# Dalton Transactions

# PAPER

Check for updates

**Cite this:** *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 16453

Received 12th June 2020, Accepted 30th July 2020 DOI: 10.1039/d0dt02099k rsc.li/dalton

## Introduction

The silicon derivatives of carbocations  $(R_3C^+)$  are silvlium ions  $(R_3Si^+)$ .  $R_3C^+$  species are accessible in liquid superacidic media containing weakly coordinating anions (e.g.  $Sb_2F_{11}$ ) and contain sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized planar structures at the central carbon atom.<sup>1</sup> Synthesis of R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> required counter anions with higher stability and lower nucleophilicity than those needed to isolate  $R_3C^{+,2}$  These studies showed that  $R_3Si^+$  containing weakly coordinating  $B(C_6F_5)_4^-$  or  $[CH_6B_{11}Br_6]$  anions were isolable as crystalline solids, though with several distinguishing structural features that contrast  $R_3Si^+$  from  $R_3C^+$ . For example, the silvlium fragment in [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] contains average C-Si-C bond angles of 117°, a significant deviation from planarity expected for an sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized silicon.<sup>3</sup> Further ambiguities arose from the ability of R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> to interact with very weak nucleophiles, such as toluene in  $[Et_3Si(toluene)][B(C_6F_5)_4]^4$ that distort silicon from planarity and delocalize charge into the aromatic ring. The controversy surrounding the isolation of silvlium cations<sup>5</sup> was not settled until Reed and Lambert

isolated the  $[Mes_3Si][CH(Me_5)B_{11}Br_6]$  salt that contains a planar sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized silicon.<sup>6</sup>

During these investigations, which largely focused on X-ray diffraction analyses, the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift was recognized as a diagnostic indicator for silylium character in  $R_3Si^+$  salts that deviated from planarity.<sup>7</sup> Fig. 1 summarizes reported <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift for selected  $R_3Si-X$  and  $[R_3Si][X]$  ionpairs. The general trend shown in Fig. 1 is that as charge accumulates at silicon the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift value



Winn Huynh and Matthew P. Conley 🕩 \*

The origin in deshielding of <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts in R<sub>3</sub>Si–X, where X = H, OMe, Cl, OTf, [CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>X<sub>6</sub>], toluene, and O<sub>X</sub> (O<sub>X</sub> = surface oxygen), as well as <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> and Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> were studied using DFT methods. At the M06-L/6-31G(d,p) level of theory the geometry optimized structures agree well with those obtained experimentally. The trends in <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift also reproduce experimental trends; <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–H has the most shielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift and free <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> or isolable Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> have the most deshielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift and free <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> or isolable Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> have the most deshielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift. Natural localized molecular orbital (NLMO) analysis of the contributions to paramagnetic shielding ( $\sigma^{P}$ ) in these compounds shows that Si–R (R = alkyl, H) bonding orbitals are the major contributors to deshielding in this series. The Si–R bonding orbitals are coupled to the empty p-orbital in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> or Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>, or to the  $\sigma^{*}_{Si-X}$  orbital in R<sub>3</sub>Si–X. This trend also applies to surface bound R<sub>3</sub>Si–O<sub>X</sub>. This model also explains chemical shift trends in recently isolated <sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, <sup>t</sup>BuSiH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, and SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> that show more shielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR signals than R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> species. There is no correlation between isotropic <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift and charge at silicon.



Fig. 1 Selected <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts for R<sub>3</sub>Si-X.



View Article Online

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, California 92507, USA. E-mail: matthew.conley@ucr.edu

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Calculated structures, shielding tensor plots, NLMO decomposition plots (pdf). Coordinates for 1–17 (xyz). See DOI: 10.1039/d0dt02099k

increases. This trend is particularly clear for <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-X. The <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift of <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-H in toluene- $d_8$  is 12 ppm. Replacing the Si-H group with electron withdrawing groups results in a downfield shift of the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR signal, maximizing at the [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>] salt that has a <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift of 115 ppm in the solid-state. This trend was supported by DFT calculations of Me<sub>3</sub>Si-Cl as a function of Si-Cl bond distance. As the Si-Cl bond length increases positive charge accumulates at silicon and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR signals are predicted at higher chemical shift values.<sup>7</sup> The conclusions from this study that charge at silicon relates to <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift is a metric that has been widely used to quantify silylium character in R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> as isolable molecular salts,<sup>8</sup> and recently to descriptions of R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> supported on oxide surfaces.<sup>9</sup>

Magnetic shielding of an NMR active nucleus as a result of being placed in a strong external magnetic field (**B**<sub>0</sub>) is described by the three principal components of the second rank tensor ( $\sigma_{11}$ ,  $\sigma_{22}$ ,  $\sigma_{33}$ ) shown in eqn (1). The most deshielded component is  $\sigma_{11}$ , and the most shielded component is  $\sigma_{33}$ . The values of ( $\sigma_{11}$ ,  $\sigma_{22}$ ,  $\sigma_{33}$ ) average to isotropic shielding values in solution due to rapid tumbling such that  $\sigma_{iso} = 1/3(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22} + \sigma_{33})$ . Calibration of  $\sigma_{iso}$  to a reference compound, such as Me<sub>4</sub>Si for <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra, using eqn. (2) results in the more familiar chemical shift ( $\delta$ ).

$$\delta = \frac{\sigma_{\rm ref} - \sigma_{\rm iso}}{1 - \sigma_{\rm ref}} \tag{2}$$

Shielding is related to the effect of  $\mathbf{B}_0$  on the flow of electrons around the NMR active nucleus that induces a small magnetic field opposing  $\mathbf{B}_0$ , usually referred to as  $\mathbf{B}_i$ . Ramsey decomposed shielding contributions into diamagnetic shielding ( $\sigma^d$ ) and paramagnetic shielding ( $\sigma^p$ ), eqn (3).

$$\sigma_{\rm iso} = \sigma^d + \sigma^p \tag{3}$$

Chemical environment does not appreciably affect  $\sigma^{d}$  for heavy atoms (*i.e.* <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>19</sup>F, <sup>29</sup>Si, <sup>31</sup>P, *etc.*), but rather  $\sigma^{p}$  determines chemical shift trends. This behavior is related to anisotropies introduced by accessible p-orbitals that form  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -bonds in these elements. Unlike  $\sigma^{d}$ , which is a consequence of the effect of **B**<sub>0</sub> on the ground-state wavefunction,  $\sigma^{p}$  couples the ground state wavefunction ( $\phi_{0}$ ) to an excited state wavefunction ( $\phi_{n}$ ) through the angular momentum operator ( $\hat{L}_{ki}$ , where ki = element of the shielding tensor, eqn (4)). The denominator in eqn (4) indicates that if  $\phi_{0}$  and  $\phi_{n}$  are close in energy a large  $\sigma^{p}$  contribution is expected. This situation is maximized when  $\phi_{0}$  is the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and  $\phi_{n}$  is the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). Orbitals close to the HOMO and LUMO also can result in large  $\sigma^{p}$ .<sup>10</sup>

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\rm p} \propto \frac{\left\langle \varphi_0 | \hat{L}_{\rm ki} | \varphi_n \right\rangle \left\langle \varphi_n | \frac{\hat{L}_{\rm kNj}}{r_{\rm kN}^3} | \varphi_0 \right\rangle}{\Delta E_{n-0}} \tag{4}$$



**Fig. 2** Orientation of the shielding tensor in a N-heterocyclic silylene, and coupling of the HOMO to the LUMO through the angular momentum operator  $\hat{L}_{11}$ .

Orbital rotation models are useful to visualize these effects, which is shown for a N-heterocyclic silvlene in Fig. 2. The <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts of N-heterocyclic silylenes are deshielded, ranging from ~75-120 ppm. The origin of this deshielding is related to the orientation of the  $\sigma_{11}$  component for the shielding tensor, which was measured experimentally using solid-state NMR spectroscopy and verified using DFT methods, Fig. 2.<sup>11</sup> These data show that the shielding tensor orients  $\sigma_{11}$  in the N–Si–N plane perpendicular to the filled silylene lone pair orbital, the HOMO in a N-heterocyclic silylene. Rotation of this orbital by 90°  $(\hat{L}_{11}|)$  results in  $\phi_n$ , which is the empty p-orbital that is the LUMO in a N-heterocyclic silvlene. This orientation maximizes  $\sigma^{p}$  deshielding, and results in the downfield chemical shift values observed for the silvlene silicon. Similar deshielding trends properties also apply to  $R_2Si = SiR_2$ ,<sup>12</sup> RSi = SiR,<sup>13</sup>  $R_2Si = CR'_2$ ,<sup>14</sup>  $R_2Si = X$  (X = O, S),<sup>15</sup> and heteroatom substituted silyl lithiums.<sup>16</sup>

Orbital rotation models are widely applicable and relate NMR chemical shifts to electronic structures in organic molecules,<sup>17</sup> aryllithium reagents,<sup>18</sup> and organometallic complexes.<sup>19</sup> Based on these arguments, the origin of the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift trends shown in Fig. 1 are probably not directly related to charge at silicon, but rather the magnitude of  $\sigma^{\rm p}$ . This study describes the origin of deshielding of <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts in R<sub>3</sub>Si-X using DFT methods. The chemical shift trend shown in Fig. 1 is dominated by contributions from  $\sigma^{\rm p}$ , which is maximized when occupied Si-C bonding orbitals are perpendicular to the empty p-orbital on silicon, which occurs for free silylium ions. There is no relationship between charge at silicon and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift.<sup>20</sup>

### Computational methods

The geometries of all structures were optimized in Gaussian 09<sup>21</sup> using the M06-L functional<sup>22</sup> at the 6-31G\*\* level of theory for H, B, C, O, Si and Cl. Heavier elements (Zr, Br, I) were described with the SDD basis set. Frequency calculations at this level of theory produced no imaginary frequencies, indicating a ground-state energy minimized structure. 1–3 were calculated as free cations, 4–8 were calculated as neutral ionpairs, 9 was calculated as the anion, and 10–13 were calculated as molecular species.

The NMR parameters are calculated the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) suite,<sup>23</sup> using the GIAO method<sup>24</sup> with the

PBE functional, the TZ2P basis set on silicon and zirconium, and the DZ basis set on all other atoms. NMR calculations with the meta-GGA functional M06-L is not yet supported in ADF. However, the combination of geometry optimization in G09 at the M06-L/6-31G\*\*/SDD level of theory, followed by computation of NMR parameters in ADF at the PBE/TZ2P(Si, Zr)/DZ results in good agreement with experiment (Table S1<sup>†</sup>). Natural Localized Molecular Orbital (NLMO)<sup>25</sup> contributions to shielding were also calculated at this level of theory, with scalar relativistic ZORA included in this analysis.<sup>26</sup> This analysis in ADF gives shielding ( $\sigma$ ) as the sum of diamagnetic shielding ( $\sigma^{d}$ ) and of the full paramagnetic shielding term ( $\sigma^{p+so}$ ), which is the sum of paramagnetic shielding and spin-orbit contributions. In this study the spin-orbit contributions are negligible. Therefore, we refer to paramagnetic shielding as  $\sigma^{p}$  for the species studied here. The canonical orbitals for 1 and 5 were calculated at the same level of theory to extract energies for the orbitals contributing to  $\sigma^{p}$  from the NLMO analysis.

### Results and discussion

 $R_3Si-X$  were studied using DFT methods based on the availability of crystallographic and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR data to calibrate the computational analysis of NMR properties given below. The geometries of these compounds were optimized at the M06-L/ 6-31G(d,p) level of theory, and structures of these compounds are shown in Fig. 3. Calculated structures and coordinates for



Fig. 3 Structures of 1–13 optimized at the M06-L/Br, I(SDD); 6-31G\*\* level of theory.

these compounds are given in the ESI.†  ${}^{i}Pr_{3}Si^{+}$  (1), Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (2), and Et<sub>3</sub>Si(toluene)<sup>+</sup> (3) were calculated without anions, while **4-9** were calculated as ion-pairs.

Key structural data of the optimized geometries of  $R_3Si-X$ are summarized in Table 1, and compared to experimental data when available. This level of theory accurately reproduces Si-C and Si-H bond lengths, and captures trends in Si-X bond distances for anion containing structures, across the series of compounds in Fig. 1. The Si-C or Si-H bond distances are roughly constant across the series of  $R_3Si-X$ , indicating that these distances are not a clear indicator of silylium character.

Table 1 shows that the R<sub>3</sub>Si–X approaches R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> the silicon becomes more planar, which is expected for a sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized silylium ion. For example, the sum of C–Si–C bond angles in free <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (1) is 358.4°. This value is close to that obtained experimentally, and at this level of theory, for Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (2,  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C} = 360.0^{\circ}$ ). The C–Si–C bond angles contract in silylium species coordinated to weak ligands, such as toluene in Et<sub>3</sub>Si (toluene)<sup>+</sup> (3), indicating that the silicon pyramidalizes. This behavior is common, and observed in [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>] (4,  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C} = 348.4^{\circ}$ ) due to an interaction between silicon and one of the halides on the carborane anions ( $d_{Si-Cl} = 2.26$  Å). The  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C}$  bond angles is 347.1° in [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] (5) and 342.5° in [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>I<sub>6</sub>] (6), indicating that these species also contain pyramidalized silicon, and reproduce trends in C– Si–C angles for these compounds observed experimentally.<sup>7</sup>

Similar behavior is observed for [ ${}^{t}Bu_{2}SiH$ ][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] (7,  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C} = 345.4^{\circ}$ ), and [ ${}^{t}BuSiH_{2}$ ][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] (8,  $\Sigma_{R-Si-R} = 343.4^{\circ}$ ). [SiH<sub>3</sub>][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (9) is an exception, and contains a planar silicon ( $\Sigma_{H-Si-H} = 360.0^{\circ}$ ). In the solid-state 9 exists as an infinite polymer of alternating SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> and CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> that enforces the trigonal bipyramidal structure at silicon, resulting in planarity in the plane defined by the three Si-H bonds.

Table 1 Key geometric data for R<sub>3</sub>Si–X<sup>a</sup>

Average $r_{\rm Si-R}$ (Å)	$r_{\rm Si-X}$ (Å)	$\sigma$ (R–Si–R) (°)	Ref.
1.86	_	358.4	_
1.83 (1.82)	_	360.0 (360.0)	6
1.87 (1.85)	2.13 [2.195(11)]	342.5 (341.6)	27
1.88(1.85)	2.26[2.323(3)]	348.4 (351.9)	2a
1.88(1.86)	2.45 [2.479(9)]	347.1 (350.9)	3
1.89(1.88)	2.63 [2.660(7)]	342.5 (346.8)	2a
C: 1.89 (1.88)	2.41[2.4110(14)]	345.4 (347.9)	8d
H: 1.48 (1.52)			
C: 1.88 (1.85)	2.37 [2.3777(16)]	343.4 (342.9)	8d
H: 1.47 (1.37, 1.51)			
H: 1.46 (1.50)	2.65, 2.65	360.0 (357.0)	8d
	[2.477(4), 2.770(4)]		
1.88	1.76	343.7	—
1.89	2.10	337.1	—
1.90	1.68	334.0	—
1.90	1.50	333.7	—
1.89	1.68	334.0	—
1.89	1.83	333.4	—
1.89	1.71	337.9	—
1.89	1.77	338.4	—
	Average $r_{Si-R}$ (Å) 1.86 1.83 (1.82) 1.87 (1.85) 1.88 (1.85) 1.88 (1.86) 1.89 (1.88) C: 1.89 (1.88) H: 1.48 (1.52) C: 1.88 (1.85) H: 1.47 (1.37, 1.51) H: 1.46 (1.50) 1.88 1.89 1.90 1.89 1.89 1.89 1.89 1.89 1.89	Average $r_{Si-R}$ (Å) $r_{Si-X}$ (Å)         1.86       —         1.87 (1.85)       2.13 [2.195(11)]         1.88 (1.85)       2.26 [2.323(3)]         1.88 (1.85)       2.26 [2.323(3)]         1.88 (1.85)       2.26 [2.323(3)]         1.88 (1.85)       2.26 [2.323(3)]         1.88 (1.85)       2.26 [2.479(9)]         1.89 (1.88)       2.63 [2.660(7)]         C: 1.89 (1.88)       2.41 [2.4110(14)]         H: 1.48 (1.52)       E         C: 1.89 (1.88)       2.37 [2.3777(16)]         H: 1.47 (1.37, 1.51)       H         H: 1.46 (1.50)       2.65, 2.65         [2.477(4), 2.770(4)]       1.88         1.89       2.10         1.90       1.68         1.90       1.68         1.90       1.68         1.89       1.83         1.89       1.83         1.89       1.83         1.89       1.71         1.89       1.77	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

 $^a$  Bond distances and bond angles from R<sub>3</sub>Si–X optimized at the M06-L/Zr, Br, I(SDD); 6-31G\*\* level of theory. Values given in parentheses are experimental values from X-ray crystal structures.

The  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C}$  bond angles in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–OTf (10) is 343.7°, close to the value obtained for [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>X<sub>6</sub>] (4–6). However, the Si–O bond in 10 is far shorter than the Si–X bond in a carborane salt, and is a reflection of the more weakly coordinating carborane anion relative to a triflate anion. As X becomes more coordinating to the silicon, the sum of the C–Si–C bond angles continues to decrease from 337.1° in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–Cl (11), to 334.0° in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–OMe (12) and to 333.7° in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–H (13).

**1** and **2** are "free" silylium ions, and show geometrical features expected for a planar silicon in a  $R_3Si^+$  cation. **3–8** also reproduce trends in experimental data, and supports the conclusion that very weak nucleophiles, such as toluene or halogenated carborane ions, result in distortions expected for planar silylium geometries. However, **3–8** behave like silylium ions, and Reed referred to this class of species as "silylium-like" based on their structural and spectroscopic behaviors.<sup>2a</sup> As expected, a significant contraction of the C–Si–C bond angle was observed in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–X containing groups that do not form silylium ions (**10–13**).

 $R_3Si^+$  species can also form on high surface area oxides. Oxide surfaces are terminated with –OH sites, and the acidity of these sites relates to the ability of a surface oxygen to form  $R_3Si-O_X$  ( $O_X$  = surface oxygen) or  $[R_3Si][O_X]$ . Partially dehydroxylated silica contains –OH sites that behave as weak acids, and react with silylating agents to form  $R_3Si-O_X$ . This assignment is supported by solid-state <sup>29</sup>Si NMR measurements, which contain signals near ~10 ppm.<sup>28</sup> Oxides containing –OH sites that behave as stronger acids than silanols on partially dehydroxylated silica, such as sulfated zirconium oxide (**SZO**) or silica contacted with  $Al(OC(CF_3)_3)_3$ \*PhF to form very acidic –OH sites, react with allyltriisopropylsilane to form  $[^iPr_3Si][O_X]$  based on the deshielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR signal in these materials and their reactivity towards C–F bonds.<sup>9</sup>

Four cluster models to approximate <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-sites on these oxides are shown in Fig. 4. An isolated silanol, which is the major surface species for silica partially dehydroxylated at 700 °C, was approximated with the -SiH<sub>3</sub> capped polyoligosequisiloxane,<sup>29</sup> and capping the remaining silanol in this cluster with a triisopropylsilyl group results in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-O<sub>X</sub> (14), which contains a pyramidal silicon in the <sup>1</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-fragment  $(\Sigma_{C-Si-C} = 334.0^{\circ})$  with a Si-O bond length of 1.68 Å. Coordination of  $Al(OC(CF_3)_3)_3$ \*PhF to the isolated silanol in this cluster reproduces spectroscopic trends observed experimentally for the acidic bridging silanol, and replacing the acidic proton with <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> forms [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][O<sub>X</sub>] (15).<sup>9b</sup> The <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> site in 15 coordinates to the least sterically hindered Si-O-Si bridge, and does not interact with C-F bonds from the anionic  $(R^{F}O)_{3}Al-OSi \equiv$ . In 15 the  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C}$  is 333.4, slightly lower than in 14, and similar to values obtained for 11-13. However, the Si-O distance in 15 at this level of theory is 1.83 Å, significantly longer than the Si-O bond in 14. Similar to the example of <sup>1</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–OTf (10) and [<sup>1</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][carborane], the longer Si–O bond distance in 15 results in the silvlium-like character in this material.

The **SZO** surface contains –OH sites that behave as strong acids and basic Zr–O–Zr bridges.<sup>30</sup> Experimental and compu-



**Fig. 4** Calculated structures of **15–17** optimized at the M06-L/Zr, Br, I(SDD); 6-31G\*\* level of theory. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

tational studies show that surface bound organometallics interact with sulfates to form ion-pairs in well-defined heterogeneous catalysts,<sup>31</sup> which implies that <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-sites would interact with the sulfate as well. The **SZO** cluster model in Fig. 4 is similar to those used previously in studies of sulfated oxides interacting with organometallics.<sup>31e</sup> In **16** the <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-site binds to a Zr–O–Zr bridge, and has an Si–O distance of 1.71 Å and  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C}$  of 337.9°. In **17** the <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-site binds to the sulfate, and has a slightly longer Si–O distance of 1.77 Å and nearly identical  $\Sigma_{C-Si-C}$  of 338.4°.

Table 2 contains calculated NMR data for 1–17 at the PBE/ Si(TZ2P), DZ level of theory. In general, the calculated isotropic NMR chemical shifts are in good agreement with those obtained experimentally. Fig. 5 contains these data graphically and shows that the calculated and experimental chemical shifts correlate well at this level of theory. In general, as the silicon in R<sub>3</sub>Si–X becomes closer to a free silylium the chemical shift value increases. The <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–X series illustrates this trend. Species that do not form silylium ions have chemical shift values <~45 ppm. Free <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> is predicted to have a <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift of 343 ppm. [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>X<sub>6</sub>] have <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts of ~100 ppm, between chemical shifts for free <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> and <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–X that do not form silylium ions.

Surface models follow similar trends. **14** does not capture structural trends consistent with a silylium ion, and has a calculated <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift of 15 ppm, close to experimental values for  $R_3Si-O_X$  species reported previously.<sup>15</sup> However, **15** has a longer Si–O bond than **14**, and a calculated

 Table 2
 Calculated
 <sup>29</sup>Si
 NMR parameters of
 1–17 at
 the
 PBE/Si,Zr

 (TZ2P), DZ level of theory
 DZ
 DZ

R <sub>3</sub> Si-X	$\delta_{ m calc}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{expt}}$	Ω	$\sigma_{11}$	$\sigma_{22}$	$\sigma_{33}$	Ref.
1	343	_	389	-157	-106	250	_
2	213	$227^{a}$	279	32	33	311	6
3	94	93 <sup>a</sup>	92	199	243	291	27
4	100	$115^{a}$	87	208	232	276	2a
5	105	$110^{a}$	103	188	221	291	2a
6	102	97 <sup>a</sup>	113	197	217	299	2a
7	85	$73^{b}$	136	170	285	307	8d
8	40	$27^{b}$	145	217	318	362	8d
9	-54	$-65^{a}$	42	368	398	410	8d
10	45	$42^c$	22	283	293	305	32
11	42	$36^d$	20	293	294	314	33
12	14	$14^d$	36	310	317	346	34
13	-4	$12^e$	52	310	360	360	35
14	15	$\sim 10^a$	30	310	320	340	15
15	64	$70^a$	50	254	267	304	9b
16	27	_	28	305	310	332	_
17	42	$55^a$	30	284	291	314	9a

<sup>*a*</sup> Solid-state measurement. <sup>*b*</sup> Recorded in *o*-dichlorobenzene. <sup>*c*</sup> neat. <sup>*d*</sup> Recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*e*</sup> Recorded in toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>.



**Fig. 5** Plot of calculated and experimental isotropic  ${}^{29}$ Si NMR chemical shift for 2–15 and 17. The line is from linear regression analysis gives  $R^2 = 0.980$ .

<sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift consistent with a "silylium-like" surface species.

Though this trend in <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift holds well for <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–X, there are exceptions. For example, the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift systematically decrease in the order <sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>SiH<sup>+</sup> (85 ppm) > <sup>t</sup>BuSiH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (40 ppm) > SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (-54 ppm), reproducing the experimental trends from <sup>29</sup>Si NMR measurements. <sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>SiH<sup>+</sup>, <sup>t</sup>BuSiH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> are undoubtedly "silylium-like", but the latter two species have chemical shifts that appear inconsistent with this assignment. The origins of this trend will be discussed below.

Eqn (1) shows that magnetic shielding is a 3 × 3 matrix, and eqn (2) relates  $\sigma$  to more common  $\delta$  scale used in NMR spectroscopy. The calculated values of  $\sigma_{ii}$  for 1–17 are given in Table 2. Table 2 also includes the span ( $\Omega$ ) of the shielding tensor, which is the difference of  $\sigma_{11}$  and  $\sigma_{33}$ . As X in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-X becomes more weakly coordinating  $\Omega$  increases, indicating that the static powder pattern in the solid-state <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectrum becomes broader. Fig. 6 contains simulated static <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra for selected <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–X. For example, <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–OTf has a small  $\Omega$  of 22 ppm and a narrow simulated static <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectrum, Fig. 6a. Et<sub>3</sub>Si(toluene)<sup>+</sup> and [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] have a larger  $\Omega$  values than <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–OTf and have broader simulated static <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectrum (Fig. 6b and c). The trend continues to the free silylium species; Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> has a  $\Omega$  of 279 ppm (Fig. 6d), while free <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> has the largest  $\Omega$  of 389 ppm and is the broadest simulated spectrum (Fig. 6e).



**Fig. 6** Static <sup>29</sup>Si solid-state NMR simulation of <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-OTf (10), Et<sub>3</sub>Si (toluene)<sup>+</sup> (3), [<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] (5), Mes<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (2) and <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (1). Simulations of static were run using TopSpin 3.6.1 with 500 Hz line broadening using calculated NMR parameters from those shown in Table 2.

Eqn (3) decomposes magnetic shielding into  $\sigma^{d}$  and  $\sigma^{p}$ . Fig. 7 plots calculated  $\sigma_{tot}$ ,  $\sigma^{d}$  and  $\sigma^{p}$  for  $\sigma_{11}$  in 1–17. As the calculated chemical shift increases  $\sigma$  decreases (black line), which is expected. The plot of  $\sigma^{d}$  (blue line) has a slope of 0, indicating that this term does not dramatically affect  $\sigma_{tot}$ , and therefore isotropic <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift, in 1–17. However,  $\sigma^{p}$  clearly affects  $\sigma_{tot}$ , and is the main factor that results in the chemical shift trends observed in this series. The obvious implication from this result is that the arguments related to charge at silicon are not responsible for the downfield <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts in R<sub>3</sub>Si–X. The plots for  $\sigma_{22}$  and  $\sigma_{33}$  and their  $\sigma^{p}$  and  $\sigma^{d}$  contributions are provided in the ESI† and follow this trend.

As discussed above, eqn (4) relates  $\sigma^{p}$  to coupling between occupied and unoccupied molecular orbitals through the angular momentum operator. This relationship is connected to the orientation of the magnetic shielding tensor. The orientations of the shielding tensors for 1-17 are remarkably similar, though the tensor surfaces vary across the series. The orientation of the shielding tensor and the tensor surface are shown for <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> in Fig. 8a and for <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si–Cl in Fig. 8b as representative examples. The tensor orientation for both species roughly align with one another, with the most deshielded  $\sigma_{11}$ component and  $\sigma_{22}$  in or along the plane defined by the Si-C bonds and the most shielded  $\sigma_{33}$  perpendicular to this plane. <sup>1</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> has a highly anisotropic tensor surface, as expected for a formally sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized silicon. <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-Cl has a nearly isotropic tensor surface, which is more typically observed for sp<sup>3</sup> hybridized silicon. This is correlated with the very large  $\Omega$  for  ${}^{1}Pr_{3}Si^{+}$  and the small  $\Omega$  for  ${}^{1}Pr_{3}Si$ -Cl. Indeed, the tensor surface gradually transitions from the anisotropic surface for <sup>1</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> to the essentially isotropic surface for <sup>1</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-H across 1-17 (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>).

The orientation of the shielding tensor in for  ${}^{i}Pr_{3}Si-X$ remain essentially constant across this series, suggesting that the  $\sigma^{p}$  contributions are of similar origin for a majority of the species in this study. A full natural localized molecular orbital (NLMO) description for the  $\sigma^{p}$  contributions to the shielding tensor of **1** are given in Fig. 9. Fig. 9a shows that the  $\sigma_{Si-C}$  is



**Fig. 7** Comparison of calculated  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^{d}$  and  $\sigma^{p}$  versus calculated isotropic <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift for  $\sigma_{11}$  in **1–17**.



Fig. 8 Shielding tensor surface plotted with TensorView 1.3<sup>36</sup> and shielding tensor orientation for  ${}^{i}Pr_{3}Si^{+}$  (a) and  ${}^{i}Pr_{3}Si-Cl$  (b).

the largest contributor to paramagnetic shielding in  $\sigma_{11}$ . Rotation of the  $\sigma_{\text{Si-C}}$  NLMO by 90° about the  $\sigma_{11}$  axis results in the empty p-orbital on Si, the LUMO of <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (Fig. 9b). The orientation of  $\sigma_{11}$ , and the contribution of a  $\sigma_{\text{Si-C}}$  that is orthogonal to the LUMO in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>, fulfills the prerequisites outlined above in eqn (4) and Fig. 2 for strong  $\sigma^{\text{p}}$  deshielding.

In <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>  $\sigma_{22}$  is also in the plane defined by the three Si–C bonds, and roughly bisects the angle between one C–Si–C bond. This orientation couples two  $\sigma_{Si-C}$  orbitals to the orthogonal empty p-orbital on silicon, which agrees with the NLMO decomposition of  $\sigma_{22}$  (Fig. 9c and d). The diminished impact of  $\sigma_{33}$ , which is perpendicular to the plane defined by the Si–C bonds, on  $\sigma^{P}$  is also evident from Fig. 9e and f. The major contributor to  $\sigma_{33}$  is also the Si–C bonds, but in this case the angular momentum operator couples the bonding  $\sigma_{Si-C}$  orbital to higher energy  $\sigma_{Si-C}^{*}$  orbitals.

A simplified MO diagram showing these transitions are given in Fig. 10. This analysis explains why the  $\Omega$  values for R<sub>3</sub>Si-X become larger as these species approach free R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>. The  $\sigma^{\rm p}$  contributions to  $\sigma_{33}$  are small because of the large energy gap between  $\sigma_{\rm Si-C}$  and  $\sigma^*_{\rm Si-C}$  orbitals, which results in less deshielding of  $\sigma_{33}$  compared to  $\sigma_{11}$  and  $\sigma_{22}$ . This increases the difference between  $\sigma_{11}$  and  $\sigma_{33}$ , resulting in larger  $\Omega$  values.

Approach of a weak nucleophile, such as toluene or a carborane anion, results in pyramidalization at silicon, and significantly less deshielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts than predicted for <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>. The orientation of the shielding tensor for the <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> fragment in 5 is shown in Fig. 11, and is oriented very similarly to the shielding tensor for 1. NLMO decomposition shows that  $\sigma_{Si-C}$  orbitals contribute to deshielding in  $\sigma_{11}$ and  $\sigma_{22}$ , indicating that these orbitals are also coupled to the p-orbital on silicon interacting with the halogen on the carborane anion, in this case a low-lying  $\sigma^*$  orbital. This result shows that the origin of <sup>29</sup>Si NMR deshielding is similar in 1 and 5.

The difference in deshielding is not related to the difference in charge at silicon in 1 and 5, but rather the pro-



Fig. 9 NLMO Contributions to  $\sigma_{11}$  (a),  $\sigma_{22}$  (c), and  $\sigma_{33}$  (e) and the major orbitals resulting in deshielding in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> (b, d, and f).



Fig. 10 Simplified qualitative molecular orbital description of the orbitals coupled to  $\sigma^{p}$  through the angular momentum operator.

nounced increase in orbital energies involved in  $\sigma^{\rm p}$ . Calculations of the canonical orbitals at this level of theory shows that the energy gap between the  $\sigma_{\rm Si-C}$  and the empty p-orbital on silicon in **1** is 3.61 eV, which is smaller than the



Fig. 11 Orientation of the shielding tensors for 5, 7-9. The [CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] anions in 5, 7-9 are omitted for clarity. 9 contains two [CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] anions.

energy gap between the  $\sigma_{\rm Si-C}$  and  $\sigma^*_{\rm Si-X}$  in 5 (5.57 eV, Fig. S13 and 14†).

As <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si-X becomes increasingly pyramidal the  $\sigma_{11}$  becomes less deshielded, and the  $\Omega$  decreases. For species that do not form silvlium ions (**10–14**, **16**) the orientation of the shielding tensor is similar, though in this case the  $\sigma_{SiC}$  orbital couples to the  $\sigma_{Si-X}^*$  orbital. NLMO decomposition of  $\sigma_{11}$ , and orbital rotations for **1–17** are given in the ESI.†

[<sup>*t*</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>SiH][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] (7), [<sup>*t*</sup>BuSiH<sub>2</sub>][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>] (8), and [SiH<sub>3</sub>][CH<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Br<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (9) seem to defy this trend. The orientation of shielding tensors for 5 and 7–9 are shown in Fig. 11. The tensor plots of 5, 7, and 8 show similar anisotropies (Fig. S1<sup>+</sup>), which reflects their similar  $\Omega$  values (Table 2, entries 5, 7, and 8). At first glance the tensor orientations appear to have little

in common. However, NLMO decomposition shows that in all three cases Si–C bonding orbitals are the major contributor to  $\sigma_{11}$ . In 5 and 8,  $\sigma_{11}$  orients perpendicular to one Si–C bond, similar to <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>. However,  $\sigma_{11}$  in 7 orients along the Si–H bond. This alignment bisects the C–Si–C bond angle, and allows for efficient coupling of the Si–C bonding orbitals with the empty p-orbital on silicon through the angular momentum operator. These results show that the deshielding in  $\sigma_{11}$  in 7 and 8 is related to the other R<sub>3</sub>Si–X.

The structural data in Table 1 relate <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> to the SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> fragment in 9. Both contain planar silicon ( $\Sigma_{R-Si-R} \sim 360^{\circ}$ ), suggesting that these two species should show similarly deshielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts. However, these signals are predicted to be separated by ~400 ppm, 9 being more shielded than <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>. In contrast to the tensor alignment in <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>, the shielding tensor of SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> in **9** results in the  $\sigma_{11}$ component perpendicular to the plane defined by the three Si-H bonds. NLMO decomposition shows that the Si-H bonds are the major contributors to  $\sigma^{p}$ , which results in coupling between  $\sigma_{\rm SiH}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm SiH}^*$  through the angular momentum operator. This is unlike  ${}^{i}Pr_{3}Si^{+}$  that couples  $\sigma_{SiC}$  orbitals to the p-orbital on Si. This is a result of the two  $[CH_6B_{11}Br_6]^-$  anions interacting with the p-orbital of the SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> fragment in 9, which results in a shielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift. This analysis also explains the small  $\Omega$  value predicted for **9** in Table 2.

The net upfield <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift trend is in the order  $2 > 7 > 8 \gg 9$ . Fig. 12 shows the total  $\sigma^d$  and  $\sigma^p$  for each component of the shielding tensor. The  $\sigma^d$  is roughly constant in all four species, but  $\sigma^p$  gradually reduces in magnitude as Si–C bonds are replaced with Si–H bonds, which is likely a result of an increasing energy gap between the  $\sigma_{Si-C}$  and the  $\sigma^*_{Si-X}$  orbital in these species. The net effect of this reduction is a more shielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift value.

Finally, plots of isotropic <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts or  $\Omega$  *versus* charge at this level of theory do not show an obvious correlation, Fig. S12.<sup>†</sup> This result is unambiguous, and indi-



Fig. 12 Total diamagnetic and paramagnetic shielding for each component of the shielding tensor in 5, 7–9.

cates that charge does not relate to the NMR parameters discussed here.

# Conclusion

DFT methods accurately reproduce the structural and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift trends in a large family of molecular or surface R<sub>3</sub>Si–X. Though these species span ~400 ppm on the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift scale, analysis of the shielding tensor for these species shows remarkable similarities. In all cases except SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, the most deshielded  $\sigma_{11}$  component of the shielding tensor orients to couple a Si–C bond with the empty p-orbital in silicon for silylium or "silylium-like" species, or couples a Si–C bond with the  $\sigma_{Si-X}^*$  orbital in species that do not form a silylium. This behavior is independent of the isotropic <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift value, and is not correlated with charge. SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> has a unique shielding tensor orientation, which results in less  $\sigma^{p}$  than the other R<sub>3</sub>Si–X species, and a significantly more shielded <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift because SiH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> interacts with two carborane anions.

What does <sup>29</sup>Si NMR reveal about the nature of a free silylium or "silylium-like" species? In many respects this question is related to the well-documented twists and turns of isolating and characterized  $R_3Si^+$  species.<sup>2*a*</sup> While charge is not related to the isotropic <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift,<sup>20</sup> as  $R_3Si^-X$ approaches  $R_3Si^+\cdots X^-$  and ultimately  $R_3Si^+$  the HOMO-LUMO gap decreases, resulting in an increase of  $\sigma^P$  and net deshielding of the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shift.

Based on structural parameters, the surface "silylium-like" models studied here show structural features in between those of  $[{}^{i}Pr_{3}Si][CH_{6}B_{11}X_{6}]$  and  ${}^{i}Pr_{3}Si-X$  (X = OTf, Cl). However, the remarkably constant shielding tensor orientation in this series of R<sub>3</sub>Si-X also relates to <sup>29</sup>Si NMR data for surface species. Similar to molecular R<sub>3</sub>Si-X, oxides that contain –OH sites that behave as weakly coordination anions form "silylium-like" surface species. The  $\sigma_{11}$  deshielding is identical to that described for the molecular species. Given the wealth of [R<sub>3</sub>Si][WCA] salts synthetically accessible and the relative dearth of "silylium-like" surface species, <sup>9</sup> this information will be critical to analyze <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts as new R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> sites on surfaces become available.

## Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1800561).

# References

- 1 G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Prakash, J. Sommer and A. Molnar, *Superacid Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- 2 (a) C. A. Reed, The Silylium Ion Problem, R3Si+. Bridging Organic and Inorganic Chemistry, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1998, 31, 325–332; (b) C. A. Reed, H+, CH3+, and R3Si+Carborane Reagents: When Triflates Fail, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, 43, 121–128.
- 3 C. A. Reed, Z. Xie, R. Bau and A. Benesi, Closely Approaching the Silylium Ion  $(R_3Si^+)$ , Science, 1993, 262, 402–404.
- 4 J. B. Lambert, S. Zhang, C. L. Stern and J. C. Huffman, Crystal Structure of a Silyl Cation with No Coordination to Anion and Distant Coordination to Solvent, *Science*, 1993, 260, 1917–1918.
- 5 (a) L. Pauling, Triethylsilyl Cations, *Science*, 1994, 263, 983-983; (b) G. A. Olah, G. Rasul, X.-y. Li, H. A. Buchholz, G. Sandford and G. K. S. Prakash, Triethylsilyl Cations, *Science*, 1994, 263, 983–984; (c) J. B. Lambert and S. Zhang, Response, *Science*, 1994, 263, 984–985; (d) C. A. Reed and Z. Xie, Response, *Science*, 1994, 263, 985–986.
- 6 K.-C. Kim, C. A. Reed, D. W. Elliott, L. J. Mueller, F. Tham, L. Lin and J. B. Lambert, Crystallographic Evidence for a Free Silylium Ion, *Science*, 2002, 297, 825–827.
- 7 Z. Xie, J. Manning, R. W. Reed, R. Mathur, P. D. W. Boyd,
  A. Benesi and C. A. Reed, Approaching the Silylium (R3Si+) Ion:Trends with Hexahalo (Cl, Br, I)
  Carboranes as Counterions, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, 118, 2922–2928.
- 8 (a) R. Ramírez-Contreras, N. Bhuvanesh, J. Zhou and O. V. Ozerov, Synthesis of a Silylium Zwitterion, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 10313-10315; (b) Q. Wu, A. Roy, G. Wang, E. Irran, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, Synthesis of a Counteranion-Stabilized Bis(silylium) Ion, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 10523-10526; (c) Q. Wu, Z.-W. Qu, L. Omann, E. Irran, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, Cleavage of Unactivated Si-C(sp3) Bonds with Reed's Carborane Acids: Formation of Known and Unknown Silylium Ions, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 9176–9179; (d) Q. Wu, E. Irran, R. Müller, M. Kaupp, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, Characterization of hydrogen-substituted silvlium ions in the condensed phase, Science, 2019, 365, 168-172; (e) T. Küppers, E. Bernhardt, Eujen, H. Willner and С. W. Lehmann, R. [Me3Si][R-CB11F11]—Synthesis and Properties, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6346-6349.
- 9 (a) D. B. Culver and M. P. Conley, Activation of C-F Bonds by Electrophilic Organosilicon Sites Supported on Sulfated Zirconia, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 14902-14905;
  (b) D. B. Culver, A. Venkatesh, W. Huynh, A. J. Rossini and M. P. Conley, Al(ORF). 3 (RF=C(CF3)3) activated silica: a well-defined weakly coordinating surface anion, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 1510-1517.
- 10 C. M. Widdifield and R. W. Schurko, Understanding chemical shielding tensors using group theory, MO analysis, and

modern density-functional theory, *Concepts Magn. Reson., Part A*, 2009, **34A**, 91–123.

- 11 R. West, J. J. Buffy, M. Haaf, T. Müller, B. Gehrhus, M. F. Lappert and Y. Apeloig, Chemical Shift Tensors and NICS Calculations for Stable Silylenes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 1639–1640.
- 12 (a) R. West, J. D. Cavalieri, J. J. Buffy, C. Fry, K. W. Zilm, J. C. Duchamp, M. Kira, T. Iwamoto, T. Müller and Y. Apeloig, A Solid-State 1NMR and Theoretical Study of the Chemical Bonding in Disilenes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 4972–4976; (b) M. Ichinohe, Y. Arai, A. Sekiguchi, N. Takagi and S. Nagase, A New Approach to the Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Disilenes and Germasilene: Unusual <sup>29</sup>Si NMR Chemical Shifts and Regiospecific Methanol Addition, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 4141–4143.
- 13 V. Kravchenko, R. Kinjo, A. Sekiguchi, M. Ichinohe, R. West, Y. S. Balazs, A. Schmidt, M. Karni and Y. Apeloig, Solid-State <sup>29</sup>Si NMR Study of RSiSiR: A Tool for Analyzing the Nature of the Si–Si Bond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14472–14473.
- 14 J. J. Buffy, R. West, M. Bendikov and Y. Apeloig, Chemical Shielding Tensors for a Silicon–Carbon Double Bond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 978–979.
- 15 J. D. Epping, S. Yao, M. Karni, Y. Apeloig and M. Driess, Si=X Multiple Bonding with Four-Coordinate Silicon? Insights into the Nature of the Si=O and Si=S Double Bonds in Stable Silanoic Esters and Related Thioesters: A Combined NMR Spectroscopic and Computational Study, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 5443–5455.
- 16 D. Auer, M. Kaupp and C. Strohmann, "Unexpected" <sup>29</sup>Si NMR Chemical Shifts in Heteroatom-Substituted Silyllithium Compounds: A Quantum-Chemical Analysis, *Organometallics*, 2004, **23**, 3647–3655.
- 17 K. B. Wiberg, J. D. Hammer, K. W. Zilm and J. R. Cheeseman, NMR Chemical Shifts. 3. A Comparison of Acetylene, Allene, and the Higher Cumulenes, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 6394–6400.
- 18 S. Berger, U. Fleischer, C. Geletneky and J. C. W. Lohrenz, The 13C Chemical Shift of the ipso Carbon Atom in Phenyllithium, *Chem. Ber.*, 1995, **128**, 1183–1186.
- 19 (a) B. Pinter, K. T. Smith, M. Kamitani, E. M. Zolnhofer, B. L. Tran, S. Fortier, M. Pink, G. Wu, B. C. Manor, K. Meyer, M.-H. Baik and D. J. Mindiola, Cyclo-P3 Complexes of Vanadium: Redox Properties and Origin of the <sup>31</sup>P NMR Chemical Shift, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 15247-15261; (b) A. Jochen and Z. Shaohui, Analyzing Pt chemical shifts calculated from relativistic density functional theory using localized orbitals: The role of Pt 5d lone Magn. Reson. Chem., 2008, 46, S45-S55; pairs, (c) D. B. Culver, W. Huynh, H. Tafazolian, T.-C. Ong and M. P. Conley, The  $\beta$ -Agostic Structure in (C5Me5)2Sc (CH2CH3): Solid-State NMR Studies of (C5Me5)2Sc-R (R=Me, Ph, Et), Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 9520-9523; (d) C. P. Gordon, C. Raynaud, R. A. Andersen, C. Copéret and O. Eisenstein, Carbon-13 NMR Chemical Shift: A Descriptor for Electronic Structure and Reactivity of

Organometallic Compounds, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 2278–2289; (*e*) G. Wu, D. Rovnyak, M. J. A. Johnson, N. C. Zanetti, D. G. Musaev, K. Morokuma, R. R. Schrock, R. G. Griffin and C. C. Cummins, Unusual 31P Chemical Shielding Tensors in Terminal Phosphido Complexes Containing a Phosphorus–Metal Triple Bond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 10654–10655.

- 20 R. V. Viesser, L. C. Ducati, C. F. Tormena and J. Autschbach, The unexpected roles of  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  orbitals in electron donor and acceptor group effects on the 13C NMR chemical shifts in substituted benzenes, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 6570–6576.
- 21 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, 2016.
- 22 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215–241.
- 23 G. te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. Fonseca Guerra, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders and T. Ziegler, Chemistry with ADF, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2001, 22, 931–967.
- 24 G. Schreckenbach and T. Ziegler, Calculation of NMR Shielding Tensors Using Gauge-Including Atomic Orbitals and Modern Density Functional Theory, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1995, **99**, 606–611.
- 25 E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis and F. Weinhold, NBO 6.0: Natural bond orbital analysis program, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2013, 34, 1429–1437.
- 26 J. Autschbach, Analyzing NMR shielding tensors calculated with two-component relativistic methods using spin-free localized molecular orbitals, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **128**, 164112.
- 27 J. B. Lambert, S. Zhang and S. M. Ciro, Silyl Cations in the Solid and in Solution, *Organometallics*, 1994, 13, 2430– 2443.
- 28 (a) M. P. Conley, C. Copéret and C. Thieuleux, Mesostructured Hybrid Organic–Silica Materials: Ideal Supports for Well-Defined Heterogeneous Organometallic

Catalysts, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 1458–1469; (*b*) C. Zapilko, M. Widenmeyer, I. Nagl, F. Estler, R. Anwander, G. Raudaschl-Sieber, O. Groeger and G. Engelhardt, Advanced Surface Functionalization of Periodic Mesoporous Silica: Kinetic Control by Trisilazane Reagents, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 16266–16276; (*c*) J. Bluemel, Reactions of Ethoxysilanes with Silica: A Solid-State NMR Study, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 2112–2113; (*d*) K. D. Behringer and J. Blümel, Reactions of Ethoxysilanes with Silica: A Solid-State NMR Study, *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.*, 1996, **19**, 2753–2765.

- 29 I. Del Rosal, I. C. Gerber, R. Poteau and L. Maron, Grafting of Lanthanide Complexes on Silica Surfaces: A Theoretical Investigation, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 6322–6330.
- 30 F. Haase and J. Sauer, The Surface Structure of Sulfated Zirconia Periodic ab Initio Study of Sulfuric Acid Adsorbed on ZrO2(101) and ZrO2(001), *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 13503–13512.
- 31 (a) D. M. Kaphan, R. C. Klet, F. A. Perras, M. Pruski, C. Yang, A. J. Kropf and M. Delferro, Surface Organometallic Chemistry of Supported Iridium(III) as a Probe for Organotransition Metal-Support Interactions in C-H Activation, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 5363-5373; (b) M. M. Stalzer, C. P. Nicholas, A. Bhattacharyya, A. Motta, M. Delferro and T. J. Marks, Single-Face/All-cis Arene Hydrogenation by a Supported Single-Site d0 Organozirconium Catalyst, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 5263-5267; (c) M. Stalzer, M. Delferro and T. Marks, Supported Single-Site Organometallic Catalysts for the Synthesis of High-Performance Polyolefins, Catal. Lett., 2015, 145, 3-14; (d) R. C. Klet, D. M. Kaphan, C. Liu, C. Yang, A. J. Kropf, F. A. Perras, M. Pruski, A. S. Hock and M. Delferro, Evidence for Redox Mechanisms in Organometallic Chemisorption and Reactivity on Sulfated Metal Oxides, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 6308-6316; (e) Z. H. Syed, D. M. Kaphan, F. A. Perras, M. Pruski, M. S. Ferrandon, E. C. Wegener, G. Celik, J. Wen, C. Liu, F. Dogan, K. I. Goldberg and M. Delferro, Electrophilic Organoiridium(III) Pincer Complexes on Sulfated Zirconia for Hydrocarbon Activation and Functionalization, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 6325–6337; (f) L. A. Williams, N. Guo, A. Motta, M. Delferro, I. L. Fragala, J. T. Miller and T. J. Marks, Surface structural-chemical characterization of a single-site d0 heterogeneous arene hydrogenation catalyst having 100% active sites, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2013, 110, 413-418; (g) J. Rodriguez, D. B. Culver and M. P. Conley, Generation of Phosphonium Sites on Sulfated Zirconium Oxide: Relationship to Brønsted Acid Strength of Surface -OH Sites, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 1484-1488; (h) D. B. Culver, H. Tafazolian and M. P. Conley, A Bulky  $Pd(\pi)$   $\alpha$ -Diimine Catalyst Supported on Sulfated Zirconia for the Polymerization of Ethylene and Copolymerization of Ethylene and Methyl Acrylate, Organometallics, 2018, 37, 1001-1006; (i) H. Tafazolian, D. B. Culver and M. P. Conley, A Well-Defined Ni(II) α-Diimine Catalyst Supported on Sulfated Zirconia for

#### **Dalton Transactions**

Polymerization Catalysis, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 2385–2388.

- 32 G. A. Olah, K. Laali and O. Farooq, Organometallic chemistry. 21. Silyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (triflate)-boron trichloride (tribromide) complexes, *Organometallics*, 1984, 3, 1337–1340.
- 33 C. Zhang, P. Patschinski, D. S. Stephenson, R. Panisch, J. H. Wender, M. C. Holthausen and H. Zipse, The calculation of <sup>29</sup>Si NMR chemical shifts of tetracoordinated silicon compounds in the gas phase and in solution, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, 16, 16642–16650.
- 34 R. Savela, W. Zawartka and R. Leino, Iron-Catalyzed Chlorination of Silanes, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 3199– 3206.
- 35 Z. Xie, D. J. Liston, T. Jelínek, V. Mitro, R. Bau and C. A. Reed, A new weakly coordinating anion: approaching the silylium (silicenium) ion, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 384–386.
- 36 R. P. Young, C. R. Lewis, C. Yang, L. Wang, J. K. Harper and L. J. Mueller, TensorView: A software tool for displaying NMR tensors, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2019, 57, 211–223.