

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Stable homotopy refinement of quantum annular homology

Rostislav Akhmechet, Vyacheslav Krushkal and Michael Willis

Compositio Math. **157** (2021), 710–769.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X20007721](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X20007721)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865



Stable homotopy refinement of quantum annular homology

Rostislav Akhmechet, Vyacheslav Krushkal and Michael Willis

ABSTRACT

We construct a stable homotopy refinement of quantum annular homology, a link homology theory introduced by Beliakova, Putyra and Wehrli. For each $r \geq 2$ we associate to an annular link L a naive $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant spectrum whose cohomology is isomorphic to the quantum annular homology of L as modules over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}]$. The construction relies on an equivariant version of the Burnside category approach of Lawson, Lipshitz and Sarkar. The quotient under the cyclic group action is shown to recover the stable homotopy refinement of annular Khovanov homology. We study spectrum level lifts of structural properties of quantum annular homology.

Contents

1	Introduction	711
1.1	Annular homology theories	711
1.2	Spectra for annular links	712
1.3	Properties and questions	713
2	The quantum annular TQFT	714
2.1	Classical annular Khovanov homology	714
2.2	Overview of quantum annular homology	718
2.3	Fixing generators	722
2.4	Computation of the saddle maps	725
2.5	Ladybug configurations	732
3	Burnside categories and functors	737
3.1	The cube category	738
3.2	Burnside categories and functors	738
3.3	The equivariant Burnside category	741
3.4	Totalizations of Burnside functors	741
3.5	Natural transformations of Burnside functors	742
3.6	A strategy for constructing natural isomorphisms	743
4	The quantum annular Burnside functor	745
4.1	The quantum annular Burnside functor for a link diagram	746
4.2	Isotoping the link diagram	748

Received 22 January 2020, accepted in final form 27 October 2020, published online 8 April 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 57K18 (primary), 55P42, 55P91 (secondary).

Keywords: quantum annular homology, annular links, equivariant stable homotopy type, equivariant Burnside category.

R.A. was supported by NSF RTG Grant DMS-1839968, V.K. was supported by NSF Grant DMS-1612159, and M.W. was supported by NSF FRG Grant DMS-1563615.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2021.

4.3	The cases $r = 1, 2$ and the classical annular homotopy type	750
5	From Burnside functors to stable homotopy types	751
5.1	Box maps	751
5.2	Equivariant box maps	752
5.3	From Burnside functors to spatial refinements	754
5.4	From spatial refinements to realizations	755
5.5	The quantum annular spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A},q}^r(L)$	757
6	Maps on spectra induced by annular link cobordisms	758
7	Taking the quotient	761
8	Towards lifting the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ action	763
	Acknowledgments	765
	Appendix. Elementary cobordisms	765
	References	767

1. Introduction

The construction of Khovanov homology in [Kho00] was the first in a family of link homology theories categorifying quantum invariants of links in $\mathbb{R}^3$. To a planar diagram D of an oriented link L in $\mathbb{R}^3$ it associates a chain complex $CKh(D)$ of graded modules. The homotopy class of $CKh(D)$ is an invariant of the link, and the graded Euler characteristic of $CKh(D)$ is the Jones polynomial of L . Applications of Khovanov homology have been widely studied, and its functoriality properties with respect to surface cobordisms in 4-space are a particularly important aspect of the theory.

Using the framework of the Cohen–Jones–Segal construction [CJS95], Lipshitz and Sarkar constructed in [LS14a] a *stable homotopy refinement* of Khovanov homology. (An alternative construction was proposed by Hu, Kriz and Kriz in [HKK16].) This theory assigns to a link L in $\mathbb{R}^3$ a suspension spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{Kh}(L)$ whose cohomology is the Khovanov homology of L . The stable homotopy type carries additional information about the link, not seen at the level of Khovanov homology; specifically, it induces an action of the Steenrod algebra. Another construction of $\mathcal{X}_{Kh}(L)$, using the Burnside category, was given by Lawson, Lipshitz and Sarkar in [LLS20]. Its analogue for the odd Khovanov homology was introduced in [SSS20] and extensions to tangles were developed in [LLS17b, LLS19]. Some proposals for defining a stable homotopy refinement of Khovanov–Rozansky $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ homology for $N \geq 3$ have been outlined in [HKS19, JLS19, Kit19].

1.1 Annular homology theories

This paper concerns *annular links*, that is, links in the thickened annulus $\mathbb{A} \times I$, where $\mathbb{A} = S^1 \times [0, 1]$. Given a link L in $\mathbb{A} \times I$, consider its projection D onto the first factor $\mathbb{A}$. Following constructions by Asaeda, Przytycki and Sikora [APS04], Bar-Natan [Bar05], and Roberts [Rob13], the triply graded annular Khovanov homology $Kh_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$ (sometimes called *sutured annular Khovanov homology*) may be obtained from the usual Khovanov chain complex [Kho00] of D , viewed as a diagram in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by including $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and then taking the annular degree zero part of the differential. Alternatively, $Kh_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$ may be obtained by applying a certain topological quantum field theory (TQFT) to the Bar-Natan category $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$ of the annulus. It was shown by Grigsby, Licata and Wehrli [GLW18] that this homology carries an action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

The *quantum annular homology* $Kh_{\mathbb{A},q}(L)$, introduced by Beliakova, Putyra and Wehrli in [BPW19], is a far-reaching extension. Consider a ring $\mathbb{k}$ and a fixed unit $q \in \mathbb{k}$. Following the notation of [BPW19], we note that there are two units q in the theory. One corresponds to the

usual q -grading and the second one is the unit $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{k}$; we distinguish them by using different fonts. In a sense $\mathfrak{q}$ may be thought of as a deformation parameter, explaining the term ‘quantum homology’.

A rough outline of the construction of $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$ is as follows (see §2.2 for a more detailed description). Given an annular link diagram $D \subset \mathbb{A} = S^1 \times I$, we cut it along a seam $\{*\} \times I$ to obtain an (n, n) -tangle D^{cut} . A construction of Chen and Khovanov [CK14] then yields graded *platform algebras* A^n and a functor $\mathcal{F}_{CK} : \mathcal{BN}(n, m) \rightarrow \text{gBimod}(A^n, A^m)$, where $\mathcal{BN}(n, m)$ is the Bar-Natan category of the rectangle with marked points, and $\text{gBimod}(A^n, A^m)$ is the category of graded (A^n, A^m) -bimodules. In [BPW19], the authors introduced *quantum Hochschild homology*, denoted qHH , a deformation of the usual Hochschild homology of bimodules. The link homology theory is then defined using the quantum annular TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(D) := qHH(A^n, \mathcal{F}_{CK}(D^{\text{cut}})). \quad (1.1)$$

The functorial extension to surfaces in $\mathbb{A} \times I \times I$ relies on the theory of (twisted) horizontal traces of bicategories. Quantum annular homology has a number of interesting properties [BPW19].

- (i) The homology $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$ in general depends on the choice of $\mathfrak{q}$; for example, different roots of unity $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{C}$ may give non-isomorphic theories.
- (ii) $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$ carries an action of $U_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.
- (iii) Let Σ be a closed surface in $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Denoting by L the link $\Sigma \cap (* \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, Σ^{cut} gives a cobordism from L to itself in $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$. The evaluation of $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\Sigma)$ equals the graded Lefschetz trace of $\Sigma_* : Kh(L) \rightarrow Kh(L)$, the endomorphism of the Khovanov homology of L induced by Σ^{cut} . In particular, $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(S^1 \times L)$ coincides with the Jones polynomial of L .

1.2 Spectra for annular links

A stable homotopy refinement $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$ of the annular Khovanov homology may be defined along the lines of [LS14a, LLS20]. A different approach was used by Lawson, Lipshitz and Sarkar in [LLS19]: they constructed a stable homotopy refinement of Chen–Khovanov algebras, giving rise to an alternative construction of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$ as the topological Hochschild homology of the resulting ring spectrum.

The main result of this paper is a construction of a stable homotopy refinement of quantum annular homology. We work over the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{k} := \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{-1}]$ and tensor the resulting theory with $\mathbb{k}_r := \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{-1}]/(\mathfrak{q}^r - 1)$, where $r \geq 2$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let L be an oriented link in the thickened annulus $\mathbb{A} \times I$. Then, for each $r \geq 2$, there exists a naive $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ which is well defined up to equivariant homotopy equivalence and whose cohomology is isomorphic to the quantum annular homology $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$, as modules over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}]$.*

A key point in the construction $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ is the interpretation of $\mathfrak{q}$ as a generator of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. The proof then proceeds by building in §4 the *quantum annular Burnside functor*: a strictly unitary lax 2-functor to a suitably defined equivariant Burnside category, and then constructing in §5 an equivariant version of spatial refinement, building on the approaches of [LLS20, SSS20]. The definition of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)$ is given for link diagrams D in Definition 5.2 in §5.5; the proof of invariance with respect to all choices involved (including choice of diagram) is presented there via Theorems 5.10 and 5.11.

Part of our construction involves a concrete description of generators and of the differential, starting from the quantum Hochschild homology definition [BPW19] of the quantum annular TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(D)$ in (1.1); this may be of independent interest to the reader interested in computational aspects of the theory. In fact, there is an important distinction between (annular) Khovanov homology and the quantum annular homology $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$. In the setting of Khovanov homology, each resolution of the link diagram has a preferred collection of generators, and this is a crucial feature used in constructions of stable homotopy refinements in [LS14a, LLS20]. On the other hand, in the context of quantum annular homology, generators are well defined only up to a multiple of a power of q . The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves a careful analysis of this indeterminacy and its relation to the group action on the spectrum. Moreover, the differential depends in a non-trivial way on the combinatorics of a given curve configuration in the annulus. A detailed analysis of the saddle maps defining the differential, using the definition in terms of the quantum annular TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$, is given in § 2.4. For $r > 2$, the powers of q appearing in the differential affect the construction of the Burnside functor, similar to how the signs appearing in odd Khovanov homology affect the analysis in [SSS20].

The proof of the following result is presented in § 7.

THEOREM 1.2. *The quotient of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ under the action of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ recovers $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$, the stable homotopy refinement of the classical annular Khovanov homology of L .*

It is important to note that no group action is assumed to be present on the link $L \subset \mathbb{A} \times I$, so the context for our work is different from that in [BPS18, Mus19, SZ18]. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ may be thought of as an ‘equivariant refinement’ of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$, a structure that is not apparent in other constructions of the annular spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$.

1.3 Properties and questions

It is an interesting question to what extent properties of the quantum annular homology theory $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$ can be lifted to the level of spectra. In Theorem 6.1, we prove that a generically embedded cobordism $W \subset \mathbb{A} \times I \times [0, 1]$ between two annular links L_0 and L_1 gives rise to a map

$$\varphi_W^r : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L_0),$$

which induces the map on quantum annular Khovanov homology over the ring $\mathbb{k}_r$, defined in [BPW19]. As usual, the construction proceeds by decomposing W into elementary cobordisms, whose annular projections correspond to Reidemeister moves and Morse surgeries. However, in the case of quantum annular homology, additional complexity arises from isotopies of the link diagram across the seam of the annulus. The map on quantum homology induced by cobordisms in four dimensions in [BPW19] relies on the theory of horizontal traces and quantum Hochschild homology. To define maps on spectra, we need to introduce chain maps by specifying their values on chosen generators. A detailed discussion of these chain maps, as well as verification that they match the maps defined by the TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$, are given in the proof of Theorem 6.1 and in the appendix.

We are now in a position to formulate a spectrum-level analogue of property (iii) in § 1.1.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let L be a link in the 3-ball B^3 and consider the surface $\widehat{W} = S^1 \times L$ in $\mathbb{A} \times D^2 \cong S^1 \times B^3$. Let W denote a copy of $\widehat{W}$ perturbed to be generic, viewed as a cobordism from*

$\emptyset$ to itself. Then the map

$$\varphi_W^r : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset)$$

induces the map on quantum annular homology $(\varphi_W^r)^* : \mathbb{k}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}_r$ which is given by multiplication by the Jones polynomial of L , considered as an element of $\mathbb{k}_r$, up to a sign and an overall power of q .

Here the spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset)$ associated to the empty set is the wedge sum of r copies of the sphere spectrum, with cohomology isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}_r$. For brevity the theorem is stated for product surfaces $S^1 \times L$; the graded Lefschetz trace statement for more general closed surfaces holds as well. See Corollary 6.4 and remarks following it for further details.

An important feature of stable homotopy refinement, not available on the level of link homology, is the action of Steenrod algebra. We do not address this aspect of the theory in the present paper; we plan to analyze the equivariant aspect of Steenrod operations on the spectra $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ in a future work.

Recall property (ii) in § 1.1, stating that $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ carries an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$; see [BPW19, Theorem B] and § 8 below for a more detailed discussion.

CONJECTURE 1.4. The action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on quantum annular homology $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$ can be lifted to an action on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$.

In § 8, we show that the invertible generator K of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ admits a lift to an equivariant automorphism of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$, but lifting the other generators and the relations between them is outside the scope of this paper. See the discussion at the end of § 8 for more comments on this matter.

We conclude the introduction with another question. As discussed above, recently Lawson, Lipshitz and Sarkar gave a reformulation [LLS19] of the annular Khovanov spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$ as the topological Hochschild homology of their stable homotopy refinement of Chen–Khovanov algebras. Our construction of the spectra $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ is based on the definition of the quantum annular homology $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L)$ in [BPW19] using quantum Hochschild homology of bimodules. It is an interesting question whether there is a formulation of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ using some twisted or equivariant version of topological Hochschild homology of the ring spectra associated in [LLS19] to Chen–Khovanov algebras.

2. The quantum annular TQFT

2.1 Classical annular Khovanov homology

This section reviews the construction of sutured annular Khovanov homology [APS04, Bar05, Rob13]. We will refer to it as *classical annular homology*, to distinguish it from the quantum version discussed in § 2.2. Let $I := [0, 1]$ denote the unit interval; we fix the notation $\mathbb{A}$ for the annulus $S^1 \times I$. An *annular link* is a link in the thickened annulus $\mathbb{A} \times I$, and its diagram is a projection onto the first factor of $\mathbb{A} \times I$. Link diagrams are disjoint from the boundary of $\mathbb{A}$. Identifying $S^1 \times (0, 1)$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$ minus a point, we represent the annulus by simply indicating the deleted point using the symbol $\times$. Figure 1 illustrates an example of a link diagram.

Let $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$ denote the Bar-Natan category of the annulus [Bar05]. Its objects are formal $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of formally graded collections of simple closed curves in $\mathbb{A}$. Morphisms




FIGURE 1. An annular link diagram.




FIGURE 2. Bar-Natan relations.

are matrices whose entries are formal $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of dotted cobordisms embedded in $\mathbb{A} \times I$, modulo isotopy relative to the boundary, subject to the Bar-Natan relations, Figure 2.

Let D be a diagram for an oriented annular link L . We briefly review the construction of the chain complex $[[D]]$; a complete treatment can be found in [Bar05]. To begin, one first forms the *cube of resolutions* as follows. Label the crossings of the diagram by $1, \dots, n$. Every crossing may be resolved in two ways, called the *0-smoothing* and the *1-smoothing*, as in (2.1). For each $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$, perform the u_i -smoothing at the i th crossing. The resulting diagram is a collection of disjoint simple closed curves in $\mathbb{A}$, which we denote D_u . Thinking of elements of $\{0, 1\}^n$ as vertices of an n -dimensional cube, decorate the vertex u by the smoothing D_u .

$$\rangle \langle \xleftarrow{0} \times \xrightarrow{1} \smile \quad (2.1)$$

Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be vertices which differ only in the i th entry, where $v_i = 0$ and $u_i = 1$. Then the diagrams D_v and D_u are the same outside of a small disk around the i th crossing. There is a cobordism from D_v to D_u , which is the obvious saddle near the i th crossing and the identity (product cobordism) elsewhere. We will call this the *saddle cobordism* from D_v to D_u and denote it by $d_{v,u}$. Decorate each edge of the n -dimensional cube by these saddle cobordisms. We now have a commutative cube in the category $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$. There is a way to assign $s_{u,v} \in \{0, 1\}$ to each edge so that multiplying the edge map $d_{v,u}$ by $(-1)^{s_{v,u}}$ results in an anti-commutative cube (see [Bar05, § 2.7]; see also [LS14a, Definition 4.5]).

For $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let $|u| = \sum_i u_i$. Now form the chain complex $[[D]]$ by setting

$$[[D]]^i = \bigoplus_{|u|=i+n_-} D_u \{i + n_+ - n_-\},$$

where n_- and n_+ are the numbers of negative and positive crossings in D , and the brackets $\{-\}$ denote the formal grading shift in $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$. The differential is given on each summand by the edge map $(-1)^{s_{v,u}} d_{v,u}$. Anti-commutativity of the cube ensures that $[[D]]$ is a complex.

THEOREM 2.1 [Bar05, Theorem 1]. *If diagrams D and D' are related by a Reidemeister move, then $[[D]]$ and $[[D']]$ are chain homotopy equivalent.*



FIGURE 3. Diagrammatic representation of generators.

To obtain *classical annular Khovanov homology*, one applies the annular TQFT

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$$

defined as follows. Let $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be free rank two $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules with bases v_-, v_+ and w_-, w_+ , respectively. Equip each with two gradings, the quantum grading $q\deg$ and the annular grading $a\deg$, defined on generators by

$$q\deg(v_{\pm}) = 0, \quad q\deg(w_{\pm}) = \pm 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$a\deg(v_{\pm}) = \pm 1, \quad a\deg(w_{\pm}) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

We follow the grading convention of [BPW19]; note that the quantum grading on V is different than the quantum grading appearing elsewhere in the literature; see Remark 2.3.

There are two types of simple closed curves in $\mathbb{A}$; *essential* curves and *trivial* curves which bound disks in $\mathbb{A}$. The functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}$ assigns $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to each essential circle and $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to each trivial circle. Then for $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{A}$ a collection of disjoint simple closed curves with e essential and t trivial circles, the free abelian group $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{C}) = \otimes^e V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes^t W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (where the tensor product is taken over $\mathbb{Z}$) has a standard basis consisting of a label of v_- or v_+ on each essential circle, and w_- or w_+ on each trivial one. Following the conventions in [BPW19], a generator of $\mathcal{C}$ will be represented as a choice of counterclockwise or clockwise orientations on each essential circle, corresponding to v_+ and v_- , respectively, and either a dot or no dot on each trivial circle, corresponding to w_- and w_+ . We will often switch between the diagrammatic and algebraic representations of generators, Figure 3.

To define $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}$ on a cobordism, it is enough to consider cups, caps, and saddles. To a cup, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}$ assigns the unit $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $\varepsilon(1) = w_+$. To a cap, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}$ assigns the counit $\eta : W_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\eta(w_-) = 1, \quad \eta(w_+) = 0.$$

A saddle is assigned one of the six maps shown in Figure 4, depending on whether it is a merge or a split and the types of curves involved.

DEFINITION 2.1. If D is a diagram for an annular link L , define the *annular Khovanov complex* of D to be

$$CKh_{\mathbb{A}}(D) := \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}([D]);$$

it is an invariant of L up to chain homotopy equivalence.

Remark 2.2. Some of these formulas have an interpretation in terms of relations on cobordisms, as follows. Let $\mathcal{BBN}(\mathbb{A})$ [BPW19] denote the quotient of $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$ by Boerner's relation, introduced in [Boe08], which says that any cobordism carrying a dot and an essential curve is set to 0 (see Figure 5).




FIGURE 4. Surgery formulas in classical annular Khovanov homology. The table lists topological types of surgeries and corresponding maps on generators.




FIGURE 5. Boerner's relation.

Algebraically, a dot on a cobordism corresponds to multiplication with w_- . Then, for a trivial circle C , the standard generator w_+ (respectively w_-) of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(C) = W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ under the undotted (respectively dotted) cup cobordism from $\emptyset$ to C . The surgery formulas of Figure 4 then imply that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}$ factors through $\mathcal{BBN}(\mathbb{A})$. Algebraically, Boerner's relation can be seen as enforcing the equations $w_- \cdot v_- = w_- \cdot v_+ = 0$.

Remark 2.3. To relate this to other constructions and grading conventions present in the literature (cf. [Rob13, §3] and [GLW18, §3.1]), the annular chain complex may also be formed as

FIGURE 6. A planar $(3, 1)$ -tangle.

follows. We may disregard the annular structure and view the resolutions D_u in the cube $[[D]]$ as lying in the plane. Then we may apply the usual Khovanov TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{Kh}$ to the cube, obtaining the Khovanov chain complex $CKh(D)$. Every circle C is assigned a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module U generated by u_+ and u_- . The module U carries an internal grading $\deg_U$, with $\deg_U(u_{\pm}) = \pm 1$. Curves in the annulus carry an additional grading, adeg , with $\text{adeg}(u_{\pm})$ equal to ± 1 if C is essential and 0 if C is trivial. The Khovanov differential d^{Kh} splits as $d^{Kh} = d^{\mathbb{A}} + d'$, where $d^{\mathbb{A}}$ preserves the annular grading and is precisely the map in Figure 4, while d' lowers the annular grading. Thus, the annular grading induces a filtration on the Khovanov complex $CKh(D)$. Taking the annual degree zero part of the differential and defining $q\text{deg}$ to be the difference between the degree $\deg_U$ and adeg yields precisely the classical annular chain complex $CKh_{\mathbb{A}}(D)$. The gradings $\deg_U$, $q\text{deg}$, and adeg are denoted j , j' , and k in [GLW18], respectively.

2.2 Overview of quantum annular homology

This section outlines the construction of the Beliakova–Putyra–Wehrli quantum annular link homology [BPW19]. The theory is built over a commutative ring $\mathbb{k}$ and a unit $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{k}$. We set $\mathbb{k} := \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{-1}]$; the distinguished unit $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{k}$ is the same $\mathfrak{q}$ appearing in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{-1}]$. The main object is the quantum annular TQFT

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}} : \mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{k}),$$



where $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{k})$ is the category of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules and $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$ is a certain deformation of the Bar-Natan category of the annulus. We will give a brief overview of the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ and state a main theorem [BPW19, Theorem 6.3].

Remark 2.4. As mentioned above, we work over the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}$ throughout this section. We will tensor the resulting theory with $\mathbb{k}_r := \mathbb{k}/(\mathfrak{q}^r - 1)$ to construct the quantum annular Burnside functor in §4.

Let $\mathcal{BN}(n, m)$ denote the Bar-Natan category of the rectangle with n points on the bottom and m on the top. Its objects are formal direct sums of formally graded planar tangles in I^2 with n endpoints on $I \times \{0\}$ and m endpoints on $I \times \{1\}$, cf. Figure 6. Such a tangle will be called a planar (n, m) -tangle. Morphisms in $\mathcal{BN}(n, m)$ are matrices whose entries are formal $\mathbb{k}$ -linear combinations of embedded dotted cobordisms in I^3 between planar (n, m) -tangles, subject to the Bar-Natan relations (see Figure 2).

A *seam* of $\mathbb{A} = S^1 \times I$, denoted μ , is an interval $\{*\} \times I$. In our representation of the interior of the annulus as $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \times$, we will fix the seam as the positive x -axis, ending on the left in $\times$. See Figure 8 for an example.

The quantum Bar-Natan category of the annulus, denoted $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$, is a deformation of $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$. The objects of $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$ are nearly the same as those of $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$, with the slight modification that curves in $\mathbb{A}$ must be transverse to μ . Morphisms in $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$ are also similar to those in $\mathcal{BN}(\mathbb{A})$. In $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$, isotopic cobordisms are identified if the isotopy fixes the *membrane*


 FIGURE 7. Relations in $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$.

 FIGURE 8. Cutting open a configuration $\mathcal{C}$ along μ to obtain a $(3,3)$ -tangle $\mathcal{C}^{\text{cut}}$.

$\mu \times I \subset \mathbb{A} \times I$. Otherwise, the cobordisms are scaled according to the degree of the part of the cobordism that passes through the membrane during the isotopy, accounting also for the co-orientation of the membrane induced by the standard orientation of the core circle of $\mathbb{A}$. These will be referred to as *trace moves*. The relations are depicted in Figure 7; for details, see [BPW19, § 6.2].

The Bar-Natan relations (Figure 2) are imposed, where the local pictures are understood to be disjoint from the membrane.

By general position, if two annular cobordisms are isotopic, then they are related by a sequence of trace moves and isotopies fixing the membrane. Therefore, if two cobordisms $S, S' \subset \mathbb{A} \times I$ are isotopic, then $S = q^k S'$ as morphisms in $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (See also [BPW19, Proposition 6.2].)

A *configuration* $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of disjoint simple closed curves in $\mathbb{A}$ which are transverse to μ . Note that an object of $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ is a formal direct sum of formally graded configurations. Given a configuration $\mathcal{C}$ which intersects μ in n points, we can cut along μ to obtain a planar (n, n) -tangle $\mathcal{C}^{\text{cut}}$. See Figure 8 for an example. A construction of Chen and Khovanov [CK14] yields graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras A^k for each $k \geq 0$ and a functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{CK} : \mathcal{BN}(n, m) \rightarrow \text{gBimod}(A^n, A^m),$$

where $\text{gBimod}(A^n, A^m)$ is the category of graded (A^n, A^m) -bimodules. Let $\mathbb{I}^n$ denote the planar tangle consisting of n vertical strands. Then, by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{CK}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{CK}(\mathbb{I}^n) = A^n$.

The *quantum Hochschild homology*, denoted qHH and defined in [BPW19, § 3.8.5], is a deformation of the usual Hochschild homology of bimodules. It takes as input a graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra B and a graded (B, B) -bimodule M . The output $qHH(B, M) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} qHH_i(B, M)$ is a $\mathbb{k}$ -module. Due to [BPW19, Proposition 6.6] (stating that $qHH_i(\mathcal{F}_{CK}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{cut}})) = 0$ for $i > 0$), we will mostly be interested in qHH_0 . It follows immediately from the definition of qHH that

$$qHH_0(B, M) = M / \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}} \{bm - q^{|b|}mb \mid b \in B, m \in M\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $|b|$ denotes the degree of b .

We are now ready to define $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ on objects. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration which intersects μ in n points. Using the Chen–Khovanov functor, form the (A^n, A^n) -bimodule $\mathcal{F}_{CK}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{cut}})$. The quantum annular TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ is then defined on objects by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}) := qHH(A^n, \mathcal{F}_{CK}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{cut}})).$$

By [BPW19, Proposition 6.6], we have $qHH_i(\mathcal{F}_{CK}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{cut}})) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ consists of n essential curves each intersecting the seam once. Then $\mathcal{C}^{\text{cut}} = \mathbb{I}^n$, so

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}) = qHH_0(A^n, A^n).$$

Let $A_0^n \subset A^n$ denote the subalgebra consisting of elements of degree 0. By [BPW19, Proposition 6.6], the inclusion $A_0^n \hookrightarrow A^n$ induces an isomorphism $qHH_0(A_0^n, A_0^n) \cong qHH_0(A^n, A^n)$. Moreover, A_0^n is freely generated over $\mathbb{k}$ by 2^n elements $x_1, \dots, x_{2^n}$, which are the *primitive idempotents* of [BPW19, §5.5]. They are in bijection with the *cup diagrams* and satisfy $x_i x_j = \delta_{ij} x_i$. It follows from (2.4) that

$$qHH_0(A_0^n, A_0^n) \cong \mathbb{k}^{2^n}.$$

Every configuration $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ to a configuration $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ in which every curve intersects the seam at most once. If $\mathcal{C}$ has e essential and t trivial circles, then, by delooping, one obtains

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}^\circ) \cong \mathbb{k}^{2^{e+t}}.$$

We have so far only explained what $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ does on objects. The full construction of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ in [BPW19] follows from a more general theory of (twisted) horizontal traces of bicategories, which we will not describe. The definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ on morphisms follows from this general theory. The rest of this subsection describes the set-up for [BPW19, Theorem 6.3], which is stated as our Theorem 2.5, and which is the main computational tool.


Let $\mathcal{BBN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ by Boerner’s relation; see Figure 5. The functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ factors through $\mathcal{BBN}_q(\mathbb{A})$. Given a diagram D for an annular link L such that D is transverse to μ and the crossings are disjoint from μ , we form the cube of resolutions $[[D]]$ in the usual manner and view the result as a chain complex over the quantized category $\mathcal{BBN}_q(\mathbb{A})$.

DEFINITION 2.2. If D is a diagram for an annular link L which is transverse to the seam, define the *quantum annular Khovanov complex* of D to be

$$CKh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(D) := \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}([[D]]).$$

The chain complex $CKh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(D)$ is an invariant of L up to chain homotopy equivalence by [BPW19, Proposition 6.8].

Let TL denote the additive closure of the formally graded Temperley–Lieb category [BPW19, Appendix A.1]. Its objects are formal direct sums of formally graded finite collections of points on a line, and morphisms are $\mathbb{k}$ -linear combinations of planar tangles between the points, modulo planar isotopy and the local relation that a circle is set to $\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^{-1}$. Composition is given by stacking planar tangles; see Figure 9 for an example. There is a functor $S^1 \times (-) : TL \rightarrow \mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$, which sends a collection of n points to n essential circles in $\mathbb{A}$, each intersecting μ once, and sends a planar tangle T to the cobordism $S^1 \times T$. The relations in $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ (see Figure 7) imply that a torus wrapping once around the annulus evaluates to $\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^{-1}$, so that $S^1 \times (-)$ is well defined.


 FIGURE 9. Composition and relations in TL .

Let $\text{gRep}(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ denote the category of graded representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We follow the conventions established in [BPW19, Appendix A.1] concerning $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$; also see § 8 of this paper. There is another functor $\mathcal{F}_{TL} : TL \rightarrow \text{gRep}(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$, defined as follows. Let $V_1 = \langle v_{-1}, v_1 \rangle$ be the fundamental representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $V_1^* = \langle v_{-1}^*, v_1^* \rangle$ its dual. Let V be free over $\mathbb{k}$ with basis $\{v_+, v_-\}$. Consider two $\mathbb{k}$ -linear isomorphisms $\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow V$ and $\beta : V_1^* \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : v_1 &\mapsto v_+, & \beta : v_1^* &\mapsto v_-, \\ \alpha : v_{-1} &\mapsto v_-, & \beta : v_{-1}^* &\mapsto q^{-1}v_+. \end{aligned}$$

These equip V with two actions of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, which are detailed in [BPW19, Appendix A.1]. Note that $\beta^{-1} \circ \alpha : V_1 \rightarrow V_1^*$ is not $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear, even though V_1 and V_1^* are isomorphic as $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules.

The functor $\mathcal{F}_{TL}$ assigns $V^{\otimes n}$ to a collection of n points. Since $\beta^{-1}\alpha$ is not $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear, there is an ambiguity in specifying the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module structure on $V^{\otimes n}$. The convention is that the m th point is assigned V_1 if m is odd and V_1^* if m is even, so that the module assigned to n points is given the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -action according to the identification

$$V^{\otimes n} \cong V_1 \otimes V_1^* \otimes V_1 \otimes \cdots.$$

To define the value of $\mathcal{F}_{TL}$ on any planar tangle, it suffices to specify its value on caps and cups. For a cap $\cap$, $\mathcal{F}_{TL}$ assigns the evaluation map $ev : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v_+ \otimes v_+ &\mapsto 0, & v_+ \otimes v_- &\mapsto q, \\ v_- \otimes v_- &\mapsto 0, & v_- \otimes v_+ &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

On a cup $\cup$, $\mathcal{F}_{TL}$ assigns the coevaluation $coev : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow V \otimes V$, defined by

$$1 \mapsto v_+ \otimes v_- + q^{-1}v_- \otimes v_+.$$

The evaluation map is always identified with either $V_1 \otimes V_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ or $V_1^* \otimes V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, and the coevaluation is identified with either $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_1^*$ or $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow V_1^* \otimes V_1$. With these identifications, the cap and cup are assigned $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear maps by $\mathcal{F}_{TL}$.

We have now explained the functors $\mathcal{F}_{TL} : TL \rightarrow \text{gRep}(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ and $S^1 \times (-) : TL \rightarrow \mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$. To compare $\mathcal{F}_{TL}$ with the composition $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q} \circ S^1 \times (-)$ in the statement of Theorem 2.5, the value of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ on n essential circles intersecting the seam once needs to be given a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module structure. Recall that the Chen–Khovanov functor assigns the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra A^n to the planar tangle $\mathbb{I}^n$ consisting of n vertical strands. There is a distinguished $\mathbb{k}$ -linear isomorphism

$$qHH_0(A^n, A^n) \cong V^{\otimes n}, \quad (2.5)$$

which we now describe. Recall that the inclusion $A_0^n \hookrightarrow A_n$ induces an isomorphism on qHH_0 , and that $qHH_0(A^n, A_0^n)$ has a distinguished $\mathbb{k}$ -basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2^n}\}$ corresponding to cup diagrams. Chen and Khovanov in [CK14, § 6] assigned to each x_i an element $p_i \in V^{\otimes n}$ such that




FIGURE 10. (a) A configuration $\mathcal{C}$, (b) its standard form $\mathcal{C}^\circ$, (c) $\mathcal{C}_E$, and (d) $\mathcal{C}_T$.

the collection $\{p_i\}$ forms a basis of $V^{\otimes n}$. The isomorphism (2.5) is obtained by composing $qHH_0(A^n, A^n) \cong qHH_0(A^n, A_0^n)$ with the assignment $x_i \mapsto p_i$.

THEOREM 2.5 [BPW19, Theorem 6.3]. *There is a commuting diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TL & \xrightarrow{S^1 \times (-)} & \mathcal{BBN}_q(\mathbb{A}) \\
 \searrow \mathcal{F}_{TL} & & \swarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q} \\
 & \text{gRep}(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) &
 \end{array}$$

with the horizontal functor an equivalence of categories.

This theorem will play an important role in determining the values of the differential on generators in the next section.

2.3 Fixing generators

In the construction of Khovanov homotopy types in [LS14a] and [SSS20] it is important to have a fixed set of generators for each configuration. Due to the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$, the situation is more complicated in quantum annular homology. In this subsection, we explain how to fix generators for a general configuration.

We will say that a configuration $\mathcal{C}$ is *standard* if every component intersects the seam in at most one point. Every configuration $\mathcal{C}$ is isotopic in $\mathbb{A}$ to a standard configuration, denoted $\mathcal{C}^\circ$, which is unique up to planar isotopy of the cut-open planar tangle. Next we explain in detail how Theorem 2.5 gives a canonical choice of generators for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C})$ when $\mathcal{C}$ is standard.

For a configuration $\mathcal{C}$, we will write $\mathcal{C}_E$ to denote the essential circles in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_T$ to denote the trivial circles. Figure 10 illustrates these conventions.

For a cobordism $S \subset \mathbb{A} \times I$, let $\bar{S}$ denote its reflection in the I coordinate. For a configuration $\mathcal{C}$, we will often suppress the notation $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C})$ when it is clear from context; that is, $x \in \mathcal{C}$ means $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C})$. Likewise, for a cobordism $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$, we will often write $S(x)$ to mean $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(S)(x)$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(S) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}')$ is the induced map. For cobordisms $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{S_1} \mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathcal{C}' \xrightarrow{S_2} \mathcal{C}''$, we will write $S_2 S_1$ to denote their composition.

Suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{A}$ is a trivial circle and set $n = |C \cap \mu|$. The circle C bounds an embedded disk $D \subset \mathbb{A}$, and we may push the interior of D down in the I coordinate to obtain a cobordism $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{A} \times I$ from the empty set to C which intersects the membrane in exactly $n/2$ arcs. We refer


 FIGURE 11. The moves P, P^{-1}, N, N^{-1} .

to Σ as the *cup cobordism on C* . Similarly, we may pull D up in the I coordinate to obtain the *cap cobordism on C* , which is simply $\bar{\Sigma}$.

Let W denote the $\mathbb{k}$ -module assigned by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ to a trivial circle C which is disjoint from the seam. The module $W = \langle w_-, w_+ \rangle$ is free of rank 2. The standard generator w_+ (respectively w_-) is the image of $1 \in \mathbb{k}$ under the undotted (respectively dotted) cup cobordism on C . Therefore, we will often identify $w_{\pm}$ with these cup cobordisms. Diagrammatically, we will signify that a trivial circle C in $\mathcal{C}$ is labelled by w_- by drawing a dot on C , as in Figure 3.

Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a standard configuration with e essential circles and t trivial circles. Order the trivial circles in some way, and order the essential circles from the innermost to the outermost. The exact ordering of the trivial circles is irrelevant, but it is important to order the essential circles in this way in light of Theorem 2.5 and the asymmetry of the evaluation and coevaluation maps. We have that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}) = V^{\otimes e} \otimes W^{\otimes t}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the tensor products above are understood to be over $\mathbb{k}$, and the identification of the value of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ on e standard essential circles with $V^{\otimes e}$ is the isomorphism from (2.5). The modules V and W are each bigraded, carrying a quantum grading $q\deg$ and an annular grading adeq . The degrees of generators are as in (2.2) and (2.3). Algebraically, we will write a standard generator as

$$v_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{a_e} \otimes w_{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{b_t},$$

where each $a_i, b_j \in \{-, +\}$, the v_{a_i} label the essential circles, and the w_{b_j} label the trivial circles. We will often shorten the notation to $v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is a sequence of $\pm$ labelling the essential circles and $\mathcal{J}$ is a sequence of $\pm$ labelling the trivial ones. Note also that each standard generator $x = v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}} \in \mathcal{C}$ of a standard configuration $\mathcal{C}$ is the image of $v_{\mathcal{I}}$ under the cobordism

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{C}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

which is the identity on $\mathcal{C}_E$ and a cup cobordism on each trivial circle, with some cups possibly carrying dots as specified by the labels $\mathcal{J}$. Diagrammatically, we will use the same convention as in Figure 3.

The following lemma concerns general (not necessarily standard) configurations; the argument is similar to the proof of [BPW19, Lemma 6.4].

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \subset \mathbb{A}$ be two isotopic configurations. Let ϕ be an isotopy from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}'$, and denote by $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ the cylindrical cobordism in $\mathbb{A} \times I$ formed by ϕ . Then S is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$, with $S^{-1} = q^k \bar{S}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Isotopic cobordisms are equal in $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ if the isotopy between them fixes the membrane. We may therefore assume that the isotopy ϕ is a sequence of the local moves in Figure 11, denoted P, P^{-1}, N , and N^{-1} .

Let p denote the number of moves of type P or P^{-1} , let n denote the number of moves of type N or N^{-1} , and set $k = n - p$. It follows from the relations in Figure 7 that

$$\mathbf{q}^k \bar{S} S = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathbf{q}^k S \bar{S} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}'}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a standard configuration. Let ϕ be a component-preserving isotopy from $\mathcal{C}$ to itself, with corresponding cobordism $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. For any standard generator $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathbf{q}^k S(x) = x$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. As discussed earlier, a standard generator $x = v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}} \in \mathcal{C}$ is the image of $v_{\mathcal{I}}$ under a cobordism

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

which is the identity on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and a cup cobordism on all circles in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{T}}$, with some cups possibly carrying dots as specified by $\mathcal{J}$. Every component of the cobordism $S\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ is either an undotted annulus between essential circles or a possibly dotted disk with trivial boundary. Each disk can be isotoped to a cup cobordism on its trivial boundary circle at the cost of multiplying by a power of $\mathbf{q}$. Then, at the cost of introducing further powers of $\mathbf{q}$, the remaining annuli may be isotoped to the identity cobordism on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$ while fixing each cup cobordism. This yields $\mathbf{q}^k S\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}} = \Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\mathbf{q}^k S(x) = \mathbf{q}^k S\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(v_{\mathcal{I}}) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(v_{\mathcal{I}}) = x$. $\square$

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration and let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be two isotopies from $\mathcal{C}^{\circ}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ that induce the same correspondence between components. Denote the corresponding cobordisms by $S_1, S_2 : \mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. If $x \in \mathcal{C}^{\circ}$ is a standard generator, then $S_1(x) = \mathbf{q}^k S_2(x)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Consider the component-preserving cobordism $\bar{S}_1 S_2 : \mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\circ}$, which is formed by the isotopy $\phi_1^{-1} \phi$. By Lemma 2.7, we have $\mathbf{q}^m \bar{S}_1 S_2(x) = x$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.6, we know that $\mathbf{q}^{\ell} \bar{S}_1 S_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\mathbf{q}^{\ell} \bar{S}_1 S_1(x) = x = \mathbf{q}^m \bar{S}_1 S_2(x)$$

and we obtain

$$S_1(x) = \mathbf{q}^{m-\ell} S_2(x). \quad \square$$

Remark 2.9. Given S_1, S_2 as in Lemma 2.8, in general the power k of $\mathbf{q}$ depends on the generator $x \in \mathcal{C}^{\circ}$.

So far the discussion concerned only generators of standard configurations. Next we consider generators for arbitrary configurations.

DEFINITION 2.3. Fix a configuration $\mathcal{C}$ and an isotopy from $\mathcal{C}^{\circ}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Let S denote the resulting cobordism $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The generators of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponding to the cobordism S are the images of the standard generators of $\mathcal{C}^{\circ}$ under S . We will also write generators of $\mathcal{C}$ as $v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$, which is to be understood as the image of the corresponding standard generator of $\mathcal{C}^{\circ}$. Note that this image $v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$ depends on the choice of isotopy $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which we suppress from the notation.

By Lemma 2.8, these generators of $\mathcal{C}$ are well defined up to multiplication by a (non-uniform, according to Remark 2.9) power of $\mathbf{q}$, and also a possible re-ordering of the trivial circles in $\mathcal{C}^{\circ}$

which corresponds to a permutation of the indexing set $\mathcal{J}$. We assume throughout that there is a fixed isotopy $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which will often not be named. Likewise, an unnamed cobordism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\circ$ denotes the inverse of $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

As discussed earlier, a standard generator $x \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$ is the image of the corresponding standard generator of $\mathcal{C}_E^\circ$ under a cobordism $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{C}_E^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\circ$, where $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the identity on $\mathcal{C}_E^\circ$ and a cup cobordism on each circle in $\mathcal{C}_T^\circ$, with some cups possibly carrying dots. Up to a power of $\mathfrak{q}$, the cobordism $S\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ represents a cobordism which traces out an isotopy $\mathcal{C}_E^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_E$ and is a cup cobordism on each circle in $\mathcal{C}_T$. Then each standard generator of $\mathcal{C}$ can also be realized as the image of a cup cobordism on each trivial circle and an isotopy on the essential circles.

Note also that we do not make any assumptions about how these isotopies are picked for different configurations within a single cube of resolutions.


2.4 Computation of the saddle maps

In this subsection, we compute saddle maps in quantum annular homology using the relations in $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$ and Theorem 2.5. These results will be used in the formulation of the quantum annular Burnside functor in § 4.


We start with several examples; the general case is treated in Proposition 2.16. Saddle maps for various types of configurations (where intersections with the seam are minimal) are summarized in Figure 12. In the first two examples the calculation relies on the Boerner relation and relations satisfied by cobordisms in the Bar-Natan category. Specifically, one uses the neck-cutting relation and delooping; cf. [BPW19, Proposition 5.3]. (Note that delooping makes sense only for trivial, and not for essential, circles in the annulus.)

To analyze our saddle maps, we will use the language of *surgery arcs*, as in [LS14a, § 2]. For a configuration $\mathcal{C}$, a surgery arc is an interval embedded in $\mathbb{A}$ whose endpoints lie on $\mathcal{C}$ and whose interior is disjoint from $\mathcal{C}$. In the construction of quantum annular homology, link diagrams are assumed transverse to μ and all crossings are away from μ . In light of this, we will assume that surgery arcs are disjoint from the seam. For a configuration $\mathcal{C}$ with a surgery arc, let $s(\mathcal{C})$ denote the configuration obtained by surgery on the arc. There is a saddle cobordism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s(\mathcal{C})$, which is well defined in $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$. In terms of the cube of resolutions of a link diagram, a surgery arc may be placed at a 0-smoothing to indicate that there will be a saddle cobordism at that smoothing.

Example 2.10. For the saddle



between standard configurations, we have the following formulas:







FIGURE 12. Surgery formulas in quantum annular Khovanov homology for curves with minimal intersections with the seam.

Algebraically, this is written as

$$\begin{aligned} v_+ \otimes w_+ &\mapsto v_+, & v_+ \otimes w_- &\mapsto 0, \\ v_- \otimes w_+ &\mapsto v_-, & v_- \otimes w_- &\mapsto 0. \end{aligned}$$

These can be deduced from Boerner's relation (Figure 5) and the fact that the two standard generators of a trivial circle are picked out by an undotted cup and a once-dotted cup.

Example 2.11. For the saddle



we have the formulas




which, algebraically, can be written as

$$V_+ \mapsto v_+ \otimes w_-, \quad v_- \mapsto v_- \otimes w_-.$$


This can be deduced by cutting the neck along the trivial circle, which splits off as a result of the saddle, and then applying Boerner's relation.

The next two examples are also discussed in [BPW19, § 6.4].


Example 2.12. Let S denote the following saddle:



Let C denote the trivial circle on the right-hand side above. Since C is not standard, we need to pick an isotopy to specify its generators. For the sake of calculation, we pick the following isotopy:



which specifies generators, represented diagrammatically, as



These generators are the images of $1 \in \mathbb{k}$ under the undotted and dotted cup cobordisms on C , respectively. Since the cup cobordism on C intersects the membrane, the placement of the dot is relevant, and the diagram shows where the dot is placed.

Now let Σ denote the undotted cap cobordism on C , and let Σ' denote the dotted cap cobordism on C , with the dot placed as in the generator w_- . Using the relations in $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(w_+) &= 0, & \Sigma(w_-) &= \mathfrak{q}^{-1}, \\ \Sigma'(w_+) &= \mathfrak{q}^{-1}, & \Sigma'(w_-) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$


Composing with the saddle S , observe that $\Sigma S = S^1 \times \cap$ in $\mathcal{BN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$, and that $\Sigma' S = 0$ by Boerner's relation. We are now in a position to write down formulas for S . For example, we may write

$$S(v_+ \otimes v_-) = \alpha w_+ + \beta w_- \quad (2.7)$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{k}$. Applying Σ to the above equality, we obtain

$$\Sigma S(v_+ \otimes v_-) = \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \beta.$$


Theorem 2.5 tells us that $\Sigma S(v_+ \otimes v_-) = ev(v_+ \otimes v_-) = \mathfrak{q}$, so that $\beta = \mathfrak{q}^2$. By applying Σ' to both sides of 2.7, we obtain $\alpha = 0$. A similar argument for the remaining generators yields the full table of formulas for S :



Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} S(v_+ \otimes v_-) &= \mathfrak{q}^2 w_-, & S(v_+ \otimes v_+) &= 0, \\ S(v_- \otimes v_+) &= \mathfrak{q} w_-, & S(v_- \otimes v_-) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.13. Let S denote the following saddle:




Pick generators w_+ and w_- for the left-hand trivial circle C as in Example 2.12. Let Σ and Σ' be the undotted and dotted cups on C , so that $w_+ = \Sigma(1)$ and $w_- = \Sigma'(1)$. Observe that $S\Sigma' = 0$ by Boerner's relation, so

$$S(w_-) = 0.$$

Finally, note that $S\Sigma = S^1 \times \cup$. By Theorem 2.5, we obtain




$$S(w_+) = v_+ \otimes v_- + \mathfrak{q}^{-1} v_- \otimes v_+.$$

Diagrammatically, the formulas for S are



Saddle maps for various topological types of configurations, including the result of calculations in Examples 2.10–2.13, are summarized in Figure 12. The next example illustrates a calculation of the saddle map in a case of higher multiplicity of intersections between the configuration and the seam.

Example 2.14. Here is a slightly more involved version of Example 2.12. Consider the configurations $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$, and the saddle $S : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ as shown in Figure 13.


 FIGURE 13. The saddle $S: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ in Example 2.14.

 FIGURE 14. An isotopy $S_1: \mathcal{C}_1^o \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$.

 FIGURE 15. An isotopy $S_2: \mathcal{C}_2^o \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$.

Fix generators for $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ using the isotopies S_1 and S_2 depicted in Figures 14 and 15. Since generators of $\mathcal{C}_2$ are the images of the standard generators of $\mathcal{C}_2^o$ under the isomorphism $S_2: \mathcal{C}_2^o \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$, it suffices to write down formulas for the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_1^o \xrightarrow{S_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{S_2^{-1}} \mathcal{C}_2^o. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that $S_2^{-1} = \mathfrak{q}^3 \overline{S_2}$ (see Lemma 2.6). Let Φ denote the composition (2.8).

Let Σ and Σ' be the undotted and dotted cap cobordisms, respectively, on the trivial circle $\mathcal{C}_2^o$. Note that $\Sigma'\Phi = 0$ by Boerner's relation. Applying a trace move from Figure 7 to the part of the cobordism depicted in (2.9), we see that

$$\Sigma \overline{S_2} S S_1$$

is equal to $\mathfrak{q}^{-1}(S^1 \times \cap)$, so that

$$\Sigma \Phi = \mathfrak{q}^2(S^1 \times \cap).$$

Arguing as in Example 2.12, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(v_+ \otimes v_-) &= \mathfrak{q}^3 w_-, & S(v_+ \otimes v_+) &= 0, \\ S(v_- \otimes v_+) &= \mathfrak{q}^2 w_-, & S(v_- \otimes v_-) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



(2.9)

Remark 2.15. Here is a slightly different way to finish the computation in Example 2.14, which will be used in Proposition 2.16. In the morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2^\circ$, we may cut the neck along a small push-off of the trivial circle $\mathcal{C}_2^\circ$ to write Φ as a sum of two dotted cobordisms. One of the summands is 0 by Boerner's relation, and the other is isotopic to a disjoint union of

$$S^1 \times \cap$$

and a dotted cup cobordism on $\mathcal{C}_2^\circ$, which is denoted $\overline{\Sigma}'$ using the notation of Example 2.14. Then, using the trace relations in $\mathcal{BN}_q(\mathbb{A})$, we see that

$$\Phi = q^2(S^1 \times \cap) \sqcup \overline{\Sigma}'$$

and the formulas in Example 2.14 follow.

Example 2.14 shows that there is considerable complexity in computing the saddle map when curves have multiple intersections with the seam. The next proposition extends Examples 2.12–2.14 to the case of arbitrary configurations. It will be important for the analysis in § 2.5. Recall the numbering of circles discussed in the paragraph preceding (2.6).

PROPOSITION 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration with a surgery arc A . Let $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s(\mathcal{C})$ denote the saddle.*

(1) *Suppose that both endpoints of A are on a trivial circle C , and that surgery along A splits C into two essential circles. Assume that C is first in the ordering on trivial circles of $\mathcal{C}$, and it splits into the i th and $(i+1)$ th essential circles in $s(\mathcal{C})$. Let $x = v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_+ \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$ be a generator of $\mathcal{C}$ in which C is undotted, where $\mathcal{I}'$ labels the first $i-1$ essential circles. Then*

$$S(x) = q^a v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_+ \otimes v_- \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}} + q^{a-1} v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_- \otimes v_+ \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) *Suppose that the endpoints of A are on the i th and $(i+1)$ th essential circles of $\mathcal{C}$. Consider the generators*

$$y_1 = v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_+ \otimes v_- \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}},$$

$$y_2 = v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_- \otimes v_+ \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$$

of $\mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{I}'$ labels the first $i-1$ essential circles. Then

$$S(y_1) = q^{b+1} v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}},$$

$$S(y_2) = q^b v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For both (1) and (2), it is enough to show that the result holds after applying $s(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow s(\mathcal{C})^\circ$.

(1). The generator x is the image of $v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''}$ under the composition $\mathcal{C}_E^\circ \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ is a cup cobordism on trivial circles in $\mathcal{C}^\circ$, with the cups dotted according to $\mathcal{J}$. Note that the cup on C is undotted. Let Ψ denote the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_E^\circ \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{S} s(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow s(\mathcal{C})^\circ.$$

The cobordism Ψ is isotopic to a disjoint union of

$$(*) S^1 \times |\cdots| \cup |\cdots|$$

and cup cobordisms on each trivial circle in $s(\mathcal{C})^\circ$, with dots placed according to $\mathcal{J}$. By Theorem 2.5, the cobordism $(*)$ induces the map

$$v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \mapsto v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_+ \otimes v_- \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} + \mathfrak{q}^{-1} v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_- \otimes v_+ \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''}$$

and the result follows.

(2). The generators y_1 and y_2 are the images of $v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_+ \otimes v_- \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''}$ and $v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_- \otimes v_+ \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''}$, respectively, under

$$\mathcal{C}_E^\circ \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

where $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ is a cup cobordism on trivial circles with dots placed according to $\mathcal{J}$. Let Φ denote the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_E^\circ \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{S} s(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow s(\mathcal{C})^\circ.$$

Let $C \in s(\mathcal{C})$ denote the trivial circle obtained by surgery along A and let $C' \in s(\mathcal{C})^\circ$ denote the corresponding circle. In the cobordism Φ , we may cut the neck along C' to write Φ as a sum of two cobordisms. One of the summands is 0 by Boerner's relation, and the other is isotopic to the disjoint union of

$$(**) S^1 \times |\cdots| \cup |\cdots|$$

and cup cobordisms on each trivial circle. Observe also that the cup cobordism on C' is dotted. Finally, Theorem 2.5 says that the cobordism $(**)$ induces the map

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_+ \otimes v_- \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} &\mapsto \mathfrak{q} v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''}, \\ v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_- \otimes v_+ \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} &\mapsto v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. $\square$

We end this subsection with a discussion about recovering classical annular homology. Consider the map $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which is the identity on $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{k}$ and sends $\mathfrak{q}$ to 1. It induces a functor $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{Z} : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, one can consider the composition

$$\mathcal{BBN}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}}} \text{Mod}(\mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

which we denote $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{Z}$. Tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}$ forgets the action of $\mathfrak{q}$, in the sense that isotopic cobordisms induce equal maps under $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{Z}$ even when the isotopy is not required to fix the




FIGURE 16. Three ladybug configurations in the annulus.

seam. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration with e essential and t trivial circles. By Lemma 2.8, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{Z} \cong V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes e} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes t}$$

obtained by picking an isotopy $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. It is implicit in [BPW19] that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{Z}$ is the classical annular functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}$; indeed, this is straightforward to check using the relations in $\mathcal{BBN}_q(\mathbb{A})$ and Theorem 2.5 as in the proof of Proposition 2.16.

LEMMA 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration with a single surgery arc and let $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s(\mathcal{C})$ denote the saddle. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}$ be a generator. Then*

$$S(x) = \sum \varepsilon_y y,$$

where the sum is over generators of $s(\mathcal{C})$ and each ε_y is either 0 or a power of q . Moreover, $\varepsilon_y \neq 0$ if and only if y appears in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(S)(x)$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the classical (unquantized) annular TQFT.

Proof. There are six types of saddles to check, corresponding to merges and splits between various combinations of essential and trivial circles as in Figure 4. The first part of the lemma was verified for two of these types of saddles in Proposition 2.16. It is straightforward to verify the lemma for the other four using similar arguments. The second part follows from the discussion preceding the lemma. $\square$

2.5 Ladybug configurations

In this subsection, we analyze the *ladybug configuration* (see [LS14a, Definition 5.6]; see also [LS14a, Figure 5.1]). Examining ladybug configurations is crucial in the construction of Khovanov homotopy types in various contexts. We start with a discussion of ladybug configurations in classical annular homology. We will then examine a particular type of ladybug configuration in quantum annular homology, and we will indicate how the analysis differs from that in classical annular homology.

We recall the notion of a ladybug configuration. A circle $C \subset \mathbb{A}$ with two surgery arcs forms a ladybug configuration if the endpoints of the two arcs alternate around C . We will say that a configuration $\mathcal{C}$ with surgery arcs has a ladybug configuration if a circle C in $\mathcal{C}$ and two of the surgery arcs form a ladybug configuration.

First, consider ladybug configurations in classical annular homology. Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}$ be a circle carrying two surgery arcs A_1 and A_2 which form a ladybug configuration. Figure 16 illustrates the three possibilities in the annulus.

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathcal{C}_i$ denote the configuration obtained by performing surgery along A_i , and let $d_i : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ denote the maps assigned to the saddles in classical annular Khovanov homology. Let $\mathcal{C}'$ denote the final configuration, obtained by performing surgery on C along both A_1 and A_2 . When C is essential, as in Figure 16(a), composing two saddle maps yields 0 (see the formulas in Figure 4). Now consider the cases where C is trivial, as in Figure 16(b) and 16(c).




FIGURE 17. The analysis in the case of Figure 16(c).

The dotted generator w_- is sent to 0 by the composition of two saddle maps. On the other hand, the two summands appearing in each of $d_1(w_+)$ and $d_2(w_+)$ are mapped to the same element in the final configuration $\mathcal{C}'$. The case of Figure 16(c) is illustrated in Figure 17.

When constructing stable homotopy refinements in this framework, it is important to have a bijection between these intermediate generators which appear in $d_1(w_+)$ and $d_2(w_+)$, such that these bijections are coherent, in an appropriate sense, within higher dimensional cubes (cf. § 3.2 below). The bijections are the *ladybug matchings* defined in [LS14a, § 5.4].

In the case of Figure 16(b), the annulus and seam play no role, and the ladybug matching from [LS14a] can be used without significant alteration for both classical and quantum annular homology. The remainder of this subsection examines the ladybug configuration of the type in Figure 16(c) in quantum annular homology.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration with two surgery arcs A_T and A_E , both having endpoints on a trivial circle C in $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose also that surgery along A_T splits C into two trivial circles and surgery along A_E splits C into two essential circles; cf. Figure 18. Let $s_T(\mathcal{C})$ and $s_E(\mathcal{C})$ denote the configurations obtained by surgery along A_T and A_E , respectively. Let $\mathcal{C}'$ denote the final configuration, obtained by surgery along both arcs. Let $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$ denote the circle obtained by both surgeries. We have the following commutative square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & s_T(\mathcal{C}) & \\
 \Delta_T \nearrow & & \searrow m_T \\
 \mathcal{C} & & \mathcal{C}' \\
 \Delta_E \searrow & & \nearrow m_E \\
 & s_E(\mathcal{C}) &
 \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

Figure 18 exhibits the specific instance of this set-up corresponding directly to Figure 16(c), but there are many such cases depending on how C intersects the seam. As usual, we will not distinguish between cobordisms and their induced maps. We assume that C occurs first in the ordering on trivial circles in $\mathcal{C}$. Surgery along A_T splits C into two trivial circles in $s_T(\mathcal{C})$, which we assume are the first two trivial circles in $s_T(\mathcal{C})$. Finally, we order these first two circles as follows. Orient the arc A_T such that it points from the outer essential circle in $s_E(\mathcal{C})$ to the inner one. Declare that the first circle C_1 is to the left of A_T and the second C_2 is to the right of A_T . Our ordering convention is illustrated in Figure 19.

Let $x = v_I \otimes w_+ \otimes w_J \in \mathcal{C}$ be a generator in which C is undotted. By Lemma 2.17, we obtain

$$\Delta_T(x) = \mathfrak{q}^k v_I \otimes w_- \otimes w_+ \otimes w_J + \mathfrak{q}^\ell v_I \otimes w_+ \otimes w_- \otimes w_J$$

for some $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.





FIGURE 18. Further analysis in the case of Figure 16(c).


 FIGURE 19. Ordering convention on C_1 and C_2 .

The following corollary implies that, in fact, in the quantum annular setting, there is no need for a ladybug matching for this ladybug configuration because intermediate generators are mapped to different elements in the final configuration.

COROLLARY 2.18. *With the above notation,*

$$m_E(\Delta_E(x)) = \mathfrak{q}^m v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}} + \mathfrak{q}^{m+2} v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, one of $m_T(\mathfrak{q}^k v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_+ \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}})$ or $m_T(\mathfrak{q}^\ell v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_+ \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}})$ is equal to $\mathfrak{q}^m v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$ and the other is equal to $\mathfrak{q}^{m+2} v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$.

Proof. In the case when the whole configuration $\mathcal{C}$ consists of just the curve C intersecting the seam in two points as in Figure 18, the first statement can be easily checked using the formulas in Figure 12. (Also see Figure 22 below.) In full generality it follows from Proposition 2.16. The second statement follows from the commutativity of the square (2.10). $\square$

Remark 2.19. Note that generators for each configuration depend, up to a power of $\mathfrak{q}$, on a choice of a cobordism: see Definition 2.3 and discussion following it. The powers k, ℓ , and m above are determined by the cobordisms chosen for the different configurations.

It will be important for § 7 to know which of $m_T(\mathfrak{q}^k v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_+ \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}})$ or $m_T(\mathfrak{q}^\ell v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_+ \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}})$ is equal to $\mathfrak{q}^m v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$. This is addressed in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.20. *With the above notation,*

$$\begin{aligned} m_T(\mathfrak{q}^k v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_+ \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}) &= \mathfrak{q}^m v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}, \\ m_T(\mathfrak{q}^\ell v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_+ \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}) &= \mathfrak{q}^{m+2} v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The generator $x = v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_+ \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the image of $v_{\mathcal{I}}$ under $\mathcal{C}_E^\circ \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \mathcal{C}^\circ \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{C}$. Here $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the usual identity cobordism on the essential part together with an undotted cup corresponding




FIGURE 20. Neck cutting in the proof of Proposition 2.20.

to w_+ on C and various other cups dotted according to $\mathcal{J}$, while S is some chosen cobordism from the standard $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Isotope the resulting disk in $S\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ bounding C to a cup cobordism on C to obtain a new cobordism $\Sigma' : \mathcal{C}_E^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, so that $S\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathfrak{q}^c \Sigma'$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since C is trivial, it bounds a disk D in the annulus. Note that A_T lies inside D , so we may push it into the cup cobordism on C in Σ' to obtain an arc A . We may also pull A_T onto the saddle Δ_T to obtain another arc A' . Performing neck cutting on the circle $A \cup A'$ on $S\Sigma'$ yields

$$\Delta_T \Sigma' = S_1 + S_2,$$

where S_1 and S_2 are labelled such that S_1 is dotted on C_1 and S_2 is dotted on C_2 . Figure 20 illustrates the local picture near A_T ; the surgery arc A_T is decorated by an arrowhead. From this we obtain

$$\Delta_T(x) = \Delta_T S\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(v_{\mathcal{I}}) = \mathfrak{q}^c \Delta_T \Sigma'(v_{\mathcal{I}}) = \mathfrak{q}^c S_1(v_{\mathcal{I}}) + \mathfrak{q}^c S_2(v_{\mathcal{I}})$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}^c S_1(v_{\mathcal{I}}) &= \mathfrak{q}^k v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_+ \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}, \\ \mathfrak{q}^c S_2(v_{\mathcal{I}}) &= \mathfrak{q}^\ell v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_+ \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}. \end{aligned}$$

The relation

$$\times \cdots \cdots \times = \mathfrak{q}^2 \times \cdots \cdots \times$$

implies that $\mathfrak{q}^d m_T S_1 = m_T S_2$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. To compute $\mathfrak{q}^d$, we need to move the dot on S_2 along C' until it is in the same position as the dot on S_1 , and count (with sign) the number of times the dot intersects the membrane during this process. This is the same as the signed intersection between the seam and one of the essential circles in $s_E(\mathcal{C})$ obtained by surgery on C . The situation is depicted below in (2.11); we need to move the dot on the right-hand diagram along the circle, without intersecting the surgery arc, to the other side of the arc.

Our convention of ordering C_1 and C_2 (see Figure 19) guarantees that the dot is moved counterclockwise along an essential circle in $s_E(\mathcal{C})$, so that $m_T S_2 = \mathfrak{q}^2 m_T S_1$. Finally, this implies




FIGURE 21. The left choice in the ladybug matching.

that

$$q^2 m_T(q^k v_I \otimes w_- \otimes w_+ \otimes w_J) = m_T(q^\ell v_I \otimes w_+ \otimes w_- \otimes w_J)$$

and the result follows. $\square$

There is always either a ‘right’ or a ‘left’ choice which is made at the very beginning of defining the ladybug matching (see [LS14a, § 5.4]). Consider the classical annular differential for the ladybug configuration of Figure 17. The ladybug matching made with the left choice identifies the circles in the middle smoothings as shown in Figure 21(a). Then the ladybug matching pairs up the intermediate generators appearing in $\Delta_T(w_+)$ and $\Delta_E(w_+)$ as shown in Figure 21(b).

Now consider the quantum annular surgery formulas for the same configuration (Figure 18), which are detailed in Figure 22. We see that the intermediate generators get paired up as in (2.12):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) &\longleftrightarrow \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) \\ \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) &\longleftrightarrow q^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Algebraically, the matching is

$$\begin{aligned} w_+ \otimes w_- &\longleftrightarrow v_+ \otimes v_-, \\ w_- \otimes w_+ &\longleftrightarrow q^{-1} v_- \otimes v_+, \end{aligned}$$

where the ordering on trivial circles follows the convention illustrated in Figure 19. After forgetting powers of q , the matching in (2.12) is consistent with the matching in Figure 21. Our remaining goal in this section is to show that the matching forced by powers of q agrees with the ladybug matching made with the left pair, as stated in Proposition 2.21.

We will use the notation and conventions established in this section. By Proposition 2.16(1), we can write

$$\Delta_E(x) = q^a v_{I'} \otimes v_+ \otimes v_- \otimes v_{I''} \otimes w_J + q^{a-1} v_{I'} \otimes v_- \otimes v_+ \otimes v_{I''} \otimes w_J$$




FIGURE 22. Note that the term with coefficient q^2 on bottom right matches the term directly above it, because dragging the dot across the seam amounts to multiplication by q^2 .

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Proposition 2.16(2), Corollary 2.18, and Proposition 2.20 imply that in the quantum setting, the pairing on intermediate generators is forced to be

$$\begin{aligned} q^\ell v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_+ \otimes w_- \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}} &\longleftrightarrow q^a v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_+ \otimes v_- \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}, \\ q^k v_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes w_- \otimes w_+ \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}} &\longleftrightarrow q^{a-1} v_{\mathcal{I}'} \otimes v_- \otimes v_+ \otimes v_{\mathcal{I}''} \otimes w_{\mathcal{J}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

PROPOSITION 2.21. *Given a ladybug configuration (C, A_T, A_E) of the type described in the paragraph preceding (2.10), the matching in (2.13) is the same as the ladybug matching made with the left pair.*

Proof. Looking at the surgery arc A_T , the left choice makes the following identification on circles in $s_E(\mathcal{C})$ and $s_T(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diagram 1} & \text{Diagram 2} & \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 1} & \text{Diagram 2} & \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \quad (2.14)$$

The diagrams show the identification of circles in $s_E(\mathcal{C})$ and $s_T(\mathcal{C})$. The first diagram shows a circle with a red arrow labeled A_T . The second diagram shows a circle with a dot and a seam, labeled $s_E(\mathcal{C})$. The third diagram shows a circle with a dot and a seam, labeled $s_T(\mathcal{C})$.

Therefore, the ladybug matching makes the following identification on generators:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diagram 1} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 1} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} \quad (2.15)$$

The diagrams show the identification of generators. The first diagram shows a circle with a dot and a seam, labeled $s_E(\mathcal{C})$. The second diagram shows a circle with a dot and a seam, labeled $s_T(\mathcal{C})$.

Comparing with our ordering convention on the circles in $s_T(\mathcal{C})$ in Figure 19 demonstrates that this is consistent with (2.13). $\square$

3. Burnside categories and functors

Following the general strategy of [LLS20], the first step towards lifting a Khovanov homology theory to a spectrum is to build a Burnside functor from the cube category $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ [LLS20, § 2.1] to the Burnside category $\mathcal{B}$ [LLS20, § 4.1] which encodes the information underlying the chain complex in a higher categorical manner, beyond the data of chain groups and differentials. In this section, we review the general framework of such categories and functors along with their equivariant versions, before turning to the specific case of quantum annular Khovanov homology in § 4. In

particular, a strategy for constructing natural isomorphisms of Burnside functors, outlined in § 3.6, will be used in follow-up sections.

3.1 The cube category

We first recall the *cube category* $\underline{2}^n$ from [LLS20, § 2.1]. The objects of $\underline{2}^n$ are the elements of $\{0, 1\}^n$, thought of as vertices of the n -dimensional cube I^n . There is a natural partial order on $\{0, 1\}^n$: for vertices $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ in $\{0, 1\}^n$, write $u \geq v$ if each $u_i \geq v_i$. The set of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\underline{2}^n}(u, v)$ is defined to be empty unless $u \geq v$, in which case $\text{Hom}_{\underline{2}^n}(u, v)$ consists of a single element, denoted $\varphi_{u,v}$. Therefore, for $u \geq w$, we have $\varphi_{u,w} = \varphi_{v,w} \circ \varphi_{u,v}$ for any v such that $u \geq v \geq w$. We note that the edges in $\underline{2}^n$ point in the opposite direction of those in the cube of resolutions of a link diagram.

For a vertex $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, define $|u| := \sum_i u_i$. Write $u \geq_k v$ if $u \geq v$ and $|u| - |v| = k$. In particular, $u \geq_1 v$ means there is an edge from u to v in the cube I^n . Vertices u, v with $u \geq_k v$ specify a k -dimensional subcube, which is the full subcategory of $\underline{2}^n$ with objects consisting of all vertices w satisfying $u \geq w \geq v$.

3.2 Burnside categories and functors

This section discusses the Burnside category, $\mathcal{B}$, and Burnside functors, following [LLS20, § 4.1].


For sets X and Y , a *correspondence* from X to Y is a triple (A, s, t) , where A is a set and $s : A \rightarrow X, t : A \rightarrow Y$ are functions, called the *source map* and *target map*, respectively. We will often denote a correspondence by $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$ or simply $X \leftarrow A \rightarrow Y$. Given correspondences $X \xleftarrow{s_A} A \xrightarrow{t_A} Y$ and $Y \xleftarrow{s_B} B \xrightarrow{t_B} Z$, their composition $(B, s_B, t_B) \circ (A, s_A, t_A)$ is the correspondence (C, s, t) from X to Z obtained as the fiber product

$$C = B \times_Y A = \{(b, a) \in B \times A \mid s_B(b) = t_A(a)\},$$


with the source and target maps

$$s(b, a) = s_A(a), \quad t(b, a) = t_B(b).$$

The composition can be summarized by the fiber product diagram



A *morphism* from a correspondence $X \xleftarrow{s_A} A \xrightarrow{t_A} Y$ to a correspondence $X \xleftarrow{s_B} B \xrightarrow{t_B} Y$ is a bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$ which commutes with the source and target maps. That is, f is a bijection fitting into the following commutative diagram.



The collection of sets, correspondences between them, and morphisms of correspondences forms a *bicategory* in the language of [BPW19] or, equivalently, a *weak 2-category* in the language of [LLS20]. The objects are sets, 1-morphisms are correspondences, and 2-morphisms are morphisms of correspondences. We will use the terms bicategory and weak 2-category interchangeably. A quick reference for the notion of bicategories is [BPW19, Appendix A.4].

The identity 1-morphism of a set X is the identity correspondence

$$X \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X.$$

Given correspondences $X \xleftarrow{s_A} A \xrightarrow{t_A} Y$ and $Z \xleftarrow{s_B} B \xrightarrow{t_B} X$, the compositions $(A, s_A, t_A) \circ (X, \text{id}_X, \text{id}_X)$ and $(X, \text{id}_X, \text{id}_X) \circ (B, s_B, t_B)$ are not equal to (A, s_A, t_A) and (B, s_B, t_B) , but there are natural 2-morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (A, s_A, t_A) \circ (X, \text{id}_X, \text{id}_X) &\cong (A, s_A, t_A), \\ (X, \text{id}_X, \text{id}_X) \circ (B, s_B, t_B) &\cong (B, s_B, t_B). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, composition of correspondences is not strictly associative, but is associative up to natural isomorphism. This is the sense in which we have only a weak 2-category, as opposed to a strict 2-category.

The *Burnside category*, denoted $\mathcal{B}$, is the sub-bicategory of the above consisting of finite sets and finite correspondences. Even though $\mathcal{B}$ is a bicategory, it will always be referred to as a category.

The construction of Khovanov homotopy types in [LLS20] and [SSS20] utilizes functors $F : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, which we explain here. First, make $\underline{2}^n$ into a (strict) 2-category by introducing only identity 2-morphisms. There is a notion of a *lax 2-functor* between 2-categories, and also of a *strictly unitary lax 2-functor*. The complete definitions, consisting of a slew of data and various natural morphisms, can be found in [LLS20, Definitions 4.2 and 4.3]. We will only be interested in the notion of a *Burnside functor*, which is a strictly unitary lax 2-functor $F : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Lemma 3.1 specifies the data needed to define a Burnside functor uniquely up to natural isomorphism (see § 3.5 for the definition of natural isomorphisms).

LEMMA 3.1 ([LLS20, Lemma 4.5], [LLS17a, Proposition 4.3]). *Consider the following data.*

- A finite set $F(u)$ for each vertex $u \in \underline{2}^n$.
- A finite correspondence $F(\varphi_{u,v})$ from $F(u)$ to $F(v)$ for each pair of vertices $u, v \in \underline{2}^n$ with $u \geq_1 v$.
- A 2-morphism

$$F_{u,v,v',w} : F(\varphi_{v,w}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v}) \rightarrow F(\varphi_{v',w}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v'})$$

for each two-dimensional face of $\underline{2}^n$ with vertices u, v, v', w satisfying $u \geq_1 v, v' \geq_1 w$.

Suppose also that the above data satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) $F_{u,v,v',w}^{-1} = F_{u,v',v,w}$.
- (2) For every three-dimensional subcube of $\underline{2}^n$ as in Figure 23(a), the hexagon of Figure 23(b) commutes.

Then the data can be extended to a strictly unitary lax 2-functor $F : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, which is unique up to natural isomorphism.




FIGURE 23. (a) Three-dimensional cube and (b) the hexagon relation.




FIGURE 24. Illustration of the hexagon relation in Remark 3.2.

The hexagon of Figure 23(b) comes from two ways of traversing the faces of the three-dimensional cube, starting from the correspondence $F(\varphi_{w,z}) \circ F(\varphi_{v,w}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v})$ and ending at $F(\varphi_{w',z}) \circ F(\varphi_{v'',w'}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v''})$. The top half of the hexagon comes from traversing the faces as in Figure 24(a) and the bottom half comes from traversing the faces as in Figure 24(b). Lemma 3.1 states that the hexagon relation is enough to guarantee that the functor is coherent on all higher dimensional cubes as well.

Remark 3.2. We end this subsection with some comments about verifying the hexagon relation which will be useful in proving Theorem 4.2. Suppose that we have 2-morphisms $F_{u,v,v',w}$ for each square face $u \geq_1 v, v' \geq_1 w$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, which satisfy $F_{u,v,v',w}^{-1} = F_{u,v',v,w}$ as in condition (1) of Lemma 3.1. Then verifying the hexagon relation is equivalent to the following. Start at the correspondence $F(\varphi_{w,z}) \circ F(\varphi_{v,w}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v})$ and traverse the six faces of the cube using the 2-morphisms; i.e. first move across the three faces as shown in Figure 24(a) and then move across the remaining three faces as in Figure 24(b), except in the reverse order. Composing these six 2-morphisms yields a 2-morphism

$$\Phi_{u,v,w,z} : F(\varphi_{w,z}) \circ F(\varphi_{v,w}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v}) \rightarrow F(\varphi_{w,z}) \circ F(\varphi_{v,w}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v}).$$

Verifying commutativity of the hexagon of Lemma 3.1 is equivalent to verifying that $\Phi_{u,v,w,z}$ is the identity. Moreover, for each three-dimensional subcube of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, it suffices to verify that $\Phi_{u,v,w,z} = \text{id}$ for just one tuple of vertices $u \geq_1 v \geq_1 w \geq_1 z$ within the subcube.

Furthermore, such verifications are immediate under certain circumstances, which we now describe. Let A denote the correspondence $F(\varphi_{w,z}) \circ F(\varphi_{v,w}) \circ F(\varphi_{u,v})$, and let $s : A \rightarrow F(u)$, $t : A \rightarrow F(z)$ denote the source and target maps, respectively. Suppose that for every $x \in F(u)$ and $y \in F(z)$, $s^{-1}(x) \cap t^{-1}(y)$ is either empty or has one element. Let $a \in A$ and let $a' = \Phi_{u,v,w,z}(a)$. Since $\Phi_{u,v,w,z}(a)$ is a 2-morphism, we have $s(a') = s(a)$ and $t(a') = t(a)$. Then $a' = a$, so the hexagon relation is satisfied for this three-dimensional subcube. In this situation, we will say that this three-dimensional cube is *simple*.

3.3 The equivariant Burnside category

Let G be a finite group. The G -equivariant Burnside category, denoted $\mathcal{B}_G$, is an equivariant analogue of $\mathcal{B}$. Objects of $\mathcal{B}_G$ are finite free G -sets. A 1-morphism from X to Y is a triple (A, s, t) , where A is another finite free G -set, and $s : A \rightarrow X$, $t : A \rightarrow Y$ are G -equivariant maps. We will call such a triple (A, s, t) an *equivariant correspondence*. Given equivariant correspondences $X \xleftarrow{s_A} A \xrightarrow{t_A} Y$ and $Y \xleftarrow{s_B} B \xrightarrow{t_B} Z$, the composition $(B, s_B, t_B) \circ (A, s_A, t_A)$ is the same as in $\mathcal{B}$. The G -action on $B \times_Y A$ is inherited from the diagonal G -action on $B \times A$; that is, $g(b, a) = (gb, ga)$. The additional requirement on 2-morphisms between correspondences is that they be G -equivariant.

The equivariant Burnside category is discussed in [SSS20, §3.3] in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Lemma 3.2 in [SSS20] (our Lemma 3.1) gives sufficient conditions for defining a functor $F : \underline{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, and the same conditions clearly work for general G . The modification to the data of Lemma 3.1 is that all sets should be finite free G -sets and all set maps should be equivariant. Note that if $G = \{1\}$, then $\mathcal{B}_{\{1\}} = \mathcal{B}$, so everything stated about $\mathcal{B}_G$ in the following sections holds just as well for $\mathcal{B}$.

We will later be interested in the *quotient functor* $(-)/G : \mathcal{B}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, which simply takes the quotient of all sets and set maps. Explicitly, the quotient functor sends a G -set X to the set of orbits $X/G = X/(x \sim gx)$. For G -sets X and Y and an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, there is an induced map $f/G : X/G \rightarrow Y/G$, given by $(f/G)([x]) = [f(x)]$. The quotient functor sends an equivariant correspondence $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$ to the correspondence $X/G \xleftarrow{s/G} A/G \xrightarrow{t/G} Y/G$. Likewise, a 2-morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is assigned: $f/G : A/G \rightarrow B/G$.

3.4 Totalizations of Burnside functors

Associated to any Burnside functor $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ is a chain complex, called the *totalization* (see [LLS17a, Definition 5.1] and [SSS20, §3.6]). For a set X , let $\mathcal{A}(X)$ denote the free abelian group generated by X . Let $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$ be an equivariant correspondence. Define a map $\mathcal{A}(A) : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Y)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}(A)(x) = \sum_{a \in s^{-1}(x)} t(a). \quad (3.1)$$

Let $F : \underline{\mathcal{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ be a Burnside functor. For $u \geq v$, let $A_{u,v}$ denote the correspondence assigned by F to the morphism $\varphi_{u,v} : u \rightarrow v$. The complex $\text{Tot}(F)$ is defined by

$$\text{Tot}(F) := \bigoplus_{u \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}^n} \mathcal{A}(F(u)),$$

with the term $\mathcal{A}(F(u))$ in homological degree $|u|$. The differential

$$\partial : \bigoplus_{|u|=i} \mathcal{A}(F(u)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|v|=i+1} \mathcal{A}(F(v))$$

is given on summands by maps $\partial_{u,v} : \mathcal{A}(F(u)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(F(v))$, for $|u| = i$, $|v| = i + 1$, defined as

$$\partial_{u,v}(x) = (-1)^{s_{u,v}} \mathcal{A}(A_{u,v}).$$

The sign assignment $s_{u,v}$ ensures that $\partial^2 = 0$ (see [Bar05, §2.7]; see also [LS14a, Definition 4.5] for a discussion of $s_{u,v}$).

If X is a G -set, then $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is naturally a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. Moreover, if $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$ is an equivariant correspondence, then the map $\mathcal{A}(A)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -linear. Thus, if F is an equivariant Burnside functor taking values in $\mathcal{B}_G$, then $\text{Tot}(F)$ is a complex of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules.

3.5 Natural transformations of Burnside functors

There is a canonical identification $\underline{2}^{n+1} = \underline{2} \times \underline{2}^n$. A natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ of Burnside functors $F_1, F_0 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ is a functor $\eta : \underline{2}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ such that the restriction of η to $\{i\} \times \underline{2}^n$ is equal to F_i .

In the context of natural transformations, we will think of $\underline{2}^{n+1} = \underline{2} \times \underline{2}^n$ as two ‘horizontal’ copies of $\underline{2}^n$ with vertical edges connecting them, pointing downwards. The top copy of $\underline{2}^n$ corresponds to $\{1\} \times \underline{2}^n \subset \underline{2} \times \underline{2}^n$ and likewise the bottom copy corresponds to $\{0\} \times \underline{2}^n \subset \underline{2} \times \underline{2}^n$. Recall that for $u \geq v$, $\varphi_{u,v}$ denotes the unique element in $\text{Hom}_{\underline{2}^n}(u, v)$. We distinguish two types of morphisms in $\underline{2} \times \underline{2}^n$. First, for each $u \in \underline{2}^n$, there is a morphism

$$(\varphi_{1,0}, \text{id}_u) : (1, u) \rightarrow (0, u).$$

We denote this edge by e_u and think of it as a vertical arrow

$$\begin{array}{c} (1, u) \\ \downarrow e_u \\ (0, u) \end{array}$$

The second type consists of morphisms in $\underline{2} \times \underline{2}^n$ of the form

$$(\text{id}_i, \varphi_{u,v}) : (i, u) \rightarrow (i, v),$$

where $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $u, v \in \underline{2}^n$ with $u \geq v$. We will denote these morphisms by $\varphi_{u,v}^i$, and think of them as living in the ‘horizontal’ cube $\{i\} \times \underline{2}^n$.

With these conventions, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & (i, v') & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{v',w}^i} & (i, w) \\ & \nearrow \varphi_{u,v'}^i & & & \nearrow \varphi_{v,w}^i \\ (i, u) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u,v}^i} & (i, v) & & \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

lives in the horizontal cube $\{i\} \times \underline{2}^n$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1, u) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u,v}^1} & (1, v) \\ e_u \downarrow & & \downarrow e_v \\ (0, u) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u,v}^0} & (0, v) \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

is between the horizontal cubes. In the context of natural transformations, we will often not label some or all of the edges, with the understanding that the above conventions (3.2) and (3.3) are followed. To define a natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$, one needs to specify a correspondence $\eta(e_u)$ for each vertical edge e_u , a 2-morphism $\eta_{u,v} : \eta(e_v) \circ \eta(\varphi_{u,v}^1) \rightarrow \eta(\varphi_{u,v}^0) \circ \eta(e_u)$ for each vertical face as in (3.3), and verify that the hexagon of Lemma 3.1 commutes.

Given a natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$, there is an induced map $\text{Tot}(\eta) : \text{Tot}(F_1) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(F_0)$. The map $\text{Tot}(\eta)$ is defined on each summand by $\mathcal{A}(\eta(e_u)) : \mathcal{A}(F_1(u)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(F_0(u))$.

3.6 A strategy for constructing natural isomorphisms

Given Burnside functors $F_1, F_0 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$, a *natural isomorphism* from F_1 to F_0 is a natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ such that $\eta(e_u) : F_1(u) \rightarrow F_0(u)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{B}_G$ for each vertex u . We will have several occasions to show that two Burnside functors are isomorphic (Propositions 4.3, 4.4, and 7.1). The general strategy is the same in all these cases, so we outline it here.

Note that a correspondence $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$, thought of as a morphism in $\mathcal{B}_G$, is an isomorphism if and only if s and t are bijective. In particular, given an equivariant bijection $t : X \rightarrow Y$, the correspondence $X \xleftarrow{\text{id}} X \xrightarrow{t} Y$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{B}_G$, with inverse $Y \xleftarrow{t} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X$ (up to a canonical identification of a set with the diagonal in its cartesian square).

Suppose that we are given two functors $F_1, F_0 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ and equivariant bijections $\psi_u : F_1(u) \rightarrow F_0(u)$ for each vertex $u \in \underline{2}^n$. For $u \geq_1 v$, let

$$F_i(u) \xleftarrow{s_{u,v}^i} A_{u,v}^i \xrightarrow{t_{u,v}^i} F_i(v)$$

be the correspondence assigned to the edge $\varphi_{u,v} : u \rightarrow v$ by F_i for $i = 0, 1$. Suppose also that for each $u \geq_1 v$, the following conditions hold.

- (NI1) $A_{u,v}^i \subset F_i(u) \times F_i(v)$, and the G -action on $A_{u,v}^i$ is inherited from the diagonal G -action on $F_i(u) \times F_i(v)$ (i.e. $g(x, y) = (gx, gy)$ for $g \in G$, $(x, y) \in F_i(u) \times F_i(v)$).
- (NI2) The map $F_1(u) \times F_1(v) \xrightarrow{\psi_u \times \psi_v} F_0(u) \times F_0(v)$ restricts to a bijection $A_{u,v}^1 \rightarrow A_{u,v}^0$, denoted $\psi_{u,v}$.
- (NI3) The source and target maps, $s_{u,v}^i$ and $t_{u,v}^i$, are restrictions of the projections $F_i(u) \leftarrow F_i(u) \times F_i(v) \rightarrow F_i(v)$.

In this situation, we have a systematic method for building a natural isomorphism $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ using Lemma 3.1 as follows. Define η on objects by

$$\eta(i, u) := F_i(u)$$

for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $u \in \{0, 1\}^n$. We then define η on each vertical edge $e_u : (1, u) \rightarrow (0, u)$ by

$$\eta(e_u) = (F_1(u) \xleftarrow{\text{id}} F_1(u) \xrightarrow{\psi_u} F_0(u)).$$

That is, the underlying set of the correspondence $\eta(e_u)$ is simply $F_1(u)$, the source map is the identity, and the target map is the given equivariant bijection ψ_u .

We have now specified η on objects and edges. It remains to define the 2-morphisms for each square face of $\underline{2}^{n+1}$. Since η must restrict to F_i on $\{i\} \times \underline{2}^n$, we need only to specify a 2-morphism

$$\eta_{u,v} : F_1(v) \times_{F_1(v)} A_{u,v}^1 \rightarrow A_{u,v}^0 \times_{F_0(u)} F_1(u)$$

corresponding to the vertical square faces (3.3) of $\underline{2} \times \underline{2}^n$.

The situation is illustrated in Figure 25. Note that every element of $F_1(v) \times_{F_1(v)} A_{u,v}^1$ is of the form (y, x, y) , where $(x, y) \in A_{u,v}^1 \subset F_1(u) \times F_1(v)$. Likewise, an element of $A_{u,v}^0 \times_{F_0(u)} F_1(u)$ is of the form $(a, b, \psi_u^{-1}(a))$, where $(a, b) \in A_{u,v}^0 \subset F_0(u) \times F_0(v)$. Condition (NI1) ensures that




FIGURE 25. We draw the square diagram required for building our natural isomorphism $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$. The correspondences are indicated along each edge together with their source and target maps, drawn as curved arrows. Specific elements are also indicated, showing how the 2-morphism $\eta_{u,v}$ (indicated by the double arrow) should be defined such that the entire diagram is consistent.

the bijections

$$F_1(v) \times_{F_1(v)} A_{u,v}^1 \rightarrow A_{u,v}^1, \quad A_{u,v}^0 \rightarrow A_{u,v}^0 \times_{F_0(u)} F_1(u),$$


$$(y, x, y) \mapsto (x, y), \quad (a, b) \mapsto (a, b, \psi_u^{-1}(a))$$

are equivariant. Then the composition

$$F_1(v) \times_{F_1(v)} A_{u,v}^1 \rightarrow A_{u,v}^1 \xrightarrow{\psi_{u,v}} A_{u,v}^0 \rightarrow A_{u,v}^0 \times_{F_0(u)} F_1(u) \quad (3.4)$$

is given by $(y, x, y) \mapsto (\psi_u(x), \psi_v(y), x)$, and condition (NI2) guarantees that it is also an equivariant bijection. Moreover, condition (NI3) ensures that this composition commutes with the source and target maps. Therefore, we may define the 2-morphism $\eta_{u,v}$ to be the composition (3.4).

To extend η to a natural transformation, one still needs to check the hexagon relation of Lemma 3.1. We need only to verify commutativity of the hexagon coming from a three-dimensional cube of the form



Let

$$\phi_{u,v,v',w}^i : A_{v,w}^i \times_{F_i(v)} A_{u,v}^i \rightarrow A_{v',w}^i \times_{F_i(v')} A_{u,v'}^i$$

be the 2-morphism assigned by the functor F_i corresponding to the horizontal square face

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (i, v') & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{v',w}^i} (i, w) \\ \varphi_{u,v'}^i \nearrow & & \nwarrow \varphi_{v,w}^i \\ (i, u) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u,v}^i} & (i, v) \end{array}$$

of 2×2^n . In this situation, checking that the hexagon of Lemma 3.1 commutes comes down to verifying commutativity of the diagram in (3.5) below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{v,w}^1 \times_{F_1(v)} A_{u,v}^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_{u,v,v',w}^1} & A_{v',w}^1 \times_{F_1(v')} A_{u,v'}^1 \\ \psi_{v,w} \times \psi_{u,v} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{v',w} \times \psi_{u,v'} \\ A_{v,w}^0 \times_{F_0(v)} A_{u,v}^0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_{u,v,v',w}^0} & A_{v',w}^0 \times_{F_0(v')} A_{u,v'}^0 \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

If the diagram (3.5) commutes, then η extends to a natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$. Moreover, since each $\eta(e_u) : F_1(u) \rightarrow F_0(u)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{B}_G$, the natural transformation η is a natural isomorphism of Burnside functors.

4. The quantum annular Burnside functor

In this section, we construct the *quantum annular Burnside functor* corresponding to an annular link diagram D . Before giving the outline of the section, we emphasize one small but important caveat. In the quantum annular theory over the base ring $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{-1}]$, every configuration is assigned a module which has infinite rank over $\mathbb{Z}$, with generators of the form $\mathbf{q}^k x$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In our set-up, this would correspond to assigning an infinite set to each vertex in the cube of resolutions. This would require considering spaces of infinitely many boxes in § 5, and also of CW-complexes with a $\mathbb{Z}$ -action. Although we believe that such a version of the theory could be worked out, in the present paper we stay in the context of finite cyclic group actions. This is motivated in part by the fact that a substantial part of equivariant homotopy theory is formulated for compact group actions. To this end, we make the following modification to the quantum annular complex.

For $r > 0$, set $\mathbb{k}_r := \mathbb{k}/(\mathbf{q}^r - 1)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r$ denote the composition

$$\mathcal{BBN}_q(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r} \text{Mod}(\mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}_r} \text{Mod}(\mathbb{k}_r). \quad (4.1)$$

We can define a modified quantum annular homology by applying $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r$ to each vertex in the cube of resolutions of an annular link diagram D . The result is the same as applying $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}_r$ to the quantum annular chain complex $CKh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(D)$. Every vertex is assigned a free $\mathbb{k}_r$ -module, and the formulas in § 2 remain true, modulo the relation $\mathbf{q}^r = 1$.

With this modification in place, we proceed as follows. Given an annular link diagram D with n crossings, we will define the quantum annular Burnside functor $F_q : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$, where

$$G = \langle \mathbf{q} \mid \mathbf{q}^r = 1 \rangle$$

is the finite cyclic group of order r with distinguished generator $\mathbf{q}$. Note that there is a natural ring isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[G] \cong \mathbb{k}_r$, so that it is possible to compare the cellular cohomology of the

stable homotopy type, which is a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, with the modified quantum annular homology, which is a $\mathbb{k}_r$ -module. The dependence on r will be omitted from the group G and the Burnside functor F_q in order to simplify the notation.

Because we want the totalization $\text{Tot}(F_q)$ to recover the quantum annular complex

$$CKh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}_r,$$

we already know what F_q should assign to vertices and edges of $\underline{2}^n$. The subtlety here is that generators are defined only up to a power of q , and the formulas for the differential non-trivially depend on the configuration, so the full extent of the analysis in §§2.3, 2.4 is used here. Once F_q is determined on vertices and edges, it remains to assign specific bijections to the (identity) 2-morphisms in $\underline{2}^n$ and check the hexagon relation of Lemma 3.1. This will be done in §4.1 for the case $r > 2$; this restriction is to guarantee that $q^2 \neq 1$, allowing the use of Corollary 2.18 to simplify the analysis. We will show in Proposition 4.3 that the Burnside functor F_q is independent of the choice of generators for each vertex, and in §4.2 we will show that planar isotopies of the link diagram induce natural isomorphisms of the corresponding Burnside functors. Finally, in §4.3, we will address the cases $r = 1, 2$.

4.1 The quantum annular Burnside functor for a link diagram

Let D be a diagram for an annular link with n crossings, which are assumed to be disjoint from the seam. For each $u \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let D_u denote the smoothing of D corresponding to u . Fix $r > 2$ and set $G = \langle q \mid q^r = 1 \rangle$. We will specify the data of the *quantum annular Burnside functor* $F_q : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$.

For each vertex $u \in \{0, 1\}^n$, pick a set of generators $\Gamma(u)$ of D_u , following §2.3. Define F_q on vertices by

$$F_q(u) = G \times \Gamma(u). \quad (4.2)$$

The G -action on $F_q(u)$ is on the first factor: $q^k \cdot (q^\ell, x) = (q^{k+\ell}, x)$.

We will write an element of $F_q(u)$ as $q^k x$ instead of (q^k, x) .

For $u \geq_1 v$, let $d_{v,u}$ denote the map assigned to the edge $v \rightarrow u$ by the modified quantum annular functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r$. Recall from Lemma 2.17 that for each $x \in \Gamma(v)$,

$$d_{v,u}(x) = \sum_{y \in \Gamma(u)} \varepsilon_y y,$$

where each ε_y is either 0 or q^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will say that $q^k y$ appears in $d_{v,u}(q^\ell x)$ if in the equation

$$d_{v,u}(q^\ell x) = \sum_{y \in \Gamma(u)} \varepsilon_y y$$

the coefficient ε_y is equal to q^k . For $u \geq_1 v$, define the correspondence $A_{u,v} \subset F_q(u) \times F_q(v)$ from $F_q(u)$ to $F_q(v)$ by

$$A_{u,v} = \{(q^k y, q^\ell x) \in F_q(u) \times F_q(v) \mid q^k y \text{ appears in } d_{v,u}(q^\ell x)\}. \quad (4.3)$$

The source and target maps of $A_{u,v}$ are the projections to $F_q(u)$ and $F_q(v)$, respectively. Note that $A_{u,v}$ is a sub G -set of $F_q(u) \times F_q(v)$ since $d_{v,u}(qx) = qd_{v,u}(x)$.

We will show that the above data extends to a Burnside functor $F_q : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$. The following lemma will be useful in our analysis of the hexagon relation.



FIGURE 26. The two cases where $C \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ is trivial. Note that these are only schematic depictions, since the interaction of the curves with the seam could be complicated.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration with three surgery arcs A_1 , A_2 , and A_3 . Let $\mathcal{C}'$ denote the circles in $\mathcal{C}$ containing the endpoints of the surgery arcs. Assume that there is a circle C in $\mathcal{C}'$ such that $C \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ forms a ladybug configuration. Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) *The diagram $\mathcal{C}' \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ is trivial in the annulus; i.e. $\mathcal{C}'$ and the three surgery arcs lie in a disk in $\mathbb{A}$.*
- (2) *The composition of three edge maps is 0.*
- (3) *The three-dimensional cube is simple (see the discussion in Remark 3.2).*
- (4) *$C \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ is trivial in the annulus and disjoint from A_3 .*

Proof. If C is essential in the annulus, then (2) follows from the neck-cutting and Boerner's relations (see Figures 2 and 5). We may therefore assume that C is trivial. Let $\mathcal{C}'$ denote the (necessarily trivial) circle obtained by performing surgery along both A_1 and A_2 . Note that the result of composing the two saddle maps corresponding to A_1 and A_2 will send any generator of $\mathcal{C}$ in which C is undotted to a sum of elements in which $\mathcal{C}'$ is dotted, and will send any generator which is dotted on C to 0. It therefore suffices to consider the effect of surgery along A_3 on a dotted $\mathcal{C}'$.

First, assume that $C \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ is trivial but $\mathcal{C}' \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ is not. There are several cases to consider. If neither endpoint of A_3 is on C , then (4) holds. If precisely one endpoint of A_3 is on C , then the other endpoint must be on another circle $\bar{C}$, as in Figure 26(a). In this situation, Boerner's relation implies that (2) holds. Finally, suppose that both endpoints of A_3 are on C , as in Figure 26(b). Then surgery along A_3 must split $\mathcal{C}'$ into two essential circles. In this situation, (2) holds again, since a dotted trivial circle splitting into two essential circles is sent to 0.

Now suppose that the diagram $C \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ is non-trivial. Then we are in the situation of § 2.5 (see Figure 18, for example), where Corollary 2.18 shows that we have a sum of terms $y + q^2y$ in which $\mathcal{C}'$ is dotted. If surgery along A_3 either splits off a trivial circle from $\mathcal{C}'$ or merges $\mathcal{C}'$ with another trivial circle, then (3) holds since the two terms retain distinct powers of q (see Figure 12). If surgery along A_3 splits $\mathcal{C}'$ into two essential circles or merges $\mathcal{C}'$ with an essential circle, then (2) holds as above. $\square$

THEOREM 4.2. *There is a functor $F_q : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ which extends the data (4.2) and (4.3).*

Proof. Following Lemma 3.1, it remains to define the 2-morphisms

$$\phi_{u,v,v',w} : A_{v,w} \times_{F_q(v)} A_{u,v} \rightarrow A_{v',w} \times_{F_q(v')} A_{u,v'}$$

for each square face of $\underline{2}^n$ with vertices $u \geq_1 v, v' \geq_1 w$, and to verify the hexagon relation.

For all cases except the ladybug configuration, the 2-morphism $\phi_{u,v,v',w}$ is uniquely determined by the property that it commutes with the source and target maps. Therefore, we need

to consider only the ladybug configurations. Assume that a circle C in D_w carries two surgery arcs as in the ladybug configuration. We distinguish three cases, as in Figure 16.

- (a) C is essential.
- (b) C is trivial and surgery along both arcs results in trivial circles.
- (c) C is trivial, surgery along one arc produces two trivial circles, and surgery along the other arc produces two essential circles.

For (a), the composition of two edge maps is 0. Therefore,

$$A_{v,w} \times_{F_q(v)} A_{u,v} = \emptyset = A_{v',w} \times_{F_q(v')} A_{u,v'}$$

and there is no 2-morphism to specify. For (b), we rely on the ladybug matching made with the *left pair* (see [LS14a, § 5.4]). Finally, for (c), note that generators dotted on C are sent to 0 by the composition of two edges. For generators undotted on C , Corollary 2.18 implies that $\phi_{u,v,v',w}$ is uniquely determined by the property that it commutes with the source and target maps.

It remains to verify the hexagon relation. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote a configuration with three surgery arcs. We may assume that two of the three surgery arcs form a ladybug configuration, since otherwise the three-dimensional cube is simple. Then the analysis consists of the four cases in Lemma 4.1. In case (1), the verification reduces to classical Khovanov homology (see, for example, [LLS17a, Proposition 6.1]). For case (2), the composition of three correspondences coming from any three edge maps is empty, so there is nothing to check. Similarly, in case (3), the hexagon relation follows from the discussion in Remark 3.2. Finally, case (4) is straightforward to check by hand since the disjoint arc A_3 cannot interfere with the classical Khovanov ladybug matching used on $C \cup A_1 \cup A_2$. $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Up to natural isomorphism, F_q is independent of the choices of generators $\Gamma(u)$.*

Proof. For each $u \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let $\Gamma(u), \Gamma'(u)$ be two sets of generators of D_u , obtained by picking different isotopies S, S' from standard configurations $D_u^\circ, D_u^{\circ'}$ to D_u . The natural isomorphism is straightforward to construct when $S' = SS''$, where $S'' : D_u^{\circ'} \rightarrow D_u^\circ$ is a planar isotopy of standard configurations, similar to the usual re-indexing of circles in Khovanov homology under planar isotopy of a link diagram. Thus, it is enough to consider the case when $D_u^{\circ'} = D_u^\circ$ and $S, S' : D_u^\circ \rightarrow D_u$ induce the same correspondence on components.

Let $F_q, F'_q : \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ denote the corresponding functors, and let $A_{u,v}, A'_{u,v}$ denote the correspondences assigned to edges by F_q and F'_q , respectively. We will use the strategy of § 3.6 to build a natural isomorphism $F_q \rightarrow F'_q$.

There is a clear bijection $\Gamma(u) \rightarrow \Gamma'(u)$, denoted $x \mapsto x'$. Lemma 2.8 says that for any $x \in \Gamma(u)$, there exists $m_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x = \mathfrak{q}^{m_x} x'$. Consider the equivariant bijection $\psi_u : F_q(u) \rightarrow F'_q(u)$ defined by $\psi_u(\mathfrak{q}^k x) = \mathfrak{q}^{k+m_x} x'$. Observe that conditions (NI 1) and (NI 3) in § 3.6 hold by definition of F_q and F'_q . Condition (NI 2) holds since $x = \mathfrak{q}^{m_x} x'$. To complete the proof, observe that the diagram (3.5) commutes, since the vertical maps act on generators by multiplication by powers of $\mathfrak{q}$ and thus do not interfere with the ladybug matchings. $\square$

4.2 Isotoping the link diagram

In this section, we show that a planar isotopy of link diagrams induces a natural isomorphism between the corresponding quantum annular Burnside functors. Elementary isotopies away from

the seam are trivial, but isotopies which involve intersections with the seam need to be handled more carefully. These results will also be used to show Reidemeister invariance for the stable homotopy type in § 5.5.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let D be a link diagram with n crossings and let D' be a link diagram obtained from D by one of the following moves.*

- (1) *Moving an arc (as in the $P^{\pm 1}$ and $N^{\pm 1}$ moves of Figure 11) across the seam.*
- (2) *Moving a crossing across the seam (see diagram (4.4)).*

Let F_q and F'_q be Burnside functors for D and D' , respectively. Then F_q is naturally isomorphic to F'_q .


Proof. We will again follow the strategy of § 3.6. By Proposition 4.3, we are free to choose generators for each configuration D_u using any isotopy $D_u^\circ \rightarrow D_u$, and likewise for D'_u . For each $u \in \underline{2}^n$, let $S_u : D_u^\circ \rightarrow D_u$ be the cobordism formed by a fixed choice of isotopy from D_u° to D_u . There is also an obvious isotopy $R_u : D_u \rightarrow D'_u$, corresponding to the moves in the statement of the proposition. Since $D_u^\circ = D'^\circ_u$, we can choose generators for D'_u using the cobordism $R_u S_u$. For $u, v \in \underline{2}^n$ with $u \geq_1 v$, let $d_{v,u}$ and $d'_{v,u}$ denote the maps assigned to the edge $v \rightarrow u$ in $[[D]]$ and $[[D']]$, respectively.

Suppose that we are in the situation (1). We have equivariant bijections $\psi_u : F_q(u) \rightarrow F'_q(u)$ for each u , given by $\psi_v(q^k x) = q^k R_u(x)$ (as usual, we do not distinguish between a cobordism and its induced map). Conditions (NI 1) and (NI 3) are satisfied by definition. For each $u, v \in \underline{2}^n$ with $u \geq_1 v$, we have

$$R_u d_{v,u} = d'_{v,u} R_v.$$

It follows that, for $q^k y \in F_q(u)$ and $q^\ell x \in F_q(v)$, $q^k y$ appears in $d_{v,u}(q^\ell x)$ if and only if $q^k R_u(y)$ appears in $d'_{v,u}(q^\ell R_v(x))$. Therefore, condition (NI 2) is satisfied as well. Observe that the diagram (3.5) commutes since we do not interfere with any potential ladybug matchings.

For case (2), the maps ψ_u need to be modified slightly in order to satisfy (NI 2). We illustrate one case in detail. Suppose that D and D' are as shown in (4.4). Assume also that the crossing shown is first in the ordering of crossings.



Let $u \in \underline{2}^n$. If $u_1 = 0$, define $\psi_u : F_q(u) \rightarrow F'_q(u)$ as in case (1). If $u_1 = 1$, then define ψ_u by

$$\psi_u(q^k x) = q^{k+1} R_u(x).$$

We will now verify that condition (NI 2) holds for this choice of equivariant bijections $\{\psi_u\}_{u \in \underline{2}^n}$. Let $u, v \in \underline{2}^n$ with $u \geq_1 v$. If $u_1 = v_1$, then the edge maps $d_{v,u}$ and $d'_{v,u}$ are induced by changing the smoothing at a crossing away from the one shown in (4.4). As in case (1) above, we have

$$R_u d_{v,u} = d'_{v,u} R_v,$$

so condition (NI2) holds. Suppose now that $u_1 = 1$ and $v_1 = 0$. Then

$$d'_{v,u}R_v = \mathfrak{q}R_ud_{v,u}, \quad (4.5)$$

where the factor of $\mathfrak{q}$ comes from moving a saddle across the membrane (see Figure 7). The situation is depicted in the (non-commutative) diagram (4.6).

Let $\mathfrak{q}^k y \in F_{\mathfrak{q}}(u)$ and $\mathfrak{q}^\ell x \in F_{\mathfrak{q}}(v)$. It follows from (4.5) that $\mathfrak{q}^k y$ appears in $d_{v,u}(\mathfrak{q}^\ell x)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{q}^{k+1}R_u(y)$ appears in $d'_{v,u}(\mathfrak{q}^\ell R_v(x))$. Therefore, condition (NI2) is satisfied. Again, the hexagon relation is satisfied because the 2-morphisms do not interfere with the ladybug matching. $\square$

4.3 The cases $r = 1, 2$ and the classical annular homotopy type

Our proof of Theorem 4.2 relies on $r > 2$. In this section, we address the cases $r = 1, 2$ in that order.

Let D be a diagram for an annular link with n crossings. When $r = 1$, the modified quantum annular chain complex

$$CKh_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}}(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}/(\mathfrak{q} - 1)$$

is just the classical annular chain complex $CKh_{\mathbb{A}}(D)$ (see the discussion preceding Lemma 2.17). We sketch how to define the annular Burnside functor, denoted F_1 , for the classical annular Khovanov chain complex below. An alternative construction, using Hochschild homology of Chen–Khovanov spectra for tangles, was recently introduced in [LLS19].

Let $F_{Kh} : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ denote the usual Khovanov Burnside functor (see [LLS20, Example 4.21] and also [LLS17a, §6]), where the ladybug matching is made with the *left pair*. For $u \geq_1 v$, let

$$d_{v,u}^{\mathbb{A}} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(D_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(D_u)$$

denote the classical annular differential and let $d_{v,u}^{Kh}$ denote the usual Khovanov differential. We have that $d_{v,u}^{Kh} = d_{v,u}^{\mathbb{A}} + d'_{v,u}$ (see the discussion in Remark 2.3).

Recall from §2.1 that annular Khovanov generators may be taken to be the usual Khovanov generators (where the annular link diagram is considered as a planar diagram under the inclusion $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$), so set $F_1(u) = F_{Kh}(u)$ for each $u \in \underline{2}^n$. For $u \geq_1 v$, let $A_{u,v}^{Kh}$ denote the correspondence assigned by F_{Kh} to the edge $\varphi_{u,v} : u \rightarrow v$. Define the correspondence $A_{u,v}^{\mathbb{A}}$ from $F_1(u)$ to $F_1(v)$ by

$$A_{u,v}^{\mathbb{A}} := \{(y, x) \in F_1(u) \times F_1(v) \mid y \text{ appears in } d_{v,u}^{\mathbb{A}}(x)\},$$

with the obvious source and target maps, and set $F_1(\varphi_{u,v}) = A_{u,v}^{\mathbb{A}}$. Note that $A_{u,v}^{\mathbb{A}} \subset A_{u,v}^{Kh}$.

Let $\phi_{u,v,v',w}^{Kh}$ be the 2-morphism assigned by F_{Kh} to the square face with vertices $u \geq_1 v$, $v' \geq_1 w$. One can check that $\phi_{u,v,v',w}^{Kh}$ restricts to

$$\phi_{u,v,v',w}^{\mathbb{A}} : A_{v,w}^{\mathbb{A}} \times_{F_1(v)} A_{u,v}^{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow A_{v',w}^{\mathbb{A}} \times_{F_1(v')} A_{u,v'}^{\mathbb{A}}.$$

Taking $\phi_{u,v,v',w}^{\mathbb{A}}$ to be the 2-morphisms assigned to square faces by F_1 , the conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied as a consequence of the construction of F_{Kh} .

When $r = 2$, Lemma 4.1 and the ensuing analysis in case (c) of the proof of Theorem 4.2 do not hold, since $q^2 = 1$. Instead, we rely on the ladybug matching made with the left pair to define the 2-morphism in case (c) of Theorem 4.2. Let us verify the hexagon relation, using the formulation in Remark 3.2. Start with an element $x = (q^i a, q^j b, q^k c, q^\ell d)$ in the correspondence obtained as the composition of correspondences for three consecutive edge maps. Going around the six faces of the cube, the 2-morphisms send x to an element $x' = (q^i a, q^{j'} b', q^{k'} c', q^\ell d)$ in the same correspondence. It follows from the classical annular case, where powers of q are disregarded, that labels on the circles in the generators b and c match the labels on the circles in the generators b' and c' , respectively. Then $b = b'$ and, since both $q^j b$ and $q^{j'} b' = q^{j'} b$ appear in the image of $q^i a$ under a saddle map, Lemma 2.17 implies that $q^j = q^{j'}$. Likewise, $q^k c = q^{k'} c'$ and we conclude that the hexagon relation is satisfied.

5. From Burnside functors to stable homotopy types

This section describes a general framework for obtaining a spectrum from a Burnside functor. This general construction is then applied to the case of the quantum annular Burnside functor, establishing the main result of the paper, Theorem 1.1. In more detail in § 5.1 we recall box maps and their required properties for the non-equivariant case as in [LLS20, § 5]. Then, in § 5.2, we discuss G -equivariant box maps via a slight generalization of the ideas established in [SSS20], ensuring that the required properties are still satisfied. Sections 5.3 and 5.4 describe how to use box maps to pass from an equivariant Burnside functor F to a G -CW complex realizing F , following [SSS20, § 4], by taking the homotopy colimit (see [Vog73]) of an appropriate diagram. Finally, in § 5.5, we apply this theory to the quantum annular Burnside functor of § 4.1 to define the equivariant spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ and check that it is well defined, proving Theorem 1.1.

We emphasize some differences and similarities between this paper and others appearing in the literature. There is no group action on the links considered in this paper, so our box maps and homotopy coherent refinements are different from those in [BPS18, Mus19, SZ18]. Functors $\underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ are considered in [SSS20], and there the authors introduced actions of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which involve an internal action on boxes. We are interested in an external G -action which permutes the boxes, so our work is different in this respect.

5.1 Box maps

We begin by reviewing a key part of the non-equivariant case allowing us to set some notation following [LLS20, § 5.1].

A k -dimensional box is $\prod_{i=1}^k [a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. For two k -dimensional boxes B and B' , there is a canonical homeomorphism $B \xrightarrow{\sim} B'$, obtained by scaling and translating the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^k$. Fix an identification $S^k = [0, 1]^k / \partial([0, 1]^k)$, so that for any k -dimensional box B , $B/\partial B$ is canonically identified with S^k .




FIGURE 27. Fix two collections of sub-boxes $e \in E(\{B_x\}_{x \in X}, s_A)$, $e' \in E(\{B_y\}_{y \in Y}, s_B)$, and an element (b, a) in the composition correspondence $C = B \times_Y A$. Then by definition e' gives us a box $B_b \subset S_y^k$ (in black on the right) where $y = s_B(b) = t_A(a)$ and e gives us a box $B_a \subset S_x^k$ where $x = s_A(a)$ (in gray on the left). The maps in the figure are those appearing in (5.2), composing to give $\Phi(e, A)$. If we pull back the box B_b under this map (restricted to S_x^k), we obtain a smaller sub-box $B_{(b,a)} \subset B_a \subset S_x^k$ for any such pair $(b, a) \in C$. Taking the collection of such boxes and preimages together, we see that $\Phi(e, A)^{-1}(e') \in E(\{B_x\}_{x \in X}, s_C)$.

Suppose that we have a correspondence $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$. Pick disjoint k -dimensional boxes $\{B_x\}_{x \in X}$. Following [LLS20], let

$$E(\{B_x\}, s)$$

denote the space of all collections $\{B_a\}_{a \in A}$ of disjoint k -dimensional boxes such that $B_a \subset B_{s(a)}$. A point $e = \{B_a\} \in E(\{B_x\}, s)$ determines a map

$$\Phi(e, A) : \bigvee_{x \in X} S_x^k \rightarrow \bigvee_{y \in Y} S_y^k \quad (5.1)$$

defined as follows. On each wedge summand, $\Phi(e, A)$ is the composition

$$S_x^k = B_x / \partial B_x \rightarrow B_x / \left(B_x \setminus \bigcup_{a \in s^{-1}(x)} B_a^{\text{int}} \right) = \bigvee_{a \in s^{-1}(x)} B_a / \partial B_a \xrightarrow{t} \bigvee_{y \in Y} S_y^k, \quad (5.2)$$

where the first map is a quotient and the last map sends the sphere $B_a / \partial B_a$ to $B_{t(a)} / \partial B_{t(a)} = S_{t(a)}^k$ via the canonical homeomorphism $B_a \cong B_{t(a)}$. A map of this form is said to *refine the correspondence* $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$.

Suppose that we have correspondences $X \xleftarrow{s_A} A \xrightarrow{t_A} Y$ and $Y \xleftarrow{s_B} B \xrightarrow{t_B} Z$ with boxes $\{B_x\}_{x \in X}$ and $\{B_y\}_{y \in Y}$. Given $e \in E(\{B_x\}_{x \in X}, s_A)$ and $e' \in E(\{B_y\}_{y \in Y}, s_B)$, we can consider the preimage of the boxes in e' under the map $\Phi(e, A)$. An important point in the proof of existence and uniqueness of spatial refinements is that $\Phi(e, A)^{-1}(e')$ is a collection of little boxes in $\{B_x\}_{x \in X}$ labelled by the composition $C := B \times_Y A$; that is,

$$\Phi(e, A)^{-1}(e') \in E(\{B_x\}_{x \in X}, s_C),$$

where $s_C : C \rightarrow X$ is the source map of the composition. See Figure 27 for an explanation of this.

5.2 Equivariant box maps

Throughout this section, we will continue to use G to denote a finite cyclic group, but all of the statements below generalize to more general finite groups acting freely on finite sets.

Suppose that a correspondence $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$ consists of G -sets and equivariant maps. Then in particular the spaces $\bigvee_{x \in X} S_x^k$ and $\bigvee_{y \in Y} S_y^k$ inherit a G -action via the canonical homeomorphism $B_x \cong B_{gx}$. In terms of the wedge summands, this G -action permutes the copies of S^k . However, to obtain a G -equivariant refinement $\bigvee_{x \in X} S_x^k \rightarrow \bigvee_{y \in Y} S_y^k$, a second condition needs to be imposed on the sub-boxes $\{B_a\} \in E(\{B_x\}, s)$.

Let

$$E_G(\{B_x\}, s)$$

denote the subset of $E(\{B_x\}, s)$ consisting of the little boxes $\{B_a\}$ satisfying the following property: for each $g \in G$, $x \in X$, and $a \in s^{-1}(x)$, the canonical homeomorphism $B_x \rightarrow B_{gx}$ restricts to a homeomorphism $B_a \rightarrow B_{ga}$. With this condition in place, the restricted homeomorphism $B_a \rightarrow B_{ga}$ is also the canonical one. In particular, if we identify all boxes B_{gx} canonically with $[0, 1]^k$, then the sub-boxes $B_{ga} \subset B_{gx}$ for various g all have the same image in $[0, 1]^k$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} B$ be an equivariant correspondence. If $e \in E_G(\{B_x\}, s)$, then the induced box map $\Phi(e, A)$ is G -equivariant.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. We need to verify commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_x^k & \longrightarrow & \bigvee_{a \in s^{-1}(x)} B_a / \partial B_a & \longrightarrow & \bigvee_{y \in Y} S_y^k \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow g \\ S_{gx}^k & \longrightarrow & \bigvee_{a \in s^{-1}(x)} B_{ga} / \partial B_{ga} & \longrightarrow & \bigvee_{y \in Y} S_y^k \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are those of (5.2) and the vertical maps are induced by canonical homeomorphisms between boxes. The left-hand square commutes since $e \in E_G(\{B_x\}, s)$, and the right-hand square commutes because all the maps there are induced by canonical homeomorphisms of boxes. $\square$

In the construction of stable homotopy refinements, it is crucial that the space of little boxes is highly connected and that boxes pull back to boxes. The remainder of this subsection is devoted to verifying these properties for $E_G(\{B_x\}, s)$. For the following statement, recall the notation for the quotient functor, introduced in the last paragraph of § 3.3.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $X \xleftarrow{s} A \xrightarrow{t} Y$ be a correspondence of G -sets and equivariant maps between them. Then we have the following homeomorphism of spaces of sub-boxes:*

$$E_G(\{B_x\}_{x \in X}, s) \cong E(\{B_{[x]}\}_{[x] \in X/G}, s/G).$$

Proof. For a fixed $x \in X$, a subcollection of little boxes $\{B_a\}_{a \in s^{-1}(x)}$ in B_x determines the little boxes $\{B_c\}_{c \in s^{-1}(gx)}$ in B_{gx} for all $g \in G$. Explicitly, for each $a \in s^{-1}(x)$ and $g \in G$, $B_{ga} \subset B_{gx}$ is the image of B_a under the canonical homeomorphism $B_x \rightarrow B_{gx}$ and, since s is a G -equivariant map, $c \in s^{-1}(gx)$ if and only if $c = ga$ for some $a \in s^{-1}(x)$.

Thus, a collection of equivariant boxes in $E_G(\{B_x\}, s)$ is equivalent to a (non-equivariant) choice of boxes in each G -orbit of X for each G -orbit of A , which is the meaning of $E(\{B_{[x]}\}_{[x] \in X/G}, s/G)$. $\square$

COROLLARY 5.3. $E_G(\{B_x\}, s)$ is $(k-2)$ -connected.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.2 together with the connectivity for spaces of (non-equivariant) sub-boxes shown in [LLS20, Lemma 5.18]. $\square$

LEMMA 5.4. Let $X \xleftarrow{s_A} A \xrightarrow{t_A} Y$ and $Y \xleftarrow{s_B} B \xrightarrow{t_B} Z$ be equivariant correspondences. Let $\{B_x\}_{x \in X}$ and $\{B_y\}_{y \in Y}$ be collections of k -dimensional boxes, and let $e \in E_G(\{B_x\}, s_A)$, $e' \in E_G(\{B_y\}, s_B)$. Then $\Phi(e, A)^{-1}(e') \in E_G(\{B_x\}_{x \in X}, s_C)$.

Proof. Fix $g \in G$. Let $B_{(b,a)} \in \Phi(e, A)^{-1}(e')$ and set $y = s_B(b)$ and $x = s_A(a)$ as in Figure 27. We need to show that the canonical homeomorphism $B_x \rightarrow B_{gx}$ sends $B_{(b,a)}$ to $B_{(gb,ga)}$. By construction, $\Phi(e, A)(B_{(b,a)}) = B_b$. Since $e \in E_G(\{B_x\}_{x \in X}, s_A)$, we also know that $gB_b = B_{gb}$. Recall from Lemma 5.1 that $\Phi(e, A)$ is G -equivariant. These facts yield

$$B_{gb} = g(\Phi(e, A)(B_{(b,a)})) = \Phi(e, A)(gB_{(b,a)}).$$

Since $e' \in E_G(\{B_y\}_{y \in Y}, s_B)$ and $B_{(b,a)} \subset B_a$, we have that $gB_{(b,a)} \subset gB_a = B_{ga}$. Then $gB_{(b,a)}$ is a box contained in B_{ga} , which is sent to B_{gb} by $\Phi(e, A)$. It follows that $gB_{(b,a)} = B_{(gb,ga)}$, which completes the proof. $\square$

5.3 From Burnside functors to spatial refinements

In this section, we describe how to use box maps to transform a Burnside functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ into a certain homotopy coherent diagram of spaces, called a spatial refinement of F , in an equivariant manner.

Let Top_*^G denote the category of based G -spaces. We refer the reader to [SSS20, § 4.2] for the definition and discussion of homotopy coherent diagrams and homotopy colimits in the equivariant setting, parallel to the non-equivariant treatment in [LLS20, § 4.2]. The following is an equivariant analogue of [LLS20, Definition 5.21 and Proposition 5.22] (and extends [SSS20, Definition 4.11 and Proposition 4.12] from $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ to general finite groups G).

DEFINITION 5.1. Fix a small category $\mathcal{C}$ and a strictly unitary lax 2-functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$. A k -dimensional spatial refinement of F is a homotopy coherent diagram $\tilde{F}_k : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Top}_*^G$ satisfying:

- (1) for any $u \in \mathcal{C}$, $\tilde{F}_k(u) = \bigvee_{x \in F(u)} S^k$;
- (2) for any sequence $u_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_m} u_m$ of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ and $t \in I^{m-1}$, the map

$$\tilde{F}_k(f_m, \dots, f_1)(t) : \bigvee_{x \in F(u_0)} S^k \rightarrow \bigvee_{y \in F(u_m)} S^k$$

is a box map which refines the correspondence $F(f_m \circ \cdots \circ f_1)$. Note that, by assumption, the diagram lands in Top_*^G , so that the map in (2) is also G -equivariant.

PROPOSITION 5.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small category in which every sequence of composable non-identity morphisms has length at most n , and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ be a strictly unitary lax 2-functor.

- (1) If $k \geq n$, there is a k -dimensional spatial refinement of F .

- (2) If $k \geq n + 1$, then any two k -dimensional spatial refinements of F are equivariantly weakly equivalent (see [SSS20, § 4.2] for a detailed discussion of weak equivalences between spatial refinements).
- (3) If $\tilde{F}_k$ is a k -dimensional spatial refinement of F , then the (reduced) suspension of each $\tilde{F}_k(u)$ and of each $\tilde{F}_k(f_m, \dots, f_1)(t)$ gives a $(k + 1)$ -dimensional spatial refinement of F .

Proof. This is completely parallel to the proofs of [LLS20, Proposition 5.22] and [SSS20, Proposition 4.12]. The modification is that the maps

$$e_{f_m, \dots, f_1} : I^{m-1} \rightarrow E(\{B_x\}, s_{f_m \circ \dots \circ f_1})$$

should land in $E_G(\{B_x\}, s_{f_m \circ \dots \circ f_1})$, which is still highly connected by Corollary 5.3. We have also verified that equivariant boxes pull back to equivariant boxes in Lemma 5.4. Note that suspension respects the group action, which permutes spheres. $\square$

5.4 From spatial refinements to realizations

In this section, we discuss how to pass from a G -equivariant homotopy coherent spatial refinement $\tilde{F}_k$ to a realization $\|F_k\| \in \text{Top}_*^G$. We also recall some of the cellular properties of such $\|F_k\|$ and the induced maps between them.

Following [LLS20, Definition 5.1], we begin by defining a slight enlargement of the cube category $\underline{2}^n$, denoted $\underline{2}_+^n$. The objects of $\underline{2}_+^n$ are $\text{ob}(\underline{2}^n) \cup \{*\}$; that is, $\underline{2}_+^n$ has an extra object added. Set $\text{Hom}_{\underline{2}_+^n}(u, v) = \text{Hom}_{\underline{2}^n}(u, v)$ if $u, v \in \underline{2}^n$. Otherwise, for $u \in \underline{2}^n \setminus \{0\}$, set $\text{Hom}_{\underline{2}_+^n}(u, *)$ to consist of a single morphism. Finally, set $\text{Hom}_{\underline{2}_+^n}(0, *) = \text{Hom}_{\underline{2}_+^n}(*, 0) = \text{Hom}_{\underline{2}_+^n}(*, u) = \emptyset$.

Let $F : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ be a Burnside functor and let $\tilde{F}_k : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*^G$ be a k -dimensional spatial refinement of F . Extend $\tilde{F}_k$ to a homotopy coherent diagram $\tilde{F}_k^+ : \underline{2}_+^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*^G$ by setting $\tilde{F}_k^+(*)$ to be a single point. Following [SSS20, Definition 4.9], define the space

$$\|F\|_k := \text{hocolim } \tilde{F}_k^+, \quad (5.3)$$

called a *realization* of F . Since the homotopy coherent diagram $\tilde{F}_k$ takes values in Top_*^G , the space $\|F\|_k$ is again a based G -space.

There is a cell structure on $\|F\|_k$, called the *coarse cell structure* in § 4.4 of [SSS20], with the cells of $\|F\|_k$ in bijection with $\coprod_{u \in \underline{2}^n} F(u)$. This cell structure is described in [LLS20, § 6].

LEMMA 5.6. *With the above notation:*

- (1) *the G -action on $\|F\|_k$ is cellular, and the bijection*

$$\{\text{Cells of } \|F\|_k\} \longleftrightarrow \coprod_{u \in \underline{2}^n} F(u)$$

is G -equivariant;

- (2) *the G -action on $\|F\|_k$ is free away from the basepoint;*
- (3) *the weak equivalences of Proposition 5.5 induce equivariant homotopy equivalences on realizations. Thus, $\|F_k\|$ is well defined and $\Sigma\|F\|_k \simeq \|F\|_{k+1}$.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows from inspecting the cell decomposition in [LLS20, Proposition 6.1] (see also the discussion in [SSS20, § 4.4]). Statement (2) follows from (1) and the fact that G acts freely on the set $\coprod_{u \in \underline{2}^n} F(u)$.

For (3), we first note that (1) and (2) imply that our realizations are G -CW complexes (the action of G is cellular and its fixed set is the basepoint, which is trivially a subcomplex). Then, as described in [SSS20, §4.5], equivariant weak equivalences of homotopy coherent diagrams induce equivariant weak equivalences on their homotopy colimits (which can be taken to be cellular), which induce equivariant homotopy equivalences for large enough k by the equivariant Whitehead theorem. $\square$

Now recall from §3.4 that the totalization $\mathrm{Tot}(F)$ of an equivariant Burnside functor $F : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ is a complex of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules, and that any natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ between two such functors induces a chain map over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, $\mathrm{Tot}(\eta) : \mathrm{Tot}(F_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(F_0)$. Also recall [SSS20, Lemma 4.15], which in particular says that if $F_1, F_0 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are Burnside functors and $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ is a natural transformation, then there is an induced map $\eta : \|F_1\|_k \rightarrow \|F_0\|_k$ for any realization. We state [SSS20, Proposition 4.16] below relating these notions, where the notation $[k]$ denotes a homological shift by k (that is, $C^i[k] := C^{i-k}$).

PROPOSITION 5.7 [SSS20, Proposition 4.16]. *If $F : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, then its reduced shifted cellular chain complex $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathrm{cell}}(\|F\|_k)[-k]$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Tot}(F)$, with the cells mapping to the corresponding generators. If $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ is a natural transformation of Burnside functors, then the map $\|F_1\|_k \rightarrow \|F_0\|_k$ is cellular, and the induced cellular chain map agrees with $\mathrm{Tot}(\eta)$.*

When F takes values in $\mathcal{B}_G$, the above discussion shows that $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathrm{cell}}(\|F\|_k)[-k]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, and the isomorphism

$$\tilde{C}_*^{\mathrm{cell}}(\|F\|_k)[-k] \cong \mathrm{Tot}(F)$$

of Proposition 5.7 is an isomorphism of complexes of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules (see [SSS20, Proposition 4.23]). Likewise, a natural transformation η between two equivariant Burnside functors induces an equivariant cellular map on their realizations that recovers $\mathrm{Tot}(\eta)$ on the chain complex level.

LEMMA 5.8 [SSS20, Lemma 4.17]. *Let $F_1, F_0 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ be equivariant Burnside functors and let $\|F_1\|_k, \|F_0\|_k$ be k -dimensional spatial refinements. If $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ is a natural transformation such that the induced map $\mathrm{Tot}(\eta) : \mathrm{Tot}(F_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(F_0)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence, then the induced map $\eta : \|F_1\|_k \rightarrow \|F_0\|_k$ is an equivariant homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The argument is the same as in [SSS20, Lemma 4.17]. The previous discussion shows that the induced map on spaces $\eta : \|F_1\|_k \rightarrow \|F_0\|_k$ is G -equivariant and cellular. We may take k big enough, so that the realizations are simply connected. Note that the G -action on the realization is free away from the basepoint. Since $\mathrm{Tot}(\eta)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -linear isomorphism on homology, the equivariant Whitehead theorem implies that $\eta : \|F_1\|_k \rightarrow \|F_0\|_k$ is an equivariant homotopy equivalence. $\square$

Remark 5.9. Although the discussion in [SSS20, §4.4] actually makes use of spatial refinements out of the category $(\underline{2}_+)^n$ rather than $\underline{2}_+^n$, the cellular structures described on the realizations there induce equivalent cellular structures on our realizations via a simple quotient within each cell. This is implicit in their reference to [LLS20, §6] which builds the coarse structure for realizations using $\underline{2}_+^n$ as we do here; the alternative use of $(\underline{2}_+)^n$ in [LLS20] is denoted by $\underline{2}_+^n$ there.

5.5 The quantum annular spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$

Finally, we turn to defining our quantum annular spectrum and proving Theorem 1.1. Let D be a diagram for an annular link with n_- negative crossings, and fix $G = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ for some $r \geq 2$. Let $F_q : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ be a quantum annular Burnside functor for D as provided by Theorem 4.2. Let $\tilde{F}_{q,k}^+ : \underline{2}_+^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*^G$ be a k -dimensional spatial refinement extended to the enlarged cube category $\underline{2}_+^n$, as described in § 5.3 and the beginning of § 5.4. Let $\|F_q\|_k$ be the realization, as defined in (5.3).

DEFINITION 5.2. Define the *quantum annular Khovanov spectrum* $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)$ to be the suspension spectrum of $\|F_q\|_k$, desuspended $k + n_-$ times; that is,

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D) := \Sigma^{-k-n_-}(\Sigma^\infty \|F_q\|_k).$$

By dualizing the isomorphism in Proposition 5.7, we obtain the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules:

$$C^*(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)) \cong CKh_{\mathbb{A}_q}^*(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}_r. \quad (5.4)$$

THEOREM 5.10. For a fixed annular link diagram D and $r \geq 2$, the naive G -spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)$ is well defined; that is, different choices during the construction yield equivariantly stably homotopy equivalent spectra.

Proof. The construction of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)$ requires a choice of generators at each vertex of the cube to build F_q , together with a choice of spatial refinement of F_q . Any two choices of generators give naturally isomorphic Burnside functors (see Proposition 4.3), which in turn yield equivariantly stably homotopy equivalent spectra by Lemma 5.8. Meanwhile, any two spatial refinements yield homotopy equivalent realizations by Lemma 5.6 (so long as k is large enough) and thus equivariantly stably homotopy equivalent spectra. $\square$

Finally, we address the independence of choice of diagram with the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.11. Let D and D' be two annular link diagrams for the same annular link $L \subset \mathbb{A} \times I$. Then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)$ is equivariantly stably homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D')$ and as such we may use the notation $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ to denote the quantum annular G -equivariant stable homotopy type of L .

Proof. The diagrams D and D' are connected by a series of moves corresponding either to the annular isotopies of § 4.2 or Reidemeister moves. Isotopies were shown to induce natural isomorphisms of Burnside functors (Proposition 4.4), which therefore induce equivariant stable equivalences by Lemma 5.8.

With such planar equivalences available, we can assume that any Reidemeister move takes place in a disk disjoint from the seam μ . Such moves then induce homotopy equivalences in precisely the same fashion as they do for the classical Khovanov homotopy type [LS14a, § 6]. That is to say, any Reidemeister move corresponds to finding subfaces of the relevant cube corresponding to acyclic subcomplexes (or quotient complexes) in the totalization. (These subfaces are referred to as upwards- and downwards-closed subcategories in the original treatment of [LS14a].) The complements of these acyclic faces can then be included into the large cube; the inclusion induces a map on stable homotopy types that gives an isomorphism on homology, and therefore is a stable equivalence by Whitehead's theorem. All of this continues to hold in the

equivariant setting so long as the group G is finite – face inclusions induce equivariant maps by definition. $\square$

As in [LLS20, § 4.7] and [SSS20, § 3.9], we also have a splitting of the functor F_q into a coproduct over the two gradings $q\deg$ and adeg (see (2.2) and (2.3)). Thus, the spectrum also splits as a wedge sum

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D) = \bigvee_{j,k} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^{r;j,k}(D),$$

where j corresponds to $q\deg$ and k corresponds to adeg . As in [LS14a, Theorem 1.1] (see also [LLS17a, Theorem 1]), Theorems 5.10 and 5.11 respect this splitting, as does (5.4) as indicated below:

$$C^*(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^{r;j,k}(D)) \cong CKh_{\mathbb{A}_q}^{*,j,k}(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}_r.$$

We end this section with some remarks about the spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$. Although the construction above was aimed at building a naive G -spectrum, one could also construct a genuine G -spectrum in a similar manner by applying the functor Σ_G^∞ , rather than Σ^∞ , to the realization $\|F_q\|_k$. This functor produces genuine G -spectra using smash products with all G -representation spheres, rather than using only spheres with trivial G -action as Σ^∞ does.

We also note that, in general, G acts on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ in a non-trivial way. Precisely, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(L)$ does not decompose into a wedge product which is simply permuted by G . This is already evident on homology due to the calculation in [BPW19, Proposition 6.9] for the annular closure of $(2, n)$ torus links. For an appropriate n , homological degree i , and q -degree j , the quantum annular homology is of the form $Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}^{i,j}(T_{2,n}) = \mathbb{k}_r/(\mathfrak{q}^2 + 1)$.

6. Maps on spectra induced by annular link cobordisms

In [LS14b, § 3], the authors showed that an embedded cobordism $W \subset S^3 \times [0, 1]$ between two links $L_0 \subset S^3 \times \{0\}$ and $L_1 \subset S^3 \times \{1\}$ induces a map on spectra

$$\varphi_W : \mathcal{X}(L_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(L_0)$$

such that the induced map on cohomology

$$\varphi_W^* : H^*(\mathcal{X}(L_0)) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{X}(L_1))$$

recovers the corresponding link cobordism maps W_* in Khovanov homology as studied in [Kho00, Jac04, Bar05]. The map φ_W is constructed by first decomposing W into elementary cobordisms whose planar projections correspond to either Reidemeister moves or Morse moves (births/cups, saddles, or deaths/caps) and assigning maps to each elementary cobordism. A generically embedded W determines such a decomposition. It is conjectured in [LS14b] that isotopic cobordisms induce stably homotopic maps, but this conjecture has not yet been verified.

Now consider a cobordism $W \subset (\mathbb{A} \times I) \times [0, 1]$ between two annular links $L_0 \subset \mathbb{A} \times I \times \{0\}$ and $L_1 \subset \mathbb{A} \times I \times \{1\}$ that is transverse to the three-dimensional membrane $\mu \times I \times [0, 1]$. In [BPW19], the authors showed that there is an induced map

$$W_* : Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L_0) \rightarrow Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(L_1)$$

on the quantum annular homology, defined using the general theory of twisted horizontal traces and shadows established in [BPW19, § 3], as well as the functoriality of Chen–Khovanov bimodules under tangle cobordisms [CK14, Proposition 6]. The map induced by W on the chain complex level can be determined by the sequence of maps given in [BPW19, (7.2)]. In the appendix we compute W_* explicitly for certain elementary cobordisms; this computation is used in the proof of Theorem 6.1 below.

We note that an isotopy of W can alter W_* by a sign change and a power of $\mathfrak{q}$ by [BPW19, Theorem B]; the sign ambiguity is inherited from the similar statement in the usual Khovanov homology (see [Jac04] and [Bar05]), while the power of $\mathfrak{q}$ comes from the ability to isotope parts of W through the membrane. If one instead demands that isotopies fix the membrane, then W_* is well defined up to a sign.

THEOREM 6.1. *Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$. A generically embedded cobordism $W \subset \mathbb{A} \times I \times [0, 1]$ between two annular links L_0 and L_1 induces a map*

$$\varphi_W^r : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}}^r(L_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}}^r(L_0)$$

whose induced map on cohomology

$$(\varphi_W^r)^* : H^*(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}}^r(L_0)) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}}^r(L_1))$$

equals the map W_ on quantum annular Khovanov homology over the ring $\mathbb{k}_r$.*

Remark 6.2. We stress that Theorem 6.1 assigns a map to cobordisms W that come with a particular decomposition into a sequence of elementary cobordisms in the thickened annulus with membrane. The map φ_W^r is not known to be an invariant of W , even up to a factor of $\pm \mathfrak{q}^k$. But see Remark 6.3 below.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. A generic annular cobordism determines a sequence of elementary cobordisms (called *elementary string interactions* in [Bar05, GLW18]), which are either Reidemeister moves or Morse moves. When accounting for the presence of the membrane $\mu \times I \times [0, 1]$, there are certain additional elementary isotopies of a link through the seam which must be considered: we have the P and N moves of Figure 11, as well as pushing a crossing through the seam, as in (4.4). Meanwhile, the genericity of W here implies that all Reidemeister moves and Morse moves occur away from the seam.

For all elementary isotopies of the link, we already have stable homotopy equivalences via Theorem 5.11. As in the case for S^3 , we wish to use the inverses of these maps. It is clear that such inverses induce the maps $W_\bullet$ described in the appendix and so, according to Lemma A.1, any such map will recover its corresponding W_* up to some power of $\mathfrak{q}$. We may thus compose any such stable homotopy equivalence with some iterate of the group action on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}\mathfrak{q}}^r(L_0)$ to define φ_W^r which induces precisely W_* .

Meanwhile, Morse moves induce natural transformations of Burnside functors in the same manner as they do in S^3 : births induce correspondences which involve a w_+ label on the new (trivial, disjoint from the seam) circle; deaths induce correspondences which place a w_- label on the dying (trivial, disjoint from the seam) circle; and saddles utilize the higher dimensional cube which would be built if the diagram had a crossing placed at the point of the saddle. Carrying out these constructions equivariantly does not present any new issues, leading to constructions

of maps φ_W^r via Proposition 5.7 which again induce the maps $W_\bullet$ of the appendix. For these moves (Type II in Lemma A.1) we have $W_* = W_\bullet$, concluding the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 6.3. Let W, W' be two isotopic annular link cobordisms with corresponding maps on spectra $\varphi_W^r, \varphi_{W'}^r$ via Theorem 6.1. Let τ_q denote the map on spectra determined by the action of the distinguished generator of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. Then it is reasonable to conjecture that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the maps φ_W^r and $\tau_q^m \circ \varphi_{W'}^r$ are stably homotopic.

This is based on the similar conjecture in [LS14b] for cobordisms in S^3 . Notice that the composition with the map τ_q^m recovers the ambiguity in the power of q which is known to exist for the corresponding maps on the quantum annular homology.

Let $\mathbb{S}$ denote the sphere spectrum and define

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(\emptyset) := \bigvee_G \mathbb{S}, \quad (6.1)$$

where G acts by permuting the wedge summands as usual. Then we have the following corollary for closed surfaces in $\mathbb{A} \times D^2$ formed by sweeping out a link in the S^1 direction.

COROLLARY 6.4. *Let L be a link in the 3-ball B^3 , and consider the surface $\widehat{W} = S^1 \times L$ in $\mathbb{A} \times D^2 \cong S^1 \times B^3$. Let W denote a copy of $\widehat{W}$ perturbed to be generic, viewed as a cobordism from $\emptyset$ to itself. Then the map*

$$\varphi_W^r : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset)$$

induces the map on quantum annular homology

$$(\varphi_W^r)^* : Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset) = \mathbb{k}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}_r = Kh_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset)$$

which is given by multiplication by the Jones polynomial of L , considered as an element of $\mathbb{k}_r$, up to a sign and a power of q (where the standard basis of the groups $\mathbb{k}_r \cong \bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z}$ is written as $\{1, q, \dots, q^{r-1}\}$).

Remark 6.5. In order to make sense of assigning a wedge of sphere spectra to the empty diagram $\emptyset$ in terms of Burnside functors, we assign to $\emptyset$ the functor $F_q : \underline{2}^0 = \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ defined by setting $F_q(0) := G \times \{1\}$, where $1 \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\emptyset) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}_r = \mathbb{k}_r$ is the chosen generator. The spatial refinement is then a wedge of r spheres with no box maps, and in the homotopy colimit there is nothing to identify except the basepoint of $\bigvee_G S^k$ with the new basepoint in $\underline{2}_+^0$. Thus, the final space is just a wedge of r spheres with the natural action, desuspended k times, and its reduced cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}_r$ as a $\mathbb{k}_r$ -module.

Proof of Corollary 6.4. Theorem 6.1 implies that $(\varphi_W^r)^* = W_*$ and, by [BPW19, Proposition 6.8], we also have $W_* = \pm q^k \widehat{W}_*$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, [BPW19, Theorem D] states that $\widehat{W}_*$ is multiplication by the Jones polynomial of L . In fact, the more general statement about Lefschetz traces in [BPW19, Theorem D] also applies here. $\square$

7. Taking the quotient

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.2, stating that the quotient $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)/G$ of the quantum annular homotopy type is stably homotopy equivalent to the classical annular homotopy type $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}}(D)$. This is accomplished in two stages.

First, we show that the quotient of F_q is naturally isomorphic to the classical annular Burnside functor F_1 defined in § 4.3. This will follow from Proposition 2.21, which establishes that the matching forced by powers of q in the quantum theory agrees with the ladybug matching made with the left pair in the classical theory.

Next we show that taking the quotient of a spatial refinement for F_q yields a spatial refinement for F_1 . The result will then follow from the property that homotopy colimits commute with taking quotients.

Let D be a diagram for an annular link with n crossings. Let $F_1 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ denote the classical annular Khovanov Burnside functor, where the ladybug matching is made with the left choice. Recall the quotient functor $(-)/G : \mathcal{B}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from § 3.3. We can compose $F_q : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ with the quotient functor to obtain a Burnside functor $F_q/G : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. We will also use $(-)/G : \text{Top}_*^G \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$ to denote the quotient functor on G -spaces. It will be clear from context which functor is used.

PROPOSITION 7.1. *The functors $F_q/G : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $F_1 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. We will use the strategy of § 3.6 to build a natural isomorphism $\eta : F_q/G \rightarrow F_1$. For $u \in \underline{2}^n$, there is a natural identification

$$F_q(u)/G = (G \times \Gamma(u))/G \cong \Gamma(u) = F_1(u).$$

Let $\psi_u : F_q(u)/G \rightarrow F_1(u)$ be the above bijection. For $u \geq_1 v$, let $A_{u,v}$ denote the correspondence assigned by F_q to the edge $u \rightarrow v$, and let $A'_{u,v}$ denote the correspondence assigned by F_1 . There is an injection

$$A_{u,v}/G \hookrightarrow F_q(u)/G \times F_q(v)/G$$

given by $[q^k y, q^\ell x] \mapsto ([x], [y])$. We will identify $A_{u,v}/G$ with its image in $F_q(u)/G \times F_q(v)/G$. By Lemma 2.17, the map

$$\psi_u \times \psi_v : F_q(u)/G \times F_q(v)/G \rightarrow F_1(u) \times F_1(v)$$

restricts to a bijection

$$\psi_u \times \psi_v : A_{u,v}/G \rightarrow A'_{u,v}.$$

Thus, conditions (NI1), (NI2), and (NI3) of § 3.6 are satisfied. It remains to verify that the diagram (3.5) commutes. Recall that we have used the ladybug matching made with the left pair for both F_q and F_1 . Then commutativity of (3.5) follows from Proposition 2.21. $\square$

Note that any homotopy coherent diagram in Top_*^G can be composed with the quotient functor $(-)/G : \text{Top}_*^G \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$ to give a homotopy coherent diagram in Top_* as in [SSS20, § 4.2].

PROPOSITION 7.2. *Let $\widetilde{F}_q : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*^G$ be a d -dimensional spatial refinement of F_q . Then the homotopy coherent diagram $\widetilde{F}_q/G$, obtained by applying $(-)/G$ to each $\widetilde{F}_q(v)$ and each $\widetilde{F}_q(f_m, \dots, f_1)$, is a d -dimensional spatial refinement of F_q/G .*

Proof. On a vertex $u \in \underline{2}^n$, since $F_q(u) = G \times F_1(u)$, it is again clear that the quotient

$$(\widetilde{F_q}/G)(u) = \widetilde{F_q}(u)/G = \left(\bigvee_{q^k x \in F_q(u)} S^d \right) / G$$

is canonically identified with

$$\widetilde{F_q/G}(u) = \bigvee_{x \in F_q(u)/G} S^d.$$

The key point is to recognize that, for any correspondence $A = F_q(f)$ assigned to some morphism $f : u \rightarrow v$ in $\underline{2}^n$ (with source and target maps s and t , respectively), the quotient of a box map refining A is itself a box map which refines the quotient of A . That is to say, given a choice of equivariant little boxes

$$e = \{B_a\}_{a \in A} \in E_G(\{B_{q^k x}\}_{q^k x \in F_q(u)}, s)$$

which induces a map

$$\left(\bigvee_{q^k x \in F_q(u)} S^d \right) \xrightarrow{\Phi(e,A)} \left(\bigvee_{q^\ell y \in F_q(v)} S^d \right),$$

the image of the boxes e in the quotient gives a new collection of little boxes

$$e/G := \{B_a/G\}_{a \in A} \cong \{B_{[a]}\}_{[a] \in A/G} \in E(\{B_x\}_{x \in F_q(u)/G}, s/G)$$

such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(\bigvee_{q^k x \in F_q(u)} S^d \right) / G & \xrightarrow{\Phi(e,A)/G} & \left(\bigvee_{q^\ell y \in F_q(v)} S^d \right) / G \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigvee_{x \in F_q(u)/G} S^d & \xrightarrow{\Phi(e/G, A/G)} & \bigvee_{y \in F_q(v)/G} S^d \end{array}$$

Note that the group is simply permuting equivalent boxes, and recall that all correspondences coming from edges of the cube are subsets of the products of their source and target. Furthermore, all boxes remain distinct after taking the quotient. Thus, the quotient boxes e/G fit into the commuting diagram as required, and the homotopy coherent diagram $\widetilde{F_q}/G$ can be identified with a spatial refinement of F_q/G . $\square$

Recall the enlarged cube category $\underline{2}_+^n$ from §5.4. Any homotopy coherent diagram $D : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$ (respectively $D : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*^G$) can be extended to $D^+ : \underline{2}_+^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$ (respectively $D^+ : \underline{2}_+^n \rightarrow \text{Top}_*^G$) by setting $D^+(*)$ to be a single point space. Take k -dimensional spatial refinements of F_q , F_1 , and F_q/G , denoted $\widetilde{F_q}$, $\widetilde{F_1}$, and $\widetilde{F_q/G}$, respectively (suppressing the subscript k). Extend each of them to diagrams $\widetilde{F_q}^+$, $\widetilde{F_1}^+$, and $\widetilde{F_q/G}^+$ out of $\underline{2}_+^n$, and take the corresponding homotopy colimits $\|F_q\|_k$, $\|F_1\|_k$, and $\|F_q/G\|_k$. We also have the homotopy coherent diagram $\widetilde{F_q/G}$; its two extensions $\widetilde{F_q}^+/G$ and $(\widetilde{F_q/G})^+$ are equal.

COROLLARY 7.3. $\|F_1\|_k \simeq (\|F_q\|_k)/G$.

Proof. By Proposition 7.1, Proposition 5.5, and Lemma 5.8, there is a homotopy equivalence $\|F_1\|_k \simeq \|F_q/G\|_k$. By Propositions 7.2 and 5.5, there is also a homotopy equivalence $\|F_q/G\|_k \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}(\widetilde{F_q}/G)^+$. Since $(\widetilde{F_q}/G)^+ = \widetilde{F_q}^+/G$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{hocolim}(\widetilde{F_q}/G)^+ = \operatorname{hocolim}(\widetilde{F_q}^+/G).$$

Finally, homotopy colimits commute with the quotient functor $(-)/G$. This is clear from the definition of homotopy colimit, but is also stated explicitly as property (ho-4) in [SSS20, § 4.2]. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{hocolim}(\widetilde{F_q}^+/G) \cong \operatorname{hocolim}(\widetilde{F_q})/G = (\|F_q\|_k)/G. \quad \square$$

Remark 7.4. The techniques in this section can also be used to show that, for any subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$, the quotient $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D)/(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})$ recovers the naive spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^{r/s}(D)$.

8. Towards lifting the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ action

This section concerns Conjecture 1.4 on lifting the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ action on quantum annular homology, constructed in [BPW19, Theorem D], to the level of spectra. We start by briefly summarizing the relevant background material; see [BPW19, Appendix A.1] for more details. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ be the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra generated by E, F, K , and K^{-1} subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} KE &= q^2 EK, & KK^{-1} &= 1 = K^{-1}K, \\ KF &= q^{-2} FK, & K - K^{-1} &= (q - q^{-1})(EF - FE). \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a configuration consisting of e essential circles and t trivial circles, with corresponding standard configuration $\mathcal{C}^\circ$. Recall from § 2.2 that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}^\circ) \cong V^{\otimes e} \otimes W^{\otimes t}$ carries an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ via an identification

$$V^{\otimes e} \cong V_1 \otimes V_1^* \otimes V_1 \otimes \cdots,$$

where V_1 is the fundamental representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and W is the trivial two-dimensional representation. Fix an isotopy from $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C})$ inherits a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -action via the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C}^\circ) \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C})$.

The stable homotopy type in this paper is constructed for the modified quantum annular functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r$; see the discussion in the beginning of § 4. In what follows we will also denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ the result of applying $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}_r$ to the algebra defined above. It has the same generators and relations, with the additional relation that $q^r = 1$.

Let $F_1, F_0 : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ be Burnside functors. Recall from Proposition 5.7 (and the discussion following it) that a natural transformation $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ induces a cellular map $\|F_1\|_k \rightarrow \|F_0\|_k$ which agrees with the map $\operatorname{Tot}(\eta) : \operatorname{Tot}(F_1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(F_0)$.

Each of E, F, K , and K^{-1} can be viewed as $\mathbb{k}$ -linear endomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(\mathcal{C})$. It is natural to ask whether the generators $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$ lift to natural endomorphisms of the quantum Burnside functor F_q constructed in § 4. Let J denote one of E, F, K , or K^{-1} . For a generator $x \in F_{\mathbb{A}_q}(\mathcal{C})$,

$$Jx = \sum_y \varepsilon_y y,$$

where the sum is over generators and each ε_y is either 0 or of the form $\pm \mathbf{q}^k$. Note that the appearance of negatively signed coefficients in odd Khovanov homology was dealt with by using signed correspondences [SSS20, § 3.2] and signed box maps [SSS20, § 4.1].

Let D be a diagram for an annular link with n crossings, and fix a corresponding Burnside functor $F_{\mathbf{q}} : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ for D . For $u \in \underline{2}^n$, one can define the signed correspondence

$$J_u := \{(\mathbf{q}^k y, \mathbf{q}^\ell x) \in F_{\mathbf{q}}(u) \times F_{\mathbf{q}}(v) \mid \pm \mathbf{q}^k y \text{ appears in } J(\mathbf{q}^\ell x)\} \quad (8.2)$$

from $F_{\mathbf{q}}(u)$ to $F_{\mathbf{q}}(v)$, with the obvious source and target maps. The sign map $\sigma : J_u \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{-1, 1\}$ returns the sign of $\mathbf{q}^k y$. Such a correspondence J_u is equivariant since J is $\mathbb{k}$ -linear. In the case when $J = K^{\pm 1}$, the signs are not needed and we have the following lifts.

PROPOSITION 8.1. *Let D be a diagram for an annular link with n crossings, and let $F_{\mathbf{q}} : \underline{2}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G$ be a Burnside functor for D . Then there is a natural isomorphism $K^{\pm 1} : F_{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{q}}$ which extends the correspondences $K_u^{\pm 1}$ of (8.2).*

Proof. We will use the strategy of § 3.6. For each $u \in \underline{2}^n$, we define the required equivariant bijection $\psi_u^{\pm} : F_{\mathbf{q}}(u) \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{q}}(u)$ by

$$\psi_u^{\pm}(\mathbf{q}^k x) = \mathbf{q}^{k \mp \text{adeg}(x)} x$$


for all generators $x \in D_u$. Now let $u \geq_1 v$. The conditions (NI 1) and (NI 3) of § 3.6 have already been checked on correspondences assigned to edges $\varphi_{u,v} : u \rightarrow v$ by $F_{\mathbf{q}}$. In order to check condition (NI 2), we let $\mathbf{q}^k y \in F_{\mathbf{q}}(u)$, $\mathbf{q}^\ell x \in F_{\mathbf{q}}(v)$ be elements such that $\mathbf{q}^k y$ appears in $d_{v,u}(\mathbf{q}^\ell x)$. Since $d_{v,u}$ preserves annular degree, we have $\text{adeg}(x) = \text{adeg}(y)$, so $\mathbf{q}^{k \mp \text{adeg}(y)} y$ appears in $d_{v,u}(\mathbf{q}^{\ell \mp \text{adeg}(x)} x)$. This implies condition (NI 2).

Thus, we can build a natural transformation $\eta^{\pm}$ as in § 3.6; the diagram 3.5 commutes, since ψ_u simply multiplies generators by powers of $\mathbf{q}$. Finally, note that $K^{\pm 1} x = \mathbf{q}^{\pm \text{adeg}(x)} x$, so

$$K_u^{\pm} = \{(\mathbf{q}^k x, \mathbf{q}^{k \mp \text{adeg}(x)} x) \mid \mathbf{q}^k x \in F_{\mathbf{q}}(u)\}$$

is naturally identified with $\eta^{\pm}(e_u)$ via $(\mathbf{q}^k x, \mathbf{q}^{k \mp \text{adeg}(x)} x) \mapsto \mathbf{q}^k x$. $\square$

We note that when $J = E$ or $J = F$, this overall strategy does not produce such a lift. Consider the saddle S from Example 2.13, thought of as the cube of resolutions for a link diagram with one crossing. Let $u = 1$ and $v = 0$ denote the vertices of the cube $\underline{2}$, and let $d : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}^r(D_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}^r(D_u)$ denote the differential. Let A denote the correspondence $F_{\mathbf{q}}(\varphi_{u,v})$ from $F_{\mathbf{q}}(u)$ to $F_{\mathbf{q}}(v)$ assigned by the Burnside functor $F_{\mathbf{q}}$.



The surgery formulas are

$$d(w_-) = 0, \quad d(w_+) = v_+ \otimes v_- + \mathbf{q}^{-1} v_- \otimes v_+$$

and actions of E and F are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Ew_+ &= 0, & E(v_+ \otimes v_-) &= -v_+ \otimes v_+, & E(v_- \otimes v_+) &= \mathfrak{q}v_+ \otimes v_+, \\ Fw_+ &= 0, & F(v_+ \otimes v_-) &= v_- \otimes v_+, & F(v_- \otimes v_+) &= -\mathfrak{q}v_- \otimes v_-. \end{aligned}$$

The correspondence $J_v \times_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}(v)} A$ is empty, whereas the correspondence $A \times_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}(u)} J_u$ is non-empty, containing two oppositely signed elements.

Remark 8.2. It may be possible to overcome these difficulties using a suitably refined G -equivariant Burnside category. In the event that one has natural transformations lifting each of the E, F , and K maps, one might also ask for some notion of a lift of the relations (8.1), perhaps in terms of the cones on the corresponding maps of spectra. The authors hope to continue investigating these topics in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Nick Kuhn, Krzysztof Putyra, and Sucharit Sarkar for helpful conversations. We are also grateful to Matt Stoffregen for discussions and for his comments on an earlier version of the paper.

Appendix. Elementary cobordisms

Here we compare two ways of constructing a map on quantum annular chain complexes for certain elementary annular link cobordisms W . On the one hand, W induces a chain map W_* as defined in [BPW19, (7.2)]. On the other hand, for each type of elementary annular link cobordism W , we can define a second map $W_\bullet$ tailored towards the maps on spectra corresponding to our constructions in this paper (mainly those in §§ 2.4 and 4.2). Our goal will be to show that these two maps $W_*, W_\bullet$ differ at most by some power of $\mathfrak{q}$ in all cases.

We begin by describing the general construction of the map W_* . Let $W \subset \mathbb{A} \times I \times [0, 1]$ be a cobordism between annular links L and L' which intersect the membrane in k and ℓ points, respectively, and let T, T' denote the tangles obtained by cutting L and L' along the membrane. Then W intersects the three-dimensional membrane $\mu \times I \times [0, 1]$ in a (k, ℓ) -tangle P . As in [BPW19, § 7.1], we represent W by a tangle cobordism $\widetilde{W} : PT \rightarrow T'P$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \xrightarrow{T} & k \\ P \downarrow & \widetilde{W} & \downarrow P \\ \ell & \xrightarrow{T'} & \ell \end{array} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The chain map $W_* : CKh_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}}(L) \rightarrow CKh_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}}(L')$ is then given in each homological grading and quantum grading by the formula (7.2) in [BPW19].

To describe the map $W_\bullet$, we distinguish four types of elementary cobordisms.

- I. Reidemeister moves away from the seam.
- II. Morse moves away from the seam.
- III. Moving an arc across the seam as in the $P^{\pm 1}$ and $N^{\pm 1}$ moves of Figure 11.
- IV. Moving a crossing through the seam as in Figure A.1.




FIGURE A.1. Moving a crossing through the seam.

For Type I moves, we define $W_\bullet$ as in [Kho00] and [Bar05]; that is, a Reidemeister move is assigned its chain homotopy equivalence.

For the remaining types of moves, let D and D' denote the diagrams for L and L' differing locally as indicated by the elementary cobordism W , and let n be the number of crossings. For each $u \in \{0, 1\}^n$, W induces an annular cobordism $R_u : D_u \rightarrow D'_u$ in $\mathbb{A} \times [0, 1]$. The quantum annular TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}$ assigns a map to this cobordism; after tensoring with $\mathbb{k}_r$, we write this as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(R_u) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D_u) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D'_u).$$

If we pick out generators for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D_u)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D'_u)$ via cobordisms from standard configurations as in § 2.3, then the image of these generators under this map can be computed by composing cobordisms. We will use shifted copies of this map to define the components $W_{\bullet u} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D_u) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(D'_u)$ of $W_\bullet$ on each smoothing individually. Note that this is precisely how the natural isomorphisms of the quantum annular Burnside functors are determined in § 4.2 (although there we omitted the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r$ from the notation).

If W is of Type II or III, we define $W_{\bullet u}$ on each smoothing to be $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(R_u)$. Notice that for Type II moves, this is equivalent to defining $W_\bullet$ as in [Kho00] and [Bar05] where Morse moves are assigned the unit, saddle map, or counit on each smoothing, corresponding to 0-handle, 1-handle, and 2-handle attachments, respectively.

Finally, if W is of Type IV, then there are four cases to consider depending on the type of crossing and the direction of movement across the seam. In all of these cases, we will define

$$W_{\bullet u} := q^a \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(R_u) \tag{A.2}$$

for some power $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is determined by the resolution of the crossing near the seam. If the smoothing corresponding to u resolves this crossing into two parallel lines each intersecting μ once, we set $a := 0$ in the formula (A.2) for $W_{\bullet u}$, so that $W_{\bullet u} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}_q}^r(R_u)$ once again. Note that R_u is just the identity cobordism in this case, so $W_{\bullet u}$ is the identity map. Otherwise, we set $a := 1$ for the moves (a) and (d), and $a := -1$ for the moves (b) and (c).

Note that in all cases, $W_\bullet$ is a chain map; for Type I and II moves this follows from the definitions, while for Type III and IV moves this follows from the trace relations in Figure 7. Note also that, for Type III and IV moves, the maps $W_\bullet$ defined here are precisely the totalizations of the natural isomorphisms built in Proposition 4.4.

LEMMA A.1. *Let L and L' be annular links and let $W : L \rightarrow L'$ be an elementary cobordism.*

- (1) *If W is of Type I, II, or III, then $W_* = W_\bullet$.*
- (2) *If W is Type IV, then $W_* = q^m W_\bullet$ for some m which depends only on the sign of the crossing involved in the move.*

Proof. Throughout the proof, we will use $C(-)$ to denote the Chen–Khovanov complex of bimodules, which is denoted by $C_{CK}(-)$ in [BPW19, § 5.5].




FIGURE A.2. Proof of Lemma A.1 for elementary cobordisms of Type IV.

The first thing to notice is that, in any case where the intersection tangle $P = W \cap \mu \times I \times [0, 1]$ has no crossings, the formula [BPW19, (7.2)] for W_* simplifies drastically. There is no summation over indices i' since $C(P)$ has only one term. In all such cases (which include Type I, II, and III here), one shows that W_* is equal to $W_\bullet$ by direct comparison.

Finally, for elementary cobordisms W of Type IV, we focus on the case (a) from Figure A.1. Observe that the tangle P in this case has a single crossing, that T and T' can be written as $T = T''P$ and $T' = PT''$, and that $\widetilde{W} : PT \rightarrow T'P$ is the identity cobordism. All of this is illustrated in Figure A.2.

Using $\otimes$ to denote the tensor product over the relevant Chen–Khovanov arc algebra, the map $\widetilde{W}_* : C^i(T) \otimes C^{i'}(P) \rightarrow C^{i'}(P) \otimes C^i(T')$ is the identity on the summand $C^{i'}(P) \otimes C^{i-i'}(T'') \otimes C^{i'}(P)$ which appears in both $C^i(T) \otimes C^{i'}(P)$ and $C^{i'}(P) \otimes C^i(T')$, and $\widetilde{W}_*$ is 0 on the other summands. In particular, there is no need for summing over various i' in the formula [BPW19, (7.2)] for W_* , and the only possible difference between W_* and $W_\bullet$ acting on any given generator is in the use of the third map θ in [BPW19, (7.2)] which permutes the tensor factors and multiplies generators $x \otimes y \otimes \alpha$ by a power of $\mathfrak{q}$ according to the grading of x as defined in [BPW19, § 5.5].

To analyze this potential difference, let P_0, P_1 denote the 0- and 1-resolutions of P . When constructing $W_\bullet$ on each resolution, we view x as living in either $\mathcal{F}_{CK}(P_0)$ or $\mathcal{F}_{CK}(P_1)$. If $x \in \mathcal{F}_{CK}(P_1)$, there is an extra factor of $\mathfrak{q}^1$ in our map (recall that we are considering case (a) amongst the Type IV moves; see the paragraph following (A.2)). However, in the definition of W_* , we view x as living in

$$\mathcal{F}_{CK}(P) = [\mathcal{F}_{CK}(P_0)\{m\} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{CK}(P_1)\{m+1\}],$$

where the grading shift m depends on the sign of the crossing. And so the map θ multiplies generators by an extra overall factor of $\mathfrak{q}^m$ when defining W_* as compared to how it would act when defining $W_\bullet$, as desired.

The proof for case (c) of Figure A.1 is similar. For the cases (b) and (d), note that the map $W_\bullet$ is precisely the inverse of the map defined in (a) and (c), respectively. Moreover, the cobordisms W of moves (b) and (d) are inverses (in the category $Links_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbb{A})$; see [BPW19, Proposition 6.8]) to the cobordisms of (a) and (c), respectively. This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- APS04 M. Asaeda, J. H. Przytycki and A. Sikora, *Categorification of the Kauffman bracket skein module of I-bundles over surfaces*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **4** (2004), 1177–1210.

- Bar05 D. Bar-Natan, *Khovanov homology for tangles and cobordisms*, *Geom. Topol.* **9** (2005), 1443–1499.
- BPW19 A. Beliakova, K. Putyra and S. Wehrli, *Quantum link homology via trace functor I*, *Invent. Math.* **215** (2019), 383–492.
- Boe08 J. Boerner, *A homology theory for framed links in I-bundles using embedded surfaces*, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2008), 375–391.
- BPS18 M. Borodzik, W. Politarczyk and M. Silvero, *Khovanov homotopy type, periodic links and localizations*, Preprint (2018), [arXiv:1807.08795](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.08795).
- CK14 Y. Chen and M. Khovanov, *An invariant of tangle cobordisms via subquotients of arc rings*, *Fund. Math.* **225** (2014), 23–44.
- CJS95 R. L. Cohen, J. D. S. Jones and G. B. Segal, *Floer’s infinite-dimensional Morse theory and homotopy theory*, in *The Floer memorial volume*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 133 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995), 297–325.
- GLW18 J. E. Grigsby, T. A. Licata and S. M. Wehrli, *Annular Khovanov homology and knotted Schur–Weyl representations*, *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018), 459–502.
- HKK16 P. Hu, D. Kriz and I. Kriz, *Field theories, stable homotopy theory, and Khovanov homology*, *Topology Proc.* **48** (2016), 327–360.
- HKS19 P. Hu, I. Kriz and P. Somberg, *Derived representation theory of Lie algebras and stable homotopy categorification of $\mathfrak{sl}_k$* , *Adv. Math.* **341** (2019), 367–439.
- Jac04 M. Jacobsson, *An invariant of link cobordisms from Khovanov homology*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **4** (2004), 1211–1251; [MR2113903](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406011).
- JLS19 D. Jones, A. Lobb and D. Schütz, *An $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ stable homotopy type for matched diagrams*, *Adv. Math.* **356** (2019), 106816.
- Kho00 M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, *Duke Math. J.* **101** (2000), 359–426.
- Kit19 N. Kitchloo, *Symmetry breaking and link homologies I*, Preprint (2019), [arXiv:1910.07443](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.07443).
- LLS17a T. Lawson, R. Lipshitz and S. Sarkar, *The cube and the Burnside category*, in *Categorification in geometry, topology, and physics*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 684 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017), 63–85.
- LLS17b T. Lawson, R. Lipshitz and S. Sarkar, *Khovanov spectra for tangles*, Preprint (2017), [arXiv:1706.02346](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.02346).
- LLS19 T. Lawson, R. Lipshitz and S. Sarkar, *Chen–Khovanov spectra for tangles*, Preprint (2019), [arXiv:1909.12994](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12994).
- LLS20 T. Lawson, R. Lipshitz and S. Sarkar, *Khovanov homotopy type, Burnside category, and products*, *Geom. Topol.* **24** (2020), 623–745.
- LS14a R. Lipshitz and S. Sarkar, *A Khovanov homotopy type*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (2014), 983–1042.
- LS14b R. Lipshitz and S. Sarkar, *A refinement of Rasmussen’s s-invariant*, *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), 923–952; [MR3189434](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.4055).
- Mus19 J. Musyt, *Equivariant Khovanov homotopy type and periodic links*, PhD Thesis, University of Oregon (2019), <https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/24956>.
- Rob13 L. Roberts, *On knot Floer homology in double branched covers*, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013), 413–467.
- SSS20 S. Sarkar, C. Scaduto and M. Stoffregen, *An odd Khovanov homotopy type*, *Adv. Math.* **367** (2020), 107112.
- SZ18 M. Stoffregen and M. Zhang, *Localization in Khovanov homology*, Preprint (2018), [arXiv:1810.04769](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04769).
- Vog73 R. M. Vogt, *Homotopy limits and colimits*, *Math. Z.* **134** (1973), 11–52.

Rostislav Akhmechet ra5aq@virginia.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4137, USA

Vyacheslav Krushkal krushkal@virginia.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4137, USA

Michael Willis msw188@ucla.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA