

On the Inductive Alperin–McKay Conditions in the Maximally Split Case

Marc Cabanes

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - PRG

CNRS

8 Place Aurélie Nemours, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France

marc.cabanes@imj-prg.fr

A. A. Schaeffer Fry

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Metropolitan State University of Denver

Denver, CO 80217, USA

aschae6@msudenver.edu

Britta Späth

Universität Wuppertal

School of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Gaußstr. 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany

bspaeth@uni-wuppertal.de

April 12, 2021

Abstract

The Alperin–McKay conjecture relates height zero characters of an ℓ -block with the ones of its Brauer correspondent. This conjecture has been reduced to the so-called inductive Alperin–McKay conditions about quasi-simple groups by the third author. The validity of those conditions is still open for groups of Lie type. The present paper describes characters of height zero in ℓ -blocks of groups of Lie type over a field with q elements when ℓ divides $q - 1$. We also give information about ℓ -blocks and Brauer correspondents. As an application we show that quasi-simple groups of type C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ satisfy the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions for primes $\ell \geq 5$ and dividing $q - 1$. Some methods to that end are adapted from [MS16].

Mathematics Classification Number: 20C15, 20C33

Keywords: local-global conjectures, characters, McKay conjecture, Alperin–McKay conjecture, finite simple groups, Lie type, Harish-Chandra series, Blocks, Height-Zero Characters

1 Introduction

The well-known McKay conjecture from 1972 posits that for a finite group G and a prime ℓ dividing $|G|$, there should be a bijection between the irreducible characters of degree prime to ℓ of G and those of $N_G(P)$ for a Sylow ℓ -subgroup P of G . The blockwise version of the McKay conjecture, known as the Alperin–McKay Conjecture, states that the number of height-zero characters of an ℓ -block B of G with defect group D should be the same as the number of height-zero characters of the Brauer correspondent of B in $N_G(D)$.

Reduction theorems for the McKay and Alperin–McKay conjectures are proven in [IMN07] and [Spä13], respectively. In particular, in each case it is shown that to prove the conjecture, it suffices to prove certain “inductive” conditions for all finite non-abelian simple groups. From [Spä13] and [Den14], we know that the alternating groups satisfy the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions and that the simple groups of Lie type satisfy the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions when ℓ is

the defining characteristic. The situation that a simple group has abelian Sylow ℓ -subgroups was considered in [Mal14], and certain low-rank cases have been settled in [Mal14, SF14]. Further, [CS15, BS19] consider the case of groups of Lie type A.

In the present paper, we describe the height-zero characters in blocks of finite groups of Lie type and of an appropriate subgroup containing the normalizer of a defect group for certain good primes. We prove sufficient conditions for a group of Lie type G in this situation to satisfy the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions and, as an application, further prove that if G is of type C, then these conditions hold. That is, we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *The simple groups $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ with q odd and $n \geq 2$ satisfy the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions from [Spä13, 7.3] for primes $\ell \geq 5$ dividing $q - 1$.*

Section 2 deals with height zero characters in ℓ -blocks of groups of Lie type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ when ℓ divides $q - 1$. Then Section 3 gives a streamlined version of the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions in that case, see Proposition 3.2. Section 4 uses some methods from [MS16] and the description of normalizers of split Levi subgroups to check those conditions in the case of finite symplectic groups.

1.1 Notation for characters and blocks

Given finite groups $H \leq G$, we write $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ for the set of irreducible (complex) characters of G , $\mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \varphi)$ for the set of irreducible constituents of $\varphi^G := \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)$ when $\varphi \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$, and $\mathrm{Irr}(H \mid \chi)$ for the set of irreducible constituents of $\chi|_H := \mathrm{Res}_H^G(\chi)$ for $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. More generally, for any subset $X \subseteq \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ we write $\mathrm{Irr}(G \mid X) := \cup_{\varphi \in X} \mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \varphi)$.

Let ℓ be a prime number. Given a defect ℓ -subgroup D of G , we write $\mathrm{Irr}(G \mid D)$ and $\mathrm{Irr}_0(G \mid D)$ for the set of irreducible characters lying in an ℓ -block with defect group D and the set of those characters with height 0 within their block, respectively. We denote by $\mathrm{Bl}(G)$ the set of ℓ -blocks of G and whenever $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, we write $b_G(\chi)$ for the block of G containing χ . We will write G_χ , respectively G_B , for the stabilizer in a group G of a character χ , respectively block B , of some normal subgroup. For a block $B \in \mathrm{Bl}(G)$, we often denote by $D(B)$ a fixed defect group for B . For b a block of some subgroup of G , we denote by b^G the corresponding Brauer induced block of G when defined (see [Nav98, p. 87]).

For any integer n , we write n_ℓ for the largest power of ℓ dividing n . Further, for an abelian group H , we write H_ℓ for the Sylow ℓ -subgroup of H .

Finally, for $H \triangleleft G$, the following definition will be useful.

Definition 1.2. Let $H \triangleleft G$ and let $\mathbb{I}$ be a subset of $\mathrm{Irr}(H)$. An *extension map with respect to $H \triangleleft G$ for $\mathbb{I}$* is any map

$$\Lambda: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \coprod_{G': H \triangleleft G' \leq G} \mathrm{Irr}(G')$$

associating to each $\varphi \in \mathbb{I}$ an extension $\Lambda(\varphi)$ of φ in $\mathrm{Irr}(G_\varphi)$.

2 Constructing the Bijection

The inductive Alperin–McKay conditions from [Spä13] require a bijection between height-zero characters having certain properties. In the present section, we introduce the finite groups of Lie type and we describe a bijection of characters for certain primes ℓ (see Corollary 2.13).

The Framework and More Notation

We refer to [DM91] for characters of finite groups of Lie type. Throughout this section, we let $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ be a group of Lie type, where $\mathbf{G}$ is a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of positive characteristic p and F is the Frobenius endomorphism on $\mathbf{G}$ corresponding to this $\mathbb{F}_q$ -structure. Further let $(\mathbf{G}^*, F^*)$ be dual to $(\mathbf{G}, F)$ and let $G^* := \mathbf{G}^{*F^*}$.

We write $\mathcal{E}(G, s)$ for the rational Lusztig series corresponding to the conjugacy class of the semisimple element $s \in G^*$ (see [DM91, 14.41]). The set $\mathcal{E}(G, s)$ depends only on the conjugacy class of s in G^* and $\text{Irr}(G)$ is the disjoint union of the various sets $\mathcal{E}(G, s)$. Let ℓ be a prime not dividing q . If s is a semisimple ℓ' -element, then we write $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, s)$ for the union of series of the form $\mathcal{E}(G, st)$, where the union ranges over ℓ -elements $t \in C_{G^*}(s)$. By Broué-Michel's theorem [CE04, 9.12(i)], $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, s)$ is also a union of ℓ -blocks. We will write $\mathcal{E}(G, \ell')$ for the union $\cup_s \mathcal{E}(G, s)$ where s ranges over semisimple ℓ' -elements of G^* .

Now, we let $\mathbf{L}$ be a fixed split Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{L}$ is F -stable and the Levi complement of some F -stable parabolic subgroup) and $L := \mathbf{L}^F$ the corresponding Levi subgroup of G . We fix a character $\lambda \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$, where $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ is the set of irreducible cuspidal characters of L , so that (L, λ) is a cuspidal pair (see [DM91, Ch. 6]). Further, assume that $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$.

Assume ℓ divides $q-1$ and let $b := b_L(\lambda)$ denote the ℓ -block of L containing λ , which by the main results of [CE99, KM15] often (and in particular in the situations considered here) parametrizes a block $B := b_G(L, \lambda)$ of G . A defining property (see [CE99, 4.1(a)]) is that $B = b_G(L, \lambda)$ contains the constituents of $R_L^G(\lambda)$, where R_L^G denotes here Harish-Chandra induction (see [DM91, 4.6(iii), 6.1]). Further, we have $B = b^G$ (see Proposition 2.1 below).

Let $N := \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L})^F$ be the fixed points under F of the normalizer of $\mathbf{L}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ and let $\tilde{b}$ be a block of N lying above b .

For a cuspidal pair (L, ψ) , the irreducible constituents of $R_L^G(\psi)$ are in bijection with the irreducible characters of $W(\psi) := N_\psi/L$, and we will write the constituent corresponding to $\eta \in \text{Irr}(W(\psi))$ as $R_L^G(\psi)_\eta \in \text{Irr}(G)$, as in [MS16, 4.D].

2.1 First Steps: The Global Side

Before we state the following proposition, we recall that a prime ℓ is called *good* for a simple reductive group if ℓ satisfies: no condition for type A; $\ell \geq 3$ for types B, C, and D; $\ell \geq 7$ for type E_8 ; and $\ell \geq 5$ for the remaining types (see [CE04, Section 13.2]). More generally, ℓ is good for a reductive group $\mathbf{G}$ if it is good for all simple components of $\mathbf{G}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ be a finite group of type as in the previous section, with F defining $\mathbf{G}$ over a field with q elements. Let ℓ be a prime good for $\mathbf{G}$ and dividing $q-1$. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(L)$ (not necessarily cuspidal) for $L := \mathbf{L}^F$ with $\mathbf{L}$ a split Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Assume $\ell \nmid [Z(\mathbf{G})^F : Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})^F]$. Then $L = C_G(Z(L)_\ell)$ and*

$$R_L^G(\psi) \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(b^G)$$

where b is the ℓ -block of ψ in L .

Proof. The first equality comes from [CE99, 3.2]. We now check the second statement.

First, note that it suffices to show that all constituents of $R_L^G(\psi)$ lie in the same block B , using [Nav98, 6.4] for example, since $R_L^G(\psi)$ is the induction of the inflation of ψ and hence we must have $b^G = B$.

If $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$, then the statement follows from [CE99, 2.5]. So assume $\psi \notin \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$ and let b be a block of L with $\text{Irr}(b) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\ell(L, s)$ for some semisimple ℓ' -element s of L^* . By a theorem of

Geck-Hiss [CE04, 14.4], we know $d^1\mathcal{E}(L, s)$ forms a basic set for $\mathcal{E}_\ell(L, s)$, where d^1 is the function that restricts a class function to ℓ' -elements. (Note here that ℓ is good for $\mathbf{L}$ and $\ell \nmid [\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{L})^F : \mathbf{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{L})^F]$ by [CE99, 3.3].) In particular, $d^1\psi$ is an integral linear combination of members of $d^1\mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$.

Note that d^1 and R_L^G commute, see [DM91, 7.5]. Further, the constituents of $R_L^G(\psi)$ lie in the same block if and only if the same is true for $d^1R_L^G(\psi) = R_L^G(d^1\psi)$, since this is a sum of Brauer characters in the same blocks as the constituents of $R_L^G(\psi)$.

Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$ such that $d^1\zeta$ appears in the decomposition of $d^1\psi$. (Indeed, such a character exists because $\mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$ is a basic set for $\mathcal{E}_\ell(L, s)$.) The character ζ must be in the same block b as ψ , and we have $R_L^G(\zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(b^G)$ from above. Then the irreducible constituents of $R_L^G(d^1\zeta) = d^1R_L^G(\zeta)$ must lie in the block b^G as well. Since this is true for every such ζ , it is also true for $R_L^G(d^1\psi)$, and hence we must have $R_L^G(\psi) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(b^G)$. $\square$

The following can be seen from [CE99, 4.1], by considering the case $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{L}$ and $e = 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a split Levi subgroup of a reductive algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ and let λ be a cuspidal character of $L := \mathbf{L}^F$. Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a good prime for $\mathbf{G}$ dividing $q - 1$. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$ and let b be the ℓ -block of L containing λ . Then λ is the unique member of $\text{Irr}(b) \cap \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$. If (L', λ') is another cuspidal pair such that $\lambda' \in \mathcal{E}(L', \ell')$ and $b_G(L, \lambda) = b_G(L', \lambda')$, then (L, λ) is G -conjugate to (L', λ') .*

We remark that using [KM15, Theorems A and B], the result of Lemma 2.2 also holds in the case $\ell \geq 3$ is a good prime for $\mathbf{G}$ dividing $q - 1$ when $\mathbf{G}$ is an F -stable Levi subgroup of a simple, simply-connected algebraic group $\mathbf{H}$ with an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational structure endowed by F . In fact, using [KM15], we see that our main results hold in this context, which was pointed out to us by G. Malle after submission.

2.2 First Steps: The Local Side

For the remainder of the section, we will be interested in the situation that $L = C_G(\mathbf{Z}(L)_\ell)$. We begin by recording two useful consequences of this assumption.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that $L = C_G(\mathbf{Z}(L)_\ell)$ and let $c \in \text{Bl}(L)$ with defect group $D := D(c)$. Then*

- (a) $C_G(D) \leq C_G(\mathbf{Z}(L)_\ell) = L$
- (b) *If N' is a subgroup of $N = \mathbf{N}_\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L})^F$ containing L , then $c^{N'}$ is defined and is the unique ℓ -block of N' covering c .*

Proof. The first point comes from the fact that D contains any normal ℓ -subgroup of L (see [Nav98, Theorem 4.8]). For the second point, let $c' \in \text{Bl}(N'|c)$ be a block of N' covering c . Then we may find a defect group $D(c')$ for c' such that $D \leq D(c')$. Using (a) we know $C_{N'}(D(c')) \leq C_N(D(c')) \leq C_N(D) \leq L$. Then by [Nav98, 9.20], it follows that c' is regular with respect to N' , and hence $c^{N'}$ is defined and $c' = c^{N'}$ by [Nav98, 9.19]. $\square$

We continue with (L, λ) as in the situation of Lemma 2.2. Recall our notation $B := b_G(L, \lambda)$ and $b := b_L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$. Further, recall that we let $\tilde{b} \in \text{Bl}(N | b)$, and hence $\tilde{b}$ is the unique ℓ -block of N above b , by Lemma 2.3(b).

Lemma 2.4. *Let ℓ be a prime dividing $q - 1$ and not dividing $[\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^F : \mathbf{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{G})^F]$, such that $\ell \geq 5$ and further $\ell \geq 7$ if $\mathbf{G}$ has a component of type $\mathbf{E}_8$. Let $D := D(B)$. Then the group $N = \mathbf{N}_\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L})^F$ contains $\mathbf{N}_G(D)$ and is $\text{Aut}([G, G])_{B, D}$ -stable.*

Proof. We know from [CE99, Lemma 4.16 and Section 5.2] that D has a unique maximal abelian normal subgroup, Z , such that $N_G(C_G^\circ(Z)) \leq N$ and that the extension $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow D \rightarrow D/Z \rightarrow 1$ is split. Hence $N_G(D) \leq N_G(Z) \leq N_G(C_G^\circ(Z)) \leq N$. The second statement follows from arguing as in the fifth paragraph of the proof of [BS19, 5.1]. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Keep the assumptions of Lemma 2.4. The defect groups may be chosen so that $D(\tilde{b}) = D(B)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.3(b) and Proposition 2.1, we have $\tilde{b} = b^N$ and $B = b^G = \tilde{b}^G$. Then by [Nav98, 4.13], we may choose the defect groups such that $D(b) \leq D(\tilde{b}) \leq D(B)$, so $C_G(D(\tilde{b})) \leq C_G(D(b)) \leq L \leq N$ by Lemma 2.3(a). Then $\tilde{b}$ is admissible, and by [Nav98, 9.24] and Lemma 2.4, $D(\tilde{b}) = D(B) \cap N = D(B)$. $\square$

We will write $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ and $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b)$ for the set of irreducible cuspidal characters of L and in the ℓ -block b , respectively. By [Gec93] and [Lus84, 8.6] there exists an extension map $\psi \mapsto \tilde{\psi}$ in the sense of Definition 1.2 with respect to $L \triangleleft N$ for $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$. The next lemma describes the members of $\text{Irr}(\tilde{b})$.

Lemma 2.6. *Keep the assumptions of Lemma 2.4. Then*

$$\text{Irr}(\tilde{b}) = \{\text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta) \mid \psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b); \eta \in \text{Irr}(N_\psi/L)\}.$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Irr}(N_\psi)$ is an extension of ψ , a special case of Clifford theory [Isa06, 6.17] implies that the characters of the form $\tilde{\psi}\eta$, where η ranges through all members of $\text{Irr}(N_\psi/L)$, are all of the characters of N_ψ above ψ . Clifford correspondence [Isa06, 6.11] then implies $\text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta)$ is irreducible for $\eta \in \text{Irr}(N_\psi/L)$ and that the set $\text{Irr}(N|\psi)$ is comprised of the characters of this form. Then since $\tilde{b} = b^N$ is the unique block of N above b by Lemma 2.3(b), we see $\{\text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta) \mid \psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b), \eta \in \text{Irr}(N_\psi/L)\}$ is a subset of $\text{Irr}(\tilde{b})$. Here for each $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b)$, we have fixed an extension $\tilde{\psi}$ of ψ to N_ψ .

Conversely, if $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{b})$, then the constituents of $\text{Res}_L^N \varphi$ lie in N -conjugates of b , and hence $\text{Res}_L^N \varphi$ must contain ψ^g as a constituent for some $\psi \in \text{Irr}(b)$ and $g \in N$. But this means that $\text{Res}_L^N \varphi$ also contains ψ as a constituent. Let (M, μ) be the cuspidal pair labeling the Harish-Chandra series of L containing ψ . Then note that $b_M(\mu)^G = b^G$ by Proposition 2.1 and the transitivity of Harish-Chandra induction. Further, applying [CE99, 4.1] and Proposition 2.1 to $b_M(\mu)$, we see that $b_M(\mu) = R_{M_1}^M(b_{M_1}(\mu_1))$ for some cuspidal pair (M_1, μ_1) of M such that $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{E}(M_1, \ell')$. But then again by transitivity of Harish-Chandra induction, we have $b_G(L, \lambda) = b_G(M_1, \mu_1)$, and hence (L, λ) is G -conjugate to (M_1, μ_1) , by Lemma 2.2. Then $L = M$ and $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$, completing the proof. $\square$

2.3 Height-Zero Characters and the Map Ω

Keep the notation and assumptions from the previous section, and let $\text{Irr}(B, L)$ denote the subset of $\text{Irr}(B)$ comprised of characters of the form $R_L^G(\psi)_\eta$ for $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b)$ and $\eta \in \text{Irr}(W(\psi))$, where $W(\psi) := N_\psi/L$. Recall that for each $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b)$, we have fixed an extension $\tilde{\psi}$ of ψ to N_ψ . Recall that $\tilde{b}$ is the unique ℓ -block of N above b .

Definition 2.7. Let $\Omega: \text{Irr}(B, L) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\tilde{b})$ be defined via

$$\Omega(R_L^G(\psi)_\eta) = \text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta) \tag{1}$$

for each $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b)$ and $\eta \in \text{Irr}(W(\psi))$ (see Lemma 2.6).

In this section, we aim to show that Ω induces a bijection $\Omega: \text{Irr}_0(B) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{b})$, where we write $\text{Irr}_0(c)$ for the set of height-zero characters of a block c . Recall that for a finite group H and $c \in \text{Bl}(H)$, a character χ in $\text{Irr}(c)$ satisfies $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(c)$ if and only if $\chi(1)_\ell = |H|_\ell / |D(c)|$. That is, the ℓ -part of $\chi(1)$ is as small as possible. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$ and write $\chi = R_L^G(\psi)_\eta$, so $\Omega(\chi) = \text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta)$.

The next lemma describes $\text{Irr}_0(\tilde{b})$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b)$ and $\eta \in \text{Irr}(W(\psi))$. Then $\text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta) \in \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{b})$ if and only if all of the following hold:*

- $\psi \in \text{Irr}_0(b)$;
- $\eta(1)_\ell = 1$; and
- $[N_b : N_\psi]_\ell = 1$.

Proof. Let χ' denote the character $\text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta)$ and write τ for the irreducible character $\tau := \text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^{N_b}(\tilde{\psi}\eta)$ of N_b , so that $\text{Ind}_{N_b}^N \tau = \chi'$. Write b_{N_ψ} and b_{N_b} for the blocks of $\tilde{\psi}$ and τ , respectively, which are the unique blocks of N_ψ and N_b , respectively, above b by Lemma 2.3(b). By [Nav98, 6.2], we have $b_{N_b} = (b_{N_\psi})^{N_b}$ and $\tilde{b} = (b_{N_\psi})^N = (b_{N_b})^N$. By [Nav98, 9.14], the defect groups of b_{N_b} and $\tilde{b}$ are the same and the height of τ is the same as the height of χ' . So χ' is of height zero if and only if τ is, and hence it suffices to show that τ is of height zero if and only if the claimed conditions hold.

Now, by [Nav98, 9.17], Lemma 2.3(b) implies that

$$|D(\tilde{b})| = |D(b_{N_b})| = |D(b)| \cdot [N_b : L]_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad |D(b_{N_\psi})| = |D(b)| \cdot [N_\psi : L]_\ell,$$

so

$$|D(b_{N_b})| = |D(b_{N_\psi})| \cdot [N_b : N_\psi]_\ell.$$

Then τ has height zero if and only if $\tau(1)_\ell = [N_b : D(b_{N_b})]_\ell = \frac{|N_b|_\ell}{|D(b)| \cdot [N_b : L]_\ell} = [L : D(b)]_\ell$. But this happens if and only if

$$\eta(1)_\ell \cdot \psi(1)_\ell \cdot [N_b : N_\psi]_\ell = [L : D(b)]_\ell.$$

Hence, we immediately see that if the stated conditions hold, then τ has height zero. Now assume conversely that τ has height zero. Then

$$\psi(1)_\ell \cdot \eta(1)_\ell = [N_\psi : D(b_{N_b})]_\ell = \frac{|N_\psi|_\ell}{|D(b_{N_\psi})| \cdot [N_b : N_\psi]_\ell} \leq [N_\psi : D(b_{N_\psi})]_\ell.$$

But $\tilde{\psi}\eta \in \text{Irr}(b_{N_\psi})$ implies $\psi(1)_\ell \cdot \eta(1)_\ell \geq [N_\psi : D(b_{N_\psi})]_\ell$, so it must be that $\tilde{\psi}\eta \in \text{Irr}_0(b_{N_\psi})$ and $[N_b : N_\psi]_\ell = 1$. Then since $\tilde{\psi}(1)_\ell \cdot \eta(1)_\ell \geq \tilde{\psi}(1)_\ell \geq [N_\psi : D(b_{N_\psi})]_\ell$, we also have $\tilde{\psi}$ is of height zero and $\eta(1)_\ell = 1$. Finally, [Nav98, 9.18] now implies that $\psi \in \text{Irr}_0(b)$ by applying Lemma 2.3(b) again. $\square$

Next we describe the height-zero characters in $\text{Irr}(B, L)$. The following lemma generalizes the statements in [Mal07, Section 7] for ℓ' -characters to the case of height-zero characters in our situation.

Lemma 2.9. *Assume the conditions on ℓ from Lemma 2.4. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(b)$ and $\eta \in \text{Irr}(W(\psi))$. Then the character $\chi = R_L^G(\psi)_\eta \in \text{Irr}(B, L)$ has height zero if and only if all of the following hold:*

- $\psi \in \text{Irr}_0(b)$;
- $\eta(1)_\ell = 1$; and
- $[N_b : N_\psi]_\ell = 1$.

Proof. By [MS16, (7.2)] or [Mal07, 4.2], we have

$$\chi(1) = [G : L]_{p'} D_\chi(q) \psi(1)$$

where D_χ is a rational function of X with numerator and denominator prime to $X - 1$ and $D_\chi(1) = \eta(1)/|W(\psi)|$. Further, by [Mal07, 6.3], $D_\chi(q)/D_\chi(1)$ is a rational number with numerator and denominator prime to ℓ and satisfying $D_\chi(q)/D_\chi(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Hence we have

$$\chi(1)_\ell = [G : L]_\ell \cdot \eta(1)_\ell \cdot \psi(1)_\ell / |W(\psi)|_\ell = [G : L]_\ell \cdot \eta(1)_\ell \cdot \psi(1)_\ell / [N_\psi : L]_\ell = [G : N_\psi]_\ell \cdot \eta(1)_\ell \cdot \psi(1)_\ell.$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.5, we see χ has height zero if and only if $\chi(1)_\ell = [G : D(B)]_\ell = [G : D(\tilde{b})]_\ell$, if and only if

$$[G : N_\psi]_\ell \cdot \eta(1)_\ell \cdot \psi(1)_\ell = [G : D(\tilde{b})]_\ell.$$

But this occurs if and only if

$$\eta(1)_\ell \cdot \psi(1)_\ell = [N_\psi : D(\tilde{b})]_\ell = \frac{|N_\psi|_\ell}{|D(b)|[N_b : L]_\ell} = \frac{[L : D(b)]_\ell}{[N_b : N_\psi]_\ell}.$$

Here the second equality holds by the proof of Lemma 2.8.

Hence we see that if the stated conditions hold, then χ has height zero. Conversely, suppose that χ has height zero. Then we must have $\eta(1)_\ell = 1$, since $\chi(1)_\ell \geq \chi'(1)_\ell$, where $\chi' = R_L^G(\psi)_{1_{W(\psi)}}$, which lies in the same block by Proposition 2.1. Then we have

$$[L : D(b)]_\ell \leq \psi(1)_\ell = \frac{[L : D(b)]_\ell}{[N_b : N_\psi]_\ell} \leq [L : D(b)]_\ell,$$

implying equality throughout, so $\psi \in \text{Irr}_0(b)$ and $[N_b : N_\psi]_\ell = 1$. □

Next, we aim to show that $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ is exactly the set of height-zero characters in $\text{Irr}(B, L)$. The following result is key in this direction.

Proposition 2.10. *Keep (L, λ) as in Lemma 2.2 with $B = b_G(L, \lambda)$ for ℓ a prime dividing $q - 1$, not dividing $6[\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^F : \mathbf{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{G})^F]$, and satisfying $\ell \geq 7$ if $\mathbf{G}$ has components of type $\mathbf{E}_8$. If $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ contains a cuspidal character, then $L = G$.*

Proof. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$ be assumed to be cuspidal. Denote by $s \in \mathbf{L}^{*F}$ a semisimple ℓ' -element such that $\lambda \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{L}^F, s)$. Then all constituents of $R_L^G(\lambda)$ are in $\mathcal{E}(G, s)$ (see [CE04, 8.25]). So B meets $\mathcal{E}(G, s)$ and is therefore included in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, s)$ (see [CE04, 9.12]). So we have $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, st)$ where $t \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)_\ell^F$. We know that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t)$ is a Levi subgroup (see [CE04, 13.16(ii)]), let $\mathbf{G}(t)$ be an F -stable Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ in duality with it. Denote by $\hat{t}$ the linear character of $\mathbf{G}(t)^F$ associated with t by duality (see [DM91, 13.30]). For an F -stable Levi subgroup $\mathbf{M}$ of $\mathbf{G}$, we write $R_{\mathbf{M}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ to denote Deligne-Lusztig induction. If $\mathbf{L}$ is a split Levi subgroup of $(\mathbf{G}, F)$ then $R_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ coincides with Harish-Chandra induction previously denoted by R_L^G .

(a) Assume t is central in $\mathbf{G}^*$, so that $\mathbf{G}(t) = \mathbf{G}$. Since $\hat{t}^{-1}$ has ℓ -power order, $\hat{t}^{-1}\chi$ and χ have same decomposition numbers, so that $\hat{t}^{-1}\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ by [Nav98, 3.5]. Moreover $\hat{t}^{-1}\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, s)$ by [DM91, 13.30]. Using now the description of $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \mathcal{E}(G, \ell')$ (see [CE99, 4.1(b)]), $\hat{t}^{-1}\chi$ is a constituent of $R_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$. Then χ is a constituent of $\hat{t}R_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda) = R_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\text{Res}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{t})\lambda)$ and cuspidality implies that $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G}$.

Let us now use the decomposition $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}$ from [CE04, 22.4]. In our case, this means that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is generated by $Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{G})$ and the F -stable components $\mathbf{G}_i$ of $[\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}]$ such that $\mathbf{G}_i^F$ is of type $A_{n_i}(q^{m_i})$ (untwisted) with $m_i, n_i \geq 1$, while $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}$ is generated by the other components of $[\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}]$.

(b) Assume $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}$. Then any non central ℓ -element $t \in \mathbf{G}^{*F}$ is such that $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(t)$ embeds in a proper 1-split Levi subgroup $\mathbf{C}^*$ of $\mathbf{G}^*$ thanks to [CE04, 13.19 and 22.2]. On the other hand $\chi = R_{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\chi')$ for some $\chi' \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C}^F, st)$ by [DM91, 13.25(ii)] where $\mathbf{C}$ is dual to $\mathbf{C}^*$. This contradicts cuspidality, so indeed t is central (in fact trivial) and case (a) gives our claim.

(c) Assume $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$. Let $\mathbf{T}^* := C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(st)$. This is a Levi subgroup and it is included in no proper 1-split Levi while having a unipotent cuspidal character corresponding with χ by Jordan decomposition (see for instance [CE99, 1.10]). In type untwisted A, only tori can have a unipotent (hence trivial) cuspidal character (see for instance [Car93, § 13.7]). So this implies that $\mathbf{T}^*$ is a Coxeter torus of $\mathbf{G}^*$ and χ is a constituent of $R_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{st})$. In particular

$$\chi(1)_{\ell} = |\mathbf{G}^F|_{\ell} / |C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(st)^F|_{\ell} \quad (\dagger)$$

by [DM91, 13.24]. On the other hand, by the main theorem of [BDR17] the block B as an algebra over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is Morita equivalent to a block B_M of a subgroup M of G where $\mathbf{C}^F \triangleleft M$ with $\mathbf{C}^* = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)$ and $M/\mathbf{C}^F \cong C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^F / C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)^F$ an abelian group of order prime to ℓ (see for instance [DM91, 13.15(i)]). Moreover B_M covers a block B_C of $\mathbf{C}^F$ with $\text{Irr}(B_C) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\ell}(\mathbf{C}^F, s)$. Then $\hat{s}^{-1}B_C$ is a unipotent block. We have $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$ (see comment after [CE94, 2.3]) so there is only one $\mathbf{C}^F$ -conjugacy class of unipotent cuspidal pairs $(\mathbf{L}_C, \lambda_C)$ in $\mathbf{C}^F$ by [CE94, 3.3(i)]. Therefore $\hat{s}^{-1}B_C$ is the principal block of $\mathbf{C}^F$, so both B_C and B_M have maximal defect. So height zero characters of B_M have degree prime to ℓ . Now the Morita equivalence and the fact that χ has height zero imply that

$$\chi(1)_{\ell} = [\mathbf{G}^F : M]_{\ell} = [\mathbf{G}^F : \mathbf{C}^F]_{\ell} . \quad (*)$$

Combining $(\dagger)$ and $(*)$ implies that $[\mathbf{C}^F : \mathbf{T}^F]_{\ell} = |A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(st)^F|_{\ell}$ where we use the standard notation $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(x) = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(x) / C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbf{G}^*$. One has

$$|A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(st)^F|_{\ell} = |A_{\mathbf{C}^*}(t)^F|_{\ell} ,$$

again because $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ is an ℓ' -group. Using now [DM91, 13.14(ii)], we get that

$$[\mathbf{C}^F : \mathbf{T}^F]_{\ell} \text{ divides } |(Z(\mathbf{C}) / Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{C}))^F|_{\ell} . \quad (**)$$

Let us show that $(**)$ implies $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{T}$.

Assume $\mathbf{G}^F = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F$ has type $\prod_i A_{n_i-1}(q_i)$ ($n_i \geq 2$), where the q_i 's are powers of q . Since $\mathbf{C}$ contains the Coxeter torus $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ it must have type $\prod_i A_{m_i-1}(q_i^{d_i})$ where $n_i, m_i \geq 1$, $n_i = m_i d_i$ for each i and $m_i = 1$ is understood as $\mathbf{C}$ being a (Coxeter) torus on that i -th factor of $\mathbf{G}$ (but those i 's will play no role in what follows). Then $|(Z(\mathbf{C}) / Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{C}))^F|_{\ell}$ is a divisor of the order of the rational fundamental group (see proof of [CE04, 13.12(iv)]) which is $\prod_i (q_i^{d_i} - 1, m_i)$, so we get

$$[\mathbf{C}^F : \mathbf{T}^F]_{\ell} \text{ divides } \prod_i (q_i^{d_i} - 1, m_i) . \quad (***)$$

Since $\mathbf{T}$ is connected, Lang-Steinberg's theorem implies that $\mathbf{C}^F/\mathbf{T}^F = (\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{T})^F$. The variety $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{T}$ can be seen in the adjoint group of $\mathbf{G}$ or equivalently in the corresponding product of general linear groups. Then $\mathbf{C}$ is also a product of general linear groups and we have $[\mathbf{C}^F : \mathbf{T}^F]_\ell = \prod_i (q_i^{n_i-d_i} - 1)_\ell (q_i^{n_i-2d_i} - 1)_\ell \dots (q_i^{d_i} - 1)_\ell$. Then (***) implies

$$\prod_{i; m_i > 1} (q_i^{d_i(m_i-1)} - 1)_\ell \dots (q_i^{d_i} - 1)_\ell \leq \prod_{i; m_i > 1} (q_i^{d_i} - 1, m_i)_\ell.$$

Noting that one has the reverse inequality for each i , we must indeed have

$$(q_i^{d_i(m_i-1)} - 1)_\ell \dots (q_i^{d_i} - 1)_\ell = (q_i^{d_i} - 1, m_i)_\ell \quad \text{for all } i \text{ with } m_i > 1.$$

Since $1 \equiv q \equiv q_i \equiv q_i^{d_i} \pmod{\ell}$ this implies that $\ell \mid m_i$ each time $m_i > 1$. But then $m_i \geq 3$ and therefore the LHS above is $\geq \ell(q_i^{d_i} - 1)_\ell$, a contradiction. We then get that all m_i 's are 1 and therefore $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{T}$.

We now have $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s) = \mathbf{T}^*$, a Coxeter torus of $\mathbf{G}^*$ and therefore all elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, s)$ are cuspidal, again by [CE99, 1.10]. But the elements of $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \mathcal{E}(G, s)$ are the constituents of $R_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda)$ as was recalled before. This forces $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G}$.

(d) Before going into the general case, let us notice that if $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$ or $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}$ then all elements of $\text{Irr}(B)$ are cuspidal. In the first case, as said at the beginning of (c) we must have that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ is a Coxeter torus of $\mathbf{G}^*$ but then it is also the case for any $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(st)$ with $t = (st)_\ell$ since then $t \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)_\ell \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ (again by [DM91, 13.15(i)]) and therefore $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(st) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$. Then any element of $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, s)$ is cuspidal by [CE99, 1.10]. In the second case $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}$, applying [CE99, 2.8] we get that any element of $\text{Irr}(B)$ has to be a constituent of some $R_{\mathbf{G}(t)}^{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{t}\chi_t)$ with $t \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)_\ell^F$, $\mathbf{G}(t)$ a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ in duality with $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t)$, $\chi_t \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}(t)^F, s)$ and all constituents of $R_{\mathbf{G}(t)}^{\mathbf{G}}(\chi_t)$ are in $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \mathcal{E}(G, s)$. By the description of $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \mathcal{E}(G, s)$ (see [CE99, 4.1(b)]), the last requirement implies that $R_{\mathbf{G}(t)}^{\mathbf{G}}(\chi_t)$ is a multiple of the cuspidal character χ . This forbids that $\mathbf{G}(t)$, or equivalently $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t)$, embed in a proper 1-split Levi subgroup. As said in (b), this implies that t is central. Therefore $R_{\mathbf{G}(t)}^{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{t}\chi_t) = \hat{t}R_{\mathbf{G}(t)}^{\mathbf{G}}(\chi_t)$ is a multiple of $\hat{t}\chi$ hence cuspidal.

(e) Let us now return to the proof of the statement of the proposition. We look at the general case where $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$ is cuspidal. Let $H := \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F$, a normal subgroup of $\mathbf{G}^F$ with abelian ℓ' -factor group (see [CE04, 22.5(i)]). It is a group with split BN-pair given by intersection with the one of $\mathbf{G}^F$. The standard parabolic subgroups correspond in the same way with same radical, and therefore

$$*R_{M \cap H}^H \circ \text{Res}_H^G = \text{Res}_{M \cap H}^M \circ *R_M^G \quad \text{on } \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(G)$$

for each split Levi subgroup $M = \mathbf{M}^F$ of G , where $*R$ denotes Harish-Chandra "restriction" (see [DM91, Ch. 4], [CE04, 3.11]). One deduces easily that the restriction of χ to H is a sum of cuspidal characters. Let us choose $\chi' \in \text{Irr}(H \mid \chi)$ and let B' be its block. Since G/H is an abelian ℓ' -group, B and B' have a common defect group (see for instance [Nav98, 9.26]). By Clifford theory, $\chi(1)_\ell = \chi'(1)_\ell$ so $\chi' \in \text{Irr}_0(B')$. Now $H = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F \cong (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F \times \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F)/Z$ where $Z \cong Z(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F) \cap Z(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F) = Z(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}} \cap \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}})^F$ is central and ℓ' by [CE04, 22.5(i)]. The blocks and characters of H can be seen as blocks and characters of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F \times \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F$ with Z in their kernel. This obviously preserves defect, height and cuspidality. So B' corresponds to a block B'' of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F \times \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F$ with a character χ'' , corresponding to χ' , that is of height zero and cuspidal. By (b) and (c) above we have $B'' = b_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F \times \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F}(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F \times \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F, \lambda_0)$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^F \times \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}^F)$. Now (d) implies that all characters of B'' are cuspidal. Therefore the same is true for B' and B as discussed before. Then all constituents of $R_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda)$ have to be cuspidal. This clearly implies $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G}$. $\square$

Remark 2.11. (a) It is easy to deduce from the above proof that the ℓ -blocks of the form $B = b_G(G, \lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(G) \cap \mathcal{E}(G, \ell')$, have only cuspidal characters, i.e. $\text{Irr}(B) \subseteq \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(G)$.

(b) One can show that the hypothesis on ℓ can be relaxed to being just that ℓ divides $q - 1$, does not divide $2[\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^F : \mathbf{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{G})]$, is good for $\mathbf{G}$ and $\ell \geq 5$ if the type of $\mathbf{G}^F$ involves ${}^3\mathbf{D}_4$. The only adaptation needed to prove Proposition 2.10 in this broader case concerns (d) of the proof above. Indeed, by the assumption that ℓ is good and $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{b}}$, one has that ℓ does not divide $[\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}^*)^F : \mathbf{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{G}^*)^F]$, so that by [CE99, § 5.2] the description of $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \mathcal{E}(G, s)$ in [CE99, 4.1(b)] applies also to primes $\ell \geq 3$ when good and $\ell \geq 5$ when ${}^3\mathbf{D}_4$ is involved.

This strengthening of Proposition 2.10 leads easily to a proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case of $\ell = 3$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a split Levi subgroup of a reductive algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ and let λ be a cuspidal character of $L := \mathbf{L}^F$. Let ℓ be a prime dividing $q - 1$ such that $\ell \geq 5$, $\ell \geq 7$ if $\mathbf{G}$ has a component of type $\mathbf{E}_8$, and $\ell \nmid [\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^F : \mathbf{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{G})^F]$. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$ and let $b = b_L(\lambda)$ be the ℓ -block of L containing λ and $B = b^G$ be the ℓ -block of $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ containing the irreducible constituents of $R_L^G(\lambda)$ (see Proposition 2.1). Then*

$$\text{Irr}_0(B) = \text{Irr}_0(b^G) \subseteq \{R_L^G(\psi)_\eta \mid \psi \in \text{Irr}_0(b) \text{ cuspidal}; \eta \in \text{Irr}(W(\psi))\}.$$

Proof. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$. Note that by Lemma 2.9, it suffices to show that χ is in the Harish-Chandra series $R_L^G(\psi)$ for some cuspidal $\psi \in \text{Irr}(b)$. We may assume that $L \neq G$.

We know χ must be a constituent of some $R_M^G(\mu)$ for μ a cuspidal character of a split Levi $M := \mathbf{M}^F$ of G . Write $b_M(\mu)$ for the block of M containing μ . By Proposition 2.1, all constituents of $R_M^G(\mu)$ lie in the same block, $b_M(\mu)^G$. Then it must be that $b_L(\lambda)^G = B = b_M(\mu)^G$. Further, applying [CE99, 4.1] and Proposition 2.1 to $b_M(\mu)$, we see that $b_M(\mu) = R_{M_1}^M(b_{M_1}(\mu_1))$ for some cuspidal pair (M_1, μ_1) of M such that $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{E}(M_1, \ell')$. But then by transitivity of Harish-Chandra induction, we have $b_G(L, \lambda) = b_G(M_1, \mu_1)$, and hence (L, λ) is G -conjugate to (M_1, μ_1) , by Lemma 2.2, and we may assume $L \leq M$.

Now, note that the arguments in Lemmas 2.3(b) and 2.5 still hold in the case with N replaced with $K := \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{M})^F$ and b replaced with $b_M(\mu)$, but with the statement $D(\tilde{b}) = D(B)$ replaced with $D(\widetilde{b_M(\mu)}) \leq D(B)$. (Here $\widetilde{b_M(\mu)}$ is the block of K above $b_M(\mu)$.) Then we may argue as in Lemma 2.9 to see that μ must have height zero if χ does. Indeed, letting $K_{b_M(\mu)}$ denote the stabilizer of $b_M(\mu)$ in K , we have in this case

$$\begin{aligned} [M : D(b_M(\mu))]_\ell &\leq \mu(1)_\ell = \frac{[G : D(B)]_\ell}{[G : K_\mu]_\ell} = [K_\mu : D(B)]_\ell \leq [K_\mu : D(\widetilde{b_M(\mu)})]_\ell \\ &= \frac{|K_\mu|_\ell |M|_\ell}{|D(b_M(\mu))|_\ell |K_{b_M(\mu)}|_\ell} = \frac{[M : D(b_M(\mu))]_\ell}{[K_{b_M(\mu)} : K_\mu]_\ell} \leq [M : D(b_M(\mu))]_\ell, \end{aligned}$$

implying equality throughout and therefore that μ is of height zero in $b_M(\mu)$. Since μ is also cuspidal, Proposition 2.10 applied to $b_M(\mu)$ implies that $M = L$ and χ must be a constituent of $R_L^G(\psi)$ for some cuspidal $\psi \in \text{Irr}(b)$, as desired. $\square$

Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 and Corollary 2.12 immediately yield the following.

Corollary 2.13. *Let ℓ be a prime dividing $q - 1$ and not dividing $[\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^F : \mathbf{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{G})^F]$, such that $\ell \geq 5$ and $\ell \geq 7$ if $\mathbf{G}$ has a component of type $\mathbf{E}_8$. Then the map Ω (see Definition 2.7) restricts to a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega : \text{Irr}_0(B) &\rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{b}) \\ R_L^G(\psi)_\eta &\mapsto \text{Ind}_{N_\psi}^N(\tilde{\psi}\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.14. We remark that $N_b = N_\lambda$, since if $x \in N_b$ then $\lambda^x \in b$ and lies in $\mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$, and hence $\lambda^x = \lambda$ by Lemma 2.2.

3 The Inductive Alperin–McKay Conditions

In this section we give a criterion implying the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions of [Spä13, 7.2] and tailored to simple groups of Lie type. This generalizes the one given in [CS15, Sect. 4] which doesn't cover all cases. Instead, to prove Theorem 1.1, we will use the following easy adaptation of [BS19, 2.4].

Theorem 3.1 (Brough–Späth). *Let S be a finite non-abelian simple group and ℓ a prime dividing $|S|$. Let G be the universal covering group of S and assume we have a semi-direct product $\tilde{G} \rtimes E$ with $[\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}] = G \triangleleft \tilde{G} \rtimes E$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Bl}(G)$ a $\tilde{G}$ -stable subset such that for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ the inclusion $(\tilde{G}E)_B \leq (\tilde{G}E)_B$ holds. Assume there exist groups $M \leq G$ and $\tilde{M} \leq \tilde{G}$ such that $M = \tilde{M} \cap G$ and $\tilde{M} \geq M N_{\tilde{G}}(D)$, which further satisfy that for every ℓ -block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and some defect group D of B , M is $\text{Aut}(G)_{\mathcal{B}, D}$ -stable and $N_G(D) \leq M \leq G$. Let $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \text{Bl}(M)$ be the set of all Brauer correspondents in M of the ℓ -blocks in $\mathcal{B}$. Additionally assume:*

- (i)
 - $C_{\tilde{G} \rtimes E}(G) = Z(\tilde{G})$ and $\tilde{G}E/Z(\tilde{G}) \cong \text{Inn}(G)\text{Aut}(G)_D$ by the natural map,
 - any element of $\text{Irr}_0(\mathcal{B})$ extends to its stabilizer in $\tilde{G}$,
 - any element of $\text{Irr}_0(\mathcal{B}')$ extends to its stabilizer in $\tilde{M}$,
 - the group E is abelian.
- (ii) For $\mathcal{G} := \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \text{Irr}_0(\mathcal{B}))$ and $\mathcal{M} := \text{Irr}(\tilde{M} \mid \text{Irr}_0(\mathcal{B}'))$ there exists an $N_{\tilde{G}E}(D)_{\mathcal{B}}$ -equivariant bijection

$$\tilde{\Omega} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

with

- $\tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{G} \cap \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \tilde{\nu})) = \mathcal{M} \cap \text{Irr}(\tilde{M} \mid \tilde{\nu})$ for all $\tilde{\nu} \in \text{Irr}(Z(\tilde{G}))$,
- $b_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{\chi}))^{\tilde{G}} = b_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\chi})$ for all $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{G}$, and
- $\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\mu}) = \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{\chi})\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{M}}$ for every $\tilde{\mu} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid 1_G)$ and every $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{G}$.
- (iii) For every $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{G}$ there exists some $\chi_0 \in \text{Irr}(G \mid \tilde{\chi})$ such that
 - $(\tilde{G} \rtimes E)_{\chi_0} = \tilde{G}_{\chi_0} \rtimes E_{\chi_0}$, and
 - χ_0 extends to $G \rtimes E_{\chi_0}$.
- (iv) For every $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists some $\psi_0 \in \text{Irr}(M \mid \tilde{\psi})$ such that
 - $O = (\tilde{G} \cap O) \rtimes (E \cap O)$ for $O := G(\tilde{G} \rtimes E)_{M, \psi_0}$, and
 - ψ_0 extends to $M(G \rtimes E)_{D, \psi_0}$.

- (v) For every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and its $\tilde{G}$ -orbit $\tilde{B}$ the group $\text{Out}(G)_{\tilde{B}}$ is abelian.

Then the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions (see [Spä13, 7.2]) hold for all ℓ -blocks in $\mathcal{B}$.

3.1 Criterion With Levi Subgroups

Here we adapt the conditions from Theorem 3.1 specifically to fit the bijection Ω from Corollary 2.13. Throughout this section, let $\mathbf{G}$ be a simple algebraic group of simply connected type over an algebraic closure of the field with p elements. We assume chosen a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus $\mathbf{T} \leq \mathbf{B}$ and we will denote by $\Phi \supseteq \Delta$ the root system and the basis corresponding to $\mathbf{B}$. Recall the 1-parameter unipotent subgroups $t \mapsto \mathbf{x}_\alpha(t)$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. We let $\mathbf{X}_\alpha := \mathbf{x}_\alpha(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Define F_0 on $\mathbf{G}$ by $F_0(\mathbf{x}_\alpha(t)) = \mathbf{x}_\alpha(t^p)$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$. We call graph automorphisms (omitting to mention $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{B}$) the automorphisms of $\mathbf{G}$ defined by $\mathbf{x}_{\epsilon\delta}(t) \mapsto \mathbf{x}_{\epsilon\delta'}(t)$ for $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\Delta \ni \delta \mapsto \delta' \in \Delta$ an automorphism of the associated Dynkin diagram.

We let $\mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ be a regular embedding as in [CE04, 15.1]. In particular, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a central product $\tilde{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{Z}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})\mathbf{G}$ and both F_0 and the graph automorphisms of $\mathbf{G}$ extend to $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ (see [MS16, Sect. 2.B]). We let $F := F_0^m \gamma$ where γ is a graph automorphism (possibly trivial) and $m \geq 1$. We denote $q = p^m$ so that $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\mathbf{G}$ are defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ via F .

We also denote $G := \mathbf{G}^F$, $\tilde{G} := \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$ and let E be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{G})$ generated by the restrictions of F_0 and the graph automorphisms considered above.

Let ℓ denote a prime not dividing q . All blocks considered will be ℓ -blocks.

Let $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{T} \langle \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi' \rangle$ be a standard Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ associated with $\Phi' := \Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Delta'$ for some $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$ which we assume γ -stable, so that $\mathbf{L}$ is F -stable. Now let $\tilde{\mathbf{L}} := \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Z}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ be the corresponding split Levi subgroup of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$. Write $L := \mathbf{L}^F$ and $\tilde{L} := \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F$ for the resulting Levi subgroups of G and $\tilde{G}$, respectively. Write $T := \mathbf{T}^F$, $\tilde{T} := \mathbf{T} \mathbf{Z}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})^F$ and $\tilde{T} := \tilde{\mathbf{T}}^F$. Let $N := N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L})^F$ and $\tilde{N} := N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}})^F$, and note that

$$\tilde{N} = \tilde{L}N = \tilde{T}N$$

by a standard application of Lang's theorem.

In this section, we aim to prove the following:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ as above and assume that G is the universal covering group of the non-abelian simple group $G/\mathbf{Z}(G)$. Let ℓ be a prime dividing $q - 1$ but not dividing $6|\mathbf{Z}(G)|$, and further assume $\ell \geq 7$ if $\mathbf{G}$ is of type $\mathbf{E}_8$. Let $B \in \text{Bl}(G)$ correspond to a cuspidal pair of L , where L is E -stable. Assume that E is cyclic and that for $\tilde{L}, N$, and $\tilde{N}$ as above, there is an NE -stable $\tilde{L}$ -transversal $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ such that the following hold:*

- (1) *There is an NE -equivariant extension map (see Definition 1.2) with respect to $L \triangleleft N$ for $\mathbb{T}$.*
- (2) *$R(t\lambda) \leq \ker(\delta_{\lambda,t})$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $t \in \tilde{T}$ with the notation from [MS16, Sect. 4].*
- (3) *For every $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \text{Irr}_0(B))$, there exists some $\chi_0 \in \text{Irr}(G \mid \tilde{\chi})$ such that $(\tilde{G} \rtimes E)_{\chi_0} = \tilde{G}_{\chi_0} \rtimes E_{\chi_0}$.*
- (4) *$\text{Out}(G)_{\tilde{B}}$ is abelian for the $\tilde{G}$ -orbit $\tilde{B}$ of B .*

Then the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions hold for B .

Remark 3.3. The condition (3) above is equivalent to the existence of an E -stable $\tilde{G}$ -transversal in $\text{Irr}_0(B)$. Indeed, for each $\tilde{G} \rtimes E$ -orbit it suffices to select one χ_0 as in the condition and take its images under E . The stabilizer property will ensure that this is a $\tilde{G}$ -transversal. The converse is also easy.

We begin by recording the following straightforward observation:

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $\ell \nmid |\mathbf{Z}(G)|$. Let $B \in \text{Bl}(G)$ and $C \in \text{Bl}(N)$, $\tilde{B} = \text{Bl}(\tilde{G} \mid B)$ and $\tilde{C} = \text{Bl}(\tilde{N} \mid C)$. Then $\text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \text{Irr}_0(B)) = \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{B})$ and $\text{Irr}(\tilde{N} \mid \text{Irr}_0(C)) = \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{C})$.*

Proof. Note that by [Lus88, Proposition 10], any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ extends to its inertia group $\tilde{G}_\chi$ in $\tilde{G}$. Since $\ell \nmid [\tilde{G} : \text{Z}(\tilde{G})G]$ and $\text{Z}(\tilde{G})G \leq \tilde{G}_\chi$ for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, it suffices to prove the statement for $\text{Z}(\tilde{G})G$ rather than $\tilde{G}$. Let $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\text{Z}(\tilde{G})G|\chi)$ be an extension of $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and let $\tilde{D}$ and D be the defect groups of $\tilde{B}$ and B in $\tilde{G}$ and G , respectively. Note that $\text{Z}(\tilde{G})_\ell \leq \tilde{D}$ and $\text{Z}(G)_\ell \leq D$, and hence $\tilde{D}$ can be chosen so that $\text{Z}(\tilde{G})_\ell D \leq \tilde{D}$. Further, [Nav98, 9.17] yields that $|\tilde{D}| \leq |\text{Z}(\tilde{G})_\ell D|$. Then $[\text{Z}(\tilde{G})G : \tilde{D}]_\ell = [\text{Z}(\tilde{G})G : \text{Z}(\tilde{G})D]_\ell = [G : D]_\ell$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ has height zero if and only if χ does, giving the statement in $\tilde{G}$.

Since $\tilde{N}/N = \tilde{L}N/N \cong \tilde{L}/L \cong \tilde{G}/G$ and the defect groups of $\tilde{C}$ and C are the same as the corresponding defect groups for $\tilde{B}$ and B under the maps constructed in Section 2, the same arguments show the statement in $\tilde{N}$. $\square$

As in Sections 2.2 and 2.3, we assume that ℓ divides $q - 1$ but not $6 \cdot [(\text{Z}(\mathbf{G}) : \text{Z}^\circ(\mathbf{G}))^F]$ and $\ell \geq 7$ if $\mathbf{G}$ is of type E_8 . Then by [CE99, 4.1] any ℓ -block of $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ is of the type $b_G(L, \lambda)$ studied before, and the same is true for $\mathbf{G}^F$. Note that for D a defect group of some ℓ -block of G and $\tilde{D}$ a defect group of some ℓ -block of $\tilde{G}$ such that $D = \tilde{D} \cap G$, Corollary 2.13 applied independently to G and $\tilde{G}$ then yields bijections

$$\Omega : \text{Irr}_0(G | D) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N | D)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega} : \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{G} | \tilde{D}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{N} | \tilde{D})$$

simultaneously, each preserving Brauer correspondence. We wish to use information about Ω to obtain the properties for $\tilde{\Omega}$ required in Proposition 3.2.

Recall from Definition 2.7 that the construction of Ω depends on the choice of an extension map $\psi \mapsto \tilde{\psi}$ with respect to the normal inclusions $L \triangleleft N$ for $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that for any standard Levi subgroup $\tilde{L}$ of $\tilde{G}$, there is an $\tilde{N}E$ -equivariant extension map $\tilde{\Lambda}$ (see Definition 1.2) for $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{L})$ with respect to $\tilde{L} \triangleleft \tilde{N}$. Then:*

(a) *The map $\tilde{\Omega} : \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{G} | \tilde{D}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{N} | \tilde{D})$ described above is $N_{\tilde{G}E}(D)$ -equivariant.*

(b) *If the map $\tilde{\Lambda}$ satisfies*

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda} \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{L}}) = \tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda}) \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$$

for each $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{L})$ and each $\mu \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} | 1_G)$, then $\tilde{\Omega}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{\chi}\mu) = \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{\chi}) \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{N}}$$

for every $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{G})$ and $\mu \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} | 1_G)$.

Proof. Both statements follow directly from our construction of $\tilde{\Omega}$, taking into account [MS16, 4.6, 4.7] for part (a). Note that, thanks to the $\tilde{N}E$ -equivariance of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, the linear character $\delta_{\lambda, \sigma}$ in [MS16, 4.6] is trivial in the case of an automorphism σ induced by an element of $\tilde{N}E$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Assume condition (1) of Proposition 3.2. Then there is an $\tilde{N}E$ -equivariant extension map $\tilde{\Lambda}$ for $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{L})$ with respect to $\tilde{L} \triangleleft \tilde{N}$ satisfying*

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda} \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{L}}) = \tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda}) \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$$

for each $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{L})$ and each $\mu \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} | 1_G)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{L})$ and $\lambda_0 \in \text{Irr}(L|\tilde{\lambda}) \cap \mathbb{T}$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the transversal assumed in Proposition 3.2(1). Fix an extension $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ of λ_0 to $\tilde{L}_{\lambda_0}$ such that $\tilde{\lambda} = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{L}_{\lambda_0}}^{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{\lambda}_0)$. Note that since $\mathbb{T}$ is N -stable, we have $\tilde{L}N_{\tilde{\lambda}_0} = \tilde{L}N_{\tilde{\lambda}}$, using Clifford theory. Let Λ be the assumed extension map with respect to $L \triangleleft N$, so that $\Lambda(\lambda_0)$ is a character of N_{λ_0} extending λ_0 . Then by [CS17a, 5.8 (a)] or [Spä10, 4.1], there exists a unique common extension, call it φ , of $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ and $\Lambda(\lambda_0)|_{N_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}}$ to $\tilde{L}_{\lambda_0}N_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}$. Define

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda}) := \text{Ind}_{\tilde{L}_{\lambda_0}N_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}}^{\tilde{L}N_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}}(\varphi).$$

Then $\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda})$ is an extension of $\tilde{\lambda}$ to $\tilde{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \tilde{L}N_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \tilde{L}N_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}$. This defines an extension map $\tilde{\Lambda}$, which by construction is NE -equivariant. The map $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is $\tilde{L}$ -equivariant, hence $\tilde{N}$ -equivariant since $\tilde{N} = \tilde{L}N$. The required equality $\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda} \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{L}}) = \tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda}) \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$ holds since $\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\lambda} \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{L}})$ is constructed using $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{T} \cap \text{Irr}(L|\tilde{\lambda} \cdot \mu|_{\tilde{L}})$ and the common extension of $\lambda_0 \mu|_{\tilde{L}_{\lambda_0}}$ and $\Lambda(\lambda_0)|_{N_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}}$. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Proposition 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We check that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. We have $S = G/Z(G)$ of which G is a universal covering by assumption and on which $\tilde{G} \rtimes E$ induces the whole automorphism group by [GLS98, 2.5.1]. We also have the stabilizer part of assumption 3.1(iii) by 3.2(3). Note that the extendibility conditions of 3.1(iii) and 3.1(iv), along with the remainder of condition 3.1(i) are ensured by the assumption that E and hence $M(GE)_{D, \psi_0}/M$ are cyclic.

Note that a $\tilde{G}$ -orbit $\tilde{B}$ containing $B = b_G(L, \lambda) \in \text{Bl}(G)$ is composed of blocks $b_G(L, \lambda')$ for other $\lambda' \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L) \cap \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$, and hence $N = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L})^F$ contains $N_G(D_B)$ for each $B \in \tilde{B}$, by applying Lemma 2.4. Taking $M := N$ and $\tilde{M} := \tilde{N}$, we see using Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6, together with our assumptions, that assumption (ii) of Theorem 3.1 holds.

Our map Ω is built with the same method as for the bijection in [MS16, 5.2]. The arguments from there can be applied thanks to assumptions 3.2(1) - (2) and show that Ω is $N_{\tilde{G}E}(D)$ -equivariant.

In order to now ensure condition (v) of Theorem 3.1 we apply the considerations from the proof of [MS16, 5.3]: Let $\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{M})$. As in the proof of [CS17b, 4.3], it suffices to show that $(\tilde{M}\tilde{M})_{\psi_0} = \tilde{M}_{\psi_0}\tilde{M}_{\psi_0}$, where $\tilde{M} := NE$ and ψ_0 is a suitable element of $\text{Irr}(M|\tilde{\psi})$. But this follows by taking $\psi_0 := \Omega(\chi_0)$, where $\chi_0 \in \text{Irr}(G|\tilde{\chi})$ satisfies assumption 3.2(3) and $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{\chi})$. $\square$

4 Extending Cuspidal Characters in Type C_l

Our main task is now to verify in $G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(q)$ the existence of $\mathbb{T}$ satisfying assumption (1) of Proposition 3.2, namely we construct an NE -stable $\tilde{L}$ -transversal $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ and an extension map with respect to $L \triangleleft N$ for $\mathbb{T}$. Recall that in this case, E is cyclic, generated by F_0 .

We will check this via an application of the following criterion, which is based on [BS19, 4.2]. It will be applied in a case where $K = K_0$ but we show the slightly stronger statement for future reference.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $K \triangleleft M$ and $K_0 \triangleleft M$ with $K_0 \leq K$ be finite groups, and let E be a group acting on M , stabilizing K and K_0 . In addition, let $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \text{Irr}(K)$ be ME -stable. Assume*

- (i) $K = Z(K)K_0$;
- (ii) *there exists some E -stable group $V \leq M$ such that*

(ii.1) $M = KV$ and $H := V \cap K \leq Z(K)$; and

(ii.2) there exists some VE -equivariant extension map Λ_0 with respect to $H \triangleleft V$ for $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{K}} \text{Irr}(H \mid \lambda)$;

(iii) denoting $\epsilon: V \rightarrow V/H$ the canonical surjection, there exists an $\epsilon(V)E$ -equivariant extension map Λ_ϵ with respect to $K_0 \triangleleft K_0 \rtimes \epsilon(V)$ for the set $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{K}} \text{Irr}(K_0 \mid \lambda)$.

Then there exists an ME -equivariant extension map with respect to $K \triangleleft M$ for $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. By (ii.1) it is sufficient to construct a VE -equivariant extension map with respect to $K \triangleleft M$ for $\mathbb{K}$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Proposition 4.2 in [BS19] defines an extension $\tilde{\lambda}$ of λ to M_λ in the following way: the unique character $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(H \mid \lambda)$ has the extension $\tilde{\zeta} := \Lambda_0(\zeta)$ and $\lambda_0 := \text{Res}_{K_0}^K \lambda$ extends to $\Lambda_\epsilon(\lambda_0) \in \text{Irr}(K_0 \rtimes \epsilon(V_{\lambda_0}))$. Let $\mathcal{D}: K \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\lambda(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ be a linear representation of K affording λ , and $\mathcal{D}'$ a linear representation of $K_0 \rtimes \epsilon(V)_{\lambda_0}$ extending $\text{Res}_{K_0}^K \mathcal{D}$ and affording $\Lambda_\epsilon(\lambda_0)$. We define $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}: M_\lambda \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\lambda(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ via the equation

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(vk) = \tilde{\zeta}(v)\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v))\mathcal{D}(k) \text{ for every } v \in V_\lambda \text{ and } k \in K. \quad (2)$$

To check that this is well-defined we evaluate the above at a pair $(vz, z^{-1}k)$ for v, k as above and $z \in V \cap K \leq Z(K)$. We get $\tilde{\zeta}(v)\zeta(z)\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(vz))\mathcal{D}(z^{-1}k) = \tilde{\zeta}(v)\zeta(z)\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v))\zeta(z^{-1})\mathcal{D}(k) = \tilde{\zeta}(v)\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v))\mathcal{D}(k)$ which is the value at (v, k) .

To verify that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a linear representation, it is clearly enough to check that $\mathcal{D}(k)\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v)) = \mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v))\mathcal{D}(k^v)$ for any $v \in V_\lambda, k \in K$. Let us write $k = k_0z$ for $k_0 \in K_0$ and $z \in Z(K)$. Let us denote by ζ' the element of $\text{Irr}(Z(K) \mid \lambda)$, and note that $\zeta' = \lambda(1)^{-1}\text{Res}_{Z(K)}^K \lambda$ is V_λ -invariant. Remembering also that $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ coincide on K_0 we easily get $\mathcal{D}(k)\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v)) = \zeta'(z)\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v))\mathcal{D}'(k_0^{\epsilon(v)}) = \zeta'(z^{\epsilon(v)})\mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v))\mathcal{D}(k_0^v) = \mathcal{D}'(\epsilon(v))\mathcal{D}(k^v)$.

Now, we obtain an extension map Λ with respect to $K \triangleleft KV$ for $\mathbb{K}$ by sending λ to $\Lambda(\lambda) = \text{Tr} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Since the extension maps Λ_0 and Λ_ϵ are VE -equivariant, one checks easily using the above formula that Λ is VE -equivariant. $\square$

4.1 The structure of L and N in type C

We now concentrate on finite quasi-simple groups of Lie type C. Though the structure of split Levi subgroups in symplectic groups is a direct product easily dealt with, their normalizers don't equal the corresponding wreath products, so the problem of character extensions requires some special care.

For a positive integer i let $\underline{i} := \{1, \dots, i\}$.

Notation 4.2. Let $\mathbf{G} = \text{Sp}_{2l}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ be a simply connected simple group of type C_l ($l \geq 2$) over the field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the diagonal torus and $\mathbf{B}$ be the upper triangular Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Let Φ be the $\mathbf{T}$ -roots of $\mathbf{G}$ given as $\{2e_i, \pm e_i \pm e_j \mid i, j \in \underline{l}\}$ with basis $\Delta := \{2e_1, e_{i+1} - e_i \mid 2 \leq i \leq l\}$ as subsets of $\bigoplus_{i \in \underline{l}} \mathbb{R}e_i$, see [GLS98, 1.8.8]. Recall the identification of the Weyl group W_Φ with the group $\mathcal{S}_{\pm \underline{l}}$ of permutations σ of $\underline{l} \cup -\underline{l}$ satisfying $\sigma(-x) = -\sigma(x)$ for any $x \in \underline{l} \cup -\underline{l}$, see [GLS98, 1.8.8]. For Ψ a subset of Φ one denotes by W_Ψ the subgroup of W_Φ generated by the corresponding reflections.

The Chevalley generators $\mathbf{x}_\alpha(t)$, $\mathbf{n}_\alpha(t')$ and $\mathbf{h}_\alpha(t')$ ($\alpha \in \Phi$, $t, t' \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with $t' \neq 0$) together with the Steinberg relations describe the group structure of $\mathbf{G}$, see [GLS98, Thm. 1.12.1]. Let

$F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be the Frobenius endomorphism with $\mathbf{x}_\alpha(t) \mapsto \mathbf{x}_\alpha(t^q)$ and $G := \mathbf{G}^F$, $T := \mathbf{T}^F$. We take for $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ the usual conformal symplectic group $\mathrm{CSp}_{2l}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$.

Let $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{T} \langle \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi' \rangle$ be a standard Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ associated with $\Phi' := \Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Delta'$ for some $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$. Then Φ' decomposes as a disjoint union of irreducible root systems, i.e.,

$$\Phi' = \Phi_{-1} \sqcup \Phi_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Phi_{l-1},$$

where Φ_{-1} denotes a root subsystem with a long root, hence of type A_1 or C_m ($m \geq 2$), and Φ_d is the (possibly empty) union of direct summands subsystems of Φ' of type A_{d-1} ($d \geq 2$) with only short roots. Denote $L = \mathbf{L}^F$.

Note that with the notation of Sect. 3.1, $E = \langle F_0 \rangle$. Note that every standard Levi subgroup L is E -stable. All automorphisms of $\mathbf{G}^F$ are induced by $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \rtimes E$ as soon as q is odd. Recall that one calls diagonal the ones induced by $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$.

Write $\mathbb{D} := \underline{l} \cup \{-1\}$. For each $d \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{1\}$ let $J_d \subseteq \underline{l}$ be minimal with $\Phi_d \subseteq \langle e_k \mid k \in J_d \rangle$. In addition let $J_1 := \underline{l} \setminus (J_{-1} \cup J_2 \cup \dots \cup J_l)$. Then $\Phi_d = \langle e_k \mid k \in J_d \rangle \cap \Phi'$ and we denote

$$\overline{\Phi}_d := \langle e_k \mid k \in J_d \rangle \cap \Phi$$

for every $d \in \mathbb{D}$. For $d \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{1\}$ let $\mathcal{O}_d$ be the set of $W_{\overline{\Phi}_d}$ -orbits in J_d , and let $\mathcal{O}_1 := \{\{j\} \mid j \in J_1\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{O} := \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{D}} \mathcal{O}_d.$$

The following lemmas gather facts that are easily checked by use of the Steinberg relations or the realization of G as $\mathrm{Sp}_{2l}(q)$ given in [GLS98, 2.7].

Lemma 4.3. *For $I \subseteq \underline{l}$ let $\mathbf{T}_I := \langle \mathbf{h}_{2e_i}(t) \mid i \in I, t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \rangle$. For each $I \in \mathcal{O}_d$ with $d \neq 1$ let $\Phi_I := \Phi_d \cap \langle e_i \mid i \in I \rangle$,*

$$\mathbf{G}_I := \langle \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_I \rangle \mathbf{T}_I \quad \text{and} \quad G_I = \mathbf{G}_I^F. \quad (3)$$

(a) *Then $G_I \cong \mathrm{GL}_{|I|}(q)$ if $I \neq J_{-1}$ and $G_{J_{-1}} \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2|J_{-1}|}(q)$.*

(b) *L is the direct product of the groups G_I ($I \in \mathcal{O}$).*

(c) *$\tilde{L}$ induces diagonal automorphisms on $G_{J_{-1}}$ and only inner automorphisms on G_I ($I \neq J_{-1}$).*

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{h}_I(-1) := \prod_{j \in I} \mathbf{h}_{2e_j}(-1)$ for $I \subseteq \underline{l}$,*

$$H := \langle \mathbf{h}_I(-1) \mid I \in \mathcal{O} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad H_d = \langle \mathbf{h}_I(-1) \mid I \in \mathcal{O}_d \rangle \quad (d \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (4)$$

Then $H = H_{-1} \times H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_l$ and $H \leq Z(L)$

We keep the same notation as before. Recall that we identify W_Φ with the group $\mathcal{S}_{\pm l}$ defined in [GLS98, 1.8.8].

Proposition 4.5. *We have $N/L \cong W_{\overline{\Phi}_1} \times \prod_{d \geq 2} \mathrm{Stab}_{W_{\overline{\Phi}_d}}(\Phi_d)$. Moreover*

$$\mathrm{Stab}_{W_{\overline{\Phi}_d}}(\Phi_d) = \left(W_{\Phi_d} \times \left\langle \prod_{i \in I} (i, -i) \mid I \in \mathcal{O}_d \right\rangle \right) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_d}$$

for $2 \leq d \leq l$.

Proof. This follows from $N/L \cong N_N(\mathbf{T})/N_L(\mathbf{T}) \cong N_W(W_{\Phi'})/W_{\Phi'}$, see [Car93, 9.2.2]. The computation of stabilizers in root systems of type $\mathbf{C}$ is easy using the model described in [GLS98, 1.8.8]. $\square$

Notation 4.6 (Introduction of V_d). We write $\mathbf{n}_i := \mathbf{n}_{\alpha_i}(-1)$ whenever $\alpha_1 = 2e_1$ and $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i-1}$ ($2 \leq i \leq l$). Note that the elements $\{\mathbf{n}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq a\}$ satisfy the braid relations of type $\mathbf{C}_a$, see for example [Spr09, 9.3.2].

For $d \in \underline{l}$, let $a_d := |\mathcal{O}_d|$, $I_{d,j}$ ($1 \leq j \leq a_d$) the elements of $\mathcal{O}_d$ and $I_{d,j}(k) \in I_{d,j}$ ($1 \leq k \leq d$) the elements of $I_{d,j}$. For each $k \in \underline{d}$ we fix

$$\pi_k : \underline{a_d} \rightarrow J_d \text{ with } j \mapsto I_{d,j}(k) \text{ and } m_k := \prod_{j \in \underline{a_d}} \mathbf{n}_{e_j - e_{\pi_k(j)}}(1) \in \mathbf{G}.$$

For $j \in \underline{a_d}$ we define

$$n_j^{(d)} := \prod_{k \in \underline{d}} \mathbf{n}_j^{m_k}.$$

Alternatively we write also $n_{I_{d,1}}$ for $n_1^{(d)}$ and $n_{I_{d,j-1}, I_{d,j}}$ for $n_j^{(d)}$ with $j > 1$.

Proposition 4.7. For $d \in \mathbb{D}$ let

$$V_d := \langle n_j^{(d)} \mid j \in \underline{a_d} \rangle \text{ and } V := \langle V_d \mid d \in \mathbb{D} \rangle. \quad (5)$$

$$(a) \quad n_j^{(d)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k \in I_{d,1}} \mathbf{n}_{e_k}(\pm 1) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \prod_{k \in \underline{d}} \mathbf{n}_{e_{I_{d,j-1}(k)} - e_{I_{d,j}(k)}}(\pm 1) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for at least one choice of the signs in each term of the products above;

$$(b) \quad [E, V] = 1;$$

$$(c) \quad N = LV \text{ and } H = L \cap V;$$

$$(d) \quad \text{the elements } \{n_j^{(d)} \mid j \in \underline{a_d}\} \text{ satisfy the braid relations of type } \mathbf{C}_{a_d};$$

$$(e) \quad [V_d, V_{d'}] = 1 \text{ for every } d, d' \in \mathbb{D} \text{ with } d \neq d'.$$

Proof. The elements $\{\mathbf{n}_k \mid k \in \underline{a_d}\}$ satisfy the braid relations as recalled in Notation 4.6. By the definition together with the Steinberg relations it is a straightforward computation to check that the elements $\{n_j^{(d)} \mid j \in \underline{a_d}\}$ satisfy parts (a), (b) and (d).

Denote $\rho : N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow W_{\Phi}$ the canonical surjection. For $d \in \mathbb{D}$ we see that $\rho(V_d)W_{\Phi_d} = \text{Stab}_{W_{\Phi_d}}(\Phi_d)$ since

$$\rho(V_d) = \left\langle \prod_{i \in I} (i, -i) \mid I \in \mathcal{O}_d \right\rangle \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_d},$$

whenever $d \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{-1\}$. This implies $N = LV$ by Proposition 4.5.

Note that $\rho(V_d) \leq W_{\Phi_d}$. Since $\bar{\Phi}_d \perp \bar{\Phi}_{d'}$ for $d \neq d'$ and no non-trivial linear combination of them is a root, $[V_d, V_{d'}] = 1$ by the commutator formula.

We now prove that $L \cap V_d = H_d$ for any $d \in \mathbb{D}$, thus finishing the proof of (c). By (d) the elements $n_j^{(d)}$ ($j \in \underline{a_d}$) satisfy the braid relations and $V_d L / L = V_d / L \cap V_d$ is isomorphic to a Coxeter group W_d of type $\mathbf{C}_{a_d}$ such that each coset $\bar{n}_j := n_j^{(d)}(L \cap V_d)$ corresponds to a reflection along a simple root. Using the presentation of W_d by involutive generators $\bar{n}_j$ ($j \in \underline{a_d}$) submitted to the braid relations, we see that $L \cap V_d$ is generated by the $(n_j^{(d)})^2$'s for $j \in \underline{a_d}$. On the other hand we

have $(n_j^{(d)})^2 = (\prod_{k \in \underline{d}} \mathbf{n}_j^{m_k})^2 = \prod_{k \in \underline{d}} (\mathbf{n}_j^2)^{m_k} = \prod_{k \in \underline{d}} (\mathbf{h}_{\alpha_j}(-1))^{m_k}$ where α_j is as in Notation 4.6 so that

$$(n_j^{(d)})^2 = \begin{cases} \mathbf{h}_{I_{d,1}}(-1) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \mathbf{h}_{I_{d,j}}(-1) \mathbf{h}_{I_{d,j+1}}(-1) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

For the second equality one uses that $\prod_{k \in \underline{d}} \mathbf{h}_{e_{\pi_k(j)} - e_{\pi_k(j+1)}}(-1) = \prod_{k \in \underline{d}} \mathbf{h}_{e_{\pi_k(j)}}(-1) \prod_{k \in \underline{d}} \mathbf{h}_{e_{\pi_k(j+1)}}(-1)$. The above indeed gives $L \cap V_d = H_d$. $\square$

For the later proof of assumption (iii) of Proposition 4.1 we need to analyze the action of V on L .

Lemma 4.8 (The action of V on L). *(a) Let $I, I' \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{J_{-1}\}$ such that $n_{I,I'}$ is defined and $I'' \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $n_{I,I'}^2 \in Z(L)$ and*

$$[n_{I,I'}, G_{I''}] = \begin{cases} G_I & \text{if } I'' = I', \\ G_{I'} & \text{if } I'' = I, \\ G_{I''} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) Let $I \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{J_{-1}\}$ and $I'' \in \mathcal{O}$ with $I'' \neq I$. Then $(G_{I''})^{n_I} = G_{I''}$. The element n_I induces on G_I the combination of a graph and an inner automorphism while acting trivially on $G_{I''}$ if $I \neq I''$.

Proof. The claims follow from Proposition 4.7(a) using the Steinberg relations. $\square$

4.2 Cuspidal characters of L and their extensions

In the following we verify the character theoretic assumptions necessary for applying Proposition 4.1 with $M = \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L})^F$, $K = L$ and V as defined in Proposition 4.7.

Proposition 4.9. *There exists an NE -stable $\tilde{L}$ -transversal $\mathbb{T}$ in $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ such that $(\tilde{L}NE)_{\lambda} = \tilde{L}_{\lambda}(NE)_{\lambda}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. Note first that the cuspidal characters of L are the products of cuspidal characters of the G_I 's ($I \in \mathcal{O}$). We choose first a $\tilde{L}$ -transversal in $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(G_{-1})$ that is E -stable. Such a transversal $\mathbb{T}_{-1}$ exists by [CS17b, 3.1] and Remark 3.3. We also know by Lemma 4.3 that $\tilde{L}$ acts by inner automorphisms on all other direct factors G_I , so the set $\mathbb{T} = \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L \mid \mathbb{T}_{-1})$ is an E -stable $\tilde{L}$ -transversal as required.

Recall that for $\chi_{-1} \in \mathbb{T}_{-1}$ we have $V_{\chi_{-1}} = V$ and $(\tilde{L}E)_{\chi_{-1}} = \tilde{L}_{\chi_{-1}}E_{\chi_{-1}}$, hence altogether we see $(\tilde{L}NE)_{\chi_{-1}} = \tilde{L}_{\chi_{-1}}(NE)_{\chi_{-1}}$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $L_+ := \langle G_d \mid d \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{-1\} \rangle$ and $\chi_+ \in \text{Irr}(L_+ \mid \lambda)$. We have seen that $\tilde{L}$ acts by inner automorphisms on L_+ , hence stabilizes χ_+ and therefore $(\tilde{L}NE)_{\chi_+} = \tilde{L}(NE)_{\chi_+}$. Since $\lambda = \chi_{-1}\chi_+$ for some $\chi_{-1} \in \mathbb{T}_{-1}$, the required equation holds for every $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(L \mid \mathbb{T}_{-1}) \cap \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$. $\square$

In the next step we show the following for the groups $H \triangleleft V$ from Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.7.

Proposition 4.10. *Every element of $\text{Irr}(H)$ extends to its stabilizer in V . In particular there exists a VE -equivariant extension map (see Definition 1.2) with respect to $H \triangleleft V$ for $\text{Irr}(H)$.*

This will imply that the groups H and V satisfy the assumption (ii.2) of Proposition 4.1.

Proof. The second statement is a consequence of the first since E acts trivially on V by Proposition 4.7(c). So we now show that every element of $\text{Irr}(H)$ extends to its stabilizer in V .

By (e) of Proposition 4.7 it is sufficient to prove that for every $d \in \mathbb{D}$ any character of H_d extends to its stabilizer in V_d . The group H_d is the a_d -fold central product of groups $\langle \mathbf{h}_I(-1) \rangle$ ($I \in \mathcal{O}_d$). Let $c_1^{(d)} := \bar{n}_1^{(d)}$ and

$$c_{I_{d,j}} := c_j^{(d)} := (c_{j-1}^{(d)})^{\bar{n}_j^{(d)}}. \quad (6)$$

In addition $n_j^{(d)}$ ($2 \leq j \leq a_d$) stabilizes $\{c_I \mid I \in \mathcal{O}_d\}$.

Let $\lambda_d \in \text{Irr}(H_d)$. Then λ_d is V_d -conjugate to a character λ'_d with

$$\lambda'_d(\mathbf{h}_{I_{d,j}}(-1)) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j \leq a', \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for some $0 \leq a' \leq a_d$. We assume that λ_d is of this form. Then

$$V_{d,\lambda_d} = CS, \text{ where } C := \langle c_I \mid I \in \mathcal{O}_d \rangle \text{ and } S := \langle \bar{n}_j^{(d)} \mid j \in \underline{a_d} \setminus \{a' + 1\} \rangle.$$

By the Steinberg relations we see that $[c_I, c_{I'}] = 1$ for $I, I' \in \mathcal{O}_d$. Hence one can choose an extension $\hat{\lambda}_d$ of λ_d to $H_d C$ such that

$$\hat{\lambda}_d(c_I) = \hat{\lambda}_d(c_{I'}) \text{ for } I, I' \in \mathcal{O}_d.$$

This character is accordingly S -stable and hence V_{d,λ_d} -stable.

Since by Proposition 4.7(d) the elements $\{n_j^{(d)} \mid 2 \leq j \leq a_d\}$ satisfy the braid relations and $\rho(S)$ is the direct product of two symmetric groups, we see that

$$S \cap H_d = \langle (n_j^{(d)})^2 \mid j \in \underline{a_d} \setminus \{a' + 1\} \rangle.$$

Those elements lie in the kernel of λ_d . Hence there exists an extension ψ of λ_d to $H_d S$ such that $S \leq \ker(\psi)$. According to [Spä10, 4.1] the characters ψ and $\hat{\lambda}_d$ define an extension of λ_d to $V_{d,\hat{\lambda}_d}$ that is V_{d,λ_d} -invariant and in turn extends to V_{d,λ_d} . $\square$

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\epsilon : V \rightarrow V/H$ be the canonical epimorphism. There exists an NE -equivariant extension map with respect to $L \triangleleft L \rtimes \epsilon(V)$.*

In its proof we need the following observation.

Lemma 4.12. *Let γ be an automorphism of $\text{GL}_n(q)$ commuting with the field automorphism F_0 of $\text{GL}_n(q)$. Then there exists a $\langle \gamma, F_0 \rangle$ -equivariant extension map with respect to $\text{GL}_n(q) \triangleleft \text{GL}_n(q) \rtimes \langle \gamma \rangle$.*

Proof. It clearly suffices to show that any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\text{GL}_n(q))$ extends to its stabilizer in $\text{GL}_n(q) \rtimes \langle F_0, \gamma \rangle$. By [Bon99, 4.3.1], χ has an extension $\tilde{\chi}$ to $\text{GL}_n(q) \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle_\chi$ with $0 \notin \tilde{\chi}(\langle F_0 \rangle_\chi)$. This implies that the various extensions of χ to $\text{GL}_n(q) \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle_\chi$ have distinct restrictions to $\langle F_0 \rangle_\chi$, using Gallagher's Theorem [Isa06, 6.17]. Let $A := \langle F_0, \gamma \rangle_\chi$. Then A is abelian and fixes $\tilde{\chi}$ by what we have said about restrictions to $\langle F_0 \rangle_\chi$. On the other hand $A / \langle F_0 \rangle_\chi$ injects into $\langle F_0, \gamma \rangle / \langle F_0 \rangle$ hence is cyclic, so that $\tilde{\chi}$ does extend to $\text{GL}_n(q) \rtimes A$, using [Isa06, 11.22]. This completes our proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.11. It is sufficient to prove that there exists an $\epsilon(V_d) \langle E \rangle$ -equivariant extension map with respect to $G_d \triangleleft G_d \rtimes \epsilon(V_d)$ for every $d \in \mathbb{D}^+$. For $d = 1$ the group H_1 is abelian and $[\epsilon(V_d), E] = 1$. Hence such a map exists.

Let $d \in \mathbb{D}_{\geq 2}$. Then $G_d \cong G_I^{a_d}$ for some $(I \in \mathcal{O}_d)$ and $G_d \epsilon(V_d) \cong (G_I \rtimes \langle \epsilon(c_I) \rangle) \wr \mathcal{S}_{a_d}$ for $I \in \mathcal{O}_d$. For $d \geq 2$ the automorphism of G_I induced by $\epsilon(c_I)$ commutes with E and there exists an $\langle \epsilon(c_I), E \rangle$ -equivariant extension map with respect to $G_I \triangleleft G_I \langle \epsilon(c_I) \rangle$ by Lemma 4.12.

From the description of the representations of wreath products (see for instance [Nav18, §10.2]) we deduce easily there exists an $\langle \epsilon(V_d), E \rangle$ -equivariant extension map with respect to $G_d \triangleleft G_d \rtimes \epsilon(V_d)$. $\square$

We can now prove the following.

Proposition 4.13. *There exists an NE -equivariant extension map Λ with respect to $L \triangleleft N$ for $\text{Irr}(L)$, such that $\Lambda(\lambda^t) = \Lambda(\lambda)^t$ for every $t \in \tilde{T}$ and $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(L)$ with $\lambda^t \neq \lambda$.*

Proof. We check that all the assumptions of Proposition 4.1 are satisfied with $K_0 = K = L$, $M = N$, V as defined in Proposition 4.7 and $\mathbb{T}$ from Proposition 4.9. The group theoretic assumptions are clear. Proposition 4.10 implies that the assumption 4.1(ii.2) is satisfied while Proposition 4.11 gives 4.1(iii). We obtain an extension map Λ_0 for $\mathbb{T}$ and then deduce an extension map for $\text{Irr}(L)$ by setting $\Lambda(\lambda^t) := \Lambda(\lambda)^t$ for every $t \in \tilde{T}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\lambda^t \neq \lambda$ since $\mathbb{T}$ is a $\tilde{T}$ -transversal in $\text{Irr}(L)$. To show that Λ is NE -equivariant, note first that $[\tilde{T}, NE] \leq LZ(\tilde{G})$. This is because $[\tilde{T}, N] \leq [\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}] \cap \tilde{T} \leq T$ and $[\tilde{T}, E] \leq TZ(\tilde{G})$ since F_0 acts trivially on $\tilde{T}/TZ(\tilde{G}) \leq \tilde{G}/GZ(\tilde{G})$ the latter being of order 2. Now let $x \in NE$, $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(L)$ and let us check $\Lambda(\lambda^x) = \Lambda(\lambda)^x$. We have it when $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, so let us assume $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(L) \setminus \mathbb{T}$. Since $\mathbb{T}$ is a $\tilde{T}$ -transversal in $\text{Irr}(L)$ we have $\lambda \neq {}^t\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ for some $t \in \tilde{T}$. Denote $\mu = {}^t\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. We must prove $\Lambda(\mu^{tx}) = \Lambda(\mu)^x$. The right hand side equals $\Lambda(\mu)^{tx}$ since $\mu^t \neq \mu \in \mathbb{T}$. For the left hand side we have seen that $[t, x] \in LZ(\tilde{G})$ hence fixes μ , so $\mu^{tx} = \mu^{xt} \neq \mu^x$ while $\mu^x \in \mathbb{T}$. So

$$\Lambda(\mu^{tx}) = \Lambda(\mu^{xt}) = \Lambda(\mu^x)^t = \Lambda(\mu)^{xt} = \Lambda(\mu)^{tx}$$

the last equality since $[t, x]$ acts trivially on $\text{Irr}(N_\mu)$. $\square$

In our checking of the inductive Alperin–McKay conditions via Proposition 3.2, we now have assumption 3.2(1) for the transversal whose existence is ensured by Proposition 4.9. In the following, we turn to assumption 3.2(2) which deals with the so-called reflection subgroup $R(\lambda)$ of $W(\lambda) := N_\lambda/L$ (see [Car93, 10.6.3]). The group $R(\lambda)$ is seen as acting on $\mathbb{R}\Phi/\mathbb{R}\Phi'$ and generated by reflections s_α for α ranging over a certain root system Φ_λ of $\mathbb{R}\Phi/\mathbb{R}\Phi'$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\lambda \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ and $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{L}_\lambda \mid \lambda)$. Then $R(\lambda) \leq W(\tilde{\lambda})$.*

Proof. The group $G\tilde{L}_\lambda = (\mathbf{G}\tilde{L}_\lambda)^F$ has a split BN -pair obtained by intersection with the one of $\tilde{G}$ and standard Levi subgroups correspond. Then $(\tilde{L}_\lambda, \tilde{\lambda})$ is a cuspidal pair for reasons already seen in (e) of the proof of Proposition 2.10. This gives the meaning of $W(\tilde{\lambda})$ as a subgroup of $N_{\mathbf{G}\tilde{L}_\lambda}(\mathbf{L}\tilde{L}_\lambda)^F/\tilde{L}_\lambda = N/L$.

Now to prove our claim, it suffices to check that $s_\alpha \in W(\tilde{\lambda})$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi_\lambda$. Recall that for any $\alpha \in \Phi_\lambda$, one defines a Levi subgroup L_α of G as generated by L and the $\mathbf{X}_\beta^F$'s for $\beta \in \Phi$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\beta + \mathbb{R}\Phi'/\mathbb{R}\Phi'$ (see [Car93, p. 330]). By the definition of Φ_λ the character $R_L^{L_\alpha}(\lambda)$ has two constituents of different degrees (see [Car93, Sect. 10.6]). Now there exists an extension $\tilde{\lambda}$ of λ

to $\tilde{L}_\lambda$ since $\tilde{L}/L \cong \tilde{G}/G$ is cyclic. Again by the compatibility of Harish-Chandra induction with regular embeddings and intermediate inclusions, one has

$$\text{Res}_{L_\alpha}^{L_\alpha \tilde{L}_\lambda} \circ R_{\tilde{L}_\lambda}^{\tilde{L}_\lambda L_\alpha}(\tilde{\lambda}) = R_L^{L_\alpha}(\lambda).$$

Because of $L_\alpha \triangleleft \tilde{L}_\lambda L_\alpha$, $R_{\tilde{L}_\lambda}^{\tilde{L}_\lambda L_\alpha}(\lambda)$ must also have two constituents of different degrees by Clifford theory. This implies $s_\alpha \in W(\tilde{\lambda})$. $\square$

We now turn to the condition of Proposition 3.2 on the linear character $\delta_{\lambda, \sigma}$ of $N_{\sigma\lambda}$ introduced in [MS16, p. 887] and whose definition is recalled in the proof below.

Proposition 4.15. *We have $R(\sigma\lambda) \leq \ker(\delta_{\lambda, \sigma})$ for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ induced by an element of $\tilde{T}$.*

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 4.14, it suffices to check that $W(\tilde{\lambda}) \leq \ker(\delta_{\lambda, \sigma})$ for some $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{L} \mid \lambda)$. Let us recall the extension map Λ with respect to $L \triangleleft N$ for $\text{Irr}(L)$ from Proposition 4.13 so that $\delta_{\lambda, \sigma}$ is uniquely defined as the linear character of $N_{\sigma\lambda}$ satisfying

$$\delta_{\lambda, \sigma} \Lambda(\sigma\lambda) = \sigma(\Lambda(\lambda)). \quad (7)$$

By Proposition 4.9 we know that there exists some NE -stable $\tilde{L}$ -transversal $\mathbb{T}$ in $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ and we may assume $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Accordingly $(N\tilde{L})_\lambda = N_\lambda \tilde{L}_\lambda$ and $(N\tilde{L})_{\tilde{\lambda}} = N_{\tilde{\lambda}} \tilde{L}_\lambda$ where $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{L}_\lambda \mid \lambda)$ with $\tilde{\lambda}^{\tilde{L}} = \tilde{\lambda}$. Note $N_{\tilde{\lambda}} = N_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. According to [Spä10, 4.1(a)] there exists a unique extension ϕ of $\tilde{\lambda}$ to $N_{\tilde{\lambda}} \tilde{L}_\lambda$ with $\phi|_{N_{\tilde{\lambda}}} = \Lambda(\lambda)|_{N_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$. The character $\tilde{\phi} = \phi^{\tilde{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$ is an extension of $\tilde{\lambda}$.

Assume now that $\sigma\lambda \neq \lambda$. Then by Proposition 4.13 we have $\Lambda(\sigma\lambda) = \sigma\Lambda(\lambda)$ and therefore (7) implies $\delta_{\lambda, \sigma} = 1$ which gives our claim. So we consider the case where $\sigma\lambda = \lambda$. Then our claim is equivalent to the fact that $\Lambda(\lambda)$ and $\sigma\Lambda(\lambda)$ have same restriction to $N_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ thanks to Clifford theory (see [Isa06, 6.17]). Since σ stabilizes λ it also stabilizes $\tilde{\phi}$. We see that $\Lambda(\lambda)|_{N_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$ is the unique constituent of $\tilde{\phi}|_{N_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$ extending λ . The character $\Lambda(\lambda)|_{N_{\tilde{\lambda}}}$ has to be σ -stable and this gives our claim. $\square$

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 by an application of Proposition 3.2 in the case where $G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(q) \leq \tilde{G} = \text{CSp}_{2l}(q)$ with $l \geq 2$, q a power of an odd prime p (ensuring that G is the universal covering of the simple group $\text{PSp}_{2l}(q)$) and ℓ a prime ≥ 5 , dividing $q - 1$. Let B be an ℓ -block of G , which by what has been recalled before of [CE99, 4.1] contains the irreducible constituents of $R_L^G(\lambda)$ for L a Levi subgroup of G as described in Section 4 and some $\lambda \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L) \cap \mathcal{E}(L, \ell')$. Then E is the group generated by the automorphism of $\tilde{G}$ consisting in raising the matrix entries to the p -th power.

The existence of the NE -stable $\tilde{L}$ -transversal $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(L)$ is implied by Proposition 4.9. Then assumption (1) of Proposition 3.2 for $\mathbb{T}$ is ensured by Proposition 4.13. Now Proposition 4.15 gives assumption (2) of Proposition 3.2.

On the other hand, assumption (3) in Proposition 3.2 follows from [Tay18, 16.2] or [CS17b, 3.1] thanks to Remark 3.3. Finally, assumption (4) in Proposition 3.2 also holds for G since $\text{Out}(G) \cong C_2 \times E$ is abelian in this case.

6 Acknowledgements

Part of this work was completed while the authors were in residence at the MSRI in Berkeley, California during the Spring 2018 program on Group Representation Theory and Applications, supported by the NSF Grant DMS-1440140. Part was also completed at the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences during the Spring 2020 program Groups, Representations, and Applications: New Perspectives, supported by EPSRC grant EP/R014604/1. The authors thank both institutes and the organizers of the programs for making their stays possible and providing a collaborative and productive work environment.

The second-named author was also supported in part by grants from the Simons Foundation (Award #351233) and the NSF (Award # DMS-1801156). She would also like to thank the Graduate School at Universität Wuppertal for its hospitality during her visits in August 2018 and April 2019 in the framework of the research training group *GRK 2240: Algebro-Geometric Methods in Algebra, Arithmetic and Topology*, which is funded by the DFG.

We thank Gunter Malle and the referee for numerous remarks on the manuscript.

References

- [BDR17] Cédric Bonnafé, Jean-François Dat, and Raphaël Rouquier. Derived categories and Deligne-Lusztig varieties II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 185(2):609–670, 2017.
- [Bon99] Cédric Bonnafé. On a theorem of Shintani. *J. Algebra*, 218(1):229–245, 1999.
- [BS19] Julian Brough and Britta Späth. On the Alperin-McKay conjecture for simple groups of type A. *J. Algebra*, 558:221–259, 2020. .
- [Car93] Roger W. Carter. *Finite groups of Lie type, Conjugacy classes and complex characters*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1993. Reprint of the 1985 original.
- [CE94] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard. On unipotent blocks and their ordinary characters. *Invent. Math.*, 117(1):149–164, 1994.
- [CE99] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard. On blocks of finite reductive groups and twisted induction. *Adv. Math.*, 145(2):189–229, 1999.
- [CE04] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard. *Representation theory of finite reductive groups*. Volume 1 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [CS15] Marc Cabanes and Britta Späth. On the inductive Alperin-McKay condition for simple groups of type A. *J. Algebra*, 442:104–123, 2015.
- [CS17a] Marc Cabanes and Britta Späth. Equivariant character correspondences and inductive McKay condition for type A. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 728:153–194, 2017.
- [CS17b] Marc Cabanes and Britta Späth. Inductive McKay condition for finite simple groups of type C. *Represent. Theory*, 21:61–81, 2017.
- [Den14] David Denoncin. Inductive AM condition for the alternating groups in characteristic 2. *J. Algebra*, 404:1–17, 2014.

- [DM91] François Digne and Jean Michel. *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*. Volume 21 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [Gec93] Meinolf Geck. A note on Harish-Chandra induction. *Manuscripta Math.*, 80(4):393–401, 1993.
- [GLS98] Daniel Gorenstein, Richard Lyons, and Ronald Solomon. *The classification of the finite simple groups. Number 3. Part I. Chapter A, Almost simple K-groups*. Volume 40 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [IMN07] I. Martin Isaacs, Gunter Malle, and Gabriel Navarro. A reduction theorem for the McKay conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 170(1):33–101, 2007.
- [Isa06] I. Martin Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.
- [KM15] Radha Kessar and Gunter Malle. Lusztig induction and ℓ -blocks of finite reductive groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 279(1-2):269–298, 2015.
- [Lus84] George Lusztig. *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*. *Ann. of Math. Stud.* Princeton Univ. Press, 1984.
- [Lus88] George Lusztig. On the representations of reductive groups with disconnected centre. *Astérisque*, (168):10, 157–166, 1988.
- [Mal07] Gunter Malle. Height 0 characters of finite groups of Lie type. *Represent. Theory*, 11:192–220 (electronic), 2007.
- [Mal14] Gunter Malle. On the inductive Alperin-McKay and Alperin weight conjecture for groups with abelian Sylow subgroups. *J. Algebra*, 397:190–208, 2014.
- [MS16] Gunter Malle and Britta Späth. Characters of odd degree. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 184(3):869–908, 2016.
- [Nav98] Gabriel Navarro. *Characters and blocks of finite groups*. Volume 250 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Nav18] Gabriel Navarro. *Character theory and the McKay conjecture*. Volume 175 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [SF14] Amanda A. Schaeffer Fry. $\mathrm{Sp}_6(2^a)$ is ‘good’ for the McKay, Alperin weight, and related local-global conjectures. *J. Algebra*, 401:13–47, 2014.
- [Spä10] Britta Späth. Sylow d -tori of classical groups and the McKay conjecture, II. *J. Algebra*, 323:2494–2509, 2010.
- [Spä13] Britta Späth. A reduction theorem for the Alperin–McKay conjecture. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 680:153–189, 2013.
- [Spr09] Tonny A. Springer. *Linear algebraic groups*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2009.

- [Tay18] Jay Taylor. Action of automorphisms on irreducible characters of symplectic groups. *J. Algebra*, 505:211–246, 2018.