


Functional Group Interconversion of Alkylidenemalononitriles to Primary Alcohols by a Cooperative Redox Operation

Fabien Emmetiere

Alexander J. Grenning*

Department of Chemistry, University of Florida,
PO Box 117200, Gainesville, FL 32611-7200, USA
grenning@ufl.edu



Received: 11.05.2020

Accepted after revision: 09.06.2020

Published online: 21.07.2020

DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1707184; Art ID: ss-2020-m0253-op


Abstract Functional group interconversions are essential chemical processes enabling synthesis. In this report, we describe a strategy to convert alkylidenemalononitriles into primary alcohols in one step. The reaction relies on a choreographed redox process involving alkylidene reduction, malononitrile oxidation, and acylcyanide reduction where molecular oxygen and NaBH₄ work cooperatively. The method was applied to a variety of carbon skeletons and was utilized to synthesize complex terpenoid architectures.

Key words Knoevenagel adducts, malononitriles, oxidative decyanation, redox processes, terpenoid synthesis

Alkylidenemalononitriles are easily available, versatile building blocks that can be manipulated in various ways.¹ For example, they can undergo conjugate addition^{2–5} or reduction^{6,7} to yield alkylmalononitriles. In turn, alkylmalononitriles can be processed to malonic acid derivatives,⁸ reduced to 1,3-diamines,^{9,10} and undergo reductive^{11,12} and oxidative decyanation reactions.^{13–18} Most relevant to this work, Hayashi and co-workers developed an elegant oxidative protocol to convert malononitriles into amides or esters using molecular oxygen as the oxidant via the intermediacy of an acylcyanide (Scheme 1A).^{19,20} We have been developing routes to a variety of complex scaffolds where alkylmalononitriles and/or alkylidenemalononitriles are key intermediates.²¹ As such, we have invested interest in developing new alkylidenemalononitrile functional group interconversion reactions.

Inspired by Hayashi's work, we proposed that alkylidenemalononitriles could be converted into 1° alcohols by simultaneous reaction with a reductant and an oxidant. While each fundamental step in the sequence is well understood (conjugate reduction, malononitrile oxidation, acylcyanide reduction), we were intrigued by this sequence for two main reasons: (1) Alkylidenemalononitriles are valuable building blocks and new ways to manipulate them

would be generally valuable to chemical synthesis and (2) the transformation would require a cooperative redox operation: Could a reductant and an oxidant cohabitate a reaction medium to achieve an alternating reduction/oxidation/reduction sequence without neutralizing themselves? If conditions and compatible reductant and oxidant could be identified, then alkylidenemalononitriles could be directly converted into 1° alcohols (Scheme 1B). While there are obvious challenges to redox transformations of this type, there are known and effective combinations of reductant/oxidant for chemical transformations.^{22–27} For example, molecular oxygen is compatible with mild reductants such as borohydrides (NaBH₄, Bu₄NBH₄)^{25,28–33} and silanes (Et₃SiH and PhSiH₃)^{34–38} and these redox partners have been utilized to achieve a variety of transformations, such as aerobic oxidation of alkenes or hydrogenation reactions.^{39,40} In terms of other oxidants, *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide has been used in combination with PhSiH₃ to achieve alkene reductions via metal-catalyzed hydrogen atom transfer (HAT).^{41–44} As a final example, Toste and co-workers have shown that phase separation of oxopiperidinium (oxidant; aqueous phase) and Hantzsch ester (reductant; solid phase) could allow for controlled redox transformation.⁴⁵ Inspired by this




Scheme 1 A: Relevant oxidative decyanation strategies.^{19,20} B: This report: alkylidenemalononitrile functional group interconversion to 1° alcohol by a redox transformation.

literature precedent, we now report that the combination of oxygen and NaBH₄ can interconvert alkylidenemalononitriles to 1° alcohols by an alternating reduction/oxidation/reduction sequence.


To begin our studies, we optimized the proposed redox functional group interconversion as described in Table 1. Utilizing model substrate **1**, our first success was realized when the transformation was performed in polar solvents with oxygen (1 atm) as the oxidant and NaBH₄ as the reductant (entries 2–4). Choice of solvent was crucial as we observed significant amounts of methyl ester byproduct in methanol^{19,20} and no conversion in nonpolar solvents due to insolubility of the NaBH₄, presumably (entry 1). As previously reported by Hayashi and co-workers,^{19,20} the reaction had to be performed under strictly anhydrous conditions to prevent the formation of carboxylic acid byproducts. The use of molecular sieves was therefore imperative to maximize conversion into the desired product. Addition of potassium carbonate in the reaction mixture favored the formation of desired product **3a** (entries 5, 6). We speculate that the addition of a base in the system results in a higher concentration of alkylmalononitrile anion, which the transformation must progress through. Finally, by adjusting the reaction time and temperature to 1.5 hours and 50 °C respectively, we were able to form **3a** as a sole product during this transformation (entry 8). Interestingly, the reaction could be conducted under a constant flow of air (entry 9), though the transformation was significantly slower. It should also be noted that the conversion from **1** into **2** and/or **3a** was excellent in all cases. Indeed, reduction of the alkylidenemalononitrile was extremely facile (completed within minutes, observable by TLC). We found that the rate-determining step was the oxidation from **2** to **3a** which was considerably slower.

Table 1 Optimization of the Reaction Conditions


						
Entry	Base (2 equiv.)	Solvent (0.1 M)	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Ratio 2/3a	% Conversion (NMR)
1	–	toluene	rt	1	0:0	0
2	–	DMSO	rt	1	50:50	95
3	–	MeCN	rt	1	47:53	97
4	–	DMF	rt	1	33:66	96
5	K ₂ CO ₃	MeCN	rt	1	40:60	98
6	K ₂ CO ₃	DMF	rt	1	30:70	>99
7	K ₂ CO ₃	DMF	rt	4.5	17:83	>99
8	K₂CO₃	DMF	50	1.5	0:100	>99
9 ^a	K ₂ CO ₃	DMF	50	12	73:27	>99

^a Reaction performed under air instead of O₂.

Having found the optimized conditions, we next explored the scope utilizing a variety of alkylidenemalononitriles (Scheme 2). The initial model substrate **1** was converted into its respective 1° alcohol **3a** in 62% yield. A variety of other arene-containing products **3b–3d** were successfully prepared. As the transformation was optimized for a mild reductant, NaBH₄, chemoselective transformation of the alkylidenemalononitrile can be achieved over other reducible functional groups such as esters, alkenes, and carbamates (e.g., **3e–3g**, respectively). Considering this, we prepared an α -ester-functionalized alkylidenemalononitrile which can undergo the desired functional group interconversion to the 1° alcohol with concomitant lactonization to **3m** in 65% yield. Similarly, oxygen is a fairly gentle oxidant. We found that e^- -rich arenes (**3h**), and to a less extent pyridines (**3i**), could be tolerated in the transformation. We also uncovered some functional groups that were only modestly tolerated including allenes, phenols, alkylamines, and alkynes (**3j–3l**, **3n**, respectively). We suspect that these compounds are sensitive to the NaBH₄/O₂ conditions. An increased tolerance to these functional groups will be investigated in the future.




Based on our scope from Scheme 2 and a comparison of literature strategies to prepare some of these molecules, it became apparent that this sequence (Knoevenagel condensation and redox functional group interconversion) is an attractive alternative to the known methods. For example, it is common to take a commercial ketone and yield the targeted alcohol **3g** by Wittig olefination and BH_3 reduction (Scheme 3).^{46,47} Knoevenagel condensation and O_2/NaBH_4 functional group interconversion have the potential to be a more practical/operationally simple two-step sequence as it avoids pyrophoric and air-sensitive butyllithium and boranes.




Scheme 3 Comparison of a common literature route to this report's method for converting a ketone into a homologated alcohol

Having a better understanding of the substrate scope and limitations for alkylidenemalononitrile redox functional group interconversion to 1° alcohols, we wished to apply this transformation to γ -allyl-alkylidenemalononitriles (Scheme 4A and Scheme 5). Such scaffolds would be readily available from alkylidenemalononitriles and allylic electrophiles by deconjugative allylation yielding 3,3-dicyano-1,5-dienes followed by thermal Cope rearrangement.^{21,48–53} Thus alkylidenemalononitrile redox functional group interconversion to 1° alcohols would provide a straightforward route to 1,6-hexenols **4a–4d**. Notably, such products would bear resemblance to 'oxy-Cope products',^{54–57} but via an alternative route (Scheme 4A vs Scheme 4B). To examine this, we prepared several γ -allyl-alkylidenemalononitriles or reduced variants. Regarding the reduced variants, in some of our previous work, we developed 'reductive Cope rearrangements' to promote thermodynamically favorable Cope rearrangements.^{49,53} **2b–2d** (Scheme 5) were prepared as such. Regarding the redox functional group interconversion to 1° alcohols, the reaction was successful at yielding a variety of 1,6-hexenols with unique substitution patterns and functional groups. In this regard, **4a** bears a ketal and a 1,3-diphenylallyl moiety, **4b** is monoterpene derived (myrtenol), and **4d** has an additional ester functional group.

1,6-Hexenols, such as those prepared in Scheme 5, are polyfunctional and can be utilized in chemical synthesis to make valuable scaffolds. As final aspect of this study, we aimed to demonstrate that terpenoid-like scaffolds can be accessed by straightforward sequences (Scheme 6). Starting




Scheme 4 Routes to building blocks with 1,5-enols



Scheme 5 Synthesis of 5-hexen-1-ols by redox functional group interconversion

from a common precursor **4a**, we were able to access 6,7-bicyclic ring system **5a** in four steps: (i) alcohol oxidation, (ii) Brown allylation, (iii) ring-closing metathesis, and (iv) alcohol oxidation. Notably, multiple consecutive steps could be telescoped reducing the need of intermediate purifications (see the Supporting Information for details). Thus, yields are reported after the final step when chromatographic purification was performed. **5a** maps well onto the daphnane and tiglane terpenoid scaffolds, among others, and bears a variety of other functionality for further chemical transformation. A few other related scaffolds **6–9** were prepared by similar telescoped sequences.

In conclusion, we have developed a strategy to convert alkylidenemalononitriles into primary alcohols. The reaction relies on a choreographed redox process involving alkylidene reduction, malononitrile oxidation, and acylcyanide reduction where molecular oxygen and NaBH_4 work



cooperatively. The method was applied to a variety of carbon skeletons and was utilized to synthesize complex terpenoid architectures. Future directions will include utilization of the transformation in synthesis and further optimization and scope studies as needed.

All commercial materials were used without further purification. Knoevenagel adducts were synthesized from modified literature procedures that are referenced along the way. All other synthetic protocols are outlined below. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ using a 400 MHz or 600 MHz Varian VNMRs spectrometer (with CHCl₃ residual peak as an internal standard) unless specified otherwise. All ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with complete proton decoupling. HRMS data were recorded on an Agilent Time-of-Flight 6200 spectrometer. Reaction progress was monitored by TLC and visualized by UV light (fluorescence observed by eye after excitation under UV light), phosphomolybdic acid stain, and KMnO₄ stain. Compounds were purified via silica gel column chromatography using hexanes/ethyl acetate (Hex/EtOAc) solvent mixtures unless specified otherwise. Notation: Hex/EtOAc 10% reads as 'mixture of 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes'. All reactions were carried out using anhydrous solvents obtained dried by passing through activated alumina columns. Solvents used for oxidative decyanations were freeze-pump-thawed three times before use.

One-Pot Oxidative Decyanation of Alkylidenemalononitriles to Alcohols; General Procedures A-1 and A-2

The corresponding alkylidenemalononitrile (procedure A-1) or alkylmalononitrile (procedure A-2) substrate (1 equiv.) was dissolved in DMF (0.1 M) in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under positive pressure N₂

along with 3 Å molecular sieves (~20 mg/mL of solvent). Using an O₂ balloon, O₂ gas was gently bubbled through the reaction mixture using a long needle through the reaction flask septum. O₂ was bubbled for 10 min. After 10 min, NaBH₄ (4 equiv. for alkylidenemalononitriles and 2 equiv. for alkylmalononitriles) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2–5 min (until effervescence stopped). Then, K₂CO₃ (2 equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C. O₂ was bubbled through the mixture until reaction completion. Once completion was achieved (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to rt and the O₂ balloon was removed. The excess NaBH₄ was slowly quenched with H₂O (1 mL) and the reaction mixture was diluted with 2 M HCl_(aq) (5 times the reaction volume). The resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc three times (2 times the aqueous phase volume). The combined organic layers were washed with 2 M HCl_(aq) (equal volume as the organic phase) and brine (equal volume as the organic phase), and dried over Na₂SO₄. The EtOAc was removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc).

Reduction of Alkylidenemalononitriles with NaBH₄; General Procedure B

The corresponding alkylidenemalononitrile adduct (1 equiv.) was dissolved in MeOH (0.3 M) at 0 °C in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N₂. NaBH₄ (1.5 equiv.) was then added and the reaction progress was monitored by TLC. Once completion was achieved, the excess NaBH₄ was slowly quenched with H₂O (1 mL) and the reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (5 times the reaction volume). The resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc three times (2 times the aqueous phase volume). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

Oxidation of Primary or Secondary Alcohols to Aldehydes or Ketones; General Procedure C

The corresponding alcohol (1 equiv.) was dissolved in DCM (0.2 M) at rt and pyridinium chlorochromate (1.5 equiv.) was added. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short pad of silica gel with elution with the corresponding Hex/EtOAc mixture. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

TiCl₄-Catalyzed Allylboration of Aldehydes; General Procedure D-1

The corresponding aldehyde (1 equiv.) was dissolved in toluene (0.2 M) at rt in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N₂. Allylboronic acid pinacol ester (1.5 equiv.) was then added dropwise at rt, followed by TiCl₄ (1 mol%). After reaction completion, the mixture was diluted with H₂O (same volume as the reaction mixture) and extracted with EtOAc three times (1/3 of the total volume each time). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

Allylboration of Aldehydes; General Procedure D-2

The corresponding aldehyde (1 equiv.) was dissolved in toluene (0.2 M) at rt in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N₂. Allylboronic acid pinacol ester (1.5 equiv.) was then added dropwise at rt and the reaction

mixture was heated at 80 °C. After reaction completion, the mixture was diluted with H₂O (same volume as the reaction mixture) and extracted with EtOAc three times (1/3 of the total volume each time). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

Grignard Addition to Aldehydes; General Procedure E

The corresponding aldehyde (1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF (0.2 M) in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N₂. The reaction mixture was then cooled to –78 °C. Vinylmagnesium bromide solution (1.3 equiv., 1 M in THF) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at –78 °C. After reaction completion, the mixture was allowed to reach rt and was quenched with 2 M HCl_(aq) (3 times the reaction volume). The resulting mixture was extracted 3 times with EtOAc (1/3 of the total volume, 3 times). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

Ring-Closing Metathesis; General Procedure F

The corresponding diene (1 equiv.) was dissolved in toluene (0.05 M) in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N₂. Grubbs 2nd generation catalyst (1 mol%) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C overnight. After reaction completion, the toluene was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc).

Wittig Reaction on Aldehydes; General Procedure G

Methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (2 equiv.) was dissolved in THF (1 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Potassium *tert*-butoxide (2.5 equiv.) was then added at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 15 min. After 15 min, the desired aldehyde (1 equiv.) in THF (0.4 mL, 0.1 M final concentration) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was slowly allowed to reach rt overnight. After 14 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated NH₄Cl_(aq) solution (10 mL) and was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (15 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc).

2-Benzyl-3-phenylpropan-1-ol (3a)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 54.7 mg, 62%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 15%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁵⁸

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.29 (m, 4 H), 7.23–7.16 (m, 6 H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.70 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.66 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.19–2.10 (m, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.6, 129.3, 128.5, 126.1, 64.2, 44.7, 37.6.

(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)methanol (3b)

Prepared from general procedure A-2 (pale yellow oil, 31 mg, 75%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁵⁹

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.15–7.07 (m, 4 H), 3.69–3.60 (m, 2 H), 2.91 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.87 (m, 2 H), 2.60–2.46 (m, 1 H), 2.06–1.93 (m, 2 H), 1.90–1.78 (m, 1 H), 1.46 (tddd, *J* = 11.6, 9.9, 5.8, 1.9 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 136.9, 136.0, 129.3, 129.0, 125.7, 125.7, 67.8, 37.2, 32.5, 28.8, 26.0.

(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)methanol (3c)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (pale yellow oil, 44 mg, 53%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 10%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁶⁰

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.25–7.08 (m, 4 H), 3.81 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.04–2.94 (m, 1 H), 2.77 (td, *J* = 5.8, 3.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.96–1.81 (m, 3 H), 1.81–1.70 (m, 1 H), 1.49 (bs, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 138.3, 136.8, 129.5, 128.9, 126.3, 125.8, 67.3, 40.4, 29.9, 25.3, 19.9.

(4-Phenylcyclohexyl)methanol (3d)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 56 mg, 65%, 2.5:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁶¹ See ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **3d** in the supporting information for more details.

Ethyl 4-(Hydroxymethyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (3e)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 25 mg, 56%, 2:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁶² See ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **3e** in the supporting information for more details.

((5R)-2-Methyl-5-(prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexyl)methanol (3f)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 56 mg, 90%, 14:2:1:1 mixture of isomers, major reported). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 10%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.68 (dd, *J* = 1.8, 1.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.52 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.45 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.03 (dq, *J* = 7.1, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.91 (tt, *J* = 12.1, 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.78 (ddd, *J* = 12.6, 7.9, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.72 (s, 3 H), 1.65–1.59 (m, 1 H), 1.57 (q, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.55–1.49 (m, 2 H), 1.40 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.36–1.31 (m, 1 H), 1.09 (q, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 0.84 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 150.8, 108.3, 66.5, 45.4, 43.3, 33.4, 28.5, 28.3, 25.9, 21.1, 12.1.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + NH₄]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₂₄NO: 186.1552; found: 186.1556.

tert-Butyl (1R,3S,5S)-3-(Hydroxymethyl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-8-carboxylate (3g)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (pale yellow oil, 20 mg, 45%, 11:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 30% to 40%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁴⁷

¹H NMR (400 MHz, toluene-*d*₈, 80 °C): δ = 4.21 (bs, 2 H), 3.10 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.79–1.58 (m, 4 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H), 1.43–1.37 (m, 2 H), 1.37–1.32 (m, 2 H), 1.32–1.27 (m, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, toluene-*d*₈, 80 °C): δ = 153.0, 78.0, 67.5, 53.3, 33.8, 31.3, 28.2, 28.0.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₂₄NO₃: 242.1761; found: 242.1750.

3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (3h)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 52 mg, 60%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 30%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁶³

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.82–6.76 (m, 1 H), 6.73–6.68 (m, 2 H), 3.86 (dd, *J* = 3.8, 0.9 Hz, 6 H), 3.53 (ddt, *J* = 10.5, 5.9, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (ddt, *J* = 10.4, 6.0, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.70 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.38 (dd, *J* = 13.6, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.00–1.85 (m, 1 H), 1.42 (bs, 1 H), 0.92 (dd, *J* = 6.8, 1.0 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 148.9, 147.4, 133.3, 121.1, 112.4, 111.19, 67.9, 56.0, 39.5, 38.0, 16.7.

2-(Pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-ol (3i)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (yellow oil, 36 mg, 20%, impure). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 40%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁶⁴

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.47 (s, 1 H), 8.42 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (dt, *J* = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 5.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.87 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.89 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.8, 145.4, 140.0, 137.6, 125.1, 62.1, 35.8.

2-Cyclopropylhexa-4,5-dien-1-ol (3j)

Prepared from general procedure A-2 (colorless oil, 2 mg, 11%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5% to 20%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.15 (dq, *J* = 7.9, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.66 (dt, *J* = 6.8, 2.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.25–2.13 (m, 2 H), 1.50 (bs, 1 H), 0.87 (ddq, *J* = 9.5, 7.3, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 0.65–0.56 (m, 1 H), 0.52–0.47 (m, 2 H), 0.23–0.17 (m, 1 H), 0.16–0.12 (m, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.1, 88.0, 74.5, 66.4, 46.5, 31.1, 13.1, 3.8, 3.4.

5-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-2-methoxyphenol (3k)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (pale yellow oil, 21 mg, 22%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 30%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁶⁵

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.81 (s, 1 H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.82 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.77 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.8, 145.4, 131.7, 120.6, 115.2, 110.9, 63.8, 56.1, 38.6.

(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)methanol (3l)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 72 mg, 17%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20%. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data matched reported values.⁶⁶

¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol-*d*₄): δ = 7.44 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.39–7.35 (m, 3 H), 4.01 (s, 2 H), 3.40 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.93 (dt, *J* = 11.9, 2.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.61 (ddd, *J* = 13.4, 11.9, 3.2 Hz, 2 H), 1.99 (qd, *J* = 13.0, 3.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.56 (ddt, *J* = 13.9, 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.36 (dddd, *J* = 16.6, 12.3, 6.6, 2.4 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, methanol-*d*₄): δ = 134.4, 132.3, 129.8, 129.0, 71.2, 67.5, 57.6, 38.7, 25.4.

3,3-Diallyl-4-methyldihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (3m)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 90 mg, 65%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.88–5.77 (m, 1 H), 5.77–5.66 (m, 1 H), 5.18–5.14 (m, 2 H), 5.14–5.07 (m, 2 H), 4.29 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.80 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.59 (ddq, *J* = 10.0, 8.1, 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.45 (ddt, *J* = 14.1, 6.3, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.34 (ddt, *J* = 14.2, 7.2, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.24 (ddt, *J* = 12.9, 8.5, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.19 (ddt, *J* = 13.1, 7.6, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.05 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 180.0, 133.1, 132.8, 119.5, 119.4, 71.4, 48.3, 39.3, 37.0, 35.9, 11.2.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₇O₂: 181.1223; found: 181.1218.

(1S,2S,3R)-3-(Hydroxymethyl)-2-methyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclopentan-1-ol (3n)

Prepared from general procedure A-2 (pale yellow oil, 18 mg, 22%, 20:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20% to 30%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.79 (dd, *J* = 11.1, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.55 (dd, *J* = 11.1, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.37 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.12–2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.99 (t, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.94–1.86 (m, 1 H), 1.81 (dddd, *J* = 11.3, 9.6, 6.5, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.65 (dddd, *J* = 13.4, 9.7, 3.4, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.11 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 83.1, 80.0, 70.1, 63.1, 48.2, 48.0, 32.4, 26.1, 24.3, 22.1.

((7S,8S)-7-((R,E)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-8-yl)methanol (4a)

Prepared from general procedure A-1 (white foam, 265 mg and 72 mg for **4a** and **iso-4a** respectively, 53%, 3.5:1 dr, separable diastereomers, major reported). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 25% to 30%. Characterization of **iso-4a** is available in the Supporting Information.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.34–7.24 (m, 6 H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 4 H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.34 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.95 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.90–3.80 (m, 2 H), 3.79–3.64 (m, 3 H), 3.27 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.36 (tt, *J* = 12.0, 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.14 (dt, *J* = 9.4, 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.07 (dt, *J* = 9.9, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.71–1.63 (m, 2 H), 1.64–1.57 (m, 1 H), 1.35–1.17 (m, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 143.8, 137.6, 133.2, 130.6, 129.2, 128.9, 128.1, 127.7, 126.8, 126.6, 109.5, 64.5, 64.5, 59.1, 53.0, 42.5, 37.1, 35.2, 30.4, 24.8.

(S)-3-((1R,3R,5S)-6,6-Dimethyl-2-methylenebicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-yl)-4-phenylbutan-1-ol (4b)

Prepared from general procedure A-2 (colorless oil, 60 mg, 67%, >20:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 10%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.30–7.26 (m, 2 H), 7.20–7.14 (m, 3 H), 4.93 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.87 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.58 (ddd, *J* = 10.5, 7.4, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.47 (dt, *J* = 10.5, 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.00–2.87 (m, 2 H), 2.54 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.36 (dtd, *J* = 9.9, 6.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.26 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 4.6, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.19 (t, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.05 (tt, *J* = 5.9, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.96 (dddd, *J* = 13.9, 10.6, 3.3, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (ddd, *J* = 13.7, 5.8, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.60–1.48 (m, 2 H), 1.29 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 0.88 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 154.8, 141.9, 129.4, 128.4, 125.9, 107.4, 61.7, 53.4, 41.6, 41.1, 40.4, 36.9, 36.1, 33.6, 29.2, 26.4, 22.1.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₉O: 285.2213; found: 285.2220.

(3*S*,4*S*)-3-Benzyl-4-phenylhex-5-en-1-ol (4c)

Prepared from general procedure A-2 (pale yellow oil, 44 mg, 59%, 10:1 dr, major reported). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 10%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26 (m, 5 H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 5 H), 6.08 (ddd, *J* = 17.0, 10.2, 9.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.19 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.12 (ddd, *J* = 17.0, 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.60–3.48 (m, 2 H), 3.30 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.65 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.46 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.30–2.19 (m, 1 H), 1.73 (dddd, *J* = 13.9, 7.8, 7.0, 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.59–1.49 (m, 1 H), 0.95 (bs, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 143.8, 141.1, 139.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.1, 126.4, 126.1, 117.0, 61.3, 53.3, 42.0, 38.7, 33.6.

(2*S*,3*S*)-3-Benzyl-5-hydroxy-2-vinylpentyl Acetate (4d)

Prepared from general procedure A-2 (colorless oil, 17.5 mg, 39%, 2:1 dr, major reported). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20% to 30%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.31–7.12 (m, 5 H), 5.74 (ddd, *J* = 17.2, 10.4, 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.23–5.17 (m, 1 H), 5.15–5.09 (m, 1 H), 4.16 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.61–3.52 (m, 2 H), 2.80 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.63–2.56 (m, 1 H), 2.38 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 9.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.06 (s, 3 H), 1.64–1.46 (m, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.2, 140.9, 136.2, 129.2, 128.5, 126.2, 118.3, 65.4, 60.9, 45.1, 38.0, 37.1, 34.0, 21.1.

1-((7*S*,8*S*)-7-((*R*,*E*)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-8-yl)but-3-en-1-ol (5a-SI-2 and iso-5a-SI-2)

Prepared from **4a** via general procedure C followed by general procedure D-2 (white foam, 15 mg and 32 mg respectively, 80% over 2 steps, 2:1 dr). The intermediate after general procedure C was used crude without further purification. As the newly formed stereocenter will be obliterated later in the sequence, the stereochemical assignment was not determined. Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 15%.

Diastereomer 1

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.39–7.27 (m, 6 H), 7.25–7.13 (m, 4 H), 6.51–6.37 (m, 2 H), 5.83 (dddd, *J* = 19.9, 9.7, 8.0, 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.16 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 2 H), 4.11–3.99 (m, 2 H), 3.89–3.80 (m, 2 H), 3.77–3.70 (m, 1 H), 3.69–3.61 (m, 1 H), 2.56–2.39 (m, 2 H), 2.12 (dt, *J* = 13.9, 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.99 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.87–1.78 (m, 1 H), 1.74–1.65 (m, 1 H), 1.63–1.52 (m, 3 H), 1.41–1.33 (m, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 144.8, 137.8, 135.3, 134.7, 128.8, 128.6, 128.1, 127.1, 127.1, 126.2, 126.2, 119.0, 109.3, 69.3, 64.4, 64.0, 51.5, 40.9 (overlapping signals), 34.8, 32.5, 24.8.

Diastereomer 2

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.37–7.26 (m, 7 H), 7.25–7.15 (m, 3 H), 6.43 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.29 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 9.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.74 (dddd, *J* = 16.2, 10.1, 8.2, 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.16–5.06 (m, 2 H), 4.22 (dddd, *J* = 8.9, 4.0, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.90–3.80 (m, 2 H), 3.77–3.66 (m, 2 H), 3.61 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.41 (tt, *J* = 12.1, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.34–2.20 (m, 2 H), 2.15 (td, *J* = 13.2, 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.04 (dt, *J* = 14.1, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.89 (t, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.84 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.70 (tt, *J* = 13.7, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.63–1.52 (m, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 144.0, 137.5, 135.6, 133.9, 130.3, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.2, 126.3, 126.2, 118.6, 110.1, 70.1, 64.1, 64.0, 52.3, 42.9, 41.8, 35.5, 32.7, 23.7.

(4a*S*,9*R*,9a*S*)-9-Phenyl-3,4,4a,6,9,9a-hexahydrospiro[benzo[7]annulene-2,2'-[1,3]dioxolan]-5(1*H*)-one (5a)

Prepared from **5a-SI-2** and *iso*-**5a-SI-2** mixture via general procedure F followed by general procedure C (white solid, 15 mg, 41% over 2 steps, 11:1 inseparable mixture of α,β- and γ,β-unsaturated ketone, major reported). The intermediate after general procedure F was used crude without further purification. Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.24 (m, 3 H, *J* = 5.8, 5.4, 2.8 Hz, 3 H), 5.91 (ddd, *J* = 10.3, 6.0, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.85 (dddd, *J* = 10.5, 7.2, 3.7, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.86 (td, *J* = 6.6, 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.80 (dt, *J* = 7.0, 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.78–3.73 (m, 1 H), 3.67 (dt, *J* = 7.1, 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.39–3.34 (m, 1 H), 3.29 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.18–3.12 (m, 1 H), 3.06 (dd, *J* = 19.6, 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.62 (tdd, *J* = 11.4, 6.7, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.16 (td, *J* = 13.0, 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.02–1.95 (m, 1 H), 1.65 (tt, *J* = 13.5, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.58 (ddt, *J* = 12.3, 4.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.49 (ddd, *J* = 13.5, 3.9, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.35 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 11.7 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 212.3, 143.4, 136.3, 128.8, 128.3, 126.8, 124.2, 109.0, 64.3, 64.2, 50.3, 45.9, 44.5, 44.1, 37.3, 31.6, 29.9, 23.7.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₃O₃: 299.1642; found: 299.1632.

(4a*S*,8*R*,8a*S*)-8-Phenyl-4,4a,8,8a-tetrahydro-1*H*-spiro[naphthalene-2,2'-[1,3]dioxolan]-5(3*H*)-one (6a)

Prepared from **4a** via successive general procedures C, E, F followed by C again. All intermediates were used crude without further purification. The intermediate after procedure F was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc 20%) but not characterized (yellow oil, 18 mg, 48% over 3 steps, 3:1 dr). Final compound **6a** was obtained after final treatment via general procedure C [white solid, 10 mg, 80% (or 38% over 4 steps), single diastereomer]. Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 3 H), 6.84 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.15 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.02–3.96 (m, 2 H), 3.94–3.89 (m, 3 H), 2.60–2.51 (m, 2 H), 2.22–2.10 (m, 1 H), 1.72 (tdd, *J* = 12.4, 9.1, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.66–1.54 (m, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 201.3, 151.0, 141.4, 128.8, 128.7, 128.7, 127.2, 108.8, 64.7, 64.2, 45.0, 44.8, 42.6, 37.0, 33.4, 23.0.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₂₁O₃: 285.1485; found: 285.1480.

(7*R*,8*R*)-7-((*R*,*E*)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)-8-vinyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-7a-SI-2)

Prepared from **4a** via general procedure C followed by general procedure G (colorless oil, 11 mg, 22%, 94% brsm, >20:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5% to 10%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.32–7.26 (m, 6 H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 4 H), 6.35 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.25 (dd, *J* = 15.7, 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.13 (ddd, *J* = 17.0, 10.3, 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.21 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.14 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.91–3.82 (m, 2 H), 3.79–3.71 (m, 2 H), 3.19 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.24 (ddt, *J* = 12.8, 11.3, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.87 (tt, *J* = 14.9, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.77–1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.58 (ddt, *J* = 13.0, 4.6, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.30–1.26 (m, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 143.4, 137.7, 136.3, 132.8, 130.5, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.1, 126.3, 126.2, 117.5, 109.5, 64.2, 64.2, 53.1, 42.4, 39.6, 34.7, 30.5, 30.2.

(3R,3aR,7aR)-3-Phenyl-3,3a,4,6,7,7a-hexahydrospiro[indene-5,2'-[1,3]dioxolane] (7a)

Prepared from **7a-SI-2** via general procedure F (colorless oil, 5 mg, 64%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.29 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.23–7.17 (m, 3 H), 5.93 (dt, *J* = 5.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.76 (dt, *J* = 5.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.05–3.98 (m, 2 H), 3.97–3.89 (m, 2 H), 3.87 (dq, *J* = 7.7, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.77–2.66 (m, 1 H), 2.28 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.86–1.81 (m, 1 H), 1.78 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 5.0, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.74–1.72 (m, 1 H), 1.69 (dd, *J* = 14.1, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.63–1.57 (m, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 144.5, 136.5, 134.1, 128.8, 128.4, 127.9, 126.7, 126.3, 109.5, 64.4, 64.1, 54.7, 48.7, 42.9, 34.2, 33.2, 26.5.

(1R,2S)-2-Benzyl-2,3-dihydro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4(1H)-one (8)

Prepared from **4c** via successive general procedures C, E, F followed by C again. All intermediates were used crude without further purification. The intermediate after procedure F was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc 10%) but not characterized (27 mg, 62% over 3 steps). Final compound **8** was obtained after general procedure C [colorless oil, 27 mg, quant. (or 62% over 4 steps), 9:1 dr, major reported]. Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.43–7.31 (m, 3 H), 7.24 (tt, *J* = 6.1, 1.6 Hz, 4 H), 7.22–7.16 (m, 1 H), 7.02–6.98 (m, 2 H), 6.86 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.13 (ddd, *J* = 10.0, 2.7, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.46 (dt, *J* = 8.6, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.78 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.52 (ddd, *J* = 16.2, 3.7, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.49–2.43 (m, 1 H), 2.43–2.39 (m, 1 H), 2.21 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 11.2 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 199.5, 152.8, 141.8, 139.0, 129.4, 129.2, 129.1, 128.6, 128.5, 127.5, 126.5, 48.8, 44.8, 42.1, 39.9.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₉O: 263.1430; found: 263.1438.

((1R,6S)-6-Benzyl-4-oxocyclohex-2-en-1-yl)methyl Acetate (9)

Prepared from **4d** via successive general procedures C, E, F followed by C again. All intermediates were used crude without further purification. The intermediate after procedure F was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc 10%) but not characterized (9 mg, 52% over 3 steps). Final compound **9** was obtained after general procedure C [colorless oil, 9 mg, quant. (or 52% over 4 steps), 2:1 dr]. Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 30%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.27 (m, 2 H), 7.25–7.19 (m, 1 H), 7.17–7.10 (m, 2 H), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.12 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.39 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.27 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.85 (ddt, *J* = 7.3, 4.2, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.81–2.77 (m, 1 H), 2.66–2.57 (m, 2 H), 2.44–2.33 (m, 2 H), 2.08 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 198.9, 170.9, 149.2, 138.9, 131.0, 129.1, 128.8, 126.7, 63.2, 40.8, 38.5, 38.3, 37.9, 21.1.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M + NH₄]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₂NO₃: 276.1594; found: 276.1601.

Funding Information

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1844443. We thank the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences and the Department of Chemistry at the University of Florida for start-up funds. We thank the Mass Spectrometry Research and Education Center and their funding source: NIH S10 OD021758-01A1.

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1707184>. Additional experimental details and spectroscopic reprints (¹H and ¹³C NMR) are available.

References

- (1) Freeman, F. *Chem. Rev.* **1980**, *80*, 329.
- (2) Li, X. M.; Wang, B.; Zhang, J. M.; Yan, M. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 374.
- (3) Hu, Z. P.; Lou, C. L.; Wang, J. J.; Chen, C. X.; Yan, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 3797.
- (4) Molleti, N.; Rana, N. K.; Singh, V. K. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4322.
- (5) Jing, L.; Wei, J.; Zhou, L.; Huang, Z.; Li, Z.; Wu, D.; Xiang, H.; Zhou, X. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 10955.
- (6) Tayyari, F.; Wood, D. E.; Fanwick, P. E.; Sammelson, R. E. *Synthesis* **2008**, 279.
- (7) Sammelson, R. E.; Allen, M. J. *Synthesis* **2005**, 543.
- (8) Förster, S.; Tverskoy, O.; Helmchen, G. *Synlett* **2008**, 2803.
- (9) Amundsen, L. H.; Nelson, L. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, *73*, 242.
- (10) Haddenham, D.; Pasumansky, L.; DeSoto, J.; Eagon, S.; Singaram, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 1964.
- (11) Mattalia, J.-M. R. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *13*, 267.
- (12) Mattalia, J.-M.; Marchi-Delapierre, C.; Hazimeh, H.; Chanon, M. *ARKIVOC* **2006**, (iv), 90.
- (13) Rao, J. A.; Ravichandran, K.; Malley, G. J. O.; Cava, M. P. *Can. J. Chem.* **1987**, *65*, 31.
- (14) Watt, D. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 2799.
- (15) Freerksen, R. W.; Selikson, S. J.; Wroble, R. R.; Kyler, K. S.; Watt, D. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 4087.
- (16) DiBiase, S. A.; Wolak, R. P.; Dishong, D. M.; Gokel, G. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 3630.
- (17) Kulp, S. S.; McGee, M. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 4097.
- (18) Selikson, S. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 267.
- (19) Li, J.; Lear, M. J.; Hayashi, Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 9060.
- (20) Hayashi, Y.; Li, J.; Asano, H.; Sakamoto, D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 675.
- (21) Lahtigui, O.; Emmetiere, F.; Zhang, W.; Jirmo, L.; Toledo-Roy, S.; Hersherberger, J. C.; Macho, J. M.; Grenning, A. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 15792.
- (22) Ullrich, V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1972**, *11*, 701.
- (23) Fréchet, J. M. J. *Tetrahedron* **1981**, *37*, 663.
- (24) Mimoun, H. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1980**, *7*, 1.
- (25) Omura, T.; Sato, R. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1964**, *239*, 2370.
- (26) Iwao, T.; Noboru, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 6456.
- (27) Klingenberg, M. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1958**, *75*, 376.
- (28) Shimizu, M.; Orita, H.; Hayakawa, T.; Takehira, K. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1988**, *45*, 85.
- (29) Shimizu, M.; Orita, H.; Hayakawa, T.; Takehira, K. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1989**, *53*, 165.
- (30) Nishiki, M.; Satoh, T.; Sakurai, H. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1990**, *62*, 79.
- (31) Perrée-Fauvet, M.; Gaudemer, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 874.
- (32) Takeuchi, M.; Koda, M.; Kano, K.; Yoshida, Z. I. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **1996**, *113*, 51.
- (33) Hill, C. L.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 870.
- (34) Isayama, S.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, 569.
- (35) Isayama, S.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, 573.
- (36) Isayama, S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1990**, *63*, 1305.
- (37) Inoki, S.; Kato, K.; Isayama, S.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1990**, 1869.
- (38) Isayama, S.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, 1071.

- (39) Crossley, S. W. M.; Obradors, C.; Martinez, R. M.; Shenvi, R. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 8912.
- (40) Teichert, J. F.; den Hartog, T.; Hanstein, M.; Smit, C.; ter Horst, B.; Hernandez-Olmos, V.; Feringa, B. L.; Minnaard, A. J. *ACS Catal.* **2011**, *1*, 309.
- (41) Ruider, S. A.; Sandmeier, T.; Carreira, E. M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 2378.
- (42) Bosch, C.; Fiser, B.; Gómez-Bengo, E.; Bradshaw, B.; Bonjoch, J. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5084.
- (43) Iwasaki, K.; Wan, K. K.; Oppedisano, A.; Crossley, S. W. M.; Shenvi, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 1300.
- (44) Lu, H. H.; Pronin, S. V.; Antonova-Koch, Y.; Meister, S.; Winzeler, E. A.; Shenvi, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 7268.
- (45) Lackner, A. D.; Samant, A. V.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 14090.
- (46) Andrez, J.-C.; Chowdhury, S.; Decker, S.; Dehnhardt, C. M.; Focken, T.; Grimwood, M. E.; Hemeon, I. W.; Jia, Q.; Li, J.; Ortwine, D. F.; Safina, B.; Sheng, T.; Sun, S.; Sutherlin, D. P.; Wilson, M. S.; Zenova, A. Y. WO 2013177224 A1, **2013**.
- (47) Zhang, K.; Chang, L.; An, Q.; Wang, X.; Zuo, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 10556.
- (48) Scott, S. K.; Grenning, A. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 8125.
- (49) Scott, S. K.; Sanders, J. N.; White, K. E.; Yu, R. A.; Houk, K. N.; Grenning, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 16134.
- (50) Fereyduni, E.; Grenning, A. J. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 4130.
- (51) Fereyduni, E.; Sanders, J. N.; Gonzalez, G.; Houk, K. N.; Grenning, A. J. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 8760.
- (52) Schroeder, R.; Grenning, A. J. *Tetrahedron* **2019**, *75*, 3231.
- (53) Vertesaljai, P.; Serrano, R.; Mannchen, M. D.; Williams, M.; Semenova, E.; Grenning, A. J. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 5704.
- (54) Ilardi, E. A.; Stivala, C. E.; Zakarian, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 3133.
- (55) Jones, A. C.; May, J. A.; Sarpong, R.; Stoltz, B. M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 2556.
- (56) Cosgrove, K. L.; McGeary, R. P. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 3050.
- (57) Sun, Z.; Li, Z.; Liao, W. W. *Green Chem.* **2019**, *21*, 1614.
- (58) Manojveer, S.; Salahi, S.; Wendt, O. F.; Johnson, M. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 10864.
- (59) Chen, Y.; Leonardi, M.; Dingwall, P.; Labes, R.; Pasau, P.; Blakemore, D. C.; Ley, S. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 15558.
- (60) Taylor, S. K.; Dickinson, M. G.; May, S. A.; Pickering, D. A.; Sadek, P. C. *Synthesis* **1998**, 1133.
- (61) Akhtar, W. M.; Armstrong, R. J.; Frost, J. R.; Stevenson, N. G.; Donohoe, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 11916.
- (62) Dolente, C.; Schnider, P. US 20110275801 A1, **2011**.
- (63) Cueva, J. P.; Gallardo-Godoy, A.; Juncosa, J. I.; Vidi, P. A.; Lill, M. A.; Watts, V. J.; Nichols, D. E. *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 5508.
- (64) Li, Z.; Gupta, M. K.; Snowden, T. S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, 7009.
- (65) Ingerl, A.; Justus, K.; Hellwig, V.; Steglich, W. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 6548.
- (66) van Greunen, D. G.; Cordier, W.; Nell, M.; van der Westhuyzen, C.; Steenkamp, V.; Panayides, J. L.; Riley, D. L. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *127*, 671.