

# THE ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY FOR A MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLD IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

SIMON BRENDLE

ABSTRACT. We prove a Sobolev inequality which holds on submanifolds in Euclidean space of arbitrary dimension and codimension. This inequality is sharp if the codimension is at most 2. As a special case, we obtain a sharp isoperimetric inequality for minimal submanifolds in Euclidean space of codimension at most 2.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The isoperimetric inequality for a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is one of the most beautiful results in geometry. It has long been conjectured that the isoperimetric inequality still holds if we replace the domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by a minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . In this paper, we prove this conjecture, as well as a more general inequality which holds for submanifolds of arbitrary dimension and codimension.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  (possibly with boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ ), where  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $f$  be a positive smooth function on  $\Sigma$ . Then*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f \geq n \left( \frac{(n+m)|B^{n+m}|}{m|B^m|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Here,  $H$  denotes the mean curvature vector of  $\Sigma$ , and  $B^n$  denotes the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let us consider the special case  $m = 2$ . The standard recursion formula for the volume of the unit ball in Euclidean space gives  $(n+2)|B^{n+2}| = 2\pi|B^n| = 2|B^2||B^n|$ . Thus, Theorem 1 implies a sharp Sobolev inequality for submanifolds of codimension 2:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  (possibly with boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ ), and let  $f$  be a positive smooth function on  $\Sigma$ . Then*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f \geq n |B^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where  $H$  denotes the mean curvature vector of  $\Sigma$ .

Finally, we characterize the case of equality in Corollary 2:

---

This project was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1806190 and by the Simons Foundation.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  (possibly with boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ ), and let  $f$  be a positive smooth function on  $\Sigma$ . If*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f = n |B^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

*then  $f$  is constant and  $\Sigma$  is a flat round ball.*

In particular, if  $\Sigma$  is a compact  $n$ -dimensional minimal submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ , then  $\Sigma$  satisfies the sharp isoperimetric inequality

$$|\partial\Sigma| \geq n |B^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

and equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a flat round ball.

Every  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  can be viewed as a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ . Hence, Corollary 2 and Theorem 3 imply a sharp isoperimetric inequality in codimension 1.

The isoperimetric inequality on a minimal surface has a long history. In 1921, Torsten Carleman [4] proved that every two-dimensional minimal surface  $\Sigma$  which is diffeomorphic to a disk satisfies the sharp isoperimetric inequality  $|\partial\Sigma|^2 \geq 4\pi |\Sigma|$ . Various authors have weakened the topological assumption in Carleman's theorem. In particular, the sharp isoperimetric inequality has been verified for two-dimensional minimal surfaces with connected boundary (see [11], [15]); for two-dimensional minimal surfaces diffeomorphic to annuli (cf. [9], [14]); and for two-dimensional minimal surfaces with two boundary components (cf. [6], [12]). On the other hand, using different techniques, Leon Simon showed that every two-dimensional minimal surface satisfies the non-sharp isoperimetric inequality  $|\partial\Sigma|^2 \geq 2\pi |\Sigma|$  (see [17], Section 4). Stone [16] subsequently improved the constant in this inequality: he proved that  $|\partial\Sigma|^2 \geq 2\sqrt{2}\pi |\Sigma|$  for every two-dimensional minimal surface  $\Sigma$ . We refer to [7] for a survey of these developments.

In higher dimensions, the famous Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality (cf. [1], Section 7, and [13]) implies an isoperimetric inequality for minimal submanifolds, albeit with a non-sharp constant. Castillon [5] gave an alternative proof of the Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality using methods from optimal transport. Finally, Almgren [2] proved a sharp version of the filling inequality of Federer and Fleming [8]. In particular, this gives a sharp isoperimetric inequality for area-minimizing submanifolds in all dimensions.

Our method of proof is inspired in part by the Alexandrov-Bakelman-Pucci maximum principle (cf. [3], [18]). An alternative way to prove Theorem 1 would be to use optimal transport; in that case, we would consider the transport map from a thin annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  to the submanifold  $\Sigma$  equipped with the measure  $f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  (possibly with boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ ), where  $m \geq 2$ . For each point  $x \in \Sigma$ , we denote by  $T_x\Sigma$

and  $T_x^\perp \Sigma$  the tangent and normal space to  $\Sigma$  at  $x$ , respectively. Moreover, we denote by  $II$  the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma$ . Recall that  $II$  is a symmetric bilinear form on  $T_x \Sigma$  which takes values in  $T_x^\perp \Sigma$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are tangent vector fields on  $\Sigma$  and  $V$  is a normal vector field along  $\Sigma$ , then  $\langle II(X, Y), V \rangle = \langle \bar{D}_X Y, V \rangle = -\langle \bar{D}_X V, Y \rangle$ , where  $\bar{D}$  denotes the standard connection on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . The trace of the second fundamental form gives the mean curvature vector, which we denote by  $H$ . Finally, we denote by  $\eta$  the co-normal to  $\partial \Sigma$ .

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. We first consider the special case that  $\Sigma$  is connected. By scaling, we may assume that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial \Sigma} f = n \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.$$

Since  $\Sigma$  is connected, we can find a function  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property that

$$\operatorname{div}_\Sigma(f \nabla^\Sigma u) = n f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2}$$

on  $\Sigma$  and  $\langle \nabla^\Sigma u, \eta \rangle = 1$  at each point on  $\partial \Sigma$ . Since the function  $\sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2}$  is Lipschitz continuous, it follows from standard elliptic regularity theory that the function  $u$  is of class  $C^{2,\gamma}$  for each  $0 < \gamma < 1$  (see [10], Theorem 6.30).

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &:= \{x \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma : |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)| < 1\}, \\ U &:= \{(x, y) : x \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma, y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma, |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1\}, \\ A &:= \{(x, y) \in U : D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), y \rangle \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we define a map  $\Phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  by

$$\Phi(x, y) = \nabla^\Sigma u(x) + y$$

for all  $(x, y) \in U$ . Note that  $\Phi$  is of class  $C^{1,\gamma}$  for each  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Since  $\nabla^\Sigma u(x) \in T_x \Sigma$  and  $y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma$  are orthogonal, we obtain  $|\Phi(x, y)|^2 = |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1$  for all  $(x, y) \in U$ .

**Lemma 4.** *The image  $\Phi(A)$  is the open unit ball  $B^{n+m}$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\Phi(A) \subset \Phi(U) \subset B^{n+m}$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, we consider an arbitrary vector  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $|\xi| < 1$ . We define a function  $w : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $w(x) := u(x) - \langle x, \xi \rangle$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\langle \nabla^\Sigma w(x), \eta(x) \rangle = \langle \nabla^\Sigma u(x), \eta(x) \rangle - \langle \eta(x), \xi \rangle = 1 - \langle \eta(x), \xi \rangle > 0$$

for each point  $x \in \partial \Sigma$ . Consequently, the function  $w$  must attain its minimum in the interior of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma$  be a point in the interior of  $\Sigma$  such that  $w(\bar{x}) = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} w(x)$ . Clearly,  $\nabla^\Sigma w(\bar{x}) = 0$ . This implies  $\xi = \nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x}) + \bar{y}$  for some  $\bar{y} \in T_{\bar{x}}^\perp \Sigma$ . Consequently,  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x})|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2 = |\xi|^2 < 1$ . Moreover, we have  $D_\Sigma^2 w(\bar{x}) \geq 0$ . From this, we deduce that  $D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle II(\bar{x}), \xi \rangle \geq$

0. Since  $\langle H(\bar{x}), \xi \rangle = \langle H(\bar{x}), \nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x}) + \bar{y} \rangle = \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle$ , we conclude that  $D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in A$  and  $\Phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \xi$ . Thus,  $B^{n+m} \subset \Phi(A)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *The Jacobian determinant of  $\Phi$  is given by*

$$\det D\Phi(x, y) = \det(D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in U$ .

**Proof.** Fix a point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in U$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space  $T_{\bar{x}}\Sigma$ , and let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a local coordinate system on  $\Sigma$  such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = e_i$  at the point  $\bar{x}$ . Moreover, let  $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m\}$  denote a local orthonormal frame for the normal bundle  $T^\perp \Sigma$ . Every normal vector  $y$  can be written in the form  $y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m y_\alpha \nu_\alpha$ . With this understood,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  is a local coordinate system on the total space of the normal bundle  $T^\perp \Sigma$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), e_j \right\rangle &= \langle \bar{D}_{e_i}(\nabla^\Sigma u), e_j \rangle + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \bar{y}_\alpha \langle \bar{D}_{e_i} \nu_\alpha, e_j \rangle \\ &= (D_\Sigma^2 u)(e_i, e_j) - \langle H(e_i, e_j), \bar{y} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we have used the identity  $\langle H(e_i, e_j), \nu_\alpha \rangle = -\langle \bar{D}_{e_i} \nu_\alpha, e_j \rangle$ . Moreover,

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y_\alpha}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), e_j \right\rangle = \langle \nu_\alpha, e_j \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y_\alpha}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \nu_\beta \right\rangle = \langle \nu_\alpha, \nu_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\det D\Phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle & 0 \\ * & \text{id} \end{bmatrix} = \det(D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle).$$

This proves the assertion.

**Lemma 6.** *The Jacobian determinant of  $\Phi$  satisfies*

$$0 \leq \det D\Phi(x, y) \leq f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

for all  $(x, y) \in A$ .

**Proof.** Consider a point  $(x, y) \in A$ . Using the inequality  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1$  and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle \nabla^\Sigma f(x), \nabla^\Sigma u(x) \rangle - f(x) \langle H(x), y \rangle \\ & \leq \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f(x)|^2 + f(x)^2} \sqrt{|H(x)|^2} \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2} \\ & \leq \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f(x)|^2 + f(x)^2} |H(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity  $\operatorname{div}_\Sigma(f \nabla^\Sigma u) = n f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2}$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\Sigma u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle &= n f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} - f(x)^{-1} \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f(x)|^2 + f(x)^2 |H(x)|^2} \\ &\quad - f(x)^{-1} \langle \nabla^\Sigma f(x), \nabla^\Sigma u(x) \rangle - \langle H(x), y \rangle \\ &\leq n f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle \geq 0$  since  $(x, y) \in A$ . Hence, the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality implies

$$0 \leq \det(D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle) \leq \left( \frac{\operatorname{tr}(D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle)}{n} \right)^n \leq f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.$$

Using Lemma 5, we conclude that  $0 \leq \det D\Phi(x, y) \leq f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

We now continue with the proof of Theorem 1. Using Lemma 4 and Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|B^{n+m}| (1 - \sigma^{n+m}) \\ &= \int_{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : \sigma^2 < |\xi|^2 < 1\}} 1 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \left( \int_{\{y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\Phi(x, y)|^2 < 1\}} |\det D\Phi(x, y)| 1_A(x, y) dy \right) d\operatorname{vol}(x) \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \left( \int_{\{y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1\}} f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dy \right) d\operatorname{vol}(x) \\ &= |B^m| \int_\Omega \left[ (1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} - (\sigma^2 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)_+^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\operatorname{vol}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . Since  $m \geq 2$ , the mean value theorem gives  $b^{\frac{m}{2}} - a^{\frac{m}{2}} \leq \frac{m}{2} (b - a)$  for  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} - (\sigma^2 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)_+^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{m}{2} \left[ (1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2) - (\sigma^2 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)_+ \right] \leq \frac{m}{2} (1 - \sigma^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . Putting these facts, together, we obtain

$$|B^{n+m}| (1 - \sigma^{n+m}) \leq \frac{m}{2} |B^m| (1 - \sigma^2) \int_\Omega f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

for all  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . In the next step, we divide by  $1 - \sigma$  and take the limit as  $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ . This gives

$$(n + m) |B^{n+m}| \leq m |B^m| \int_\Omega f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \leq m |B^m| \int_\Sigma f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.$$

On the other hand,  $\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f = n \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$  in view of our normalization. Thus, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f \\ &= n \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \geq n \left( \frac{(n+m) |B^{n+m}|}{m |B^m|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1 in the special case when  $\Sigma$  is connected.

It remains to consider the case when  $\Sigma$  is disconnected. In that case, we apply the inequality to each individual connected component of  $\Sigma$ , and take the sum over all connected components. Since

$$a^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + b^{\frac{n-1}{n}} > a(a+b)^{-\frac{1}{n}} + b(a+b)^{-\frac{1}{n}} = (a+b)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$

for  $a, b > 0$ , we conclude that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f > n \left( \frac{(n+m) |B^{n+m}|}{m |B^m|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

if  $\Sigma$  is disconnected. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a compact  $n$ -dimensional submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  (possibly with boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ ), and  $f$  is a positive smooth function on  $\Sigma$  satisfying

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f = n |B^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Clearly,  $\Sigma$  must be connected.

By scaling, we may arrange that  $\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f = n |B^n|$  and  $\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} = |B^n|$ . In particular,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f = n \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.$$

Since  $\Sigma$  is connected, we can find a function  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(f \nabla^{\Sigma} u) = n f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2}$$

on  $\Sigma$  and  $\langle \nabla^{\Sigma} u, \eta \rangle = 1$  on  $\partial\Sigma$ . Moreover,  $u$  is of class  $C^{2,\gamma}$  for each  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

Let  $\Omega$ ,  $U$ ,  $A$ , and  $\Phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  be defined as in Section 2.

**Lemma 7.** *Suppose that  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ ,  $\bar{y} \in T_{\bar{x}}^{\perp} \Sigma$ ,  $|\nabla^{\Sigma} u(\bar{x})|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2 = 1$ , and  $D_{\Sigma}^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \neq f(\bar{x})^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$ . Then there exists a real number  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and an open neighborhood  $V$  of the point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  such that  $\det D\Phi(x, y) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$  for all  $(x, y) \in A \cap V$ .*

**Proof.** We distinguish two cases:

*Case 1:* Suppose that  $D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \geq 0$ . Since  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x})|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2 = 1$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$-\langle \nabla^\Sigma f(\bar{x}), \nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x}) \rangle - f(\bar{x}) \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \leq \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f(\bar{x})|^2 + f(\bar{x})^2} |H(\bar{x})|^2.$$

Using the identity  $\operatorname{div}_\Sigma(f \nabla^\Sigma u) = n f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \sqrt{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2 + f^2} |H|^2$ , we obtain

$$\Delta_\Sigma u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \leq n f(\bar{x})^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Since  $D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \geq 0$  and  $D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \neq f(\bar{x})^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$ , the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality gives

$$\det(D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle) < f(\bar{x})^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.$$

Let us choose a real number  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\det(D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle) < (1 - \varepsilon) f(\bar{x})^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$ . Since  $u$  is of class  $C^{2,\gamma}$ , we can find an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  such that  $\det(D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$  for all  $(x, y) \in V$ . Using Lemma 5, we obtain  $\det D\Phi(x, y) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$  for all  $(x, y) \in U \cap V$ .

*Case 2:* Suppose that the smallest eigenvalue of  $D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle$  is strictly negative. Since  $u$  is of class  $C^{2,\gamma}$ , we can find an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  with the property that the smallest eigenvalue of  $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle$  is strictly negative for all  $(x, y) \in V$ . Consequently,  $A \cap V = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

**Lemma 8.** *We have  $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle = f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma$  satisfying  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 = 1$ .*

**Proof.** We argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exists a point  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$  and a vector  $\bar{y} \in T_{\bar{x}}^\perp \Sigma$  such that  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x})|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2 = 1$  and  $D_\Sigma^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle H(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \neq f(\bar{x})^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$ . By Lemma 7, we can find a real number  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and an open neighborhood  $V$  of the point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  such that  $\det D\Phi(x, y) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$  for all  $(x, y) \in A \cap V$ . Using Lemma 6, we deduce that

$$0 \leq \det D\Phi(x, y) \leq (1 - \varepsilon \cdot 1_V(x, y)) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

for all  $(x, y) \in A$ . Arguing as in Section 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |B^{n+2}| (1 - \sigma^{n+2}) \\
&= \int_{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} : \sigma^2 < |\xi|^2 < 1\}} 1 \, d\xi \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\{y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\Phi(x, y)|^2 < 1\}} |\det D\Phi(x, y)| 1_A(x, y) \, dy \right) d\text{vol}(x) \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\{y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1\}} (1 - \varepsilon \cdot 1_V(x, y)) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \, dy \right) d\text{vol}(x) \\
&= |B^2| \int_{\Omega} \left[ (1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2) - (\sigma^2 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)_+ \right] f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \, d\text{vol}(x) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\{y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1\}} 1_V(x, y) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \, dy \right) d\text{vol}(x) \\
&\leq |B^2| (1 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \, d\text{vol}(x) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\{y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1\}} 1_V(x, y) f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \, dy \right) d\text{vol}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . Dividing by  $1 - \sigma$  and taking the limit as  $\sigma \rightarrow 1$  gives

$$(n+2) |B^{n+2}| < 2 |B^2| \int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \leq 2 |B^2| \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} = 2 |B^2| |B^n|.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $(n+2) |B^{n+2}| = 2 |B^2| |B^n|$ .

**Lemma 9.** *We have  $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) = f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$  and  $\langle H(x), y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .*

**Proof.** Lemma 8 implies  $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle = f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma$  satisfying  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 = 1$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  gives  $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) + \langle H(x), y \rangle = f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma$  satisfying  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 = 1$ . Consequently,  $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) = f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$  and  $\langle H(x), y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma$  satisfying  $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 = 1$ . From this, the assertion follows.

**Lemma 10.** *We have  $\nabla^\Sigma f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .*

**Proof.** Using Lemma 9, we obtain  $\Delta_\Sigma u = n f^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$  at each point in  $\Omega$ . This implies  $\text{div}_\Sigma(f \nabla^\Sigma u) = n f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} + \langle \nabla^\Sigma f, \nabla^\Sigma u \rangle$  at each point in  $\Omega$ . On the other hand, by definition of  $u$ , we have  $\text{div}_\Sigma(f \nabla^\Sigma u) = n f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - |\nabla^\Sigma f|$  at each point in  $\Omega$ . Consequently,  $\langle \nabla^\Sigma f, \nabla^\Sigma u \rangle = -|\nabla^\Sigma f|$  at each point in  $\Omega$ . Since  $|\nabla^\Sigma u| < 1$  at each point in  $\Omega$ , we conclude that  $\nabla^\Sigma f = 0$  at each point in  $\Omega$ .



**Lemma 11.** *The set  $\Omega$  is dense in  $\Sigma$ .*

**Proof.** We argue by contradiction. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is not dense in  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} < \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$ . Hence, the arguments in Section 2 imply

$$(n+2)|B^{n+2}| \leq 2|B^2| \int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} < 2|B^2| \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} = 2|B^2||B^n|.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $(n+2)|B^{n+2}| = 2|B^2||B^n|$ .

Using Lemma 9, Lemma 10, and Lemma 11, we conclude that  $D_{\Sigma}^2 u = f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g$ ,  $H = 0$ , and  $\nabla^{\Sigma} f = 0$  at each point on  $\Sigma$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is connected and  $\nabla^{\Sigma} f = 0$  at each point on  $\Sigma$ , it follows that  $f = \lambda^{n-1}$  for some positive constant  $\lambda$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is connected and  $H = 0$  at each point on  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma$  is contained in an  $n$ -dimensional plane  $P$ . Since  $D_{\Sigma}^2 u = f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g = \lambda g$  at each point on  $\Sigma$ , the function  $u$  must be of the form  $u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda |x - p|^2 + c$  for some point  $p \in P$  and some constant  $c$ . On the other hand, we know that  $|\nabla^{\Sigma} u| < 1$  at each point on  $\Omega$ . Using Lemma 11, it follows that  $|\nabla^{\Sigma} u| \leq 1$  at each point on  $\Sigma$ . This implies  $\Sigma \subset \{x \in P : \lambda |x - p| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $\lambda^n |\Sigma| = \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} = |B^n|$ , we conclude that  $\Sigma = \{x \in P : \lambda |x - p| \leq 1\}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Allard, *On the first variation of a varifold*, Ann. of Math. 95, 417–491 (1972)
- [2] F.J. Almgren, Jr., *Optimal isoperimetric inequalities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 35, 451–547 (1986)
- [3] X. Cabré, *Elliptic PDEs in probability and geometry. Symmetry and regularity of solutions*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Systems A 20, 425–457 (2008)
- [4] T. Carleman, *Zur Theorie der Minimalflächen*, Math. Z. 9, 154–160 (1921)
- [5] P. Castillon, *Submanifolds, isoperimetric inequalities and optimal transportation*, J. Funct. Anal. 259, 79–103 (2010)
- [6] J. Choe, *The isoperimetric inequality for a minimal surface with radially connected boundary*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 17, 583–593 (1990)
- [7] J. Choe, *Isoperimetric inequalities of minimal submanifolds*, Global Theory of Minimal Surfaces, Clay Math. Proc. vol. 2, pp. 325–369, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2005
- [8] H. Federer and W.H. Fleming, *Normal and integral currents*, Ann. of Math. 72, 458–520 (1960)
- [9] J. Feinberg, *The isoperimetric inequality for doubly-connected minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , J. d’Anal. Math. 32, 249–278 (1977)
- [10] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, 2001
- [11] C.C. Hsiung, *Isoperimetric inequalities for two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, Ann. of Math. 73, 213–220 (1961)
- [12] P. Li, R. Schoen, and S.T. Yau, *On the isoperimetric inequality for minimal surfaces*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 11, 237–244 (1984)
- [13] J.H. Michael and L.M. Simon, *Sobolev and mean value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 26, 361–379 (1973)
- [14] R. Osserman and M. Schiffer, *Doubly-connected minimal surfaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 58, 285–307 (1975)

- [15] W.T. Reid, *The isoperimetric inequality and associated boundary problems*, J. Math. Mech. 8, 897–906 (1959)
- [16] A. Stone, *On the isoperimetric inequality on a minimal surface*, Calc. Var. PDE 17, 369–391 (2003)
- [17] P. Topping, *Relating diameter and mean curvature for submanifolds in Euclidean space*, Comment. Math. Helv. 83, 539–546 (2008)
- [18] N. Trudinger, *Isoperimetric inequalities for quermassintegrals*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 11, 411–425 (1994)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK NY 10027