

The MATH-BTB BPM3 and BPM5 subunits of Cullin3-RING E3 ubiquitin ligases target PP2CA and other clade A PP2Cs for degradation

Jose Julian^{a,1}, Alberto Coego^{a,1}, Jorge Lozano-Juste^a, Esther Lechner^b, Qian Wu^{c,d}, Xu Zhang^{c,e}, Ebe Merilo^f, Borja Belda-Palazon^a, Sang-Youl Park^g, Sean R. Cutler^g, Chengcai An^c, Pascal Genschik^b, and Pedro L. Rodriguez^{a,2}

^aInstituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas-Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, E5-46022 Valencia, Spain; ^bInstitut de Biologie Moléculaire des Plantes, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Strasbourg, 67084 Strasbourg, France; ^cThe State Key Laboratory of Protein and Plant Gene Research, College of Life Sciences, School of Agriculture Science, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, P. R. China; ^dDepartment of Plant Molecular Biology, Biophore, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland; ^eDepartment of Molecular Biology, Institute of Genetics and Genomics, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland; ^fInstitute of Technology, University of Tartu, 50411 Tartu, Estonia; and ^gDepartment of Botany and Plant Sciences, Institute of Integrative Genome Biology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

Edited by Julian I. Schroeder, Cell and Developmental Biology Section, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, and approved June 19, 2019 (received for review May 24, 2019)

Early abscisic acid signaling involves degradation of clade A protein phosphatases type 2C (PP2Cs) as a complementary mechanism to PYR/PYL/RCAR-mediated inhibition of PP2C activity. At later steps, ABA induces up-regulation of PP2C transcripts and protein levels as a negative feedback mechanism. Therefore, resetting of ABA signaling also requires PP2C degradation to avoid excessive ABA-induced accumulation of PP2Cs. It has been demonstrated that ABA induces the degradation of existing ABI1 and PP2CA through the PUB12/13 and RGLG1/5 E3 ligases, respectively. However, other unidentified E3 ligases are predicted to regulate protein stability of clade A PP2Cs as well. In this work, we identified BTB/POZ AND MATH DOMAIN proteins (BPMs), substrate adaptors of the multimeric cullin3 (CUL3)-RING-based E3 ligases (CRL3s), as PP2CA-interacting proteins. BPM3 and BPM5 interact in the nucleus with PP2CA as well as with ABI1, ABI2, and HAB1. BPM3 and BPM5 accelerate the turnover of PP2Cs in an ABA-dependent manner and their over-expression leads to enhanced ABA sensitivity, whereas *bpm3 bpm5* plants show increased accumulation of PP2CA, ABI1 and HAB1, which leads to global diminished ABA sensitivity. Using biochemical and genetic assays, we demonstrated that ubiquitination of PP2CA depends on BPM function. Given the formation of receptor-ABA-phosphatase ternary complexes is markedly affected by the abundance of protein components and ABA concentration, we reveal that BPMs and multimeric CRL3 E3 ligases are important modulators of PP2C coreceptor levels to regulate early ABA signaling as well as the later desensitizing-resetting steps.

ABA | PP2Cs | BPM | CRL3 | substrate receptor

The plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) regulates many key processes in plants, including seed germination and development and various biotic and abiotic stress responses (1, 2). The ABA signaling pathway is initiated by ABA perception through PYRABACTIN RESISTANCE1 (PYR1)/PYR1-LIKE (PYL)/REGULATORY COMPONENTS OF ABA RECEPTORS (RCAR) family of proteins (3–6). This is followed by interaction with and inactivation of clade A protein phosphatases type 2C (PP2Cs), such as ABA INSENSITIVE 1 (ABI1) and ABI2, HYPERSENSITIVE TO ABA (HAB1) and HAB2, and PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE 2CA/ABA-HYPERSENSITIVE GERMINATION 3 (PP2CA/AHG3), thereby relieving their inhibition on three ABA-activated SNF1-related protein kinases (SnRK2s), i.e., SnRK2.2/D, 2.3/I and 2.6/E/OST1 (7, 8). Then these SnRK2s activate downstream signaling by phosphorylation of numerous targets, including ABA-responsive transcription factors (9–11), the chromatin-remodeling ATPase BRAHMA (12), ion and water channels (13–15), and other mediators/ effectors involved in ABA signaling and action (16, 17). Transcription of some PYR/PYL/RCARs is repressed whereas that of PP2Cs is

stimulated in response to ABA (5, 18), indicating there exists a negative feedback transcriptional mechanism to modulate ABA signaling by controlling transcript levels of core elements. Recently, it has been discovered that degradation of PP2Cs is a complementary mechanism to PYR/PYL/RCAR-mediated inhibition of PP2C activity (19–21).

The ubiquitin (Ub)-proteasome system (UPS) plays a crucial role in plant hormone signaling (22). Ubiquitination, in addition to committing proteins to degradation by the 26S proteasome, also influences proteasome-independent cellular roles (23, 24). Through an ATP-dependent conjugating cascade, free Ubs are attached to intracellular targets via E1, E2, and E3 Ub ligase enzymes. In particular, E3 Ub ligases, as the last component of the Ub conjugation cascade, are responsible for specific recognition of the many cellular proteins that are ubiquitinated. In *Arabidopsis*, more than 1500 genes encode putative E3 subunits, being a major part represented by the almost 700 F-box proteins from the multimeric E3 ligases and in second place by the more

Significance

Relief of repression imposed by negative regulators is a crucial mechanism for plant hormone signaling. Clade A PP2Cs are key negative regulators of ABA signaling that are inhibited by ABA receptors. Degradation of PP2Cs is a complementary mechanism to PYR/PYL/RCAR-mediated ABA-dependent inhibition of PP2Cs. We reveal that BTB/POZ AND MATH DOMAIN proteins (BPMs), substrate adaptors of the multimeric cullin3 (CUL3)-RING-based E3 ligases (CRL3s), target PP2Cs for degradation. BPM-dependent degradation of PP2Cs is required for ABA-induced stomatal closure to counteract ABA-induced accumulation of PP2Cs and to reset resting phosphatase levels that allow efficient ABA signaling. Therefore, BPM-mediated proteolysis of transcription factors and clade A PP2Cs emerges as a general mechanism to regulate stress response and ABA signaling.

Author contributions: J.J., A.C., J.L.-J., E.L., Q.W., X.Z., E.M., B.B.-P., C.A., P.G., and P.L.R. designed research; J.J., A.C., J.L.-J., Q.W., X.Z., E.M., and B.B.-P. performed research; E.L., S.-Y.P., S.R.C., and P.G. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; J.J., A.C., J.L.-J., E.L., Q.W., X.Z., E.M., B.B.-P., S.-Y.P., S.R.C., C.A., P.G., and P.L.R. analyzed data; and P.L.R. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the PNAS license.

¹J.J. and A.C. contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: prodiguez@ibmcp.upv.es.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1908677116/-DCSupplemental.

Published online July 15, 2019.

than 500 monomeric RING/U-box E3 ligases. Among the multimeric E3 ligases, the cullin-RING-based E3 ligases (CRLs) are the best characterized to date (25). In *Arabidopsis*, CRLs based on cullin1-, cullin3- (CUL3), and cullin 4-scaffolds are the best known and play critical roles for hormone signaling (25, 26).

Recently, studies that address the turnover of core ABA signaling components have been published (19–21, 27–32). Regarding the turnover of clade A PP2Cs, in the case of ABI1, it has been demonstrated that ABA induces degradation of this PP2C through PUB12/13 E3 ligases (19). PUB13-mediated ABI1 ubiquitination in presence of PYR1 was strictly dependent on ABA, whereas in presence of monomeric receptors, ABA increased ABI1 ubiquitination level (19). PP2CA is another key negative regulator of ABA signaling (14, 33–37). Recently, we demonstrated that the RGLG1 and RGLG5 RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligases relieve PP2C blockade of ABA signaling by mediating PP2CA protein degradation, which is enhanced by ABA (20, 21). However, experiments with double *rglg1 rglg5* mutants indicated that additional unidentified E3s contribute to regulation of PP2CA levels (20). Thus, during the course of our proteomic studies aimed to identify PP2CA-interacting proteins, in addition to RGLG1 and RGLG5, we also identified BTB/POZ AND MATH DOMAIN proteins (BPMs), substrate adaptors of the multimeric CUL3-RING-based E3 ligases (CRL3s). BPMs belong to a six-member protein family in *Arabidopsis*, BPM1 to 6 (38), and BPM3, BPM4, and BPM5 were identified after mass spectrometry analysis of PP2CA-coimmunoprecipitated proteins.

BPMs contain two conserved domains, the MATH and the BTB/POZ domain, the former acting as substrate receptor and the latter binding CUL3 (39). CRL3 complexes also contain the RING-BOX (RBX) adaptor for E2 binding and a variety of receptors recognizing specific substrates for ubiquitination (38, 39). The MATH domain functions as the recognition site for various substrates of the BPM-CUL3 E3 ligase (hereinafter called CRL3^{BPM}) (38, 40–42). For instance, studies performed with the MATH-BTB SPOP protein (BPM ortholog in humans) to determine MATH-substrate interactions have revealed that the MATH domain can recognize a consensus peptide sequence in different targets, e.g., the phosphatase Puc, transcription factor Ci, and histone macroH2A (40). In *Arabidopsis*, the MATH domain of BPM3 interacts with the leucine zipper (ZIP) domain of AtHB6, a transcription factor from the class I homeobox-ZIP that negatively regulates ABA responses and is a target of the clade A PP2C ABI1 (38). Reducing CRL3^{BPM} function enhances the ABA-insensitive phenotype of lines overexpressing AtHB6, which indicates that CRL3^{BPM} function positively regulates ABA signaling (38). Recently, the DREB2A transcription factor (TF), a key factor mediating transcriptional response to drought and heat stresses, has been identified as another target of BPMs and it seems that various TFs harbor BPM recognition motifs, which implies a wider role of BPM-dependent proteolysis in stress response (43). Interestingly, our results identify additional targets of BPMs and indicate that BPM3 and BPM5 promote proteasomal degradation of clade A PP2Cs through CRL3^{BPM} complexes, which counteracts the PP2C accumulation induced in response to ABA to desensitize ABA signaling.

Results

Identification of BPMs as Interacting Proteins of PP2CA. We performed coimmunoprecipitation (coIP) coupled with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) to identify proteins that coimmunoprecipitate with FLAG-tagged PP2CA expressed in *Arabidopsis*. In protein extracts obtained from 2-wk-old seedlings after 30 h of treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 and 6 h with ABA, but not in mock-treated samples, we found that native BPM3, BPM4, and BPM5 proteins coimmunoprecipitated with FLAG-PP2CA (Fig.

1A and *SI Appendix*, Tables S1 and S2). The additional identification of RGLG1 during LC-MS/MS analysis, which has been reported as a PP2CA-interacting protein (20), validates this screening system (*SI Appendix*, Table S2). We concentrated further work on BPM3 and BPM5 because we recovered more peptides from these proteins compared with BPM4 in additional experiments (*SI Appendix*, Table S2). First of all, we performed bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays to analyze whether PP2CA interacts with BPM3 and BPM5 in planta (Fig. 1B and C). We found that PP2CA, as well as the clade A PP2Cs HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2, show nuclear interaction with both BPM3 and BPM5, which are localized per se in the nucleus (Fig. 1B and C and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S1A). By contrast, YFP^C-BPM3 or YFP^C-BPM5 does not interact with YFP^N-empty nor YFP^N-PYL8, neither YFP^N-PP2C fusions interact with YFP^C-OST1Δ280 (8) (Fig. 1C and *SI Appendix*, Figs. S1B and S2). Since the MATH domain is presumed to contain the substrate recognition module of CRL3s, we tested whether it might recognize PP2Cs. To this end we split BPM3 in two regions, the N-terminal containing the MATH domain (residues 1–175) and the C-terminal containing the BTB domain (residues 176–408). As expected, only the MATH region of BPM3 showed interaction with PP2CA (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1C). Finally, we also tested whether the N-terminal region of PP2CA, which corresponds to the most variable part of clade A PP2Cs, was required for the interaction with BPMs. To this end, ΔNPP2CA (lacking amino acid residues 1–99) was assayed in BiFC and we found that the catalytic core of PP2CA was sufficient for interaction with both BPM3 and BPM5 in nuclear speckles, whose shape differs in BPM3 compared with BPM5 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1D; see also Fig. 1B and C). Finally, we also verified that YFP^N- and YFP^C-fusion proteins were correctly expressed (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1E). The reconstituted YFP signal in BiFC experiments described above was quantified from 10 randomly chosen regions of infiltrated leaves by Image J software and the arbitrary units of fluorescence are indicated in numbers (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2).

To validate the BiFC interactions observed for PP2CA and HAB1 with BPMs, we performed a split-luciferase (split-LUC) complementation assay in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves (Fig. 1D). In contrast to BiFC assays, the restoration of luciferase activity upon protein-protein interaction of the candidate proteins is reversible. The coexpression of PP2CA-nLUC and BPM3-cLUC or BPM5-cLUC reconstituted luciferase activity as well as coexpression of HAB1-nLUC and BPM3-cLUC or BPM5-cLUC, whereas the corresponding negative controls did not (Fig. 1D). Finally, we performed yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) interaction assays of the PP2CA, ABI1, and HAB1 phosphatases fused to the Gal4 activation domain (AD) with either BPM3 or BPM5 fused to the Gal4 DNA binding domain (BD). As a result, we could confirm the in planta interactions observed above using Y2H growth assays (Fig. 1E).

Next, we performed pull-down assays with His-tagged PP2CA and other clade A PP2Cs, such as HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2, using GST-BPM5 as bait because GST-BPM3 was poorly soluble and tends to unspecific precipitation. We found that all of the PP2Cs tested interacted with BPM5 (Fig. 2A). The ΔNPP2CA version also interacted with BPM5 in the pull-down assay, which confirms that the catalytic core of PP2CA can be recognized by BPM5 (Fig. 2B and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S1D). We also confirmed that the MATH domain of BPM3 and BPM5 was able to interact with GST-PP2CA but not with GST (Fig. 2C). Finally, we performed coIP assays of PP2CA-GFP and either HA-BPM3 or HA-BPM5 coexpressed in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells. These assays revealed that PP2CA-GFP but not GFP coimmunoprecipitated with either BPM3 or BPM5 (Fig. 2D). Similar results were obtained for GFP-ABI1 and GFP-HAB1 (Fig. 2D), although we noticed that coexpression of the PP2Cs with BPMs reduced PP2C protein levels (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3A).





Fig. 1. BPM3 and BPM5 interact with clade A PP2Cs. (A) Number of PP2CA and BPM peptides identified by IP/Mass Spectrometry (MS). Total proteins were extracted from 35S_{pro}:3xFLAG-PP2CA seedlings treated without (mock) or with ABA and MG132. Immunoprecipitation (IP) was performed using anti-FLAG antibodies and the IP products were analyzed by mass spectrometry. (B and C) Nuclear interactions of BPM3 or BPM5 with ABI1, ABI2, HAB1, or PP2CA proteins in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells. Confocal images of transiently transformed *N. benthamiana* leaf cells coexpressing either YFP^C-BPM3 (B) or YFP^C-BPM5 (C) and the indicated YFP^N-PP2C protein. Interaction with YFP^N-empty was not observed. (Scale bars: 10 μ m or 40 μ m [negative control.]) (D) Split-LUC complementation assay reveals interaction of BPM3 and BPM5 with PP2CA and HAB1. The indicated construct pairs were coexpressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves (showed in gray) by Agrobacterium-mediated infiltration and 50 μ M MG132 was applied into the infiltrated region 12 h before measurement of LUC activity, which was performed 60 h after infiltration. LUC activity was measured by applying 1 mM D-luciferin and imaging with a CCD system. LUC signal was converted to false colors with ImageJ and color scale represents LUC activity. Three independent experiments were performed and images correspond to representative leaves ($n = 5$ /each). Histograms indicate quantification of LUC activity that was normalized using coexpression with a GUS expression vector. (E) Y2H interactions of BPM3 and BPM5 with the indicated PP2Cs. Transformed yeast cultures were grown overnight in liquid synthetic defined (SD) medium lacking Leu and Trp and dilutions of these cultures were dropped on either control medium lacking Leu and Trp (SD -LT) or selective medium additionally lacking His (SD -LTH). Empty vectors were used as negative controls and yeasts were allowed to grow for 3 d at 30 $^{\circ}$ C before interaction was scored.






Fig. 3. Analysis of BPM3/5-promoted degradation of PP2CA in vivo. (A) In vivo degradation of PP2CA was observed in agroinfiltration experiments with increasing amounts of HA-BPM3 (Left) or HA-BPM5 (Right) in *N. benthamiana*. The ratio of the relative concentration of Agrobacteria used in the different coinfiltrations is indicated by numbers (Top). Cell extracts were analyzed using anti-HA to detect HA-tagged BPM3/5, anti-GFP to detect PP2CA-GFP, and anti-RFP antibodies to detect the internal control of RFP. Molecular masses of marker proteins are indicated in kilodaltons. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data obtained after 50 μ M ABA treatment to those in the absence of exogenous ABA at the same time point. (B) PP2CA protein levels are higher in Col-0 compared with BPM3 or BPM5 OE lines both in mock- or ABA-treated plants. Two-wk-old plants grown in liquid MS medium were mock- or 50- μ M ABA-treated for 3 h, and root protein extracts were analyzed using α -E2663 (anti-PP2CA) to detect endogenous PP2CA protein levels. Actin was analyzed as a loading control and numbers indicate average data of the PP2CA signal normalized to actin in three independent experiments. (C) Higher accumulation of PP2CA after ABA treatment in Col-0 compared with BPM5 OE lines. Three-wk-old plants grown in soil under greenhouse conditions were mock or ABA sprayed and, after 3 h, protein extracts from leaves were analyzed using α -E2663 to detect endogenous PP2CA protein levels. Actin was analyzed as a loading control. (D) Enhanced accumulation of PP2CA, HAB1, and ABI1 in the *bpm3 bpm5* double mutant compared with wild type. Root protein extracts were analyzed as described in B. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data of *bpm3 bpm5* to Col-0 in the same conditions. (E) Degradation of PP2CA is delayed in the *bpm3 bpm5* double mutant compared with wt. Seedlings of Col-0 or *bpm3 bpm5* were grown in liquid MS medium for 10 d, and then were supplemented with 50 μ M ABA for 3 h to induce expression of PP2CA. After ABA washing, 50 μ M CHX was added and root samples were harvested at 0, 1, 3, and 6 h. Actin was analyzed as a loading control. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data of *bpm3 bpm5* to Col-0 at the same time points.

at the 4:1 ratio (PP2CA:BPM), the addition of ABA enhanced the degradation of PP2CA by both BPM3 and BPM5. When the expression of BPM5 was higher (4:4 ratio), the enhanced deg-

radation of PP2CA precluded the observation of any effect due to ABA addition (Fig. 3A, Right). Coexpression of BPM3 or BPM5 with GFP-ABI1 or GFP-HAB1 also led to decreased

levels of the PP2Cs compared with expression in the absence of the BPMs (*SI Appendix, Fig. S3A*).

We generated *Arabidopsis* lines that overexpress either HA-tagged BPM3 or BPM5 (*SI Appendix, Fig. S4A*) and analyzed endogenous PP2CA levels in root tissue to avoid masking of the PP2CA signal by the very close RBC large subunit (Fig. 3*B*). Additionally, high induction by ABA of PP2CA, HAB1, and ABI1 proteins was detected in roots (Fig. 3*B* and *D*) (45). Using specific antibodies to detect endogenous PP2CA, we found lower PP2CA levels in BPM3 and BPM5 OE lines compared with wild type, which was apparent both in mock- and ABA-treated samples (Fig. 3*B*). Plants of two BPM5 OE lines grown in soil under greenhouse conditions also showed reduced accumulation of PP2CA in leaves after spraying with ABA (Fig. 3*C*). Conversely, in the loss-of-function *bpm3 bpm5* double mutant (described in *SI Appendix, Fig. S4B*), more PP2CA accumulated after ABA treatment compared with wild type (Fig. 3*D*). Other PP2Cs that are induced by ABA and play a key role for ABA signaling, such as HAB1 and ABI1, also accumulated more in *bpm3 bpm5* than in wild type (Fig. 3*D*). Analysis of the specificity of the HAB1 antibody is provided in *SI Appendix, Fig. S5*. Finally, after induction of PP2CA by ABA treatment and washing ABA, we performed a time course of the protein in the presence of CHX (Fig. 3*E*). Degradation of PP2CA was slower in *bpm3 bpm5* compared with wild type; however, at 6 h still ~60% PP2CA was degraded, indicating that additional E3s (such as RGLG1/5) or non-26S proteasome pathways also are involved in PP2CA degradation (Fig. 3*E*) (20, 24).

BPM3 and BPM5 Gain-of-Function Leads to Enhanced Sensitivity to ABA Whereas *bpm3 bpm5* Shows Reduced ABA Sensitivity. BPMs belong to a six-member family and the phenotypic analysis of *amiR-bpm* plants impaired in transcript expression of *BPM1*, 4, 5, and 6 revealed that BPMs are required for normal plant development (38). Leaf shape, leaf size, and stem elongation were affected in these lines, as well as the overall stature of the plants, and a severe phenotype in flower development and reduced pollen viability was also observed. In contrast, single *bpm* knockouts did not show these phenotypes (38). Taking into account the possible functional redundancy of *BPM* genes, we started our ABA-response phenotypic analysis using overexpressing (OE) lines of either BPM3 or BPM5 (Fig. 4*A–D*). BPM3 and BPM5 OE lines showed enhanced ABA-mediated inhibition of seed germination, seedling establishment, and root growth (Fig. 4*A–C*). Because ABA also plays a critical role in regulating stomatal aperture and water loss, we measured water loss in 21-d-old plants OE BPM3 and BPM5. We found that detached leaves of both BPM3 and BPM5 OE plants showed reduced water loss compared with the wild type (Fig. 4*D*). Additionally, BPM5 OE lines showed enhanced drought resistance under greenhouse conditions compared with the wild type (*SI Appendix, Fig. S6*).

Conversely, we could confirm that detached leaves of *amiR-bpm* plants showed higher water loss and *amiR-bpm* plants displayed lower leaf temperature compared with wild type (Fig. 4*E*; see below Fig. 5*C*) (38). These data suggest that ABA-induced stomatal closure might be impaired in *amiR-bpm* plants. Well-watered *amiR-bpm* and Col-0 plants had similar pre-ABA stomatal conductances (G_{st}) and both lines showed ABA-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 4*F*). However, after ABA treatment, G_{st} of well-watered *amiR-bpm* plants was significantly higher compared with Col-0, indicating that ABA-induced reduction of G_{st} was impaired in *amiR-bpm* plants (Fig. 4*F*). We then grew plants under soil water deficit and found the same results—no difference in pre-ABA G_{st} and reduced ABA-response of *amiR-bpm* (Fig. 4*G*). ABA-induced stomatal closure was not significantly affected in *bpm3 bpm5*, in contrast to *amiR-bpm* plants, which suggests certain functional redundancy of the BPM family in the control of stomatal aperture (Fig. 4*H*).

Given the growth and developmental defects of *amiR-bpm* plants, we conducted further phenotypic analysis with the *bpm3 bpm5* double mutant, which accumulates more PP2Cs than wild type in root tissue (Fig. 3*D*). *bpm3 bpm5* showed reduced sensitivity to ABA-mediated inhibition of seedling establishment and root-growth (Fig. 5*A* and *B*). The *bpm3 bpm5* mutant accumulates more PP2CA, which is a phosphatase that strongly blocks ABA signaling during germination and early growth (34, 35). Since PP2CA plays a predominant role at this stage and *pp2ca-1* shows the strongest ABA hypersensitivity in seed germination assays compared with other loss-of-function *pp2c* mutants (34–36), we generated a *pp2ca-1 bpm3 bpm5* triple mutant for epistatic analysis. ABA-mediated inhibition of seedling establishment in the triple mutant became similar to that of *pp2ca-1*; therefore *pp2ca-1* was epistatic to *bpm3 bpm5* (Fig. 5*A*). Transpiration was monitored using infrared thermography and we found that *bpm3 bpm5* showed lower leaf temperature than wild type, whereas *amiR-bpm* plants showed lower leaf temperature than *bpm3 bpm5* (Fig. 5*C*). Using RT-qPCR analysis, we found lower expression of ABA-responsive genes at endogenous ABA levels in *bpm3 bpm5* compared with wild type (Fig. 5*D*). We also analyzed induction of the ABA-responsive promoter *RD29B* after ABA treatment by using transfection of wild type or *bpm3 bpm5* protoplasts. We measured ABA-induced luciferase (LUC) expression driven by *pRD29B* and we found reduced expression in *bpm3 bpm5* compared with Col-0, both when ABF2 or ABF2+OST1 effector plasmids were transfected (Fig. 5*E*).

In Vivo Ubiquitination of PP2CA Depends on BPM Proteins. Transient expression of PP2CA-GFP in *N. benthamiana* and β -estradiol-inducible expression of Flag-Ub was performed to detect in vivo ubiquitination of PP2CA (Fig. 6*A*). To this end we constructed a β -estradiol-inducible Flag-Ub vector that was coexpressed in *N. benthamiana* with HA-BPM3 and PP2CA-GFP. Two days after agroinfiltration, 1.5-cm disk samples were collected and incubated for 16 h in 100 μ M β -estradiol to induce expression of Flag-Ub and label ubiquitinated PP2CA. Protein extracts were prepared from the disk samples, PP2CA-GFP was immunoprecipitated using anti-GFP and analyzed by immunoblotting with four different antibodies (Fig. 6*A*). When PP2CA-GFP was coexpressed with HA-BPM3 and β -estradiol-induced Flag-Ub, PP2CA was mostly shifted to the monoubiquitinated form, in contrast to mock-treated samples (Fig. 6*A*, arrows indicate different mobility of UbPP2CA-GFP and PP2CA-GFP). HA-BPM3 coimmunoprecipitated with PP2CA-GFP (Fig. 6*A*, anti-HA panel), which was previously observed in coIP experiments (Fig. 2*D*). Using anti-FLAG antibody, we could detect incorporation of Flag-Ub in immunoprecipitated PP2CA-GFP, which was confirmed using anti-Ub antibody. In mock-treated samples, some ubiquitinated PP2CA-GFP could be detected using anti-Ub antibody (but not with anti-Flag), which likely corresponds to protein ubiquitinated by the endogenous endowment of *N. benthamiana* cells. Upon longer exposition of the film, polyubiquitinated forms of PP2CA could be observed (Fig. 6*A*, *Right*).

We also investigated the ubiquitination of endogenous PP2CA in *Arabidopsis* wild type, *bpm3 bpm5*, and *amiR-bpm* plants. We prepared root protein extracts from 10-d-old seedlings that were incubated for 3 h with MG132 and ABA. Next, total proteins were incubated with Ub-binding p62 agarose or with agarose lacking p62 (negative control). Immunoblotting analysis using anti-PP2CA antibodies allowed the detection of endogenous PP2CA and its monoubiquitinated form (Fig. 6*B*). More nonubiquitinated PP2CA accumulated in *bpm3 bpm5* and *amiR-bpm* plants compared with Col-0 wild type (Fig. 6*C*, *Left*); however, after pull-down with p62 agarose, more ubiquitinated PP2CA was recovered in Col-0 samples than in *bpm3 bpm5* and *amiR-bpm* (Fig. 6*B* and *C*, *Right*). Taken together, these results confirm that ubiquitination of PP2CA depends on BPM function.




Fig. 4. Overexpression of BPM3 and BPM5 leads to enhanced ABA sensitivity whereas reduced ABA response is found in *amiR-bpm* plants compared with wild-type Col-0. (A) Enhanced sensitivity to ABA-mediated inhibition of seed germination in BPM3 and BPM5 OE lines compared with Col-0 seeds. Approximately 100 seeds of each genotype (two independent experiments) were sown on MS plates lacking or supplemented with 0.5 μ M ABA. Germination (radicle emergence) was scored after 3 d. Values are averages $\pm$ SD. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data of BPM3 and BPM5 OE lines or the ABA-hypersensitive *hab1-1abi1-2* mutant with Col-0 plants in the same assay conditions. (B) Enhanced sensitivity to ABA-mediated inhibition of seedling establishment. Seedlings were scored for the presence of both green cotyledons and the first pair of true leaves after 8 d. Values are averages $\pm$ SE. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data of BPM3 and BPM5 OE lines or the ABA-hypersensitive *hab1-1abi1-2* mutant with Col-0 plants in the same assay conditions. (C) Enhanced sensitivity to ABA-mediated inhibition of root growth in BPM3 and BPM5 OE lines compared with Col-0 plants. Seedlings were grown on MS plates for 4 d and then were transferred to MS plates lacking or supplemented with 10 μ M ABA. Root growth was scored after 10 d. Data are means $\pm$ SE from three independent experiments ($n = 20$ seedlings per experiment). Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data of BPM3 and BPM5 OE plants with Col-0 plants in the same assay conditions. (D) Diminished water loss in detached leaves of BPM3 and BPM5 OE lines compared with Col-0. Loss of fresh weight was measured in 15-d-old leaves submitted to the drying atmosphere of a laminar flow hood. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data of BPM3 and BPM5 OE plants with Col-0 plants at the same time points. (E) Enhanced water loss in *amiR-bpm* line compared with Col-0. Loss of fresh weight was measured in detached leaves from 15-d-old plants under laboratory conditions (25 $^{\circ}$ C, 40% air relative humidity) at the indicated time periods. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's t test) when comparing data of *amiR-bpm* with Col-0 plants at the same time points. (F and G) Reduced ABA response in *amiR-bpm* plants compared with Col-0. (F) Time course of stomatal conductance (G_{st}) of well-watered plants before and after spraying with 10 μ M ABA ($n = 5$ for Col-0 and $n = 6$ for *amiR-bpm*). ABA treatment was done at 14:00 PM and G_{st} followed for the next 6 h. Asterisks indicate significant differences in G_{st} values between *amiR-bpm* and Col-0 at these time points (Student's t test, $P < 0.1$). SEs are less than 10% and are not indicated for the sake of clarity. (G) ABA-induced reduction in G_{st} of droughted plants, asterisks as before (Student's t test, $P < 0.1$), $n = 4$ for both lines. (H) ABA-induced stomatal closure of *amiR-bpm* ($n = 5$), *bpm3 bpm5* ($n = 5$), and Col-0 ($n = 4$). Stomatal closure was calculated as pretreatment stomatal conductance minus stomatal conductance 28 min after ABA spraying. Different letters denote statistically significant differences (ANOVA and Tukey post hoc test).

Discussion

Both early and late steps of ABA signaling require a mechanism that modulates activity and protein levels of clade A PP2Cs because they are core negative regulators of the pathway. This is achieved through regulation of PP2C activity, transcripts and

protein levels (3–5, 19, 20, 45). For instance, the strong activation of ABA signaling also leads to transcriptional up-regulation of clade A PP2Cs as a negative feedback mechanism (5). This is accompanied by transcriptional down-regulation of some ABA receptors (5, 18), which, combined with increased PP2C levels,




Fig. 5. Reduced ABA sensitivity of *bpm3 bpm5*. (A) Diminished sensitivity to ABA-mediated inhibition of seedling establishment in *bpm3 bpm5* mutant compared with Col-0. The *pp2ca-1* mutation abolishes the ABA-insensitive phenotype of *bpm3 bpm5* in the triple mutant. Approximately 100 seeds of each genotype were sown on MS plates lacking or supplemented with 1 μ M ABA. Seedlings were scored for the presence of both green cotyledons and the first pair of true leaves after 9 d. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's *t* test) when comparing data of *bpm3 bpm5* to Col-0 or *pp2ca-1 bpm3 bpm5* triple to *bpm3 bpm5* double mutant in the same assay conditions. Values are averages of three independent experiments $\pm$ SD. (B) Diminished sensitivity to ABA-mediated inhibition of root growth in *bpm3 bpm5* mutant compared with Col-0. Seedlings were grown on MS plates for 4 d and then were transferred to MS plates lacking or supplemented with 10 μ M ABA. Root growth was scored after 10 d. Data are means $\pm$ SD from three independent experiments ($n = 20$ seedlings per experiment). Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's *t* test) when comparing data of *bpm3 bpm5* to Col-0 plants in the same assay conditions. The photographs (Right) show representative seedlings of the indicated genotypes 10 d after the transfer to MS plates lacking or supplemented with 10 μ M ABA. (C) False-color infrared images of Col-0, *bpm3 bpm5* and *amiR-bpm* plants representing leaf temperature. Temperature was quantified by infrared thermal imaging. Data are means $\pm$ SD ($n = 5$, approx. 1000 measurements of square pixels from multiple leaves of each plant). (D) Reduced expression of the ABA-responsive markers *RAB18*, *RD29B*, *KIN10*, and *RD22* in *bpm3 bpm5* compared with Col-0. RT-qPCR analysis was performed from mRNAs obtained from 2-wk-old seedlings. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's *t* test) when comparing data of *bpm3 bpm5* to Col-0 in the same conditions. (E) ABA-induced luciferase (LUC) expression driven by *RD29B* promoter was diminished in *bpm3 bpm5* mutant compared with Col-0. Protoplast suspensions were incubated for 6 h after transfection in the absence or presence of 5 μ M exogenous ABA added 3 h after transfection. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's *t* test) when comparing data of *bpm3 bpm5* to Col-0 protoplasts in the same conditions. Values are averages $\pm$ SD.

should relieve the inhibition of PP2C activity carried out by ABA receptors. Therefore, a desensitizing mechanism based on adjusting PP2C coreceptor and ABA receptor stoichiometry follows ABA signaling and, eventually, a mechanism involving degradation of PP2Cs is required to reset resting PP2C levels in the absence of stress. In this work we report that BPM3 and BPM5, which are substrate adaptors of the multimeric CRL3 E3 ligases, mediate recognition and degradation of key phosphatases for ABA signaling. Thus, using specific antibodies for PP2CA, HAB1, and ABI1, we could demonstrate that accumulation of these phosphatases is increased in the *bpm3 bpm5* mutant (Fig. 3D). On the other hand, we found that increasing amounts of BPM3 and BPM5 lead to reduced levels of PP2CA and enhanced sensitivity to ABA compared with wild-type Col-0 (Figs. 3 A–C and 4 A–C).

Transient expression experiments in *N. benthamiana* revealed that ABA enhances BPM3/5-mediated degradation of PP2CA, in agreement with previous results for PUB12-mediated degradation of ABI1 or RGLG1-mediated degradation of PP2CA (19–21). Therefore, these data suggest that at resting ABA levels, PP2C protein levels remain sufficient to block ABA signaling because interaction with E3 ligases is dependent on ABA concentration. When ABA levels increase in response to stress, biochemical inhibition of PP2C activity by ABA-bound PYLs will lead to acti-

vation of ABA signaling and plant protection. In a short-term, this is complemented by the enhanced degradation of PP2Cs by different E3 ligases, i.e., U-box type, RING type, and multimeric CRL3 type (19, 20, this work). Thus, these E3 ligases can facilitate ABA signaling at early steps. We also propose that at later steps E3 ligases play an important role to prevent excessive accumulation of PP2Cs and enable the resetting of ABA signaling. The finding of several E3 ligases that regulate PP2C levels is reminiscent of the team tagging cooperation described in humans to regulate substrate ubiquitination by different E3-E3 pairs (46). Thus, exquisite regulation of substrate ubiquitination can be achieved by a combination of different E3s in mammals, which is an interesting issue to be investigated in the plant field.

Recent reports have revealed that levels of ABA receptors are regulated in different subcellular compartments (27, 28), and similar mechanisms might be used with PP2C coreceptors. For instance, the subcellular localization of the PUB12-ABI1 interaction has not been reported yet, but taking into account the membrane recruitment of PUB12 by Flagellin-sensing 2 (FLS2) (47), it is possible that PUB12-ABI1 interact in the proximity of plasma membrane, where PP2Cs regulate both ABA signaling and ABA effectors (13, 14, 48, 49). Alternatively, unidentified membrane-linked E3 ligases might regulate PP2Cs in an analogous manner to




Fig. 6. In vivo ubiquitination of PP2CA depends on BPM function. (A) In vivo ubiquitination of PP2CA-GFP in *N. benthamiana*. Agrobacterium encoding PP2CA-GFP was coinfiltrated in leaf cells with agrobacteria lacking (mock) or coexpressing constitutively HA-BPM3 and β -estradiol-inducible Flag-Ub. Two days after agroinfiltration, 1.5-cm disk samples were collected and incubated for 16 h in 100 μ M β -estradiol to induce expression of Flag-Ub. Protein extracts were immunoprecipitated using anti-GFP and analyzed by immunoblotting with four different antibodies. (B) Ubiquitination of PP2CA is dependent on BPM function. *Arabidopsis* seedlings from the indicated genotypes were incubated with MG132 and ABA for 3 h. Total root proteins were incubated with Ub-binding p62 agarose to pull-down ubiquitinated proteins. The α -PP2CA was used to detect nonubiquitinated and monoubiquitinated forms of PP2CA. Actin was analyzed as a loading control. Anti-Ub was used to confirm equivalent recovery of ubiquitinated proteins after pull down (PD) with p62 agarose. Agarose lacking the p62 protein served as a negative control of the experiment. (C) Quantification of PP2CA accumulation in the input samples and the ratio of monoubiquitinated versus nonubiquitinated PP2CA after PD with p62 agarose. Left histogram, the nonubiquitinated PP2CA protein level in *bpm3 bpm5* and *amiR-bpm* compared with wild-type Col-0; right histogram, the ratio of Ub-PP2CA/nonubiquitinated PP2CA obtained according to PD p62/Input values, respectively. Asterisk indicates $P < 0.05$ (Student's *t* test) when comparing data of *bpm3 bpm5* or *amiR-bpm* plants with Col-0 plants in the same assay conditions.

RSL1-dependent ubiquitination of ABA receptors (27, 30). BPM3 and BPM5 are nuclear proteins and accordingly, interact with PP2CA, HAB1, and ABI1 in the nucleus of plant cells. Nuclear accumulation of *abi1*^{Gly180Asp} blocks ABA signaling (50), likely because PP2Cs regulate critical nuclear components of the ABA signaling pathway, such as SnRK2s, CDPKs, ABRE-binding transcription factors, and the chromatin remodeling ATPase BRAHMA (7, 8, 12, 51, 52). The nuclear interaction of BPM3/5 with clade A PP2Cs reveals that half-life regulation of the PP2C nuclear pool is required for ABA signaling.

Materials and Methods

SI Appendix. Detailed description is provided in SI Appendix for antibodies, mass spectrometry (MS) analysis, plant material and growth conditions, constructs, transient protein expression in *N. benthamiana*, in vivo protein degradation assays, split luciferase (LUC) complementation assay, protein stability kinetics; protein extraction, analysis, immunodetection, and coimmunoprecipitation (coIP), pull-down assays, seed germination and seedling establishment assays, root growth assay, RT-qPCR, in vivo ubiquitination assay of PP2CA-GFP in *N. benthamiana*, affinity purification of ubiquitinated proteins using p62-agarose, water loss and drought stress experiments, gas exchange experiments, infrared thermography, protoplast transfection, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM), and statistical analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Work in P.L.R.'s laboratory was supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MICIU), Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, and Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (grants BIO2014-52537-R and BIO2017-82503-R). P.G. acknowledges support from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche Laboratoires d'Excellence (grant ANR-10-LABX-0036_NETRNA). B.B.-P. was funded by Programa VALi+d GVA

1. S. R. Cutler, P. L. Rodriguez, R. R. Finkelstein, S. R. Abrams, Absciscic acid: Emergence of a core signaling network. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **61**, 651–679 (2010).
2. R. Finkelstein, Absciscic Acid synthesis and response. *Arabidopsis Book* **11**, e0166 (2013).
3. Y. Ma et al., Regulators of PP2C phosphatase activity function as absciscic acid sensors. *Science* **324**, 1064–1068 (2009).
4. S. Y. Park et al., Absciscic acid inhibits type 2C protein phosphatases via the PYR/PYL family of START proteins. *Science* **324**, 1068–1071 (2009).
5. J. Santiago et al., Modulation of drought resistance by the absciscic acid receptor PYL5 through inhibition of clade A PP2Cs. *Plant J.* **60**, 575–588 (2009).
6. N. Nishimura et al., PYR/PYL/RCAR family members are major in-vivo ABI1 protein phosphatase 2C-interacting proteins in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **61**, 290–299 (2010).
7. T. Umezawa et al., Type 2C protein phosphatases directly regulate absciscic acid-activated protein kinases in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 17588–17593 (2009).
8. F. Vlad et al., Protein phosphatases 2C regulate the activation of the Snf1-related kinase OST1 by absciscic acid in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **21**, 3170–3184 (2009).
9. H. Fujii et al., In vitro reconstitution of an absciscic acid signalling pathway. *Nature* **462**, 660–664 (2009).
10. H. Fujii, J. K. Zhu, Arabidopsis mutant deficient in 3 absciscic acid-activated protein kinases reveals critical roles in growth, reproduction, and stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 8380–8385 (2009).
11. K. Nakashima et al., Three Arabidopsis SnRK2 protein kinases, SRK2D/SnRK2.2, SRK2E/SnRK2.6/OST1 and SRK2I/SnRK2.3, involved in ABA signaling are essential for the control of seed development and dormancy. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **50**, 1345–1363 (2009).
12. M. Peirats-Llobet et al., A direct link between absciscic acid sensing and the chromatin-remodeling ATPase BRAHMA via core ABA signaling pathway components. *Mol. Plant* **9**, 136–147 (2016).
13. D. Geiger et al., Activity of guard cell anion channel SLAC1 is controlled by drought-stress signaling kinase-phosphatase pair. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 21425–21430 (2009).
14. S. C. Lee, W. Lan, B. B. Buchanan, S. Luan, A protein kinase-phosphatase pair interacts with an ion channel to regulate ABA signaling in plant guard cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 21419–21424 (2009).
15. A. Grondin et al., Aquaporins contribute to ABA-triggered stomatal closure through OST1-mediated phosphorylation. *Plant Cell* **27**, 1945–1954 (2015).
16. T. Umezawa et al., Genetics and phosphoproteomics reveal a protein phosphorylation network in the absciscic acid signaling pathway in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Sci. Signal.* **6**, rs8 (2013).
17. P. Wang et al., Quantitative phosphoproteomics identifies SnRK2 protein kinase substrates and reveals the effectors of absciscic acid action. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 11205–11210 (2013).
18. I. Szostkiewicz et al., Closely related receptor complexes differ in their ABA selectivity and sensitivity. *Plant J.* **61**, 25–35 (2010).
19. L. Kong et al., Degradation of the ABA co-receptor ABI1 by PUB12/13 U-box E3 ligases. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8630 (2015).
20. Q. Wu et al., Ubiquitin ligases RGLG1 and RGLG5 regulate absciscic acid signaling by controlling the turnover of phosphatase PP2C. *Plant Cell* **28**, 2178–2196 (2016).
21. B. Belda-Palazon et al., ABA inhibits myristoylation and induces shuttling of the RGLG1 E3 ligase to promote nuclear degradation of PP2CA. *Plant J.* **98**, 813–825 (2019).
22. A. Santner, M. Estelle, Recent advances and emerging trends in plant hormone signalling. *Nature* **459**, 1071–1078 (2009).
23. N. Romero-Barrios, G. Vert, Proteasome-independent functions of lysine-63 poly-ubiquitination in plants. *New Phytol.* **217**, 995–1011 (2018).
24. F. Yu, Q. Xie, Non-26S proteasome endomembrane trafficking pathways in ABA signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* **22**, 976–985 (2017).
25. Z. Hua, R. D. Vierstra, The cullin-RING ubiquitin-protein ligases. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **62**, 299–334 (2011).
26. J. Callis, The ubiquitination machinery of the ubiquitin system. *Arabidopsis Book* **12**, e0174 (2014).
27. E. Bueso et al., The single-subunit RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase RSL1 targets PYL4 and PYR1 ABA receptors in plasma membrane to modulate absciscic acid signaling. *Plant J.* **80**, 1057–1071 (2014).
28. M. L. Irigoyen et al., Targeted degradation of absciscic acid receptors is mediated by the ubiquitin ligase substrate adaptor DDA1 in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **26**, 712–728 (2014).
29. B. Vilela, E. Nájara, V. Lumbreras, J. Leung, M. Pagès, Casein kinase 2 negatively regulates absciscic acid-activated SnRK2s in the core absciscic acid-signaling module. *Mol. Plant* **8**, 709–721 (2015).
30. B. Belda-Palazon et al., FYVE1/FREE1 interacts with the PYL4 ABA receptor and mediates its delivery to the vacuolar degradation pathway. *Plant Cell* **28**, 2291–2311 (2016).
31. F. Yu et al., ESCRT-I component VPS23A affects ABA signaling by recognizing ABA receptors for endosomal degradation. *Mol. Plant* **9**, 1570–1582 (2016).
32. F. Yu, Y. Wu, Q. Xie, Ubiquitin-proteasome system in ABA signaling: From perception to action. *Mol. Plant* **9**, 21–33 (2016).
33. J. Sheen, Mutational analysis of protein phosphatase 2C involved in absciscic acid signal transduction in higher plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**, 975–980 (1998).
34. J. M. Kuhn, A. Boisson-Dernier, M. B. Dizon, M. H. Maktabi, J. I. Schroeder, The protein phosphatase AtPP2CA negatively regulates absciscic acid signal transduction in Arabidopsis, and effects of abh1 on AtPP2CA mRNA. *Plant Physiol.* **140**, 127–139 (2006).
35. T. Yoshida et al., ABA-hypersensitive germination3 encodes a protein phosphatase 2C (AtPP2CA) that strongly regulates absciscic acid signaling during germination among Arabidopsis protein phosphatase 2Cs. *Plant Physiol.* **140**, 115–126 (2006).
36. S. Rubio et al., Triple loss of function of protein phosphatases type 2C leads to partial constitutive response to endogenous absciscic acid. *Plant Physiol.* **150**, 1345–1355 (2009).
37. B. Brandt et al., Calcium specificity signaling mechanisms in absciscic acid signal transduction in Arabidopsis guard cells. *eLife* **4**, e03599 (2015). Correction in: *eLife* **4**, e10328 (2015).
38. E. Lechner et al., MATH/BTB CRL3 receptors target the homeodomain-leucine zipper ATHB6 to modulate absciscic acid signaling. *Dev. Cell* **21**, 1116–1128 (2011).
39. P. Genschik, I. Sumara, E. Lechner, The emerging family of CULLIN3-RING ubiquitin ligases (CRL3s): Cellular functions and disease implications. *EMBO J.* **32**, 2307–2320 (2013).
40. M. Zhuang et al., Structures of SPOD-substrate complexes: Insights into molecular architectures of BTB-Cul3 ubiquitin ligases. *Mol. Cell* **36**, 39–50 (2009).
41. L. Chen et al., Arabidopsis BPM proteins function as substrate adaptors to a cullin3-based E3 ligase to affect fatty acid metabolism in plants. *Plant Cell* **25**, 2253–2264 (2013).
42. L. Chen, A. Bernhardt, J. Lee, H. Hellmann, Identification of Arabidopsis MYB56 as a novel substrate for CRL3(BPM) E3 ligases. *Mol. Plant* **8**, 242–250 (2015).
43. K. Morimoto et al., BPM-CUL3 E3 ligase modulates thermotolerance by facilitating negative regulatory domain-mediated degradation of DREB2A in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, E8528–E8536 (2017).
44. Q. Zhao et al., A plant-specific in vitro ubiquitination analysis system. *Plant J.* **74**, 524–533 (2013).
45. X. Wang et al., ABRE-BINDING FACTORS play a role in the feedback regulation of ABA signaling by mediating rapid ABA induction of ABA co-receptor genes. *New Phytol.* **221**, 341–355 (2019).
46. D. C. Scott et al., Two distinct types of E3 ligases work in unison to regulate substrate ubiquitylation. *Cell* **166**, 1198–1214.e24 (2016).
47. D. Lu et al., Direct ubiquitination of pattern recognition receptor FLS2 attenuates plant innate immunity. *Science* **332**, 1439–1442 (2011).
48. Z. Li, R. Waadt, J. I. Schroeder, Release of GTP exchange factor mediated down-regulation of absciscic acid signal transduction through ABA-induced rapid degradation of RopGEFs. *PLoS Biol.* **14**, e1002461 (2016).
49. Z. Li et al., Absciscic acid-induced degradation of Arabidopsis guanine nucleotide exchange factor requires calcium-dependent protein kinases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, E4522–E4531 (2018).
50. D. Moes, A. Himmelbach, A. Korte, G. Haberer, E. Grill, Nuclear localization of the mutant protein phosphatase abi1 is required for insensitivity towards ABA responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **54**, 806–819 (2008).
51. S. Y. Zhu et al., Two calcium-dependent protein kinases, CPK4 and CPK11, regulate absciscic acid signal transduction in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **19**, 3019–3036 (2007).
52. T. Lynch, B. J. Erickson, R. R. Finkelstein, Direct interactions of ABA-insensitive(ABI)-clade protein phosphatase(PP)2Cs with calcium-dependent protein kinases and ABA response element-binding bZIPs may contribute to turning off ABA response. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **80**, 647–658 (2012).