Low Level Carbon Monoxide Line Polarization in two Protoplanetary Disks 142527 and IM Lup

Ian W. Stephens, ¹ Manuel Fern ández-L ópez,² Zhi-Yun Li, ³ Leslie W. Looney, ⁴ and Richard Teague

¹Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA ian.stephens@cfa.harvard.edu ²Instituto Argentino de Radioastronom´ıa (CCT-La Plata, CONICET; CICPBA), C.C. No. 5, 1894, Villa Elisa, Buenos Aires, Argentina

³Astronomy Department, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA

⁴Department of Astronomy, University of Illinois, 1002 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

(Accepted to ApJ on August 11, 2020)

ABSTRACT

Magnetic fields are expected to play an important role in accretion processes for circumstellar disks. Measuring the magnetic field morphology is difficult, especially since polarimetric (sub)millimeter continuum observations may not trace fields in most disks. The Goldreich-Kylafis (GK) effect suggests that line polarization is perpendicular or parallel to the magnetic field direction. We attempt to observe CO(2–1), ¹³CO(2–1), and C¹⁸O(2–1) line polarization toward HD 142527 and IM Lup, which are large, bright protoplanetary disks. We use spatial averaging and spectral integration to search for signals in both disks, and detect a potential CO(2-1) Stokes O signal toward both disks. The total CO(2–1) polarization fractions are $1.57 \pm 0.18\%$ and $1.01 \pm 0.10\%$ for HD 142527 and IM Lup, respectively. Our Monte Carlo simulations indicate that these signals are marginal. We also stack Stokes parameters based on the Keplerian rotation, but no signal was found. Across the disk traced by dust of HD 142527, the 3σ upper limits for P frac at 0.5^{00} (~80 au) resolution are typically less than 3% for CO(2–1) and 13 CO(2–1) and 4% for C 18 O(2–1). For IM Lup the 3 σ upper limits for these three lines are typically less than 3%, 4%, and 12%, respectively. Upper limits based on our stacking technique are up to a factor of ~10 lower, though stacking areas can potentially average out small-scale polarization structure. We also compare our continuum polarization at 1.3 mm to observations at 870 μ m from previous studies. The polarization in the northern dust trap of HD 142527 shows a significant change in morphology and an increase in P frac as compared to 870 µm. For IM Lup, the 1.3 mm polarization may be more azimuthal and has a higher P_{trac} than at 870 μ m.

1. INTRODUCTION

Accretion from circumstellar disks is widely thought to be controlled by magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence driven by magnetorotational instability (MRI; Balbus & Hawley 1998) and/or by a magnetically driven disk-wind (e.g., Konigl & Pudritz 2000). Despite their perceived importance, measuring the field morphology and strength in these disks has been guite difficult. The most common way to measure the magnetic field morphology in the interstellar medium is via (sub)millimeter dust polarization observations. Small grains (.10 μ m) are expected to align their short axes with the magnetic field direction, which causes thermal emission from these grains to be polarized perpendicular with the magnetic field (e.g., Andersson et al. 2015). However, the larger the grains, the more likely the will align with their long axes perpendicular to the radiation anisotropy (Lazarian & Hoang 2007; Tazaki et al. 2017). Moreover, if grains are comparable to the wavelength, efficient dust

self-scattering (i.e., emission from one grain scatters off another grain) can cause a polarization signal. High optical depths, such as those estimated for HL Tau at (sub)millimeter wavelengths (Carrasco-Gonz´alez et al. 2016, 2019), will also increase the chance that polarization is from scattering rather than from aligned grains (Yang et al. 2017).

Given that disks often have larger grains and higher optical depths compared to the interstellar medium, (sub)millimeter polarization observations in disks may not show the magnetic field morphologies. Indeed, polarimetric observations toward disks at 870 μ m and 1.3 mm primarily show a mostly uniform polarization morphology parallel with the minor axis (e.g., Stephens et al. 2014; Ferrandez-L'opez et al. 2016; Stephens et al. 2017; Bacciotti et al. 2018; Hull et al. 2018; Dent et al. 2019; Sadavoy et al. 2019), which is a signature of dust scattering from grains that are ~10–200 μ m in size (e.g., Kataoka et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2016). Polarization

from scattering at longer wavelengths is expected to be minimal since the largest grains are much smaller than the wavelength. Indeed, at 3 mm for the disks HL Tau, DG Tau, and Haro 6–13, the polarization is azimuthal, and thus is probably not from scattering nor from grains aligned with a (commonly expected) toroidal magnetic field (Kataoka et al. 2017; Stephens et al. 2017; Harrison et al. 2019). The polarization may be due to alignment with the radiation anisotropy (Tazaki et al. 2017), but polarization from such mechanism for at least HL Tau has been seriously questioned (Yang et al. 2019). Other studies also show polarization signatures that indicate polarized emission from aligned dust grains even at 870 µm (e.g., Alves et al. 2018; Ohashi et al. 2018), but it is uncertain whether or not these grains are truly aligned with the magnetic field.

Magnetic fields also can be probed via polarimetric line observations, either through the Zeeman effect (e.g., Crutcher & Kemball 2019) or via the Goldreich-Kylafis effect (Goldreich & Kylafis 1981, henceforth, GK effect). The Zeeman effect measures the magnetic field strength along the line of sight and requires observations of circular polarization (Stokes V) of spectral lines. Additionally, the Zeeman effect is strongest only for paramagnetic molecular species (i.e., one with an unpaired electron), such as CN, HI, or OH. While this effect may have been detected toward the innermost region of an accretion disk of FU Orionis via lines in the optical (Donati et al. 2005), it has yet to be detected in the bulk of the disk, despite recent attempts with the CN line at millimeter wavelengths (Vlemmings et al. 2019; R. Harrison submitted).

The GK effect suggests that linear polarization (Stokes Q and U) from spectral lines measure the magnetic field morphology in the plane of the sky. This effect predicts that a molecule within a magnetic field has its rotational transition split into magnetic sublevels. Depending on the population imbalance of the π or σ transitions, polarization from the spectral lines are expected to be either parallel or perpendicular to the magnetic field. The fraction of polarized light, P_{frac}, is expected to be maximum when the magnetic field and velocity gradient is perpendicular along the line of sight (Deguchi & Watson 1984). Line polarization attributed to the GK effect has been detected in numerous starforming regions, molecular clouds, and protostellar outflows (Glenn et al. 1997b; Greaves et al. 1999; Girart et al. 1999; Greaves et al. 2001; Lai et al. 2003; Cortes et al. 2005; Cortes & Crutcher 2006; Cortes et al. 2006, 2008; Forbrich et al. 2008; Beuther et al. 2010; Li & Henning 2011; Vlemmings et al. 2012; Houde et al. 2013; Hezareh et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2014; Ching et al. 2016;

Lee et al. 2018; Hirota et al. 2020), evolved stars (Glenn et al. 1997a; Girart et al. 2012; Vlemmings et al. 2017; Shinnaga et al. 2017; Chamma et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2020), and possibly a protoplanetary nebula (Sabin et al. 2019). Resonant scattering (Houde et al. 2013) of the linearly polarized signal can lead to circular polarization (Stokes V). Detections of resonant scattering has been suggested toward molecular clumps and an evolved star (Houde et al. 2013; Hezareh et al. 2013; Chamma et al. 2018).

A spatially resolved detection of linear or circular polarized light from a molecular rotational transition in a disk has yet to be found. In this paper, we attempt to detect polarized emission from the $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ rotational transitions of the molecular lines CO, ¹³CO, and C¹⁸O in the disks HD 142527 and IM Lup. We primarily attempt to detect linear polarization (Stokes Q and U) but we also present Stokes V results. A polarized signal is not immediately obvious from the Stokes images, so we use stacking and spatial averaging over an integrated velocity range to look for a signal. In Section 2 we describe the targets and the observations. In Section 3 we show the 1.3 mm polarized continuum images and line observations, and discuss the optical depth of each spectral line. In Section 4 we present our stacking and how we spatial average an integrated velocity range. In Section 5 we give constraints on P_{frac}, and in Section 6 we summarize the results.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Targets

We selected the disks HD 142527 and IM Lup since they are large in the sky and are known to be bright for CO(2-1), ¹³CO(2-1), and C¹⁸O(2-1) transitions (Perez et al. 2015; Cleeves et al. 2016). These two features are important because we need bright lines over a large solid angle to measure the field morphology. These two targets are among the largest and brightest known disks with simple CO morphologies. They are also close enough to each other that they can share the same phase calibrator, and thus both can be efficiently observed during a single observation run. These disks are also no longer embedded in their natal envelopes, so the molecular line emission should come solely from the diskMoreover, HD 142527 is mostly face-on while IM Lup is at an intermediate inclination, which allows us to test how inclination affects the polarization.

HD 142527 is a close binary system located 157 pc away (Arun et al. 2019). The primary is an Herbig star with a spectral type of F6–F7IIIe (Malfait et al. 1998; van den Ancker et al. 1998), while the companion is an M-dwarf separated by ~ 0.00 (Biller et al. 2012;

Close et al. 2014; Lacour et al. 2016). HD 142527 is a well known transition disk with a very large central gap. It is highly asymmetric, with the majority of the dust emission detected toward the northern part of the disk.

IM Lup is a K5 Class II T Tauri star located 158 pc away (Alcal'a et al. 2017; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018). High resolution (~5 au) 1.3 mm continuum observations reveal that the disk has spiral substructure (Andrews et al. 2018).

2.2. Observing Details and Data Reduction

Observations were conducted on 2019 April 29 using ALMA Band 6 (1.3 mm) under the project code 2018.1.01172.S (PI:I. Stephens). ALMA was using 46 antennas with baselines ranging between 15 and 704 m. Weather conditions were good for 1.3 mm, with the precipitable water vapor column of ~0.85 mm and a system temperature oscillating between 60 and 120 K, depending on the spectral baseband and frequency. We chose Band 6 because this is the only ALMA band where the spectral lines CO, ¹³CO, and C¹⁸O can be observed simultaneously. We tuned four ALMA basebands. One baseband was dedicated for the 1.3 mm dust continuum emission, and it was centered at 234.5 GHz and had a bandwidth of 2.0 GHz. The other three each had a bandwidth of 59 MHz and were centered on CO(2-1), ¹³CO(2–1), and C¹⁸O(2–1). These basebands provided spectral resolutions of 0.079, 0.083, and 0.083 km \overline{s}^1 , respectively. As typical with all ALMA observations, Hanning smoothing was applied by the correlator to reduce ringing in the spectra. We used the default correlator spectral averaging for each baseband, which is 2 channels averaged for the high spectral resolution basebands and no channels averaged for the continuum baseband. The observations toward HD 142527 and IM Lup were intertwined with periodic visits to the phase and polarization calibrators every ~8 and ~30 minutes, respectively. The total integrated time over each science target was 1 hour. For IM Lup, the phase center of the observations was errantly located 08 west from the disk center.

Delivered data were manually calibrated by the North American ARC staff using the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA) package (McMullin et al. 2007). J1427–4206 was used as the flux and bandpass calibrator, J1610–3958 was the phase calibrator, and J1517–2422 was the polarization calibrator. ALMA Band 6 observations have a typical absolute flux uncertainty of 10%, and the polarization uncertainties as determined by the D-terms were less than a 5%.

We imaged the continuum and spectral lines using CASA v5.6.0. To construct the continuum images,

we combined the continuum baseband with the linefree channels of the other three basebands for a total bandwidth of 2.150 GHz. We ran three phase-only self-calibration iterations on the continuum Stokes data. The solution intervals used for the first, second, and third self-calibrations were infinite, 25 s, and 10 s, respectively. Self-calibration improved the continuum Stokes / signal-to-noise ratio for HD 142527 and IM Lup by a factor of 6 and 3, respectively. The self-calibration solutions from the continuum Stokes / were then applied to the continuum Stokes QU V and the spectral line Stokes IQU V. For each spectral line, we subtracted the continuum emission by fitting the continuum using the baseband's line-free channels. To clean the images, we use the CASA task tclean using Briggs weighting with a robust parameter of 0.5. The final continuum and line synthesized beams and noise levels are reported in Table 1. All images in this paper have been corrected for the primary beam. The pixel size for our maps is 0.011.

From the Stokes *IQU* images, we constructed polarized intensity (*P*₁), *P*_{frac}, and position angle (χ) maps where:

$$P_I = {}^{\mathsf{p}} \overline{Q^2 + U^2} \tag{1}$$

$$P_{\text{frac}} = P_{I} / I \tag{2}$$

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{U}{Q} \quad . \tag{3}$$

Since *P* can only be positive, it has an inherit bias toward positive values. We de-bias the values following Hull & Plambeck (2015). In our images, we show the polarization as line segments. These segments are typically called "vectors." While we adopt this custom, we note that they are not true vectors because they have a 180° ambiguity in angle.

2.3. Stokes QU V Noise Characterization

In this paper, we will do extensive searches for a signal in CO(2–1) Stokes QUV. Therefore, it is important to understand the noise behavior of these Stokes parameters. We thus analyze the CO(2–1) Stokes QUV noise on the maps before the primary beam correction.

For both HD 142527 and IM Lup, the noise across the entire CO(2–1) Stokes QUV cubes are fit very well with a Gaussian, with an average value of 0.0 ± 3.3 mJy. We check for any spatial variation in each cube by creating a moment 6 maps (i.e., the root mean square of the spectrum). The average value in these maps are 3.3 ± 0.2 mJy, and the noise is once again GaussiaTihe noise characteristics do not change for emission on and off the disk, nor for different velocity bins. As such, it

Table 1. Observational Parameters

| Image | Stokes | rmsa | Channel Width Synthesized beam | | |
|------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | | mJy bm ⁻¹ | (km s ⁻¹) | $\theta_{maj} \times \theta_{min}$; PA | |
| HD 142527 | | | | | |
| 1.3 mm cont | 1 | 0.037 | - | 0 ^{.00} 51 × 0. ⁰⁰ 45; 64.5 ° | |
| 1.3 mm cont | QUV | 0.022 | - | 0 ^{.00} 51 × 0. ⁰⁰ 45; 64.5 ° | |
| CO(2-1) | IQUV | 3.3 | 0.079 | 0 ^{.00} 57 × 0. ⁰⁰ 54; 71.3 ° | |
| ¹³ CO(2–1) | IQUV | 3.4 | 0.083 | 0 ^{.00} 59 × 0. ⁰⁰ 56; 74.2 ° | |
| C ¹⁸ O(2–1) | IQUV | 2.5 | 0.083 | 0 ^{.00} 60 × 0. ⁰⁰ 57; 69.7 ° | |
| IM Lup | | | | | |
| 1.3 mm cont | 1 | 0.022 | - | 0 ^{.00} 51 × 0. ⁰⁰ 44; 69.5 ° | |
| 1.3 mm cont | QUV | 0.022 | - | 0 ^{.00} 51 × 0. ⁰⁰ 44; 69.5 ° | |
| CO(2-1) | IQUV | 3.3 | 0.079 | 0 ^{.00} 56 × 0. ⁰⁰ 53; 75.2 ° | |
| ¹³ CO(2–1) | IQUV | 3.4 | 0.083 | 0 ^{.00} 59 × 0. ⁰⁰ 55; 79.3 ° | |
| C ¹⁸ O(2–1) | IQUV | 2.5 | 0.083 | 0 ^{.00} 60 × 0. ⁰⁰ 56; 74.9 ° | |

^a For lines, this is per channel.

appears the noise characteristics for these cubes are well behaved.

We checked the autocorrelation of our *QU V* cubes to search for serial correlations for the noise along the spectral axis of these data. Correlation was found between consecutive channels, but no correlation was detected for the rest of the bandwidth (i.e., there was no periodic noise). This channel-to-channel correlation is due the Hanning smoothing applied by the ALMA correlator. As shown in Loomis et al. (2018), this Hanning smoothing plus a spectral averaging of 2 (i.e., the default averaging applied by the correlator to these data) provides a channel to channel covariance of 0.3 times the variance measured in a spectra.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Continuum

We present the 1.3 mm continuum for HD 142527 and IM Lup in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. ALMA polarization observations at 870 μ m were previously presented for HD 142527 in Kataoka et al. (2016) and Ohashi et al. (2018) and for IM Lup in Hull et al. (2018). Since the focus of this paper is on the line polarization, detailed multi-wavelength analysis is beyond the scope of this paper. We briefly comment on the results in this subsection.

For HD 142527, an analysis by Ohashi et al. (2018) suggested that two different polarization mechanisms create the polarization pattern observed at 870 μ m.The northern part of the disk is optically thick, and the polarization morphologies show signatures of scattering (also see Kataoka et al. 2016).The southern part of the disk is optically thin, and the morphology and high values of P_{trac} are suggestive of polarization due to aligned



Figure 1. 1.3 mm continuum polarimetric map toward HD 142527. Color-scale shows the polarized intensity P_{I} . Red and blue vectors show the direction of the polarization (i.e., vectors are not rotated). Red and blue vectors are shown for P_{frac} smaller than and larger than 5%, respectively (i.e., all blue vectors have higher P_{frac} than the red). Vectors are shown for signal-to-noise ratio >3 for Stokes *I* and P_{I} and for $P_{\text{frac}} < 40\%$. Contours show the Stokes *I* emission, with levels of [3, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 325, 500, 750, 1000] × σ_{I} , where $\sigma_{I} = 37 \,\mu$ Jy bm⁻¹.



Figure 2. Same as Figure 1, but now for IM Lup, and contour levels are [3, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 325, 500, 750, 1000] × σ_1 , where $\sigma_1 = 22 \ \mu$ Jy bm⁻¹.

grains (Ohashi et al. 2018). Since a radial polarization morphology is expected for a toroidal field (grains are expected to align with their short axes parallel with the magnetic field), Ohashi et al. (2018) attributes the



Figure 3. Comparisons of the 1.3 mm and 870 μ m dust continuum polarization for HD 142527. The first and second panels show the 1.3 mm and 870 μ m polarized intensities overlaid with Stokes *I* contours and polarization vectors (all vectors are the same size for clarity). Observations at 1.3 mm have been regridded to the 870 μ m pixel size and smoothed to the 1.3 mm resolution (Table 1). 1.3 mm Stokes *I* contours are drawn for [4, 150, 500, 2000] × $\sigma_{I,1.3 \text{ mm}}$, where $\sigma_{I,1.3 \text{ mm}} = 37 \,\mu$ Jy bm⁻¹, and 870 μ m Stokes *I* contours are drawn for [3, 25, 60, 250] × $\sigma_{I,870 \,\mu\text{m}}$, where $\sigma_{I,0.3 \,\mu\text{m}} = 80 \,\mu$ Jy bm⁻¹. The third panel shows the ratio between the polarization fractions at 1.3 mm and 870 μ m. The fourth panel shows the difference between the 1.3 mm and 870 μ m polarization angles. The latter two panels have a square root stretch for the color scale.



Figure 4. Same as Figure 3, but now for IM Lup. 1.3 mm Stokes / contours are drawn for [4, 150, 500, 2000] × $\sigma_{l,1.3 \text{ mm}}$, where $\sigma_{l,1.3 \text{ mm}} = 22 \,\mu\text{Jy bm}^{-1}$, and 870 μ m Stokes / contours are drawn for [3, 100, 250, 900] × $\sigma_{l,870 \,\mu\text{m}}$, where $\sigma_{l,870 \,\mu\text{m}} = 100 \,\mu\text{Jy bm}^{-1}$.

southern morphology to grains aligned with a toroidal magnetic field.

To compare the dust continuum polarization observations for HD 142527 at 1.3 mm to those of archival 870 μ m observations, we requested and downloaded calibrated data via the ALMA helpdesk (project code 2015.1.00425.S, PI: A. Kataoka). We re-imaged the calibrated data in the same manner as discussed in Section 2.2. The final resolution was 0.027×0.024 . We regridded the 1.3 mm observations to have the same pixel size used at 870 μ m (006), and we smoothed the 870 μ m to have the same resolution as the 1.3 mm observations.

Figure 3 shows the comparisons of the polarization morphologies at the two wavelengths. The first two panels show the polarized intensity for each wavelength.

Both have a strong peak toward the east. However, the 1.3 mm polarized intensity shows a strong peak toward the north, which is not seen at 870 μ m. Moreover, the polarization vector direction changes substantially toward this northern region (i.e., toward the Stokes *I* peak). The third panel shows the ratio of *P* frac between 1.3 mm and 870 μ m, and the fourth shows the polarization position angle differences between 1.3 mm and 870 μ m.

For both wavelengths, P_{frac} and position angles toward the southern part of the disk are roughly identical, which is consistent with the aligned grain interpretation advocated by (Ohashi et al. 2018). However, the ratio $P_{\text{frac},1.3\text{mm}}$ /P $_{\text{frac},870\mu\text{m}}$ is slightly less than unity, which is unexpected since the emission at 1.3 mm is more optically thin than that at 870 μ m and the polarization fraction is expected to increase with decreasing optical depth (Yang et al. 2017), as seen with BHB07-11 (Alves et al. 2018).

For the northern part of the disk, the polarization between wavelengths is substantially different, with $P_{\text{frac.1.3mm}}$ / $P_{\text{frac.870}\mu\text{m}}$ reaching values as high as ~5, and the difference in polarization angle is as large as 90° (i.e., perpendicular). The Band 7 polarization was interpreted as coming from dust scattering, mainly because of the flip of the polarization orientations (from radial to azimuthal direction) across the horseshoe-shaped dust trap (Ohashi et al. 2018). The flip is less prominent in Band 6 but is still present; it occurs at smaller radii compared to the Band 7 case. Whether it is still consistent with the scattering interpretation remains to be determined, and may require consideration of non-ideal polarization effects, such as large non-spherical grains polarizing emission beyond the Rayleigh regime (e.g., Kirchschlager & Bertrang 2020). Detailed modeling is needed but is beyond the scope of this paper.

For IM Lup, Hull et al. (2018, ALMA project code 2016.1.00712.S,PI: C. Hull) found that the detected 870 μ m continuum polarization was mostly (but not completely) aligned with the minor axis, which is expected for scattering. To compare with these observations, we downloaded the polarization images provided in the online version of Hull et al. (2018), which have a resolution of $0.^{0.0}50 \times 0.^{0.0}40$. As above, we regridded the 1.3 mm observations to have the same pixel size that we used at 870 μ m ($0.^{0.1}$), and we smoothed the 870 μ m to have the same resolution as the 1.3 mm observations. We show the comparison in Figure 4.

Along the major axis, Hull et al. (2018) detected polarization over an extent of $\sim 2^{00}$, while we detect it over ~1.5⁰⁰. Nevertheless, the 1.3 mm results show vectors less aligned with the minor axis than that found in Hull et al. (2018). The 1.3 mm polarization angles are suggestive of a more azimuthal morphology along the edges of the detected polarized emission, with the main differences at the northern and southern parts of the disk (right panel, Figure 4). This possible change in polarization morphology between the wavelengths of 870 μ m and 1.3 mm was also found between these wavelengths for HL Tau (Stephens et al. 2017). This feature could possibly indicate two competing polarization mechanisms, such as scattering and alignment with the radiation anisotropy. Nevertheless, pure scattering models show that, while very optically thick regions show uniform vectors aligned with the minor axis, optically thinner regions have a significant azimuthal component (Yang et al. 2017). Indeed, 1.3 mm dust emission is optically thinner than emission at 870 μ m, so it is possible that the morphologies at both wavelengths can be explained by dust scattering.

Additionally $P_{\text{frac},1.3\text{mm}}$ / $P_{\text{frac},870\mu\text{m}}$ is primarily larger than 1 for IM Lup. This feature is unexpected for optically thin dust grains of sizes ~100 μ m, as originally proposed by Hull et al. (2018). However, this might be explained via optical depth and beam smearing effects (Lin et al. 2020) or by grain sizes that are a few 100s of μ m (e.g., Kataoka et al. 2015, 2017).

3.2. Line Observations

We show integrated intensity (moment 0) maps of CO(2-1), ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$, and $C {}^{18}O(2-1)$ for HD 142527 and IM Lup in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. Each image is overlaid with contours of the continuum emission. Compared to the continuum for both disks, CO(2-1) and ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ lines are detected over a larger extent than that seen for the continuum, while the C ${}^{18}O(2-1)$ line is detected over roughly the same area. The measured dimensions of the major and minor axes over which CO(2-1) emission is detected are $11.2^{00} \times 9.0^{06}$ (1760 × 1510 au) for HD 142527 and 12.0 ${}^{00} \times 8.0^{07}$ (1900 × 1370 au) for IM Lup.

For HD 142527, the CO(2–1) moment 0 map (Figure 5, left) indicates a spiral-like structure. The spiral structure is well known for this disk, as it was detected in the infrared (Casassus et al. 2012; Rameau et al. 2012), in Near-IR *H*- and *K*_s-band polarimetric observations (Canovas et al. 2013; Avenhaus et al. 2014), and in previous ALMA CO(2–1) and CO(3–2) observations (Christiaens et al. 2014). The ¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1) emission is ring-like, with a local minimum of emission toward the center of the disk.

For IM Lup, a pinched pattern is seen in the CO(2–1) and ¹³CO(2–1) moment 0 maps, which indicates emission from gas above the midplane. CO(2–1) appears to be slightly asymmetric toward the northwest part of the disk. Like HD 142527, ¹³CO(2–1) and C ¹⁸O(2–1) line emission also have a local minimum toward the center of the disk. All of the above features were also mentioned in Cleeves et al. (2016).

3.3. Optical Depth

Linear polarization due to the GK effect is largely affected by the optical depth of the spectral line (e.g., Deguchi & Watson 1984). The optical depth can be estimated from the ratio of brightness temperatures (e.g., Lyo et al. 2011). Given the brightness temperature for the first and second line of T_{B1} and T_{B2} , we can solve for the optical depth using the equation



Figure 5. From left to right, HD 142527 colormaps of the integrated intensity (moment 0) for CO(2–1), 13 CO(2–1), and C¹⁸O(2–1). The integrated velocity range is labeled at the bottom of each figure. White contours show the continuum emission, with contours levels at [4, 150, 500, 2000] × σ_{i} , where $\sigma_{i} = 37 \,\mu$ Jy bm⁻¹. The green ellipse at the top right is the synthesized beam for the continuum, while the blue ellipse at the bottom right is for the spectral line.



Figure 6. Same as Figure 5, but now for IM Lup and $\sigma = 22 \mu$ Jy bm⁻¹.

$$R = \frac{T_{B1}}{T_{R2}} = \frac{1 - e^{-\tau_1}}{1 - e^{-\tau_2}} = \frac{1 - e^{-\tau_1}}{1 - e^{-\tau_1/X}}.$$
 (4)

Since the conversion factor between flux and brightness temperature for each line in this study are roughly identical (vary by less than 1%), we instead use R = $F_{\nu 1}/F_{\nu 2}$. X is the isotopic line ratio, which we adopt as [¹²CO]/[¹³CO] = 70 and [¹²CO]/[C¹⁸O] = 500. The optical depth was solved for numerically. We made optical depth maps (not shown) both channel by channel and from the integrated intensity maps of each line.

For HD 142527, the calculated optical depths at some locations are either highly uncertain or cannot be computed (i.e., the less abundant species is brighter, making Equation 4 unsolvable), given that CO(2-1) emission has features of self-absorption and absorption against the continuum. We find that CO(2-1) optical depths are >30 for all locations except the center of the disk, where the optical depth is as low as 14. The optical depths of ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ across the disk, as calculated from its flux ratio with C ${}^{18}O(2-1)$, range from ~1 to 7, and the optical depth for C ${}^{18}O(2-1)$ is between 0.1 and 1. When look-

ing at optical depth maps channel by channel, CO(2–1) is optically thick at all velocities where both CO(2–1) and 13 CO(2–1) are detected. At the highest velocities from systemic (at about 1 and 6.5 km \bar{s}^1), the CO(2–1) optical depths are ~8–10.

For IM Lup, the optical depths could be calculated at all locations, though we note that there is likely some absorption against the continuum toward the center of the disk (Cleeves et al. 2016). Based on the integrated intensity maps, we find that CO(2–1) optical depths are typically about 40, but range from about 10–100. Given the abundance ratios, ¹³CO(2–1) is just around the optically thick ($\tau = 1$) regime, and C ¹⁸O(2–1) is optically thin. Again, when looking at optical depth maps channel by channel, CO(2–1) is optically thick at all velocities where both CO(2–1) and ¹³CO(2–1) are detected. At the highest velocities from systemic (at about 1.5 and 7.5 km \bar{s}^1), the CO(2–1) optical depths are ~25.

Comprehensive models that show in detail how the values of P_{trac} change with optical depth do not consider disks (the GK effect in disks is modeled in Lankhaar & Vlemmings 2020, which we will discuss in Section 6).

These models simply consider molecules placed within a magnetic field, and may or may not have an additional external source of radiation. Without an external source of radiation, the GK effect is expected to be strongest at $\tau \approx$ 1, and significantly reduced for high (&10) and low (.0.1) optical depths (e.g., Deguchi & Watson 1984). In such a case, $^{13}CO(2-1)$ is in the optimal optical depth range for a polarized signal detection, CO(2-1) is suboptimal, and C¹⁸O(2-1) is mostly suboptimal (only toward select areas of HD 142527). However, when an external source is added (due to, for example, compact dust), CO emission is expected to be strongly polarized even at low optical depths and will be perpendicular to the polarization observed for $\tau \approx 1$ (Cortes et al. 2005). Optically thick emission will again have very low values of P_{frac}. Given this model, we would expect the GK effect to be easier to detect for ¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1) rather than CO(2-1). However, as will be shown later in this paper, we only detect polarization for CO(2-1), albeit over a limited velocity range and area of the disk.

We stress that a disk is more complex than these models, as additional factors must be considered such as the disk's inclination, density profile, and temperature profile. While these features are taken into account in the PORTAL software (Lankhaar & Vlemmings 2020), the effect of optical depth on $P_{\rm frac}$ was not discussed in detail, though it is mentioned that $P_{\rm frac}$ is expected to be near 0 for high optical depths. Using PORTAL to show how optical depth changes the polarization of lines requires a detailed study that is beyond the scope of this paper.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that given the estimated densities for HD 142527 and IM Lup (Perez et al. 2015; Cleeves et al. 2016), the lines are certainly thermalized in the midplane. As such, collisions will dominate over the radiative rate, which causes no polarization from these spectral lines. However, polarized emission could potentially be emitted from less dense regions above the midplane.

4. SEARCHING FOR A POLARIZED SIGNAL IN SPECTRAL LINES

In this section, we attempt a thorough search for the polarization in the CO isotopologues. When we mention Stokes parameters in this section, we specifically refer to the isotopologues and not the continuum.

For HD 142527 and IM Lup, Stokes *QU V* maps appear to be pure noise if we integrate over the same velocity ranges as their respective Stokes *I* images (i.e., those shown in Figures 5 and 6). Additionally, if we step through the Stokes *QU V* images channel by channel, we see no strongly polarized signals at any velocity.

In other words, any sort of polarization signal is not obvious for any of the observed spectral lines in both disks. We decided to do a deeper search for a polarized signal via spectral stacking and via spatial averaging over an integrated velocity range. We first motivate the stacking technique using a simple morphological model.

4.1. Morphological Model

To motivate possible ways for stacking, we create model images of the expected Stokes *Q* and *U* maps for purely elliptical polarization for HD 142527 and IM Lup, which may be expected for a toroidal field. Along with measured quantities of the disks (e.g., inclinations and position angles), the only assumptions that go into the model is a surface density profile and a polarization morphology. This notably is not a model of the GK effect, as it ignores very important parameters such as the optical depth. The point of the model is to simply describe which quadrants of the disk we expect to see positive and negative values for Stokes *Q* and *U* based on an elliptical (and eventually radial; see below) polarization morphology.

Following Yang et al. (2019), we create an image of an elliptical polarization pattern for a disk with inclination, *i*. The polarization pattern is then rotated according to the position angle of the disk, PA. Each pixel of the image now has a polarization angle, \mathfrak{A} , which is measured counterclockwise from north.

For HD 142527 we assume PA = 160 ° and i = 28 ° (Perez et al. 2015), and for IM Lup we assume PA = 144 and i = 48 ° (Cleeves et al. 2016). We assume a surface density profile that follows the form in Andrews et al. (2011), and we assume the surface density is directly proportional to the Stokes *I* flux such that

$$I \propto \frac{R}{R_c} \stackrel{-\gamma}{\exp} - \frac{R}{R_c} \stackrel{2-\gamma}{}^{\pi},$$
 (5)

where *R* is the radius of the disk, *R* is the characteristic radius, and *y* is the gas surface density exponent, which we assume to be 1. For HD 142527 and IM Lup, we assume *R* is 200 and 100 au, respectively (Perez et al. 2015; Cleeves et al. 2016). From the Stokes *I* and θ_{ell} maps, we can determine the Stokes parameters *Q* and *U* via

$$Q = IP_{\text{frac}} \cos(2\theta_{\text{ell}}) \tag{6}$$

$$U = IP_{\text{frac}} \sin(2\theta_{\text{ell}}). \tag{7}$$

For this model, we assume P_{frac} to be constant across the disk. Then, P_{frac} and the constant needed for the proportionality in Equation 5 become a single constant, which we call *K*. We then smoothed each map to have the same resolution as our observations. For any value *K* of these two disks, the Stokes *U* peak (U_{hax}) happens to be larger than that of Stokes *Q*. We then normalize both the Stokes *Q* and *U* maps by dividing by *U* max so that we can draw contours with respect to the maximum value in the Stokes images.Modeled polarization angles and Stokes *Q* and *U* contours for HD 142527 and IM Lup are shown in Figure 7 and 8, respectively.



Figure 7. Simple model for HD 142527 of elliptical polarization position angles (vectors) and the resulting Stokes *Q* and *U* parameters, which are shown as red and blue contours, respectively. Stokes *Q* and *U* maps are normalized so that the maximum value of *U* is $U_{max} = 1$, and contours for each Stokes parameter are drawn for [-0.4, -0.1, 0, 0.1, $0.4] \times U_{max}$. Solid and dashed contours show positive and negative levels, respectively. The model was smoothed to the same resolution as the observations, which is shown as a green ellipse at the bottom right.

Since the GK effect has an ambiguity with being perpendicular or parallel with the magnetic field, a radial polarization pattern is also possible for a toroidal field. In such a case, Figure 7 and 8 would have to be modified by rotating all angles by 90° and by switching the Stokes *Q* and *U* contours with each other. Indeed, the GK disk model in Lankhaar & Vlemmings (2020) suggests that radial polarization would be seen for a face-on disk for both toroidal and radial magnetic fields.

It is also important to note that the angular extent of the gaseous disk goes substantially beyond what is shown in these models (Figures 5 and 6). The modeled



Figure 8. Same as Figure 7, but for IM Lup.

Stokes *Q* and *U* emission declines rapidly with radius due to the exponential part of the assumed intensity profile (Equation 5). We note that the real Stokes *Q* and *U* contours levels could extend farther out if the polarized fraction is higher in the outer disk. A higher $P_{\rm frac}$ in the outer disk indeed would be expected for CO(2–1) and ¹³CO(2–1), as the outer disk is less optically thick than the inner disk. Regardless of the assumptions about $P_{\rm frac}$, we still expect the 4-petal daisylike pattern in Stokes *Q* and *U* for elliptical and radial polarization patterns.

The 4-petal daisy-like pattern for the elliptical polarization model suggest four distinct disk wedges, where two wedges are positive and two wedges are negative. For Stokes *Q*, the intensity switches signs (e.g., from positive to negative) at around the major and minor axes of the disks. The four sign switches for Stokes *U* are each located between two different sign switches for Stokes *Q* (the *Q* and *U* maps are both 4-petal daisy-like patterns offset by ~45°). These transitions are at ~45° from the major and minor axes when deprojected to the disk plane. Again, for a radial polarization pattern, Stokes *Q* and *U* contours are switched, so the separation between wedges would be at the same locations.

Since we want to make sure we avoid stacking areas of the disk with positive Stokes *Q* and *U* emission with those areas that are negative, these models motivate us to stack Stokes parameters spatially based on disk quadrants. These areas will be the basis of some of the stacking used in Section 4.2. We first stack based on deprojected disk quadrants starting at the major axis and binning for every 90°. We then do 90° deprojected quadrants again, but this time starting at a deprojected angle 45° from the major axes. Moreover, for certain quadrants we cut out the central part of the disk to make sure we do not add positive with negative emission, which will be discussed in the next subsection.

We are binning based on 90 quadrants and start the binning for two separate position angles, which provides a fine probe in many areas of the disk. As such, the stacking method is likely to find polarization morphologies that are not elliptical or radial, if they exist.

4.2. Stacking via GoFish

Spectral lines are Doppler shifted due to the rotational profile of the disk. A signal can be stacked for the Stokes parameters by aligning their spectra to common centroid velocities based on a disk's deprojected Keplerian rotational profile. We do this by using the software package GoFish (Teague 2019).

GoFish takes in a number of parameters to estimate the three-dimensional Keplerian rotational profile used for stacking. This includes the stellar mass, M_2 , the position angle of the major axis pointing to the most red-shifted side, PA_{red}, the inclination of the source, *i*, the distance to the source, *d*, and the emission scale height at 1^{00} , Z_0 . We find estimates for most these parameters from disk models from Perez et al. (2015, HD 142527) and Cleeves et al. (2016, IM Lup)For both disks we assume z = 0.001. For HD 142527, we assume $M_2 = 2.8 M$, PA_{red} = 160°, *i* = 28°, and *d* = 157 pc. For IM Lup, we assume $M_2 = 1 M$, $PA_{red} = 144^{\circ}$, $i = 48^{\circ}$, and d = 158 pc. For IM Lup, we also had to specify an x-offset of 0.8° since the phase center was errantly offset to the disk center by this amount. Other input parameters for GoFish were all assumed to be their defaults.

GoFish can also take in a minimum and maximum radius to apply the technique and can also integrate over user-specified disk wedges. We tried a vast mixture of wedges and radii for all 3 spectral lines. Nevertheless, we could not find a significant signal in Stokes O, U, and *V* via stacking. Here we present the stacking technique by first stacking each Stokes parameter across the entire disk, and then by stacking by the quadrants mentioned in Section 4.1. We first select the radius in the disk plane, $r_{\rm max}$, over which we do the stacking. We choose a value where the Stokes / flux drops to 90% of the peak value of the Stokes / moment 0 map. Rounded to the nearest arcsecond for CO(2–1), r_{max} is 3⁰⁰ for HD 142527 and 4^{0} for IM Lup. The CO(2–1) results for



Figure 9. HD 142527 *IQU V* CO(2–1) spectra, stacking based on the Keplerian profile of the disk via GoFish across the entire disk out to a radius of 3 00 . The stacked Stokes *I* signal has been divided by 170.



Figure 10. IM Lup IQU V CO(2-1) spectra, stacking based on the Keplerian profile of the disk via GoFish across the entire disk out to a radius of 4⁰⁰. The stacked Stokes *I* signal has been divided by 170.

HD 142527 and IM Lup are shown in Figures 9 and 10. The results for ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ and $C^{18}O(2-1)$ are shown in the Appendix.

As mentioned in Section 4.1, for elliptical or radial polarization patterns, averaging polarization over the entire disk causes the fluxes of the Stokes Q and U parameters to be averaged out, as there are both positive and negative components (Figures 7 and 8). Therefore, we also use GoFish to stack for different quadrants (90 wedges) for each disk, as shown for CO(2–1) in Figure 11. We choose four 90 quadrants, where each 90



Figure 11. Stacking based on Keplerian rotation of selected quadrants (90° wedges in disk plane) via GoFish. The top row shows selected quadrants overlaid on the moment 0 maps (integrated over same velocity interval as Figures 5 and 6). The left two images show the quadrants for HD 142527, and the right two images show quadrants for IM Lup. The number range next to each quadrant correspond to the quadrant's angle range from the disk's axis, starting from PA red. The middle row shows 8 panels where we stack for the quadrants shown in the top row for HD 142527. The top four of these panels correspond to the first HD 142527 image, while the bottom four correspond to the second image. The bottom left of each of the 8 panels shows the minimum and maximum radii, r_{min} and r_{max} , used for the quadrant. The bottom right of each panel shows the angle range of the quadrants indicated in the top panels. The 8 panels on the bottom row are like the middle row, except for IM Lup.

quadrant is in reference to the disk's axis rather than in projection. Since the Stokes Q and U contours each draw a 4-petal daisy-like pattern that offset from each other by ~45° (Figure 7 and 8), we select four 90 quadrants where we start at PA _{red}, and another four where we start at PA_{red} – 45°. Also, for elliptical polarization, the central region is expected to be negative for Stokes *Q* and positive for Stokes *U*, which is especially evident for the inner 0.05 for IM Lup (Figure 8). As such, for alternating quadrants, we shift between $r_{min} = 0.00$ and 0.017 for HD 142527, and $r_{min} = 0.00$ and 0.05 for IM Lup. The quadrants with a non-zero r_{min} are drawn in gray in Figure 11. Once again, we select r_{max} of 3^{00} for HD 142527 and 4^{00} for IM Lup.

No significant detection was found for any quadrant. Our attempts using other many other values for r_{min} and r_{max} (not shown) also did not detect any significant signal in any of the Stokes parametersWe also show the resulting non-detections for ¹³CO(2–1) and C ¹⁸O(2–1) in the Appendix. We discuss the constraints we can place on P_{frac} from stacking (for the entire disk and for quadrants) in Section 5.

4.3. Searching for Signal within an Aperture

For each spectral line, we also manually inspected the Stokes Q, U, and V cubes for a signal. This search was done by looking at the average spectra within a circular aperture. The size and position of the circular aperture was changed, allowing us to carefully inspect Stokes Q, U, and V spectra across the entire disk in which there is Stokes I signal. Using this method, we found that for CO(2–1), there appears to be a signal in the Stokes Q cube toward both disks.

We then developed a script that alters the size and position of the circular aperture to find the location with the highest signal to noise. Specifically, we found the location where SNR_Q = $|\Sigma Q|/\sigma_{\Sigma Q}$ was maximum, where $|\Sigma Q|$ is the magnitude of the sum of Stokes *Q* for *N* consecutive channels and \mathfrak{E}_Q is the error on the sum. As stated in Section 2.3, there exists a covariance between consecutive channels that is 0.3 times the variance in the spectrum $\sqrt{When accounting}$ for these covariances, $\sigma_{\Sigma Q} = \sigma_{Q,ap} - 1.6N - 0.6$, where $\sigma_{Q,ap}$ is the noise of Stokes *Q* in a single channel for the spectra for an aperture. When searching for the highest SNR *Q* within a circular aperture, the aperture was centered on a pixel and radii had sizes that were integers.

Figures 12 and 13 show the spectra of the four Stokes parameters where SNRo is maximum for HD 142527 and IM Lup, respectively. These displayed spectra are the average spectra within the circular aperture, and the channels that were integrated to obtain the maximum SNR $_Q$ are shown in pink. For HD 142527 and IM Lup, the values for ΣQ over the pink areas in Figures 12 and 13 are 10.8 ± 1.2 mJy bit $(SNR_{\phi} = 8.7)$ and 9.9 ± 1.0 mJy bm⁻¹ $(SNR_{\phi} = 9.6)$, respectively. For HD 142527 and IM Lup, the values for ΣU in the same areas are 2.7 ± 1.5 mJy bm ⁻¹ and $3.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ mJy bm}^{-1}$, respectively. At these locathe de-biased Pfrac tions for HD 142527 and IM Lup,



Figure 12. Average HD 142527 CO(2–1) Stokes *IQUV* spectra for the circle shown in Figure 14. Stokes *I* has been divided 80. The pink shaded area shows the velocity range in which we integrated the signals.



Figure 13. Average IM Lup CO(2–1) Stokes *IQU V* spectra for the circle shown in Figure 15. Stokes *I* has been divided 80. The pink shaded area shows the velocity range in which we integrated the signals.

is 1.56 ± 0.18% and 1.01 ± 0.10%, respectivelyThe position angles χ are 69 ± 3.9 and 10.1 ± 3.2, respectively.

We create moment 0 maps for each of the four CO(2-1) Stokes parameters integrated about the pink shaded velocity ranges. These maps are shown in Figures 14 and 15 for HD 142527 and IM Lup, respectively. The circular aperture indicates the area where we show the average spectra in Figures 12 and 13. For HD 142527, there is elevated emission across the major axis of the Stokes *Q* image; given the sensitivity in



Figure 14. HD 142527 *IQU V* moment 0 maps over the velocity range shown in the bottom left of the panel, which is the pink shaded area shown in Figure 12. The spectra in Figure 12 is the average spectra taken at the location of the white circle.



Figure 15. IM Lup *IQU V* moment 0 maps over the velocity range shown in the bottom left of the panel, which is the pink shaded area shown in Figure 13. The spectra in Figure 13 is the average spectra taken at the location of the white circle.

the *QUV* moment 0 maps is $\sigma = 0.78$ mJy bm⁻¹ km s⁻¹, anything that is red-colored is above 2σ and whitecolored is above 3σ . While the > 2σ pixels are blotchy rather than a smooth cohesive structure, we note that for an image that is purely noise, only 2.3% of the area is expected to be above the 2σ value. Since the Stokes *Q* image of HD 142527 has a region where substantially more than 2.3% of the area is above 2σ , this is likely a robust detection. Similarly, the red and white colors for IM Lup (Figure 13) is above 2σ and 3σ , respectively, as the *QUV* moment 0 maps is $\sigma = 0.96$ mJy bm⁻¹ km s⁻¹.

Since we used an algorithm that optimizes a circular aperture to have the best SNR as possible, we wanted to confirm that this algorithm does not create a false signal. We used a Monte Carlo simulation to find the typical SNR that one would expect at each pixel of our CO(2–1) Stokes *Q* maps. As above, for each $\theta_{-1}^{0.11}$ pixel, we searched for the highest SNR_Q possible for a range of circular apertures and channels. We focused on pixels within a radius of 15^{00} from the center of the map, which allows us to probe well beyond the disks where

Stokes *Q* is expected to be pure noise. We tried circular apertures with integer radii sizes between 1 and 27 pixels (0.0^{00} 1 × 3 00). For every circular aperture, we looked for the highest SNR $_{Q}$ possible for 2 to 32 consecutive channels (i.e., a window of sizes between ~0.16 and 2.5 km s¹), restricting the search for a signal within a v_{lsr} velocity range of 0 to 7 km s¹ for HD 142527 and 1 to 8 km s⁻¹ for IM Lup. We chose a maximum window of 2.5 km s¹ because this captures the majority of Stokes *I* for any pixel, and we chose the v_{lsr} ranges because they capture the vast majority of the Stokes *I* emission across the entire map.

Figure 16 shows the maximum SNR $_Q$ at each pixel based on these Monte Carlo simulations. For HD 142527, the mean SNR $_Q$ for each pixel is 4.7 with a standard deviation of 0.9. The signal shown in Figure 12 is indeed the pixel with the highest SNR $_Q$ found (SNR $_Q$ = 8.7). However, there is a signal off the disk with comparable signal (SNR $_Q$ = 8.6). For IM Lup, the mean SNR $_Q$ for each pixel is 5.0 with a standard deviation of 1.0, and the signal shown in Figure 13



Figure 16. Monte Carlo simulation results showing the maximum CO(2-1) SNR Q detected for each pixel for HD 142527 (left) and IM Lup (right). To determine these values, at each pixel we spatial averaged spectra within circular apertures between $0^{.00}_{...11} \times 3^{.00}$ and considered spectral window sizes between ~0.16 and 2.5 km s⁻¹. Of all possible combinations, we picked the largest value, i.e., the maximum SNR Q. See Section 4.3 for more details. White contours show the 1.3 mm Stokes I contours, with levels of [4, 150, 500, 2000] × $\sigma_{l,1.3 \text{ mm}}$, where $\sigma_{l,1.3 \text{ mm}}$ = 37 μ Jy bm⁻¹ for HD 142527 and 22 μ Jy bm⁻¹ for IM Lup. The black crosses in each panel show the the location of the maximum SNR_Q detected; these spectra are shown for HD 142527 and IM Lup in Figures 12 and 13, respectively.

 $(SNR_{O} = 9.6)$ is not the pixel with the highest SNR $_{O}$. Instead, a few pixels off of the disk have slightly higher values of SNR₂, with values up to 10.1. We note that the distribution of the maximum SNR Q for all pixels is not Gaussian, but rather positively skewed. Figure 16 shows that there exists high SNRs well outside of both disks.

Based on these results, we consider these Stokes Q detections as marginal. While the signals in Figures 12 and 13 appear to be robust by eye, and we have characterized the noise as well-behaved (Section 2.3), our Monte Carlo simulations show that our methodology of finding this signal can find signals that are almost certainly false as well. If we repeat the same Monte Carlo simulations toward these disks for Stokes U, the highest values of SNR_U within the areas of the gaseous disks are 6.9 and 8.0 for HD 142527 and IM Lup respectively. Since CO(2-1) Stokes U maps share the same noise characteristics as Stokes Q (Section 2.3), these lower values indicate that the marginal detections of Stokes Q could indeed be real. Neverthelessfinding a marginal signal for each disk only for CO(2-1) Stokes Q is peculiar and may indicate a more complex noise what seems to be a marginal behavior that could not be found with our tests.

As seen in Figures 12 and 13, for both HD 142527 and IM Lup, there is also a negative dip in the Stokes Q spectra at a velocity ~ 1 km s $^{-1}$ higher than the pink shaded area. If we again search the nearby vicinity for the maximum SNRo for different apertures at these negative dips, we find the maximum SNR o for HD 142527 and IM Lup are 5.8 and 8.2 respectively. Based on our Monte Carlo simulations, these are marginal at best. However, the fact that the Stokes Q spectra for both of these disks show a negative dip at the same relative velocity is intriguing, and perhaps indicates a flip in polarization angle at the higher velocity. Nevertheless, given these data, we cannot strongly support this conjecture.

While we detect positive Stokes Q toward parts of the disk, which is suggestive of mostly north-south polarization, we do not detect polarized emission over a large enough area or velocity range to accurately discern any sort of disk polarization morphology. Moreover, trying to infer the magnetic field morphology from these observations is too difficult, given the ambiguity of the GK effect (polarization can be parallel or perpendicular to the magnetic field) and possible effects from resonant scattering (Houde et al. 2013).

5. CONSTRAINING THE POLARIZATION PERCENTAGE OF THE OBSERVATIONS

Although our manual inspection method detected signal in CO(2-1) for HD 142527 and IM Lup, the polarization is only detected for Stokes Q and is only for select areas and velocities. To motivate future observations, it would make sense to put upper limits on the polarization percentage for each spectral line. Unlike continuum polarization, specifying the upper limit for P frac for disks is not straightforward, as it requires specifying both the integrated veloc-



Figure 17. HD 142527 maps of the upper limit for P_{frac} at each pixel (see Section 5) with 3 different spectral lines and velocity bins. From top to bottom, the rows are for CO(2–1), ¹³CO(2–1), and C¹⁸O(2–1). From left to right, the columns are for velocity bins of 0.5 km s¹, 1.0 km s¹, and 1.5 km s¹. Each row shares the same color bar.Black contours show the 1.3 mm continuum, with levels of [4, 150, 500, 2000] × σ_I , where $\sigma_I = 42 \,\mu$ Jy bm⁻¹.

ity range and location. The dominant Stokes *I* emission of an inclined, rotating disk as a function of velocity will (1) start on the blue-shifted side of the major axis, (2) continue toward the minor axis, and (3) finish on the red-shifted side of the major axis. Thus, integrating emission over the entire disk makes less sense than integrating over finer velocity ranges since the entire range would add noise where little to no Stokes *I* emission is detected.

For each spectral line, we make maps of the 3σ upper limit for *P* frac by calculating these upper limits for each pixel for a variety of velocity intervals. We first mask out pixels where the moment 0 maps (Figures 5 and 6) are detected with a signal-to-noise of less than 10. This effectively masks out areas with high *P* frac upper limits, which aids in displaying the upper limit maps with a reasonable stretch. Then for the Stokes *I* spectra of each remaining pixel, we find where the summation of *N* channels is maximum (ΣI) Taking into account the correlation between channels, we determine the 3σ upper limit to *P* frac to be

$$P_{\text{frac},3\sigma} = 3\sigma_{\text{PI}} \frac{\sqrt{1.6N - 0.6}}{\Sigma I},$$
 (8)

where the polarized intensity sensitivity $\sigma_{PI} = \sigma_Q = \sigma_U$. Since we choose the maximum ΣI at each pixel, this method is effectively the "minimum" upper limit at each pixel for the given spatial resolution. Note that minimum upper limits could be reduced further if we did spatial smoothing.

We generate multiple 3σ upper limit maps, each for *N* channels spanning velocity intervals that are a factor of 0.5 km \bar{s}^1 . We show the result for 0.5, 1, and 1.5 km⁻¹s for HD 142527 and IM Lup in Figures 17 and 18, re-



Figure 18. Same as Figure 17, but now for IM Lup and $\sigma = 22 \,\mu$ Jy bm⁻¹.

spectively. Any higher velocity intervals (e.g., 2, 2.5, and 3 km \bar{s}^1) largely result in much worse upper limit sensitivities across the map. In general, the 0.5 km \bar{s}^1 interval performs better (i.e., lower upper limits) toward the outer part of the disk while the 1 and 1.5 km \bar{s}^{-1} intervals perform better for the inner part of the disk.

The upper limits vary drastically across the map for each disk, spectral line, and velocity bin. For the brightest continuum spots of HD 142527, the polarization 3σ upper limits are typically less than 3% for CO(2–1) and ¹³CO(2–1), and 4% for C ¹⁸O(2–1). For the brightest continuum spots of IM Lup, the polarization 3σ upper limits are typically less than 3% for CO(2–1), 4% for ¹³CO(2–1), and 12% for C ¹⁸O(2–1). While these are typical values, one can see from these Figures that toward some areas of the disks, the 3σ upper limit can be over a factor of two lower.

We can also constrain the upper limit to the P_{frac} for the GoFish stacking methods presented in Section 4.2.

Table 2. GoFish *P*_{frac} 3σ Upper Limits

| | HD 142527 | | IM Lup | |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Line | Whole Disk | Quadrants | Whole Disk | Quadrants |
| CO(2-1) | 0.2% - 0.3% 0 | 0.3% - 0.9% 0.2 | % – 0.3% 0.3% - | - 0.5% |
| ¹³ CO(2–1) | 0.3% – 0.4% 0 | 0.4% – 0.9% 0.3 | % – 0.5% 0.6% · | - 1.0% |
| C ¹⁸ O(2–1) | 0.4% - 0.5% 0 |).5% – 1.1% 1.1 | % – 1.4% 1.9% - | - 3.3% |

Note—Stacking constraints using GoFish (Section 4.2). Minimum and maximum 3 σ upper limits for windows of 0.5 km s⁻¹, 1.0 km s⁻¹ and 1.5 km s⁻¹ for the whole disk, and for repeating the analysis for different disk quadrants. The radii range used for the stacking is discussed in Section 4.2.

We once again use Equation 8 to calculate the "minimum" 3σ upper limit for each stacked GoFish spectra for both the entire disk (Figures 9 and 10 for CO(2–1); see Appendix for¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1) transitions) and when stacking different disk quadrants (Figure 11 for CO(2–1); also see Appendix for ¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1) transitions). We only report values for velocity intervals of 0.5, 1, and 1.5 km s⁻¹ because higher velocity spans do not result in better sensitivities. We take σ_{PI} to be the noise of the Stokes *V* stacked spectrum. The 3σ upper limits are given as ranges in Table 2. Ranges are given since the 3σ upper limits vary significantly depending on the velocity interval and (for the quadrant analysis) the quadrant analyzed. We report the minimum and maximum 3σ upper limit found for every permutation of velocity interval and quadrant. Compared to the pixel by pixel analysis, the stacking method performs better, having lower 3σ upper limits by a factor of up to ~10.

For each line and source, we executed one thousand Monte Carlo runs to test how the Table 2 upper limits change with input parameters. In these runs, we randomly add Gaussian noise to the mass, inclination, and position angles because the Keplerian profile strongly depends on these parameters. For the mass, we assume that σ of the Gaussian noise is 15% of the estimated masses of the disks. For the inclination and position angle, we assume that σ of the Gaussian noise is 5°. These runs showed that each value in Table 2 is typical, as both the medians and means of these values over the one thousand runs were almost identical to their values. For each value in Table 2, the typical standard deviation for the 1000 runs is <10% of its value.

Altering the radii range used for stacking slightly affects the upper limit values in Table 2. For example, adding or subtracting an arcsecond to r_{max} affects the upper limits by zero to three tenths of a percent.

6. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We use ALMA to observe polarization toward HD 142527 and IM Lup in the 1.3 mm continuum and for the spectral lines CO(2–1), 13 CO(2–1), and C¹⁸O(2–1) at ~0.5 00 (80 au) resolution. We find the following results:

1. While the 1.3 mm continuum images for HD 142527 and IM Lup show many similar features to the 870 μ m images published in other studies, there are significant differences. The polarization toward the northern dust trap in HD 142527 shows a rapid change in the morphology and P frac with wavelength. Whether this wavelength dependence is consistent with the scattering interpretation previously proposed for the Band 7 polarization remains to be determined. The polarization toward the southern part of HD 142527 has typically been attributed to grain alignment, but P frac is surprisingly smaller at 1.3 mm than it is at 870 μ m. The polarization morphology toward IM Lup appears more azimuthal at 1.3 mm than 870 μ m. $P_{\rm frac}$ decreases between 1.3 mm and 870 μ m, which is the opposite of HL Tau. This could possibly be explained by optical depth effects or grains that are 100s of μ m in size.

- For both disks, CO(2–1) is very optically thick (τ typically >30), while ¹³CO(2–1) is only moderately so (τ ~ 1 to a few). C¹⁸O(2–1) is typically optically thin, but still has significant optical depth (τ ~ 0.1 1). Based on optical depth alone, we expect ¹³CO(2–1) and C ¹⁸O(2–1) to be optimal for probing the GK effect in these disks, while CO(2–1) is expected to be suboptimal. However, the lines are thermalized in the midplane, suggesting the detected line polarization would come from regions above the midplane.
- 3. Despite the above expectations for optical depth, polarization is only detected for CO(2–1), and only for small parts of the disk. Such a detection requires spatial averaging and integrating over a velocity range. The polarization signal for both disks is found for Stokes Q only, and our Monte Carlo simulations suggest these are marginal detections P_{frac} for HD 142527 and IM Lup are 1.56 ± 0.18% and 1.01 ± 0.10%, respectively. The polarization is detected for only small parts of the disks over a limited velocity range, and thus is insufficient for estimating a polarization or magnetic field pattern.
- 4. We constrain the 3σ upper limit for *P* frac at the resolution of the observations (~0.⁰⁰5 or ~80 au). Toward the brightest parts of HD 142527, the 3σ upper limit is typically less than 3% for CO(2–1) and ¹³CO(2–1) and 4% for C⁸O(2–1). Toward the brightest parts of IM Lup the 3σ upper limits are typically less than 3%, 4%, and 12%, respectively. Stacking based on Keplerian rotation has 3σ upper limits that are up to a factor of ~10 lower, but we note that the stacking method can potentially average out small-scale polarization structure.

So far, Lankhaar & Vlemmings (2020) has the only model that predicts line polarization for a disk. This paper primarily introduces PORTAL, which is a code for three-dimensional polarized line radiative transfer modeling. Their prescription for a disk is somewhat limited and it is only for CO(3–2), and they defer detailed analysis to a future paper. They showed predicted polarization morphologies for both a face-on disk and a 45° inclined disk, making them fairly analogous with HD 142527 and IM Lup, respectively. For the face-on disk, for both toroidal and radial fields, the polarization toward the center is expected to be near 0%, while toward the edges, it reaches values of ~0.5%. For the 45° inclined disk, both toroidal and radial fields, polarization is near 0% for most of the disks. For the toroidal field, there are few locations along the major and minor axes where polarization can reach up to 0.5%. For radial fields, the polarization can even reach up to 9%, but the polarization is only significant for the central ~40 au (i.e., about half our beam size) and only along the minor axis of the disk. Beaming smearing would reduce these levels considerably. Lankhaar & Vlemmings (2020) already predicts low polarization levels for CO(3-2), but they do not show detailed predictions for the $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ CO isotopologues. Future modeling of line polarization tailored for disks may show why these two disks have low levels of polarization for emission for these CO isotopologue transitions.

These observations are the first published attempt to resolve linear line polarization for circumstellar disks. Polarization is undoubtedly low. Other transitions of CO isotopologues should be observationally explored. The polarization fraction largely depends on the optical depth and radiative rates, so observations of both higher and lower frequency CO isotopologue transitions should be attempted to search for a polarized morphologyNevertheless, because the lines are thermalized in the midplane, any detection of CO polarization either comes from beyond the midplane or is from some other (possibly unknown) effect. Other molecules could also be observationally attempted, though most molecules are much less abundant, and it has been predicted that $R_{\rm ac}$ decreases with the mass for a linear molecule (Deguchi & Watson 1984).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge an anonymous referee for giving useful comments on the paper. We thank Philip Myers, Patricio Sanhueza, and Haifeng Yang for a useful discussions and Haifeng Yang for code that helped to generate some figures. ZYL acknowledges support from NASA 80NSSC18K1095 and 80NSSC20K0533 and NSF AST-1716259 and AST-1815784. LWL acknowledges support from NSF AST-1910364. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universi-This paper makes use of the followties, Inc. ADS/JAO.ALMA#2015.1.00425.S, ing ALMA data: #2016.1.00712.S, and #2018.1.01172.S, ALMA is а partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

Facility: NSF's Atacama Large Millimiter/submillimeter Array (ALMA)

Software: APLpy (Robitaille & Bressert 2012), CASA v5.6.0, (McMullin et al. 2007), GoFish (Teague 2019), PySpecKit (Ginsburg & Mirocha 2011)

REFERENCES

- Alcalá, J. M., Manara, C. F., Natta, A., et al. 2017, A&A, 600, A20
- Alves, F. O., Girart, J. M., Padovani, M., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A56
- Andersson, B.-G., Lazarian, A., & Vaillancourt, J. E. 2015, ARA&A, 53, 501
- Andrews, S. M., Wilner, D. J., Espaillat, C., et al. 2011, ApJ, 732, 42
- Andrews, S. M., Huang, J., P'erez, L. M., et al. 2018, ApJL, 869, L41
- Arun, R., Mathew, B., Manoj, P., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 159
- Avenhaus, H., Quanz, S. P., Schmid, H. M., et al. 2014, ApJ, 781, 87
- Bacciotti, F., Girart, J. M., Padovani, M., et al. 2018, ApJL, 865, L12
- Balbus, S. A., & Hawley, J. F. 1998, Reviews of Modern Physics, 70, 1
- Beuther, H., Vlemmings, W. H. T., Rao, R., & van der Tak, F. F. S. 2010, ApJL, 724, L113
- Biller, B., Lacour, S., Juh´asz, A., et al. 2012, ApJL, 753, L38
- Canovas, H., M'enard, F., Hales, A., et al. 2013, A&A, 556, A123
- Carrasco-González, C., Henning, T., Chandler, C. J., et al. 2016, ApJL, 821, L16
- Carrasco-González, C., Sierra, A., Flock, M., et al. 2019, ApJ, 883, 71
- Casassus, S., Perez M., S., Jordaín, A., et al. 2012, ApJL, 754, L31
- Chamma, M. A., Houde, M., Girart, J. M., & Rao, R. 2018, MNRAS, 480, 3123
- Ching, T.-C., Lai, S.-P., Zhang, Q., et al. 2016, ApJ, 819, 159
- Christiaens, V., Casassus, S., Perez, S., van der Plas, G., & M'enard, F. 2014, ApJL, 785, L12
- Cleeves, L. I., Öberg, K. I., Wilner, D. J., et al. 2016, ApJ, 832, 110
- Close, L. M., Follette, K. B., Males, J. R., et al. 2014, ApJL, 781, L30
- Cortes, P., & Crutcher, R. M. 2006, ApJ, 639, 965
- Cortes, P. C., Crutcher, R. M., & Matthews, B. C. 2006, ApJ, 650, 246
- Cortes, P. C., Crutcher, R. M., Shepherd, D. S., & Bronfman, L. 2008, ApJ, 676, 464
- Cortes, P. C., Crutcher, R. M., & Watson, W. D. 2005, ApJ, 628, 780
- Crutcher, R. M., & Kemball, A. J. 2019, Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences, 6, 66
- Deguchi, S., & Watson, W. D. 1984, ApJ, 285, 126

- Dent, W. R. F., Pinte, C., Cortes, P. C., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 482, L29
- Donati, J.-F., Paletou, F., Bouvier, J., & Ferreira, J. 2005, Nature, 438, 466
- Fernández-López, M., Stephens, I. W., Girart, J. M., et al. 2016, ApJ, 832, 200
- Forbrich, J., Wiesemeyer, H., Thum, C., Belloche, A., & Menten, K. M. 2008, A&A, 492, 757
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Ginsburg, A., & Mirocha, J. 2011, PySpecKit: Python Spectroscopic Toolkit, Astrophysics Source Code Library, , , ascl:1109.001
- Girart, J. M., Crutcher, R. M., & Rao, R. 1999, ApJL, 525, L109
- Girart, J. M., Patel, N., Vlemmings, W. H. T., & Rao, R. 2012, ApJL, 751, L20
- Glenn, J., Walker, C. K., Bieging, J. H., & Jewell, P. R. 1997a, ApJL, 487, L89
- Glenn, J., Walker, C. K., & Jewell, P. R. 1997b, ApJ, 479, 325
- Goldreich, P., & Kylafis, N. D. 1981, ApJL, 243, L75
- Greaves, J. S., Holland, W. S., Friberg, P., & Dent, W. R. F. 1999, ApJL, 512, L139
- Greaves, J. S., Holland, W. S., & Ward-Thompson, D. 2001, ApJL, 546, L53
- Harrison, R. E., Looney, L. W., Stephens, I. W., et al. 2019, ApJL, 877, L2
- Hezareh, T., Wiesemeyer, H., Houde, M., Gusdorf, A., & Siringo, G. 2013, A&A, 558, A45
- Hirota, T., Plambeck, R. L., Wright, M. C. H., et al. 2020, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2005.13077
- Houde, M., Hezareh, T., Jones, S., & Rajabi, F. 2013, ApJ, 764, 24
- Huang, K. Y., Kemball, A. J., Vlemmings, W. H. T., et al. 2020, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2007.00215
- Hull, C. L. H., & Plambeck, R. L. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation, 4, 1550005
- Hull, C. L. H., Yang, H., Li, Z.-Y., et al. 2018, ApJ, 860, 82
- Kataoka, A., Tsukagoshi, T., Pohl, A., et al. 2017, ApJL, 844, L5
- Kataoka, A., Muto, T., Momose, M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 809, 78
- Kataoka, A., Tsukagoshi, T., Momose, M., et al. 2016, ApJL, 831, L12
- Kirchschlager, F., & Bertrang, G. H. M. 2020, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2004.13742
- Konigl, A., & Pudritz, R. E. 2000, Protostars and Planets IV, 759

- Lacour, S., Biller, B., Cheetham, A., et al. 2016, A&A, 590, A90
- Lai, S.-P., Girart, J. M., & Crutcher, R. M. 2003, ApJ, 598, 392
- Lankhaar, B., & Vlemmings, W. 2020, A&A, 636, A14
- Lazarian, A., & Hoang, T. 2007, MNRAS, 378, 910
- Lee, C.-F., Hwang, H.-C., Ching, T.-C., et al. 2018, Nature Communications, 9, 4636
- Lee, C.-F., Rao, R., Ching, T.-C., et al. 2014, ApJL, 797, L9
- Li, H.-B., & Henning, T. 2011, Nature, 479, 499
- Lin, Z.-Y. D., Li, Z.-Y., Yang, H., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 496, 169
- Loomis, R. A., Öberg, K. I., Andrews, S. M., et al. 2018, AJ, 155, 182
- Lyo, A. R., Ohashi, N., Qi, C., Wilner, D. J., & Su, Y.-N. 2011, AJ, 142, 151
- Malfait, K., Bogaert, E., & Waelkens, C. 1998, A&A, 331, 211
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 376, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI, ed. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, 127
- Ohashi, S., Kataoka, A., Nagai, H., et al. 2018, ApJ, 864, 81
- Perez, S., Casassus, S., M'enard, F., et al. 2015, ApJ, 798, 85
- Rameau, J., Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A. M., et al. 2012, A&A, 546, A24
- Robitaille, T., & Bressert, E. 2012, APLpy: Astronomical Plotting Library in Python, Astrophysics Source Code Library, , , ascl:1208.017

- Sabin, L., Zhang, Q., V'azquez, R., & Steffen, W. 2019, MNRAS, 484, 2966
- Sadavoy, S. I., Stephens, I. W., Myers, P. C., et al. 2019, ApJS, 245, 2
- Shinnaga, H., Claussen, M. J., Yamamoto, S., & Shimojo, M. 2017, PASJ, 69, L10
- Stephens, I. W., Looney, L. W., Kwon, W., et al. 2014, Nature, 514, 597
- Stephens, I. W., Yang, H., Li, Z.-Y., et al. 2017, ApJ, 851, 55
- Tazaki, R., Lazarian, A., & Nomura, H. 2017, ApJ, 839, 56
- Teague, R. 2019, The Journal of Open Source Software, 4, 1632
- van den Ancker, M. E., de Winter, D., & Tjin A Djie, H. R. E. 1998, A&A, 330, 145
- Vlemmings, W. H. T., Ramstedt, S., Rao, R., & Maercker, M. 2012, A&A, 540, L3
- Vlemmings, W. H. T., Khouri, T., Mart´ı-Vidal, I., et al. 2017, A&A, 603, A92
- Vlemmings, W. H. T., Lankhaar, B., Cazzoletti, P., et al. 2019, A&A, 624, L7
- Yang, H., Li, Z.-Y., Looney, L., & Stephens, I. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 2794
- Yang, H., Li, Z.-Y., Looney, L. W., Girart, J. M., & Stephens, I. W. 2017, MNRAS, 472, 373
- Yang, H., Li, Z.-Y., Stephens, I. W., Kataoka, A., & Looney, L. 2019, MNRAS, 483, 2371

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we show ¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1) images made using the GoFish stacking techniques used in Section 4.2. Constraints on the $P_{\rm frac}$ based on these stacking techniques are given in Section 5. Figures 19 and 20 show the GoFish stacking technique across the entire disk for HD 142527 for¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1), respectively. Figures 21 and 22 show the GoFish stacking technique across the entire disk for IM Lup for ¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1), respectively. Figures 23 and 24 show the GoFish stacking based on disk quadrants for ¹³CO(2–1) and C¹⁸O(2–1), respectively, for both disks.



Figure 19. HD 142527 *IQUV* ¹³CO(2–1) spectra, stacking based on the Keplerian profile of the disk via GoFish across the entire disk out to a radius of 3 00 . The stacked Stokes *I* signal has been divided by 120.



Figure 20. HD 142527 *IQUV* C ¹⁸O(2–1) spectra, stacking based on the Keplerian profile of the disk via GoFish across the entire disk out to a radius of 2 ⁰⁰. The stacked Stokes *I* signal has been divided by 40.



Figure 21. IM Lup *IQUV* ¹³CO(2–1) spectra, stacking based on the Keplerian profile of the disk via GoFish across the entire disk out to a radius of 3 ⁰⁰. The stacked Stokes *I* signal has been divided by 50.



Figure 22. IM Lup IQUVC ¹⁸O(2–1) spectra, stacking based on the Keplerian profile of the disk via GoFish across the entire disk out to a radius of 3 ⁰⁰. The stacked Stokes *I* signal has been divided by 20.



Figure 23. Same as Figure 11, except now for the spectral line ¹³CO(2–1). Stokes *I* for HD 142527 and IM Lup have been divided by 120 and 50, respectively.



Figure 24. Same as Figure 11, except now for the spectral line C¹⁸O(2–1). Stokes *I* for HD 142527 and IM Lup have been divided by 40 and 20, respectively.