Redesign of hydrophobic quantum dots mitigates ligand-dependent toxicity in the nematode C. elegans

Nanolmpact

Nicholas J. Niemuth, Denise N. Williams, Arielle C. Mensch, Yi Cui, Galya Orr, Ze'ev Rosenzweig, Rebecca D. Klaper

PII: S2452-0748(21)00027-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.impact.2021.100318

Reference: IMPACT 100318

To appear in: NANOIMPACT

Received date: 8 January 2021

Revised date: 22 March 2021

Accepted date: 12 April 2021

Please cite this article as: N. Niemuth, D.N. Williams, A.C. Mensch, et al., Redesign of hydrophobic quantum dots mitigates ligand-dependent toxicity in the nematode C. elegans, *NANOIMPACT* (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.impact.2021.100318

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Redesign of hydrophobic quantum dots mitigates ligand-dependent toxicity in the nematode *C. elegans*

Nicholas J. Niemuth¹, Denise N. Williams², Arielle C. Mensch³, Yi Cui³, Galya Orr³, Ze'ev Rosenzweig², Rebecca D. Klaper^{1,*} rklaper@uwm.edu

¹School of Freshwater Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 600 E Greenfield Ave., Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, United States

²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland 21250, United States

³Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest Tational Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99354, United States

Abstract

Surface properties of engineered nanomaterials (E VM) have been shown to influence their interaction with biological systems. However, studies to date have largely focused on hydrophilic materials, likely due to biocompatibility constructions and aqueous exposure conditions necessary for many model systems. Therefore, a knowledge gap exists in nanotoxicity literature for impacts of hydrophobic ENMs, with studies of hydrophobic materials largely limited to carbon ENMs. Here we demonstrate testing of hydron, bic quantum dots (QDs) using the nematode C. elegans, a model soil organism cultured on solid media and amenable to hydrophobic exposures. To evaluate the influence of hydrorhobicity, we compared CdSe/ZnS QDs functionalized with hydrophobic trioctylph, spline oxide (TOPO) to identical QDs functionalized with hydrophilic dihydrolipoic acid-polyc¹.ylene glycol (DHLA-PEG) and alternative hydrophobic CdSe/ZnS QDs functionalized with oleic acid (OA). Results show that hydrophobic TOPO QDs are significantly more toxic than hydrophilic DHLA-PEG QDs, and substitution of TOPO with OA yields relatively non-toxic hydrophobic QDs. Fluorescence microscopy shows TOPO QDs loosely associated with the organism's cuticle, but atomic force microscopy shows no difference in cuticle structure from exposure. Importantly, TOPO ligand alone is as toxic as TOPO QDs, and our data suggests that TOPO may impact neuromuscular function, perhaps upon displacement from the QD surface. This study demonstrates the importance of examining ligand-

^{*}Corresponding Author.

specific impacts of hydrophobic ENMs and indicates OA-functionalized QDs as a potential alternative to TOPO QDs for reduced toxicity.

Keywords

quantum dot, hydrophobic, nanotoxicity, C. elegans, trioctylphosphine oxide, oleic acid

Abbreviations

engineered nanomaterial	(ENM)
quantum dot	(QD)
trioctylphosphine oxide	(TOPO)
oleic acid	(OA)
dihydrolipoic acid-polyethylene glycol	(DHLA-PEG)

1. Introduction

The surface chemistry of engineered nanomatrials (ENMs) has been established as an important property that influences the interaction of ENMs with biological systems and thus toxicity (Albanese et al. 2012, Sun et al. 2019, Johnston et al. 2020, Waris et al. 2021). ENM surface chemistry can differ between core male ials based on their inherent properties and can also be altered by addition of surface liga, 4s to impart desired properties to materials. Much work has gone into functionalizing ENM's with hydrophilic ligands to improve biocompatibility and stability of ENMs for potential medical applications and for use in systems for toxicity testing, which require aqueous talle materials for reliable results (Dhawan and Sharma 2010, Schubert and Chanana 2018). As casult, a significant knowledge gap exists in the literature for toxicity of hydrophobic ENMs, although many commercially relevant materials—such as semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) used in displays—are hydrophobic as-used (Batley et al. 2013). Hydrophobicity is an important property of ENMs demonstrated and modeled to impact ENM toxicity (Kim et al. 2013, Manshian et al. 2014, Allen et al. 2017, Farnoud and Nazemidashtarjandi 2019, Fontana et al. 2021), but, to date, studies of hydrophobic ENM toxicity have focused largely on carbon ENMs (e.g. nanotubes, nanosheets, fullerenes)(Lin et al. 2017, Chen 2019).

More broadly, hydrophobicity is recognized as an important determinant of chemical uptake and distribution within cells and organisms (D. Cronin 2006). Hydrophobic compounds are able to access and traverse the cell membrane, concentrating in lipids of cells and tissues, which leads to bioaccumulation (Puckowski *et al.* 2016). By localizing to lipophilic cellular compartments such as the membrane, hydrophobic compounds can cause biological impacts by altering membrane structure and organization (Barnoud *et al.* 2014) or by increasing their relative concentration in the membrane and thus impact function of membrane proteins (Chisari *et al.* 2009). In contrast, hydrophilic compounds often require active or passive transport via membrane proteins to enter the cell, which can pose a barrier to non-specific uptake of hydrophobic ty has been well studied and Niemuth 2016, Padhye *et al.* 2020). Thus, although hydrophobic ty has been well studied and shown to be important in governing the impact and partitioning of pharmaceuticals and environmental contaminants, it has not been well explored to. ENMs (Steinhäuser and Sayre 2017).

For hydrophilic ENMs, previous research had deponstrated that the choice of ligand has an impact on the interaction with organisms and altimately the toxicity (Bozich *et al.* 2014, Dominguez *et al.* 2015, Sun *et al.* 2019). This may be due to the ligand itself (Feng *et al.* 2015), but may be a nano-specific impact (Q.v et al. 2015). In this way, changing the surface ligands of an ENM is one strategy for material redesign that can improve safety or sustainability, potentially without impacting analyting function. However, this has not been as well-studied for hydrophobic surface modulications.

Due to their excellent fluorescence properties, colloidal QDs are being extensively investigated for uses as varied as imaging agents, catalysts, and electronic display components (Panfil *et al.* 2018). Due largely to use in displays, the world market for QDs is expected to reach \$3.4 billion by 2021 (Pramanik *et al.* 2018). QDs are being used for backlighting in displays by LG, Samsung, Sony and other display manufacturers (Chen *et al.* 2017). At present, Cd-based QDs outperform alternatives in areas such as narrowness of emission and quantum yield, but the inherent toxicity of the Cd ion has raised concerns, and research into heavy metal-free alternatives is extensive (Yang *et al.* 2018). Despite these concerns, Cd-based QDs are being

used in commercial displays, where they are still superior to alternative materials, such as InP QDs (Sadasivan *et al.* 2016).

QDs are typically synthesized with hydrophobic trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) ligands, although alternative hydrophobic ligands such as oleic acid (OA) and hexadecylamine are sometimes used (Zheng *et al.* 2019). Frequently, hydrophobic ligands are cap-exchanged with hydrophilic alternatives, such as dihydrolipoic acid-polyethylene glycol (DHLA-PEG), in order to disperse QDs in aqueous media for fluorescence imaging (Zheng *et al.* 2019).

The preponderance of QD nanotoxicology research to date has been on hydrophilic ligand-functionalized QDs (Rocha et al. 2017, Wang and Tang 2018. Ht et al. 2021). As in industry, much in this literature is made of the potential toxicity of Ca. However, little has been done to evaluate the toxicity of as-synthesized hydrophobic QDs, "kely because they aggregate and sediment in the aqueous media used in most common toxicology models (e.g. cell culture, Daphnia magna, Danio rerio). This behaviorals means they would most likely end up in organic matter or sediments in the environment (Navarro et al. 2010), or alternatively in soils upon waste disposal. The potential for toxicity from hydrophobic materials (Kim et al. 2013, Manshian et al. 2014, Allen et al. 2017 Furnoud and Nazemidashtarjandi 2019) means that testing for toxicity of hydrophobic QDs specifically in sediments and soil should be included.

To overcome complications of testing hydrophobic materials in aqueous models, we used the nematode *C. elegans*, which can be grown and exposed on solid media. By mixing hydrophobic particles directly with the *C. elegans* OP50 *E. coli* food on solid agar plates, we were able to create QD-bacteria lawns on which worms could be exposed to hydrophobic ENMs. In addition, because of the transparency of *C. elegans*, we were able to take advantage of the fluorescence of QDs to determine their *in vivo* localization upon *C. elegans* exposure. Studies of hydrophilic QDs in *C. elegans* have found them to be relatively non-toxic compared to equivalent concentrations of Cd (Hsu *et al.* 2012, Contreras *et al.* 2013, Wang *et al.* 2016). However, no studies have been done looking at toxicity of hydrophobic QDs in *C. elegans*.

For this study, we compared impacts of hydrophobic versus hydrophilic CdSe/ZnS QDs on *C. elegans* lifespan and molecular impacts. In addition, we compared the impacts of the conjugation with two different hydrophobic ligands to determine if toxicity is due to the hydrophobicity in general or due to the nanoparticle-ligand combination. Finally, we examined if toxicity due to hydrophobic ligand conjugation was nano-specific or due to the ligands themselves. The initial hydrophobic versus hydrophilic nanomaterial study included TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs compared to cap-exchanged hydrophilic DHLA-PEG CdSeCdSe/ZnS QDs, and each respective free ligand. In the second study, hydrophobic TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs were compared to an alternative hydrophobic OA-functionalized CaCe/ZnS QDs and their respective ligands (Figure 1a). In addition, localization was inve. tige ed using fluorescence microscopy, and impacts on *C. elegans* cuticle were investige ted with atomic force microscopy (AFM). Finally, we compared the molecular impacts of CD and ligand exposure using fluorescent probes for internal *C. elegans* structure and Go. e expression.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Particle synthesis and characterization.

2.1.1. Materials

Zinc formate (98%), zinc acetate and 1- dc decylphosphonic acid (DPA, 95%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Diisooctylphosphonic acid (90%), 1-Octadecene (ODE), Trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO), trioctylphosphine (TOP, 97%), oleic acid, tetramethylammonium hydroxide solution (TMAH, 25 wt % in hand), cadmium acetylacetonate (CdAcAc, 99.9%), sodium chloride, LUDOX TMA collidal silica (34 wt % suspension in H₂O), hexamethyldisilathiane ((TMS)₂S, synthesis grad), Paraffin Oil (puriss.), and zinc oxide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Selenium powder (Se, 99.5%), 1-hexadecylamine (HDA, 90%), oleylamine (C18 content 80–90%), and sodium hydroxide were purchased from Acros Organic.

2.1.2. TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs (A20a, A18d)

Two batches of TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs were synthesized for this study (A20a and A18d)(Fig 1a). Core synthesis for TOPO-functionalized QDs followed a previously published procedure (Lyons *et al.* 2017). In a 50 ml three –neck round bottom flask, 2g of TOPO, 8g of HDA, 10ml TOP, 0.76mL Diisooctylphosphonic acid, and 0.311g of cadmium acetylacetonate

were heated to 100 °C under nitrogen gas. The vessel was placed under vacuum for 20 min to remove water and oxygen, and then backfilled with nitrogen gas. Next, the vessel was raised to 320 °C. At this temperature, a TOPSe precursor (0.351g Selenium powder in 4 mL TOP) was swiftly injected for CdSe nucleation. CdSe cores were allowed to grow at 270 °C for 5 min, and then slowly cooled to room temperature.

For ZnS shell growth, the Successive Ionic Layer and Adsorption (SILAR) Technique was used (Xie et al. 2005, Lyons et al. 2017, Williams et al. 2018). A 1:1 mixture of CdSe cores in their reaction mixture with acetone was purified via centrifugation at 2000 for 5 min. The pellet was suspended in chloroform, and then analyzed via UV/Vis spectros op / for size and concentration following calculations provided by the literature (Jasieniak et al. 1009). These calculations were then used to add 0.15 mmol CdSe cores, 6 mL ODE, 6 mJ. Oktylamine, 4 mL TOP, and 10 mg dodecylphosphonic acid to a 50 mL three-neck round-box. in flask. The mixture was heated to 100 °C under nitrogen gas, placed under vacuum for 30 min to removed oxygen and water, and then put back in a nitrogen environment. Concurrently, a 0.05M zinc formate in oleylamine precursor and a 0.25M (TMS)₂S in TOP presursor were made under nitrogen environments to add the zinc and sulfur elements for the stell, respectively. At 100 °C the first amount of the zinc precursor was injected over 15 min using a syringe pump, calculated to add one shell layer (Xie et al. 2005). The vessel's tempera, re was raised to 160 °C, and then the first amount of the sulfur precursor was injected cver 15 min. This first layer was allowed to anneal 20 min. The temperature was raised to 100°C, where calculated amounts of zinc and sulfur precursors were added sequentially ove, 1, ..., followed by 20 min of annealing. The temperature was raised to 190 °C for the addition of the third layer and annealing. Finally at 200 °C, 0.5 mL oleic acid was added dropwise for a 1 h annealing of the TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS core/shell QD. These QDs were precipitated with acetone and centrifugation prior to use.

2.1.3. DHLA-cap exchange of TOPO-functionalized QDs (A20b)

DHLA-PEG QDs (batch A20b) were cap-exchanged from TOPO QD batch A20a (above)(Fig 1a). Dihydro Lipoic acid-polyethylene glycol (DHLA-PEG-OCH₃) was prepared and purified with slight modifications to a previously reported protocol (Mei *et al.* 2008, 2009). DHLA ligand, sodium hydroxide, zinc acetate, and methanol were sonicated together in a septum-closed

vial filled with nitrogen gas. Purified QDs (A20a) were stirred with a minimal amount of chloroform, dried, and put under a flow of nitrogen. The DHLA ligand solution was added to the QDs and left to stir overnight at 50 °C under nitrogen gas. The next day, ethyl acetate and hexane were added to QDs, and then stirred and allowed to separate. The hexane layer was removed. The QDs were dried under vacuum, and then re-dispersed in Millipore water. This QD solution was passed through a 0.45 SFCA syringe filter into a 30,000 MWCO spin filtration device for washing through centrifugation 3 times at 2000g (Liu and Snee 2011). The ligand exchange reaction with DHLA-PEG enables the transfer of the QD to aqueous media. It significantly decreases the impact of TOPO-coated QDs on *C. elegans* by removing TOPO molecules from the QD surface and by reducing the impact of residual, bound TOPO through steric hindrances provided by the DHLA-PEG ligands (Wenger *et al.* 2017).

2.1.4. Oleic Acid-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs (D3a)

OA-functionalized hydrophobic QDs were synthe 1723 as batch D3a (Fig 1a). In a 25 mL 3-neck round-bottom flask with a stir bar, 5 mmol cf CaO, 10 mL paraffin oil, and 2.4 mL oleic acid were heated under nitrogen gas to dissolve discontained metal. In a 100 ml 3 neck round-bottom flask with stir bar, 50 ml of paraffin oil, and 1 mmol of Se powder were heated to 100 °C under nitrogen gas. The vessel was placed under vacuum for 30 min, and then put back under nitrogen gas to heat it to 240 °C. At 240 °C, 3.6 mL or the CdO mixture was quickly injected and allowed to react for 15 min. Finally, the vissel was allowed to cool to room temperature using an oil bath.

These OA-functionalized core QDs were purified via the following methods: A 1:1 mixture of the CdSe core QDs in their reaction mixture and methanol was purified via centrifugation at 2000g for 5 min. The top layer was discarded. The bottom QD-layer was retained and diluted to a 1:1 mixture in methanol for centrifugation two more times. An aliquot of the final bottom layer was diluted in chloroform for optical characterization, size calculation, and concentration calculation as directed by the literature (Jasieniak *et al.* 2009).

The SILAR method was used to shell these TOPO-free QDs as well, following the same temperatures and times, but the zinc precursor was 0.1628 g (0.1 M) zinc oxide in 13.7 mL

paraffin oil and 5 mL oleic acid and the sulfur precursor was 0.1 M sulfur powder in ODE (Deng *et al.* 2005). The shelled QDs were precipitated with methanol and centrifugation prior to use.

2.1.5. Absorbance and Fluorescence Instrumentation for Particle Characterization
UV-Vis absorption spectra were obtained using a Thermo Scientific Evolution 201 UV-Vis
spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectroscopy measurements were performed using a PTIHoriba QuantaMaster 400 fluorometer, equipped with an integration sphere for emission
quantum yield measurements, and with a PicoMaster TCSPC detector for fluorescence lifetime
measurements.

2.1.6. High-Resolution TEM

QDs were further characterized using a high-resolution Than 80–300 analytical transmission electron microscope (TEM) between 43-490kV. Prior to a laging, samples were prepared by purifying a small amount of the QD solution and crop-pasting 20 µL of the solution onto 400 mesh, copper grids with an ultrathin carbon than a holey carbon support film (Ted Pella, Inc.). The grids were then placed in a vacuum over overnight before being inserted into the transmission electron microscope.

2.2. C. elegans exposure and imaking

2.2.1. C. elegans culture, synchronization, exposure

C. elegans N2 worms and OPSO E. coli were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center at the University of Microsofa. Worms were cultured on large (150 mm) solid nematode growth medium (NGM) plates solded with OP50 at 20 °C according to maintenance protocols outlined on WormBook (Stiernagle 2006). Synchronized cultures of L1 larvae were prepared for exposures using bleaching Protocol 6 from Wormbook (Stiernagle 2006) and synchronized overnight on a large NGM plate without food. For lifespan assays, worms were grown to young adults by growing the synchronized population on an OP50-seeded large NGM plate for 3 d.

For lifespan assays, exposure plates were supplemented with floxuridine (FUDR) at 12 mg/L to prevent overgrowth by hatched larvae and minimize transferring of adult worms. Small (60 mm) FUDR-supplemented NGM exposure plates were made up in triplicate by combining 200 μ L of

5x treatment solutions or solvent with 800 μL of concentrated OP50, vortexing to mix, and adding 300 μL of the resulting suspension to each of the 3 plates. Exposure plates were allowed to dry overnight in a fume hood to remove any solvent. For hydrophilic exposures (DHLA-PEG QDs and ligand, Cd control, and water control) autoclave-sterilized Milli-Q® ultrapure water was used as the solvent. For hydrophobic exposures (TOPO QDs and ligand, OA QDs and ligand, and hexane control) hexane ≥ 95% (Fluka) was used as the solvent. Cadmium control concentration was calculated based on equivalence to Cd contained in A20a QDs at 1 μM: 236 μg/mL as 438 μg/mL CdSO₄. Concentrations for ligands were selected based on total amounts added during QD synthesis equivalent to 1 μM QDs: 6.4 mg/mL TOPO, 7.3 mg/mL DHLA-PEG, 3.7 mg/mL OA. Ten synchronized young adult worms were picked to each exposure plate and scored live/dead each day, determined by tapping on the plate and poking worms with a sterilized Pt worm pick to evaluate for movement, until ε!! we rms were counted as dead (no movement in response to stimulus).

For AFM and fluorescence imaging of *C. el* (2 m). NGM exposure plates (100 mm) were made with 1 mL of exposure solution (200 μL 5x * eatment solution and 800 μL concentrated OP50, vortexed to mix) added to each plate, and plates dried overnight in a fume hood. Concentration for Cd control was 47 μg/mL, equivalent to 0.2 μM QDs. Concentration for TOPO ligand controls was 740 μg/mL, based on amount added for synthesis of 0.2 μM QDs. Synchronized L1 larvae were washed from their plate using M9 media after a period of 24 h of starvation, concentrated by centrifuging at 2500g 20 °C for 1 min, and resuspended in M9 at 10 worms per μL. From this stock, 1.2 μ (1500 larvae) were added to each exposure plate.

2.2.2. Larval fixation for smFISH and AFM

At 2 h and 6 h time points, *C. elegans* L1 larvae were washed from exposure plates with M9 and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 45 min following the protocol for smFISH outlined by Ji and van Oudenaarden (Ji and van Oudenaarden 2012). Fixed worms for AFM imaging (2 h exposure) were stored in PBS, while worms for smFISH (6 h exposure) were stored and permeabilized in 70% RNAse-free ethanol at 4 °C.

2.2.3. Fluorescence imaging of C. elegans for particle localization and smFISH

C. elegans samples were imaged with a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope. Fluorescence images were taken with a 40× (NA 1.1) water immersion objective lens using a 1-5 mW laser power. For initial assessment of QD localization, worms were picked from exposure plates onto agar pads with no rinse steps and anesthetized with levamisole using methods described in WormBook (Shaham 2006).

Single-molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization (smFISH) was used to probe the expression of cdr-1 and gpdh-1 genes. The cdr-1 gene is expressed in the C. elegans gut in response to Cd exposure, as might be expected from CdSe/ZnS QDs (Liao et al. 2007). The gpdh-1 gene is expressed in the gut in response to osmotic stress, as might be expected from cuticle damage (Lamitina et al. 2006, Dodd et al. 2018). FISH probes were labeled with Alexa647 at the 5' end and purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. Probately lences are included in Supplementary Table S1. Hybridization was performed by eferring to previously established protocol, using probes at a concentration of 100 nl 4 (f) and van Oudenaarden 2012). Worms were also stained with DAPI (Sigma-Aldric¹.) at 5 ng/mL and Alexa Fluor 488® phalloidin (Invitrogen) at 156 nM to label nuclei and a n, respectively. SmFISH images were taken with the wide-field fluorescence microscope and (60× oil immersion objective lens, NA 1.4; laser power 30 mW, exposure time 0.5-1s, E'A gain 30-50). 20-30 z-axis sections were imaged to cover most of the worm body volume. In post-processing, RNA molecules were identified at each scanning plane with a Gayssyn mask fitting algorithm and projected to the final reconstructed images (Cui at a. 2017). The processed images were subjected to counting with home-built MATLAB programs (the script is available upon request). The gene expression level was quantified in the gut (see Fig S3) and normalized per 1000 µm³ (approximately the size of a single cell).

2.2.4. Imaging of C. elegans cuticle using AFM

C. elegans were adhered to glass bottom dishes (50 mm, #14027, Ted Pella) coated with poly-L-lysine solution (PLL, average mol. Wt. 70,000-150,000, 0.1%). The bottoms of the dishes were covered with 2 mL of PLL solution and heated to evaporate off the water and leave a coating of PLL. 20 μL of previously fixed *C. elegans* were deposited into the center of the dish. An inverted optical microscope (Axiovert 200, Zeiss) with a 100x oil immersion objective was used to locate

the *C. elegans* within the field of view. An Asylum Research MFP-3D-Bio AFM (Oxford Instruments) was mounted on top of the optical microscope. Prior to tip engagement on the surface, the eyepiece was used to center the AFM probe (NPG-D, Bruker, nominal spring constant of 0.06 N/m) above a *C. elegans*. Imaging was conducted in contact mode at a scan rate of 0.2 Hz. For each image, the setpoint was optimized so that the minimal amount of force needed to adequately track the surface was applied, which allowed for minimal sample damage and/or movement. The worms remained hydrated in PBS buffer throughout the entirety of imaging. At least three worms of each condition were imaged. Imaging analysis was conducted using Gwyddion (Nečas and Klapetek 2012).

2.2.5. Statistics

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 22 for Mac. All data were analyzed for normality using the Shairo-Wilk test and equality of varia. Se using Levene's test. Survival data were analyzed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Ve it's test with Bonferroni-adjusted post-hoc comparisons. For smFISH experiments, gpd'. Usita were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc tests, and cdr-1 data where analyzed using a one-way Welch ANOVA with Dunnett T3 post-hoc tests to account for inequality of variance. Level of significance for statistical analyses was set as p < 0.0%.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. QD characterization

The optical properties and sites of the QDs were characterized following their synthesis. The initially synthesized TOP'D-functionalized QDs (batch A20a) had an excitation peak at 619 nm and emission peak at 653 nm (Fig 1b and 1c). DHLA-PEG-functionalized QDs (batch A20b) were cap-exchanged from A20a TOPO-functionalized QDs. The second round of TOPO-functionalized QDs synthesized (batch A18d) had an excitation peak at 596 nm and emission peak at 608 nm (Fig 1b and 1c). The OA-functionalized QDs (batch D3a) had a first excitation peak at 515 nm and emission peak at 548nm (Fig 1b and 1c). The emission of each initially synthesized QD was also analyzed for lifetime and quantum yield as a check for QD quality according to previous studies (Fig S1)(Lyons *et al.* 2017). The results of these investigations concluded a lifetime of 27.81 ± 0.11 ns for A20a, 31.96 ± 0.64 ns for A18d, and 20.49 ± 0.03 ns

for D3a. Further, TEM analysis of the QDs was used to confirm the size of the QD calculated from absorbance via equations from the literature.(Jasieniak *et al.* 2009) TEM analysis revealed A20a are 9.26 ± 1.26 nm, A18d are 5.54 ± 0.49 nm, and D3a are 3.67 ± 0.41 nm in diameter (Fig S2). These parameters are similar to those reported for CdSe/ZnS QDs elsewhere (Williams *et al.* 2018).

3.2. Hydrophobic vs hydrophilic QD survival comparison

TOPO QDs caused significant toxicity, reducing *C. elegans* adult lifespan from 2 weeks to only a few days. Importantly, a similar impact was seen for TOPO ligana exposure alone. As shown in Fig 2, lifespan for TOPO ligand and TOPO QD-exposed (A2(α) a limitals were both significantly shorter than water or hexane controls, 1.8 ± 0.2 and 12.8 ± 0.3 diversus 14.2 ± 0.4 d and 12.8 ± 0.4 directively. Lifespan did not differ significantly between TOPO ligand and TOPO QD-exposed worms, and the level of toxicity associated with TOPO QD and ligand exposure exceeds even that of all Cd contained in toxicity associated with TOPO QD and ligand exposure exceeds even that of all Cd contained in toxicity associated with TOPO QDs. While Cd control treatment did cause a significant decline in lifespan versus and hexane controls, decreasing lifespan to 8.7 ± 0.2 d, this was of a lesser degree than $\frac{1}{2}$ impact of TOPO ligand or TOPO QDs.

Replacing TOPO with the hydrophilic DF LA-PEG ligand by cap-exchange (A20b) dramatically reduces QD toxicity at 1 μ M exposure, for a lifespan of 10.4 ± 0.5 d. DHLA-PEG QDs had a small but significant impact on the span vs the water control, but DHLA-PEG ligand alone had no impact (lifespan 12 ± 1 d). Toxicity observed for DHLA-PEG QDs is similar to that seen with other hydrophilic QDs in Collegans (Hsu et al. 2012, Contreras et al. 2013, Wang et al. 2016). Water and hexane control, did not differ significantly from each other.

Similar to the results observed here, TOPO-functionalized CdSe QDs were shown to cause toxicity in hepatocytes, with this toxicity being mitigated by cap-exchange with a hydrophilic mercaptoacetic acid ligand (Derfus *et al.* 2004), similar to mitigation seen here with DHLA-PEG functionalization. In contrast to these results, water solubilization of TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs by coating with gum arabic created considerable toxicity in *Daphnia magna* versus cap-exchanging with mercaptopropionic acid (Lee *et al.* 2010), demonstrating that the

method of creating a hydrophilic particle from TOPO-functionalized QDs can greatly influence particle toxicity, with cap exchange providing toxicity mitigation superior to surface coating.

The importance of surface coating in determining ENM toxicity is well-established, including for QDs (Hu *et al.* 2016) and other ENMs, such as Au (Bozich *et al.* 2014, Dominguez *et al.* 2015, Feng *et al.* 2015, Qiu *et al.* 2015). Given the drastic difference in toxicity we observed between DHLA-PEG and TOPO QDs, and with the impact of TOPO QDs and ligands exceeding even those of Cd, we chose to explore the interaction of TOPO QDs and ligand with *C. elegans* further.

3.3. Investigation of TOPO QD and ligand impacts by fluoresce ice imaging, AFM, and smFISH

Other studies have shown accumulation of hydrophilic QL, in the C. elegans' intestine (Qu et al. 2011, Contreras et al. 2013, Wang et al. 2016). He wayer, we only observed hydrophobic TOPO QDs associated with the exterior of C. elego ... hitial fluorescence imaging of picked, non-fixed animals revealed TOPO QD agglomerates (1.18d) on the exterior of worms (Fig 3). The aggregation of TOPO QDs and their adherence to the surface of C. elegans is similar to the aggregation and adherence of TOPO-iv ic ionalized CdS QDs to root surfaces observed in soybean (Majumdar, Ma, et al. 26. 9). Our observation of TOPO QDs only on the exterior of C. elegans led to the hypothesis that TOPO QDs and ligand may cause toxicity by damaging the cuticle, the collagenous outer are responsible for protecting C. elegans from their environment (Chisholm and Xu 2012). Yo wever, AFM imaging of fixed, exposed animals demonstrated no observable impact on cutile structure in larvae from TOPO QDs or ligand, even at an extremely lethal 5 µM dose (Fig 4). In addition, no QDs were visible in fixed samples, likely due to washing steps removing QDs associated with the worm surface for fixed sample imaging. The absence of cuticle impact is underscored by the lack of any change in expression of gpdh-1 from exposure to TOPO QDs or ligands (Fig 5a). The gpdh-1 gene would be expected to be upregulated in response to osmotic stress if the cuticle had in fact been damaged by TOPO QDs or ligand (Lamitina et al. 2006, Chisholm and Xu 2012, Dodd et al. 2018), but smFISH analysis of gpdh-1 in the gut determined its expression did not differ significantly among treatment groups. Expression of Cd-responsive *cdr-1* in the gut also did not differ significantly between

TOPO QDs and controls, although Cd control did show significant upregulation of *cdr-1* compared to hexane control (Fig 5b). Lack of a significant response of *cdr-1* reinforces the observation in lifespan assays (Fig 2) that Cd toxicity alone cannot account for the toxicity of TOPO QDs. Also undermining QD dissolution and Cd release as a likely source of toxicity, QDs and QD agglomerates were still visible on exposure plates (not shown) and visibly associated with the worm exterior (Fig 3), suggesting most QDs remained intact during exposure. Thus, as is the case for hydrophilic QDs reported in the literature (Hsu *et al.* 2012, Contreras *et al.* 2013, Wang *et al.* 2016), released Cd is unlikely to be the source of observed toxicity for TOPO QDs, and in fact cannot account for observed toxicity from TOPO QDs in 'his study. Instead, TOPO QD toxicity is likely attributable to the TOPO ligand itself.

3.4. Potential for TOPO displacement from the QD surface

The lack of observable uptake of particles by worms, the lack of damage to the cuticle by associated QDs, and the equivalent toxicity observed for TOPO QD and ligand exposures, suggest that free TOPO may be the source of barved toxicity in TOPO QD exposures. The TOPO ligand is easily displaced by other my ecules according to the literature (Bullen and Mulvaney 2006), and is considered to be weaker binding ligand than organic acids such as stearic acid or OA (Knittel et al. 2013). I PO has been shown to be displaced by amines (Koole et al. 2008), thiols (Breus et al. 2017), and humic and fulvic acids (Navarro et al. 2009), all of which are likely to be found in complex biological matrices. Thus, upon mixture with concentrated OP50 E. coli TOP') molecules may be displaced from the QD surface in an in situ cap-exchange with bio. To colless excreted by bacteria or present in the bacterial media. Free TOPO displaced from the QD surface would then be able to come into contact with C. elegans and induce toxicity. It should be noted that direct transfer to TOPO-bound ligands to C. elegans membranes upon association of TOPO-coated QD with C. elegans could provide an additional toxicity mechanism. Regardless of whether the adverse interactions of TOPO-coated QD with C. elegans are driven by free or bound TOPO molecules, our study clearly shows the importance of the capping ligand, in this case TOPO in the toxicity of the QD. The toxicity of free TOPO has been previously demonstrated in cells (Hoshino et al. 2004), supporting that TOPO in a free or bound form is a likely source of the toxicity observed here in *C. elegans*.

3.5. Potential neuromuscular impacts of TOPO

Fluorescence imaging of phalloidin-stained worms revealed marked straightening of the pharynx in TOPO ligand and QD exposed larvae (Fig 6). This straightening, along with observation of spasming in animals upon exposure to TOPO QDs and ligand (personal observation), suggests a potential neuro-muscular mechanism of TOPO toxicity. TOPO is amphiphilic, containing both polar and non-polar portions due to its P=O bond dipole and alkyl chains, respectively. The P=O bond creates a strong dipole (Gilheany 1994), on the order of 5 D for TOPO (whereas OA has a much weaker dipole: 0.7 D for the cis conformer and -0.1 D for the trans)(Wawrzynczyk *et al.* 2013). Amphiphilic dyes such as DiI preferentially stain the plasma rembrane of *C. elegans* exposed sensory neurons (Tong and Bürglin 2010), and amphiphiles such as the hydrophobic anion TPB- have been shown to incorporate into the plasma rembrane of cells where they can impact membrane charge (Zimmermann *et al.* 2008) and remarked as antagonists of GABA and NMDA neural receptors (Chisari *et al.* 2011, Linsenbarda al. 2013).

Hydrophobicity has been demonstrated to be an important determinant of the biological potency of compounds, with increased hydrophobic princreasing pharmaceutical impact on GABA receptor activity in vitro, likely due to increased concentration of hydrophobic compounds in the membrane (Chisari et al. 2009). The observed accumulation of ion channel proteins in TOPO-QD exposed soybean roots supports the impact of TOPO QDs on membrane proteins and ion transport (Majumdar, Pagano, or al. 2019). The localization of Cd to membranes in soybeans exposed to TOPO QDs also supports membrane impacts of these ENMs (Majumdar, Ma, et al. 2019). Specifically supporting neurotoxicity as a mechanism, axonal degeneration has been observed in PC12 cells caposed to CTAB/TOPO QDs (Mahto et al. 2010). Thus, observations in our study and others support membrane impacts of TOPO QDs, with neurotoxicity as one potential effect of these membrane impacts.

The GABA receptor is the target of the anti-parasitic drug ivermectin, which also impacts the pharynx and causes death in *C. elegans* (Lespine *et al.* 2009). Organophosphorus (OP) compounds are also known to act as nerve agents (e.g. sarin, VX) and used as pesticides (e.g. dichlorvos, tetrachlorvinphos), with the P=O bond facilitating inhibition of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), which results in neurotoxicity (Jokanović 2018). OP pesticides have been shown to

inhibit AChE and cause mortality in *C. elegans* at concentrations as low as 3 µM (Rajini *et al.* 2008). *C. elegans* have NMDA, GABA, and acetylcholine receptors (Bargmann 1998). Thus, due either to its amphiphilic nature and likely infiltration of membranes, its P=O bond, or both, TOPO may potentially disrupt normal neuron function, which could lead to the changes in the pharynx, spasming, and mortality observed in our study.

3.6. Hydrophobic TOPO vs OA QD survival comparison

The toxicity of TOPO raises the question if hydrophobicity alone is sufficient to confer enhanced toxicity to QDs, or if alternative hydrophobic ligands can be used that are relatively non-toxic. As shown in Fig 7, synthesizing QDs with alternative OA ligand completely negates QD toxicity even at 1 μM exposure. While TOPO ligand and 0.2 and μM TOPO QD (A18d) exposures all significantly shortened lifespan compared to water and hexane controls (1.3 ± 0.1 d, 6.8 ± 0.3 d, and 3.1 ± 0.4 d versus 14.4 ± 0.3 d and 13.4 ± 0.4 d, respectively), OA ligand and OA QD-exposed (D3a) animals at 0.2 or 1 μM (lifespans 14.5 ± 0.3 d, 13.3 ± 0.2 d, and 12.4 ± 0.3 d, respectively) showed no difference in the possible to redesign QDs to produce less-toxic hydrophobic ligands induce toxicity, and it is possible to redesign QDs to produce less-toxic hydrophobic QDs, in this case by substituting TOPO with OA. This is a valuable result, as previous studies of TOPO QD toxicity has e focused on comparisons to hydrophilic QDs without including alternative hydrophobic DDs—necessary to determine if TOPO toxicity is due to hydrophobicity in general or, as a demonstrated in this study, is specific to the TOPO ligand itself (Derfus *et al.* 2004, Hospital 2004, Lee *et al.* 2010).

To our knowledge, the conparative toxicity of OA-functionalized QDs has not been explored in the literature. A comparison has been made between hydrophilic polyvinylpyrrolidone and OA-functionalized Ag ENMs in earthworms (Shoults-Wilson *et al.* 2011), with no significant difference in toxicity observed between Ag ENMs functionalized with these two ligands. Given this and the relative lack of toxicity of OA QDs compared to the more common TOPO QDs observed in our study, further exploration of OA as an alternative hydrophobic ligand for QDs and other ENMs is warranted.

3.7. Implications

We have shown toxicity of hydrophobic QDs to be due specifically to TOPO ligand. Although QDs for displays in current technology will be embedded in polymers (Gallagher *et al.* 2018), TOPO would be expected to contribute to the hazardous waste from QD manufacturing (Engül and Theis 2011). The relatively non-toxic nature of OA-functionalized hydrophobic QDs suggests that this method of synthesis for QDs may greatly reduce the potential toxicity of QD manufacturing waste. Some literature also suggests that performance of OA QDs may be superior to TOPO QDs (Chen *et al.* 2009, Lee *et al.* 2013). Life-cycle assessment and thorough performance comparisons between TOPO and OA-functionalized QDs should be carried out to determine if hydrophobic OA QDs represent a viable 'greener' alternative to standard TOPO QDs.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the Nation. Science Foundation under Grant No. CHE-2001611, the NSF Center for Sustainable Nancachnology (CSN). The CSN is part of the Centers for Chemical Innovation Program. A portion of the research was performed using the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL), a DOE Office of Science User Facility sponsored by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research and located at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL).

Credit Author Statement

Nicholas Niemuth: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Resources, Writing-Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing; Denise Williams: Resources, Levestigation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization; Arielle C. Mensch: Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization; Yi Cui: Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization; Galya Orr: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Funding acquisition, Supervision; Ze'ev Rosenzweig: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Funding acquisition, Supervision; Rebecca Klaper: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Funding acquisition, Supervision.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary data

Supplementary material

References

- Albanese, A., Tang, P.S., and Chan, W.C.W., 2012. The Effect of Nanoparticle Size, Shape, and Surface Chemistry on Biological Systems. *Annual Review of Etom.edical Engineering*, 14 (1), 1–16.
- Allen, C., Qiu, T.A., Pramanik, S., Buchman, J.T., Krause, M.C.P., and Murphy, C.J., 2017. Research highlights: investigating the role of nancyarty le surface charge in nano-bio interactions. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 4 (4), /41–746.
- Bargmann, C.I., 1998. Neurobiology of the Caer of ab ditis elegans genome. *Science*, 282 (5396), 2028–2033.
- Barnoud, J., Rossi, G., Marrink, S.J., and Mon cicelli, L., 2014. Hydrophobic Compounds Reshape Membrane Domains. *PL* Computational Biology, 10 (10), e1003873.
- Batley, G.E., Kirby, J.K., and McL and Parallel, M.J., 2013. Fate and Risks of Nanomaterials in Aquatic and Terrestrial Environments. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 46 (3), 854–862.
- Bozich, J.S., Lohse, S.E., Torelli, M.D., Murphy, C.J., Hamers, R.J., and Klaper, R.D., 2014. Surface chemistry, charge and ligand type impact the toxicity of gold nanoparticles to Daphnia magna. *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 1 (3), 260–270.
- Breus, V. V., Heyes, C.D., and Nienhaus, G.U., 2007. Quenching of CdSe-ZnS core-shell quantum dot luminescence by water-soluble thiolated ligands. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 111 (50), 18589–18594.
- Bullen, C. and Mulvaney, P., 2006. The effects of chemisorption on the luminescence of CdSe quantum dots. *Langmuir*, 22 (7), 3007–3013.
- Chen, H., He, J., and Wu, S.T., 2017. Recent Advances on Quantum-Dot-Enhanced Liquid-Crystal Displays. *IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics*, 23 (5), 1–11.
- Chen, J., Song, J.L., Sun, X.W., Deng, W.Q., Jiang, C.Y., Lei, W., Huang, J.H., and Liu, R.S., 2009. An oleic acid-capped CdSe quantum-dot sensitized solar cell. *Applied Physics*

- Letters, 94 (15), 153115.
- Chen, X., 2019. Mapping the Decadal (2009–2018) Research Landscape of Nanotoxicity: Insights from a Bibliometric Study. *Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Letters*, 11 (10), 1327–1337.
- Chisari, M., Eisenman, L.N., Krishnan, K., Bandyopadhyaya, A.K., Wang, C., Taylor, A., Benz, A., Covey, D.F., Zorumski, C.F., and Mennerick, S., 2009. The Influence of Neuroactive Steroid Lipophilicity on GABA A Receptor Modulation: Evidence for a Low-Affinity Interaction. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 102 (2), 1254–1264.
- Chisari, M., Wu, K., Zorumski, C.F., and Mennerick, S., 2011. Hyun phobic anions potently and uncompetitively antagonize GABAAreceptor function in the absence of a conventional binding site. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 164 (2 B) 667–680.
- Chisholm, A.D. and Xu, S., 2012. The *Caenorhabditis e' zar*, epidermis as a model skin. II: differentiation and physiological roles. *Wiley Interdu. aplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology*, 1 (6), 879–902.
- Contreras, E.Q., Cho, M., Zhu, H., Puppala, II L., Escalera, G., Zhong, W., and Colvin, V.L., 2013. Toxicity of Quantum Dots and Commium Salt to Caenorhabditis elegans after Multigenerational Exposure. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47 (2), 1148–1154.
- Cui, Y., Markillie, L.M., Hu, D., Ansor g, C., Sussel, L., Orr, G., Gaffrey, M.J., and Chrisler, W.B., 2017. Fluctuation localization imaging-based fluorescence in situ hybridization (fliFISH) for accurate detection and counting of RNA copies in single cells. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46 (2), e7–e7
- D. Cronin, M., 2006. The Pole of Hydrophobicity in Toxicity Prediction. *Current Computer Aided-Drug Design*, 2 (4), 405–413.
- Deng, Z., Cao, L., Tang, F., and Zou, B., 2005. A new route to zinc-blende CdSe nanocrystals: Mechanism and synthesis. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 109 (35), 16671–16675.
- Derfus, A.M., Chan, W.C.W., and Bhatia, S.N., 2004. Probing the Cytotoxicity of Semiconductor Quantum Dots. *Nano Letters*, 4 (1), 11–18.
- Dhawan, A. and Sharma, V., 2010. Toxicity assessment of nanomaterials: methods and challenges. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 398 (2), 589–605.
- Dodd, W., Tang, L., Lone, J.C., Wimberly, K., Wu, C.W., Consalvo, C., Wright, J.E., Pujol, N., and Choe, K.P., 2018. A damage sensor associated with the cuticle coordinates three core

- environmental stress responses in caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics, 208 (4), 1467–1482.
- Dominguez, G.A., Lohse, S.E., Torelli, M.D., Murphy, C.J., Hamers, R.J., Orr, G., and Klaper, R.D., 2015. Effects of charge and surface ligand properties of nanoparticles on oxidative stress and gene expression within the gut of Daphnia magna. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 162, 1–9.
- Engül, H. and Theis, T.L., 2011. An environmental impact assessment of quantum dot photovoltaics (QDPV) from raw material acquisition through use. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19 (1), 21–31.
- Farnoud, A.M. and Nazemidashtarjandi, S., 2019. Emerging investigator series: interactions of engineered nanomaterials with the cell plasma membrane; what have we learned from membrane models? *Environmental Science: Nano*, 6 (1), 13–40
- Feng, Z.V., Gunsolus, I.L., Qiu, T.A., Hurley, K.R., Nyberg, V.H., Frew, H., Johnson, K.P., Vartanian, A.M., Jacob, L.M., Lohse, S.E., Torelli, M. L. Hamers, R.J., Murphy, C.J., and Haynes, C.L., 2015. Impacts of gold nanoparticle change and ligand type on surface binding and toxicity to Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. *Chemical Science*, 6 (9), 5186–5196.
- Fontana, F., Ezazi, N.Z., Tahir, N., and San, S., H.A., 2021. Cell–Nanoparticle Interactions: Toxicity and Safety Issues. *In: Characterization of Pharmaceutical Nano and Microsystems*. Wiley, 207–242.
- Gallagher, M.J., Buchman, J.T., Qu, T.A., Zhi, B., Lyons, T.Y., Landy, K.M., Rosenzweig, Z., Haynes, C.L., and Fairbrether D.H., 2018. Release, detection and toxicity of fragments generated during artificial recelerated weathering of CdSe/ZnS and CdSe quantum dot polymer composites. Environmental Science: Nano, 5 (7), 1694–1710.
- Gilheany, D.G., 1994. No d Orbitals but Walsh Diagrams and Maybe Banana Bonds: Chemical Bonding in Phosphines, Phosphine Oxides, and Phosphonium Ylides. *Chemical Reviews*, 94 (5), 1339–1374.
- Hoshino, A., Fujioka, K., Oku, T., Suga, M., Sasaki, Y.F., Ohta, T., Yasuhara, M., Suzuki, K., and Yamamoto, K., 2004. Physicochemical Properties and Cellular Toxicity of Nanocrystal Quantum Dots Depend on Their Surface Modification. *Nano Letters*, 4 (11), 2163–2169.
- Hsu, P.-C.L., O'Callaghan, M., Al-Salim, N., and Hurst, M.R.H., 2012. Quantum dot nanoparticles affect the reproductive system of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 31 (10), 2366–2374.

- Hu, L., Zhang, C., Zeng, G., Chen, G., Wan, J., Guo, Z., Wu, H., Yu, Z., Zhou, Y., and Liu, J., 2016. Metal-based quantum dots: synthesis, surface modification, transport and fate in aquatic environments and toxicity to microorganisms. *RSC Advances*, 6 (82), 78595–78610.
- Hu, L., Zhong, H., and He, Z., 2021. Toxicity evaluation of cadmium-containing quantum dots: A review of optimizing physicochemical properties to diminish toxicity. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 200, 111609.
- Jasieniak, J., Smith, L., van Embden, J., Mulvaney, P., and Califano, M., 2009. Re-examination of the Size-Dependent Absorption Properties of CdSe Quantum Dots. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 113 (45), 19468–19474.
- Ji, N. and van Oudenaarden, A., 2012. Single molecule fluorescent in situ hybridization (smFISH) of C. elegans worms and embryos. *WormBoo*. 1-16.
- Johnston, L.J., Gonzalez-Rojano, N., Wilkinson, K.J., ar J Xi. g, B., 2020. Key challenges for evaluation of the safety of engineered nanomaterials. 'vanoImpact, 18, 100219.
- Jokanović, M., 2018. Neurotoxic effects of organc of or phorus pesticides and possible association with neurodegenerative diseases in mar. A review. *Toxicology*, 410, 125–131.
- Kim, S.T., Saha, K., Kim, C., and Rotello, V. M., 2013. The role of surface functionality in determining nanoparticle cytotoxics. Accounts of Chemical Research, 46 (3), 681–691.
- Klaper, R.D. and Niemuth, N.J., 2016. Or the unexpected reproductive impacts of metformin: A need for support and new directions for the evaluation of the impacts of pharmaceuticals in the environment. *Chemos phere*, 165, 570–574.
- Knittel, F., Gravel, E., Cascatte, E., Pons, T., Pillon, F., Dubertret, B., and Doris, E., 2013. On the characterization of the surface chemistry of quantum dots. *Nano Letters*, 13 (11), 5075–5078.
- Koole, R., Schapotschnikow, P., de Mello Donegá, C., Vlugt, T.J.H., and Meijerink, A., 2008. Time-dependent photoluminescence spectroscopy as a tool to measure the ligand exchange kinetics on a quantum dot surface. *ACS Nano*, 2 (8), 1703–1714.
- Lamitina, T., Huang, C.G., and Strange, K., 2006. Genome-wide RNAi screening identifies protein damage as a regulator of osmoprotective gene expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103 (32), 12173–12178.
- Lee, J., Ji, K., Kim, J., Park, C., Lim, K.H., Yoon, T.H., and Choi, K., 2010. Acute toxicity of two CdSe/ZnSe quantum dots with different surface coating in Daphnia magna under

- various light conditions. Environmental Toxicology, 25 (6), 593-600.
- Lee, K.-H., Lee, J.-H., Song, W.-S., Ko, H., Lee, C., Lee, J.-H., and Yang, H., 2013. Highly Efficient, Color-Pure, Color-Stable Blue Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Devices. *ACS Nano*, 7 (8), 7295–7302.
- Lespine, A., Dupuy, J., Alvinerie, M., Comera, C., Nagy, T., Krajcsi, P., and Orlowski, S., 2009. Interaction of Macrocyclic Lactones with the Multidrug Transporters: The Bases of the Pharmacokinetics of Lipid-Like Drugs. *Current Drug Metabolism*, 10 (3), 272–288.
- Liao, V.H.C., Dong, J., and Freedman, J.H., 2002. Molecular characterization of a novel, cadmium-inducible gene from the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans: A new gene that contributes to the resistance to cadmium toxicity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277 (44), 42049–42059.
- Lin, S., Wang, H., and Yu, T., 2017. A promising trend for none-EHS research Integrating fate and transport analysis with safety assessment using model organisms. *NanoImpact*, 7, 1–6.
- Linsenbardt, A.J., Chisari, M., Yu, A., Shu, Y.-J., Zorumski, C.F., and Mennerick, S., 2013. Noncompetitive, Voltage-Dependent (**) 1DA Receptor Antagonism by Hydrophobic Anions. *Molecular Pharmacology*, 23 (2), 354–366.
- Liu, D. and Snee, P.T., 2011. Water-soluble semiconductor nanocrystals cap exchanged with metalated ligands. *ACS Nano*, 5 (1), 546–550.
- Lyons, T.Y., Williams, D.N., and Posenzweig, Z., 2017. Addition of Fluorescence Lifetime Spectroscopy to the Tool Vit Used to Study the Formation and Degradation of Luminescent Quantum Dots in Forcion. *Langmuir*, 33 (12), 3018–3027.
- Mahto, S.K., Yoon, T.H., and Rhee, S.W., 2010. Cytotoxic effects of surface-modified quantum dots on neuron-like PC12 cells cultured inside microfluidic devices. *BioChip Journal*, 4 (1), 82–88.
- Majumdar, S., Ma, C., Villani, M., Zuverza-Mena, N., Pagano, L., Huang, Y., Zappettini, A., Keller, A.A., Marmiroli, N., Dhankher, O.P., and White, J.C., 2019. Surface coating determines the response of soybean plants to cadmium sulfide quantum dots. *NanoImpact*, 14, 100151.
- Majumdar, S., Pagano, L., Wohlschlegel, J.A., Villani, M., Zappettini, A., White, J.C., and Keller, A.A., 2019. Proteomic, gene and metabolite characterization reveal the uptake and

- toxicity mechanisms of cadmium sulfide quantum dots in soybean plants. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 6 (10), 3010–3026.
- Manshian, B.B., Moyano, D.F., Corthout, N., Munck, S., Himmelreich, U., Rotello, V.M., and Soenen, S.J., 2014. High-content imaging and gene expression analysis to study cell-nanomaterial interactions: The effect of surface hydrophobicity. *Biomaterials*, 35 (37), 9941–9950.
- Mei, B.C., Susumu, K., Medintz, I.L., Delehanty, J.B., Mountziaris, T.J., and Mattoussi, H., 2008. Modular poly(ethylene glycol) ligands for biocompatible semiconductor and gold nanocrystals with extended pH and ionic stability. *Journal of winterials Chemistry*, 18 (41), 4949–4958.
- Mei, B.C., Susumu, K., Medintz, I.L., and Mattoussi, H., 2003. Polyethylene glycol-based bidentate ligands to enhance quantum dot and gold matter stability in biological media. *Nature Protocols*, 4 (3), 412–423.
- Navarro, D.A., Banerjee, S., Aga, D.S., and Watson & F., 2010. Partitioning of hydrophobic CdSe quantum dots into aqueous dispersions of humic substances: Influence of capping-group functionality on the phase-transis mechanism. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 348 (1), 119–128.
- Navarro, D.A.G., Watson, D.F., Aga, Γ.S., and Banerjee, S., 2009. Natural organic mattermediated phase transfer of συ intuin dots in the aquatic environment. *Environmental Science* and Technology, 43 (3), 67% 682.
- Nečas, D. and Klapetek, P. 2012. Gwyddion: An open-source software for SPM data analysis. Central European Io. and of Physics, 10 (1), 181–188.
- Padhye, T., Maravajjala, I.S., Swetha, K.L., Sharma, S., and Roy, A., 2020. A comprehensive review of the strategies to improve oral drug absorption with special emphasis on the cellular and molecular mechanisms. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 102178.
- Panfil, Y.E., Oded, M., and Banin, U., 2018. Colloidal Quantum Nanostructures: Emerging Materials for Display Applications. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 57 (16), 4274–4295.
- Pramanik, S., Hill, S.K.E., Zhi, B., Hudson-Smith, N. V., Wu, J.J., White, J.N., McIntire, E.A., Kondeti, V.S.S.K., Lee, A.L., Bruggeman, P.J., Kortshagen, U.R., and Haynes, C.L., 2018.

- Comparative toxicity assessment of novel Si quantum dots and their traditional Cd-based counterparts using bacteria models Shewanella oneidensis and Bacillus subtilis. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 5 (8), 1890–1901.
- Puckowski, A., Mioduszewska, K., Łukaszewicz, P., Borecka, M., Caban, M., Maszkowska, J., and Stepnowski, P., 2016. Bioaccumulation and analytics of pharmaceutical residues in the environment: A review. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 127, 232–255.
- Qiu, T.A., Bozich, J.S., Lohse, S.E., Vartanian, A.M., Jacob, L.M., Meyer, B.M., Gunsolus, I.L., Niemuth, N.J., Murphy, C.J., Haynes, C.L., and Klaper, R.D., 2015. Gene expression as an indicator of the molecular response and toxicity in the bacterium. Shewanella oneidensis and the water flea Daphnia magna exposed to functionalized go. 1 na noparticles. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2 (6).
- Qu, Y., Li, W., Zhou, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, L., Wang, L., Li, Y. Iida, A., Tang, Z., Zhao, Y., Chai, Z., and Chen, C., 2011. Full Assessment of Fate and Taysiological Behavior of Quantum Dots Utilizing *Caenorhabditis elegans* as a Nove' Organism. *Nano Letters*, 11 (8), 3174–3183.
- Rajini, P.S., Melstrom, P., and Williams, P.Y., 2008. A Comparative Study on the Relationship Between Various Toxicological Encroints in *Caenorhabditis elegans* Exposed to Organophosphorus Insecticides. *Je us nal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A*, 71 (15), 1043–1050.
- Rocha, T.L., Mestre, N.C., Sal óia Morais, S.M.T., and Bebianno, M.J., 2017. Environmental behaviour and ecotoxicity of quantum dots at various trophic levels: A review. *Environment International*, 98, 1–17
- Sadasivan, S., Bausemer, K., Corliss, S., and Pratt, R., 2016. 27-1: Invited Paper: Performance Benchmarking of Wide Color Gamut Televisions and Monitors. *SID Symposium Digest of Technical Papers*, 47 (1), 333–335.
- Schubert, J. and Chanana, M., 2018. Coating Matters: Review on Colloidal Stability of Nanoparticles with Biocompatible Coatings in Biological Media, Living Cells and Organisms. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 25 (35), 4553–4586.
- Shaham, S., 2006. Methods in cell biology. *WormBook*.
- Shoults-Wilson, W.A., Reinsch, B.C., Tsyusko, O. V., Bertsch, P.M., Lowry, G. V., and Unrine, J.M., 2011. Effect of silver nanoparticle surface coating on bioaccumulation and

- reproductive toxicity in earthworms (Eisenia fetida). Nanotoxicology, 5 (3), 432–444.
- Steinhäuser, K.G. and Sayre, P.G., 2017. Reliability of methods and data for regulatory assessment of nanomaterial risks. *NanoImpact*, 7, 66–74.
- Stiernagle, T., 2006. Maintenance of C. elegans. WormBook.
- Sun, H., Jiang, C., Wu, L., Bai, X., and Zhai, S., 2019. Cytotoxicity-Related Bioeffects Induced by Nanoparticles: The Role of Surface Chemistry. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 7.
- Tong, Y.G. and Bürglin, T.R., 2010. Conditions for dye-filling of sensory neurons in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 186 (1), 58–61.
- Wang, Q., Zhou, Y., Song, B., Zhong, Y., Wu, S., Cui, R., Cong, H., Su, Y., Zhang, H., and He, Y., 2016. Linking Subcellular Disturbance to Physiolog, cal Behavior and Toxicity Induced by Quantum Dots in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Small*, 12 (23), 3143–3154.
- Wang, Y. and Tang, M., 2018. Review of in vitro toxicolcarcal research of quantum dot and potentially involved mechanisms. *Science of he Total Environment*, 625, 940–962.
- Waris, A.A., Athar, T., Fatima, H., and Nise, M. 2021. Nanotoxicology-toxicology of nanomaterials and incidental nanomaterials. *In: Nanomaterials: Synthesis, Characterization, Hazards and Safesy.* Elsevier, 123–143.
- Wawrzynczyk, D., Bednarkiewicz, A., 'Nyk, M., Strek, W., and Samoc, M., 2013. Ligand-dependent luminescence of ultra-small Eu3+-doped NaYF4nanoparticles. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 15 (4), 1707.
- Wenger, W.N., Bates, F.S. and Aydil, E.S., 2017. Functionalization of Cadmium Selenide Quantum Dots while religiously (ethylene glycol): Ligand Exchange, Surface Coverage, and Dispersion Stability. *Langmuir*, 33 (33), 8239–8245.
- Williams, D.N., Pramanik, S., Brown, R.P., Zhi, B., McIntire, E., Hudson-Smith, N. V, Haynes,
 C.L., and Rosenzweig, Z., 2018. Adverse Interactions of Luminescent Semiconductor
 Quantum Dots with Liposomes and Shewanella oneidensis. ACS Applied Nano Materials, 1
 (9), 4788–4800.
- Xie, R., Kolb, U., Li, J., Basché, T., and Mews, A., 2005. Synthesis and characterization of highly luminescent CdSe-core CdS/Zn 0.5Cd0.5S/ZnS multishell nanocrystals. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 127 (20), 7480–7488.
- Yang, Z., Gao, M., Wu, W., Yang, X., Sun, X.W., Zhang, J., Wang, H.-C., Liu, R.-S., Han, C.-

- Y., Yang, H., and Li, W., 2018. Recent advances in quantum dot-based light-emitting devices: Challenges and possible solutions. *Materials Today*.
- Zheng, D., Zhao, P., and Zhu, L., 2019. Non-conjugated and π -conjugated functional ligands on semiconductive quantum dots. *Composites Communications*, 11, 21–26.
- Zimmermann, D., Kiesel, M., Terpitz, U., Zhou, A., Reuss, R., Kraus, J., Schenk, W.A., Bamberg, E., and Sukhorukov, V.L., 2008. A combined patch-clamp and electrorotation study of the voltage- and frequency-dependent membrane capacitance caused by structurally dissimilar lipophilic anions. *Journal of Membrane Biology*, 221 (2), 107–121.
- Figure 1. CdSe/ZnS quantum dots and spectra. Quantum dots (Ql)s) used for this study displayed (a) in a cartoon format illustrating the ligands and types of comparisons between QDs of different functionalizations in this study. Normalized (b) absorbance and (c) emission intensity of QDs.
- Figure 2. Impact of hydrophobic and hydrophi'a quantum dots on C. elegans lifespan.

Percent survival and adult lifespan of C. $eleg_{a}$ is exposed to water control, hexane control, Cd at 1 μ M equivalent, trioctylphosphine oxide (CPO) ligand at 1 μ M equivalent, TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS quantum dots (CDs) at 1 μ M, dihydrolipoic acid-polyethylene glycol (DHLA-PEG) ligand at 1 μ M equivalent, and DHLA-PEG CdSe/ZnS QDs at 1 μ M. For bar graphs, columns with different letters indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) by Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric analysis with Conference adjusted pairwise comparisons, and error bars represent standard error of the mean

- Figure 3. Association of CPO-functionalized QDs with *C. elegans* cuticle. Bright field (a) and red fluorescence images (b) of *C. elegans* exposed to trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO)-functionalized CdSe/ZnS quantum dots at 5 μM for 24 h. Worms were picked from exposure plate using Pt wire. White arrows indicate TOPO quantum dot agglomerates associated with the cuticle of exposed *C. elegans*.
- **Fig 4. Atomic force microscopy of** *C. elegans* Atomic force microscopy of *C. elegans* L1 larvae exposed for 2 h on an OP50 lawn spiked with: (a,b) water, (c,d) TOPO ligand at 0.2 μM equivalent, (e,f) TOPO QDs at 0.2 μM, and (g,h) TOPO QDs at 5 μM. No difference in cuticle structure was evident after exposure. All lateral scale bars are 2 μm.
- Figure 5. Expression of gpdh-1 and cdr-1 by smFISH. Expression of (a) gdph-1 and (b) cdr-1

in gut tissue of synchronized L1 larvae exposed to water control, hexane control, Cd control at 0.2 μ M equivalent, trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) ligand at 0.2 μ M equivalent, and TOPO-functionalized quantum dots at 0.2 μ M for 6 h. No significant differences between treatments were detected in *gpdh-1* expression (a) by one-way ANOVA. Columns with different letters in (b) indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) in *cdr-1* expression by one-way Welch ANOVA with Dunnett T3 pairwise comparisons. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

Fig 6. Staining of *C. elegans* actin. Phalloidin staining of actin in *C. elegans* L1 larvae exposed for 6 h on a lawn of OP50 containing: (a) water, (b) hexane solvent control, (c) Cd equivalent to 0.2 μ M QDs, d) TOPO ligand at 0.2 μ M equivalent, and e) TOPO QPs at 0.2 μ M. Note abnormal straightening of the pharynx in TOPO ligand and QD- χ xpc sed animals (yellow arrows). Scale bar represents 10 μ m.

Fig 7. Comparison of impacts of hydrophobic QDs with alferent ligands on C. elegans lifespan. Percent survival and adult lifespan of C. elegans exposed to water control, hexane control, Cd at 1 μ M equivalent, oleic acid (OA) ligand at 1 μ M equivalent, OA-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs at 0.2 and 1 μ M, TOPO ligand at 1 μ M equivalent, and TOPO-functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs at 0.2 and 1 μ M. For bar graphs, columns with different letters indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) by Krushal-Wallis non-parametric analysis with Bonferroniadjusted pairwise comparisons, and ear r pars represent standard error of the mean.

Graphical abstract

Highlights

- Hydrophobic TC 2O QDs are significantly more toxic than hydrophilic DHLA-PEG QDs.
- TOPO ligand alone is as toxic as TOPO QDs.
- TOPO QDs loosely associate with but do not damage the cuticle.
- Straightening of the pharynx and spasming suggest neuro-muscular TOPO toxicity.
- Substitution of TOPO with OA yields relatively non-toxic hydrophobic QDs.