

1      *Original article*

3      **Targeted Transcriptomics of Frog Virus 3 in Infected Frog Tissues Reveal Non-Coding**  
4      **Regulatory Elements and microRNAs in the Ranaviral Genome and Their Potential**  
5      **Interaction with Host Immune Response**

6

7      Yun Tian<sup>a</sup>, Collins Khwatenge<sup>a</sup>, Jiuyi Li<sup>a</sup>, Francisco De Jesus Andino<sup>b</sup>, Jacques Robert<sup>b\*</sup>,

8      Yongming Sang<sup>a\*</sup>

9

10     <sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, College of Agriculture,  
11     Tennessee State University, 3500 John A. Merritt Boulevard, Nashville, TN 37209, USA;  
12     ytian@tnstate.edu; ckhwaten@tnstate.edu; jli4@tnstate.edu

13     <sup>b</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Rochester Medical Center,  
14     Rochester, NY 14642, USA; Francisco\_Dejesus@URMC.Rochester.edu

15

16     \* Correspondence authors: Jacques\_Robert@urmc.rochester.edu; Tel.: +1-585-275-1722 (JR),  
17     and ysang@tnstate.edu; Tel.: 615-963-5183 (YS)

20      **Running title: Non-Coding Regulatory Elements in a Ranaviral Genome**

22      Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

## 25 ABSTRACT

26 **Background:** Frog Virus 3 (FV3) is a large dsDNA virus belonging to Ranaviruses of family  
27 *Iridoviridae*. Ranaviruses infect cold-blood vertebrates including amphibians, fish and  
28 reptiles, and contribute to catastrophic amphibian declines. FV3 has a genome at ~105 kb  
29 that contains nearly 100 coding genes and 50 intergenic regions as annotated in its reference  
30 genome. Previous studies have mainly focused on coding genes and rarely addressed  
31 potential non-coding regulatory role of intergenic regions.

32 **Results:** Using a whole transcriptomic analysis of total RNA samples containing both the  
33 viral and cellular transcripts from FV3-infected frog tissues, we detected virus-specific  
34 reads mapping in non-coding intergenic regions, in addition to reads from coding genes.  
35 Further analyses identified multiple *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) in intergenic regions  
36 neighboring highly transcribed coding genes. These CREs include not only a virus TATA-  
37 Box present in FV3 core promoters as in eukaryotic genes, but also viral mimics of CREs  
38 interacting with several transcription factors including CEBPs, CREBs, IRFs, NF- $\kappa$ B, and  
39 STATs, which are critical for regulation of cellular immunity and cytokine responses. Our  
40 study suggests that intergenic regions immediately upstream of highly expressed FV3  
41 genes have evolved to bind IRFs, NF- $\kappa$ B, and STATs more efficiently. Moreover, we found  
42 an enrichment of putative microRNA (miRNA) sequences in more than five intergenic  
43 regions of the FV3 genome. Our sequence analysis indicates that a fraction of these viral  
44 miRNAs is targeting the 3'-UTR regions of *Xenopus* genes involved in interferon (IFN)-  
45 dependent responses, including particularly those encoding IFN receptor subunits and  
46 IFN-regulatory factors (IRFs).

47 **Conclusions:** Using the FV3 model, this study provides a first genome-wide analysis of  
48 non-coding regulatory mechanisms adopted by ranaviruses to epigenetically regulate both  
49 viral and host gene expressions, which have co-evolved to interact especially with the host  
50 IFN response.

51

52

53 **Keywords:** Frog Virus 3, Ranavirus, Transcriptome, *cis*-Regulatory elements, microRNA,  
54 Interferon signaling

55

56 1. INTRODUCTION  
57

58 Frog virus 3 (FV3) is a large (~105 kb), double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) virus belonging to  
59 Ranaviruses of the family *Iridoviridae*, which consists of a group of emerging viruses  
60 infecting fish, amphibians, and reptiles [1,2]. FV3 infects amphibians at various life stages;  
61 whereas the infection is usually lethal in tadpoles, adult animals are more resistant and even  
62 become asymptomatic carrier following the infection. Hence, FV3 has been isolated from  
63 both sick and apparently healthy frogs in the wild and laboratory conditions [1-3]. The  
64 association of FV3 with apparently healthy frogs indicates host-adaptive evolution for  
65 effective viral transmission and infection manifested at susceptible stages during the  
66 amphibian life cycle [1-3]. This resembles the balance between deadliness and  
67 contagiousness exhibited by most successful viruses, which have effectively caused  
68 epidemics even pandemics in affected animals and humans [4]. Increasing evidence  
69 suggests that Ranaviruses are important contributors of the catastrophic global amphibian  
70 declines, which pose emerging pressure on bio-ecological health and biodiversity [5-7]. So  
71 far, FV3 acts as the most frequently reported iridovirus in infected anuran cases worldwide;  
72 it is widespread in wild amphibians and the only ranavirus detected in turtles in North  
73 America [5-8]. Vilaça et al., (2019) detected several FV3 lineages in wild amphibians in  
74 Canada, and these new FV3 isolates seem to have undergone genetic recombination with  
75 common midwife toad virus (CMTV) [8,9]. In this context, CMTV represents another  
76 ranavirus endangering amphibians and reptiles throughout Europe and Asia [8,9]. Owing  
77 to their prevalence and negative impact on many aquatic vertebrate species, more extensive  
78 studies of ranavirus biology at the genomic and molecular level are needed [1-9].  
79

80 FV3 is the one of the best characterized models for ranaviral research, and previous  
81 studies using this virus have discovered features applicable to all iridoviruses, including the  
82 characterization of two-stage viral genome replication, phage-like hyper-methylated  
83 genomic DNA, temporal transcription of coding genes, and virus-mediated arrest of host  
84 immune response [10-14]. Focused on coding genes, early studies had classically examined  
85 the expression of 47 viral RNAs and 35 viral proteins in FV3-infected fish cell lines, and  
86 designated them into immediate early, delayed early, and late genes expressed in a  
87 sequential fashion during the viral infection [10-12]. Majji et al. (2015) reported a first FV3  
88 transcriptomic analysis of all putative annotated 98 coding genes (or open reading frames,  
89 ORFs) using microarray [15]. They identified 33 immediate early (IE) genes, 22 delayed early  
90 (DE) genes, 36 late (L) viral genes, while seven genes remained undetermined [15]. These  
91 previous transcriptomic studies were performed *in vitro* mostly using a model of fathead  
92 minnow (FHM) fish cells [10-12,15]. Thus, FV3's transcriptomic information *in vivo* in  
93 infected amphibians under pressure from host various microenvironment and immune  
94 responses may provide important and more realistic information about ranaviral  
95 transcriptome. Furthermore, besides the 98 coding genes that occupy about 80% of FV3's  
96 genome, there are about 50 intergenic regions from 20 to 900 nt long spanning the remaining  
97 ~20% of FV3's genome. The potential regulatory property and transcription of these non-  
98 coding genomic regions is largely unknown. Given the relatively small size of viral genomes  
99 (even for large DNA viruses), it is reasonable to hypothesize that these intergenic regions in  
100 the FV3 genome exert a regulatory role underlying viral gene expression and virus-host  
101 interaction, especially at the epigenetic level [16,17].  
102

103 The best-characterized core promoter in eukaryotic genes contains a TATA-Box, which  
104 is located at the positions -25 and -30 from the transcription start site (TSS). The TATA-Box  
105 is recognized by the TATA-binding protein (TBP) in a complex of several other transcription  
106 factors (TF), which recruits the RNA polymerase II (pol II) to initiate transcription process  
107 [18]. Viruses rely on cellular metabolism for completing their infection cycle. Viral genes,  
108 thus, adopt similar *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) for interacting with host transcription  
109 machinery and orchestrating viral and host gene expression [16]. For example, in human  
110 herpes simplex viruses (HSV), a recent study detected the binding sites for TBP, pol II, and  
111 a viral ICP4 protein on the promoter regions of representative immediate early (IE), early  
112 (E), and late (L) genes, and relevant CRE-TF interaction to mediate associated HSV gene  
113 expression in a function of time post-infection [19]. Various promoter elements have also  
114 been examined in other large dsDNA viruses of *Poxviridae*, *Asfarviridae*; *Phycodnaviridae* and  
115 *Iridoviridae* [20]. Studies of viral gene promoters in iridoviruses have mainly used FV3 and  
116 only focused on a few genes. A *cis*-element with 23 bp core region at 78-bp upstream of a  
117 major FV3 IE gene encoding ICP-18 (a.k.a, ICR-169, encoded by FV3gorf82R), was shown to  
118 interact with a FV3 protein (and potentially other cellular transcription factors) critical for  
119 transcription of ICP-18 gene [21]. Additional analysis of the promoter region for another IE  
120 gene encoding ICP-46 (a.k.a ICR489, encoded by FV3gor91R) detected no similar CRE [22].  
121 This lack of similarity between the two IE gene promoters indicated that the temporal  
122 regulation of IE genes is diverse. Furthermore, other CRE elements including those  
123 containing 'TATA', 'CAAT', and 'GC' motifs were identified in the ICP46 gene promoter,  
124 like to those of typical eukaryotic gene promoters [21-23]. Other studies of three Bohle  
125 iridovirus genes — two early (*ICP-18* and *ICP-46*) and one late (major capsid protein [MCP])  
126 identified conservative CRE motifs located 127 to 281 bp upstream of the transcription start  
127 site (TSS), and other ones located within 30 bp proximity to the TSS [21-24]. While these  
128 studies provide a good first step, a more extensive analyses of promoter and relevant *cis*-  
129 *trans* interaction are imperative for understanding the temporal expression and  
130 transcriptomic profile of ranaviral genes, and for progressing in comparative studies of large  
131 dsDNA viruses [16,20].  
132

133 Viruses have evolved various strategies to evade host immune responses. In addition  
134 to the commonly studied antagonistic role exerted by viral proteins, multiple families of  
135 viruses, particularly DNA viruses, also encode regulatory microRNA (miRNA) species [25].  
136 miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs acting as RNA silencing and post-transcriptional  
137 regulators of gene expression by targeting primarily 3'-UTR regions of cellular transcripts.  
138 Virus-derived miRNAs (v-miR) potently act on either host or virus transcripts, and have  
139 been shown to be critical in shaping host-pathogen interaction [26]. A variety of v-miRs has  
140 been identified in different DNA viruses, and their role in viral pathogenesis is emerging.  
141 v-miRs can subvert host defense responses and mediate other cellular processes such as cell  
142 death and proliferation. Whether v-miRs are present in ranavirus and play a role in  
143 regulation of virus-host interaction is largely unknown [25,26].  
144

145 Along with recent virome studies and the identification of novel ranavirus isolates [8,9],  
146 we performed a whole transcriptomic analysis (RNA-Seq) using total RNA samples  
147 containing both the viral and cell transcripts from FV3-infected frog tissues [27]. The virus-  
148 specific transcriptome mapped authentic reads, which spanned the full FV3's genome at  
149 ~10 $\times$  depth (both positive and negative strands) in several infected tissue including intestine,  
150 liver, spleen, lung and particularly kidney. Focusing on viral coding genes, we previously

151 profiled their differential expression in a virus-, tissue-, and temporal class-dependent  
152 manners. Further functional analysis based on transcriptomic detection unraveled some  
153 viral genes encoding hypothetical proteins that contain domains mimicking conserved  
154 motifs found in host interferon (IFN) regulatory factors (IRFs) or IFN receptors [27]. The IFN  
155 system is a critical antiviral mechanism that has diversified during vertebrate evolution. The  
156 IFN system in most tetrapod species include three types of IFNs (type I, II, and III), which  
157 are classified mainly based on type-specific molecular signatures and recognizing receptors  
158 [28-30]. The binding of an IFN ligand with its cognate receptor, thus, elicits a signaling  
159 cascade involving IFN receptors and various transcription factors such as IRFs and STATs  
160 [28-30].

161 Here, we report that in addition to reads mapping in the coding region, we also detected  
162 RNA-Seq reads that distributed in non-coding intergenic regions of both positive and  
163 negative strands the FV3 genome. Further analyses identified various non-coding  
164 regulatory *CREs* in these intergenic regions corresponding to transcriptomic profiles of the  
165 coding genes. These *CREs* include those similar to TATA-Box marking the core promoters  
166 of typical eukaryotic genes [18], and viral mimics of *CREs* interacting with various  
167 transcription factors including CEBPs, CREBs, IRFs, NF- $\kappa$ B, and STATs, which are critical  
168 for regulation of cellular immunity and cytokine responses in antimicrobial immunity  
169 [29,32]. Moreover, we discovered for the first time, an enrichment of putative viral miRNA  
170 sequences in more than five intergenic regions of FV3 genome. A variety of these viral  
171 miRNAs have the potential to target the 3'-UTR of *Xenopus* genes involved in antiviral IFN  
172 response, including those encoding IFN receptor subunits and IRFs [26]. Collectively, using  
173 FV3 model, this study provides a first comprehensive genome-wide analysis of non-coding  
174 regulatory mechanisms acquired by ranavirus pathogens to epigenetically regulate both  
175 viral and host gene expressions.

176

177

## 178 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

179

180 **Virus stock preparation, cell culture, and animals.** Two Frog virus 3 (FV3) strains, a wild  
181 type (FV3-WT) and an ORF64R-deprived strain (FV3- $\Delta$ 64R), were used. The virus  
182 preparation and animal infection were conducted as previously described [13,27,33]. In  
183 brief, fathead minnow (FHM) cells (ATCC® CCL-42) or baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells  
184 (ATCC® CCL-10) or a kidney A6 cell line (ATCC® CCL-102) were maintained and used for  
185 propagation and titration of FV3 virus stocks. Virus stocks were purified and the virus load  
186 was assessed by plaque assays in the BHK or A6 cells. Outbred specific-pathogen-free adult  
187 (1-2 years old) frogs were obtained from the *X. laevis* research resource for immunology at  
188 the University of Rochester (<http://www.urmc.rochester.edu/mbi/resources/xenopus-laevis/>).

189

190

191 **Ethics statement, animal infection and tissue collection.** Animal handling procedures were  
192 approved and performed under strict laboratory and University Committee on Animal  
193 Resources (UCAR) regulations (approval number 100577/2003-151). Adult frogs with the  
194 comparable Age/body-weight were randomly allotted into mock controls and infected  
195 groups (n = 5/group). Animal infections were conducted by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection  
196 with FV3-WT (at 1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> PFU/each) or FV3- $\Delta$ 64R (at 1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> PFU/each) virus in 100- $\mu$ l  
197 amphibian phosphate-buffered saline solution (APBS) or only APBS for mock controls. At  
198 0, 1, 3, and 6 days postinfection (dpi), animals were euthanized and indicated tissues were

199 sampled and pairwise allotted for classical viral titration and gene expression analyses, and  
200 the samples of 3 dpi were cryopreserved for further transcriptomic analysis as described  
201 [13,27,31,33].

202  
203 **DNA/RNA extraction and PCR/RT-PCR assays.** Total RNA and DNA were isolated from  
204 frog cells or tissues using a TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) for PCR-based assays or a column-  
205 based RNA/DNA/protein purification kit (Norgen Biotek, Ontario, Canada) for  
206 transcriptomic analysis. RNA concentration and integrity were examined with a NanoDrop  
207 8000 spectrometer (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE) and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent  
208 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) to ensure RNA samples with A260/A280>1.8 and RNA  
209 integrity number (RIN) >7.0 qualified for construction of sequencing libraries [27,31,33].  
210

211 Quantitative PCR (qPCR) or qRT-PCR assays were conducted as described [29,31]. In brief,  
212 150 ng/reaction of DNA templates were used to measure FV3 gene copies based on detection  
213 of FV3gorf60R, which encodes a viral DNA polymerase II (Pol II), in an ABI 7300 real-time  
214 PCR system and PerfeCta SYBR green FastMix, ROX (Quanta) [29,31]. For qRT-PCR  
215 analyses, assays were performed in a 96-well microplate format using a QuantStudio™ 3  
216 Real-Time PCR System (Thermofisher) with the validated primers. Reactions were formed  
217 with a SYBR Green RT-PCR kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) with 500 ng of total RNA in a 20- $\mu$ l  
218 reaction mixture. Specific optic detection was set at 78 °C for 15 s after each amplification  
219 cycle of 95 °C for 15 s, 56–59 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 40 s. Cycle threshold (Ct) values and  
220 melt curves were monitored and collected with an enclosed software. Relative gene  
221 expression was first normalized against Ct values of the housekeeping gene (GAPDH) for  
222 relative expression levels, and compared with the expression levels of control samples for  
223 stimulated regulation if needed [29,31,33].  
224

225 **Transcriptomic analyses (RNA-Seq).** RNA sample and RNA-Seq sequencing library  
226 preparation were performed using the Illumina Pipeline (Novogene, Sacramento, CA) as  
227 previously described [27]. For RNA-Seq, approximately 40 M clean reads per sample were  
228 generated for sufficient genome-wide coverage. The clean reads were assembled and  
229 mapped to the Reference genome/transcripts of *X. laevis* or FV3 virus through Xenbase  
230 (<http://ftp.xenbase.org/>) or NCBI genome ports (<ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>  
231 genomes/all/GCF), respectively. Data of virus-targeted transcriptome was reported here.  
232 The workflow of RNA-Seq analysis, bioinformatics software used, and some exemplary  
233 data to show general quality and comparability of the transcriptome data was schematically  
234 shown and previously reported [27]. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between two  
235 treatments were called using DeSeq and edgeR packages and visualized using bar charts  
236 (FPKM) or heatmaps (Log2 fold ratio) as previously described [27]. The transcriptomic  
237 dataset was deposited in the NIH Short Read Archive (SRA) linked to a BioProject with an  
238 accession number of PRJNA705195.  
239

240 **FV3-genome intergenic regions and associated CRE analyses:** The sequences of 51  
241 intergenic regions between coding ORFs (including the 5'- and 3'-UTR regions of the viral  
242 genome) were extracted from FV3's reference genome (GenBank accession number:  
243 NC\_005946.1). The sequences were aligned using the multiple sequence alignment tools of  
244 ClustalW or Muscle through an EMBL-EBI port (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/>). Other sequence  
245 management was conducted using programs at the Sequence Manipulation Suite  
246 (<http://www.bioinformatics.org>). Sequence alignments were visualized using Jalview

247 (<http://www.jalview.org>) and MEGAx (<https://www.megasoftware.net>). Two  
248 programs/databases were used to confirm each other for the major *CRE* detection. The *CREs*  
249 (and corresponding binding TFs) in intergenic regions were examined against both  
250 human/animal TFD Database using a program Nsite (Version 5.2013, at  
251 <http://www.softberry.com>). The mean position weight matrix (PWM) of key *cis*-elements in  
252 intergenic regions were examined and calculated using PWM tools through  
253 <https://ccg.epfl.ch/cgi-bin/pwmtools>, and the binding motif matrices of examined TFs were  
254 extracted from MEME-derived JASPAR CORE 2020 vertebrates or JASPAR CORE 2018  
255 vertebrates clustering affiliated with the PWM tools [34].

256

257 **Comparative *CRE*-analysis of intergenic regions immediately upstream of top-ranked  
258 highly expressed FV3 genes:** FV3's coding genes were categorized based on their temporal  
259 classes into immediate early (IE), delayed early (DE), and late (L) viral transcripts as  
260 previously designated. The expression levels of individual FV3 ORF coding genes were  
261 determined as averages across all samples to demonstrate the differential expression using  
262 the transcriptomic data. The relative expression order across and within each temporal gene  
263 classes was sorted. The intergenic regions immediately upstream of top-ten highly  
264 expressed FV3's coding genes in each temporal class were extracted to perform PWM  
265 analyses as described above, and were compared to overall scores of all intergenic regions.  
266 The comparative analyses were broadly performed against various *CRE* types/clusters, but  
267 focused on those potently interacting with vertebrate transcription factors critically in  
268 antiviral immune regulation including CEBPs, CREBs, IRFs, NF- $\kappa$ B2-like, and STAT1-like  
269 transcription factors [32,34].

270

271 **FV3-genome intergenic regions and associated viral miRNA (v-miR) analyses.** The  
272 miRNA prediction and RNA structure prediction were analyzed using a findMiRNA and  
273 FoldRNA programs, respectively, through an online bioinformatic suite at  
274 <http://www.softberry.com>. The miRNA target prediction on the 3'-UTR of various *Xenopus*  
275 genes were performed using three RNA analysis programs through an online BiBiServ  
276 Service (<https://bibiserv.cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de/>). The sequences of 3'-UTR regions and  
277 information about alternative transcripts of *X. laevis* genes/transcripts were extracted from  
278 the gene annotations at Reference genome/transcripts of *X. laevis* or FV3 virus through  
279 Xenbase (<http://ftp.xenbase.org/>) and NCBI genome ports (<ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/GCF>). The locations and sequences of all predicted v-miR are listed in  
280 Supplemental Excel Sheet, and the GenBank accession numbers of analyzed  
281 genes/transcripts are listed in indicated tables.

282

284 **Transcriptomic validation of miRNA regulatory effect on *Xenopus* gene targets in IFN  
285 signaling.** Due to the enrichment of predicted v-miR target sites on the 3'-UTR of *Xenopus*  
286 IRF and IFN receptor genes, transcriptomic analyses of *X. laevis* mRNA encoding various  
287 IRF and IFN receptor gene families to show the differential expression of these genes was  
288 compared between FV3- $\Delta$ 64R- and FV3-WT-infected tissues. Wherein, some intergenic  
289 regions containing putatively responsible v-miR were demonstrated to transcribe  
290 differentially between these two FV3 strains. Particularly, several representative v-miR were  
291 synthesized and transformed into *X. laevis* A6 kidney cells to evaluate RNA interference  
292 effect against *Xenopus* IRF and IFN receptor genes. The small interfering RNA (siRNA)  
293 identical to representative v-miR sequences were synthesized and transformed as  
294 previously described [35]. In brief, the sense and antisense sequences of the siRNA were

295 synthesized at IDT (Coralville, Iowa) together with an AlexaFluor-488 (AF488) labeled  
296 scramble siRNA, which was designed to serve as control siRNA and allow transfection  
297 optimization. A6 cells were cultured as described in a 24-well plate and transfected with  
298 Oligofectamine (Invitrogen to attain >90% transfected ratio as estimated by the AF488-  
299 scramble siRNA [35]. Forty-eight hours after siRNA transfection, cells in different wells  
300 were collected for RNA extraction and gene specific RT-PCR was used to quantify the  
301 expression of target genes as described above [27,31]. RNA samples used for RT-PCR assays  
302 were treated with RNase-free DNase I (NEB) to remove potential DNA contamination  
303 [29,31].

304

305 **Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was completed using the SAS package (Company  
306 information?). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's post hoc test, as well as  
307 a two-sample *F* test was applied for significant evaluation between samples/treatments. A  
308 probability level of *p*<0.05 was considered significant [27,31,33].

309

### 310 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

311

312 **Percent of reads mapped to functionally different regions on FV3 genome.** The FV3  
313 genome regions are functionally classified into exons, or intergenic regions based on  
314 annotation of the reference genome (NC\_005946.1). All FV3's coding ORFs span about 80%  
315 of the genome sequence, and lack introns, i.e., intronless [27]. In contrast, we extracted 51  
316 intergenic regions that are intermediate between sequential ORFs, including the terminal 5'-  
317 and 3'-untranslational regions (UTRs) that are known to play important regulatory role in  
318 viral replication and gene expression. These ranaviral intergenic regions take about 20% of  
319 the FV3 genome with a length varying from 20 to 900 bp and an average length of 340 bp  
320 long. As expected, the majority of RNA-Seq reads (>90%), representing a significant  
321 coverage of the whole viral genome, mapped to coding regions in most infected tissues  
322 including the intestine, kidney, liver, spleen, thymus and lung (Figure 1). However, a careful  
323 examination of virus-specific reads in most infected tissues also detected ~5-10% authentic  
324 reads being specifically mapped on intergenic regions. This indicates that these intergenic  
325 regions in the FV3 genome are transcribed and probably function as regulatory RNA  
326 species. In addition, consistent with data previously reported for coding genes, the FV3-  
327 Δ64R mutant virus had also a general higher transcription of reads mapped to intergenic  
328 regions in most infected tissues (Figure 1) [27]. This implies that the disruption of the  
329 FV3orf64R gene, which encodes a putative interleukin-1 beta convertase containing caspase  
330 recruitment domain (vCARD), may change the overall viral transcription dynamics, or  
331 result in accumulation of viral transcripts due to inefficient virus assembly process [36].

332

333 **Distribution of TATA-Box-like *cis*-element in intergenic regions of FV3 genome and**  
334 **association with FV3's coding gene expression.** To reveal *cis*-regulatory role of intergenic  
335 regions on expression of coding genes, we first searched for putative viral TATA-box  
336 equivalent. In eukaryotic genes, the TATA-box is a *cis*-regulatory element (CRE) marking  
337 the core promoters. To identify a putative viral TATA-like box we used a software based on  
338 an evaluating score system of position weight matrix (PWM) used for vertebrate CREs  
339 [18,19]. The bar chart in Figure 2A shows that a significant score (pseudo-weight value  
340 <0.0001 as defaulted in the system) for putative FV3 TATA-box-like was detected in all  
341 intergenic UTR sequences including two terminal 5'- and 3'-UTR regions. The location of  
342 these putative TATA-Box-like CREs are at 11-470 nt (overall average at 190 nt) ahead of the

343 TSS of downstream associated coding genes (Supplemental Excel Sheet). These results from  
344 a bulk study are consistent with previous single promoter characterization of a few genes in  
345 FV3 and Bohle iridovirus, where *CRE* motifs were found located 127 to 281 bp upstream of  
346 the TSS [24]. The average PWM score of TATA-Box *CRE* across all intergenic regions was  
347 8.0 (Log<sub>2</sub>Unit) with most scores higher than 5.0, which is close to the median value across  
348 PWM scores of multiple *CREs* executed in this study. The line chart in Figure 2A illustrates  
349 the transcriptomic average of all 98 coding genes annotated on the FV3 reference genome  
350 [27]. Careful comparison did not show obvious positive correlation between higher PWM  
351 scores of TATA-Box-like in intergenic regions and increased expression of associated coding  
352 genes. A similar PWM score at 8.1 was obtained by executing the PWM evaluation for FV3  
353 genes exhibiting top-ten ranked transcribing levels in different temporal classes (Figure 2B)  
354 [27]. This suggests that although the putative TATA-Box *CRE* in intergenic regions may  
355 function to recruit vPol II through binding of the transcription factor TBP and signifies the  
356 core-promoter regions, it is not the only determinant (Figure 2C) [18]. Rather these putative  
357 intergenic TATA-Box *CRE* are likely to cooperates with other intergenic *CREs* to induce  
358 relative expression levels of associated genes in the virus-host interaction [18,19].  
359

360 **Evolutionary relevance of predicted FV3 Intergenic *CREs* binding to immuno-regulatory**  
361 **transcription factors.** Further analysis detected the presence of multiple types of viral *CRE*  
362 mimics (*v-CREs*) in FV3 genome intergenic regions. We focused our interest on *CRE* families  
363 that are critical in regulation of amphibian antiviral immunity. These *v-CREs* include those  
364 predicted to interact with transcription factors (TFs), such as the IRF and STAT families that  
365 critically mediate cytokine- and IFN-dependent signaling. Among these factors, NF- $\kappa$ B-like  
366 and PU.1 (a.k.a. SPI1) regulate inflammation, whereas other like the CEBP and CREB  
367 families control immune cell proliferation and activation [37-41]. Figure 3 shows the  
368 distribution *v-CREs* that have likely evolved to interact with representative TFs critical for  
369 regulating antimicrobial immunity as aforementioned. Besides *v-CRE* showing a significant  
370 binding score for IRF1, most intergenic regions also exhibit conserved *v-CREs* with  
371 comparable PWM scores that can bind IRF2, IRF5 and IRF6 (Figure 3 and Supplemental Excel  
372 Sheet). In contrast, only a portion (a third to a half) of intergenic regions contain *v-CREs* with  
373 a high PWM binding score (>2 Log<sub>2</sub>Unit) for other IRFs. Similarly, *v-CREs* with significant  
374 prediction for binding members of the STAT family were detected in almost all intergenic  
375 regions and for all vertebrate STAT members with average PWM scores between 2.0-6.0  
376 log<sub>2</sub>Unit in an increasing order of STAT1(2.0)<STAT4≈STAT6(4.0)<STAT3(5.0)<STAT2≈STAT5a/b(6.0) (Supplemental Excel  
377 Sheet). Most intergenic regions also contained *v-CREs* with predicted binding to members  
378 of the CEBP, CREB and SPI1 families with average PWM scores close to 6.0 log<sub>2</sub>Unit (Figure  
379 3 and Supplemental Excel Sheet). We further extracted the sequences of these *v-CREs* from  
380 the intergenic regions immediately upstream of the top-ten ranked highly expressed FV3  
381 genes of IE, DE and L temporal classes (Figure 4). Similar to the TATA-box-like in the  
382 promoter region of TBP, *v-CREs* located in intergenic regions associated with these top-  
383 ranked highly expressed genes exhibit significant PWM scores for CEBP, CREB and SPI1.  
384 Remarkably, *v-CREs* for IRFs, STATs and especially NF- $\kappa$ B seem to have been enhanced  
385 their PWM index to interact with relevant TFs in the intergenic regions ahead of the top-  
386 ranked viral genes (Figure 4 and Figure 5). Notably, although the *v-CRE* for NF- $\kappa$ Bs has a  
387 very low PWM score across most intergenic regions (Figure 3 and Figure 4), we detected a  
388 dramatic enhancement of the *v-CRE* for NF- $\kappa$ B2 ahead of some top-ranked highly expressed  
389 viral genes (Figure 5). The NF- $\kappa$ B transcription factors comprise NF- $\kappa$ B1 and NF- $\kappa$ B2, which

391 are activated by canonical or non-canonical signaling pathways, respectively [41]. In  
392 addition to the canonical pathway activated by various pathogens and inflammatory  
393 cytokines, recent studies have discovered that dysregulation of non-canonical NF- $\kappa$ B2-  
394 mediated signaling is associated with severe immune deficiencies and various autoimmune  
395 diseases [41]. The enhancement of *v-CRE* predicted binding to NF- $\kappa$ B2 in priming highly  
396 expressed viral genes, thus, may confer a potential antagonism attenuating host  
397 inflammatory and autoimmune responses at the epigenetic level [27,41]. In this context, the  
398 enhancement of *v-CREs* binding to IRF and STAT transcription families may perturb host  
399 cytokine responses and particularly IFN-mediated antiviral signaling, which have been  
400 observed in our previous studies in terms of suppression of IFN signaling in FV3-infected  
401 amphibians [13,31,33]. Recent studies have also highlighted the immunopathological effect  
402 of persistent IFN production during chronic viral infections, as well as autoimmune and  
403 inflammatory diseases. In these cases, IFN gene activation was sustained by chromatin  
404 remodeling through epigenetically recruiting IRF1, NF- $\kappa$ B and SPI1 transcription factors to  
405 the gene promoter region [27,42]. Data presented here about the *v-CRE* preservation and  
406 enhancement for SPI1 and IRFs/NF- $\kappa$ B, especially for highly expressed viral genes, may  
407 indicate molecular evolution of ranaviral intergenic regions in host/pathogen arm race with  
408 epigenetic regulation of the host IFN system [39-42].  
409

410 **FV3 intergenic regions are enriched for putative regulatory microRNA sequences.** Micro  
411 RNAs (miRNAs) define a class of small (21-25 nt), non-coding regulatory RNA species  
412 discovered widely across biological kingdoms from bacteria to humans [43,44]. Micro RNAs  
413 are produced from typical hairpin-shaped precursors, and are involved in suppression of  
414 gene expression through specific ribonucleotide complementarity in the 3'-UTR of mRNAs  
415 to induce mRNA cleavage or translation repression [43,44]. In addition, the positive effect  
416 of miRNAs to activate target gene translation or transcription has been reported recently  
417 [44]. Micro RNAs represent a major non-coding regulatory mechanism that shapes cellular  
418 transcriptome and is involved in microbe-host interaction [45]. Given their small size and  
419 multi-targeting property, miRNAs are ideal epigenetic mechanism for viruses that have  
420 limited genome capacity [25,26,45]. Indeed, diverse virus families, particularly DNA  
421 viruses, are capable of using host miRNA or even encode viral microRNAs. Virus-derived  
422 miRNAs (v-miR), which act on either host or virus transcripts, have been shown to be critical  
423 in shaping host-pathogen interaction. There is increasing evidence of their role in subverting  
424 host defense responses and mediating other cellular processes underlying antiviral  
425 immunity [25,26,45]. However, we know little about ranavirus-derived v-miR and their  
426 potential mRNA targets in regulation of virus-host interaction. In the following sections, we  
427 present data showing that intergenic regions of FV3 genome contain a wealth of miRNA-  
428 like sequences as determined by the sequence and structure analyses of the precursor and  
429 relevant mature miRNAs (Figure 2 and Supplemental Excel Sheet). These v-miR-containing  
430 clusters in FV3 genome are particularly enriched in five intergenic regions, which are  
431 marked as C, I, R, AF and AT to indicate their higher miRNA density and distribution ahead  
432 of several highly transcribed genes along the genome (Figure 2). Therefore, for the first time  
433 we reveal that a ranavirus genome, like other large DNA viruses, encode a series of miRNA  
434 especially using some intergenic non-coding sequences [43-45].  
435

436 **Transcripts of the IFN receptor beta subunits emerge as potential major targets of FV3-  
437 derived miRNAs.** The vertebrate IFN system is constituted of three types of IFNs, i.e., type  
438 I, II and III IFNs, which exert diverse immune function initiated through the engagement of

439 type-specific cognate receptors that comprise two subunits as of IFNAR1/2, IFNGR1/2, and  
 440 IFNLR1/IL10RB, respectively [48]. Amphibians have been recently characterized for their  
 441 unique position in IFN molecular evolution and the complexity of their IFN system [29], as  
 442 well as for the diversity of their IFN receptor genes [48]. For examples, compared with one  
 443 gene locus encoding each IFN receptor subunit in humans and mice, *Xenopus* genomes may  
 444 contain two or more gene loci especially for the beta subunits of IFN receptors, and the  
 445 increased complexity of relevant gene composition is observed particularly in *X. laevis*  
 446 species that has an allotetraploid genome [49]. In addition, mRNA transcripts for the beta  
 447 subunits (ifnxr2 or il10rb, x = a, g, or l) of three type IFN receptors bear a much longer 3'-  
 448 UTR as compared with their alpha subunit counterparts (ifnxr1, Table 1 and unpublished  
 449 data). Target analysis has revealed a significantly higher density of v-miR-targeted sites  
 450 within the 3'-UTR of the beta subunit mRNAs than alpha subunit of all three types of IFN  
 451 receptor genes, especially those for type I and type III IFN (Table 1). Further group  
 452 assignation showed that most miRNAs predicted to target IFN receptor genes belong to four  
 453 of the major five groups, i.e., C, R, AF and AT group (Figure 6). This implies that v-miRs  
 454 derived from these four intergenic regions may have evolved to interfere with amphibian  
 455 IFN signaling through targeting mainly genes encoding IFN receptor beta subunits. Despite  
 456 little previous studies on ranaviral miRs, *Xenopus* miRNAs have been characterized and  
 457 shown to be highly clustered within transcribing introns in the genome [46,47]. Using the  
 458 miRNAs listed in the Xenbase catalog, target analysis against the 3'-UTR of IFN receptor  
 459 genes also resulted in similar enrichment of miRNA target sites relevant to genes of the IFN  
 460 beta subunits (Data not shown). These data collectively indicate that miRNAs serve as an  
 461 important regulatory mechanism that can modulate IFN signaling by silencing the  
 462 expression of ifnxr2 subunits [43-47]. In turn FV3-derived miRs may use this transcriptional  
 463 regulation to facilitate its pathogenesis [42]. Nevertheless, whether certain miRNAs exhibit  
 464 predicted activity on transcription of IFN responsive genes remains to be shown *in vivo*.  
 465

466 **Table 1.** Enrichment of predicted FV3 miRNA targeting sites in the mRNA 3'-UTR regions of  
 interferon receptors, especially the beta subunits.

mRNA (GenBank Acc. #)	3'-UTR length (kb)	Target sites/kb by predicted FV3 miRNA	No. of FV3 miRNA /Group
Ifnar1.L (XM_018245928)	0.163	0	0
Ifnar1.S (XM_018248888)	0.406	2.46	1/1 (1AT)
Ifnar2.L (XM_018245430)	0.439	<b>84.28</b>	26/9 (11C, 4AF, 4AT,...)
Ifnar2.S (NM_001095360)	2.305	<b>76.79</b>	69/14 (30C,15AT, 6R, 5AF,...)
Ifnar2.2S (XM_018248427)	0.495	<b>68.69</b>	27/6 (14C, 4R, 3AF, 3AT,...)
ifngr1.S (XM_018265300)	0.138	7.25	1/1 (1C)
ifngr2.L(XM_018245930)	0.656	25.91	16/5 (9C, 4AT, ...)
ifngr2.S(XM_018248887)	1.241	<b>45.93</b>	42/7 (19C, 8AT, 4R, 4AF...)
ifnlr1.L (XM_018242320)	0.156	0.00	0
il10rb.L (XM_018245931)	0.438	25.11	11/6 (3C, 3AT, 2AF,...)
il10rb.S (NM_001093545)	0.955	<b>77.49</b>	42/12 (17C, 10AT, 4AF, ...)
Ave: 0.672		Ave: 37.63	

467 Abbreviations: Acc., accession; Ave., average; kb, kilobase; UTR, untranslated region.  
 468

469 Figure 7A presents a virus-targeted transcriptome analysis in the kidney from FV3-infected  
470 frogs. The kidney served as a primary site for FV3 replication and viral gene expression  
471 [27,31,33]. Comparable amounts of RNA-Seq reads were detected from kidneys infected by  
472 either FV3-WT or FV3-Δ64R strains with mapped reads distributed along the full FV3  
473 genome at a ~10× coverage depth. It is to note that no FV3 transcript read was obtained from  
474 the mock-infected control (Ctrl) samples, and that the full coverages of both positive and  
475 negative reads on the FV3 genome included intergenic regions (Figure 6 and Figure 7A). As  
476 a point of comparison, Figure 7B shows transcriptomic data from the same infected tissues  
477 but focused on *X. laevis* mRNA transcripts that encode IFN receptor subunits for type I  
478 (*ifnar1/2*), II (*ifngr1/2*), and III (*ifnlr1/il10rb*) IFNs. The basal expression of these IFN receptor  
479 genes, as estimated by FPKM values (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million  
480 mapped reads) in the control kidney, shows a differential expression order:  
481 *ifnar1.S*~*il10rb.L*>*il10rb.S*>*ifngr2.L*~*ifngr1.S*~*ifnar2.2.S*>*others*. This observation raises several  
482 points about the intricated expression of IFN receptor genes in *X. laevis*: (1) In *X. laevis*'s  
483 allotetraploid chromosomes, both short (S) and long (L) subgenomes harbor actively  
484 expressed isoforms of IFN receptor genes [49]; (2) Despite the existence of several genes  
485 encoding isoform for each IFN receptor subunit, only one gene was highly expressed. The  
486 only exception is for the two genes encoding the receptor beta subunit for type III IFNs  
487 (*il10rb.S* and *il10rb.L*), perhaps because *il10rb* is shared by IL-10 cytokine family [48,50]; and  
488 (3) genes encoding the alpha and beta Subunits of IFN receptors were expressed at a very  
489 different level.

490 We then compared differential expression of these IFN receptor genes between uninfected  
491 control and FV3-infected samples. Data indicate a significant reduction of gene expression  
492 of the beta subunits' transcripts for the receptors of type II and III IFNs, but not type I IFNs  
493 (Figure 7B). Our interpretation of these data is that FV3 interferes with type II and III IFN  
494 signaling mainly through v-miRs encoded within the major five intergenic regions,. These  
495 v-miRs are likely to target the 3'-UTRs of host IFN receptor genes. However, the suppression  
496 of *ifnar1.S* and upregulation of *ifnar2.S* seemed not correlated to the v-miR-target prediction  
497 as shown in Table 1. This may indicate an inefficient RNA repression (or unusual activation  
498 effect) of the predicted anti-*ifnar2.S* v-miRs and a v-miR-independent suppression of *ifnar1.S*  
499 that warrants further investigation (Figure 7B) [43,44].

500 Our analysis of RNA-Seq viral reads indicates a partial coverage of the FV3-Δ64R-FV3  
501 genome in infected intestine and the thymus compared to wild type FV3. Aligned estimation  
502 shows that transcripts of some ORFs and miRNA-enriched intergenic regions are defective  
503 (Figure 8). Further repression of some IFN-receptor genes corresponding to potential higher  
504 expression of respective miRNA by FV3-WT was observed in the intestine. However, there  
505 was a lack of putative *v-miR*-mediated reduction of IFN receptor genes in FV3-Δ64R infected  
506 thymus compared to FV3-WT, where no transcribing activity of R-, AF- and AR-group miRs  
507 was detected. This suggests a tissue- and virus strain-dependent expression of v-miRs and  
508 RNA interference on host gene targets [27]. Notably, the disruption of the FV3gorf64R gene  
509 encoding vCARD protein in FV3-Δ64R recombinant virus may alter viral transcription  
510 activity of intergenic regions including the v-miR clusters [36].

512  
513 **FV3-derived miRNAs may have evolved to target transcripts of *Xenopus* IFN regulatory**  
514 **factors.** Interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) are a family of transcription factors that  
515 comprise about 10 homologous members (IRF1-9) in tetrapods [51]. As studied in humans  
516 and mice, IRFs are key modulators of immune processes involving Toll-like receptor (TLR)-

517 and IFN-dependent host responses [51,52]. Tetrapod IRFs are phylogenically assigned into  
 518 five functional subgroups: IRF1&2, IRF3&7, IRF4&8, IRF5&6, and IRF9 [51,52]. Functionally,  
 519 IRF1, considered as an ancestral IRF, has emerged to broadly mediate IFN-dependent  
 520 inflammation and epigenetic regulation in monocytes and macrophages [52,53]. IRF1 and  
 521 IRF2 also promote Th1 immune responses [52]. IRF3 and IRF7 are activated by various  
 522 signaling pathways leading to IFN production in the scenario of antiviral immunity [48,52].  
 523 IRF4 and IRF8 are highly expressed in lymphoid and myeloid lineages, where they regulate  
 524 B cell development and Th cell differentiation [52,54]. For IRF5 and IRF6, the former is  
 525 critical in control of inflammation mediated by macrophages and neutrophils; while IRF6  
 526 regulates epithelial barrier function and TLR-mediated inflammation therein [51,52,55,56].  
 527 IRF9 together with STAT1 and STAT 2 form a a tripartite ISGF3 complex, which is criti-

**Table 2.** Distribution of predicted FV3 miRNA targeting sites in the mRNA 3-UTR regions of interferon regulatory factors (irfs).

mRNA (GenBank Acc. #)	3-UTR length (kb)	Target site/kb by predicted FV3 miRNA	No. of FV3 miRNA /Group
irf1.L (NM_001089781)	1.038	<b>32.8</b>	34/8 (19C, 4R, 3D, 3AB, ...)
irf1.S (NM_001092119)	1.152	<b>27.8</b>	32/8 (18C, 5R, 3AF, 2D...)
irf2.L (XM_018248817)	1.019	<b>29.4</b>	30/6 (17C, 6R, 3AB, 2AF...)
irf3.L (NM_001086119)	0.709	12.7	9/6 (2C, 2D, 2AF...)
irf3.S (XM_018228156)	0.480	18.8	9/5 (4C, 2D, 1E, 1AB, 1AF)
lrf4.S (XM_018269454)	0.496	0.0	0
irf5.L (NM_001094596)	0.353	<b>25.5</b>	9/3 (7C, 1AB, 1AF)
irf5.S (XM_018255680)	0.367	<b>49.0</b>	18/5 (9C, 4AB, 2D...)
irf6.2L(NM_001087746)	0.506	4.0	2/2 (1D, 1V)
irf6.S (NM_001091876)	0.910	6.6	6/4 (3C, 1D, 1R, 1AB)
irf7.L (XM_018257597)	1.097	<b>29.2</b>	32/7 (16C, 7AF, 4AB, 2I...)
irf8.L (NM_001093628)	3.000	10.0	30/9 (10C, 8D, 2E, 2R...)
irf8.S (XM_018260595)	0.489	4.1	2/2 (1C, 1R)
irf9.L (NM_001091377)	1.474	11.5	17/6 (8C, 3R, 3AF...)
irf10.L (XM_018235039)	0.587	<b>63.0</b>	37/9 (17C, 4I, 3D, R3...)
socs1.L (NM_001159688)	0.353	0.0	0
socs1.S (NM_001092026)	0.355	11.3	4/4 (1D, 1E, 1L, 1AB)
Ave: 0.862		Ave: 19.7	

Abbreviations: Acc., accession; Ave., average; kb, kilobase; UTR, untranslated region.

528 cal for signal transmission to both type I and III IFNs[48,52]. We also identified a fish IRF10  
 529 ortholog in *Xenopus*. The fish IRF10 shares gene synteny with IRF1 but functionally serves  
 530 as a negative regulator for IFN production to avoid excessive immune response [57].  
 531 Collectively, due to the crucial role of IRFs in antiviral signaling, the balance between the  
 532 fine-tuning of IRF expression and viral antagonism capable of disarming IRF-mediated  
 533 signaling, determines the pathogenesis and outcome of infection [52,58]. Table 2 list the  
 534 current IRF gene/transcript annotation on *X. laevis* genome. Compared with the  
 535 genes/transcripts for IFN receptors, many *Xenopus* IRF transcripts have 3'-UTRs longer than  
 536 1.0 kb (averagely 0.862 vs 0.672 kb for IFN receptor transcripts in Table 1). However, a low  
 537 density of putative v-miR targeting sites was detected within most 3'-UTRs of *Xenopus* IRF  
 538

539 transcripts, except *irf5.S* and *irf10.L* that have a higher density around 50 per kb.  
540 Additionally, v-miRs predicted to target 3'-UTRs of IRFs were distributed widely in more  
541 intergenic regions than the five major intergenic regions containing putative v-miRs  
542 targeting transcripts of IFN receptors (Table 2). It is, therefore, possible that v-miRs derived  
543 from FV3's intergenic regions target less intensively IRFs than IFN receptor transcripts.  
544 However, some IRF members including *Xenopus* *irf1/2*, *irf5* and especially *irf10* may still be  
545 selectively targeted. These genes have been mainly associated with immune regulation that  
546 is less studied in other animal species and remain uninvestigated in amphibians [51-57].  
547 Interestingly, we have not detected any enrichment of v-miR-targeting sites in the 3'-UTR  
548 of transcripts encoding *socs1.L* and *socs1.S*, two TFs mediating negative regulation of IFN  
549 signaling in humans and mice [48,52]. The evidence indicating a target-site enrichment on  
550 some IRF transcripts by v-miRs suggests that FV3 and its v-miRs provide a good system for  
551 a cross-species examination of the immunomodulatory role of these understudied IRF  
552 homologs including *irf1*, *irf2*, and especially *irf10* in *Xenopus* [51-52].  
553

554 As presented above, virus-focused transcriptomic analysis has revealed a genome-wide  
555 coverage for RNA-Seq reads in FV3 infected kidney samples. The study has also revealed a  
556 partial coverage of deficient FV3 strain FV3-Δ64R in infected intestine as well as both WT-  
557 FV3 and Δ64R infected thymus. Comparative alignments showed that transcripts of some  
558 ORFs and miRNA-enriched intergenic regions were lacking. Comparative gene profiling  
559 further indicates reduced expression of some *Xenopus* IRF genes, which appears to correlate  
560 with a higher expression of respective v-miRs by FV3-Δ64R in kidney and FV3-WT in  
561 intestine (Figure 9A and 9B). However, as for IFN receptor genes examined above, this  
562 putative v-miR-mediated repression system of IRF genes was not consistently detected in  
563 FV3-Δ64R-infected thymus (Figure 9C). This suggests a tissue- and virus strain-dependent  
564 expression of ranaviral v-miRs and a distinct interfering effect on certain host gene targets.  
565 Further studies will screen most effective v-miRs, characterize their tissue expression  
566 patterns during viral infection, and use synthetic miRNA to validate their function in  
567 modulation of host genes critically mediating amphibian IFN-dependent antiviral  
568 immunity [44-47].  
569

570 Next, we sought to validate the functional effect of exemplary v-miRs. As shown in Figure  
571 10 and Figure 11, we first examined the hybridization characteristics of individual miRNA  
572 with its mRNA targets, especially of those within the 3-UTR of predicted *Xenopus ifnxr2* or  
573 *irf* genes (Figure 10A and 11A). For most predicted v-miRs, their hybridization structures  
574 and minimum free energy (Mfe) to the targeted *ifnxr* and *irf* genes were found alike to at  
575 least one characterized miRNA in the miRNA database (<http://www.mirbase.org/>). Indeed,  
576 the threshold of Mfe for the v-miR prediction was set as -28.0 kcal/mol to reflect Mfe of  
577 typical miRNA (like *let7*) hybridization to its mRNA target. Figure 10A demonstrates the  
578 hybridization position, secondary structure and Mfe of v-miR C-20 or AT-20 to interact with  
579 *ifnxr2* gene targets at one site of each gene; and Figure 11A shows these hybridization  
580 characteristics of v-miR C-20 and AF-8 to *irf* genes. Noted that some v-miR has multiple  
581 target sites on the targeted genes, such as both C-20 and AT-20 have six targeting sites on  
582 the 3-UTR of *ifnar2.2S*, and have three or four target sites on *il10rb.S*, respectively (Figure  
583 10B, line chart). Using synthetic siRNA with identical sequences to the mature C-20 and AT-  
584 20 miRNAs, we showed that the relative expression level of individual *Xenopus ifnxr* genes

585 in the siRNA-transformed *X. laevis* kidney cells, were reversely correlated to the numbers  
586 of targeted sites on respective gene 3'-UTR. Similar was the siRNA mimicking C-20 or AF-8  
587 in suppression of *irf* genes in Figure 11B. This was with the exceptions, such as limited  
588 suppressive effect of AT-20 on *ifnar2.L*, indicating varied RNA silence effect of relevant v-  
589 miRs per each targeted gene. Therefore, in addition to the transcriptomic data to show active  
590 transcription of FV3's intergenic v-miRs, the suppression on targeted IFN receptor and IRF  
591 genes using sequence-identical siRNAs in *Xenopus* kidney cells provides a model for  
592 functional verification of these newly identified v-miRs along a ranavirus genome [43-45].  
593

#### 594 4. CONCLUSIVE HIGHLIGHTS

595  
596 In the present study, we characterized the whole transcriptome of Frog Virus 3 (FV3), a  
597 representative Ranaviruses that causes prevalent infection in anurans and is implicated in  
598 catastrophic amphibian declines [1-7]. We focused our analysis on transcription activity of  
599 FV3 non-coding intergenic regions to infer their potential regulatory role. We detected  
600 significant levels of virus-specific reads from non-coding intergenic regions distributed  
601 genome-wide, in addition to those highly in coding genes as previously reported [27].  
602 Further analyses identified various *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) in these intergenic  
603 regions corresponding to transcriptomic profiles of highly expressed coding genes. These  
604 CREs include not only the TATA-Box-like similar to *bona fide* TATA-Box marking the core  
605 promoters of typical eukaryotic genes, but also viral mimics of CREs interacting with  
606 various transcription factors including CREBs, CEBPs, IRFs, NF- $\kappa$ B, and STATs, which are  
607 all critical for regulation of cytokine responses and cellular immunity [18,37-42]. In addition,  
608 we provide evidence suggesting that intergenic regions immediately upstream of highly  
609 expressed FV3 genes have evolved to enhance targeting and silencing IRFs, NF- $\kappa$ B, and  
610 STATs. Moreover, for the first time in a ranavirus, we reveal the enrichment of putative  
611 microRNA sequences in more than five intergenic regions of FV3 genome. An array of these  
612 virus-derived miRNAs is predicted to target the 3'-UTR regions of *Xenopus* genes involved  
613 in IFN-dependent immune responses, notably those encoding IFN receptor subunits and  
614 IFN-regulatory factors [39,40,58]. Using the FV3 model, this study provides the first  
615 genome-wide analysis of non-coding regulatory mechanisms in ranaviruses *in vivo*. As  
616 such, this study contributes to a better understanding of the coevolution of epigenetic  
617 regulation viral and host gene expressions, especially centered on the host IFN system  
618 [27,31,33,58].  
619  
620  
621  
622

623 **Figure legends**

624 **Figure 1.** Percent of reads mapped to functionally different regions on FV3 genome. The FV3  
625 genome regions are functionally classified as exons, introns, or intergenic regions based on  
626 annotation of the reference genome (NC\_005946.1). As intronic regions (introns) are lacking in  
627 ranaviral coding genes, about 50 intergenic regions are interspersed between ORFs. The intergenic  
628 regions take about 20% of the FV3 genome with a length of 20-900 bp. Transcriptomic reads in most  
629 infected tissues are also remarkably mapped within these intergenic regions, indicating that these  
630 intergenic regions are transcribed and probably function as regulatory RNA species.

631

632 **Figure 2.** Transcriptomic comparison and distribution of TATA-Box-like *cis*-element in intergenic  
633 regions of the FV3 genome. **(A)** Line chart depicts cross-tissue averages of RNA-Seq reads  
634 differentially mapped to intergenic regions and almost all annotated FV3 coding ORFs labeled on the  
635 top. Note the X-Axis tick labels on the top for even-numbered ORFs (such as FV3gorf2L between  
636 FV3gorf1R and FV3gorf3R) are omitted due to the space limitation. Bar chart depicts the position  
637 weight matrix (PWM) scores of the TATA-box, a *cis*-regulatory element (CRE) marking core  
638 promoters of eukaryotic genes significantly detected across all FV3-genome intergenic regions  
639 (labeled as FV3UTR start-end nt position along the FV3 reference genome). **(B)** Mean PWM scores of  
640 TATA-box CRE in FV3 intergenic regions that are intermediately upstream of top-ten highly  
641 expressed FV3 coding genes (ORFs) in each temporal class of immediate early (IE), delay early (DE),  
642 or late (L) genes as revealed by transcriptomic analyses. Mean PWM scores were calculated using  
643 tools at <https://ccg.epfl.ch/pwmtools/pwmscore.php>. In both (A) and (B), the cross-panel mPWM  
644 scores of the TATA-box CRE is averagely (Ave) shown as data-labeled black bar at the right. **(C)** The  
645 matrix of TATA-box that interacts with a transcription factor of TATA-box binding protein (TBP) is  
646 from MEME-derived JASPAR CORE 2020 vertebrates affiliated with the PWM tools.

647

648 **Figure 3:** Comparison of position weight matrix (PWM) scores of key *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs)  
649 detected in FV3-genome intergenic regions, and that interact with vertebrate transcription factors  
650 potently in immune regulation. Shown are mean PWM scores of CREs in FV3 intergenic regions that  
651 were significantly detected to bind **(A)** IRF-like, **(B)** NF- $\kappa$ B2-like, **(C)** STAT1-like, **(D)** CEBP-like, **(E)**  
652 CREB-like, and **(F)** PU.1 (a.k.a. SPI1) transcription factors. Mean PWM scores were calculated using  
653 tools at <https://ccg.epfl.ch/pwmtools/pwmscore.php> with CRE Matrices (indicated by Matrix or  
654 Cluster numbers, and schematics in Figure 4) are from MEME-derived JASPAR CORE 2020  
655 vertebrates or JASPAR CORE 2018 vertebrates clustering affiliated with the PWM tools. The genome-  
656 wide mPWM scores across all intergenic regions for each CRE are averagely shown (Ave) as data-  
657 labeled black bars at the right for overall comparison. Abbreviations: CEBP, CCAAT enhancer  
658 binding protein beta; CREB, cAMP-response element binding protein; IRF, interferon regulatory  
659 factor; NF- $\kappa$ B, Nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B; SPI1 or PU.1, a TF binding PU-box, a purine-rich DNA sequence;  
660 and STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription.

661

662 **Figure 4:** Intergenic regions immediately upstream of highly expressed FV3 genes serve as putative  
663 core promoters with enhanced capacity to bind vertebrate transcription factors of **(A)** IRFs, **(B)** NF- $\kappa$ B2-like,  
664 and **(C)** STAT1-like, but not much enhanced for **(D)** CEBPA, **(E)** CREB1, and **(F)** SPI1  
665 transcription factors. Shown are mean PWM scores of *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) in FV3

666 intergenic regions that are immediately upstream of top-ten highly expressed FV3 coding genes  
667 (ORFs) in each temporal class of immediate early (IE), delay early (DE), or late (L) genes. Mean PWM  
668 scores were calculated using tools at <https://ccg.epfl.ch/pwmtools/pwmscore.php> with CRE Matrices  
669 are from MEME-derived JASPAR CORE 2020 vertebrates or JASPAR CORE 2018 vertebrates  
670 clustering affiliated with the PWM tools. The cross-panel average mPWM scores (Ave) of each CRE  
671 are shown as data-labeled black bars at the right for overall comparison. Abbreviations of TFs are as  
672 in Figure 3.

673

674 **Figure 5:** Intergenic regions immediately ahead of highly expressed FV3 genes containing *cis*-  
675 regulatory elements (CREs) exhibit higher likelihood of binding vertebrate IRFs, NF- $\kappa$ B2-like, and  
676 STAT1-like transcription factors. (A) Shown are overall averages of PWM scores per compared CREs  
677 in all FV3 intergenic regions (All) and those are immediately upstream of top-ten highly expressed  
678 FV3 coding genes (Top10) in each temporal class of immediate early (IE), delay early (DE), or late (L)  
679 genes as revealed by transcriptomic analyses. Mean PWM scores were calculated as in previous  
680 figures. \*,  $p < 0.001$  and  $n = 10$ , compared to the All group. (B) The CRE PWM enhancing index was  
681 adopted to compare fold changes of mean PWM scores between the Top10 and All groups after  
682 normalization with the PWM evolution of TATA-box between the two groups as baseline (indicated  
683 by the dash line). Abbreviations of TFs are as in Figure 3.

684

685 **Figure 6.** Comparison of transcriptomic and enrichment of putative microRNA (miRNA) sequences  
686 in intergenic regions of FV3 genome. (A) As line chart in Figure 2, mean RNA-Seq reads are  
687 differentially distributed among intergenic regions and almost all annotated FV3 coding ORFs. A  
688 distribution plot between the vertical Axis and gene labels, shows the median of read density (Log2  
689 Unit) of mapped reads along the FV3 genome as in the FV3- $\Delta$ 64R-infected kidney to show the full-  
690 genome coverage at both positive (green) and negative (orange) strand orientations. Transcription of  
691 the intergenic regions along the higher read density spanning the ORF coding genes is shown using  
692 the shaded blue curve indicating mean read counts across the eight infected tissues tested. (B) The  
693 prediction of miRNA-like sequences in most intergenic regions (marked as UTR start-end site along  
694 FV3 reference genome including the 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions), which are especially enriched  
695 in five regions (named as C, I, R, AF and AT per putative miRNA density/Kb) as marked using blue  
696 dash line. The sequence information of all predicted miRNAs is listed in Supplemental Excel Sheet.  
697 The miRNA prediction and target validation were performed using three RNA analysis programs  
698 through an online BiBiServ Service.

699

700 **Figure 7.** Transcriptomic analysis of the viral genome and *X. laevis* mRNA encoding interferon  
701 receptor subunits in the control (Ctrl) and FV3-infected kidney. (A) The virus-targeted transcriptome  
702 analysis shown as a distribution plot of mapped reads in FV3 genome (GenBank Accession No.  
703 NC\_005946.1). The X-axis shows the length of the genome (in Mb, 0.105 Mb of FV3), and the Y-axis  
704 indicates the  $\log_2$  of the median of read density. Green and red indicate the positive and negative  
705 strands, respectively. Note, no FV3 transcript read was obtained from the control (Ctrl) mock-infected  
706 kidney, and the full coverages of both positive and negative reads on the FV3 genome in the infected  
707 kidney. (B) Family-wide transcriptomic analysis of *X. laevis* mRNA encoding interferon receptor  
708 subunits for type I (ifnar1/2), II (ifngr1/2), and III (ifnlr1/il10rb) IFNs to show the differential

709 expression of these IFN receptor genes in the kidney (Blue bars against the left Axis for FPKM,  
710 Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) and regulated expression in FV3-  
711 infected kidney (Orange bars against the right Axis for Log2 fold changes). Note the significant  
712 reduction of the beta-subunits of type II and type III IFN receptors (indicated by red arrows), which  
713 may putatively result from a higher enrichment of the intergenic miRNA species as shown in Table  
714 1. \*, p (FDR)<0.05 relative to the control, n = 5.

715

716 **Figure 8.** Transcriptomic comparison of the viral genome and *X. laevis* mRNA encoding interferon  
717 receptor subunits in the mock, FV3-Δ64R, and FV3-WT infected intestine (A) and thymus (B). The  
718 distribution plots of mapped reads alone FV3 genome (GenBank Accession No. NC\_005946.1) were  
719 shown as in Figure 3. Partial coverages of the viral genome were determined for FV3-Δ64R-infected  
720 intestine, and for both FV3-WT and FV3-Δ64R in the infected thymus. Comparative alignments  
721 showed that transcripts of some ORFs and miRNA-enriched intergenic regions were defective  
722 (labeled and framed using blue line) as compared between two virus strains. Red arrows indicate  
723 further repression of some IFN-receptor genes corresponding to potential higher expression of  
724 respective miRNA by FV3-WT in the intestine. The putative miRNA-mediated repression of IFN  
725 receptor genes is not detected in FV3-Δ64R infected thymus. Abbreviations and gene accession  
726 numbers are listed in Table 1. \*, p (FDR)<0.05 relative to the control, n = 5.

727

728 **Figure 9.** Transcriptomic comparison of the viral genome and *X. laevis* mRNA encoding interferon  
729 IFN regulatory factors (irf) in the mock, FV3-Δ64R and FV3-WT infected kidney (A), intestine (B), and  
730 thymus (C). The distribution plots of mapped reads alone FV3 genome (GenBank Accession No.  
731 NC\_005946.1) is shown as in Figure 3 with a full-genome coverage for the infected kidney samples.  
732 Partial coverages of the viral genome were determined in the FV3-Δ64R infected intestine and for  
733 both FV3-WT and FV3-Δ64R in the infected thymus. Comparative alignments indicates that  
734 transcripts of some ORFs and miRNA-enriched intergenic regions are defective (labeled and framed  
735 using blue line) as compared between two virus strains. Analysis shows reduced expression of IRF  
736 genes corresponding to potential higher expression of respective miRNA by FV3-Δ64R in kidney and  
737 FV3-WT in intestine (indicated by red arrows). However, miRNA-mediated reduction of IRF genes  
738 is not detected in FV3-Δ64R infected thymus. This suggests a tissue- and virus strain-dependent  
739 expression of miRNA and interference on host gene targets. Abbreviations and gene accession  
740 numbers are listed in Table 1. \*, p (FDR)<0.05 relative to the control.

741

742 **Figure 10.** Examples of miRNA that are predictably targeted on 3'-UTR regions of *Xenopus* mRNA  
743 encoding interferon receptor subunits. (A) Hybridization of individual miRNA with its mRNA  
744 targets was performed using a program of RNAhybrid with its accompanying programs  
745 RNAcalibrate and RNAeffective as described. The hybridization structures and minimum free  
746 energy (Mfe) are given, the thresholds of Mfe was set as -28.0 kcal/mol to reflect typical Mfe of miRNA  
747 (like *let7*) hybridization to mRNA targets. MiRNA C-20 or AT-20, the twentieth miRNA in the C or  
748 AT groups, respectively, as illustrated in Figure 2 and Supplement Excel sheet for sequence detail.  
749 (B) Functional validation using synthetic siRNA with identical sequences to the mature C-20 and AT-  
750 20 miRNAs. Synthesis of siRNAs and transfection of *X. laevis* A6 cells were performed as described,  
751 and gene specific RT-PCR was used to quantify the expression of target genes. *Top panel:* Line chart

752 representing numbers of predicted sites targeted by miRNA on the 3'-UTR of each template target.  
753 *Bottom panel:* Bar chart of relative gene expression obtained with mature C-20 (gray histogram) and  
754 AT-20 (hachured histogram) miRNAs. The GenBank Accession numbers of the tested transcripts are  
755 listed in Table 2. \* p<0.05, n = 5 relative to the sample transfected using a scramble siRNA.  
756

757 **Figure 11.** Examples of miRNA that are predictably targeted on 3'-UTR regions of *X. laevis* mRNA  
758 encoding several IRF genes. (A) Hybridization of individual miRNA with its mRNA targets was  
759 performed using a program of RNAhybrid with its accompanying programs RNACalibrate and  
760 RNAeffective as described. The hybridization structures and minimum free energy (Mfe) are given,  
761 the thresholds of Mfe was set as -28.0 kcal/mol to reflect typical Mfe of miRNA (like let7)  
762 hybridization to mRNA targets. MiRNA C-20 and AF-8, the twentieth and eighth miRNA in the C  
763 and AF group, respectively, as illustrated in Figure 2 and Supplement Excel sheet for sequence  
764 detail. (B) Schematic shows validation using synthetic siRNA with identical sequences to  
765 representative miRNAs. Synthesis of siRNAs and transfection of *X. laevis* A6 cells were performed  
766 as described, and gene specific RT-PCR was used to quantify the expression of target genes. *Top panel:*  
767 Line chart representing numbers of predicted sites targeted by miRNA AF-8 (black triangles) and C-  
768 20 (blue circles) on the 3'-UTR of each template target. *Bottom panel:* Bar chart of relative gene  
769 expression obtained with C-20 (blue histogram) and AF-8 (hachured histogram) miRNAs. The  
770 GenBank Accession numbers of the tested transcripts are listed in Table 2. \* p<0.05, n = 5 relative to  
771 the sample transfected using a scramble siRNA.

772  
773  
774  
775  
776

## 777 DECLARATIONS

778

779 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

780 Not applicable.

781

782 **Consent of publication**

783 All authors agree to publish this paper.

784

785 **Availability of data and materials**786 The transcriptomic dataset was deposited in the NIH Short Read Archive (SRA) linked to a  
787 BioProject with an accession number of PRJNA705195.

788

789 **Competing interests**

790 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

791

792 **Funding:** This work was primarily supported by NSF-IOS-1831988, and in part through  
793 reagent sharing of USDA NIFA Evans-Allen-1013186, NIFA AFRI 2018-67016-28313, and  
794 NIFA AFRI 2020-67016-31347 to YS, and NSF-IOS-1456213 to JR. The *Xenopus laevis*  
795 research resource for immunobiology is supported by NIH R24AI059830.

796

797 **Author Contributions:** Y.T., C.K., J.L. and F.D.A. contributed to conduct experiments,  
798 student training, and proof reading; J.R., contributed to conceptualization, funding  
799 acquisition, advisory direction, and resource sharing; Y.S. performed overall  
800 conceptualization, experimental coordination, data analysis, draft writing, and funding  
801 acquisition.

802

803

804 **Acknowledgement:** Dr. Yuanying Gong had participated for some sampling handling.  
805 We appreciate for the input of Dr. Louise A. Rollins-Smith at Vanderbilt University, and Dr.  
806 William B. Sutton at Tennessee State University for relevant discussion and funding  
807 acquisition.

808

809

810 **References**

- 811 1. Chinchar VG, Yu KH, Jancovich JK. The molecular biology of frog virus 3 and other  
812 iridoviruses infecting cold-blooded vertebrates. *Viruses*. 2011 Oct;3(10):1959-85.
- 813 2. W.B. Saunders, W.B. Amphibians and reptiles. *Fowler's Zoo and Wild Animal*  
814 *Medicine Current Therapy* [Editor(s): Miller R.E., Lamberski N., Calle P.P.], 2019, 9:  
815 356-432
- 816 3. Miller D., Gray M., Storfer A. Ecopathology of ranaviruses infecting amphibians.  
817 *Viruses*. 2011;3:2351–2373.
- 818 4. Courtney EP, Goldenberg JL, Boyd P. The contagion of mortality: A terror  
819 management health model for pandemics. *Br J Soc Psychol*. 2020;59(3):607-617.
- 820 5. Collins J.P. Amphibian decline and extinction: What we know and what we need to  
821 learn. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 2010;92:93–99.
- 822 6. Pounds J.A., Bustamante M.R., Coloma L.A., Consuegra J.A., Fogden M.P., Foster  
823 P.N., La Marca E., Masters K.L., Merino-Viteri A., Puschendorf R., et al. Widespread  
824 amphibian extinctions from epidemic disease driven by global warming. *Nature*.  
825 2006;439:161–167.
- 826 7. Green D.E., Converse K.A., Schrader A.K. Epizootiology of sixty-four amphibian  
827 morbidity and mortality events in the USA, 1996–2001. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*  
828 2002;969:323–339.
- 829 8. Vilaça ST, Bienentreu JF, Brunetti CR, Lesbarrères D, Murray DL, Kyle CJ. Frog  
830 Virus 3 Genomes Reveal Prevalent Recombination between Ranavirus Lineages and  
831 Their Origins in Canada. *J Virol*. 2019 Sep 30;93(20):e00765-19.
- 832 9. Saucedo, B., Garner, T.W.J., Kruithof, N. et al. Common midwife toad ranaviruses  
833 replicate first in the oral cavity of smooth newts (*Lissotriton vulgaris*) and show  
834 distinct strain-associated pathogenicity. *Sci Rep* 9, 4453 (2019).
- 835 10. Goorha R. Frog virus 3 DNA replication occurs in two stages. *J Virol*. 1982  
836 Aug;43(2):519-28.
- 837 11. Willis DB, Goorha R, Miles M, Granoff A. Macromolecular synthesis in cells infected  
838 by frog virus 3. VII. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of virus  
839 gene expression. *J Virol*. 1977 Oct;24(1):326-42.
- 840 12. Goorha R, Willis DB, Granoff A. Macromolecular synthesis in cells infected by frog  
841 virus 3. XII. Viral regulatory proteins in transcriptional and post-transcriptional  
842 controls. *J Virol*. 1979 Nov;32(2):442-8.
- 843 13. Grayfer L, De Jesús Andino F, Robert J. The amphibian (*Xenopus laevis*) type I  
844 interferon response to frog virus 3: new insight into ranavirus pathogenicity. *J Virol*.  
845 2014 May;88(10):5766-77.
- 846 14. Iyer, L.M.; Balaji, S.; Koonin, E.V.; Aravind, L. Evolutionary genomics of nucleo-  
847 cytoplasmic large DNA viruses. *Virus Res*. 2006, 117, 156–184.
- 848 15. Majji S, Thodima V, Sample R, Whitley D, Deng Y, Mao J, Chinchar VG.  
849 Transcriptome analysis of Frog virus 3, the type species of the genus Ranavirus,  
850 family Iridoviridae. *Virology*. 2009 Sep 1;391(2):293-303.
- 851 16. Bernard HU. Regulatory elements in the viral genome. *Virology*. 2013 Oct;445(1-  
852 2):197-204.
- 853 17. Kaján GL, Doszpoly A, Tarján ZL, Vidovszky MZ, Papp T. Virus-Host Coevolution  
854 with a Focus on Animal and Human DNA Viruses. *J Mol Evol*. 2020;88(1):41-56.  
855 doi:10.1007/s00239-019-09913-4

856 18. Haberle, V., Stark, A. Eukaryotic core promoters and the functional basis of  
857 transcription initiation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 19, 621–637 (2018).

858 19. Sampath P, Deluca NA. Binding of ICP4, TATA-binding protein, and RNA  
859 polymerase II to herpes simplex virus type 1 immediate-early, early, and late  
860 promoters in virus-infected cells. *J Virol.* 2008 Mar;82(5):2339-49.

861 20. Oliveira GP, Andrade AC, Rodrigues RA, et al. Promoter Motifs in NCLDVs: An  
862 Evolutionary Perspective. *Viruses.* 2017;9(1):16.

863 21. Willis, D.B. DNA sequences required for trans activation of an immediate- early frog  
864 virus 3 gene. *Virology* 1987, 161, 1–7.

865 22. Beckman, W.; Tham, T.N.; Aubertin, A.M.; Willis, D.B. Structure and regulation of  
866 the immediate-early frog virus 3 gene that encodes ICR489. *J. Virol.* 1988, 62, 1271–  
867 1277.

868 23. Willis, D.B.; Granoff, A. Transactivation of an immediate early frog virus 3 promoter  
869 by a virion protein. *J. Virol.* 1985, 56, 495–501.

870 24. Pallister, J.; Goldie, S.; Coupar, B.; Hyatt, A. Promoter activity in the 59 flanking  
871 regions of the Bohle iridovirus ICP 18, ICP 46 and major capsid protein genes. *Arch.*  
872 *Virol.* 2005, 150, 1911–1919.

873 25. Mishra R, Kumar A, Ingle H, Kumar H. The Interplay Between Viral-Derived  
874 miRNAs and Host Immunity During Infection. *Front Immunol.* 2020 Jan 23;10:3079.

875 26. Ahmad I, Valverde A, Siddiqui H, Schaller S, Naqvi AR. Viral MicroRNAs:  
876 Interfering the Interferon Signaling. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2020;26(4):446-454.

877 27. Tian Y, DeJesus FA, Robert J, Sang Y. Virus-Targeted Transcriptomic and Functional  
878 Analyses Implicate Ranaviral Interaction with Host Interferon Response in Frog  
879 Virus 3-infected Frog Tissues. *J. Virol.* 2021 (In review)

880 28. Qi Z., Nie P., Secombes C.J., Zou J. Intron-containing type I and type III IFN coexist  
881 in amphibians: Refuting the concept that a retroposition event gave rise to type I  
882 IFNs. *J. Immunol.* 2010;184:5038–5046

883 29. Sang Y, Liu Q, Lee J, Ma W, McVey DS, Blecha F. Expansion of amphibian intronless  
884 interferons revises the paradigm for interferon evolution and functional diversity.  
885 *Sci Rep.* 2016 Jun 30;6:29072.

886 30. Tian Y, Jennings J, Gong Y, Sang Y. Xenopus Interferon Complex: Inscribing the  
887 Amphibiotic Adaption and Species-Specific Pathogenic Pressure in Vertebrate  
888 Evolution?. *Cells.* 2019;9(1):67.

889 31. Jacques R, Edholm ES, Jazz S, Odalys TL, Francisco JA. Xenopus-FV3 host-pathogen  
890 interactions and immune evasion. *Virology.* 2017 Nov;511:309-319.

891 32. Smale ST. Transcriptional regulation in the immune system: a status report. *Trends*  
892 *Immunol.* 2014;35(5):190-194.

893 33. Grayfer L, De Jesús Andino F, Robert J. Prominent amphibian (*Xenopus laevis*)  
894 tadpole type III interferon response to the frog virus 3 ranavirus. *J Virol.* 2015  
895 May;89(9):5072-82.

896 34. Sang ER, Tian Y, Miller LC, Sang Y. Epigenetic Evolution of ACE2 and IL-6 Genes:  
897 Non-Canonical Interferon-Stimulated Genes Correlate to COVID-19 Susceptibility  
898 in Vertebrates. *Genes (Basel).* 2021 Jan 25;12(2):154.

899 35. Sang Y, Yang J, Ross CR, Rowland RR, Blecha F. Molecular identification and  
900 functional expression of porcine Toll-like receptor (TLR) 3 and TLR7. *Vet Immunol*  
901 *Immunopathol.* 2008 Sep 15;125(1-2):162-7.

902 36. Andino Fde J, Grayfer L, Chen G, Chinchar VG, Edholm ES, Robert J.  
903 Characterization of Frog Virus 3 knockout mutants lacking putative virulence  
904 genes. *Virology*. 2015 Nov;485:162-70.

905 37. Wang W, Xia X, Mao L, Wang S. The CCAAT/Enhancer-Binding Protein Family: Its  
906 Roles in MDSC Expansion and Function. *Front Immunol*. 2019 Jul 31;10:1804.

907 38. Wen AY, Sakamoto KM, Miller LS. The role of the transcription factor CREB in  
908 immune function. *J Immunol*. 2010;185(11):6413-6419.

909 39. Jefferies CA. Regulating IRFs in IFN Driven Disease. *Front Immunol*. 2019 Mar  
910 29;10:325

911 40. Chiang HS, Liu HM. The Molecular Basis of Viral Inhibition of IRF- and STAT-  
912 Dependent Immune Responses. *Front Immunol*. 2019 Jan 8;9:3086.

913 41. Sun SC. The non-canonical NF- $\kappa$ B pathway in immunity and inflammation. *Nat Rev  
914 Immunol*. 2017 Sep;17(9):545-558.

915 42. Barrat, F.J.; Crow, M.K.; Ivashkiv, L.B. Interferon target-gene expression and  
916 epigenomic signatures in health and disease. *Nat. Immunol.* 2019, 20, 1574–1583.

917 43. O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, Peng C. Overview of MicroRNA Biogenesis,  
918 Mechanisms of Actions, and Circulation. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2018;9:402.

919 44. Gebert LFR, MacRae IJ. Regulation of microRNA function in animals. *Nat Rev Mol  
920 Cell Biol*. 2019;20(1):21-37.

921 45. Cardin SE, Borchert GM. Viral MicroRNAs, Host MicroRNAs Regulating Viruses,  
922 and Bacterial MicroRNA-Like RNAs. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2017;1617:39-56.

923 46. Tang GQ, Maxwell ES. Xenopus microRNA genes are predominantly located within  
924 introns and are differentially expressed in adult frog tissues via post-transcriptional  
925 regulation. *Genome Res*. 2008;18(1):104-112.

926 47. Ahmed A, Ward NJ, Moxon S, et al. A Database of microRNA Expression Patterns  
927 in *Xenopus laevis*. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0138313.

928 48. Secombes CJ, Zou J. Evolution of Interferons and Interferon Receptors. *Front  
929 Immunol*. 2017;8:209.

930 49. Session AM, Uno Y, Kwon T, et al. Genome evolution in the allotetraploid frog  
931 *Xenopus laevis*. *Nature*. 2016;538(7625):336-343.

932 50. Ouyang W, O'Garra A. IL-10 Family Cytokines IL-10 and IL-22: from Basic Science  
933 to Clinical Translation. *Immunity*. 2019 Apr 16;50(4):871-891.

934 51. Huang B, Qi ZT, Xu Z, Nie P. Global characterization of interferon regulatory factor  
935 (IRF) genes in vertebrates: glimpse of the diversification in evolution. *BMC  
936 Immunol*. (2010) 11:22.

937 52. Jefferies CA. Regulating IRFs in IFN Driven Disease. *Front Immunol*. 2019 Mar  
938 29;10:325.

939 53. Forero A, Ozarkar S, Li H, et al. Differential Activation of the Transcription Factor  
940 IRF1 Underlies the Distinct Immune Responses Elicited by Type I and Type III  
941 Interferons. *Immunity*. 2019;51(3):451-464.

942 54. Tailor P, Tamura T, Kong HJ, Kubota T, Kubota M, Borghi P, Gabriele L, Ozato K.  
943 The feedback phase of type I interferon induction in dendritic cells requires  
944 interferon regulatory factor 8. *Immunity*. 2007 Aug;27(2):228-39.

945 55. Weiss M, Byrne AJ, Blazek K, et al. IRF5 controls both acute and chronic  
946 inflammation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(35):11001-11006.

947 56. Kwa MQ, Nguyen T, Huynh J, Ramnath D, De Nardo D, Lam PY, Reynolds EC,  
948 Hamilton JA, Sweet MJ, Scholz GM. Interferon regulatory factor 6 differentially

949 regulates Toll-like receptor 2-dependent chemokine gene expression in epithelial  
950 cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2014 Jul 11;289(28):19758-68.

951 57. Li S, Lu LF, Feng H, Wu N, Chen DD, Zhang YB, Gui JF, Nie P, Zhang YA. IFN  
952 regulatory factor 10 is a negative regulator of the IFN responses in fish. *J Immunol.*  
953 2014 Aug 1;193(3):1100-9.

954 58. Hoffmann H.H., Schneider W.M., Rice C.M. Interferons and viruses: An  
955 evolutionary arms race of molecular interactions. *Trends Immunol.* 2015;36:124–  
956 138.

957