

# Bouncing Off Walls – Widths of Exit Channels from Shallow Minima Can Dominate Selectivity Control

**Mengna Bai,<sup>1,2,✉</sup> Zhitao Feng,<sup>2,✉</sup> Jun Li,<sup>1</sup> Dean J. Tantillo<sup>2\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> *School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering & Chongqing Key Laboratory of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, Chongqing University, No.55 Daxuecheng South Rd., Shapingba, Chongqing, 401331, China*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Chemistry, University of California Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA*


## ABSTRACT

A selectivity model based on the widths of pathways to competing products, rather than barrier heights, is formulated for the butadiene + allyl cation reaction. This model was arrived at via analysis of stationary points, intrinsic reaction coordinates, potential energy surface shapes and direct dynamics trajectories, all determined using quantum chemical methods.

## INTRODUCTION

Reactions between butadienes and allyl cations have been studied extensively from both mechanistic and synthetic perspectives. Reactions of these two types of components can lead to 4-, 5-, 6- or 7-membered rings via concerted or stepwise (formal) (2+2)/ $[\pi_2+\pi_2]$ ,<sup>1,2</sup> (2+3)/ $[\pi_2+\pi_2]$ ,<sup>3,4</sup> (4+2)/ $[\pi_4+\pi_2]$ <sup>5-9</sup> (the so-called “ionic Diels-Alder reaction”) or (4+3)/ $[\pi_4+\pi_2]$ <sup>3,4,10-12</sup> cycloaddition reactions, respectively (Scheme 1). Which products are observed can be influenced by which substituents are attached to each component and the environment in which the reaction is run. Here we reinvestigate the parent reaction of butadiene + allyl cation (in the gas phase and DMSO), adding results from density functional theory (DFT) calculations to Pascual-Teresa and Houk’s previously reported HF and MP2 results,<sup>13</sup> and including an expanded view of the butadiene + allyl cation potential energy surface (PES). In addition, we have examined variational transition states (VTSs)<sup>14</sup> and subjected this reaction to *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations, of both downhill and uphill varieties,<sup>15</sup> to assess whether or not non-statistical dynamic effects play a role in determining product selectivity.<sup>16-19</sup> Our results have led to the conclusion that the *widths of pathways to products can sometimes be more important than barrier heights*—an entropic effect that has often been overlooked but has potential utility in constructing useful models of selectivity and in designing new selective reactions.


**Scheme 1.** Possible modes of cycloaddition for butadiene + allyl cation.



## Computational Methods


The *Gaussian 09* software suite<sup>20</sup> was used for all DFT calculations. The geometries and frequencies of minima and transition-state structures (TSSs) were optimized at the B3LYP-D3/6-311+G(d,p),<sup>21-25</sup> M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p),<sup>26-28</sup> M06-2X/6-311G(d), and  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-311G(d)<sup>29</sup> levels of theory. TSSs were defined as such by frequency calculations that predicted exactly one imaginary frequency,<sup>30</sup> while minima showed no imaginary frequencies. Molecular structures were visualized using *CYLview*.<sup>31</sup> Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC)<sup>32-34</sup> calculations were performed to confirm that minimum energy pathways (MEP) connected TSSs to the reactants and the products indicated. To explore the effect of solvation, calculations were also performed with M06-2X/6-311G(d) and the PCM solvation model for DMSO.<sup>35</sup> In addition, single-point energies were computed at the CCSD(T)-F12a/AVDZ<sup>36</sup> level using the *MOLPRO* 2015 package.<sup>37</sup> As

shown in Figure 1, the relative energies of stationary points are similar among different levels. VTSs and associated reaction paths were determined using *Gaussrate*<sup>38</sup>/*Polyrate*<sup>39</sup> with application of the Reorientation Of the Dividing Surface (RODS) algorithm at the M06-2X/6-311G(d) level of theory.<sup>14,40</sup>



**Figure 1.** Relative free energies (single point electronic energies for CCSD(T) results) of stationary points on the allyl cation + butadiene PES (in kcal• mol<sup>-1</sup> relative to **Rc**) at 298.15 K. The values shown are from top to bottom: B3LYP-D3/6-311+G (d,p) (black), M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p) (red), M06-2X/6-311G(d) (blue),  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-311G(d) (green), PCM(DMSO)-M06-2X/6-311G(d) (purple), CCSD(T)-F12a/AVDZ// M06-2X/6-311G(d) (yellow). Distances shown (Å) are from M06-2X/6-311G(d). Structure labels are defined in the text below.

Both quasi-classical and classical AIMD simulations were performed using M06-2X/6-311G(d). For the former, zero-point energies are included in initial sampling while for the latter, they are not. Quasi-classical AIMD simulations also were performed using ωB97X-D/6-311G(d). All trajectories were propagated using the *Progdyn*<sup>41</sup> script. To explore the effect of continuum solvent on dynamics, PCM(DMSO)-M06-2X/6-311G(d) was employed. Uphill (initiated in the **Rc** region) and downhill (initiated in transition state region) dynamics simulations were both carried out. For downhill dynamics, starting geometries were generated from a Boltzmann distribution of vibrations at 298 K and trajectories were propagated in both product and reactant directions with the Verlet algorithm, using *Gaussian 09* to calculate forces at each time step (the time step was set as 1 fs).<sup>42</sup> For uphill dynamics simulations, starting geometries were generated from a Boltzmann sampling of vibrations at 298 K then propagated towards the product direction until one or the other of the products is formed. Starting points for uphill dynamics are overlaid in Figure 2. Note that our analysis here is for a “relaxed” **Rc**; *i.e.*, momentum for its formation is not included.<sup>18,19</sup> The following geometric criteria (atom numbers shown in Figure 1) were employed to halt trajectories: when the C1–C4 and C5–C7 distances both dropped below 1.70 Å, a trajectory was labeled as the (4+3) adduct (7-membered ring product, **Prod-1**); when the C1–C4 and C2–C7 distances both dropped below 1.60 Å, a trajectory was labeled as the (2+3) adduct (5-membered ring product, **Prod-2**).



**Figure 2.** Overlays of all starting geometries consistent with a Boltzmann distribution at 298 K in the region of **Rc**: (a) M06-2X/6-311G(d), (b)  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-311G(d), (c) PCM(DMSO)-M06-2X/6-311G(d).


## Results and discussion

**Reaction Coordinates.** Energy profiles from different levels of theory for the allyl cation + butadiene reaction are shown in Figure 1. No TSS for concerted cycloaddition or formation of **Rc**, the product of addition of one end of the butadiene  $\pi$ -system to one end of the allyl  $\pi$ -system, were found; it appears that adduct formation is barrierless, at least in the absence of explicit solvent (appropriate modeling of which is beyond our current capabilities). This conclusion is consistent with previous studies on related reactions.<sup>11c,12,13</sup> Cation **Rc** can then be converted to 7- or 5-membered ring-containing products via concerted processes involving **TS-a** and **TS-b**, respectively. Note that the C=C group involved in bond formation (that which originated in the allyl fragment) rotates in opposite directions to form the 7- and 5-membered ring-containing products (i.e., for an overall


pathway connecting **Prod-1** to **Prod-2**, the migrating CH<sub>2</sub> group interacts antarafacially with the allyl group over which it migrates). While tempting to consider this observation a result of “vestiges of orbital symmetry,”<sup>43</sup> that cannot be done with confidence without more detailed examination of the potential energy surface (PES) preceding **Rc**. At all DFT levels of theory (but not CCSD(T), for which the data correspond to single-point electronic energies), **TS-b** is predicted to be higher in energy than **TS-a** and **Prod-2** was predicted to be higher in energy than **Prod-1**. Namely, in terms of either kinetic or thermodynamic control, formation of the 7-membered ring product, **Prod-1**, is favored. However, **Rc** is a shallow minimum, which can increase the lifetime and play a significant role in the dynamics particularly at low temperatures.

**Variational Transition States.** To address issues with standard computations of entropies, reaction pathways derived using variational transition state theory (VTST) calculations (using M06-2X/6-311G(d)) were computed; these are shown in Figure 3. For both pathways, VTSs differ from the PES TSSs (compare the geometries of the VTSs in Figure 4 with those of the TSSs in Figure 1). The C5–C7 distance in **VTS-a** is 0.02 Å longer than that in **TS-a**; nevertheless, the C2–C7 distance in **VTS-a** is 0.01 Å shorter than that in **TS-a**. The C5–C7 and C2–C7 distances in **VTS-b** are both shorter than those in **TS-b**, by 0.05 Å and 0.09 Å, respectively. Both VTSs are higher in free energy than the corresponding TSSs. As shown in Figure 3, this effect is not simply an entropy effect, but also an effect of zero point energy. Note, however, that the difference in energy between the peaks of the

free energy and potential energy curves is small — tenths of a kcal/mol — consistent with flat surfaces, in terms of both potential energy and free energy, near the transition states. No evidence for “entropic intermediates” is found here.<sup>44,45</sup>



**Figure 3.** Reaction coordinate plots for pathways a (left) and b (right). The locations of VTS-a and VTS-b are highlighted.



**Figure 4.** Geometries of variational transition states. Selected distances are shown in Å.

**Direct Dynamics Trajectories.** Given the flatness of the PES near **Rc** and the transition states for cyclization, AIMD trajectory simulations were employed to evaluate (1) whether

either transition state can lead to both products and (2) the factors influencing product selectivity.

Downhill trajectories initiated from **TS-a** did in fact lead to both products, but just barely (Table 1), while trajectories initiated from **TS-b** did not. In both cases, however, a large amount of recrossing (here including trajectories connecting the reactant to the reactant, one product to the same product, or one product to the other product) was observed, consistent with a flat region of the PES.

**Table. 1** Results of downhill dynamics simulations initiated from **TS-a** and **TS-b**.

	Total trajectories	Trajectories that form <b>Prod-1</b>	Trajectories that form <b>Prod-2</b>	Trajectories that recross
<b>TS-a</b>	702	403	3	296
<b>TS-b</b>	699	0	451	248

Downhill trajectories were also initiated from **VTS-a** and **VTS-b**. Only **Prod-1** was formed from **VTS-a** and only **Prod-2** was formed from **VTS-b** (Table 2). While recrossing trajectories were much reduced for **VTS-b**, compared to **TS-b**, a high percentage of recrossing was still observed for **VTS-a**.

**Table. 2** Results of downhill dynamics simulations initiated from VTSs.

	Total trajectories	Trajectories that form product	Trajectories that recross
<b>VTS-a</b>	139	64	75
<b>VTS-b</b>	103	93	10

Results for uphill AIMD trajectories initiated at **Rc** are shown in Table 3. At all levels of theory, formation of the 7-membered ring-containing product, **Prod-1**, is much more favorable. However, the predicted **Prod-1/Prod-2** ratio is lower than expected based on differences in TSS free energies (Figure 1). For example, at  $\omega$ B97-XD/6-311G(d), the ratio from dynamics simulation is 90:10, while that from free energy differences for TSSs is 97:3. As described above, VTS free energies differ only slightly from TSS free energies. With PCM(DMSO)-M06-2X/6-311G(d), free energy differences predict essentially no **Prod-2**, but our dynamics simulations predict that 16.5% of trajectories lead to this product. What is the origin of this discrepancy? Is it technical or chemical in nature?

**Table. 3** Results of uphill dynamics simulations initiated from **Rc**.

Method	Total trajectories	Trajectories that form <b>Prod-1</b>	Trajectories that form <b>Prod-2</b>
M06-2X/6-311G(d)	206	171 (83%)	35 (17%)
$\omega$ B97X-D/6-311G(d)	154	138 (90%)	16 (10%)
PCM(DMSO)-M06-2X/6- 311G(d)	91	76 (84%)	15 (16%)

Since our trajectories often involved thousands of fs to form products (see Supporting Information), we were concerned about ZPE leakage (generally a worry past  $\sim$ 0.5 ps).<sup>46-49</sup> To address this issue, we also carried out classical AIMD simulations. Results (using M06-2X/6-311G(d)) for uphill AIMD trajectories initiated at **Rc** and for downhill AIMD trajectories initiated at **VTS-a** are shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. For uphill simulations, the predicted **Prod-1/Prod-2** ratio does not change significantly between classical and quasi-classical simulations (compare Tables 3 and 4). For downhill simulations, a significantly smaller proportion of trajectories recrossed for classical vs. quasi-classical dynamics (compare Tables 2 and 5), but a large proportion of recrossing was still observed.

**Table. 4** Classical dynamics results of uphill dynamics simulations initiated from **Rc** (M06-2X/6-311G(d)).


	Total trajectories	Trajectories that form <b>Prod-1</b>	Trajectories that form <b>Prod-2</b>
<b>Rc</b>	90	75 (83%)	15 (17%)

**Table. 5** Classical dynamics results of downhill dynamics simulations initiated from **VTS-a**.

	Total trajectories	Trajectories that form product	Trajectories that recross
<b>VTS-a</b>	130	91	39

**Potential Energy Surfaces.** To explore how shape of the PES influences the selectivity of the reaction, PESs for gas phase (M06-2X/6-311G(d)) and DMSO (PCM-M06-2X/6-311G(d)) reactions were built (Figure 5) using relaxed scans along C5–C7 and C2–C7 distances (see Figure 1 for atom labels) as x- and y-axes and energy displayed as height and color (top surfaces) or color alone (bottom surfaces, projections of top surfaces into the x-y plane). While these are reduced-dimension PESs, the geometric parameters used correspond to those of the forming bonds.<sup>50,51</sup> Small C5–C7 distances correspond to the **Prod-1** region, while small C2–C7 distances correspond to the **Prod-2** region. The

locations of the two TSSs are marked, as are the IRC paths. One observation is immediately apparent from these surfaces: the pathway toward **Prod-1** is wider than the pathway toward **Prod-2**.



**Figure 5.** Potential energy surfaces: (a) M06-2X/6-311G(d), (b) PCM(DMSO)-M06-2X/6-311G(d). IRCs for **TS-a** are shown as red lines and IRCs for **TS-b** are shown as green lines. **TS-a** and **TS-b** are indicated as stars and circles, respectively.


Representative gas phase trajectories are plotted in Figure 6. As expected, long-time trajectories sample more of the **Rc** region on the PES before forming products. Importantly, some trajectories heading toward product “turn back” before crossing the dividing surface that separates reactant from products, i.e., they miss the exit channel and instead “bounce off the wall” flanking it. This is distinct from recrossing, where the dividing surface is passed before a trajectory turns back (at least for the dimensions we have plotted here).<sup>16-</sup>

<sup>19</sup> This is also distinct from bouncing off the side walls of a valley en route to product(s),


i.e., where deviations from an IRC still lead to product(s), not back to reactant(s).<sup>16</sup> The proportion of **Prod-1** forming trajectories that arose from “turning back” (62/171 or 36%) is larger than the proportion of **Prod-2** forming trajectories that arose from “turning back” (7/35 or 20%), i.e., more **Prod-1** is formed from trajectories that initially miss the exit channel toward which they started, presumably because the exit channel toward **Prod-1** is wider. The product ratio predicted on the basis of “turn back” trajectories alone is 90:10 (62 vs. 7 trajectories). The ratio predicted on the basis of direct trajectories (here there are 137, making for a total of 206 as shown in Table 3) is 80:20, and the overall ratio accounting for all product-forming trajectories is 83:17 (Table 3). Thus, the ratio predicted from direct uphill trajectories does not reflect the predicted free energies of transition states, be they TSSs or VTSs, and the “turning back” phenomenon increases selectivity for the product formed via the wider pathway. A similar effect was recently discussed for a different carbocation reaction, but from the perspective of downhill trajectories and post-transition state bifurcations.<sup>52</sup>

A close-up view of the reactant region of the PES is shown in Figure 7, which also shows the locations of the VTSs. **TS-a** and **VTS-a** occupy similar positions in this plot, consistent with similar amounts of recrossing in downhill AIMD. **VTS-b** is noticeably later (closer to product in terms of the geometric parameters plotted here) than is **TS-b**, consistent with reduced recrossing. Being later, it is further into the least wide region of the path to products, consistent with it being even more difficult for uphill trajectories to reach **Prod-2** than if

they only had to reach **TS-b**. This region of decreased width indicates that varying the C5–C7 distance happens to be particularly difficult near the transition state for formation of the C2–C7 bond. This phenomenon was unexpected, but is perhaps related to the fact that the forming  $\sigma$ -bond is much shorter in **TS-b** and **VTS-b** than in **TS-a** and **VTS-a** and conformational restrictions due to hyperconjugation with the forming  $\sigma$ -bond are expected, therefore, to be more severe.



**Figure 6.** (1) Projections of trajectories onto the M06-2X/6-311G(d) PES, based on trajectory length (time). Left to right: 0-500 fs, 500-1000 fs, 1000-1500 fs, 1500-2000 fs. The first row corresponds to **Prod-1**-forming trajectories. The second row corresponds to **Prod-2**-forming trajectories. (2) Projections of representative “turn back” trajectories onto the M06-2X/6-311G(d) PES. The third row shows representative **Prod-1**-forming “turn back” trajectories. The bottom row shows representative **Prod-2**-forming “turn back” trajectories.



**Figure 7.** Close-up view of the reactant region of the surface from Figure 5a.

This pathway width effect is clearly connected to entropy, i.e., a wider path is related to more flexibility and more possible ways to cross through a dividing surface.<sup>40,45,53-55</sup> This effect appears not to be captured fully in VTS free energies, however, at least for the system examined here, which involves a flat energy surface, although we cannot definitively rule out the possibility that the apparent discrepancy is due to the particulars of running each type of calculation. Nonetheless, interpreting results of this type in terms of pathway widths allows one to better tie specific structural features to entropy changes along reaction coordinates.

## Conclusions

We have explored the mechanism of the reaction between butadiene and allyl cation using quantum chemical computations on PESs and direct AIMD simulations (quasi-classical and classical). Consistent with results from previous calculations at other levels of theory,<sup>13</sup>

concerted cycloaddition pathways are not found. Instead, stepwise pathways leading to products of formal (4+3) and (2+3) cycloaddition are present, but these involve a shallow intermediate with low barrier exit channels. As for other reactions with shallow intermediates—twixtys, calderas, mesas, para-intermediates<sup>19,56-58</sup>—non-traditional effects appear to play a key role in product formation. We propose that the product selectivity in the system examined here—and likely others<sup>52</sup>—is determined by dynamic effects related to the *width* of pathways to products. In particular, there is an entropy effect—transition states with wider energy wells orthogonal to the reaction coordinate have more possible paths through them—which is modulated, enhanced in this case, by an unequal probability of trajectories turning back before reaching transition states. We are currently expanding on this work to see if models based on pathway width can rationalize product selectivity for cases with existing experimental evidence for entropy-controlled selectivity where the origins of that entropy control are not known.

## Associated Content

Supporting Information.

Additional details on computations, including coordinates and energies for computed structures and IRC plots (PDF)

## Author Information

Corresponding Author.

\*djtantillo@ucdavis.edu

<sup>✉</sup> M.B. and Z.F. contributed equally

ORCID.

Jun Li: 0000-0003-2392-8322

Dean J. Tantillo: 0000-0002-2992-8844

Notes.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### **Acknowledgements**

Support from the National Science Foundation (CHE-1856416 and supercomputing resources from the XSEDE program via CHE-030089) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (contract no. 21573027 and 21973009) is gratefully acknowledged.

Mengna Bai thanks the fund from the China Scholarship Council (CSC).

## REFERENCES

1. Hong, Y. J.; Tantillo, D. J. (2014). How Cyclobutanes are Assembled in Nature - Insights from Quantum Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* *43*, 5042-5050.
2. Gassman, P. G.; Lottes, A. C. (1992). Cyclobutane Formation in the  $2\pi+2\pi$  Cycloaddition of Allyl and Related Cations to Unactivated Olefins. Evidence for the 2nd Step in the Proposed Mechanism of the Ionic Diels-Alder Reaction. *Tetrahedron Lett.* *33*, 157-160.
3. Hoffmann, H. M. R. (1984). The Cycloaddition of Allyl Cations to 1,3-Dienes - General-Method for the Synthesis of 7-Membered Carbocycles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* *23*, 1-19.
4. Hoffmann, H. M. (1973). Syntheses of 7-Membered and 5-Membered Rings from Allyl Cations. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* *12*, 819-835.
5. Haynes, R. K.; King, G. R.; Vonwiller, S. C. (1994). Preparation of a Bicyclic Analog of Qinghao (Artemisinic) Acid via a Lewis-Acid Catalyzed Ionic Diels-Alder Reaction Involving a Hydroxy Diene and Cyclic Enone and Facile Conversion into (+/-)-6,9-Desdimethylqinghaosu. *J. Org. Chem.* *59*, 4743-4748.
6. Gassman, P. G.; Gorman, D. B. (1990). Stepwise Mechanism for the Formation of  $2\pi+4\pi$  Cycloadducts in the Ionic Diels-Alder Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *112*, 8624-8626.
7. Gassman, P. G.; Singleton, D. A. (1984). Acid-Catalyzed Intramolecular Diels-Alder Reactions - the Cycloaddition of Allyl Cations to 1,3-Dienes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *106*,

6085-6086.

8. Gassman, P. G.; Singleton, D. A.; Wilwerding, J. J.; Chavan, S. P. (1987). Acrolein Acetals as Allyl Cation Precursors in the Ionic Diels-Alder Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *109*, 2182-2184.
9. Ko, Y. J.; Shim, S. B.; Shin, J. H. (2007). A Mechanistic Study on the Intramolecular Ionic Diels-Alder Reaction of 2-Methyl-3,9,11-Tridecatriene-2-ol and 2,11-Dimethyl-1,3,9,11-Dodecatetraene. *Tetrahedron Lett.* *48*, 863-867.
10. Woodward R. B., Hoffmann, R. (2013). The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry. *Elsevier*.
11. (a) Harmata, M. (2010). The (4+3)-Cycloaddition Reaction: Simple Allylic Cations as Dienophiles. *Chem. Commun.* *46*, 8886-8903. (b) Harmata, M. (1997). Intramolecular Cycloaddition Reactions of Allylic Cations. *Tetrahedron* *53*, 6235-6280. (c) Harmata, M.; Schreiner P. R. (2001). Intramolecular 4 + 3 Cycloadditions. A Theoretical Analysis of Simple Diastereoselectivity in Reactions of Alkoxyallylic Cations and Furans. *Org. Lett.* *3*, 3663-3665.
12. Cramer, C. J., Barrows, S. E. (2000). Quantum chemical characterization of cycloaddition reactions between 1,3-butadiene and oxyallyl cations of varying electrophilicity. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* *13*, 176-186.
13. de Pascual Teresa, B.; Houk, K. N. (1996). The Ionic Diels-Alder Reaction of the Allyl Cation and Butadiene: Theoretical Investigation of the Mechanism. *Tetrahedron Lett.* *37*, 1759-1762.

14. Bao, J. L.; Truhlar, D. G. (2017). Variational Transition State Theory: Theoretical Framework and Recent Developments. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* *46*, 7548-7596.

15. Pradhan, R.; Lourderaj, U. (2019). Can Reactions Follow Non-Traditional Second-Order Saddle Pathways Avoiding Transition States? *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* *21*, 12837-12842.

16. Ma, X. Y.; Hase, W. L. (2017). Perspective: Chemical Dynamics Simulations of Non-Statistical Reaction Dynamics. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A* *375*, 20160204.

17. Lourderaj, U.; Park, K.; Hase, W. L. (2008). Classical Trajectory Simulations of Post-Transition State Dynamics. *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* *27*, 361-403.

18. Carpenter, B. K. (1992). Intramolecular Dynamics for the Organic Chemist. *Acc. Chem. Res.* *25*, 520-528.

19. Carpenter, B. K. (2005). Nonstatistical Dynamics in Thermal Reactions of Polyatomic Molecules. In *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.*; Annual Review of Physical Chemistry; Annual Reviews: Palo Alto, 2005; Vol. 56; pp 57-89.

20. Frisch, M. J. T., G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega,

N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. (2009). Gaussian 09. *Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT*.

21. Becke, A. D. (1993). A New Mixing of Hartree-Fock and Local Density-Functional Theories. *J. Chem. Phys.* **98**, 1372-1377.
22. Becke, A. D. (1993). Density-Functional Thermochemistry .3. The Role of exact Exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.* **98**, 5648-5652.
23. Lee, C. T.; Yang, W. T.; Parr, R. G. (1988). Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron-Density. *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 785-789.
24. Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M. J. (1994). Ab-Initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular-Dichroism Spectra Using Density-Functional Force-Fields. *J. Phys. Chem.* **98**, 11623-11627.
25. Tirado-Rives, J.; Jorgensen, W. L. (2008). Performance of B3LYP Density Functional Methods for a Large Set of Organic Molecules. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **4**, 297-306.
26. Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. (2008). The M06 Suite of Density Functionals for Main Group Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, Noncovalent Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New Functionals and Systematic Testing of Four

M06-Class Functionals and 12 other Functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* *120*, 215-241.

27. Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. (2008). Density Functionals with Broad Applicability in Chemistry. *Acc. Chem. Res.* *41*, 157-167.
28. Mardirossian, N.; Head-Gordon, M. (2016). How Accurate Are the Minnesota Density Functionals for Noncovalent Interactions, Isomerization Energies, Thermochemistry, and Barrier Heights Involving Molecules Composed of Main-Group Elements? *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* *12*, 4303-4325.
29. Sengupta, A.; Raghavachari, K. (2017). Solving the Density Functional Conundrum: Elimination of Systematic Errors to Derive Accurate Reaction Enthalpies of Complex Organic Reactions. *Org. Lett.* *19*, 2576-2579.
30. Tantillo, D. J. (2011). Biosynthesis via Carbocations: Theoretical Studies on Terpene Formation. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* *28*, 1035-1053.
31. Legault, C. Y. (2009). CYLview, 1.0b. Université de Sherbrooke.
32. Gonzalez, C.; Schlegel, H. B. (1990). Reaction-Path Following in Mass-Weighted Internal Coordinates. *J. Phys. Chem.* *94*, 5523-5527.
33. Fukui, K. (1981). The Path of Chemical-Reactions - the IRC Approach. *Acc. Chem. Res.* *14*, 363-368.
34. Maeda, S.; Harabuchi, Y.; Ono, Y.; Taketsugu, T.; Morokuma, K. (2015). Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate: Calculation, Bifurcation, and Automated Search. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* *115*, 258-269.
35. Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. (2009). Universal Solvation Model

Based on Solute Electron Density and on a Continuum Model of the Solvent Defined by the Bulk Dielectric Constant and Atomic Surface Tensions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* *113*, 6378-6396.

36. Kong, L. G.; Bischoff, F. A.; Valeev, E. F. (2012). Explicitly Correlated R12/F12 Methods for Electronic Structure. *Chem. Rev.* *112*, 75-107.

37. Werner, H. J.; Knowles, P. J.; Knizia, G.; Manby, F. R.; Schutz, M. (2012). Molpro: a General-Purpose Quantum Chemistry Program Package. *WIREs. Comput. Mol. Sci.* *2*, 242-253.

38. Zheng, J.; Zhang, S.; Corchado, J. C.; Chuang, Y.-Y.; Coitino, E. L.; Ellingson, B. A.; Truhlar, D. G. (2010). GAUSSRATE, version 2009-A; University of Minnesota: Minneapolis, MN.

39. Zheng, J.; et al. (2010). POLYRATE-version 2010; University of Minnesota: Minneapolis, MN.

40. Gonzalez-Lafont, A.; Villa, J.; Lluch, J. M.; Bertran, J.; Steckler, R.; Truhlar, D. G. (1998). Variational Transition State Theory and Tunneling Calculations with Reorientation of the Generalized Transition States for Methyl Cation Transfer. *J. Phys. Chem. A* *102*, 3420-3428.

41. Ussing, B. R.; Hang, C.; Singleton, D. A. (2006). Dynamic Effects on the Periselectivity, Rate, Isotope Effects, and Mechanism of Cycloadditions of Ketenes with Cyclopentadiene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *128*, 7594-7607.

42. Marx, D., & Hutter, J. (2009). Ab initio molecular dynamics: basic theory and

advanced methods. Cambridge University Press.

43. Leach, A. G.; Catak, S.; Houk, K. N. (2002). Mechanism of the Forbidden 3s,5s - Sigmatropic Shift: Orbital Symmetry Influences Stepwise Mechanisms Involving Diradical Intermediates. *Chem-Eur. J.* *8*, 1290-1299.
44. Yang, Z. Y.; Jamieson, C. S.; Xue, X. S.; Garcia-Borras, M.; Benton, T.; Dong, X. F.; Liu, F.; Houk, K. N. (2019). Mechanisms and Dynamics of Reactions Involving Entropic Intermediates. *Trends Chem.* *1*, 22-34.
45. Gonzalez-James, O. M.; Kwan, E. E.; Singleton, D. A. (2012). Entropic Intermediates and Hidden Rate-Limiting Steps in Seemingly Concerted Cycloadditions. Observation, Prediction, and Origin of an Isotope Effect on Recrossing. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *134*, 1914-1917.
46. Bonnet, L.; Rayez, J. C. (1997). Quasiclassical Trajectory Method for Molecular Scattering Processes: Necessity of a Weighted Binning Approach. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* *277*, 183-190.
47. Doubleday, C.; Boguslav, M.; Howell, C.; Korotkin, S. D.; Shaked, D. (2016). Trajectory Calculations for Bergman Cyclization Predict H/D Kinetic Isotope Effects Due to Nonstatistical Dynamics in the Product. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *138*, 7476-7479.
48. Bennun, M.; Levine, R. D. (1994). Conservation of Zero-point Energy in Classical Trajectory Computations by a Simple Semiclassical Correspondence. *J. Chem. Phys.* *101*, 8768-8783.
49. Reis, M. C.; Lopez, C. S.; Faza, O. N.; Tantillo, D. J. (2019). Pushing the Limits

of Concertedness. A Waltz of Wandering Carbocations. *Chem. Sci.* *10*, 2159-2170.

50. Chuang, H.-H.; Tantillo, D. J.; Hsu, C.-P. (2020). Construction of Two-Dimensional Potential Energy Surfaces of Reactions with Post-Transition-State Bifurcations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* *10*.1021/acs.jctc.1020c00172.

51. Carpenter, B. K. (2000). Understanding Chemical Reaction Mechanisms, in *Of Minds and Molecules*, Eds. Nalini Bhushan and Stuart Rosenfeld. Oxford University Press New York, p. 223-224.

52. Hare, S. R.; Pemberton, R. P.; Tantillo, D. J. (2017). Navigating Past a Fork in the Road: Carbocation- $\pi$  Interactions Can Manipulate Dynamic Behavior of Reactions Facing Post-Transition-State Bifurcations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *139*, 7485-7493.

53. Didactic presentations: (a) Anslyn, E. V.; Dougherty, D. A. (2006). *Modern Physical Organic Chemistry*. University Science Books, Sausalito, p. 369, 412-413. (b) Stegelmann, C., Andreasen, A., Campbell, C. T. (2009) Degree of Rate Control: How Much the Energies of Intermediates and Transition States Control Rates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* *131*, 8077-8082.

54. Wang, Z. H.; Hirschi, J. S.; Singleton, D. A. (2009). Recrossing and Dynamic Matching Effects on Selectivity in a Diels-Alder Reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* *48*, 9156-9159.

55. Harvey, J. N. (2007). Understanding the Kinetics of Spin-forbidden Chemical Reactions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* *9*, 331-343.

56. Hoffmann, R.; Swaminat.S; Odell, B. G.; Gleiter, R. (1970). Potential Surface for

a Nonconcerted Reaction-Tetramethylene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **92**, 7091-7097.

57. Doering, W. V.; Ekmanis, J. L.; Belfield, K. D.; Klärner, F. G.; Krawczyk, B. (2001). Thermal Reactions of Anti- and Syn-Dispiro 5.0.5.2 Tetradeca-1,8-Dienes: Stereomutation and Fragmentation to 3-Methylenecyclohexenes. Entropy-Dictated Product Ratios from Diradical Intermediates? *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **123**, 5532-5541.

58. Northrop, B. H.; O'Malley, D. P.; Zografas, A. L.; Baran, P. S.; Houk, K. N. (2006). Mechanism of the Vinylcyclobutane Rearrangement of Sceptrin to Ageliferin and Nagelamide E. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **45**, 4126-4130.

**TOC graphic**

