

## VERSAL TORSORS AND RETRACTS

A. S. MERKURJEV\*

Department of Mathematics  
 University of California  
 Los Angeles  
 CA, 90095-1555 USA  
 merkurev@math.ucla.edu

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$  and  $p$  a prime integer. We introduce the notion of a  $p$ -retract rational variety and prove that if  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor, then  $BG$  is a stable  $p$ -retract of  $X$ . It follows that the classifying space  $BG$  is  $p$ -retract rational if and only if there is a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $X$  a rational variety, that is, all  $G$ -torsors over infinite fields are rationally parameterized. In particular, for such groups  $G$  the unramified Galois cohomology group  $H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  coincides with  $H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ .

## Introduction

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$ . In the present paper we study  $G$ -torsors  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  for field extensions  $K/F$ . In many cases  $G$ -torsors are related to classical algebraic objects. For example, if  $G = \mathbf{PGL}_n$  such objects are central simple algebras  $A$  of degree  $n$  over  $K$ . Every  $\mathbf{PGL}_n$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec } K$  is the torsor of isomorphisms between  $A$  and the matrix algebra  $M_n(K)$ .

A  $G$ -torsor  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is called *versal* if every  $G$ -torsor  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  for an extension  $K/F$  with  $K$  an infinite field is isomorphic to the pull-back of  $f$  with respect to a morphism (a point)  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$  and the set of images of such morphisms is dense in  $X$ . Thus, a versal  $G$ -torsor keeps information about all  $G$ -torsors over field extensions  $K/F$ .

Versal  $G$ -torsors exist. For example, let  $V$  be a generically free representation of  $G$  (that is the generic stabilizer of a vector in  $V$  is trivial). There is a nonempty  $G$ -invariant open subset  $I \subset V$  and a  $G$ -torsor  $I \rightarrow Z$  for some variety  $Z$  over  $F$ . (One can think of  $Z$  as the variety of orbits  $I/G$ .) It appears that  $I \rightarrow Z$  is a versal  $G$ -torsor. We call such torsors *standard* versal  $G$ -torsors. We think of the variety  $Z$  as an “approximation” of the stack  $BG$  of all  $G$ -torsors, which we call the *classifying space* of  $G$ .

If  $I \rightarrow Z$  and  $I' \rightarrow Z'$  are two standard versal  $G$ -torsors, then the varieties  $Z$  and  $Z'$  are stably birationally isomorphic. In other words, the stable birational type of the classifying space  $BG$  is well defined.

DOI: 10.1007/s00031-019-09521-y

\*Supported by NSF grant DMS #1801530.

Received May 22, 2018. Accepted October 13, 2018.

Published online April 6, 2019.

Corresponding Author: A. S. Merkurjev, e-mail: merkurev@math.ucla.edu

If  $I \rightarrow Z$  is a standard versal  $G$ -torsor and  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a versal  $G$ -torsor, then  $Z$  and  $X$  may not be stably birationally isomorphic. But we prove in Theorem 9 that  $Z$  is a stable retract of  $X$ , that is, there are rational morphisms  $f : Z \dashrightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  and  $g : X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \dashrightarrow Z$  for some  $n$  such that the composition  $g \circ f$  is defined and equals the identity of  $Z$ .

We say that all  $G$ -torsors over infinite fields for an algebraic group  $G$  are *rationally parameterized* if there is a versal  $G$ -torsor  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $X$  a rational variety. We prove (Theorem 10) that all  $G$ -torsors over infinite fields are rationally parameterized if and only if  $BG$  (that is, its approximation  $Z$  for a standard versal torsor  $I \rightarrow Z$ ) is a retract of a rational variety.

We also consider the local setting. Namely, for a prime integer  $p$  we consider  $p$ -versal torsors and define  $p$ -retracts, roughly, by ignoring the effects given by dominant morphisms of finite degree prime to  $p$ . We prove local analogs of the theorems mentioned above.

In Section 6 we prove (Theorem 15) that if  $X$  and  $X'$  are smooth varieties over  $F$  such that  $X$  is a  $p$ -retract of  $X'$ , then there is an injective homomorphism of the groups of unramified cohomology

$$H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

In particular, if  $X$  is a  $p$ -retract rational smooth variety over  $F$ , then the natural homomorphism

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

is an isomorphism.

We use the following notation. A *variety* over a field  $F$  is an integral separated scheme of finite type over  $F$ . We write  $F(X)$  for the function field of  $X$  over  $F$ . An *algebraic group* over  $F$  is an affine group scheme of finite type over  $F$  (not necessarily smooth or connected). The *degree* of a dominant morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  of varieties is the integer  $[F(X) : F(Y)]$ . We write  $X \approx Y$  if  $X$  and  $Y$  are birationally isomorphic, i.e.,  $F(X) \simeq F(Y)$  over  $F$ . If  $X$  is a scheme over  $F$  and  $L/F$  is a field extension, we write  $X_L$  for the scheme  $X \times_F \text{Spec } L$  over  $L$ . The *generic fiber* of a dominant rational morphism  $f : Y \dashrightarrow X$  of varieties over  $F$  is the scheme  $U \times_X \text{Spec } K$  over  $K = F(X)$ , where  $U \subset Y$  is the domain of definition of  $f$ . We write  $\text{pt}$  for  $\text{Spec } F$ .

Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -torsor with  $X$  a variety over  $F$ . The trivial vector bundle  $Y \times V \rightarrow Y$  with the diagonal  $G$ -action on  $Y \times V$  descends to a vector bundle  $Y^V \rightarrow X$  (see [BK] and [V, Chap. 4]).

The letter  $p$  in the paper denotes either a prime integer or 0. An integer  $k$  is said to be *prime to  $p$*  when  $k$  is prime to  $p$  if  $p > 0$  and  $k = 1$  if  $p = 0$ .

We collect technical (mostly known) results in the Appendix.

*Acknowledgment.* The author is grateful to Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, David Harbater and Zinovy Reichstein for useful comments.

### 1. Split rational morphisms

A rational dominant morphism of varieties  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  over a field  $F$  is called (*rationally*)  $p$ -split if for every nonempty open subset  $U' \subset X'$  in the domain of definition of  $f$ , there is a morphism of varieties  $g : Y \rightarrow X'$  such that  $\text{Im}(g) \subset U'$  and the composition  $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X$  is dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ g \swarrow & \downarrow & \text{of degree prime to } p \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Clearly, a dominant morphism  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  is  $p$ -split if and only if the set of closed points of degree prime to  $p$  in the generic fiber of  $f$  is everywhere dense.

*Remark 1.* By Lemma 21, the set of closed points of degree prime to  $p > 0$  in a regular variety is either empty or everywhere dense. It follows that in the case the generic fiber of a dominant rational morphism  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  is regular, the density condition in the definition of  $p$ -retract for  $f$  can be removed if  $p > 0$ .

**Example 1.** If  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  is a dominant rational morphism of finite degree prime to  $p$ , then  $f$  is  $p$ -split. Indeed, we can take  $Y$  the domain of definition of  $f$  and  $g$  the inclusion of  $Y$  into  $X'$ .

We say that  $f$  is *split* if  $f$  is  $p$ -split for  $p = 0$ . By definition,  $f$  is split if and only if for every nonempty open subset  $U'$  in the domain of definition of  $f$ , there is a rational morphism  $g : X \dashrightarrow X'$  such that  $\text{Im}(g) \cap U' \neq \emptyset$  and  $f \circ g = 1_X$ . Equivalently,  $f$  is split if and only if the rational points in the generic fiber of  $f$  are everywhere dense.

#### Lemma 1.

- (1) *If  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  and  $f' : X'' \dashrightarrow X'$  are  $p$ -split morphisms of varieties over  $F$ , then so is  $f \circ f'$ .*
- (2) *Every birational isomorphism is split.*
- (3) *If  $X$  is a variety over  $F$  such that the field  $F(X)$  is infinite, then the projection  $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow X$  is split for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* (1): Let  $U'' \subset X''$  be a nonempty open subset in the domains of definition of  $f'$  and  $f \circ f'$ . Choose a morphism  $g' : Y' \rightarrow X''$  such that  $\text{Im}(g') \subset U''$  and  $t' := f' \circ g'$  is dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ .

By Lemma 19, there exists a nonempty open subset  $U' \subset X'$  in the domain of definition of  $f$  such that for every point  $u \in U'$  there is  $y \in Y'$  with  $t'(y) = u$  and finite  $[F(y) : F(u)]$  prime to  $p$ . Since  $f$  is  $p$ -split, there is a morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow X'$  with  $\text{Im}(g) \subset U'$  such that  $t := f \circ g$  is dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ .

By Lemma 20, there exists a variety  $Y''$ , a morphism  $g'' : Y'' \rightarrow Y'$  and a dominant morphism  $t'' : Y'' \rightarrow Y$  of finite degree prime to  $p$  such that  $t' \circ g'' = g \circ t''$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & Y'' & & \\
& & \downarrow g'' & \downarrow t'' & \\
& Y' & & Y & \\
\downarrow g' & \swarrow t' & \downarrow g & \downarrow t & \\
X'' - \xrightarrow{f'} X' - \xrightarrow{f} X. & & & & 
\end{array}$$

We have

$$(f \circ f') \circ (g' \circ g'') = f \circ t' \circ g'' = f \circ g \circ t'' = t \circ t''$$

and  $\text{Im}(g' \circ g'') \subset \text{Im}(g') \subset U''$ . Moreover,  $\deg(t \circ t'') = \deg(t) \deg(t'')$  is prime to  $p$ . Therefore,  $f \circ f'$  is  $p$ -split.

(2) follows immediately from the definition.

(3): Under the assumption, the  $F(X)$ -points are dense in the generic fiber  $\mathbb{A}_{F(X)}^n$  of the projection  $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  is  $p$ -split, then so is  $f \times 1 : X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \dashrightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  for every  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be the domain of definition of  $f$  and  $U \subset W \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  a nonempty open subset. As the projection  $p : W \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow W$  is flat, it is an open morphism, hence the image  $U' := p(U)$  is open in  $W$ . As  $f$  is  $p$ -split, there is a morphism of varieties  $g : Y \rightarrow X'$  such that  $\text{Im}(g) \subset U'$  and the composition  $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X$  is dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ . It follows that the image of  $g \times 1 : Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  intersects  $U$ . Therefore, the subset  $T := (g \times 1)^{-1}(U) \subset Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  is nonempty open. Then the restriction  $h := (g \times 1)|_T : T \rightarrow X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  satisfies  $\text{Im}(h) \subset U$  and the composition  $(f \times 1) \circ h : T \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  is dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ .  $\square$

## 2. Retracts

We say that a variety  $X$  is a (rational)  $p$ -retract of a variety  $X'$  if there is a  $p$ -split rational morphism  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ . We write  $X <_p X'$  if  $X$  is a  $p$ -retract of  $X'$ .

If  $p = 0$ , we simply write  $X < X'$  for  $X <_p X'$  and call  $X$  a retract of  $X'$ . Clearly,  $X < X'$  implies  $X <_p X'$  for every  $p$ .

Our definition of retract coincides with the one in [R, Def. 1.1].

**Example 2.** If  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  is a dominant rational morphism of finite degree prime  $p$ , then  $X <_p X'$  (see Example 1).

In the case  $p = 0$ , the following lemma was proved in [R, Lems. 1.3, 1.4, Ex. 1.5a].

**Lemma 3.**

- (1) If  $X <_p X'$  and  $X' <_p X''$ , then  $X <_p X''$ .
- (2) If  $X \approx Y$ , then  $X <_p Y <_p X$  for all  $p$ .
- (3) If  $X <_p X'$ ,  $X \approx Y$  and  $X' \approx Y'$ , then  $Y <_p Y'$ .
- (4) If  $X$  is a variety over  $F$  such that the function field  $F(X)$  is infinite, then  $X < (X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n)$  for all  $n$ .

*Proof.* (3) follows from (1) and (2). The other statements are proved in Lemma 1.  $\square$

Lemma 3 shows that the relation  $<_p$  can be defined on the set of birational isomorphism classes of varieties over  $F$ .

The following statement is proved in Lemma 2.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $X <_p X'$ , then  $(X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n) <_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n)$  for every  $n$ .*

We say that  $X$  is a stable  $p$ -retract of  $X'$  and write  $X \triangleleft_p X'$  if  $X <_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n)$  for some  $n \geq 0$  (cf. [R, Def. 4.1]). If  $X$  is a  $p$ -retract of  $X'$ , i.e.,  $X <_p X'$ , then  $X \triangleleft_p X'$ .

**Corollary 5.** *If  $X \triangleleft_p X'$  and  $X' \triangleleft_p X''$ , then  $X \triangleleft_p X''$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $X <_p X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^m$  and  $X' <_p X'' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  for some  $m$  and  $n$ . By Lemma 4,  $X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^m <_p X'' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{n+m}$ , hence  $X <_p X'' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{n+m}$  in view of Lemma 3.  $\square$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are varieties over  $F$ , we write  $X \xrightarrow{\text{s.b.}} Y$  if  $X$  and  $Y$  are stably birational, i.e.,  $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^m \approx Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$  for some  $m$  and  $n$ .

**Corollary 6.** *If  $F(Y)$  is infinite,  $X \triangleleft_p X'$ ,  $X \xrightarrow{\text{s.b.}} Y$  and  $X' \xrightarrow{\text{s.b.}} Y'$ , then  $Y \triangleleft_p Y'$ .*

*Proof.* We have birational isomorphisms  $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^m \approx Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ ,  $X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^r \approx Y' \times \mathbb{A}_F^k$  and  $X <_p X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^s$  for some  $m, n, r, k, s$ . By Lemmas 3 and 4,

$$Y <_p (Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^{n+r}) <_p (X \times \mathbb{A}_F^{m+r}) \triangleleft_p (X \times \mathbb{A}_F^r) <_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{r+s}) <_p (Y' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{k+s}) \triangleleft_p Y'.$$

By Corollary 5,  $Y \triangleleft_p Y'$ .  $\square$

A variety  $X$  is called  $p$ -retract rational if  $X$  is a  $p$ -retract of a rational variety. Equivalently, by Lemma 3,  $X$  is  $p$ -retract rational if and only if  $X \triangleleft_p \text{pt}$ . A variety  $X$  is called retract rational if  $X$  is  $p$ -retract rational for  $p = 0$ .

### 3. Versal torsors

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$ . We consider  $G$ -torsors  $Y \rightarrow X$  over a variety  $X$ . Note that we do not assume that  $Y$  is a variety, i.e.,  $Y$  is integral.

A  $G$ -torsor  $Y \rightarrow X$  over a variety  $X$  is called  $p$ -versal if for every  $G$ -torsor  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$  for a field extension  $K/F$  with  $K$  an infinite field and every nonempty open subset  $U \subset X$ , there is a finite field extension  $L/F$  of degree prime to  $p$  such that the  $G$ -torsor  $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of  $Y \rightarrow X$  with respect to a point  $x : \text{Spec } (L) \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{Im}(x) \in U$  (see [DR]).

A  $G$ -torsor  $Y \rightarrow X$  is called versal if it is  $p$ -versal for  $p = 0$  (see [GMS]). Every versal torsor is  $p$ -versal for every  $p$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  be a dominant morphism of varieties over  $F$ ,  $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$  a  $G$ -torsor and  $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  the pull-back of  $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$  with respect to  $f$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  is a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor, then so is  $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ .*
- (2) *If  $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor and  $f$  is  $p$ -split, then  $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  is  $p$ -versal.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  be a  $G$ -torsor, where  $K$  is a field extension of  $F$  such that  $K$  is an infinite field, and  $U_2 \subset X_2$  a nonempty open subset. As  $f$  is dominant, the open subset  $U_1 := f^{-1}(U_2) \subset X_1$  is nonempty. Since  $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  is a  $p$ -versal torsor, there is a field extension  $L/K$  of finite degree prime to  $p$  and a point  $x_1 : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X_1$  with  $\text{Im}(x_1) \subset U_1$  such that the torsor  $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of  $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  with respect to  $x_1$ . If  $x_2 := f \circ x_1 : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X_2$ , then  $\text{Im}(x_2) \subset U_2$  and  $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of  $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$  with respect to  $x_2$ .

(2) Let  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  be a  $G$ -torsor, where  $K$  is a field extension of  $F$  such that  $K$  is an infinite field, and  $U_1 \subset X_1$  a nonempty open subset. Since  $f$  is  $p$ -split, there is a morphism of varieties  $g : Y \rightarrow X_1$  such that  $\text{Im}(g) \subset U_1$  and the composition  $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X_2$  is finite of degree prime to  $p$ . In view of Lemma 19 applied to the morphism  $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X_2$  of finite degree prime to  $p$ , we find a nonempty open subset  $U_2 \subset X_2$  such that for every point  $x_2 \in U_2$  there is a point  $y \in Y$  with the property that  $f(g(y)) = x_2$  and the field extension  $F(y)/F(x_2)$  is finite of degree prime to  $p$ .

As  $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor, there is a field extension  $L/K$  of finite degree prime to  $p$  and a morphism  $h : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $\{x_2\} := \text{Im}(h) \subset U_2$  and  $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of the torsor  $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$  with respect to  $h$ . Choose a point  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(g(y)) = x_2$  and the field extension  $F(y)/F(x_2)$  is finite of degree prime to  $p$ . By Corollary 18, applied to the morphism  $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X_2$ , there is a field extension  $L'/L$  of finite degree prime to  $p$  and a morphism  $k : \text{Spec } L' \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\text{Im}(k) = \{y\}$  and the composition of  $\text{Spec } L' \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$  with  $h$  coincides with  $f \circ g \circ k$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Spec } L' & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } L & & \\ k \downarrow & & \searrow h & & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2. \end{array}$$

It follows that  $E_{L'} \rightarrow \text{Spec } L'$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of the torsor  $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  with respect to  $g \circ k$  and  $[L' : K] = [L' : L] \cdot [L : K]$  is prime to  $p$ . Finally,  $\text{Im}(g \circ k) = g(\text{Im}(k)) = \{g(y)\} \subset U_1$ . It follows that  $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  is a  $p$ -versal torsor.  $\square$

#### 4. Standard versal torsors

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$ . Let  $V$  be a generically free  $G$ -representation and  $I \subset V$  a nonempty  $G$ -invariant open subset together with a  $G$ -torsor  $I \rightarrow Z$ , where  $Z$  is a variety over  $F$ . We call  $I \rightarrow Z$  a *standard  $G$ -torsor*. We always assume that  $I$  is chosen so that  $\dim(Z) > 0$ , hence the field  $F(Z)$  is infinite.

**Example 3.** Embed  $G$  into  $\mathbf{GL}_n$  as a closed subgroup. Then the natural morphism  $\mathbf{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_n/G$  is a standard  $G$ -torsor since  $\mathbf{GL}_n$  is an open subset of the affine space of  $M_n(F)$  and  $G$  acts on  $M_n(F)$  by multiplication generically freely.

Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -torsor with  $X$  a variety over  $F$ . The trivial vector bundle  $Y \times V \rightarrow Y$  with the diagonal  $G$ -action on  $Y \times V$  descends to a vector bundle  $Y^V \rightarrow X$ . The open nonempty  $G$ -invariant subset  $Y \times I \subset Y \times V$  descends to an open subset  $Y^I \subset Y^V$ . In particular,  $Y^I$  is a variety over  $F$  birational to  $X \times V$ , therefore,  $Y^I \xrightarrow{\text{s.b.}} X$ . The projection  $Y \times I \rightarrow I$  yields a morphism  $Y^I \rightarrow Z$ .

Let  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ , where  $K = F(Z)$ , be the generic fiber of  $I \rightarrow Z$ . Write  $Y^E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  for the generic fiber of  $Y^I \rightarrow Z$ . As  $Y^E$  is a localization of  $Y^I$ ,  $Y^E$  is a variety over  $K$ .

If  $I_1 \rightarrow Z_1$  and  $I_2 \rightarrow Z_2$  are two standard  $G$ -torsors, then

$$Z_1 \xrightarrow{\text{s.b.}} (I_1)^{I_2} \simeq (I_2)^{I_1} \xrightarrow{\text{s.b.}} Z_2,$$

hence  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are stably birationally isomorphic.

If  $Y$  is a variety, we write  $BG \triangleleft_p Y$  if  $Z \triangleleft_p Y$  for a standard  $G$ -torsor  $I \rightarrow Z$ . By Corollary 6, this makes sense. We say that  $BG$  is stably rational (respectively,  $p$ -retract rational) if so is  $Z$ .

**Example 4.** If  $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$  and  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group, then  $BG$  is stably rational (see [G] and [JLY, §5.6]).

**Example 5.** Let  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup of finite index prime to  $p$  and  $I \rightarrow Z$  a standard  $G$ -torsor. Then  $I \rightarrow T := I/H$  is a standard  $H$ -torsor. Since the natural morphism  $T \rightarrow Z$  is of degree  $[G : H]$  prime to  $p$ , we have  $Z \triangleleft_p T$  by Example 2. In other words,  $BG \triangleleft_p BH$ .

By [GMS, Part 1, §5.4], every standard  $G$ -torsor  $I \rightarrow Z$  is versal.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -torsor with  $X$  a variety and let  $I \rightarrow Z$  be a standard  $G$ -torsor. Then  $Y \rightarrow X$  is  $p$ -versal if and only if the morphism  $Y^I \rightarrow Z$  is  $p$ -split.*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $K = F(Z)$  and  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  the generic fiber of  $I \rightarrow Z$ . It suffices to show that closed points of degree prime to  $p$  are dense in  $Y^E$ .

Let  $U \subset Y^E$  be a nonempty open subset. We will show that  $U$  contains a closed point of degree prime to  $p$ .

Since  $Y^E$  is a localization of  $Y^I$ , there is an open subset  $U' \subset Y^I$  such that  $U$  is the pull-back of  $U'$  under the natural morphism  $Y^E \rightarrow Y^I$ . As the morphism  $Y^I \rightarrow X$  is flat, it is open and the image  $W$  of  $U'$  is an open subset of  $X$ .

As  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a  $p$ -versal torsor, there exists a field extension  $L/K$  of finite degree prime to  $p$  and a point  $x : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X$  such that  $\text{Im}(x) \subset W$  and the torsor  $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of  $Y \rightarrow X$  with respect to  $x$ . We can find a variety  $Z'$  over  $F$ , a morphism  $s : Z' \rightarrow Z$  of varieties over  $F$  such that the field extension  $F(Z')/F(Z)$  given by  $s$  is isomorphic to  $L/K$ , a morphism  $t : Z' \rightarrow X$  such that the composition  $\text{Spec } L \xrightarrow{\sim} Z' \xrightarrow{t} X$  coincides with  $x$  and

$\text{Im}(t) \subset W$  such that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I & \xleftarrow{a} & I' & \xrightarrow{b} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xleftarrow{s} & Z' & \xrightarrow{t} & X \end{array}$$

with two fiber product squares.

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I \times I & \xleftarrow{a \times 1} & I' \times I & \xrightarrow{b \times 1} & Y \times I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I & \xleftarrow{a} & I' & \xrightarrow{b} & Y \end{array},$$

where the vertical maps are first projections, yields a fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I^I & \xleftarrow{g} & (I')^I & \xrightarrow{f} & Y^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xleftarrow{s} & Z' & \xrightarrow{t} & X \end{array}.$$

Since  $\text{Im}(t) \subset W$ , we have  $\text{Im}(f) \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, the open subset  $T' := f^{-1}(U') \subset (I')^I$  is nonempty. As  $(I')^E$  is a localization of  $(I')^I$ , the inverse image  $T$  of  $T'$  under the natural morphism  $(I')^E \rightarrow (I')^I$  is a nonempty open subset of  $(I')^E$ . The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (I')^E & \xrightarrow{h} & Y^E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (I')^I & \xrightarrow{f} & Y^I \end{array}$$

implies that  $h(T) \subset U$ .

The natural morphism  $g' : (I')^E \rightarrow I^E$  of varieties over  $K$  induced by  $g$  is dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ . By Lemma 19 applied to the restriction  $k : T \rightarrow I^E$  of  $g'$ , there is a nonempty open subset  $U'' \subset I^E$  such that for every point  $x \in U''$  there is a point  $t \in T$  with the property that  $k(t) = x$  and the field extension  $K(t)/K(x)$  is finite of degree prime to  $p$ .

The variety  $I$  is a nonempty  $G$ -invariant open subset of a vector space  $V$ . Therefore,  $I^E$  is open in the twist  $(V \times E)/G$  of  $V$  by  $E$ . By a variant of the classical Hilbert Theorem 90,  $V^E$  is a vector space over  $K$ , hence  $V^E \simeq V_K$ . It follows that  $I^E \approx I_K$  over  $K$ . Therefore, as  $K$  is an infinite field, the  $K$ -points of  $I^E$  are everywhere dense. Choose a  $K$ -point  $x \in U'' \subset I^E$ . There is a closed point  $t \in T$  of degree prime to  $p$  such that  $k(t) = x$ . Then  $h(t) \in U \subset Y^E$  is a closed point of degree prime to  $p$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Consider the following diagram with two fiber product squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I & \xleftarrow{p_2} & Y \times I & \xrightarrow{p_1} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Y^I & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \end{array} .$$

As  $I \rightarrow Z$  is versal and  $Y^I \rightarrow Z$  is  $p$ -split, by Proposition 7(2), the torsor  $Y \times I \rightarrow Y^I$  is  $p$ -versal. It follows from Proposition 7(1) that  $Y \rightarrow X$  is  $p$ -versal.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* It was shown in [DR] that if  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a versal torsor, the rational points are dense in  $Y$ . The  $p$ -local analog is false if  $p > 0$ .

**Example 6.** Let  $p = 2$  and  $G = \mu_3$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic not 3 such that  $G(F) = 1$ . If  $K/F$  is a field extension and  $a \in K^\times$ , write  $K_a := K[x]/(x^3 - a)$  and set  $Y_a = \text{Spec } K_a$ . Then  $Y_a \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  is a  $G$ -torsor and every  $G$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec } K$  is of this form. If  $a \in K^{\times 3}$ , the torsor  $Y_a$  is trivial. Otherwise,  $K_a$  is a field, hence  $Y_a$  is a variety. Therefore, a nontrivial  $G$ -torsor  $Y_a$  is split over the cubic field extension  $K_a/K$ . It follows that the trivial  $G$ -torsor  $G \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$  is 2-versal. But since  $G = \text{Spec } F + \text{Spec } L$ , where  $L/F$  is a quadratic field extension, the closed points of  $G$  of odd degree are not dense in  $G$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor. Then  $BG$  is a stable  $p$ -retract of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* As  $Y^I \xrightarrow{\text{s.b.}} X$ , we have  $Y^I \triangleleft X$  by Corollary 6. In view of Proposition 8, the morphism  $Y^I \rightarrow Z$  is  $p$ -split. Therefore,  $Z$  is a  $p$ -retract of  $Y^I$ , i.e.,  $Z <_p Y^I$ . Finally,  $Z \triangleleft_p X$  by Corollary 5.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$ . Then  $BG$  is  $p$ -retract rational if and only if there is a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $X$  a rational variety.*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ : Choose a standard  $G$ -torsor  $I \rightarrow Z$  over  $F$ . By assumption,  $Z$  is a  $p$ -retract of a rational variety  $X$ , i.e., there is a  $p$ -split rational dominant morphism  $f : X \dashrightarrow Z$ . Shrinking  $X$ , we may assume that  $f$  is regular. Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be the pull-back of  $I \rightarrow Z$  with respect to  $f$ . By Proposition 7(2), the torsor  $Y \rightarrow X$  is  $p$ -versal.

$\Leftarrow$ : Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $p$ -versal  $G$ -torsor with  $X$  a rational variety. By Theorem 9,  $BG \triangleleft_p X$ . As  $X$  is rational,  $BG$  is  $p$ -retract rational.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$ . Then  $BG$  is retract rational if and only if all  $G$ -torsors over field extensions of  $F$  can be rationally parameterized, i.e., there is a versal  $G$ -torsor  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $X$  a rational variety.*

Note that in the case  $G$  is a finite group and  $F$  is infinite, the corollary was proved in [DM, Lem. 5].

## 5. An example

The classifying space of the alternating group  $A_n$  is stably rational if  $n \leq 5$  (see [Ma] and [CS2, §4.7]). The case  $n \geq 6$  remains open.

**Theorem 12.** *The classifying space  $BA_n$  of the alternating group  $A_n$  is  $p$ -retract rational for every prime integer  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime integer.

*Case 1:*  $p = \text{char}(F)$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A_n$ . The space  $BP$  is stably rational by Example 4. As  $BA_n <_p BP$  in view of Example 5, the classifying space  $BA_n$  is  $p$ -retract rational.

*Case 2:*  $p \neq \text{char}(F)$  and  $p$  is odd. We prove that  $BA_n$  is  $p$ -retract rational by induction on  $n$ . Let  $m := [n/p]$ . Consider the subgroup  $H := C^m \rtimes A_m$  of  $A_n$ , where  $C := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $F' := F(\xi_p)$ , where  $\xi_p$  is a primitive root of unity of degree  $p$ . We consider  $C$  as the subgroup generated by  $\xi_p$  of the quasi-trivial torus  $S := R_{F'/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$  over  $F$  and set  $T := S/C$ .

The group  $C$  acts by multiplication by  $p$ -th roots of unity on the affine space  $\mathbb{A}(F')$  of  $F'$  over  $F$ . Therefore,  $H$  acts faithfully naturally linearly on the affine space  $\mathbb{A}(F'^m)$ . As  $S^m$  is an open  $H$ -invariant subset of  $\mathbb{A}(F'^m)$ , we have

$$BH \stackrel{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} S^m/H = T^m/A_m. \quad (1)$$

The torus  $T$  is split by the cyclic cyclotomic field extension  $F'/F$ . Choose a flasque resolution  $1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow P \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$  of  $T$  split by  $F'/F$  (see [CS1]). As every flasque module over a cyclic group is invertible (see [EM]), there is a torus  $S'$  such that the torus  $S \times S'$  is quasi-split. By [CS1, §2], there is a torus  $T'$  over  $F$  split by  $F'/F$  such that the torus  $T \times T'$  is rational. The group  $A_m$  acts by permutations on  $T^m \times T'^m$ , hence

$$BA_m \stackrel{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} (T^m \times T'^m)/A_m. \quad (2)$$

The generic fiber of the projection  $f : (T^m \times T'^m)/A_m \rightarrow T^m/A_m$  is equal to

$$(T'^m \times \text{Spec } L)/A_m,$$

where  $L := F(T'^m)$ . This is a torus  $\tilde{T}$  over  $K := F(T'^m/A_m) = L^{A_m}$  split by  $F' \otimes_F L$ . As  $K$  is infinite, the  $K$ -rational points are dense in the torus  $\tilde{T}$ , i.e.,  $f$  is split and hence

$$T^m/A_m < (T^m \times T'^m)/A_m. \quad (3)$$

It follows from (1), (2) and (3) that  $BH$  is a stable retract of  $BA_m$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $BA_m$  is  $p$ -retract rational, then so is  $BH$ . Since the index  $[A_n : H]$  is prime to  $p$ , we have  $BA_n <_p BH$  by Example 5. Therefore,  $BA_n$  is  $p$ -retract rational.

*Case 3:*  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  and  $p = 2$ . Let  $m := [n/2]$  and let  $B$  be the kernel of the map  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  taking  $(a_i)$  to  $\sum a_i$ . The symmetric group  $S_m$  acts by permutations on  $B$ . The group  $D := B \rtimes S_m$  is a subgroup of  $A_n$ . The group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$  acts on  $\mathbb{A}_F^m = \text{Spec } F[t_1, \dots, t_m]$  by  $t_i \rightarrow \pm t_i$  and  $S_m$  acts by permutations of the  $t_i$ . Therefore,  $D$  acts faithfully and linearly on  $\mathbb{A}_F^m$  with

$$\mathbb{A}_F^m/D = \text{Spec } F[s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, t] \simeq \mathbb{A}_F^m,$$

where  $s_i$  is the  $i$ -th symmetric function on  $t_1^2, \dots, t_m^2$  and  $t = t_1 \cdots t_m$ . Thus,  $BD$  is stably rational. As the index  $[A_n : D]$  is odd,  $BA_n <_2 BD$  by Example 5, and hence  $BA_n$  is 2-retract rational.  $\square$

## 6. Unramified cohomology

For every integer  $j \geq 0$  and a prime integer  $p$ , let  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)$  denote an object in the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups on the big étale site of  $\text{Spec } F$ , where

$$\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j) = \text{colim } (\mu_{p^n})^{\otimes j},$$

if  $p \neq \text{char } F$ , with  $\mu_{p^n}$  the sheaf of  $p^n$ -th roots of unity. If  $p = \text{char } F > 0$ , the complex  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)$  is defined via logarithmic de Rham–Witt differentials (see [I, I.5.7] or [K]). In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(0) = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

If  $X$  is a scheme over  $F$ , we write  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  for the degree  $n$  étale cohomology group of  $X$  with values in  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)$ . If  $X = \text{Spec } R$  for a commutative ring  $R$ , we simply write  $H^n(R, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  for  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ . For example, if  $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$  (see [BM]),

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) = \begin{cases} K_j^M(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{if } n = j; \\ H^1(F, K_j^M(F_{\text{sep}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p), & \text{if } n = j + 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $K_j^M$  are Milnor  $K$ -groups.

If  $L/F$  is a field extension, there is a natural homomorphism

$$\beta_{L/F} : H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(L, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

If  $L/F$  is finite, the norm map for Milnor  $K$ -groups and the corestriction in cohomology yield the norm (corestriction) homomorphism

$$\gamma_{L/F} : H^n(L, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

The composition  $\gamma_{L/F} \circ \beta_{L/F}$  is multiplication by  $[L : F]$ .

We write  $\mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  for the Zariski sheaf on  $X$  associated with the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^n(U, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  denote the local ring of  $X$  at a point  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 13** (see [CHK, §2.1] and [GS, Thm. 1.4]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth variety over  $F$ . Then the pull-back to the generic point yields an injective homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) \\ \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^0(\text{Spec } F(X), \mathcal{H}_{F(X)}^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) = H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)). \end{aligned}$$

*Its image coincides with the intersection of images of the natural homomorphisms*

$$H^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

for all points  $x \in X$  of codimension 1.

Let  $K/F$  be a field extension and  $v$  a discrete valuation of  $K$  over  $F$  with valuation ring  $O_v$ . Following [C], [CO], we say that an element  $a \in H^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  is *unramified with respect to  $v$*  if  $a$  belongs to the image of the map

$$H^n(O_v, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

We write  $H_{\text{nr}}^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  for the subgroup of all elements in  $H^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  that are unramified with respect to all discrete valuations of  $K$  over  $F$ . We have the natural homomorphism

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)). \quad (4)$$

**Proposition 14** ([LM, Prop. 3.1]). *Let  $K/F$  be a purely transcendental field extension. Then the map (4) is an isomorphism.*

Let  $X$  be a smooth variety over  $F$ . If  $x \in X$  is a point of codimension 1, the local ring  $O_{X,x}$  is a discrete valuation ring. It follows from Proposition 13 that the image of the injective homomorphism  $H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) \rightarrow H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  contains the subgroup  $H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ .

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be smooth varieties over  $F$  such that  $X$  is a  $p$ -retract of  $X'$ . Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \end{array}$$

with  $\alpha$  an injective homomorphism.

*Proof.* There is a rational dominant morphism  $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$  and a morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow X'$  with  $\text{Im}(g)$  in the domain of definition of  $f$  such that the composition  $f \circ g$  is dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ . Shrinking  $X'$  and  $Y$ , we may assume that  $f$  is regular. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X', \mathcal{H}_{X'}^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{\text{Zar}}^0(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & & & H^n(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)). \end{array}$$

The maps  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the pull-back homomorphisms induced by the field extensions  $F(X')/F(X)$  and  $F(Y)/F(X)$ , respectively. For every  $a \in \text{Ker}(\beta)$ , we have

$$0 = \gamma(\beta(a)) = [F(Y) : F(X)] \cdot a,$$

where  $\gamma : H^n(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  is the norm homomorphism. As  $[F(Y) : F(X)]$  is prime to  $p$ , we have  $a = 0$ , i.e.,  $\beta$  is injective. It follows that  $\alpha$  is also injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -retract rational smooth variety over  $F$ . Then the natural homomorphism*

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -retract of a rational variety  $X'$ . As  $F(X')$  is purely transcendental over  $F$ , the map

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

is an isomorphism by Proposition 14. The statement now follows from Theorem 15.  $\square$

*Remark 3.* The same argument shows that Corollary 16 holds for the cohomology groups with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}(j)$  for all  $m$  in place of  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)$ .

**Example 7.** Let  $p$  be a prime integer and  $F$  an algebraically closed field of characteristic not  $p$ . The classifying spaces  $BG$  for all  $p$ -groups of order dividing  $p^4$  and 32 are stably rational by [CK] and [CHK]. There are finite groups  $G$  such that  $H_{\text{nr}}^2(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \neq 0$  (see [S]). In [HKK] such groups of order  $p^5$  (if  $p$  odd) and 64 (if  $p = 2$ ) are given. By Corollary 16,  $BG$  is not  $p$ -retract rational for finite groups  $G$  with  $H_{\text{nr}}^2(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \neq 0$ .

**Example 8.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $F$  a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $V$  be a generically free representation of  $G$  and  $I \subset V$  a nonempty  $G$ -invariant open subset together with a  $G$ -torsor  $I \rightarrow Z$ . If  $H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , the  $H$ -torsor  $I \rightarrow S := I/H$  is standard and the degree  $[G : H]$  of the natural dominant morphism  $S \rightarrow Z$  is prime to  $p$ . By Example 5,  $Z <_p S$ , hence  $BG <_p BH$ . In view of Example 4,  $BH$  is stably rational, therefore, the classifying space  $BG$  is  $p$ -retract rational over  $F$ . It follows from Corollary 16 that

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

is an isomorphism.

**Example 9.** It follows from Theorem 12 and Corollary 16 that the natural homomorphism  $H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(BA_n), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$  is an isomorphism for all  $p$ . In the case  $F$  is algebraically closed this was proved in [BP].

## 7. Appendix

In the appendix we collect a few technical results used in the paper.

**Lemma 17** ([KM, Lem. 3.3]). *Let  $K'/K$  be a field extension of finite degree prime to  $p$ , and  $K \rightarrow L$  a field homomorphism. Then there exist a field extension  $L'/L$  of finite degree prime to  $p$  and a field homomorphism  $K' \rightarrow L'$  extending  $K \rightarrow L$ .*

**Corollary 18.** *Let  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of varieties over  $F$ , and let  $x' \in X'$  and  $x \in X$  be points such that  $f(x') = x$  and the field extension  $F(x')/F(x)$  is finite of degree prime to  $p$ . Let  $L/F$  be a field extension and  $v : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X$  a morphism over  $F$  with image  $\{x\}$ . Then there exists a field extension  $L'/L$  of finite degree prime to  $p$  and a commutative diagram of morphisms over  $F$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } L' & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } L \\ v' \downarrow & & v \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

such that  $\text{Im}(v') = \{x'\}$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 17 to the field extension  $F(x')/F(x)$  and the field homomorphism  $F(x) \rightarrow L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 19** ([Me, Lem. 6.2]). *Let  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of varieties over  $F$  of degree prime to  $p$ . Then there is a nonempty open subset  $U \subset X$  such that the restriction  $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is finite flat and for every  $x \in U$  there exists a point  $x' \in X'$  with  $f(x') = x$  and the degree  $[F(x') : F(x)]$  is prime to  $p$ .*

**Lemma 20** ([Me, Lem. 6.3]). *Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h : Y' \rightarrow Y$  be morphisms of varieties over  $F$ . Let  $y \in Y$  be the image of the generic point of  $X$ . Suppose that there is a point  $y' \in Y'$  such that  $h(y') = y$  and  $[F(y') : F(y)]$  is finite and prime to  $p$ . Then there exists a commutative square of morphisms of varieties*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{m} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

with  $m$  dominant of finite degree prime to  $p$ .

**Lemma 21** ([GLL, Prop. 6.8]). *Let  $X$  be a regular algebraic variety over a field  $F$ ,  $p$  a prime integer and  $S$  the set of all closed points in  $X$  of degree prime to  $p$ . Then if  $S$  is nonempty, then  $S$  is dense in  $X$ .*

## References

[BK] Ф. А. Богомолов, П. И. Кацыло, *Рациональность некоторых фактор-многообразий*, Матем. сб. **126(168)** (1985), ном. 4, 584–589. Engl. transl.: F. A. Bogomolov, P. I. Katsylo, *Rationality of some quotient varieties*, Mat. USSR-Sb. **54** (1986), no. 2, 571–576.

- [BM] S. Blinstein, A. Merkurjev, *Cohomological invariants of algebraic tori*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 7, 1643–1684.
- [BP] F. Bogomolov, T. Petrov, *Unramified cohomology of alternating groups*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **9** (2011), no. 5, 936–948.
- [CHKP] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M.-C. Kang, Y. G. Prokhorov, *Noether’s problem for groups of order 32*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 7, 3022–3035.
- [CK] H. Chu, M.-C. Kang, *Rationality of  $p$ -group actions*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), no. 2, 673–690.
- [C] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture*, in: *K-Theory and Algebraic Geometry: Connections with Quadratic Forms and Division Algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 1–64.
- [CHK] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. T. Hoobler, B. Kahn, *The Bloch–Ogus–Gabber theorem*, in: *Algebraic K-Theory* (Toronto, ON, 1996), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 31–94.
- [CO] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Ojanguren, *Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles: au-delà de l’exemple d’Artin et Mumford*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 1, 141–158.
- [CS1] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, *La  $R$ -équivalence sur les tores*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 2, 175–229.
- [CS2] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, *The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups (with special regards to the Brauer group)*, in: *Algebraic Groups and Homogeneous Spaces*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007, pp. 113–186.
- [DM] F. R. DeMeyer, *Generic polynomials*, J. Algebra **84** (1983), no. 2, 441–448.
- [DR] A. Duncan, Z. Reichstein, *Versality of algebraic group actions and rational points on twisted varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), no. 3, 499–530, with an appendix containing a letter from J.-P. Serre.
- [EM] S. Endô, T. Miyata, *On a classification of the function fields of algebraic tori*, Nagoya Math. J. **56** (1975), 85–104.
- [G] W. Gaschütz, *Fixkörper von  $p$ -Automorphismengruppen rein-transzendener Körpererweiterungen von  $p$ -Charakteristik*, Math. Z. **71** (1959), 466–468.
- [GLL] O. Gabber, Q. Liu, D. Lorenzini, *The index of an algebraic variety*, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), no. 3, 567–626.
- [GMS] R. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev, J.-P. Serre, *Cohomological Invariants in Galois Cohomology*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [GS] M. Gros, N. Suwa, *La conjecture de Gersten pour les faisceaux de Hodge–Witt logarithmique*, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), no. 2, 615–628.
- [HKK] A. Hoshi, M.-C. Kang, B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether’s problem and unramified Brauer groups*, Asian J. Math. **17** (2013), no. 4, 689–713.
- [I] L. Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham–Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.
- [JLY] C. U. Jensen, A. Ledet, N. Yui, *Generic Polynomials. Constructive Aspects of the Inverse Galois Problem*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Vol. 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

- [K] B. Kahn, *Applications of weight-two motivic cohomology*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), no. 17, 395–416.
- [KM] N. A. Karpenko, A. S. Merkurjev, *Essential dimension of finite  $p$ -groups*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 491–508.
- [LM] D. Laackman, A. Merkurjev, *Degree three cohomological invariants of reductive groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **91** (2016), no. 3, 493–518.
- [Ma] T. Maeda, *Noether’s problem for  $A_5$* , J. Algebra **125** (1989), no. 2, 418–430.
- [Me] A. S. Merkurjev, *Essential dimension*, in: *Quadratic Forms—Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry*, Contemp. Math., Vol. 493, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 299–325.
- [R] Z. Reichstein, *A note on retracts and lattices (after D. J. Saltman)*, preprint, <https://www.math.ubc.ca/~reichst/retract6.pdf> (2006).
- [S] D. J. Saltman, *Noether’s problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 71–84.
- [V] A. Vistoli, *Grothendieck topologies, fibered categories and descent theory*, in: *Fundamental Algebraic Geometry*, Math. Surveys Monogr., Vol. 123, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 1–104.