31810009.
Comprehensive review and analysis of the search– across auditory and motor pathways – for the neural loci encoding an auditory memory for tutor song.

2. Roberts TF, Gobes SM, Murugan M, Ölveczky BP, Mooney R: **Motor circuits are required to encode a sensory model for imitative learning**. *Nat Neurosci* 2012, **15**:1454-1459 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.3206 Epub 2012 Sep 16. PubMed PMID: 22983208; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3458123.

3. Tanaka M, Sun F, Li Y, Mooney R: **A mesocortical dopamine circuit enables the cultural transmission of vocal behaviour**. *Nature* 2018, **563**:117-120 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0636-7 Epub 2018 Oct 17. PubMed PMID: 30333629; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6219627.

4. Zhao W, Garcia-Oscos F, Dinh D, Roberts TF: **Inception of memories that guide vocal learning in the songbird**. *Science* 2019, **366**:83-89 http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw4226 PubMed PMID: 31604306.

5. Roberts TF, Tschida KA, Klein ME, Mooney R: **Rapid spine stabilization and synaptic enhancement at the onset of behavioural learning**. *Nature* 2010, **463**:948-952 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08759 PubMed PMID: 20164928; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2918377.

6. Huang Z, Khaled HG, Kirschmann M, Gobes SM, Hahnloser RH: **Excitatory and inhibitory synapse reorganization immediately after critical sensory experience in a vocal learner**. *eLife* 2018, **7** http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37571 pii: e37571. PubMed PMID: 30355450; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6255392.

7. Ross MT, Flores D, Bertram R, Johnson F, Wu W, Hyson RL: **Experience-dependent intrinsic plasticity during auditory learning**. *J Neurosci* 2019, **39**:1206-1221 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1036-18.2018 Epub 2018 Dec 12. PubMed PMID: 30541908; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6381233.

8. Ross MT, Flores D, Bertram R, Johnson F, Hyson RL: **Neuronal intrinsic physiology changes during development of a learned behavior**. *eNeuro* 2017, **4** http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0297-17.2017 pii: ENEURO.0297-17.2017. eCollection 2017 Sep-Oct. PubMed PMID: 29062887; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5649544.

9. Mooney R, Rao M: **Waiting periods versus early innervation: the development of axonal connections in the zebra finch song system**. *J Neurosci* 1994, **14**:6532-6543 PubMed PMID: 7965057; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6577238.

10. Riebel K: **Early exposure leads to repeatable preferences for male song in female zebra finches**. *Proc Biol Sci* 2000, **267**:2553-2558 PubMed PMID: 11197134; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1690843.

11. Chen Y, Clark O, Woolley SC: **Courtship song preferences in female zebra finches are shaped by developmental auditory experience**. *Proc Biol Sci* 2017, **284** http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0054 pii: 20170054. PubMed PMID: 28539523; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5454257.

12. Brenowitz EA: **Altered perception of species-specific song by female birds after lesions of a forebrain nucleus**. *Science* 1991, **251**:303-305 PubMed PMID: 1987645.

13. Maguire SE, Schmidt MF, White DJ: **Social brains in context: lesions targeted to the song control system in female cowbirds affect their social network**. *PLoS One* 2013, **8**:e63239 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063239 Print 2013. PubMed PMID: 23650558; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3641119.

14. Shaughnessy DW, Hyson RL, Bertram R, Wu W, Johnson F: **Female zebra finches do not sing yet share neural pathways necessary for singing in males**. *J Comp Neurol* 2019, **527**:843-855 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cne.24569 Epub 2018 Dec 4. PubMed PMID: 30370534.

15. Benichov JI, Benezra SE, Vallentin D, Globerson E, Long MA, Tchernichovski O: **The forebrain song system mediates predictive call timing in female and male zebra finches**. *Curr Biol* 2016, **26**:309-318 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.037 Epub 2016 Jan 7. PubMed PMID: 26774786; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4747672.

16. Perkes A, White D, Wild JM, Schmidt M: **Female songbirds: the unsung drivers of courtship behavior and its neural substrates**. *Behav Processes* 2019, **163**:60-70 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2017.12.004 Epub 2017 Dec 10. Review. PubMed PMID: 29237552.

17. Aronov D, Andalman AS, Fee MS: **A specialized forebrain circuit for vocal babbling in the juvenile songbird**. *Science* 2008, **320**:630-634 http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1155140 PubMed PMID: 18451295.

18. Heston JB, Simon J 4th, Day NF, Coleman MJ, White SA: **Bidirectional scaling of vocal variability by an avian cortico-basal ganglia circuit**. *Physiol Rep* 2018, **6**:e13638 http://dx.doi.org/10.14814/phy2.13638 PubMed PMID: 29687960; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5913712.

19. Kojima S, Kao MH, Doupe AJ, Brainard MS: **The avian basal ganglia are a source of rapid behavioral variation that enables vocal motor exploration**. *J Neurosci* 2018, **38**:9635-9647 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2915-17.2018 Epub 2018 Sep 24. PubMed PMID: 30249800; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6222063.

20. Bottjer SW, Miesner EA, Arnold AP: **Forebrain lesions disrupt development but not maintenance of song in passerine birds**. *Science* 1984, **224**:901-903 PubMed PMID: 6719123.

21. Scharff C, Nottebohm F: **A comparative study of the behavioral deficits following lesions of various parts of the zebra finch song system: implications for vocal learning**. *J Neurosci* 1991, **11**:2896-2913 PubMed PMID: 1880555; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6575264.

22. Tchernichovski O, Mitra PP, Lints T, Nottebohm F: **Dynamics of the vocal imitation process: how a zebra finch learns its song**. *Science* 2001, **291**:2564-2569 Epub 2001 Mar 15. PubMed PMID: 11283361.

23. Elliott KC, Wu W, Bertram R, Hyson RL, Johnson F: **Orthogonal topography in the parallel input architecture of songbird HVC**. *J Comp Neurol* 2017, **525**:2133-2151 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cne.24189 Epub 2017 Mar 30. PubMed PMID: 28188629.

24. • Danish HH, Aronov D, Fee MS: **Rhythmic syllable-related activity in a songbird motor thalamic nucleus necessary for learned vocalizations**. *PLoS One* 2017, **12**:e0169568 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169568 eCollection 2017. PubMed PMID: 28617829; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5472270.
The authors demonstrate that HVC requires thalamic input from Uva to initiate adult song and that Uva neurons modulate their activity around syllable onsets and offsets. Complements the findings of Ref. [56•].

25. Lewandowski B, Vyssotski A, Hahnloser RH, Schmidt M: **At the interface of the auditory and vocal motor systems: NIf and its role in vocal processing, production and learning**. *J Physiol Paris* 2013, **107**:178-192 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphysparis.2013.04.001 Epub 2013 Apr 17. Review. PubMed PMID: 23603062; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC453267.

26. Piristine HC, Choetso T, Gobes SM: **A sensorimotor area in the songbird brain is required for production of vocalizations in the song learning period of development**. *Dev Neurobiol* 2016, **76**:1213-1225 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22384 Epub 2016 Mar 4. PubMed PMID: 26898771.

27. Roberts TF, Hisey E, Tanaka M, Kearney MG, Chattree G, Yang CF, Shah NM, Mooney R: **Identification of a motor-to-auditory pathway important for vocal learning**. *Nat Neurosci* 2017, **20**:978-986 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.4563 Epub 2017 May 15. PubMed PMID: 28504672; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5572074.

28. Foster EF, Bottjer SW: **Lesions of a telencephalic nucleus in male zebra finches: influences on vocal behavior in juveniles and adults**. *J Neurobiol* 2001, **46**:142-165 PubMed PMID: 11153015.

29. Aronov D, Veit L, Goldberg JH, Fee MS: **Two distinct modes of forebrain circuit dynamics underlie temporal patterning in the vocalizations of young songbirds**. *J Neurosci* 2011, **31**:16353-16368 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3009-11.2011 PubMed PMID: 22072687; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3241969.

30. Nordeen KW, Nordeen EJ: **Projection neurons within a vocal motor pathway are born during song learning in zebra finches**. *Nature* 1988, **334**:149-151 PubMed PMID: 3386754.

31. Bertram R, Daou A, Hyson RL, Johnson F, Wu W: **Two neural streams, one voice: pathways for theme and variation in the songbird brain**. *Neuroscience* 2014, **277**:806-817 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.07.061 Epub 2014 Aug 8. Review. PubMed PMID: 25106128.

32. Gadagkar V, Puzerey PA, Chen R, Baird-Daniel E, Farhang AR, Goldberg JH: **Dopamine neurons encode performance error in singing birds**. *Science* 2016, **354**:1278-1282 Epub 2016 Dec 8. PubMed PMID: 27940871; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5464363..

33. Hoffmann LA, Saravanan V, Wood AN, He L, Sober SJ: **Dopaminergic contributions to vocal learning**. *J Neurosci* 2016, **36**:2176-2189 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3883-15.2016 PubMed PMID: 26888928; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4756153..

34. Budzillo A, Duffy A, Miller KE, Fairhall AL, Perkel DJ: **Dopaminergic modulation of basal ganglia output through coupled excitation-inhibition**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017, **114**:5713-5718 http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611146114 Epub 2017 May 15. PubMed PMID: 28507134; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5465888.

35. Hisey E, Kearney MG, Mooney R: **A common neural circuit mechanism for internally guided and externally reinforced forms of motor learning**. *Nat Neurosci* 2018, **21**:589-597 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0092-6 Epub 2018 Feb 26. PubMed PMID: 29483664; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5963939.

36. Xiao L, Chattree G, Oscos FG, Cao M, Wanat MJ, Roberts TF: **A basal ganglia circuit sufficient to guide birdsong learning**. *Neuron* 2018, **98**:208-221.e5 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.

neuron.2018.02.020 Epub 2018 Mar 15. PubMed PMID: 29551492; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5918681.

37. Saravanan V, Hoffmann LA, Jacob AL, Berman GJ, Sober SJ: **Dopamine depletion affects vocal acoustics and disrupts sensorimotor adaptation in songbirds**. *eNeuro* 2019, **6** http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0190-19.2019 pii: ENEURO.0190-19.2019. Print 2019 May/Jun. PubMed PMID: 31126913; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6565373.

38. Graham SA, Fisher SE: **Understanding language from a genomic perspective**. *Annu Rev Genet* 2015, **49**:131-160 http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-120213-092236 Epub 2015 Oct 5. Review. PubMed PMID: 26442845.

39. •• Burkett ZD, Day NF, Kimball TH, Aamodt CM, Heston JB, Hilliard AT, Xiao X, White SA: **FoxP2 isoforms delineate spatiotemporal transcriptional networks for vocal learning in the zebra finch**. *eLife* 2018, **7** http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30649 pii: e30649. PubMed PMID: 29360038; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5826274.
Basal ganglia overexpression of FoxP2 isoforms disrupts sensorimotor learning and reveals a transcriptional module unique to juvenile learning. Complements the findings of Ref. [40••].

40. •• Norton P, Barschke P, Scharff C, Mendoza E: **Differential song deficits after lentivirus-mediated knockdown of FoxP1, FoxP2, or FoxP4 in area X of juvenile zebra finches**. *J Neurosci* 2019, **39**:9782-9796 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1250-19.2019 Epub 2019 Oct 22. PubMed PMID: 31641053; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6891055.
Basal ganglia knockdown of FoxP1, FoxP2, or FoxP4 disrupts sensorimotor learning in distinct ways, demonstrating the collective action of these three members of the FoxP family in normal vocal development. Complements the findings of Ref. [39••].

41. •• Kearney MG, Warren TL, Hisey E, Qi J, Mooney R: **Discrete evaluative and premotor circuits enable vocal learning in songbirds**. *Neuron* 2019, **104**:559-575.e6 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.07.025 Epub 2019 Aug 22. PubMed PMID: 31447169; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6842112.
Optogenetic manipulation revealed opposing roles of two brain regions that deliver performance-based feedback to the midbrain dopamine neurons that innervate the avian basal ganglia. Complements the findings of Ref. [42••].

42. •• Chen R, Puzerey PA, Roeser AC, Riccelli TE, Podury A, Maher K, Farhang AR, Goldberg JH: **Songbird ventral pallidum sends diverse performance error signals to dopaminergic midbrain**. *Neuron* 2019, **103**:266-276.e4 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.04.038 Epub 2019 May 29. PubMed PMID: 31153647; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6639146.
Anatomical and physiological delineation of the role of the ventral pallidum in delivering performance-based feedback to the midbrain dopamine neurons that innervate the avian basal ganglia. Complements the findings of Ref. [41••].

43. Mandelblat-Cerf Y, Las L, Denisenko N, Fee MS: **A role for descending auditory cortical projections in songbird vocal learning**. *eLife* 2014, **3** http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02152 PubMed PMID: 24935934; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4113997.

44. Bottjer SW, Altenau B: **Parallel pathways for vocal learning in basal ganglia of songbirds**. *Nat Neurosci* 2010, **13**:153-155 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.2472 Epub 2009 Dec 20. PubMed PMID: 20023650; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2846604.

45. Achiro JM, Shen J, Bottjer SW: **Neural activity in cortico-basal ganglia circuits of juvenile songbirds encodes performance during goal-directed learning**. *eLife* 2017, **6** http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26973 pii: e26973. PubMed PMID: 29256393; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5762157.

46. Vallentin D, Kosche G, Lipkind D, Long MA: **Neural circuits. Inhibition protects acquired song segments during vocal learning in zebra finches**. *Science* 2016, **351**:267-271 http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3023 PubMed PMID: 26816377; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4860291..

47. Scharff C, Kirn JR, Grossman M, Macklis JD, Nottebohm F: **Targeted neuronal death affects neuronal replacement and vocal behavior in adult songbirds**. *Neuron* 2000, **25**:481-492 PubMed PMID: 10719901.

48. Sánchez-Valpuesta M, Suzuki Y, Shibata Y, Toji N, Ji Y, Afrin N, Asogwa CN, Kojima I, Mizuguchi D, Kojima S *et al.*: **Corticobasal ganglia projecting neurons are required for juvenile vocal learning but not for adult vocal plasticity in songbirds**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019, **116**:22833-22843 http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913575116 Epub 2019 Oct 21. PubMed PMID: 31636217; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6842584.

49. L.E.MathesonH.SunJ.T.Sakata**Forebrain circuits underlying the social modulation of vocal communication signals**. *Dev Neurobiol* 2016, **76**:47-63 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22298 Epub 2015 Jun 11. PubMed PMID: 25959605.

50. Nordeen KW, Nordeen EJ: **Deafening-induced vocal deterioration in adult songbirds is reversed by disrupting a basal ganglia-forebrain circuit**. *J Neurosci* 2010, **30**:7392-7400 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6181-09.2010 PubMed PMID: 20505106; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6632418.

51. • Vallentin D, Long MA: **Motor origin of precise synaptic inputs onto forebrain neurons driving a skilled behavior**. *J Neurosci* 2015, **35**:299-307 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3698-14.2015 PubMed PMID: 25568122; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4287148.
The authors demonstrate that sensory feedback (auditory, proprioceptive) exerts little influence on singing-related premotor activity in HVC, effectively eliminating models where sensory feedback guides the temporal structure of HVC activity during singing.

52. Benezra SE, Narayanan RT, Egger R, Oberlaender M, Long MA: **Morphological characterization of HVC projection neurons in the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*)**. *J Comp Neurol* 2018, **526**:1673-1689 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cne.24437 Epub 2018 Apr 16. PubMed PMID: 29577283; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6070301.

53. Kornfeld J, Benezra SE, Narayanan RT, Svara F, Egger R, Oberlaender M, Denk W, Long MA: **EM connectomics reveals axonal target variation in a sequence-generating network**. *eLife* 2017, **6** http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.24364 pii: e24364. PubMed PMID: 28346140; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5400503.

54. Long MA, Jin DZ, Fee MS: **Support for a synaptic chain model of neuronal sequence generation**. *Nature* 2010, **468**:394-399 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09514 Epub 2010 Oct 24. PubMed PMID: 20972420; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2998755.

55. Gibb L, Gentner TQ, Abarbanel HD: **Brain stem feedback in a computational model of birdsong sequencing**. *J Neurophysiol* 2009, **102**:1763-1778 http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jn.91154.2008 Epub 2009 Jun 24. PubMed PMID: 19553477; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2746794.

56. • Hamaguchi K, Tanaka M, Mooney R: **A distributed recurrent network contributes to temporally precise vocalizations**. *Neuron* 2016, **91**:680-693 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.019 Epub 2016 Jul 7. PubMed PMID: 27397518; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4975959.
The authors demonstrate that the thalamic nucleus Uva conveys song timing information to its cortical target, HVC. Complements the findings of Ref. [24•].

57. Andalman AS, Foerster JN, Fee MS: **Control of vocal and respiratory patterns in birdsong: dissection of forebrain and brainstem mechanisms using temperature**. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**:e25461 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025461 Epub 2011 Sep 28. PubMed PMID: 21980466; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3182229.

58. Galvis D, Wu W, Hyson RL, Johnson F, Bertram R: **A distributed neural network model for the distinct roles of medial and lateral HVC in zebra finch song production**. *J Neurophysiol* 2017, **118**:677-692 http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jn.00917.2016 Epub 2017 Apr 5. PubMed PMID: 28381490; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5539438.

59. Lipkind D, Zai AT, Hanuschkin A, Marcus GF, Tchernichovski O, Hahnloser RHR: **Songbirds work around computational complexity by learning song vocabulary independently of sequence**. *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:1247 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01436-0 PubMed PMID: 29089517; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5663719.

60. Basista MJ, Elliott KC, Wu W, Hyson RL, Bertram R, Johnson F: **Independent premotor encoding of the sequence and structure of birdsong in avian cortex**. *J Neurosci* 2014, **34**:16821-16834 http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1940-14.2014 PubMed PMID: 25505334; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6608506.

61. Daliparthi VK, Tachibana RO, Cooper BG, Hahnloser RH, Kojima S, Sober SJ, Roberts TF: **Transitioning between preparatory and precisely sequenced neuronal activity in production of a skilled behavior**. *eLife* 2019, **8** http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43732 pii: e43732. PubMed PMID: 31184589; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6592689.

62. Armstrong E, Abarbanel HD: **Model of the songbird nucleus HVC as a network of central pattern generators**. *J Neurophysiol* 2016, **116**:2405-2419 http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jn.00438.2016 Epub 2016 Aug 17. PubMed PMID: 27535375; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5116487.

63. Amador A, Perl YS, Mindlin GB, Margoliash D: **Elemental gesture dynamics are encoded by song premotor cortical neurons**. *Nature* 2013, **495**:59-64 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11967 Epub 2013 Feb 27. PubMed PMID: 23446354; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3878432.

64. • Lynch GF, Okubo TS, Hanuschkin A, Hahnloser RH, Fee MS: **Rhythmic continuous-time coding in the songbird analog of vocal motor cortex**. *Neuron* 2016, **90**:877-892 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.021 PubMed PMID: 27196977.
Single-unit electrophysiological recordings from large samples of HVC projection neurons and interneurons in singing birds suggest continuous encoding of time as opposed to models where activity clusters at specific phonetic or syntactic moments in song. Complements the findings of Ref. [65•].

65. • Picardo MA, Merel J, Katlowitz KA, Vallentin D, Okobi DE, Benezra SE, Clary RC, Pnevmatikakis EA, Paninski L, Long MA: **Population-level representation of a temporal sequence underlying song production in the zebra finch**. *Neuron* 2016, **90**:866-876 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.016 PubMed PMID: 27196976; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4941616.
Optical recordings from large samples of HVC neurons in singing birds suggest continuous encoding of time as opposed to models where activity clusters at specific phonetic or syntactic moments in song. Complements the findings of Ref. [64•].

66. Liberti WA 3rd, Markowitz JE, Perkins LN, Liberti DC, Leman DP, Guitchounts G, Velho T, Kotton DN, Lois C, Gardner TJ: **Unstable neurons underlie a stable learned behavior**. *Nat Neurosci* 2016, **19**:1665-1671 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.4405 Epub 2016 Oct 10. PubMed PMID: 27723744; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5127780.

67. Katlowitz KA, Picardo MA, Long MA: **Stable sequential activity underlying the maintenance of a precisely executed skilled behavior**. *Neuron* 2018, **98**:1133-1140.e3 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.05.017 Epub 2018 May 31. PubMed PMID: 29861283; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6094941.

68. Duffy A, Abe E, Perkel DJ, Fairhall AL: **Variation in sequence dynamics improves maintenance of stereotyped behavior in an example from bird song**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019, **116**:9592-9597 http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1815910116 Epub 2019 Apr 23. PubMed PMID: 31015294; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6511032.

69. •• Olkowicz S, Kocourek M, Lučan RK, Porteš M, Fitch WT, Herculano-Houzel S, Němec P: **Birds have primate-like numbers of neurons in the forebrain**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016, **113**:7255-7260 http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517131113 Epub 2016 Jun 13. PubMed PMID: 27298365; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4932926.
The authors revealed why brain size is such a poor proxy of cognitive ability in birds– the smaller brains of birds contain far more forebrain neurons than similarly sized, or even substantially larger, mammal brains.

70. Güntürkün O, Bugnyar T: **Cognition without cortex**. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2016, **20**:291-303 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.02.001 Epub 2016 Mar 2.