

Exceptional collections on certain Hassett spaces

Ana-Maria Castravet and Jenia Tevelev

Abstract. We construct an $S_2 \times S_n$ invariant full exceptional collection on Hassett spaces of weighted stable rational curves with $n+2$ markings and weights $(\frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, for $0 < \eta \ll 1$. These Hassett spaces can be identified with the symmetric GIT quotients of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ by the diagonal action of G_m , when n is odd, and their Kirwan desingularization, when n is even. The existence of such an exceptional collection is one of the needed ingredients in order to prove the existence of a full S_n -invariant exceptional collection on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$. To prove exceptionality we use the method of windows in derived categories. To prove fullness we use previous work on the existence of invariant full exceptional collections on Losev–Manin spaces.

Keywords. Exceptional collections; permutation representation; stable rational curves; Losev–Manin moduli spaces; Hassett moduli spaces; Geometric Invariant Theory quotients; windows in derived categories; Koszul resolutions

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14F08, 14H10, 14L24, 14L30, 18G80, 19A99

[Français]

Collections exceptionnelles sur certains espaces de Hassett

Résumé. Nous construisons une collection exceptionnelle pleine $S_2 \times S_n$ -invariante sur les espaces de Hassett de courbes rationnelles stables pondérées avec $n+2$ marquages et poids $(\frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, pour $0 < \eta \ll 1$. Ces espaces de Hassett peuvent être identifiés avec les quotients symétriques (au sens de la TGI) de $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ par l'action diagonale de G_m lorsque n est impair, et leur désingularisation de Kirwan lorsque n est pair. L'existence d'une telle collection exceptionnelle est l'un des ingrédients nécessaires afin de prouver l'existence d'une collection exceptionnelle S_n -invariante pleine sur $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$. Pour prouver le caractère exceptionnel, nous utilisons la méthode des fenêtres dans les catégories dérivées. Pour prouver que la collection est pleine, nous utilisons un travail précédent portant sur l'existence de collections exceptionnelles pleines sur les espaces de Losev–Manin.

Received by the Editors on May 7, 2020, and in final form on November 9, 2020.

Accepted on November 27, 2020.

Ana-Maria Castravet

Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, CNRS, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, 78000, Versailles, France

e-mail: ana-maria.castravet@uvsq.fr

Jenia Tevelev

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 710 North Pleasant Street, Amherst, MA 01003, USA, and Laboratory of Algebraic Geometry and its Applications, HSE, Moscow, Russia

e-mail: tevelev@math.umass.edu

AMC was supported by NSF grants DMS-1529735 and DMS-1701752. JT was supported by NSF grants DMS-1303415, DMS-1701704, and by the HSE University Basic Research Program, Russian Academic Excellence Project 5-100. Parts of this paper were written while AMC was visiting the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques in France and JT was visiting the Fields Institute in Toronto, Canada.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries on Hassett spaces	5
3. Proof of Theorem 1.6	8
3.1. Generalities on GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n / \mathbb{G}_m$	8
3.2. The space Z_N as a GIT quotient when n is odd	9
3.3. Exceptionality	10
3.4. Fullness	12
4. Proof of Theorem 1.8	13
4.1. The space Z_N as a GIT quotient, n even	14
4.2. Exceptionality	17
4.3. Fullness	21
5. Pushforward of the exceptional collection on the Losev–Manin space $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ to Z_N	27
References	33

1. Introduction

A conjecture of Manin and Orlov states that Grothendieck–Knudsen moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ of stable, rational curves with n markings admits a full, exceptional collection which is invariant (as a set) under the action of the symmetric group S_n permuting the markings. The conjecture has been proved by the authors in [CT20b] by reducing it to the similar statement for several Hassett spaces, one of which is the space under consideration in this paper. While the proof presented in [CT20b] for other needed Hassett spaces is valid in this particular case as well, it was not discussed in [CT20b] and we prefer to give a different and much simpler proof here.

For a vector of rational weights $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with $0 < a_i \leq 1$ and $\sum a_i > 2$, the Hassett space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the moduli space of weighted pointed stable rational curves, i.e., pairs $(C, \sum a_i p_i)$ with slc singularities, such that C is a genus 0, at worst nodal, curve and the $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle $\omega_C(\sum a_i p_i)$ is ample. For example, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{(1, \dots, 1)}$. There exist birational reduction morphisms $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}'}$ every time the weight vectors are such that $a_i \geq a'_i$ for every i .

Understanding the derived categories of the Hassett spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ was considered in the work of Ballard, Favero and Katzarkov [BFK19], and earlier, for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ in the work of Manin and Smirnov [MS13] (see also [Sm13, MS14]). However, here we consider a modified question. If $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}} \subseteq S_n$ denotes the stabilizer of the set of weights $\mathbf{a}$, we ask whether there exists a full, $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ -invariant exceptional collection on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$. Theorem [CT20b, Theorem 1.5] reduces the existence of such collections on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$, as well as many other Hassett spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$, to the following cases:

- (I) The Losev–Manin spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\mathbf{a} = (1, 1, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.

(II) The Hassett spaces $\overline{M}_{p,q}$, for $p + q = n$ ($q \geq 0, p \geq 2$) having p *heavy* weights and q *light* weights with the following properties:

$$(1.1) \quad a_1 = \dots = a_p = a + \eta, \quad a_{p+1} = \dots = a_n = \epsilon, \quad pa + q\epsilon = 2,$$

where $0 < \eta, \epsilon \ll 1$.

To reduce to the above cases, the authors were inspired by results of Bergstrom and Minabe [BM13, BM14] that used reduction maps between Hassett spaces. The existence of a full, invariant, exceptional collection in case (I) was proved in [CT20a]. The work in [CT20b] proves the statement for the spaces $\overline{M}_{p,q}$ in (II) with $p \geq 3$ and is the most difficult part of the argument. The current paper treats the spaces $\overline{M}_{p,q}$ in (II) with $p = 2$. We emphasize that this case is not explicitly proved in [CT20b]. However, the proof for $p > 2$ is valid even when $p = 2$. The proof for $p > 2$ requires a lot of different comparisons between different Hassett spaces. Here we prove that this can be avoided when $p = 2$. More precisely, the main space under consideration when $p = 2$ is the following:

Notation 1.1. Let Z_N denote the Hassett moduli space of rational curves with markings $N \cup \{0, \infty\}$ with weights of markings 0 and ∞ equal to $\frac{1}{2} + \eta$ and the markings from N equal to $\frac{1}{n}$, where $0 < \eta \ll 1$. We also write $Z_n := Z_N$ for $n = |N|$ when there is no ambiguity.

The condition on the weights is equivalent to the condition (1.1) for $p = 2$ (in which case, $a = 1 - \frac{(n-2)\epsilon}{2}$). Explicitly, all light points may coincide with one another and one heavy point may coincide with at most $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ heavy points. We have the following description:

Theorem 1.2. *When n is odd, the space Z_n is isomorphic to the symmetric GIT quotient $Z_n = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n /_{\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)} \mathbb{G}_m$, with respect to the diagonal action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, coming from $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $z \cdot [x, y] = [zx, z^{-1}y]$. When n is even, Z_n is isomorphic to the Kirwan desingularization of the same GIT quotient.*

Theorem 1.2 for n odd is stated in [Has03] within a more general set-up. Theorem 1.2 for n even is a direct consequence of [Has03]. For the reader's convenience, we give the proofs in Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 4.3.

The group $S_2 \times S_n$ acts on Z_n by permuting 0, ∞ , and the markings from N respectively. In a similar fashion, the Losev–Manin space $\overline{LM}_N$ (or $\overline{LM}_n$, for $n = |N|$) of dimension $(n-1)$ is the Hassett space with weights $(1, 1, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$, with markings from $N \cup \{0, \infty\}$ with the weights of 0, ∞ equal to 1, while markings from N are equal to ϵ , with $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. The space $\overline{LM}_N$ is isomorphic to an iterated blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ along points $q_1, \dots, q_n$ in linearly general position, and all linear subspaces spanned by $\{q_i\}$. In particular, $\overline{LM}_n$ is a toric variety. The action of S_n permuting the markings from N corresponds to a relabeling of the points $\{q_i\}$, while the action of S_2 , permuting 0, ∞ , corresponds, at the level of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, to a Cremona transformation with center at the points $\{q_i\}$. There is a birational $S_2 \times S_n$ -equivariant morphism, reducing the weights of 0 and ∞ : $p: \overline{LM}_N \rightarrow Z_N$. In particular, Z_N is also a toric variety. Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *The Hassett space Z_n has a full exceptional collection which is invariant under the action of $(S_2 \times S_n)$. In particular, the K -group $K_0(Z_n)$ is a permutation $(S_2 \times S_n)$ -module.*

Theorem 1.3 is the immediate consequence of Theorem 1.6 (case of n odd) and Theorem 1.8 (case of n even). We now describe the collections.

Definition 1.4. If $(\pi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{M}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ is the universal family over the Hassett space $\overline{M}$, one defines tautological classes

$$\psi_i := \sigma_i^* \omega_\pi, \quad \delta_{ij} = \sigma_i^* \sigma_j^*.$$

Note that when n is odd, we have $\psi_0 + \psi_\infty = 0$ on Z_n . For other relations, including the case when n is even, see Section 2.

Definition 1.5. Assume n is odd. Let $E \subseteq N$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that if $e = |E|$ we have that $p + e$ is even. We define line bundles on Z_n as follows:

$$L_{E,p} := -\left(\frac{e-p}{2}\right)\psi_\infty - \sum_{j \in E} \delta_{j\infty}.$$

As sums of $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles, $L_{E,p} = \frac{p}{2}\psi_\infty + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j \in E} \psi_j = -\frac{p}{2}\psi_0 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j \in E} \psi_j$. In particular, the action of S_2 exchanges $L_{E,p}$ with $L_{E,-p}$. The line bundles $L_{E,p}$ are natural from the GIT point of view, see (3.1).

Theorem 1.6. Let $n = 2s + 1$ odd. The line bundles $\{L_{E,p}\}$ (Definition 1.5) form a full, $(S_2 \times S_n)$ invariant exceptional collection in $D^b(Z_n)$ under the condition:

$$|p| + \min(e, n - e) \leq s, \quad \text{where } e = |E|, \quad p + e \text{ even.}$$

The line bundles are ordered by decreasing e , and for a fixed e , arbitrarily.

The collection in Theorem 1.6 is the dual of the collection in [CT20b, Theorem 1.10] for $p = 2$, with some of the constraints on the order removed. See also Remark 3.7 for a more precise statement.

Consider now the case when $n = 2s + 2 \geq 2$ is even. In this case the universal family over Z_n has reducible fibers. For each partition $N = T \sqcup T^c$, $|T| = |T^c| = s + 1$, we denote $\delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}} \subseteq Z_n$ the boundary component parametrizing nodal rational curve with two components, with markings from $T \cup \{\infty\}$ on one component and $T^c \cup \{0\}$ on the other. Moreover, $\delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}} = \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$ and we have that $Z_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n / \mathcal{O}_{(1,\dots,1)} \mathrm{PGL}_2$ is a Kirwan resolution of singularities with exceptional divisors $\delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$.

Definition 1.7. Assume n is even. Let $E \subseteq N$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that if $e = |E|$ we have that $p + e$ is even. We define line bundles on Z_n as follows:

$$L_{E,p} := -\left(\frac{e-p}{2}\right)\psi_\infty - \sum_{j \in E} \delta_{j\infty} - \sum_{|E \cap T| - \frac{e-p}{2} > 0} \left(|E \cap T| - \frac{e-p}{2}\right) \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}.$$

The line bundles $L_{E,p}$ are natural from the GIT point of view, see Definition 4.6 and the discussion thereafter. From this point of view, it is also clear that the action of S_2 exchanges $L_{E,p}$ with $L_{E,-p}$.

Theorem 1.8. Assume $n = 2s + 2$ is even, $s \geq 0$. The following form a full, $(S_2 \times S_n)$ invariant exceptional collection in $D^b(Z_n)$:

- The torsion sheaves $\mathcal{O}(-a, -b)$ supported on $\delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}} = \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$, for all $T \subseteq N$, $|T| = |T^c| = s + 1$, such that one of the following holds:
 - $0 < a \leq s$, $0 < b \leq s$,
 - $a = 0$, $0 < b < \frac{s+1}{2}$,
 - $b = 0$, $0 < a < \frac{s+1}{2}$.
- The line bundles $\{L_{E,p}\}$ (Definition 1.7) under the following condition:

$$|p| + \min(e, n + 1 - e) \leq s + 1, \quad \text{where } e = |E|, \quad p + e \text{ even.}$$

The order is as follows: all torsion sheaves precede the line bundles, the torsion sheaves are arranged in order of decreasing $(a + b)$, while the line bundles are arranged in order of decreasing e , and for a fixed e , arbitrarily.

The torsion part of the collection in Theorem 1.8 is the same as the torsion part of the collection in [CT20b, Theorem 1.15] for $p = 2$. However, the remaining parts are not the same, nor are they dual to each other, as in the case of Theorem 1.6. There is a relationship between the dual collection $\{L_{E,p}^\vee\}$ and the torsion free part of the collection in [CT20b, Theorem 1.15] for $p = 2$, but this is more complicated – see Remark 4.23 for a precise statement.

To prove that our collections are exceptional, we use the method of windows [HL15, BFK19]. We then use some of the main results of [CT20a, Proposition 1.8, Theorem 1.10] to prove fullness, by using the

reduction map $p : \overline{\text{LM}}_n \rightarrow Z_n$ in order to compare our collections on Z_n with the push forward of the full exceptional collection on the Losev–Manin space. We emphasize that while in [CT20b] we prove exceptionality and fullness on spaces like Z_N indirectly, by working on their contractions (small resolutions of the singular GIT quotient when n is even), in this paper we prove both exceptionality and fullness directly, by using the method of windows (for n even on the Kirwan resolution, the blow-up of the strictly semistable locus).

As remarked in [CT20a], we do not know any smooth projective toric varieties X with an action of a finite group Γ normalizing the torus action which do not have a Γ -equivariant exceptional collection $\{E_i\}$ of maximal possible length (equal to the topological Euler characteristic of X). From this point of view, the Losev–Manin spaces $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ and their birational contractions Z_N provide evidence that this may be true in general. The existence of such a collection implies that the K-group $K_0(X)$ is a permutation Γ -module. In the Galois setting (when X is defined over a field which is not algebraically closed and Γ is the absolute Galois group), an analogous statement was conjectured by Merkurjev and Panin [MP97]. Of course one may further wonder if $\{E_i\}$ is in fact full, which is related to (non)-existence of phantom categories on X , another difficult open question.

We refer to [CT15, CT13, CT12] for background information on the birational geometry of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$, the Losev–Manin space and other related spaces.

Organization of paper. In Section 2 we discuss preliminaries on Hassett spaces and prove some general results on how tautological classes pull back under reduction morphisms. These results are of independent interest and have been already used in a crucial way in [CT20b]. In Section 3, we discuss the GIT interpretation of the Hassett spaces Z_n in the n odd case and prove Theorem 1.6. In Section 4, we do the same for the n even case and prove Theorem 1.8. Section 5 serves as an appendix, recalling results on Losev–Manin spaces from [CT20a] and calculating the push forward to Z_n of the full exceptional collection on the Losev–Manin space $\overline{\text{LM}}_n$. These results are used in Sections 3 and 4 to prove fullness in Theorems 1.6 and 1.8. Throughout the paper, we do not distinguish between line bundles and the corresponding divisor classes.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Alexander Kuznetsov for suggesting the problem about the derived categories of moduli spaces of pointed curves in the equivariant setting. We thank Daniel Halpern–Leistner for his help with windows in derived categories. We thank Valery Alexeev and the anonymous referee for useful comments.

2. Preliminaries on Hassett spaces

We refer to [Has03] for background on the Hassett moduli spaces. Recall that for a choice of weights

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad 0 < a_i \leq 1, \quad \sum a_i > 2,$$

we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ the fine moduli space of weighted rational curves with n markings which are stable with respect to the set of weights $\mathbf{a}$. Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $(n - 3)$. Note that the polytope of weights has a chamber structure with walls $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = 1$ for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. One obtains the Losev–Manin space $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ by considering weights on the set of markings $\{0, \infty\} \cup N$:

$$\left(1, 1, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad n = |N|.$$

Replacing the weights equal to $\frac{1}{n}$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$, for some $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, defines the same moduli problem, hence, gives isomorphic moduli spaces.

Similarly, the moduli space Z_N of Notation 1.1 is the moduli space with set of markings $\{0, \infty\} \cup N$ and weights

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad 0 < \eta \ll 1.$$

If $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{a}' = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are such that $a_i \geq b_i$, for all i , there is a reduction morphism $\rho : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}'}$. This is a birational morphism whose exceptional locus consists of boundary divisors δ_I (parametrizing reducible curves with a node that disconnects the markings from I and I^c) for every subset $I \subseteq N$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1$, but $\sum_{i \in I} b_i \leq 1$. For us a special role will be played by the reduction map $p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_N \rightarrow Z_N$ which reduces the weights of $\{0, \infty\}$ from 1 to the minimum possible.

For a Hassett space $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$, with universal family $(\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}, \{\sigma_i\})$, recall that we define $\psi_i := \sigma_i^* \omega_\pi$, $\delta_{ij} = \sigma_i^* \sigma_j$. Since the sections σ_i lie in the locus where the map π is smooth, the identity $\sigma_i \cdot \omega_\pi = -\sigma_i^2$ holds on $\mathcal{U}$. Therefore, $-\psi_i = \pi_*(\sigma_i^2) = \sigma_i^* \sigma_i$.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a Hassett space whose universal family $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. Then the identity $-\omega_\pi = 2\sigma_i + \pi^*(\psi_i)$ holds on $\mathcal{U}$, and therefore, on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ we have for all $i \neq j$:*

$$\psi_i + \psi_j = -2\delta_{ij}.$$

Hence, for all distinct i, j, k , we have $\psi_i = -\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{jk}$.

Proof. Indeed, $-\omega_\pi - 2\sigma_i$ restricts to the fibers of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle trivially, and therefore is of the form $\pi^*(L)$ for some line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Pulling back by σ_i shows that $L = \psi_i$. $\square$

When n is odd, the universal family $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow Z_N$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle and the sections σ_0 and σ_∞ are distinct. Lemma 2.1 has the following:

Corollary 2.2. *The following identities hold on Z_N when n is odd:*

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_0 = -\psi_\infty = -\delta_{i0} + \delta_{i\infty}, \quad \psi_i = -\delta_{i0} - \delta_{i\infty}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}' = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}'}$ be Hassett spaces, with $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)$, $\mathbf{a}' = (b_i)$, $a_i \geq b_i$ for all i . Consider the corresponding reduction map $p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}' \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $(\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}, \{\sigma_i\})$, $(\pi' : \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}', \{\sigma'_i\})$ be the universal families. Denote by $(\rho : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}', \{s_i\})$ the pull-back of $(\pi' : \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}', \{\sigma'_i\})$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$. Then there exists a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{U}' & \xrightarrow{v} & \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{U} \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \pi \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}' & \xrightarrow{Id} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}' & \xrightarrow{p} & \overline{\mathcal{M}} \end{array}$$

Furthermore, identifying $\mathcal{U}'$ with a Hassett space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}}$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, \dots, a_n, 0)$ (with an additional marking x with weight 0) [Has03, 2.1.1], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} v^* \omega_\rho &= \omega_{\pi'} - \sum_{|I| \geq 2, \sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1, \sum_{i \in I} b_i \leq 1} \delta_{I \cup \{x\}}, \\ v^* s_i &= \sigma_i + \sum_{i \in I, |I| \geq 2, \sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1, \sum_{i \in I} b_i \leq 1} \delta_{I \cup \{x\}}, \\ p^* \psi_i &= \psi_i - \sum_{i \in I, |I| \geq 2, \sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1, \sum_{i \in I} b_i \leq 1} \delta_I, \\ p^* \delta_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} + \sum_{i, j \in I, |I| \geq 3, \sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1, \sum_{i \in I} b_i \leq 1} \delta_I. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The spaces $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$ are smooth [Has03, Propositions 5.3 and 5.4]. The existence of the commutative diagram follows from semi-stable reduction [Has03, Proof of Theorem 4.1]. The map v is obtained by applying the relative MMP for the line bundle $\omega_{\pi'}(\sum b_i \sigma'_i)$. Concretely, the relative MMP results in a sequences of blow-downs, followed by a small crepant map:

$$\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^r = \mathcal{V},$$

(all over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$). The resulting map $v : \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a birational map which contracts divisors in $\mathcal{U}'$ to codimension 2 loci in $\mathcal{V}$ (as the relative dimension drops from 1 to 0). Note that $\mathcal{V}$ is generically smooth along these loci. The v -exceptional divisors can be identified via $\mathcal{U}' \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ with boundary divisors $\delta_{I \cup \{x\}}$ ($I \subseteq N$), with the property that $\sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1$, $\sum_{i \in I} b_i \leq 1$.

For a flat family of nodal curves $u : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ with Gorenstein base B (in our case smooth) the relative dualizing sheaf ω_u is a line bundle on $\mathcal{C}$ with first Chern class $K_{\mathcal{C}} - u^*K_B$, where $K_{\mathcal{C}}$ and K_B denote the corresponding canonical divisors. In particular:

$$\omega_{\pi'} = K_{\mathcal{U}'} - \pi'^*K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}, \quad \omega_{\rho} = K_{\mathcal{V}} - \rho^*K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}.$$

Since the map v on an open set is the blow-up of codimension 2 loci in $\mathcal{V}$, it follows that $K_{\mathcal{U}'} = v^*K_{\mathcal{V}} + \sum E$, by the blow-up formula. Hence, $v^*\omega_{\rho} = \omega_{\pi'} - \sum E$, where the sum runs over all prime divisors E which are v -exceptional. This proves the first identity. For the second, we identify the sections σ'_i (resp., σ_i) with the boundary divisors δ_{ix} in $\mathcal{U}'$ (resp., in $\mathcal{U}$). Note that the proper transform of the section s_i is σ'_i and s_i contains $v(\delta_{I \cup \{x\}})$ ($|I| \geq 2$), for $\delta_{I \cup \{x\}}$ v -exceptional if and only if $i \in I$. Moreover, in this case, $v(\delta_{I \cup \{x\}})$ is contained in s_i (with codimension 1) and s_i is smooth (since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$ is). The second identity follows. By Definition 1.4 and the diagram,

$$p^*\psi_i = p^*\sigma'_i \omega_{\pi} = s_i^*q^*\omega_{\pi} = s_i^*\omega_{\rho} = \sigma'^*_i v^*\omega_{\rho},$$

$$p^*\delta_{ij} = p^*\sigma'_i(\sigma_j) = s_i^*q^*(\sigma_j) = s_i^*s_j = \sigma'^*_i v^*s_j.$$

The last two formulas now follow using the first two and the fact that $\sigma'^*_i \delta_{I \cup \{x\}} = \delta_I$ if $i \in I$ and is 0 otherwise. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $p : \overline{\mathcal{LM}}_N \rightarrow Z_N$ be the reduction map. Let $s := \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} p^*\psi_0 &= \psi_0 - \sum_{I \subseteq N, 1 \leq |I| \leq s} \delta_{I \cup \{0\}}, \\ p^*\psi_i &= - \sum_{i \in I \subseteq N, 1 \leq |I| \leq s} (\delta_{I \cup \{0\}} + \delta_{I \cup \{\infty\}}) \quad (i \in N), \\ p^*\delta_{i0} &= \sum_{i \in I \subseteq N, 1 \leq |I| \leq s} \delta_{I \cup \{0\}} \quad (i \in N), \\ p^*\delta_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} + \sum_{i, j \in I \subseteq N, 1 \leq |I| \leq s} (\delta_{I \cup \{0\}} + \delta_{I \cup \{\infty\}}) \quad (i, j \in N). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. *On the Losev–Manin space $\overline{\mathcal{LM}}_N$, we have $\psi_i = 0$ for all $i \in N$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.3 to a reduction map $p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, N \cup \{0, \infty\}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{LM}}_N$:

$$p^*\psi_i = \psi_i - \sum_{i \in I, |I| \geq 2, 0, \infty \in I^c} \delta_I.$$

The right hand side of the equality is 0 [KL09, Lemma 3.4]. Therefore, $p^*\psi_i = 0$. As $p_*\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$, by the projection formula, we have $\psi_i = 0$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5. In the notation of Lemma 2.3, the universal family $\mathcal{U}'$ over $\overline{M}' = \overline{LM}_N$ can be identified with $\overline{M}_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}}$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 1, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon, 0)$, with an additional marking x with 0 weight. But $\mathcal{U}'$ can also be identified with $\overline{LM}_{N \cup \{x\}} = \overline{M}_{(1, 1, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)}$ (here x has weight ϵ). Via this identification, boundary divisors δ_J correspond to boundary divisors δ_J , for any $J \subseteq N \cup \{0, \infty, x\}$. The v -exceptional divisors appearing in the sum are $\delta_{I \cup \{x, 0\}}, \delta_{I \cup \{x, \infty\}}, I \subseteq N, |I| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$. $\square$

When $n = |N|$ is even, the Hassett space $Z_N = \overline{M}_{(\frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})}$ of Notation 1.1 is closely related to the following Hassett spaces:

$$Z'_N = \overline{M}_{(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})}, \quad Z''_N = \overline{M}_{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})},$$

with weights assigned to $(\infty, 0, p_1, \dots, p_n)$. There exist $p' : Z_N \rightarrow Z'_N, p'' : Z_N \rightarrow Z''_N$, reduction maps that contract the boundary divisors using the two different projections. The universal families over Z'_N and Z''_N are $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles. Lemma 2.3 applied to the reduction maps p', p'' leads to:

Lemma 2.6. *Assume $n = |N|$ is even. The following relations hold between the tautological classes on the Hassett space Z_N :*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 &= \delta_{i\infty} - \delta_{i0} + \sum_{i \in T, |T| = \frac{n}{2}} \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}, & \psi_\infty &= \delta_{i0} - \delta_{i\infty} + \sum_{i \notin T, |T| = \frac{n}{2}} \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}, \\ \psi_0 + \psi_\infty &= \sum_{|T| = \frac{n}{2}} \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second relation follows from the first using the S_2 symmetry, while the third follows by adding the first two. To prove the first relation, consider the reduction map $p' : Z_N \rightarrow Z'_N$. To avoid confusion, we denote by ψ'_i, δ'_{ij} (resp., ψ_i, δ_{ij}) the tautological classes on Z'_N (resp., on Z_N). The universal family $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow Z'_N$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. By Lemma 2.1, we have $\psi'_\infty = \delta'_{i0} - \delta'_{i\infty}$ (since $\delta'_{0\infty} = 0$). The relation follows, as by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$p'^* \psi'_\infty = \psi_\infty - \sum_{|T| = \frac{n}{2}} \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}, \quad p'^* \delta'_{i\infty} = \delta_{i\infty} + \sum_{i \in T, |T| = \frac{n}{2}} \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}, \quad p'^* \delta'_{i0} = \delta_{i0}.$$

$\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

We start with a few generalities on GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n / \mathbb{G}_m$. For n odd, we first show that the Hassett space Z_N introduced in (1.1) can be identified with symmetric GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n / \mathbb{G}_m$. We use the method of windows from [HL15] to prove exceptionality of the collections in Theorem 1.6. We then prove that the collection is full, by using the full exceptional collection on the Losev–Manin spaces $\overline{LM}_N$ (see Section 5).

3.1. Generalities on GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n / \mathbb{G}_m$

Assume n is an arbitrary positive integer. Let $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } k[z, z^{-1}]$ act on $\mathbb{A}^2$ by $z \cdot (x, y) = (zx, z^{-1}y)$. Let $P\mathbb{G}_m := \mathbb{G}_m / \{\pm 1\}$. Note that $P\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^1$ faithfully. Let $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be the point with homogeneous coordinates $[0 : 1]$ and let $\infty = [1 : 0]$.

We use concepts of “linearized vector bundles” and “equivariant vector bundles” interchangeably. For (complexes of) coherent sheaves, we prefer “equivariant”. We endow the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ with a $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization induced by the above action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on its total space $\mathbb{V}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2$.

Consider the diagonal action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. For $\bar{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, we denote $\mathcal{O}(\bar{j})$ the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization given by the tensor product of linearizations above. We denote $\mathcal{O} \otimes z^k$ the trivial line bundle with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization given by the character $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, z \mapsto z^k$. For every

equivariant coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ (resp., a complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$), we denote by $\mathcal{F} \otimes z^k$ (resp., $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes z^k$) the tensor product with $\mathcal{O} \otimes z^k$. Note that $\mathcal{O}(\bar{j}) \otimes z^k$ is $P\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized iff $j_1 + \dots + j_n + k$ is even.

There is an action of $S_2 \times S_n$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ which normalizes the $\mathbb{G}_m$ action. Namely, S_n permutes the factors of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and S_2 acts on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $z \mapsto z^{-1}$. This action permutes linearized line bundles $\mathcal{O}(\bar{j}) \otimes z^k$ as follows: S_n permutes components of $\bar{j}$ and S_2 flips $k \mapsto -k$.

Notation 3.1. Consider the GIT quotient

$$\Sigma_n := (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n /_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{G}_m, \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1),$$

with respect to the ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ (with its canonical $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization described above). Here $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ denotes the semi-stable locus with respect to this linearization. Let $\phi : (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ denote the canonical morphism.

As GIT quotients $X /_{\mathcal{L}} G$ are by definition $\text{Proj}(R(X, \mathcal{L})^G)$, where $R(X, \mathcal{L})^G$ is the invariant part of the section ring $R(X, \mathcal{L})$, we may replace $\mathcal{L}$ with any positive multiple. As the action of $P\mathbb{G}_m$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is induced from the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$, Σ_n is isomorphic to the GIT quotient $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n / P\mathbb{G}_m$ (with respect to any even multiple of $\mathcal{L}$). The action of $S_2 \times S_n$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ descends to Σ_n .

By the Hilbert-Mumford criterion, a point (z_i) in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is semi-stable (resp., stable) if $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ (resp., $< \frac{n}{2}$) of the z_i equal 0 or equal ∞ .

3.2. The space Z_N as a GIT quotient when n is odd

When n is odd, there are no strictly semistable points and the action of $P\mathbb{G}_m$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ is free. In particular, Σ_n is smooth and by Kempf's descent lemma, any $P\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ descends to a line bundle on Σ_n . Furthermore, Σ_n can be identified with the quotient stack $[(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n / P\mathbb{G}_m]$ and its derived category $D^b(\Sigma_n)$ with the equivariant derived category $D_{P\mathbb{G}_m}^b((\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n)$.

Consider the trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ with the following sections:

$$\rho : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \times \mathbb{P}^1 = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n,$$

$$s_0(\bar{z}) = (\bar{z}, 0), \quad s_\infty(\bar{z}) = (\bar{z}, \infty), \quad s_i(\bar{z}) = (\bar{z}, pr_i(\bar{z})),$$

where $pr_i : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the i -th projection. The sections s_0 , resp., s_∞ are induced by the projection $p_2 : \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, resp., $p_1 : \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, while the section s_i is induced by the map $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ given by the sections $x_i = pr_i^* x, y_i = pr_i^* y$ of $pr^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ that define 0 and ∞ on the i -th copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Notation 3.2. Let $\Delta_{i0} = pr_i^{-1}(\{0\}) \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and $\Delta_{i\infty} = pr_i^{-1}(\{\infty\}) \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$.

Note that Δ_{i0} is the zero locus of the section x_i , or the locus in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ where $s_i = s_0$. Similarly, let $\Delta_{i\infty}$ the zero locus of the section y_i .

We now endow all the above vector bundles with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearizations. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{O} \otimes z, \quad \mathcal{L}_\infty = \mathcal{O} \otimes z^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_i = pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes 1, \quad \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_\infty.$$

The maps $\mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i$ (given by the sections x_i, y_i) are $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant, hence, induce $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant surjective maps $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i$. The projection maps $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\infty$ are clearly $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant. While none of $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_\infty, \mathcal{L}_i$ are $P\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized vector bundles, tensoring with $\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$ solves this problem, and we obtain a non-trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ with disjoint sections σ_0, σ_∞ and additional sections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$.

Denote δ_{i0} the locus in Σ_n where $\sigma_i = \sigma_0$. This is the zero locus of the section giving the map $\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i$ on Σ_n , i.e., the section whose pull-back to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is the section x_i . Similarly, we let $\delta_{i\infty}$ the locus in Σ_n where $\sigma_i = \sigma_\infty$. Hence, the sections x_i, y_i of $pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes 1$ defining $\Delta_{i0}, \Delta_{i\infty}$ descend to global sections of the corresponding line bundle on Σ_n and define $\delta_{i0}, \delta_{i\infty}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume n is odd. We have the following dictionary between line bundles on the GIT quotient Σ_n and $P\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundles on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(\delta_{i0}) &= pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes z, & \mathcal{O}(\delta_{i\infty}) &= pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes z^{-1} \\ \psi_0 &= \mathcal{O} \otimes z^{-2}, & \psi_\infty &= \mathcal{O} \otimes z^2, & \psi_i &= pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(-2) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first two formulas follow from the previous discussion: $\mathcal{O}(\delta_{i0})$ corresponds to the $P\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}_\infty^\vee$. The remaining formulas follow from Lemma 3.4 and the identities (2.1). $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *If $n = |N|$ is odd, the Hassett space Z_N (see Notation 1.1) is isomorphic to the GIT quotient $\Sigma_n = (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n / \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1) \mathbb{G}_m$.*

Proof. The trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $\rho : (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ with sections s_0, s_∞, s_i is the pull-back of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ and sections $\sigma_0, \sigma_\infty, \sigma_i$. Since the former is a family of $\mathcal{A}$ -stable rational curves, where $\mathcal{A} = (\frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, we have an induced morphism $f : \Sigma_n \rightarrow Z_N$. Clearly, every $\mathcal{A}$ -stable pointed rational curve is represented in the family over $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ (hence, Σ_n). Furthermore, two elements of this family are isomorphic if and only if they belong to the same orbit under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$. It follows that f is one-to-one on closed points. As both Z_N and Σ_n are smooth, f must be an isomorphism. Alternatively, there is an induced morphism $F : (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n \rightarrow Z_N$ which is $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant (with $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting trivially on Z_N). As Σ_n is a categorical quotient, it follows that F factors through Σ_n and as before, the resulting map $f : \Sigma_n \rightarrow Z_N$ must be an isomorphism. $\square$

3.3. Exceptionality

When n is odd, Σ_n is a smooth polarized projective toric variety for the torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}$ and its polytope is a cross-section of the n -dimensional cube (the polytope of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}$) by the hyperplane normal to and bisecting the big diagonal. In particular, the topological Euler characteristic $e(\Sigma_n)$ is equal to the number of edges of the hypercube intersecting that hyperplane:

$$e(\Sigma_n) = n \binom{n-1}{\frac{n-1}{2}} = n \binom{n}{0} + (n-2) \binom{n}{1} + (n-4) \binom{n}{2} + \dots$$

By Lemma 3.3, the line bundles $\{L_{E,p}\}$ in Theorem 1.6 correspond to restrictions to $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ of $P\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundles on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$

$$(3.1) \quad L_{E,p} = \mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^p,$$

where $\mathcal{O}(-E) = \mathcal{O}(\bar{j})$, and $\bar{j}$ is a vector of 0's and (-1) 's, with -1 's corresponding to the indices in $E \subseteq N$. (Here we abuse notations and we denote by $L_{E,p}$ both the line bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and the corresponding one on Σ_n .) The collection is $(S_2 \times S_n)$ -equivariant and consists of $e(\Sigma_n)$ line bundles.

Proof of Theorem 1.6 – exceptionality. Let $G := P\mathbb{G}_m$. We use the method of windows [HL15]. We describe the Kempf–Ness stratification [HL15, Section 2.1] of the unstable locus $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{us}^n$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}$. The G -fixed points are

$$Z_I = \{(x_i) \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I, x_i = \infty \text{ for } i \in I\}$$

for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\sigma_I : Z_I \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ be the inclusion map. The stratification comes from an ordering of the pairs (λ, Z) , where $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ is a 1-PS and Z is a connected component of the λ -fixed locus (the points Z_I in our case). The ordering is such that the function

$$\mu(\lambda, Z) = -\frac{\text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{L}|_Z}{|\lambda|},$$

is decreasing. Here $|\lambda|$ is an Euclidean norm on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We refer to [HL15, Section 2.1] for the details. As $\mu(\lambda, Z) = \mu(\lambda^k, Z)$ for any integer $k > 0$, it follows that, in our situation, one only has to consider pairs (λ, Z_I) and (λ', Z_I) , for the two 1-PS $\lambda(z) = z$ and $\lambda'(z) = z^{-1}$. Recall that

$$\text{weight}_{\lambda} \mathcal{O}(-1)|_{\infty} = +1, \quad \text{weight}_{\lambda} \mathcal{O}(-1)|_0 = -1,$$

$$\text{weight}_{\lambda} (\mathcal{O} \otimes z^p)|_q = p \quad \text{for all points } q \in \mathbb{P}^1.$$

It follows that $\text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{O}(-1)|_{\infty} = -1$, $\text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{O}(-1)|_0 = +1$ and

$$\text{weight}_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}|_{Z_I} = |I^c| - |I|, \quad \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{L}|_{Z_I} = -|I^c| + |I|.$$

The unstable locus is the union of the following Kempf–Ness strata:

$$S_I = \{(x_i) \mid x_i = \infty \text{ if } i \in I, x_i \neq \infty \text{ if } i \notin I\} \cong \mathbb{A}^{|I^c|} \quad \text{for } |I| > n/2,$$

$$S'_I = \{(x_i) \mid x_i = 0 \text{ if } i \notin I, x_i \neq 0 \text{ if } i \in I\} \cong \mathbb{A}^{|I|} \quad \text{for } |I| < n/2.$$

The destabilizing 1-PS for S_I (resp. for S'_I) is λ (resp. λ'). The 1-PS λ (resp., λ') acts on the conormal bundle $N_{S_I|(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^{\vee}$ (resp., $N_{S'_I|(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^{\vee}$) restricted to Z_I with positive weights and their sum η_I (resp., η'_I) can be computed as

$$\eta_I = 2|I|, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \eta'_I = 2|I^c|.$$

To see this, note that the sum of λ -weights of $(N_{S_I|(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^{\vee})|_{Z_I}$ equals

$$\text{weight}_{\lambda} \left(\det N_{S_I|(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^{\vee} \right)|_{Z_I} = \text{weight}_{\lambda} \left(\det T_{S_I} \right)|_{Z_I} - \text{weight}_{\lambda} \left(\det T_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \right)|_{Z_I}.$$

Note that S_I can be identified with $\mathbb{A}^{|I^c|}$ and the point $Z_I \in S_I$ with the point $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{|I^c|}$. The action of G on $\mathbb{A}^{|I^c|}$ is via $z \cdot (x_j) = (z^2 x_j)$. It follows that $\text{weight}_{\lambda} T_{S_I}|_{Z_I} = 2|I^c|$. Similarly, the tangent space $(\det T_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n})|_{Z_I}$ can be identified with the tangent space of $T_0 \mathbb{A}^n$, with the action of G on $(x_j) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ being $z \cdot x_j = z^2 x_j$ if $j \in I^c$ and $z \cdot x_j = z^{-2} x_j$ if $j \in I$. It follows that $\text{weight}_{\lambda} (T_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n})|_{Z_I} = 2|I^c| - 2|I|$. Hence, $\eta_I = 2|I|$. Similarly, $\eta'_I = 2|I^c|$.

For the Kempf–Ness strata S_I and S'_I we make a choice of “weights”

$$w_I = w'_I = -2s, \quad \text{where } n = 2s + 1.$$

By the main result of [HL15, Theorem 2.10], $D_G^b((\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n)$ is equivalent to the window $\mathbb{G}_w$ in the equivariant derived category $D_G^b((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$, namely the full subcategory of all complexes of equivariant sheaves $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}$ such that all weights (with respect to corresponding destabilizing 1-PS) of the cohomology sheaves of the complex $\sigma_I^* \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}$ lie in the segment

$$[w_I, w_I + \eta_I) \quad \text{or} \quad [w'_I, w'_I + \eta'_I), \quad \text{respectively.}$$

We prove that the window $\mathbb{G}_w$ contains all linearized line bundles $L_{E,p} = \mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^p$ from Theorem 1.6. Recall that $n = 2s + 1$. Since the collection is S_2 invariant and S_2 flips the strata S_I and S'_I , it suffices to check the window conditions for S_I . The λ -weight of $\mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^p$ restricted to Z_I equals $|I \cap E| - |I^c \cap E| + p$. It is straightforward to check that the maximum of this quantity over all E is equal to $2s + 2|I| - n + 1$ when s is odd, or $2s + 2|I| - n - 1$ when s is even, and the minimum to $-2s$, hence the claim. Since our collection of linearized line bundles is clearly an exceptional collection on $D_G^b((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$, it follows it is an exceptional collection in $D_G^b(Z_n)$. $\square$

3.4. Fullness

We will prove the following general statement.

Theorem 3.5. *The collection in Theorem 1.6 generates all line bundles*

$$L_{E,p} := \mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^p,$$

for all $E \subseteq N$, $e = |E|$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $e + p$ even.

Proof of Theorem 1.6 - fullness. By Theorem 3.5, the collection in Theorem 1.6 generates all the objects $Rp_*(\pi_1^* \hat{G})$ from Corollary 5.11. Fullness then follows by Corollary 5.5. Alternatively, it is easy to see that line bundles $L_{E,p}$ generate the derived category of the stack $[(\mathbb{P}^1)^n / \mathrm{PG}_m]$ and we can finish as in [CT20b, Proposition 4.1]. $\square$

Proof Theorem 3.5. For simplicity, denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the collection in the theorem. We introduce the *score* of a pair (E, p) , with $e = |E|$ as

$$s(E, p) := |p| + \min\{e, n - e\}.$$

The collection $\mathcal{C}$ consists of $L_{E,p}$ with $s(E, p) \leq s$. We prove the statement by induction on the score $s(E, p)$, and for equal score, by induction on $|p|$.

Let (E, p) be any pair as in Theorem 3.5. If $s(E, p) \leq s$, there is nothing to prove. Assume $s(E, p) > s$. Using S_2 -symmetry, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $p \geq 0$. We will use the two types of PG_m -equivariant Koszul resolutions from Lemma 3.6 to successively generate all objects.

Case $e \leq s$. The sequence (1) in Lemma 3.6 for a set I with $|I| = s + 1$ followed by tensoring with $L_{E,p} = \mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^p$, gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_{E \cup I, p-s-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} L_{E \cup J, p-j} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_{E,p} \rightarrow 0.$$

We prove that each term $L_{E \cup J, p-j}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$ for all $j > 0$. Note that $s(E, p) = |p| + e = p + e$. If $p - j \geq 0$, then

$$s(E \cup J, p - j) \leq (p - j) + (e + j) = p + e = s(E, p),$$

but as $p - j < p$, we are done by induction on $|p|$. If $p - j < 0$ then

$$s(E \cup J, p - j) \leq (j - p) + n - (e + j) = n - e - p < e + p = s(E, p)$$

since we assume $e + p > s$. In particular, $L_{E \cup J, p-j}$ is in $\mathcal{C}$.

Case $e \geq s + 1$. Let $I \subseteq E$, with $|I| = s + 1$. The sequence (2) in Lemma 3.6 for the set I , followed by tensoring with $L_{E', p-s-1} = \mathcal{O}(E') \otimes z^{p-s-1}$, where $E' = E \setminus I$, gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_{E,p} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} L_{E' \cup J, j+p-s-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_{E', p-s-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

We prove that each term $L_{E' \cup J, j+p-s-1}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$ for all $J \neq I$ (when $(E' \cup J, j+p-s-1) = (E, p)$). Note that $s(E, p) = p + n - e$. We let $e' := |E'| = e - s - 1$. If $j + p - s - 1 \geq 0$, then

$$s(E' \cup J, j + p - s - 1) \leq (j + p - s - 1) + (n - e' - j) = p + n - e = s(E, p).$$

As $p + j - s - 1 \leq p$ with equality if and only if $J = I$, we are done by induction on $|p|$. If $j + p - s - 1 < 0$, then

$$s(E' \cup J, j + p - s - 1) \leq -(j + p - s - 1) + (e' + j) = e - p < s(E, p) = p + n - e,$$

since we assume $s(E, p) > s$, which gives $e - p \leq s$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $n = 2s + 1$, $I \subseteq N$, $|I| = s + 1$. There are two types of PG_m -equivariant resolutions:*

(1) The restriction to $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ of the Koszul complex of the intersection of the divisors Δ_{i0} (Notation 3.2) for $i \in I$, which takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-I) \otimes z^{-(s+1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} \mathcal{O}(-J) \otimes z^{-j} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \rightarrow 0$$

(2) The restriction to $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ of the Koszul complex of the intersection of the divisors $\Delta_{i\infty}$ (Notation 3.2) for $i \in I$, which takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-I) \otimes z^{(s+1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} \mathcal{O}(-J) \otimes z^j \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. Let $G = P\mathbb{G}_m$. Denote for simplicity $D_i = \Delta_{i0}$, for all $i \in N$. The divisors $D_1, \dots, D_n$ intersect with simple normal crossings. Let $Y_I := \cap_{i \in I} D_i \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Consider the Koszul resolution of Y_I :

$$\dots \rightarrow \oplus_{i < j, i, j \in I} \mathcal{O}(-D_i - D_j) \rightarrow \oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}(-D_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_I} \rightarrow 0.$$

Each of these maps in the sequence is a direct sum of maps of the form

$$\mathcal{O}(-D_{j_1} - \dots - D_{j_t}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-D_{j_1} - \dots - D_{j_{t-1}})$$

obtained by multiplication with a canonical section corresponding to the effective divisor D_{j_t} . This can be made into a G -equivariant map:

$$\mathcal{O}(-D_{j_1} - \dots - D_{j_t}) \otimes z^{-t} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-D_{j_1} - \dots - D_{j_{t-1}}) \otimes z^{-(t-1)}.$$

since $\mathcal{O}(-D_i) \otimes z^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is the G -equivariant map given by multiplication with x_i , whose zero locus is $D_i = \Delta_{i0}$ (see Lemma 3.3 and the discussion preceding it). The Lemma follows by restriction to $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$. Note that $Y_I \cap (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n = \emptyset$. The proof of (2) is similar, with the only difference that multiplication with y_i , the canonical section of $\Delta_{i\infty}$ corresponds to a G -equivariant map $\mathcal{O}(-\Delta_{i\infty}) \otimes z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. We explain the connection with case $p = 2$, $q = n = 2s + 1$ of [CT20b, Theorem 1.10]. The collection there is the following:

(i) The line bundles $F_{0,E} := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in E} \psi_j$ ($e = |E|$ is even) in the so-called group 1 (group 1A and group 1B of the theorem coincide in this case).

(ii) The line bundles in the so-called group 2:

$$\mathcal{T}_{l, \{u\} \cup E} := \sigma_u^* \left(\omega_{\pi^{\frac{e+1-l}{2}}} (E \cup \{u\}) \right) = \frac{e-l-1}{2} \psi_u + \sum_{j \in E} \delta_{ju} = -\frac{l+1}{2} \psi_u - \sum_{j \in E} \frac{1}{2} \psi_j$$

where $e = |E|$, $u \in \{0, \infty\}$, $l \geq 0$, $l + |E \cap \{u\}|$ even (i.e., $l + e$ odd), with

$$l + \min\{e, n - e\} \leq s - 1.$$

This collection is the dual of the one in Theorem 1.6. The elements in group 2 with $l = p - 1$, $u = \infty$ recover the dual of the collection in Theorem 1.6 when $p > 0$. Similarly, elements in group 2 with $l = -p - 1$, $u = 0$ recover the dual of the collection in Theorem 1.6 when $p < 0$. The elements of group 1 recover the dual of the collection in Theorem 1.6 when $p = 0$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.8

We employ a similar strategy as in Section 3. We identify the Hassett space Z_N (see (1.1)) when $n = |N|$ is even with the Kirwan resolution of the symmetric GIT quotient Σ_n . We use the method of windows [HL15] to prove the exceptionality part of Theorem 1.8. We prove fullness using previous results on Losev–Manin spaces $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ (see Section 5).

4.1. The space Z_N as a GIT quotient, n even

Assume $n = 2s + 2$. There are $\binom{n}{s+1}$ strictly semistable points $\{p_T\} \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n$ one for each subset $T \subseteq N$, $|T| = s + 1$. More precisely, the point p_T is obtained by taking ∞ for spots in T and 0 for spots in T^c . Instead of the GIT quotient Σ_n , which is singular at the images of these points, we consider its Kirwan resolution $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$ constructed as follows.

Let $W = W_n$ be the blow-up of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ at the points $\{p_T\}$ and let $\{E_T\}$ be the corresponding exceptional divisors. The action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ lifts to W . To describe this action locally around a point p_T , assume for simplicity $T = \{s + 2, \dots, n\}$ around the point p_T . Consider the affine chart

$$\mathbb{A}^n = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})^{s+1} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\})^{s+1}$$

In the new coordinates, we have $p_T = 0 = (0, \dots, 0)$. We let $((x_i), (y_i))$, resp., $((t_i), (u_i))$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$, be coordinates on $\mathbb{A}^n$, resp., $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Then W is locally the blow-up $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n$, with equations

$$x_i t_j = x_j t_i, \quad x_i u_j = y_j t_i, \quad y_i u_j = y_j u_i.$$

The action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on W is given by

$$z \cdot ((x_i, y_i), [t_i, u_i]) = ((z^2 x_i, z^{-2} y_i), [z^2 t_i, z^{-2} u_i]).$$

The fixed locus of the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on E_T consists of the subspaces

$$Z_T^+ = \{u_1 = \dots = u_{s+1} = 0\} = \mathbb{P}^s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1} = E_T,$$

$$Z_T^- = \{t_1 = \dots = t_{s+1} = 0\} = \mathbb{P}^s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1} = E_T.$$

As $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n$ is the total space $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}_{E_T}(-1))$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{E_T}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{E_T}(E_T)$ and the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n$ coincides with the canonical action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}_{E_T}(-1))$ coming from the action of G on $E_T = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ given by

$$z \cdot [t_1, \dots, t_{s+1}, u_1, \dots, u_{s+1}] = [z^2 t_1, \dots, z^2 t_{s+1}, z^{-2} u_1, \dots, z^{-2} u_{s+1}],$$

it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{E_T}(E_T)$ (and hence, $\mathcal{O}(E_T)$) has a canonical $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization. With respect to this linearization, we have:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{O}_{E_T}(-1)|_q &= \text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{O}(E_T)|_q = +2, & q \in Z_T^+, & \lambda(z) = z, \\ \text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{O}_{E_T}(-1)|_q &= \text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{O}(E_T)|_q = -2, & q \in Z_T^-, & \lambda(z) = z. \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{O}_{E_T}(-1)|_q &= \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{O}(E_T)|_q = -2, & q \in Z_T^+, & \lambda'(z) = z^{-1}. \\ \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{O}_{E_T}(-1)|_q &= \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{O}(E_T)|_q = +2, & q \in Z_T^-, & \lambda'(z) = z^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}(\bar{j})(\sum \alpha_T E_T)$ the line bundle $\pi^* \mathcal{O}(j_1, \dots, j_n)(\sum \alpha_T E_T)$ on W_n (where j_i, α_T integers and $\pi : W_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is the blow-up map), with the $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization given by the tensor product of the canonical linearizations above. As before, for every equivariant coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, we denote by $\mathcal{F} \otimes z^k$ the tensor product with $\mathcal{O} \otimes z^k$. For a subset $E \subseteq N$, we denote

$$\mathcal{O}(-E) := \pi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{j})$$

with $j_i = -1$ if $i \in E$ and $j_i = 0$ otherwise. Note that the action of S_2 exchanges $\mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^p$ with $\mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^{-p}$ and E_T with E_{T^c} (Lemma 4.4).

Consider the GIT quotient with respect to a (fractional) polarization

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1) \left(-\epsilon \sum E_T \right),$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$, and the sum is over all exceptional divisors (with the canonical polarization described above):

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_n = (W_n)_{ss} /_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Lemma 4.1. *The $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\bar{j})(\sum \alpha_T E_T) \otimes z^p$ descends to the GIT quotient $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$ if and only if for all subsets $I \subseteq N$ with $|I| \neq s+1$*

$$-\sum_{i \in I} j_i + \sum_{i \in I^c} j_i + p \quad \text{is even}$$

and for all subsets $I \subseteq N$ with $|I| = s+1$, we have

$$-\sum_{i \in I} j_i + \sum_{i \in I^c} j_i + p \pm 2\alpha_I \quad \text{is divisible by } 4.$$

Proof. By Kempf's descent lemma, a G -linearized line bundle L descends to the GIT quotient if and only if the stabilizer of any point in the semistable locus acts trivially on the fiber of L at that point, or equivalently, $\text{weight}_\lambda L|_q = 0$, for any semistable point q and any 1-PS $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$. By definition, $\text{weight}_\lambda L|_q = \text{weight}_\lambda L|_{p_0}$, where p_0 is the fixed point $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot q$.

For any point q in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \setminus \{p_T\}$ such that $q = (z_i)$ has $z_i = \infty$ for $i \in I$ and $z_i \neq \infty$ for $i \in I^c$, we have for $\lambda(z) = z$ that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot q$ is the point with coordinates $z_i = \infty$ for $i \in I$ and $z_i = 0$ for $i \in I^c$, and hence:

$$(4.2) \quad \text{weight}_\lambda \left(\mathcal{O}(\bar{j})(\sum \alpha_T E_T) \otimes z^p \right)_{|q} = -\sum_{i \in I} j_i + \sum_{i \in I^c} j_i + p.$$

Note that such a point q is semistable if and only if $|I| < s+1$. Similarly, if q has $z_i = 0$ for $i \in I^c$ and $z_i \neq 0$ for $i \in I$, $\lambda'(z) = z^{-1}$:

$$\text{weight}_{\lambda'} \left(\mathcal{O}(\bar{j})(\sum \alpha_T E_T) \otimes z^p \right)_{|q} = \sum_{i \in I} j_i - \sum_{i \in I^c} j_i + p.$$

Note, q is semistable iff $|I| > s+1$. The stabilizer of q is $\{\pm 1\}$ in both cases.

If $q \in E_T \setminus (Z_T^+ \sqcup Z_T^-)$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot q \in Z_T^-$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda'(t) \cdot q \in Z_T^+$ and using (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{weight}_\lambda \left(\mathcal{O}(\bar{j})(\sum \alpha_T E_T) \otimes z^p \right)_{|q} &= -\sum_{i \in I} j_i + \sum_{i \in I^c} j_i + p - 2\alpha_T, \\ \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \left(\mathcal{O}(\bar{j})(\sum \alpha_T E_T) \otimes z^p \right)_{|q} &= \sum_{i \in I} j_i - \sum_{i \in I^c} j_i + p - 2\alpha_T. \end{aligned}$$

A point $q \in E_T \setminus (Z_T^+ \sqcup Z_T^-)$ has stabilizer $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. The conclusion follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *For $E \subseteq N$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-E)(\sum \alpha_T E_T) \otimes z^p$ descends to the GIT quotient $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$ if and only if for all subsets $I \subseteq N$ with $|I| \neq s+1$*

$$|I \cap E| - |I^c \cap E| + p \quad \text{is even}$$

and for all subsets $I \subseteq N$ with $|I| = s+1$, we have

$$|I \cap E| - |I^c \cap E| + p - 2\alpha_I \quad \text{is divisible by } 4.$$

Lemma 4.3. *If $n = |N|$ is even, the Hassett space $Z_N = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, (\frac{1}{2}+\eta, \frac{1}{2}+\eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})}$ is isomorphic to the GIT quotient $\tilde{\Sigma}_n = (W_n)_{ss} /_{\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)(-\epsilon \sum E_T)} \mathbb{G}_m$.*

Proof. The trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ has sections s_0, s_∞, s_i . We still denote by s_0, s_∞, s_i the induced sections of the pull back $W_{ss} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow W_{ss}$. The family is not $\mathcal{A}$ -stable at the points p_T , where $s_i = s_\infty$ for all $i \in T$ and $s_i = s_0$ for all $i \in T^c$ (markings in T are identified with ∞ , and markings in T^c with 0). Here $\mathcal{A} = (\frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$. Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be the blow-up of $W \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along the codimension 2 loci

$$E_T \times \{0\} = s_0(E_T), \quad E_T \times \{\infty\} = s_\infty(E_T).$$

Denote by $\tilde{E}_T^0$ and $\tilde{E}_T^\infty$ the corresponding exceptional divisors in $\mathcal{C}'$. The resulting family $\pi' : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow W$ has fibers above points $p \in E_T$ a chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s of the form $C_0 \cup \tilde{F} \cup C_\infty$, where $\tilde{F}$ is the proper transform of the fiber of $W \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow W$ and $\tilde{F}$ meets each of C_0 (the fiber of $\tilde{E}_T^0 \rightarrow E_T$ at p) and C_∞ (the fiber of $\tilde{E}_T^\infty \rightarrow E_T$ at p). The proper transforms of s_i for $i \in T$ (resp., $i \in T^c$) intersect C_∞ (resp., C_0) at distinct points. The

dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\pi'}$ is relatively nef, with degree 0 on $\tilde{F}$. It follows that $\omega_{\pi'}$ induces a morphism $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ over W_{ss} which contracts the component $\tilde{F}$ in each of the above fibers, resulting in an $\mathcal{A}$ -stable family. Therefore, we have an induced morphism $F : W_{ss} \rightarrow Z_N$. Clearly, the map F is $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant (where $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts trivially on Z_N). As the GIT quotient $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$ is a categorical quotient, there is an induced morphism $f : \tilde{\Sigma}_n \rightarrow Z_N$. Two elements of the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow W_{ss}$ are isomorphic if and only if they belong to the same orbit under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$. Hence, the map f is one-to-one on closed points (as there are no strictly semistable points in W_{ss} , $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$ is a good categorical quotient [Dol03, p. 94]). It follows that f is an isomorphism. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Assume $n = 2s + 2$ is even. We have the following dictionary between tautological line bundles on the Hassett space Z_N (identified with the GIT quotient $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$) and $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundles on W_n :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(\delta_{i0}) &= pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \left(- \sum_{i \notin T} E_T \right) \otimes z, & \mathcal{O}(\delta_{i\infty}) &= pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \left(- \sum_{i \in T} E_T \right) \otimes z^{-1} \\ \psi_0 &= \mathcal{O} \left(\sum E_T \right) \otimes z^{-2}, & \psi_\infty &= \mathcal{O} \left(\sum E_T \right) \otimes z^2, & \psi_i &= pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(-2) \left(\sum E_T \right) \otimes 1, \\ & & \mathcal{O}(\delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}) &= \mathcal{O}(2E_T) \otimes 1 \quad (|T| = s + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote $\delta_T = \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$. We start with the proof of $\mathcal{O}(\delta_T) = \mathcal{O}(2E_T) \otimes 1$. Consider the affine chart

$$\mathbb{A}^n = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})^{s+1} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\})^{s+1}$$

around the point p_T (markings in $T = \{s + 2, \dots, n\}$ are identified with ∞ , and markings in T^c with 0). We have coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{s+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}$. The GIT quotient map $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{ss}^n \rightarrow \Sigma$ is locally at p_T given by

$$f : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow Y = f(\mathbb{A}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{(s+1)^2}, \quad f((x_i), (y_j)) = (x_i y_j)_{ij}.$$

The morphism $F : W_{ss} \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_n = \tilde{\Sigma}$ induced by the universal family over W_{ss} (proof of Lemma 4.3) is locally the restriction to the semistable locus of the rational map (which we still call F)

$$F : \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n \dashrightarrow \text{Bl}_0 Y \subseteq \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^{(s+1)^2}.$$

Consider coordinates $((x_i, y_i), [t_i, u_i])$ (with $x_i t_j = x_j t_i$, $x_i u_j = y_j t_i$, $x_i t_j = x_j t_i$) on $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and coordinates $(z_{ij}, [w_{ij}])$ on $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^{(s+1)^2}$ (with $z_{ij} w_{kl} = z_{kl} w_{ij}$). Consider the affine charts $U_1 = \{t_1 \neq 0\} \subseteq \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n$ and $V_{1j} = \{w_{1j} \neq 0\} \subseteq \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^{r^2}$. The map $F|_{U_1}$ is the rational map

$$\begin{aligned} F : U_1 = \mathbb{A}_{x_1, t_2, \dots, t_r, u_1, \dots, u_r}^n &\dashrightarrow V_{1j} = \mathbb{A}_{z_{1j}, (w_{kl})_{kl \neq 1j}}^{r^2} \\ z_{1j} &= x_1^2 u_j, \quad w_{kl} = \frac{t_k u_l}{u_j}. \end{aligned}$$

The exceptional divisor $\tilde{E}$ in $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^{(s+1)^2}$ has local equation $z_{1j} = 0$ in V_{1j} , while the exceptional divisor E_T of $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n$ has equation $x_1 = 0$ in U_1 . It follows that $F^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{E}) = \mathcal{O}(2E_T)$. In particular, as $\delta_T = \text{Bl}_0 Y \cap \tilde{E}$, it follows that $F^* \mathcal{O}(\delta_T) = \mathcal{O}(2E_T)$. It follows that $\mathcal{O}(\delta_T) = \mathcal{O}(2E_T) \otimes z^k$, for some integer k (the same for all T , by the S_n -symmetry). On the other hand, by the S_2 -symmetry, $\mathcal{O}(\delta_{T^c}) = \mathcal{O}(2E_{T^c}) \otimes z^{-k}$. Hence, we must have $k = 0$.

We now prove that $\mathcal{O}(\delta_{i0}) = pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) (-\sum_{i \notin T} E_T) \otimes z$. (Note that all other relations will then follow by S_2 -symmetry and Lemma 2.6.) Clearly, $F^* \mathcal{O}(\delta_{i0})$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}_{i0})|_{W_{ss}}$, where $\tilde{\Delta}_{i0}$ is the proper transform in $\tilde{W}$ of the diagonal Δ_{i0} in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ defined by $x_i = 0$, where $z_i = [x_i, y_i]$ now denote coordinates on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. As $\tilde{\Delta}_{i0} = \Delta_{i0} - \sum_{i \notin T} E_T$ (markings in T^c are identified with 0), it follows that

$$\mathcal{O}(\delta_{i0}) = pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \left(- \sum_{i \notin T} E_T \right) \otimes z^k,$$

for some integer k . The pull-back of the canonical section of the effective divisor δ_{i0} (which is x_i) must be an invariant section. The section x_i of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ becomes the constant section 1 in the open chart $U : x_i \neq 0$.

Considering a point $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ in U , with $q_i = \infty$ and $q_j \in \mathbb{P}^1$ general for $j \neq i$, it follows that for the 1-PS $\lambda(z) = z$ we have $\text{weight}_\lambda pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(1)|_q = -1$, $\text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{O} \otimes z|_q^k = k$, hence, the constant section 1 becomes z^{-1+k} under the action of λ and we must have $k = 1$ for the section to be invariant. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\delta_T := \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}} = \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$. We have*

$$\delta_{i\infty|\delta_T} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1, 0) & \text{if } i \in T \\ \mathcal{O} & \text{if } i \notin T \end{cases}, \quad \delta_{i0|\delta_T} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(0, 1) & \text{if } i \notin T \\ \mathcal{O} & \text{if } i \in T \end{cases},$$

$$\psi_{\infty|\delta_T} = \mathcal{O}(-1, 0), \quad \psi_{0|\delta_T} = \mathcal{O}(0, -1), \quad \delta_T|\delta_T = \mathcal{O}(-1, -1).$$

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to compute $\delta_{i\infty|\delta_T}$ and $\psi_{\infty|\delta_T}$. Clearly, the intersection $\delta_{i\infty} \cap \delta_T = \emptyset$ if $i \notin T$. We identify $\delta_T = \overline{M}' \times \overline{M}'' = \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$, where $\overline{M}'$, resp., $\overline{M}''$ are Hassett spaces with weights $(\frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 1)$, with the attaching point x having weight 1. We identify $\overline{M}' = \mathbb{P}^s$ via the isomorphism $|\psi_x| : \overline{M}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^s$. We have $\delta_{i\infty|\delta_T} = \delta_{i\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}$, $\psi_{\infty|\delta_T} = \psi_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}$. By Lemma 2.1, on $\overline{M}'$ we have $\psi_{\infty} + \psi_x = 0$ since $\delta_{x\infty} = 0$, and $\delta_{i\infty} = -\psi_{\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ if $i \in T$. The identity $\delta_T|\delta_T = \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)$ follows now from the previous ones by restricting to δ_T any of the identities in Lemma 2.6. $\square$

4.2. Exceptionality

Note that W_n is a polarized toric variety with the polytope Δ obtained by truncating the n -dimensional cube at vertices lying on the hyperplane H normal to and bisecting the big diagonal. Then $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$ is a smooth polarized projective toric variety for the torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}$ and its polytope is $\Delta \cap H$. In particular, the topological Euler characteristic $e(\tilde{\Sigma}_n)$ is equal to the number of edges Δ intersecting H :

$$e(\tilde{\Sigma}_n) = (s+1)^2 \binom{n}{s+1} = s^2 \binom{n}{s+1} + (n-1) \binom{n}{s+1} \quad (n = 2s+2).$$

Note that $(s+1) \binom{n}{s+1} = n \binom{n}{s+1} + (n-2) \binom{n}{s+1} + (n-4) \binom{n}{s+1} + \dots + 2 \binom{n}{s+1}$.

Definition 4.6. For $E \subseteq N$, $e = |E|$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p + e$ is even, let

$$L_{E,p} := \mathcal{O}(-E) \left(\sum_{T \subseteq N, |T|=s+1} \alpha_{T,E,p} E_T \right) \otimes z^p \quad \text{where}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \alpha_{T,E,p} := -|x_{T,E,p}|, \quad x_{T,E,p} := |E \cap T| - \frac{e-p}{2}$$

i.e., the descent to $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$ of the restriction to $(W_n)_{ss}$ of the above $\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundle on W_n . By Lemma 4.4 we recover Definition 1.7:

$$(4.4) \quad L_{E,p} = -\left(\frac{e-p}{2}\right) \psi_{\infty} - \sum_{i \in E} \delta_{i\infty} - \sum_{x_{T,E,p} > 0} x_{T,E,p} \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}.$$

We write x_T if there is no ambiguity. Note that $x_{T,E,p} = -x_{T^c,E,-p}$.

Lemma 4.7. *The action of S_2 on Z_N exchanges $L_{E,p}$ with $L_{E,-p}$.*

Proof. The statement follows immediately from (4.4) and Lemma 2.6. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6 - exceptionality. Lemma 4.9 implies that the torsion sheaves $\mathcal{O}_\delta(-a, -b)$ form an exceptional collection. Let now $\delta := \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$. To prove that $\{\mathcal{O}_\delta(-a, -b), L_{E,p}\}$ form an exceptional pair, i.e., that $L_{|\delta}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-a, -b)$ is acyclic, note that by Lemma 4.5 and (4.6) we have, letting $\alpha_T := \alpha_{T,E,p}$:

$$L_{|\delta}^\vee = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(0, \alpha_T) & \text{if } p + |E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c| \geq 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(\alpha_T, 0) & \text{if } p + |E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c| \leq 0, \end{cases}.$$

Clearly, if $a, b > 0$ then $L_{|\mathcal{O}}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-a, -b)$ is acyclic. Consider now the case when one of a, b is 0. Using the S_2 -symmetry, we may assume $a = 0$. Let $0 < b < \frac{s+1}{2}$. Since by (4.5) we have $-\lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor \leq \alpha_T \leq 0$, the result follows.

We describe the Kempf-Ness stratification of the unstable locus in W_n . Let $G = \mathbb{G}_m$. As before, we consider (λ, Z) , with a 1-PS $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ and Z a connected component of the λ -fixed locus. It suffices to consider $\lambda(z) = z$ and $\lambda'(z) = z^{-1}$. The G -fixed locus in $W = W_n$ consists of the points

$$Z_I = \{(x_i) \mid x_i = \infty \text{ for } i \in I, x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I\} \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \setminus \{p_T\}$$

for every subset $I \subseteq N$ with $|I| \neq s+1$ and the loci $Z_T^+ \sqcup Z_T^- \subseteq E_T$, for each subset $T \subseteq N$, $|T| = s+1$. The pairs (λ, Z) to be considered are therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda, Z_I), \quad (\lambda', Z_I) \quad (I \subseteq N, |I| \neq s+1), \\ &(\lambda, Z_T^+), \quad (\lambda', Z_T^+), \quad (\lambda, Z_T^-), \quad (\lambda', Z_T^-) \quad (T \subseteq N, |T| = s+1). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that our polarization is $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)(-\epsilon \sum E_T)$ and for any subset $I \subseteq N$ with $|I| \neq s+1$ we have

$$\text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{L}|_{Z_I} = |I^c| - |I|, \quad \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{L}|_{Z_I} = -|I^c| + |I|,$$

while for all subsets $T \subseteq N$ with $|T| = s+1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{L}|_q &= -2\epsilon, \quad \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{L}|_q = +2\epsilon \quad (q \in Z_T^+), \\ \text{weight}_\lambda \mathcal{L}|_q &= +2\epsilon, \quad \text{weight}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{L}|_q = -2\epsilon \quad (q \in Z_T^-). \end{aligned}$$

As in the n odd case, we define for any subset $I \subseteq N$ affine subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} S_I &= \{(x_i) \mid x_i = \infty \text{ if } i \in I, x_i \neq \infty \text{ if } i \notin I\} \cong \mathbb{A}^{|I^c|} \\ S'_I &= \{(x_i) \mid x_i = 0 \text{ if } i \in I, x_i \neq 0 \text{ if } i \notin I\} \cong \mathbb{A}^{|I|}. \end{aligned}$$

The unstable locus arises from the pairs with negative weight:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda, Z_I) \quad (\text{for } |I| > s+1), \quad (\lambda', Z_I) \quad (\text{for } |I| < s+1), \\ &(\lambda, Z_T^+), \quad (\lambda', Z_T^-) \quad (\text{for } |T| = s+1) : \\ &S_I \cong \mathbb{A}^{|I^c|} \quad (\text{for } |I| > s+1), \quad S'_I \cong \mathbb{A}^{|I|} \quad (\text{for } |I| < s+1), \\ &S_T^+ = \text{Bl}_{p_T} S_T = \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^{|T^c|}, \quad S_T^- = \text{Bl}_{p_T} S'_T = \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^{|T|} \quad (\text{for } |T| = s+1). \end{aligned}$$

The destabilizing 1-PS for S_I (resp., for S'_I) is λ (resp. λ'). The 1-PS λ (resp., λ') acts on the restriction to Z_I of the conormal bundle $N_{S_I|(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^\vee$ (resp., $N_{S'_I|(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^\vee$) with positive weights. Their sum η_I (resp., η'_I) is:

$$\eta_I = 2|I|, \quad \text{resp.}, \quad \eta'_I = 2|I^c|.$$

When $|T| = s+1$, the destabilizing 1-PS for S_T^+ (resp. for S_T^-) is λ (resp. λ'). The 1-PS λ (resp., λ') acts on $N_{S_T^+|W}^\vee$ (resp., $N_{S_T^-|W}^\vee$) restricted to $q \in Z_T^+$ (resp., Z_T^-), with positive weights. Their sum η_T^+ (resp., η_T^-) is:

$$\eta_T^+ = 4|T| = 2n, \quad \text{resp.}, \quad \eta_T^- = 4|T^c| = 2n.$$

To see this, let $q \in Z_T^+$. The sum of λ -weights of $(N_{S_T^+|W}^\vee)_q$ equals

$$\text{weight}_\lambda \left(\det N_{S_T^+|W}^\vee \right)_q = \text{weight}_\lambda \left(\det T_{S_T^+} \right)_q - \text{weight}_\lambda \left(\det T_W \right)_q.$$

We use the local coordinates introduced in 4.1 (assume again w.l.o.g. that $T = \{s+2, \dots, n\}$). We may assume also that the point

$$q = [t_1, \dots, t_{s+1}, 0, \dots, 0] \in Z_T^+ \subseteq E_T = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

has $t_1 = 1$. Then local coordinates on an open set $U = \mathbb{A}^n \subseteq W$ around q are given by $x_1, t_2, \dots, t_{s+1}, u_1, \dots, u_{s+1}$, with the blow-up map $\mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$:

$$(x_1, t_2, \dots, t_{s+1}, u_1, \dots, u_{s+1}) \mapsto (x_1, x_1 t_2, \dots, x_1 t_{s+1}, x_1 u_1, \dots, x_1 u_{s+1}).$$

Then $S_T^+ \cap U \subseteq U$ has equations $u_1 = \dots = u_{s+1}$ (the proper transform of $S_T : y_1 = \dots = y_{s+1}$). The action of G on W induces an action on U :

$$z \cdot x_1 = z^2 x_1, \quad z \cdot t_i = t_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, s+1), \quad z \cdot t_i = z^{-4} t_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, s+1).$$

It follows that

$$\text{weight}_\lambda(\det T_W)|_q = -2 - 4s, \quad \text{weight}_\lambda(\det T_{S_T^+})|_q = 2.$$

Hence, $\eta_T = 4s + 4 = 2n$. Similarly, $\eta_T' = 2n$: for $q \in Z_T^-$ and coordinates $y_1, t_1, \dots, t_{s+1}, u_2, \dots, u_{s+1}$ on the chart $u_1 = 1$, the action of G given by:

$$z \cdot y_1 = z^{-2} y_1, \quad z \cdot t_i = z^4 t_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, s+1), \quad z \cdot u_i = u_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, s+1).$$

Letting $m := \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rfloor$, we make a choice of windows $\mathbb{G}_w$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [w_I, w_I + \eta_I), \quad [w_I', w_I' + \eta_I'), \quad [w_T^+, w_T^+ + \eta_T^+), \quad [w_T^-, w_T^- + \eta_T^-), \\ & w_I = w_I' = -(s+1), \quad w_T^+ = w_T^- = -4m = -n \quad \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \\ & w_I = w_I' = -s, \quad w_T^+ = w_T^- = -4m = -n + 2 \quad \text{if } s \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $\mathbb{G}_w$ contains the G -linearized line bundles that descend to the $L_{E,p}$ in Theorem 1.8. Since the collection is S_2 invariant and S_2 flips the strata S_I and S_I' , it suffices to check the window conditions for the strata S_I, S_T^+ . For $I \subseteq N$, $|I| > s+1$, at the point $Z_I \in S_I$ we have by (4.2)

$$\text{weight}_\lambda(L_{E,p})|_{Z_I} = |E \cap I| - |E \cap I^c| + p,$$

which lies in $[w_I, w_I + \eta_I)$ by Lemma 4.10.

For $T \subseteq N$ with $|T| = s+1$, we have by (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{weight}_\lambda(L_{E,p})|_{q \in Z_T^+} &= |E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c| + p - 2|x_T| \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c| + p \geq 0 \\ -4|x_T| & \text{if } |E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c| + p \leq 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which by (4.5) lies in $[w_T^+, w_T^+ + \eta_T^+)$. Hence, all $\{L_{E,p}\}$ in Theorem 1.8 are contained in the window $\mathbb{G}_w$.

We now check exceptionality. Consider two line bundles as in Theorem 1.8:

$$L_{E,p} = \mathcal{O}(-E) \left(\sum_{|T|=r} \alpha_T E_T \right) \otimes z^p, \quad L_{E',p'} = \mathcal{O}(-E') \left(\sum_{|T|=r} \alpha'_T E_T \right) \otimes z^{p'}.$$

where $\alpha_T := \alpha_{T,E,p}$, $\alpha'_T := \alpha_{T,E',p'}$. Assume that $e = |E| \geq e' = |E'|$. Hence, $E \not\subseteq E'$ unless $E = E'$. By the main result of [HL15, Theorem 2.10], we have that $\text{RHom}(L_{E',p'}, L_{E,p})$ equals the weight $(p' - p)$ part (with respect to the canonical action of G) of

$$\text{RHom}_W(L_{E',p'}, L_{E,p}) = \text{R}\Gamma \left(\mathcal{O}(E' - E) \otimes \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_T (\alpha'_T - \alpha_T) E_T \right) \right).$$

Hence, letting

$$M_0 := \mathcal{O}(E' - E) \otimes \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{\beta_T \leq 0} (-\beta_T) E_T \right), \quad \text{where } \beta_T := \alpha_T - \alpha'_T,$$

we need to understand the weight $(p' - p)$ part of

$$\text{R}\Gamma \left(M_0 \otimes \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{|T|=r, \beta_T > 0} (-\beta_T) E_T \right) \right).$$

Note that M_0 is a pull-back from $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$; hence, by the projection formula, $R\Gamma(M_0) = R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}(E' - E))$ (which is 0 if $E \not\subseteq E'$).

Consider a simplified situation. For a line bundle M on W , $G := E_T$, $\beta := \beta_T > 0$ consider the exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow M(-(i+1)G) \rightarrow M(-iG) \rightarrow M(-iG)|_G \rightarrow 0, \quad (i = 0, \dots, \beta-1).$$

To prove that the weight $(p' - p)$ of $R\Gamma(M(-\beta G))$ is 0, it suffices to prove that

$$R\Gamma(M), \quad R\Gamma(M(-iG)|_G) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \beta-1),$$

have no weight $(p' - p)$ part. Put an arbitrary order on the subsets T with $\beta_T > 0$ ($T_1, T_2, \dots$). Applying the above observation successively, first for M_0, E_{T_1} , then inductively for $M_0(-\beta_1 T_1 - \dots - \beta_i T_i), E_{T_{i+1}}$, it suffices to prove that for all T , the following spaces

$$R\Gamma(M_0), \quad R\Gamma(M_0(-iE_T)|_{E_T}) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \beta-1)$$

have no weight $(p' - p)$ part.

We start with $R\Gamma(M_0)$. If $E \neq E'$, then $R\Gamma^*(M_0) = 0$. If $E = E'$, then $M_0 = \mathcal{O}$ and the action of G on $R\Gamma(M_0)$ is trivial. Hence, unless $p = p'$ (i.e., $L_{E,p} = L_{E',p}$), $R\Gamma(M_0)$ has no weight $(p' - p)$ part.

We now continue with $R\Gamma(M_0(-iE_T)|_{E_T})$. By the projection formula,

$$R\Gamma(M_0(-iE_T)|_{E_T}) = M_{0|p_T} \otimes R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}(-iE_T)|_{E_T}),$$

where $M_{0|p_T}$ is the fiber of M_0 at p_T (we denote M_0 both the line bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and its pull back to W). By (4.2), the action of G on $M_{0|p_T}$ has weight

$$(|E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c|) - (|E' \cap T| - |E' \cap T^c|).$$

Consider coordinates t_i, u_i on $E = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, such that t_i (resp., u_i) have weight 2 (resp., weight -2). There is a canonical identification

$$R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}(-iE_T)|_{E_T}) = \mathbb{C} \left\{ \prod t_k^{a_k} \prod u_k^{b_k} \mid a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \sum a_k + \sum b_k = i \right\},$$

with the weight of $\prod t_k^{a_k} \prod u_k^{b_k}$ equal to $2 \sum a_k - 2 \sum b_k$. As $2 \sum a_k - 2 \sum b_k$ ranges through all even numbers between $-2i$ and $2i$, it follows that the possible weights of elements in $R\Gamma(M_0(-iE_T)|_{E_T})$ are

$$(|E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c|) - (|E' \cap T| - |E' \cap T^c|) + 2j,$$

for all the values of j between $-i$ and i .

Assume now that for some $0 \leq i \leq \beta_T - 1 = \alpha_T - \alpha'_T - 1$, $-i \leq j \leq i$,

$$(|E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c|) - (|E' \cap T| - |E' \cap T^c|) + 2j = p' - p.$$

Using the definition of α_T, α'_T , it follows that $\pm 2\alpha_T \pm 2\alpha'_T = -2j$.

Claim 4.8. *None of $\pm\alpha_T \pm \alpha'_T$ lies in the interval $[-(\alpha_T - \alpha'_T - 1), (\alpha_T - \alpha'_T - 1)]$.*

Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to prove that none of $\pm\alpha_T \pm \alpha'_T$ lies in the interval $[0, (\alpha_T - \alpha'_T - 1)]$. As $\alpha_T, \alpha'_T \leq 0$ and $\alpha_T > \alpha'_T$. Hence, it remains to prove that $-\alpha_T - \alpha'_T, \alpha_T - \alpha'_T$ do not lie in the interval $[0, (\alpha_T - \alpha'_T - 1)]$. But clearly, $-\alpha_T - \alpha'_T > \alpha_T - \alpha'_T - 1$ and $\alpha_T - \alpha'_T > \alpha_T - \alpha'_T - 1$. $\square$

This finishes the proof that the collection in Theorem 1.8 is exceptional. $\square$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $0 \leq a, b \leq s$. Let δ be a divisor in a Hassett space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\delta = \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$ and with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1, -1)$. Assume that the restriction map $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\delta)$ is surjective. Then $\{\mathcal{O}_\delta(-a, -b), \mathcal{O}_\delta(-a', -b')\}$ is not an exceptional collection if and only if one of the following happens:*

- $a' \geq a, b' \geq b$,
- $a' = 0, a = s, b' > b$,

- $b' = 0, b = s, a' > a,$
- $a' = b' = 0, a = b = s.$

When $a = a', b = b',$ we have $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_\delta(-a', -b'), \mathcal{O}_\delta(-a, -b)) = \mathbb{C}.$

Proof. As any line bundle on δ is the restriction of a line bundle on $\overline{M},$ we have that

$$\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_\delta(-a', -b'), \mathcal{O}_\delta(-a, -b)) = \mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_\delta, \mathcal{O}_\delta(a' - a, b' - b)).$$

Applying $\mathrm{RHom}(-, \mathcal{O}_\delta(a' - a, b' - b))$ to the canonical sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\delta \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that there is a long exact sequence on $\overline{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}_\delta, \mathcal{O}_\delta(a' - a, b' - b)) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{O}_\delta(a' - a, b' - b)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{O}_\delta(a' - a - 1, b' - b - 1)) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

It is clear now that if any of the conditions in the Lemma hold, then

$$\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_\delta(-a', -b'), \mathcal{O}_\delta(-a, -b)) \neq 0.$$

Assume now that none of the conditions holds. Then either $a' < a$ or $b' < b.$ Assume $a' < a.$ Since $a' - a \geq -a \geq -s,$ $\mathcal{O}_\delta(a' - a, b' - b)$ is acyclic. But in this case $\mathcal{O}_\delta(a' - a - 1, b' - b - 1)$ is not acyclic if and only if $a' = 0, a = s$ and either $b' - b > 0$ or $b' - b \leq -s$ (in which case, we must have $b' = 0, b = s$). This gives precisely two of the listed cases. The case $b' < b$ is similar. $\square$

Lemma 4.10. *Let $n = 2s + 2.$ For a fixed set $I \subseteq N$ with $|I| > s + 1,$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(E,p)} (|E \cap I| - |E \cap I^c| + p) &= \begin{cases} 2|I| - (s + 3) & \text{if } s \text{ is odd} \\ 2|I| - (s + 2) & \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \\ \min_{(E,p)} (|E \cap I| - |E \cap I^c| + p) &= \begin{cases} -(s + 1) & \text{if } s \text{ is odd} \\ -s & \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the maximum and the minimum are taken over all the pairs (E, p) corresponding to each line bundle $L_{E,p}$ in Theorem 1.8. Similarly, for $T \subseteq N, |T| = s + 1$

$$\max_{(E,p)} (p + |E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c|) = 2m, \quad \min_{(E,p)} (p + |E \cap T| - |E \cap T^c|) = -2m,$$

$$\text{where } m := \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

In particular, when (E, p) are as in Theorem 1.8, the coefficients $\alpha_{T,E,p}$ in (4.3) satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad -m \leq \alpha_{T,E,p} = -|x_{T,E,p}| \leq 0$$

The proof is straightforward and we omit it.

4.3. Fullness

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the collection in Theorem 1.8. We denote by $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ the collection of torsion sheaves in Theorem 1.8. We prove more generally:

Theorem 4.11. *The collection $\mathcal{C}$ in Theorem 1.8 generates all line bundles $\{L_{E,p}\}$ (see Definition 1.7 and Definition 4.6) for all $E \subseteq N, e = |E|, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $e + p$ even.*

Proof of Theorem 1.8 - fullness. By Theorem 4.11, the collection $\mathcal{C}$ generates all the objects $Rp_*(\pi_1^* \hat{\mathbb{G}})$ from Corollary 5.11. Fullness then follows by Corollary 5.5. $\square$

To prove Theorem 4.11 we do an induction on the *score* $S(E, p)$:

$$(4.6) \quad S(E, p) := |p| + \min\{e, n - e\},$$

$$(4.7) \quad \text{written as } S(E, p) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + 2q, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 4.12. As $S(E, p)$ is even, the range of (E, p) in Theorem 1.8 is precisely:

- If s is even: $S(E, p) \leq s$,
- If s is odd: $S(E, p) \leq s + 1$ if $e \leq s + 1$ and $S(E, p) \leq s - 1$ if $e \geq s + 2$.

Using notation (4.7), (E, p) is not in the range of Theorem 1.8 if $q \geq 1$ when s is even or s is odd and $e \geq s + 2$, and if $q \geq 2$ when s is odd and $e \leq s + 1$.

To prove Theorem 4.11 we introduce three other types of line bundles.

Notation 4.13. Let $n = 2s + 2$, $E \subseteq N$, $e = |E|$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. On Z_N let

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{E,p} &= -\left(\frac{e-p}{2}\right)\psi_\infty - \sum_{i \in E} \delta_{i\infty}, \quad Q_{E,p} = -\left(\frac{e+p}{2}\right)\psi_0 - \sum_{i \in E} \delta_{i0}, \\ V_{E,p} &:= R_{E,p} + \sum_{x_{T,E,p} < 0} |x_{T,E,p}| \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}} = Q_{E,p} + \sum_{x_{T,E,p} > 0} |x_{T,E,p}| \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (4.9) and (4.10).

We recall for the reader's convenience that using Notation 4.3 we have

$$L_{E,p} = -\left(\frac{e-p}{2}\right)\psi_\infty - \sum_{i \in E} \delta_{i\infty} - \sum_{x_{T,E,p} > 0} x_{T,E,p} \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.9) \quad R_{E,p} = L_{E,p} + \sum_{x_{T,E,p} > 0} |x_{T,E,p}| \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$$

and by using Lemma 2.6, we have also

$$(4.10) \quad Q_{E,p} = L_{E,p} + \sum_{x_{T,E,p} < 0} |x_{T,E,p}| \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}.$$

We remark that using Lemma 4.4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{E,p} &= \mathcal{O}(-E) \left(\sum x_T E_T \right) \otimes z^p, \quad Q_{E,p} = \mathcal{O}(-E) \left(- \sum x_T E_T \right) \otimes z^p, \\ L_{E,p} &= \mathcal{O}(-E) \left(- \sum |x_T| E_T \right) \otimes z^p, \quad V_{E,p} = \mathcal{O}(-E) \left(\sum |x_T| E_T \right) \otimes z^p. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.14. It is clear by the definition that by the S_2 symmetry (i.e., exchanging 0 with ∞) the line bundle $R_{E,p}$ is exchanged with $Q_{E,-p}$. The line bundles $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ will be crucial for the proof of Theorem 4.11. We note that the line bundles $V_{E,p}$ are used only in the proof of Corollary 4.18.

For every divisor $\delta_T := \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$, we have by Lemma 4.5 that

$$(4.11) \quad R_{E,p|_{\delta_T}} = \mathcal{O}(-x_{T,E,p}, 0), \quad Q_{E,p|_{\delta_T}} = \mathcal{O}(0, x_{T,E,p}).$$

From here on, the notation $\mathcal{O}(-a, -b)$ indicates that $\mathcal{O}(-a)$ (resp., $\mathcal{O}(-b)$) corresponds to the component marked by ∞ (resp., marked by 0).

Definition 4.15. We say that line bundles L and L' are *related by quotients* Q^i if there are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow L^{i-1} \rightarrow L^i \rightarrow Q^i \rightarrow 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, t),$$

$$L_0 = L, \quad L_t = L'.$$

Note that when $L' = L + \sum \beta_T \delta_T$ with $\beta_T \geq 0$ for all T , the quotients Q^i are direct sums of torsion sheaves of type $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, -b)$.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $E \subseteq N$, $e = |E|$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $e + p$ even. Then:*

(i) $L_{E,p}$ and $R_{E,p}$ are related by quotients which are direct sums of type

$$\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-x_T + i, i), \quad 0 \leq i < |x_T| = x_T \quad (x_T > 0)$$

(ii) $L_{E,p}$ and $Q_{E,p}$ are related by quotients which are direct sums of type

$$\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(i, x_T + i), \quad 0 \leq i < |x_T| = -x_T \quad (x_T < 0)$$

(iii) $R_{E,p}$ and $V_{E,p}$ are related by quotients which are direct sums of type

$$\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-x_T - i, -i), \quad 0 < i \leq |x_T| = -x_T \quad (x_T < 0)$$

(iv) $Q_{E,p}$ and $V_{E,p}$ are related by quotients which are direct sums of type

$$\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-i, x_T - i), \quad 0 < i \leq |x_T| = x_T \quad (x_T > 0),$$

where we denote for simplicity $\delta_T := \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$ and $x_T := x_{T,E,p}$. In particular, all pairs are related by quotients of type

$$\mathcal{O}(-a, *), \quad \mathcal{O}(*, -a), \quad \text{with } 0 < a \leq \frac{S(E,p)}{2}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from (4.11), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.8). The last statement follows by Lemma 4.17. $\square$

Lemma 4.17. *Let $n = 2s + 2$, $E \subseteq N$, $e = |E|$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $e + p$ even. Then for all T*

$$(4.12) \quad |x_{T,E,p}| \leq \frac{S(E,p)}{2},$$

where $S(E,p)$ is the score of the pair (E,p) (Notation 4.6). Furthermore,

$$|x_{T,E,p}| = x_{T,E,p} = \frac{S(E,p)}{2} \quad \text{if and only if } T \subseteq E, \quad p \geq 0$$

The proof is straightforward and we omit it. Note, (4.5) is a particular case.

Corollary 4.18. *Let $e = s + 1$, $p \geq 0$, and (E,p) such that*

$$S(E,p) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + 2q,$$

with $p = 2q - 1$, $q \geq 1$ if s is even, and $p = 2q - 2$, $q \geq 2$ if s is odd. Assume the following objects are generated by $\mathcal{C}$:

(i) All torsion sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, 0)$ for all $0 < a < \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + q$ and all T ,

(ii) The line bundles $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$.

Then $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-(\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + q), 0)$ with $T = E$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Here $\delta_T := \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$.

As $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant under the action of S_2 , it follows from Corollary 4.18 that a similar statement holds when replacing $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, 0)$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(0, -a)$.

Proof. We claim that $V_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Since $R_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$ by assumption, using Lemma 4.16(iii), it suffices to prove that when $x_T < 0$, $\mathcal{O}(-x_T - i, -i)$ is generated by $\mathcal{A}$, for all $0 < i \leq |x_T|$, i.e., $|x_T| < \frac{s+1}{2}$. Since the assumptions on q imply that $p > 0$, we have that

$$|x_T| = -x_T = \frac{e-p}{2} - |E \cap T| \leq \frac{e-p}{2} = \frac{s+1-p}{2} < \frac{s+1}{2},$$

and the claim follows. By Lemma 4.16(iv), the quotients relating $Q_{E,p}$ and $V_{E,p}$ have the form $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-i, x_T - i)$ for $0 < i \leq x_T$. By Lemma 4.17, we have that $x_T \leq \frac{S(E,p)}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + q$, with equality if and only if $T \subseteq E$. Since $e = s+1$, we must have $T = E$. It follows that all but one quotient, namely $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-(\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + q), 0)$ for $T = E$ (when $i = x_T = \frac{S(E,p)}{2}$) are already by assumption generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Note that this quotient appears exactly once. Since $Q_{E,p}$, $V_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$, it follows that this quotient is also. $\square$

Corollary 4.19. *Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q > 0$. Assume that $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$ whenever $S(E,p) = 2\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + 2q'$, with $0 < q' \leq q$, and $e = s+1$. Then for all T , $\delta_T := \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}$, the following torsion sheaves are generated by $\mathcal{C}$:*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, 0), \quad \mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(0, -a) \quad \text{when} \quad 0 < a \leq \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + q$$

Proof. By the S_2 symmetry, it suffices to prove the statement for $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, 0)$. For any $q > 0$, taking $E \subseteq N$ with $e = s+1$ and $p = 2q-1$ when s is even, or $p = 2q-2$ when s is odd, gives a pair (E, p) with $S(E, p) = 2\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + 2q$. If s is even, or if s is odd and $q \geq 2$, the assumptions of Corollary 4.18 are satisfied. By induction on $q > 0$, $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, 0)$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$ when $T = E$, $a = \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + q$.

The only case left is when s is odd and $q = 1$ ($p = 0$). By assumption $R_{E,0}$, $Q_{E,0}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$ if $e = s+1$ ($S(E, 0) = s+1$). We have to prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-\frac{s+1}{2}, 0)$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Taking $E = T$, $p = 0$, we have that the pair $(E, 0)$ is in the range of Theorem 1.8. Hence, $L_{E,0}$ is in $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 4.16 and Lemma 4.17 $L_{E,0}$ and $R_{E,0}$ are related by quotients which are direct sums of sheaves in $\mathcal{A}$, with only one quotient which is $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-\frac{s+1}{2}, 0)$ for $T = E$ (the only possibility to have $x_T = \frac{S(E,0)}{2} = \frac{s+1}{2}$ is when $T = E$). Note that this quotient appears exactly once. The statement follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.20. (Koszul resolutions) *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $E \subseteq N$.*

(K1) *If $e \leq s+1$, letting $I \subseteq N \setminus E$, $|I| = s+1$, there is a long exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow Q_{E \cup I, p-s-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} Q_{E \cup J, p-j} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q_{E,p} \rightarrow 0.$$

(K2) *If $e \geq s+1$, letting $I \subseteq E$, $|I| = s+1$, there is a long exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow R_{E,p} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} R_{E \setminus J, p-j} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R_{E \setminus I, p-s-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We have $\bigcap_{i \in I} \delta_{i\infty} = \emptyset$ and the boundary divisors $\{\delta_{i\infty}\}_{i \in I}$ intersect transversely (the divisors intersect properly and the intersection is smooth, being a Hassett space). It follows that there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(-\sum_{i \in I} \delta_{i\infty}\right) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathcal{O}\left(-\sum_{i \in I \setminus \{j\}} \delta_{i\infty}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j,k \in I} \mathcal{O}\left(-\sum_{i \in I \setminus \{j,k\}} \delta_{i\infty}\right) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}(-\delta_{i\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Tensoring this long exact sequence by $-\sum_{i \in E \setminus I} \delta_{i\infty} - \frac{e-p}{2} \psi_\infty$, gives the second long exact sequence in the lemma. The first long exact sequence is obtained in a similar way by considering the Koszul resolution of the intersection of the boundary divisors $\{\delta_{i0}\}_{i \in I}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.21. Assume $p \geq 0$ and $E \subseteq N$ such that

$$S(E, p) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + 2q,$$

and the pair (E, p) is such that $q \geq 1$ if s is even and $q \geq 2$ if s is odd. In the notations of Lemma 4.20, we have:

- (1) If $e \leq s+1$ then $Q_{E \cup J, p-j}$ in Lemma 4.20(K1) satisfies $S(E \cup J, p-j) \leq S(E, p)$. If equality holds, then $|p-j| < p$ if $j \neq 0$
- (2) If $e \geq s+1$ then $R_{E \setminus J, p-j}$ in Lemma 4.20(K2) satisfies $S(E \setminus J, p-j) \leq S(E, p)$. If equality holds, then $|p-j| < p$ if $j \neq 0$.

Proof. We prove (1). We have $S(E, p) = p + e$. If $p-j \geq 0$, then

$$S(E \cup J, p-j) \leq (p-j) + e + j = p + e = S(E, p),$$

and clearly $|p-j| = p-j < p$ if $j \neq 0$. If $p-j < 0$, we prove that the inequality on slopes is strict. We have

$$S(E \cup J, p-j) \leq (j-p) + (n-e-j) = n-p-e < e+p = S(E, p),$$

since $S(E, p) = e+p = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + 2q > s+1$.

We prove (2). We have $S(E, p) = p + (n-e)$. If $p-j \geq 0$, then

$$S(E \setminus J, p-j) \leq (p-j) + (n-e+j) = p + (n-e) = S(E, p),$$

and clearly $|p-j| = p-j < p$ if $j \neq 0$. If $p-j < 0$, we prove that the inequality on slopes is strict. We have

$$S(E \setminus J, p-j) \leq (j-p) + (e-j) = e-p < p+n-e = S(E, p),$$

since $e-p < s+1$, as $S(E, p) = p+n-e = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + 2q > s+1$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Case s even. For any (E, p) write the score $S(E, p)$ as

$$(4.13) \quad S(E, p) = s + 2q.$$

Note that if $q \leq 0$ then $L_{E,p}$ is already in $\mathcal{C}$ (Remark 4.12). Moreover, if $q \leq 0$, by Lemma 4.16 $R_{E,p}$ and $Q_{E,p}$ are related by quotients which are direct sums of torsion sheaves of the form $\mathcal{O}(-a, *)$ or $\mathcal{O}(*, -a)$, with $0 < a \leq |x_T|$. As $|x_T| \leq \frac{S(E,p)}{2} \leq \frac{s}{2} < \frac{s+1}{2}$, such quotients are in $\mathcal{A}$.

We prove by induction on $q \geq 0$, and for equal q , by induction on $|p|$, that $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ with $S(E, p) = s + 2q$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$. By Corollary 4.19, it follows that all $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, -b)$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Then Lemma 4.16 implies then that all line bundles $L_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$.

We now prove the inductive statement. For $q \leq 0$, we already proved that $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Assume $q \geq 1$. Take a pair (E, p) with score $S(E, p) = s + 2q$. Using the S_2 symmetry, we may assume $p \geq 0$. For any (E', p') with strictly smaller score than $s + 2q$, or equal score and strictly smaller $|p|$, we have by induction that $Q_{E', p'}$, $R_{E', p'}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$.

If $e \leq s+1$, we apply Lemma 4.20 and get a resolution for $Q_{E,p}$. Using Lemma 4.21(i), all terms in the resolution are generated by $\mathcal{C}$ by induction. Hence, $Q_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$ if $e \leq s+1$. Similarly, using Lemma 4.20, Lemma 4.21(ii) and induction, $R_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$ if $e \geq s+1$.

We have that both $Q_{E,p}$, $R_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$ if $e = s+1$. By Corollary 4.19 and the induction assumption, $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, 0)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(0, -a)$ if $0 < a \leq \frac{s}{2} + q$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 4.16 we have that $L_{E,p}$ is related to each of $Q_{E,p}$, $R_{E,p}$ by quotients which are direct sums of $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, *)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(*, -a)$ with $0 < a \leq \frac{S(E,p)}{2} = \frac{s}{2} + q$. Since for any $e \neq s+1$, one of $Q_{E,p}$, $R_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$, it follows that $L_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$.

Case s odd. For any (E, p) write the score $S(E, p)$ as

$$(4.14) \quad S(E, p) = (s-1) + 2q.$$

We prove by induction on $q \geq 0$, and for equal q , by induction on $|p|$, that the line bundles $R_{E,p}$ and $Q_{E,p}$ with $S(E,p) = (s-1) + 2q$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$. This proves the theorem, as Corollary 4.19 gives that all torsion sheaves supported on boundary are generated by $\mathcal{C}$. The inductive argument we did for s even goes through verbatim if $q \geq 2$ (the assumption is used in Lemma 4.21). Hence, we only need to prove that $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$ for $q = 0$ and $q = 1$. We may assume w.l.o.g. that $p \geq 0$.

Assume $q = 0$. Fix a pair (E,p) with $S(E,p) = s-1$. Then (E,p) is in the range of Theorem 1.8 and $L_{E,p}$ is in $\mathcal{C}$. As in the previous case, by Lemma 4.16, the line bundles $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ are related to $L_{E,p}$ by quotients generated by $\mathcal{A}$. Hence, $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$.

Assume now $q = 1$ and fix a pair (E,p) with $S(E,p) = s+1$.

Claim 4.22. $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-\frac{s+1}{2}, 0), \mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(0, -\frac{s+1}{2})$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.19, it suffices to prove that $R_{E,0}$, $Q_{E,0}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$ for some E with $e = |E| = s+1$. Take such an E . By Remark 4.14, $R_{E,0}$ and $Q_{E,0}$ are exchanged by the action of S_2 . Hence, by symmetry, it suffices to prove that $R_{E,0}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Consider the resolution in Lemma 4.20(ii) for $(E,0)$, with $I = E$. The terms that appear, other than $R_{E,0}$, are $R_{E \setminus J, -j}$, with $J \subseteq E$, $j > 0$. For all $j \geq 0$, $S(E \setminus J, -j) = s+1$ and all $(E \setminus J, -j)$ are in the range of Theorem 1.8. Hence, $L_{E \setminus J, -j}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$.

We claim that if $j > 0$, the quotients relating $R_{E \setminus J, -j}$ to $L_{E \setminus J, -j}$ are generated by $\mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 4.16 the quotients relating $R_{E \setminus J, -j}$ to $L_{E \setminus J, -j}$ are

$$\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-x_T + i, i), \quad 0 \leq i < x_T = x_{T, E \setminus J, -j} \quad \text{where}$$

$$x_T = |(E \setminus J) \cap T| - \frac{s+1}{2} \leq |E \setminus J| - \frac{s+1}{2} \leq s - \frac{s+1}{2} = \frac{s-1}{2}.$$

The claim follows. It follows that for $j > 0$, $R_{E \setminus J, -j}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$. Using the resolution, it follows that $R_{E,0}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$. $\square$

Assume that $e \leq s+1$. Then (E,p) is in the range of Theorem 1.8 and $L_{E,p}$ is in $\mathcal{C}$. Since $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ are related to $L_{E,p}$ by quotients $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, *)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(*, -a)$ with $0 < a \leq \frac{S(E,p)}{2} = \frac{s+1}{2}$, it follows by Claim 4.22 that $R_{E,p}$, $Q_{E,p}$ are generated by $\mathcal{C}$.

Assume now that $e > s+1$. Then (E,p) is not in the range of Theorem 1.8. Note that it suffices to prove that $R_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$, since by Lemma 4.16 $R_{E,p}$, $L_{E,p}$ are related by quotients which are direct sums of $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, *)$ with $0 < a \leq \frac{S(E,p)}{2} = \frac{s+1}{2}$ (generated by $\mathcal{C}$ by Claim 4.22). To prove $R_{E,p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{C}$, we do an induction on $e \geq s+1$ (for (E,p) of fixed score $s+1$) by using a resolution as in Lemma 4.20 for $R_{E,p}$. $\square$

Remark 4.23. For $n = 2s+2 \geq 2$, the exceptional collection on Z_n given in [CT20b, Theorem 1.15] consists of:

(i) The same torsion sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_T}(-a, -b)$ as in Theorem 1.8.

(ii) The line bundles in the so-called group 1 (group 1A and group 1B of that theorem coincide in this case): for all $E \subseteq N$, with $e = |E|$ even,

$$(4.15) \quad F_{0,E} = \frac{e}{2} \psi_\infty + \sum_{j \in E} \delta_{j_\infty} - \sum_{\frac{e}{2} - |E \cap T| > 0} \left(\frac{e}{2} - |E \cap T| \right) \delta_{T \cup \{\infty\}}.$$

The line bundles $F_{0,E}$ are defined in [CT20b] as $R\pi_*(N_{0,E})$, for certain line bundles $N_{0,E}$ on the universal family over Z_n . One checks directly (or see the proof of [CT20b, Lemma 5.8]) that $N_{0,E}$ restrict trivially to every component of any fiber of the universal family $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow Z_n$. Hence,

$$N_{0,E} = \pi^* F_{0,E}, \quad F_{0,E} = \sigma_u^* N_{0,E},$$

for any marking u . In particular, for $u \in \{0, \infty\}$, we obtain formula (4.15).

(iii) The objects in the so-called group $2B$, which in this case are line bundles (corresponding only to the $J = \emptyset$ term in [CT20b, Notation 11.5]):

$$\tilde{T}_{l, \{u\} \cup E} := \frac{e-l-1}{2} \psi_u + \sum_{j \in E} \delta_{ju} - \sum_{\frac{e-l-1}{2} - |E \cap T| > 0} \left(\frac{e-l-1}{2} - |E \cap T| \right) \delta_{T \cup \{u\}}$$

where $u \in \{0, \infty\}$, $E \subseteq N$, $e = |E|$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \geq 0$ such that $|E \cap \{u\}| + l$ is even (i.e., $e + l$ is odd), subject to the condition

$$l + \min\{e, n + 1 - e\} \leq s \quad (\text{group } 2B).$$

The formula generalizing both expressions in (ii) and (iii) is

$$\frac{e-p}{2} \psi_u + \sum_{j \in E} \delta_{ju} - \sum_{\frac{e-p}{2} - |E \cap T| > 0} \left(\frac{e-p}{2} - |E \cap T| \right) \delta_{T \cup \{u\}}$$

which, when $u = \infty$, is exactly the line bundle $V_{E,p}^\vee$ (the dual of $V_{E,p}$ - see (4.13). Hence, the group $2B$ with $l = p - 1$, $u = \infty$ recovers all the $\{V_{E,p}^\vee\}$ when $p > 0$. Similarly, the group $2B$ with $l = -p - 1$, $u = 0$ recovers all the $\{V_{E,p}^\vee\}$ when $p < 0$. The elements of group 1 recover all the $\{V_{E,p}^\vee\}$ when $p = 0$. A similar proof as in this section will prove that the collection in [CT20b, Theorem 1.15] - the torsion sheaves (i) and the line bundles $\{V_{E,p}^\vee\}$, for (E, p) as in Theorem 1.8- is a full exceptional collection.

5. Pushforward of the exceptional collection on the Losev–Manin space $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ to Z_N

We refer to [CT20a] for background on Losev–Manin spaces. Recall that the Losev–Manin moduli space $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ is the Hassett space with markings $N \cup \{0, \infty\}$ and weights $(1, 1, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, where $n = |N|$. The space $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ parametrizes nodal linear chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s marked by $N \cup \{0, \infty\}$ with 0 is on the left tail and ∞ is on the right tail of the chain. Both ψ_0 and ψ_∞ induce birational morphisms $\overline{\text{LM}}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ (Kapranov models) which realize $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ as an iterated blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in n points (standard basis vectors) followed by blowing up $\binom{n}{2}$ proper transforms of lines connecting points, etc. In particular, $\overline{\text{LM}}_N$ is a toric variety of dimension $n - 1$. Its toric orbits (or their closures, the boundary strata as a moduli space) are given by partitions $N = N_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup N_k$, $|N_i| > 0$ for all i , which correspond to boundary strata

$$Z_{N_1, \dots, N_k} = \delta_{N_1 \cup \{0\}} \cap \delta_{N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{0\}} \cap \dots \cap \delta_{N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_{k-1} \cup \{0\}}$$

which parametrizes (degenerations of) linear chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s with points marked by, respectively, $N_1 \cup \{0\}$, $N_2, \dots, N_{k-1}, N_k \cup \{\infty\}$. We can identify

$$Z_{N_1, \dots, N_k} \simeq \overline{\text{LM}}_{N_1} \times \dots \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{N_k},$$

where the left node of every $\mathbb{P}^1$ is marked by 0 and the right node by ∞ .

There are forgetful maps $\pi_K : \overline{\text{LM}}_N \rightarrow \overline{\text{LM}}_{N \setminus K}$, for all $K \subseteq N$, $1 \leq |K| \leq n - 1$, given by forgetting points marked by K and stabilizing.

Definition 5.1 (cf. [CT20a, Definition 1.4]). The cuspidal block $D_{\text{cusp}}^b(\overline{\text{LM}}_N)$ consists of objects $E \in D^b(\overline{\text{LM}}_N)$ such that for all $i \in N$ we have

$$R\pi_{i*} E = 0.$$

Proposition 5.2 (cf. [CT20a, Proposition 1.8]). *There is a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$D^b(\overline{\text{LM}}_N) = \langle D_{\text{cusp}}^b(\overline{\text{LM}}_N), \{\pi_K^* D_{\text{cusp}}^b(\overline{\text{LM}}_{N \setminus K})\}_{K \subset N}, \mathcal{O} \rangle$$

where subsets K with $1 \leq |K| \leq n - 2$ are ordered by increasing cardinality.

Definition 5.3 (cf. [CT20a, Definition 1.9]). Let $\mathbb{G}_N = \{G_1^\vee, \dots, G_{n-1}^\vee\}$ be the set of following line bundles on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_N$:

$$G_a = a\psi_0 - (a-1) \sum_{k \in N} \delta_{k0} - (a-2) \sum_{k,l \in N} \delta_{kl0} - \dots - \sum_{J \subset N, |J|=a-1} \delta_{J \cup \{0\}}$$

for every $a = 1, \dots, n-1$. Let $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ be the collection of sheaves of the form

$$\mathcal{T} = (i_Z)_* \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = G_{a_1}^\vee \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes G_{a_t}^\vee$$

for all *massive* strata $Z = Z_{N_1, \dots, N_t}$, i.e., such that $N_i \geq 2$ for every i and for all $1 \leq a_i \leq |N_i| - 1$. Here $i_Z : Z \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_N$ is the inclusion map. If $t = 1$ we get line bundles $\mathbb{G}_N$ and for $t \geq 2$ these sheaves are torsion sheaves.

Theorem 5.4 (cf. [CT20a, Theorem 1.10]). $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a full exceptional collection in $D_{\text{cusp}}^b(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_N)$, which is invariant under the group $S_2 \times S_N$.

Clearly, by Theorem 5.4, Proposition 5.2 and adjointness, we have the following

Corollary 5.5. If $E \in D^b(Z_N)$ is such that $\text{RHom}(E, F) = 0$ for all F of the form $Rp_*(\pi_K^* \hat{\mathbb{G}})$, for all $K \subseteq N$, including $K = \emptyset$, then $E = 0$.

We now proceed to calculate the objects in the collection $Rp_*(\pi_K^* \hat{\mathbb{G}})$.

Proposition 5.6. Let $p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_N \rightarrow Z_N$ be the reduction map.

(1) For all $I \subseteq N$ with $0 \leq |I| \leq n-2$ and all $1 \leq a \leq n-|I|-1$, we have

$$Rp_*(\pi_I^* G_a^\vee) = -a\psi_0 - \sum_{j \in N \setminus I} \delta_{j0} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd,}$$

$$Rp_*(\pi_I^* G_a^\vee) = -a\psi_0 - \sum_{j \in N \setminus I} \delta_{j0} + \sum_{J \subseteq N, |J|=\frac{n}{2}, |J \cap (N \setminus I)| < a} (a - |J \cap (N \setminus I)|) \delta_{J \cup \{0\}},$$

if n is even. Moreover, $Rp_* \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$.

(2) If n is odd, all the torsion sheaves and their pull-backs, i.e., all sheaves $\mathcal{T}$ in the collection $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ not considered in (1), have $Rp_*(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.

(3) If n is even, we have $Rp_*(G_{a_1}^\vee \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes G_{a_t}^\vee) = 0$, except for sheaves $G_a^\vee \boxtimes G_b^\vee$ with support $Z = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{N_1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{N_2}$, where $|N_1| = |N_2| = \frac{n}{2}$, when

$$Rp_*(G_a^\vee \boxtimes G_b^\vee) = \mathcal{O}(-a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-b),$$

where we use the identification $p(Z) = \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$.

(4) If n is even, $I \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{T} \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}_{N \setminus I}$ is a torsion sheaf, then either

$$Rp_*(\pi_I^* \mathcal{T}) = 0,$$

or $Rp_*(\pi_I^* \mathcal{T})$ is generated by the sheaves $\mathcal{O}(-a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-b)$ supported on the images $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ of strata $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{N_1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{N_2}$ with $|N_1| = |N_2| = \frac{n}{2}$ and with $0 < a, b \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$.

We use here that if $n = 2s + 2$ is even, the restriction of the map p to a stratum of the form $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s+1}$ is a product of reduction maps of type $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\mathbf{a} = (1, \frac{1}{2} + \eta, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$ (with $\frac{1}{n}$ appearing $(s+1)$ times). By [Has03, Remark 4.6], we have $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, \mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{P}^s$ (the Kapranov model of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s+1}$ with respect to the first marking).

Proof of Proposition 5.6. Throughout, we denote $s := \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$. We first prove (1). As p is a birational morphism between smooth projective varieties, we have $Rp_*\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$. We write $\pi_I^*G_a^\vee$ and $p^*(-a\psi_0 - \sum_{j \in N \setminus I} \delta_{j0})$ in the Kapranov model with respect to the 0 marking. We denote

$$H := \psi_0, \quad E_J := \delta_{J \cup \{0\}} \quad (J \subseteq N, \quad |J| \leq n-2),$$

be the hyperplane class and the exceptional divisors respectively. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_I^*G_a^\vee &= -aH + \sum_{J \subseteq N, |J| \geq 1, |J \cap (N \setminus I)| < a} (a - |J \cap (N \setminus I)|)E_J. \\ p^*(-a\psi_0 - \sum_{j \in N \setminus I} \delta_{j0}) &= -aH + \sum_{J \subseteq N, 1 \leq |J| \leq s} (a - |J \cap (N \setminus I)|)E_J, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by Corollary 2.4. It follows that

$$\pi_I^*G_a^\vee = p^*(-a\psi_0 - \sum_{j \in N \setminus I} \delta_{j0}) + \Sigma^1 + \Sigma^2,$$

where Σ^1 consists of all the terms that appear in $\pi_I^*G_a^\vee$, but do not appear in $p^*(-a\psi_0 - \sum_{j \in N \setminus I} \delta_{j0})$, and Σ^2 consists of the terms that appear in $p^*(-a\psi_0 - \sum_{j \in N \setminus I} \delta_{j0})$, but do not in $\pi_I^*G_a^\vee$, taken with a negative sign:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^1 &= \sum_{J \subseteq N, |J| \geq 1, |J \cap (N \setminus I)| < a, |J| > s} (a - |J \cap (N \setminus I)|)E_J, \\ \Sigma^2 &= \sum_{J \subseteq N, |J| \geq 1, |J \cap (N \setminus I)| > a, |J| \leq s} (|J \cap (N \setminus I)| - a)E_J. \end{aligned}$$

When $|J| \leq s$, the codimension of $p(E_J)$ in Z_N is $|J|$. For the terms in the sum Σ^2 , the coefficient of E_J satisfies

$$|J \cap (N \setminus I)| - a \leq |J| - 1 = \text{codim}(p(E_J)) - 1.$$

Hence, one may apply Lemma 5.9 successively to the terms of the sum Σ^2 . We use here that the map p can be decomposed into a sequence of blow-ups, with exceptional divisors $\delta_{J \cup \{0\}}$, $\delta_{J \cup \{\infty\}}$, with $1 \leq |J| \leq s$, in order of increasing $|J|$. Note that the divisors E_J with fixed $|J|$ are disjoint.

Similarly, when $|N \setminus J| \leq s$, the codimension of $p(E_J)$ in Z_N is $|N \setminus J|$. For the terms in the sum Σ^1 , the coefficient of E_J with $|N \setminus J| \leq s$, satisfies

$$a - |J \cap (N \setminus I)| \leq n - 1 - |I| - |J \cap (N \setminus I)| \leq n - 1 - |J| = \text{codim}(p(E_J)) - 1,$$

so one may apply again Lemma 5.9 to the terms of the sum Σ^1 which satisfy $|N \setminus J| \leq s$. When $n = 2s + 1$, the inequality $|N \setminus J| \leq s$ is equivalent to $|J| > s$. However, when $n = 2s + 2$, the inequality $|N \setminus J| \leq s$ is equivalent to $|J| > s + 1$. Hence, in the case when $n = 2s + 2$, one is left with the terms in the sum Σ^1 that have $|J| = s + 1$. This proves (1).

Now we turn to the torsion objects, i.e., objects of the form $\pi_I^*(T)$, where

$$\mathcal{T} = i_{Z*}(G_{a_1}^\vee \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes G_{a_t}^\vee), \quad Z = \overline{\text{LM}}_{K_1} \times \dots \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{K_t},$$

where $I \subseteq N$, $N \setminus I = K_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_t$ and $|K_j| \geq 2$, for all j . Consider first the case when $I = \emptyset$. If $|K_1| \leq s$, the map $Z \rightarrow p(Z)$ is a product of reduction maps, the first of which is the constant map $\overline{\text{LM}}_{K_1} \rightarrow pt$. It follows in this case that $Rp_*(\mathcal{T}) = 0$, since $R\Gamma(G_{a_i}^\vee) = 0$. The same argument applies when $|K_t| \leq s$. It follows that $Rp_*(\mathcal{T}) = 0$, except possibly in the case when $n = 2s + 2$, $t = 2$ and $|K_1| = |K_2| = s + 1$. In this case, the map $Z \rightarrow p(Z)$ is a product of Kapranov maps $\overline{\text{LM}}_{s+1} \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{s+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$, and it follows (for example by Lemma [CT20a, Lemma 5.7]) that in this case $Rp_*(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-b)$. This proves (3) and the case $I = \emptyset$ of (2).

Consider now the case when $I \neq \emptyset$. To compute $Rp_*(\pi_I^* \mathcal{T})$, consider the boundary divisors $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{t-1}$ whose intersection is Z , denoting

$$D_i = \overline{\text{LM}}_{K_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_i} \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{K_{i+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_t} \quad (i = 1, \dots, t-1).$$

For the remaining part of the proof, we denote for simplicity

$$K' = K_1, \quad K'' = K_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_t$$

and consider the canonical inclusions

$$i_1 : Z \hookrightarrow D_1 = \overline{\text{LM}}_{K'} \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{K''}, \quad i_{D_1} : D_1 \rightarrow \overline{\text{LM}}_{N \setminus I}.$$

We resolve $i_{1*} \mathcal{O}_Z$ using the Koszul complex

$$\dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{2 \leq i < j \leq t} \mathcal{O}(-D_i - D_j)|_{D_1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{2 \leq i \leq t} \mathcal{O}(-D_i)|_{D_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1} \rightarrow i_{1*} \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

By our choice of D_1 , for all $2 \leq i \leq t$ we have $\mathcal{O}(D_i)|_{D_1} = \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(D'_i)$, for the corresponding boundary divisor on $\overline{\text{LM}}_{K''}$:

$$D'_i = \overline{\text{LM}}_{K_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_i} \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{K_{i+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_t}.$$

By Lemma 5.10, we may choose a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $\overline{\text{LM}}_{K''}$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{M}$ to the massive stratum $\overline{\text{LM}}_{K_2} \times \dots \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{K_t}$ is $G_{a_2}^\vee \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes G_{a_t}^\vee$ and $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D'_1 - \dots - D'_k)$ is acyclic for any $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq t$.

Consider the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = G_{a_1}^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$ on D_1 . Then $\mathcal{L}|_Z = G_{a_1}^\vee \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes G_{a_t}^\vee$. We now: (1) Tensor the Koszul sequence with $\mathcal{L}$, (2) Apply $Ri_{D_1*}(-)$, and (3) Apply $L\pi_I^*(-)$. Since π_I is flat, we obtain a resolution for $\pi_I^* \mathcal{T}$ with sheaves whose support is contained in $\pi_I^{-1}(D_1)$. To prove (4) and the remaining part of (2), it suffices to show that for all $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq t$

$$Rp_* \pi_I^* Ri_{D_1*} \left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1} \right)$$

is 0 when n is odd, or generated by the sheaves $\mathcal{O}(-a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-b)$ ($a, b > 0$) supported on the divisors $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ as in (4), when n is even. Here we need the same statement also for $Rp_* \pi_I^* Ri_{D_1*}(\mathcal{L})$ (i.e., $k = 0$). Note that

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1} = G_{a_1}^\vee \boxtimes (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D'_{i_1} - \dots - D'_{i_k})).$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_I^{-1}(D_1) & \xrightarrow{i_{\pi^{-1}(D_1)}} & \overline{\text{LM}}_N \xrightarrow{p} Z_N \\ \rho_I \downarrow & & \pi_I \downarrow \\ D_1 & \xrightarrow{i_{D_1}} & \overline{\text{LM}}_{N \setminus I} \end{array}$$

where $i_{\pi^{-1}(D_1)}$ is the canonical inclusion map and ρ_I is the restriction of π_I to $\pi_I^{-1}(D_1)$. Let $q = p \circ i_{\pi^{-1}(D_1)}$. As π_I is flat, we have

$$Rp_* \pi_I^* Ri_{D_1*} \left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1} \right) = Rq_* \rho_I^* \left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1} \right).$$

The preimage $\pi_I^{-1}(D_1)$ has several components B_{I_1, I_2} :

$$B_{I_1, I_2} = \overline{\text{LM}}_{K' \cup I_1} \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{K'' \cup I_2} \quad \text{for every partition } I = I_1 \sqcup I_2$$

We order the set $\{B_{I_1, I_2}\}$ as follows: B_{I_1, I_2} must come before B_{J_1, J_2} if $|I_1| > |J_1|$ and in a random order if $|I_1| = |J_1|$. Hence, if B_{I_1, I_2} comes before B_{J_1, J_2} , then $B_{I_1, I_2} \cap B_{J_1, J_2} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $J_1 \subsetneq I_1$, in which case, the intersection takes the form

$$B_{I_1, I_2} \cap B_{J_1, J_2} = \overline{\text{LM}}_{K' \cup J_1} \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{(I_1 \setminus J_1)} \times \overline{\text{LM}}_{K'' \cup I_2}.$$

For simplicity, we rename the resulting ordered sequence as $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r$. A consequence of the ordering is that B_r is the component B_{I_1, I_2} with $I_1 = \emptyset$, $I_2 = I$, and if $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and B_i is $B_{I_1, I_2} = \overline{LM}_{K' \cup I_1} \times \overline{LM}_{K'' \cup I_2}$, then

$$\mathcal{O}_{B_i}(B_{i+1} + \dots + B_r) = \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{J \subsetneq I_1} \delta_{J \cup K' \cup \{0\}}\right) \boxtimes \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq I_1} \delta_{S \cup \{x\}}\right) \boxtimes \mathcal{O},$$

where the first sum runs over all $J \subsetneq I_1$ (including $J = \emptyset$), while for the second sum we use the identification

$$\delta_{J \cup K' \cup \{0\}} = \delta_{(I_1 \setminus J) \cup \{x\}} = \overline{LM}_{J \cup K'} \times \overline{LM}_{I_1 \setminus J},$$

as divisors in $\overline{LM}_{K' \cup I_1}$ (with x being the attaching point). Consider now the following exact sequences resolving $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_I^{-1}(D_1)} = \mathcal{O}_{B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{r-1}}(-B_r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_r} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{r-2}}(-B_{r-1} - B_r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{r-1}}(-B_r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_{r-1}}(-B_r) \rightarrow 0, \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_1}(-B_2 - \dots - B_r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_1 \cup B_2}(-B_3 - \dots - B_r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B_2}(-B_3 - \dots - B_r) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We tensor all the above exact sequences with $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1}$ and apply first $\rho_I^*(-)$, then $Rq_*(-)$. As the restriction of the map ρ_I to a component B_i of the form B_{I_1, I_2} for some partition $I = I_1 \sqcup I_2$, is the product of forgetful maps $\pi_{I_1} \times \pi_{I_2}$, it follows that, if $i \neq r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{B_i}(-B_{i+1} - \dots - B_r) \otimes \rho_I^*\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1}\right) = \\ \left(\pi_{I_1}^* G_{a_1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(-\sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq I_1} \delta_{S \cup \{x\}}\right)\right) \boxtimes \pi_{I_2}^*\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D'_{i_1} - \dots - D'_{i_k})\right), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\mathcal{O}_{B_s} \otimes \rho_I^*\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1}\right) = G_{a_1}^\vee \boxtimes \pi_I^*\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D'_{i_1} - \dots - D'_{i_k})\right).$$

(Recall that B_r corresponds to the partition $I_1 = \emptyset$, $I_2 = I$.)

We claim that both components of all the above sheaves are acyclic. To prove the claim, recall that $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D'_{i_1} - \dots - D'_{i_k})$ is acyclic by the choice of $\mathcal{M}$. We are left to prove that $\pi_{I_1}^*(G_{a_1}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq I_1} \delta_{S \cup \{x\}})$ is acyclic when $I_1 \neq \emptyset$. Since we may rewrite the line bundle $G_{a_1}^\vee$ using the x marking, we are done by the following:

Claim 5.7. *Consider the forgetful map $\pi_I : \overline{LM}_{N \cup I} \rightarrow \overline{LM}_N$ for some subset $I \neq \emptyset$. For all $1 \leq b \leq |N| - 1$, the line bundle $\pi_I^*(G_b^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq I} \delta_{S \cup \{0\}})$ is acyclic.*

Proof. Using the Kapranov model with respect to the 0 marking, we have

$$\pi_I^*(G_b^\vee) = -bH + \sum_{J \subseteq N \cup I, |J \cap N| < b} (b - |J \cap N|)E_J, \quad \mathcal{O}\left(-\sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq I} \delta_{S \cup \{0\}}\right) = -\sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq I} E_S.$$

As $b - |J \cap N| - 1 \geq 0$, the result follows by Lemma 5.8. $\square$

Recall that the map p either contracts $B_{I_1, I_2} = \overline{LM}_{K' \cup I_1} \times \overline{LM}_{K'' \cup I_2}$ by mapping $\overline{LM}_{K' \cup I_1}$ to a point if $|I_1 + K'| < \frac{n}{2}$, or by mapping $\overline{LM}_{K'' \cup I_2}$ to a point if $|I_2 + K''| < \frac{n}{2}$, or, we have $|I_1 + K'| = |I_2 + K''| = \frac{n}{2}$ and $p(B_{I_1, I_2})$ is a divisor in Z_N which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Rq_*\left(\mathcal{O}_{B_i}(-B_{i+1} - \dots - B_s) \otimes \rho_I^*\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1}\right)\right), \\ Rq_*\left(\mathcal{O}_{B_s} \otimes \rho_I^*\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

are either 0 or they are supported on the divisors $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ as above (in particular, n is even). In the latter case, writing $n = 2s + 2$, as both components of the above sheaves are acyclic, such objects are generated

by $\mathcal{O}(-a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-b)$ for $0 < a, b \leq s$. We use here that if $\mathcal{A}$ is an object in $D^b(\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{s+1})$ with $R\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ and $f : \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{s+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^s$ is a Kapranov map, then $Rf_*\mathcal{A}$ has the same property, therefore it is generated by $\mathcal{O}(-a)$, for $0 < a \leq s$. Using the above exact sequences,

$$Rq_*\rho_I^*\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})|_{D_1}\right)$$

is either 0 or, when n is even, generated by $\mathcal{O}(-a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-b)$ ($0 < a, b \leq s$) on $\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$. Proposition 5.6 now follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.8 (cf. [CT20a, Lemma 4.6]). *Consider the divisor $D = -dH + \sum m_I E_I$ on $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_N$ written in some Kapranov model. The divisor D is acyclic if*

$$1 \leq d \leq n-3, \quad 0 \leq m_I \leq n-3-|I|.$$

The following lemma is well known:

Lemma 5.9. *Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a blow-up of a smooth subvariety Z of codimension $r+1$ of a smooth projective variety Y . Let E be the exceptional divisor. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have $Rp_*\mathcal{O}_X(iE) = \mathcal{O}_Y$.*

Lemma 5.10. *Let $Z = \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N_1} \times \dots \times \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N_t}$ be a massive stratum in $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_N$ and let $D_1, \dots, D_{t-1}$ be the boundary divisors whose intersection is Z . Let*

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{T}_t$$

be a sheaf supported on Z , with either $\mathcal{T}_i = \mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{T}_i = G_{a_i}^\vee$, for some $1 \leq a_i < |N_i|$, and not all $\mathcal{T}_i = \mathcal{O}$. Then there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_N$ such that:

- (a) $\mathcal{L}|_Z = \mathcal{T}$;
- (b) $\mathcal{L}$ is acyclic;
- (c) For all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq t$, the restriction $\mathcal{L}|_{D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_k}}$ is acyclic.

In addition, $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{i_1} - \dots - D_{i_k})$ is acyclic for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq t$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $t \geq 1$. The statement is trivially true when $t = 1$, i.e., when $Z = \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_n$ (as $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T}$ and there are no boundary divisors to be considered). In addition, if all but one of the $\mathcal{T}_i$'s are trivial, say $\mathcal{T}_i = G_{a_i}^\vee$, we are done by [CT20a, Lemma 4.3(3)], as we can take

$$\mathcal{L} = G_{a_i + |N_1| + \dots + |N_{i-1}|}^\vee$$

Assume now $t \geq 2$ and at least two of the $\mathcal{T}_i$'s are non-trivial. Consider $\pi_{N_1} : \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_N \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N \setminus N_1}$ and let $Z' = \pi_{N_1}(Z)$. Then Z' can be identified with $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N_2} \times \dots \times \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N_t}$ and the map $\pi_{N_1} : Z \rightarrow Z'$ is the second projection. Let $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}_2 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{T}_t$. By induction, there is an acyclic line bundle $\mathcal{L}'$ on $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N \setminus N_1}$ such that $\mathcal{L}'|_{Z'} = \mathcal{T}'$ and whose restriction to every stratum containing Z' is also acyclic. If $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{O}$, we let $\mathcal{L} = \pi_{N_1}^*\mathcal{L}'$ and clearly all of the properties are satisfied. If $\mathcal{T}_1 = G_a^\vee$, we define $\mathcal{L} = G_a^\vee \otimes \pi_{N_1}^*\mathcal{L}'$. Clearly, $\mathcal{L}|_Z = \mathcal{T}$. By the projection formula, $R\pi_{N_1*}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}' \otimes R\pi_{N_1*}(G_a^\vee)$. As $R\pi_{i*}(G_a^\vee) = 0$ for all i , it follows that $R\pi_{N_1*}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}$ is acyclic.

The same argument applies to show that the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to a stratum W containing Z is acyclic. Consider such a stratum:

$$W = \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{M_1} \times \dots \times \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{M_s},$$

and let $W' = \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{M_2} \times \dots \times \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{M_s}$, considered as a stratum in $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N \setminus M_1}$. If $M_1 = N_1$, the restriction $\mathcal{L}|_W$ equals $G_a^\vee \boxtimes (\mathcal{L}'|_{W'})$ and is clearly acyclic. If $M_1 \neq N_1$, then $M_1 = N_1 + \dots + N_i$, with $i \geq 2$, and $\pi_{N_1}(W)$ is the stratum $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{M_1 \setminus N_1} \times W'$ in $\overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N \setminus N_1}$. The restriction of $\mathcal{L}'$ to this stratum has the form $\mathcal{L}'_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}'_2$. Then $\mathcal{L}|_W = (G_a^\vee \otimes \pi_{N_1}^*\mathcal{L}'_1) \boxtimes \mathcal{L}'_2$, where $\pi_{N_1}^* : \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{M_1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{LM}}_{N_1}$ is the forgetful map. Again, by the projection formula, $\mathcal{L}|_W$ is acyclic.

We now prove the last assertion in the lemma. As $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_{D_i}$ are both acyclic, $\mathcal{L}(-D_i)$ is acyclic (case $k = 1$). The statement follows by induction on k using the Koszul resolution for the intersection $\cap_{j \in I} D_j$, $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$:

$$\dots \rightarrow \oplus_{l < j, l, j \in I} \mathcal{O}(-D_l - D_j) \rightarrow \oplus_{j \in I} \mathcal{O}(-D_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_k}} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Proposition 5.6 and Lemma 3.3 have the following:

Corollary 5.11. *Assume $n = |N|$ is odd. Let $p : \overline{LM}_N \rightarrow Z_N$ be the reduction map. For all $I \subseteq N$ with $0 \leq |I| \leq n - 2$ and all $1 \leq a \leq n - |I| - 1$, we have*

$$Rp_*(\pi_I^* G_a^\vee) = \mathcal{O}(-I^c) \otimes z^{2a - |I^c|}, \quad I^c = N \setminus I.$$

Alternatively, this is the collection of $P\mathbb{G}_m$ -linearized line bundles

$$\mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes z^p, \quad 0 \leq |p| \leq e - 2, \quad 2 \leq e \leq n \quad (e = |E|, \quad E \subseteq N).$$

Moreover, $Rp_* \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$ and $Rp_* E = 0$ for all other objects E in the collection $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$.

Proposition 5.6 and Lemma 4.4 have the following:

Corollary 5.12. *Assume $|N| = 2s + 2$ is even. Let $p : \overline{LM}_N \rightarrow Z_N$ be the reduction map. For all $E \subseteq N$, $e = |E| \geq 2$ and all $1 \leq a \leq e - 1$,*

$$Rp_*(\pi_{N \setminus E}^* G_a^\vee) = \mathcal{O}(-E) \left(\sum |a - |E \cap T^c|| E_T \right) \otimes z^{2a - e},$$

where $|a - |E \cap T^c||$ denotes the absolute value of $(a - |E \cap T^c|)$. Moreover, $Rp_* \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$. For all $G_a^\vee \otimes G_b^\vee$ supported on strata $\overline{LM}_{s+1} \times \overline{LM}_{s+1}$ we have

$$Rp_*(G_a^\vee \otimes G_b^\vee) = \mathcal{O}(-a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-b) \quad (0 < a, b \leq s),$$

All other pushforwards are either 0 or are generated by the above torsion sheaves.

When $n = 4$, the map $p : \overline{LM}_N \rightarrow Z_N$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the objects in $Rp_* \pi_1^* \hat{\mathbb{G}}$ form a full exceptional collection. However, it is straightforward to see that this is different than the collection in Theorem 1.8.

References

- [BFK19] M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **746** (2019), 235–303.
- [BM13] J. Bergström and S. Minabe, *On the cohomology of moduli spaces of (weighted) stable rational curves*, Math. Z. **275** (2013) no. 3-4, 1095–1108.
- [BM14] J. Bergström and S. Minabe, *On the cohomology of the Losev–Manin moduli space*, Manuscripta Mathematica **144** (2014), no. 1-2, 241–252.
- [CT12] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev, *Rigid curves on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ and arithmetic breaks*. In: Compact moduli spaces and vector bundles, pp 19–67, Contemp. Math., vol. 564, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [CT13] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev, *Hypertrees, projections, and moduli of stable rational curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **675** (2013), 121–180.
- [CT15] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev, *$\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is not a Mori dream space*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 8, 1641–1667.
- [CT20a] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev, *Derived category of moduli of pointed curves. I*, Algebr. Geom. **7** (2020), no. 6, 722–757.

- [CT20b] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev, *Derived category of moduli of pointed curves. II*, preprint [arXiv:2002.02889](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.02889) (2020).
- [Dol03] I. Dolgachev, *Lectures on invariant theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 296, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [HL15] D. Halpern-Leistner, *The derived category of a GIT quotient*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 3, 871–912.
- [Has03] B. Hassett, *Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003), no. 2, 316–352.
- [Huy06] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [KL09] S. Keel and J. Tevelev, *Equations for $\overline{M}_{0,n}$* , Internat. J. of Math. **20** (2009), no. 9, 1159–1184.
- [LM00] A. Losev and Y. Manin, *New moduli spaces of pointed curves and pencils of flat connections*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 443–472.
- [MS13] Yu. I. Manin and M. N. Smirnov, *On the derived category of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$* , Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **77** (2013), no. 3, 93–108.
- [MS14] Yu. I. Manin and M. N. Smirnov, *Towards motivic quantum cohomology of $\overline{M}_{0,S}$* , Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **57** (2014), no. 1, 201–230.
- [MP97] A. S. Merkurjev and I. A. Panin, *K-theory of algebraic tori and toric varieties*, K-Theory **12** (1997), no. 2, 101–143.
- [Sm13] M. N. Smirnov, *Gromov-Witten correspondences, derived categories, and Frobenius manifolds*, Ph. D. thesis, University of Bonn, 2013. Available at <https://bonndoc.ulb.uni-bonn.de/xmlui/handle/20.500.11811/5627>