

1 **The legacy of stress: Coral bleaching impacts reproduction years later**

2
3 Erika C Johnston^{1,2}, Chelsie WW Counsell¹, Tayler L Sale³, Scott C Burgess², Robert J Toonen¹

4
5 ¹ Hawai‘i Institute of Marine Biology, School of Ocean and Earth Science Technology,
6 University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, Kāne‘ohe, Hawai‘i 96744

7 ² Department of Biological Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306

8 ³ Department of Biology, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96822

9
10 **Corresponding author:** Erika C Johnston, ejohnston@bio.fsu.edu

11
12 **Abstract**

- 13 1. The extent to which populations persist under environmental stress depends on the
14 reproductive output of individuals that survive the stress. In coral systems, corals bleach in
15 response to stress from elevated water temperature. However, little is known of the extent
16 to which thermal stress impairs the reproductive capacity of the survivors over the
17 following years, limiting the capacity to predict how populations will persist in the
18 Anthropocene.
- 19 2. Using histology to quantify the abundance and size of oocytes and spermaries per polyp,
20 we tested how bleaching impairs the reproductive response of the coral *Pocillopora*
21 *meandrina* over two reproductive seasons following the 2015 mass bleaching event in the
22 Hawaiian Islands.

- 23 3. We found that smaller colonies not only had a greater probability of bleaching but also
24 suffered greater reproductive impacts over a longer time. In contrast, larger colonies
25 generated comparable reproductive output regardless of bleaching severity, although
26 bleached colonies generated smaller oocytes the year after bleaching.
- 27 4. These results show that reproductive impacts of bleaching are more complex and size-
28 specific than commonly assumed. Therefore, estimates of bleaching mortality may
29 underestimate the true impact of thermal stress on populations, especially as populations
30 lose larger individuals from repeated and co-occurring stressors.

31

32 **Keywords:** Coral reproduction, histology, *Pocillopora meandrina*, stress response, symbiotic
33 disruption, scleractinian, temporal effects

34

35 **Introduction**

36 When a change in environmental conditions increases organismal stress and reduces
37 reproductive output, it can have a significant impact on the persistence of populations and the rate
38 of evolutionary change (Hoffmann & Hercus, 2000). Increases in global temperature are expected
39 to increase organismal stress (Parmesan, 2006). In coral reef systems, increases in global
40 temperatures are increasing the frequency, severity, and spatial scale of coral bleaching - the
41 disruption of a symbiotic relationship that allows stony corals to thrive in oligotrophic waters
42 (Lough, Anderson, & Hughes, 2018). Many investigations into the effect of bleaching stress on
43 coral populations have focused on mortality (Guest et al., 2016; Loya et al., 2001; Pratchett,
44 Trajon, Berumen, & Chong-Seng, 2010), but the replenishment of colonies that die from bleaching
45 is fundamentally reliant on the reproductive output of those that survive. The cryptic effects of

46 bleaching stress on the subsequent fecundity of surviving colonies are rarely studied, especially
47 over multiple years, and remain unclear (Armoza-Zvuloni, Segal, Kramarsky-Winter, & Loya,
48 2011; Baird & Marshall, 2002; Hagedorn et al., 2016; Levitan, Boudreau, Jara, & Knowlton, 2014;
49 Mendes & Woodley, 2002). If prior bleaching reduces the fecundity of survivors, then estimates
50 of bleaching mortality may underestimate the true impact of bleaching on populations.

51 Prior bleaching could reduce the fecundity of survivors by diverting energy away from
52 reproduction and towards colony recovery (Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001a). In doing so,
53 bleached colonies may contribute fewer, smaller, or lower quality gametes as a result of severe
54 stress. In marine invertebrates, larger offspring size tends to correlate with higher fertilization
55 success, faster time to development, and higher offspring survivorship (Levitan, 2006; Moran &
56 Emler, 2001). Oocytes are energetically more costly to make than sperm (Hayward & Gillooly,
57 2011). For coral colonies that are stressed, reductions in oocyte size have been observed (Cox,
58 2007; Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001a; Piñón-González & Banaszak, 2018), but the effect of
59 bleaching stress on the production and size of the less energetically costly reproductive material,
60 spermatocytes, has not yet been investigated in corals (but see Hagedorn et al. 2016 for sperm motility
61 reduction following bleaching).

62 Despite the colonial nature of corals, colony size has the potential to impact both bleaching
63 susceptibility and severity, as well as the generation of reproductive material post-bleaching. Large
64 colonies of branching species have more interstices and branches than smaller colonies, which
65 create more complex flow dynamics (Hearn, Atkinson, & Falter, 2001), and better allow colonies
66 to regulate bleaching susceptibility and post-bleaching survival (Nakamura & van Woesik, 2001).
67 Extended water residence times within larger branching colonies increase feeding capabilities and
68 gas exchange (Chang, Iaccarino, Ham, Elkins, & Monismith, 2014), which in turn increase colony

69 wide energetic reserves (Hughes & Jackson, 1985), resulting in higher fecundity per unit mass
70 (Álvarez-Noriega et al., 2016; Babcock, 1991). Energy available to colonies following stress is
71 anticipated to be limited (Oren, Benayahu, Lubinevsky, & Loya, 2001); therefore, tradeoffs
72 between recovery and reproduction are expected to depend on colony size.

73 Here, we determined the effect of bleaching stress *in situ* on the subsequent reproductive
74 output of the branching coral *Pocillopora meandrina*, one of the dominant coral species found
75 across Hawai'i (Franklin, Jokiel, & Donahue, 2013) and throughout the Indo-Pacific (Gélin,
76 Postaire, Fauvelot, & Magalon, 2017). In 2014 and 2015, Hawaiian reefs experienced their worst
77 bleaching in recorded history, with coral mortality ranging from ~10-50% following the 2015
78 bleaching event (Bahr, Rodgers, & Jokiel, 2017; Couch et al., 2017; Rodgers, Bahr, Jokiel, &
79 Richards Donà, 2017). The colonies that bleached and survived this mass bleaching event provide
80 a natural experiment to begin to understand how coral bleaching affects the reproduction of
81 survivors post bleaching. We followed 40 individually marked colonies and quantified polyp-scale
82 reproductive output over two post-bleaching reproductive seasons. Marked corals were
83 differentially affected by the bleaching event of 2015 and varied in size across the natural range of
84 size variation. We expected: 1) that reproductive output would decline with increasing bleaching
85 severity, 2) that oocyte production would be more impacted than spermary production because
86 oocytes are more energy intensive, 3) that larger colonies would generate more reproductive
87 material per polyp post-bleaching than smaller colonies, and 4) that reproductive output among
88 severely bleached colonies during the first reproductive season following bleaching would be
89 lower than in the second reproductive season.

90

91 **Materials and methods**

92 *Species identification and site information*

93 Sixty-one randomly selected coral colonies exhibiting *P. meandrina* morphology, and which
94 encompassed a range of colony sizes and bleaching severities, were tagged at the onset of
95 bleaching (August 2015) at four sites around O‘ahu, Hawai‘i. Fifty-three were confirmed as *P.*
96 *meandrina* using a restriction fragment length polymorphism gel assay (Johnston, Forsman, &
97 Toonen, 2018). Forty-four of the genetically confirmed *P. meandrina* colonies survived over the
98 course of the two-year study. Forty of these colonies had two years of histological data and were
99 used in analyses (Kewalo Deep, n = 11; Kewalo Shallow, n = 5; Lanikai, n = 10; and Kāne‘ohe
100 Bay, n = 14; Fig. 1a). Focal sites were chosen for their year-round accessibility and the depth of
101 focal colonies (range: 1 – 9 m). HOBO pendant temperature data loggers (Onset Computer
102 Corporation, MA) were deployed at each site from August 7, 2015 to August 7, 2017 following
103 the protocol of Bahr et al. (2016).

104

105 *Reproductive biology and sampling for histology*

106 Colonies of *P. meandrina* in Hawai‘i have been observed to broadcast spawn 1 – 3 days
107 following the full moon in April and May approximately one hour after sunrise (Kolinski & Cox,
108 2003). Like other *Pocillopora*, *P. meandrina* is a simultaneous hermaphrodite and each fertile
109 polyp is expected to contain 6 pairs of male and female gonads (Massé et al. 2013; Fig. 2). Branch
110 fragments approximately 3 cm in length were collected for histology from the colony center five
111 days before the full moon in both April and May of 2016 and 2017 (HIMB Special Activity Permit
112 SAP-2018-3). Due to the prevalence of immature reproductive material observed in April of both
113 sampling years, only data from May were analyzed.

114

115 *Bleaching severity and colony size*

116 Focal colonies were photographed monthly from August 2015 – August 2017. Widespread
117 bleaching occurred from August to December of 2015. Bleaching severity for each colony was
118 estimated *in situ* and confirmed through white-balanced photographs. Following Counsell et al.
119 (2019), each colony was classified into one of four bleaching categories representative of the most
120 severe bleaching that the colony experienced at the height of bleaching (Fig. 3b): 0 = no visible
121 bleaching, 1 = noticeable paling, 2 = severe paling, and 3 = stark white bleaching of the entire
122 colony. The percentage of live tissue for each colony at the time of sampling in 2016 and 2017
123 was also estimated *in situ* and confirmed with these photographs. The length (L) and perpendicular
124 width (W) of each colony was measured *in situ* to the nearest cm in 2016. Annual colony size was
125 calculated as the elliptical area, based on the colony's length and width in 2016, multiplied by the
126 annual estimate of the percentage of live tissue to account for partial mortality that occurred
127 between 2016 and 2017 as:

128

129
$$Colony\ size_{year} = \pi \left(\frac{L}{2} \times \frac{W}{2} \right) \times percent\ live\ tissue_{year}$$

130 *Histology*

131 Samples were fixed in a solution of 4% Zinc formalin (Z-Fix; Anatech Ltd, MI) and filtered
132 seawater for two days, rinsed with diH₂O and preserved in 70% ethanol, and sent for histological
133 processing to Histo Techniques LTD, OH. Samples were decalcified with Formical 4 (formic acid
134 and formalin mix; StatLab, TX) and the tissue closest to the wounded area was prepared for wax
135 histology to avoid non-reproductive areas near the branch tips. Transverse sections (~6 µm thick)
136 were mounted and stained using Gil's haemotoxylin and eosin. Using one transverse tissue section
137 per colony per time point, the number, gametogenic stage, and size of the reproductive material

138 present was recorded for all complete polyps (i.e., entire polyp wall evident). If no reproductive
139 material was present in a polyp, this was also recorded. For each colony that contained
140 reproductive material, the maximum diameter of the roundest stage IV oocyte (i.e., presence of
141 defined nucleus) and stage IV spermary present were measured in every polyp (approximately 20-
142 30 polyps per colony).

143

144 *Statistical analyses*

145 All statistical analyses were performed in R v.3.6.2 (R Core Team 2019). To determine the
146 probability of bleaching as a function of colony size, we used binomial generalized linear models
147 (base R, function ‘glm’). We modelled the probability of no bleaching versus any bleaching (i.e.,
148 colonies that paled, paled severely, or bleached stark white) based on colony size. We also
149 modelled the probability of stark white bleaching versus less severe and no bleaching based on
150 colony size. For these analyses, colony size was that measured in 2016, the year following the
151 mass bleaching event.

152 To determine how the reproductive output of oocytes and spermaries per polyp per colony
153 was impacted by bleaching severity in 2015, we used hurdle Poisson mixed
154 effects models (*glmmTMB* package; Brooks et al. 2017). We used hurdle models to account for
155 zero-inflation in the response variables. This two-part approach allowed us to use the same fixed
156 effects to first model the probability that a zero was observed using a binomial model, and then to
157 model abundance, using only the non-zero data, with a zero-truncated Poisson distribution. Data
158 were analyzed separately by reproductive year (2016 and 2017) because the effect of colony size
159 and bleaching severity was expected to differ by year. Fixed effects were bleaching severity from
160 the 2015 event, colony size, and the interaction between bleaching severity and colony size. To

161 improve model convergence, colony size x_i was mean centered and scaled as $(x_i - \bar{x})/\sigma$, where
162 \bar{x} is the mean and σ is the standard deviation of colony size in the population sampled. Therefore,
163 the effects of colony size are reported in units of standard deviation from the mean size. Coral
164 colony identity (coral ID) was included as a random effect to account for repeated measurements
165 within colonies, i.e., from multiple polyps. Including coral colonies as either a random effect or
166 nesting colonies within sites gave essentially the same output from these models. However, given
167 that we were not interested in the site component of variation, we included each unique coral
168 colony as a random effect here to both capture variation among sites and variation among coral
169 colonies within sites. Colony sizes overlapped at each site. Separate models were run with the
170 number of oocytes per polyp and the number of spermaries per polyp as response variables.

171 To determine if oocyte diameter, an estimate of the quality of reproductive output, and
172 spermary diameter differed as a result of bleaching severity, we modeled oocyte and spermary
173 diameter per polyp with separate GLMMs with gaussian distributions (*glmmTMB* package; Brooks
174 et al. 2017). Data were analyzed separately by reproductive year (2016 and 2017). Fixed effects
175 were bleaching severity and colony size. Coral colony identity was included as a random effect to
176 account for repeated measurements.

177

178 **Results**

179 *Bleaching patterns and colony size across space and time*

180 The 40 focal *P. meandrina* colonies sampled from four sites around O‘ahu, Hawai‘i (Fig.
181 1a), experienced bleaching stress ranging from no bleaching (colony retained normal
182 pigmentation) to complete bleaching (colony was stark white) from August to December of 2015
183 (Fig. 3b). By January 2016, all colonies had visually regained their color and, in 2016 and 2017,

184 no additional bleaching was observed in any of the focal colonies. At all sites maximum
185 temperatures were higher in 2015 than in 2016 and 2017 (Fig. 1b). Among the four study sites,
186 Lanikai experienced the greatest range in temperature (23.0 – 30.0°C) over the two-year period
187 and Kewalo Deep experienced the smallest range (24.2 – 29.4°C; Fig. 1c). Colony size ranged from
188 198.0 to 888.3 cm² (546.0 ± 182.1 cm², mean ± SD) in 2016. Mean percent live coral tissue
189 decreased by 6.3 ± 3.2% (mean ± SD) from 2016 (92.6 ± 15.5%, mean ± SD) to 2017 (86.3 ±
190 18.7%, mean ± SD).

191

192 *Bleaching severity and colony size*

193 The probability of a colony avoiding bleaching did not vary with colony size (slope = -
194 0.002, -0.005 to 0.0004, 95% CI; Fig. 3c). However, the probability of a colony bleaching stark
195 white (versus severe paling, paling, or no bleaching) decreased significantly with increasing
196 colony size (slope = -0.004, -0.007 to -0.001, 95% CI; Fig. 3d).

197

198 *Bleaching severity and reproductive output across colony size*

199 *Probability of oocytes*

200 In the first post-bleaching reproductive season (2016), larger colony sizes were associated
201 with increased odds of oocyte presence, increasing the odds by a factor of 9.95 (1.25 to 79.04,
202 95%CI) in colonies that paled severely and 6.97 (1.09 to 44.70, 95%CI) in colonies that bleached
203 stark white per standard deviation increase in colony size (Fig. 4a). In the second reproductive
204 season (2017), the probability of mature oocyte presence per polyp was most reduced in colonies
205 that had paled (Fig. 4a; Table 1).

206

207 *Oocyte abundance*

208 In the first post-bleaching reproductive season, increasing colony size increased mature
209 oocyte abundance per polyp by a factor of 1.59 (0.88 to 2.86, 95%CI) in colonies that had bleached
210 stark white per standard deviation increase in colony size (Fig. 4b; bold values Table 1). In the
211 second post-bleaching reproductive season, mature oocyte abundance per polyp was reduced in
212 colonies that had bleached stark white in comparison to colonies that had not bleached, paled, or
213 paled severely in 2015 (Fig. 4b; bold values Table 1). In both years, mature oocyte abundance
214 tended to be greatest in average sized colonies that did not bleach (Fig. 4b).

215

216 *Probability of spermaries*

217 The probability of mature spermary presence per polyp was most reduced in colonies that
218 had paled severely, regardless of colony size, in the first post-bleaching reproductive season (Fig.
219 4d; bold values Table 1). In stark white colonies, larger colony sizes were associated with increased
220 odds of mature spermary presence, increasing the odds by a factor of 12.86 (2.59 to 64.07, 95%CI)
221 per standard deviation increase in colony size in 2016 (Fig. 4d). In 2017, the probability of mature
222 spermary presence per polyp was most reduced in colonies that paled (Fig. 4d; bold values Table
223 1).

224

225 *Spermary abundance*

226 In colonies that produced spermaries in 2016, larger colony sizes were associated with
227 increased spermary abundance per polyp, increasing the odds by a factor of 1.31 (1 to 1.73, 95%CI)
228 per standard deviation increase in colony size in colonies that had bleached stark white (Fig. 4e).
229 In contrast, in 2017, spermary abundance per polyp declined by a factor of 0.83 (0.76 to 0.90,

230 95%CI) per standard deviation increase in colony size in colonies that had bleached stark white
231 (Fig. 4e).

232

233 *Oocyte and spermary diameters*

234 In the reproductive season directly following the bleaching event, oocyte diameter was
235 reduced by 18.70 μm (-31.80 to -4.34, 95%CI) in colonies that had bleached stark white (Fig. 4c).
236 There was no effect of bleaching severity or colony size on oocyte diameter in 2017 (Table 1).
237 There was no effect of bleaching severity or colony size on spermary diameter in 2016 or 2017
238 (Fig. 4f; Table 1).

239

240 **Discussion**

241 Following disturbance events, the replenishment of populations depends on an organism's
242 ability to mitigate stress in order to reproduce (Hoffmann & Hercus, 2000). For severe stress,
243 allocation of resources may be diverted into organismal recovery rather than reproductive effort
244 (Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001a), prolonging population recovery. Here, we show that the
245 impacts of severe bleaching stress on a common branching coral depend on colony size. We found
246 that not only were smaller colonies of *P. meandrina* more likely to bleach severely during the 2015
247 bleaching event (Fig. 3b), they were also more likely to have reduced fitness post-bleaching (Fig.
248 4). Smaller colonies that bleached severely had a reduced probability of producing reproductive
249 material and, when generated, a reduced abundance of reproductive material following the
250 bleaching event (Fig. 4). In contrast, larger colonies that bleached severely had oocyte abundance
251 comparable to colonies that did not bleach in the first reproductive season post-bleaching (Fig.
252 4b). In the second reproductive season, more than a year after colonies had visually recovered from

253 bleaching, colonies that had previously severely bleached showed a lasting impact of bleaching on
254 oocyte abundance. Specifically, colonies that did not exhibit stark white bleaching in 2015 showed
255 an increase in oocyte abundance during the second reproductive season (Fig. 4b). We also found
256 that oocyte size was reduced in the first post-bleaching reproductive season for colonies that had
257 severely bleached (Fig. 4c), implying an energetic constraint on simultaneous stress recovery and
258 development of reproductive material.

259 Thermal bleaching directly impacts populations of coral by first removing individual
260 colonies from the population due to mortality. Then, for colonies that survive, bleaching can have
261 lasting impacts on individual health, reducing colony fitness by decreasing energy reserves and
262 reproductive output (Grottoli et al., 2014; Schoepf et al., 2015). Coral species differ in the rate at
263 which energy reserves are replenished following bleaching, with some Hawaiian species
264 recovering fully within 1.5 months, whereas others required 8 months or more to replenish reserves
265 (Rodrigues & Grottoli, 2007). Here, we found that in the first post-bleaching reproductive season,
266 oocyte production occurred in 78% of *P. meandrina* colonies that paled severely, and 55% of the
267 colonies that bleached stark white (Supplementary Fig. 1). By the second post-bleaching
268 reproductive season, 100% of colonies that had paled severely and 55% of colonies that had
269 bleached stark white (a different subset of colonies than in 2016) produced oocytes.

270 Colony size impacts thermal susceptibility (Nakamura & van Woesik, 2001), and increases
271 in colony size can increase polyp-level fecundity (Babcock 1991; but see Padilla-Gamiño and
272 Gates 2012). However, the size-specific effects of bleaching on subsequent reproductive output
273 are not well studied (Howells et al., 2016). We found that larger colonies are less likely to bleach
274 severely (Fig. 3d) and less likely to have reduced fitness post-bleaching than smaller colonies (Fig.
275 4). Following histological sampling in 2017, we also found that larger colonies healed wounds an

276 average of 14 days faster than smaller colonies (Counsell et al., 2019). These wounds healed so
277 thoroughly that within 40-50 days after wounding the wound site was indistinguishable from the
278 rest of the colony and no shift in live coral tissue was documented during the sampling/wound
279 healing process. Because larger colonies have greater energetic reserves than smaller colonies
280 (Oren et al., 2001), we hypothesize that smaller colonies could be prioritizing growth and recovery,
281 following bleaching stress, over reproduction. Larger colonies appear better able to mitigate the
282 effects of thermal stress on reproduction, but we still observed a prolonged impact of severe
283 bleaching on oocyte production for larger colonies during the second post-bleaching reproductive
284 season (Fig. 4b). The interaction we found between colony size and bleaching severity indicates
285 that the extrapolation of colony-level reproductive output, without considering the greater effect
286 of bleaching stress on the subsequent fitness of smaller colonies, will not provide accurate
287 estimates of population-level reproductive potential following bleaching events.

288 For colonies that experienced a recent severe stress, such as coral bleaching or partial
289 mortality, a decrease in oocyte size is often a consequence of their decreased maternal condition
290 (Mendes & Woodley, 2002; Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001a; Paxton, Baria, Weis, & Harii,
291 2015). In the first reproductive season following the bleaching event of 2015, we found that oocyte
292 diameter was reduced by approximately 15% in *P. meandrina* colonies that had bleached stark
293 white, but by the second reproductive season this impact was no longer evident (Fig. 4c). Smaller
294 oocytes have fewer maternally provisioned energy rich lipids (Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001b).
295 Reduced oocyte size contributes to decreased fertilization success and postzygotic survivorship in
296 some marine invertebrates (D. R. Levitan, 1996), but the impact of reduced oocyte size on
297 fertilization success and later life stages in corals is not yet well understood. To the best of our
298 knowledge, only three studies have investigated the effect of oocyte size on fertilization success

299 in corals. Following severe bleaching, a 25% reduction in oocyte size completely halted
300 fertilization in *Lobophytum compactum* (Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001a), while a 51%
301 reduction in oocyte size reduced fertilization success by 43% in *Lobactis scutaria* (Hagedorn et
302 al., 2016). Alternatively, a 20% reduction in oocyte size following partial colony mortality
303 increased fertilization success in *Acropora palmata* (Piñón-González & Banaszak, 2018). In these
304 three coral species, photosynthetic symbionts are not vertically transmitted to oocytes, which
305 instead must be acquired from the environment. Photosynthetic symbionts are vertically
306 transmitted to the oocytes of *P. meandrina* (Fig. 2c). Whether a reduction in oocyte size impacts
307 fertilization success in *P. meandrina*, and whether the vertical transmission of photosynthetic
308 symbionts to *P. meandrina* oocytes has the potential to alleviate postzygotic differences in gamete
309 size caused by bleaching stress, remain unknown.

310 Oocyte resorption in corals has been documented as a response to stress, translocating the
311 energy of unspawned oocytes back into colony tissue to be used for colony repair and growth
312 (Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001a; Okubo, Motokawa, & Omori, 2007; St.Gelais, Chaves-
313 Fonnegra, Moulding, Kosmynin, & Gilliam, 2016). This process has been described as the
314 resorption of atrophied or decomposing oocytes in *Stylophora pistillata* (Rinkevich & Loya, 1979),
315 the hollowing and collapse of oocytes in *Acropora formosa* (Okubo et al., 2007), and the cracking
316 and decomposition of oocytes in *Siderastrea siderea* (St.Gelais et al., 2016). Over the course of
317 our study, we did not observe any abnormally shaped or decomposing oocytes or spermaries that
318 would be indicative of resorption. We also did not find evidence for the resorption of reproductive
319 material as a result of histological sampling, i.e., the predominance of immature reproductive
320 material in April that did not mature in May (Supplementary Fig. 2).

321 Our study confirms that severe bleaching has a greater impact on oocyte development than
322 on spermary development in a common and widespread branching coral, *P. meandrina*, with
323 impacts lasting longer than one reproductive season. The only impact of severe bleaching on
324 spermary output was observed in the first reproductive season in small colonies as a reduction in
325 both the probability of generating spermaries and a reduction in their abundance. In both post-
326 bleaching reproductive seasons, the production of oocytes was either halted or significantly
327 reduced for small colonies that had experienced severe bleaching stress. The continued ability of
328 larger colonies to produce reproductive material following severe bleaching stress may explain
329 why *Pocillopora* spp. are often resilient following disturbances. However, the lasting impact of
330 severe bleaching on oocyte production observed in the second reproductive season indicates that
331 the long-term fitness effects of consecutive bleaching events are likely not independent (Levitan
332 et al., 2014; Michalek-Wagner & Willis, 2001a). The contemporary disappearance of *Pocillopora*
333 spp. has been documented from thermally extreme regions such as the Persian/Arabian Gulf (Riegl
334 et al., 2017) and the heavily urbanized coastlines of Singapore (Poquita-Du et al., 2019). Given
335 that the frequency and severity of bleaching events are expected to increase in the future (Hughes
336 et al., 2018), understanding how demographic change in colony sizes, coupled with the temporal
337 impacts of severe bleaching on reproduction, recruitment, and population persistence, effects the
338 ability of reefs to reassemble following disturbances will be paramount.

339

340 **Acknowledgments:** We thank J. Jones for supporting this project with boat and dive safety
341 guidance. ECJ and CWWC were supported by National Science Foundation Graduate Research
342 Fellowships (Grant No. 2015184863 and 2012103208, respectively). ECJ and SCB were also
343 supported by a National Science Foundation grant (Burgess; OCE 18-298867).

344 **Authors' contributions:**

345 ECJ, CWWC, TLS, and RJT conceived the ideas and designed methodology; ECJ, CWWC, and
346 TLS collected the data; ECJ, CWWC, and SCB analysed the data; ECJ, CWWC and SCB led the
347 writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval
348 for publication.

349

350

351 **References:**

352 Álvarez-Noriega, M., Baird, A. H., Dornelas, M., Madin, J. S., Cumbo, V. R., & Connolly, S. R.
353 (2016). Fecundity and the demographic strategies of coral morphologies. *Ecology*, *97*(12),
354 3485–3493. doi: 10.1002/ecy.1588

355 Armoza-Zvuloni, R., Segal, R., Kramarsky-Winter, E., & Loya, Y. (2011). Repeated bleaching
356 events may result in high tolerance and notable gametogenesis in stony corals: *Oculina*
357 *patagonica* as a model. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *426*, 149–159. doi:
358 10.3354/meps09018

359 Babcock, R. C. (1991). Comparative demography of three species of scleractinian corals using
360 age- and size-dependent classifications. *Ecological Monographs*, *61*(3), 225–244. doi:
361 10.2307/2937107

362 Bahr, K. D., Jokiell, P. L., & Rodgers, K. S. (2016). Influence of solar irradiance on underwater
363 temperature recorded by temperature loggers on coral reefs. *Limnology and Oceanography:*
364 *Methods*, *14*(5), 338–342. doi: 10.1002/lom3.10093

365 Bahr, K. D., Rodgers, K. S., & Jokiell, P. L. (2017). Impact of three bleaching events on the reef
366 resiliency of Kāne‘ohe Bay, Hawai‘i. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *4*(398). doi:

367 10.3389/fmars.2017.00398

368 Baird, A., & Marshall, P. (2002). Mortality, growth and reproduction in scleractinian corals
369 following bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 237, 133–
370 141. doi: 10.3354/meps237133

371 Brooks, M. E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K. J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A., ...
372 Bolker, B. M. (2017). Modeling zero-inflated count data with glmmTMB. *BioRxiv*, 9. doi:
373 10.1101/132753

374 Chang, S., Iaccarino, G., Ham, F., Elkins, C., & Monismith, S. (2014). Local shear and mass
375 transfer on individual coral colonies: Computations in unidirectional and wave-driven
376 flows. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119(4), 2599–2619. doi:
377 10.1016/j.trpro.2014.10.061

378 Couch, C. S., Burns, J. H. R., Liu, G., Steward, K., Gutlay, N., Kenyon, J., ... Kosaki, R. K.
379 (2017). Mass coral bleaching due to unprecedented marine heatwave in
380 Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (Northwestern Hawaiian Islands). *PLoS*
381 *One*, 12(9). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185121

382 Counsell, C. W. W., Johnston, E. C., & Sale, T. L. (2019). Colony size and depth affect wound
383 repair in a branching coral. *Marine Biology*, 166(148). doi: 10.1007/s00227-019-3601-6

384 Cox, E. F. (2007). Continuation of sexual reproduction in *Montipora capitata* following
385 bleaching. *Coral Reefs*, 26(3), 721–724. doi: 10.1007/s00338-007-0251-9

386 Franklin, E. C., Jokiel, P. L., & Donahue, M. J. (2013). Predictive modeling of coral distribution
387 and abundance in the Hawaiian Islands. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 481, 121–132.
388 doi: 10.3354/meps10252

389 Gélín, P., Postaire, B., Fauvelot, C., & Magalon, H. (2017). Reevaluating species number,

390 distribution and endemism of the coral genus *Pocillopora* Lamarck, 1816 using species
391 delimitation methods and microsatellites. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *109*,
392 430–446. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2017.01.018

393 Grottoli, A. G., Warner, M. E., Levas, S. J., Aschaffenburg, M. D., Schoepf, V., Mcginley, M.,
394 ... Matsui, Y. (2014). The cumulative impact of annual coral bleaching can turn some coral
395 species winners into losers. *Global Change Biology*, *20*, 3823–3833. doi:
396 10.1111/gcb.12658

397 Guest, J. R., Low, J., Tun, K., Wilson, B., Ng, C., Raingeard, D., ... Steinberg, P. D. (2016).
398 Coral community response to bleaching on a highly disturbed reef. *Scientific Reports*,
399 *6*(20717). doi: 10.1038/srep20717

400 Hagedorn, M., Carter, V. L., Lager, C., Camperio Ciani, J. F., Dygert, A. N., Schleiger, R. D., &
401 Henley, E. M. (2016). Potential bleaching effects on coral reproduction. *Reproduction*,
402 *Fertility and Development*, *28*, 1061–1071. doi: 10.1071/rd15526

403 Hayward, A., & Gillooly, J. F. (2011). The cost of sex: Quantifying energetic investment in
404 gamete production by males and females. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(1): e16557. doi:
405 10.1371/journal.pone.0016557

406 Hearn, C., Atkinson, M., & Falter, J. (2001). A physical derivation of nutrient-uptake rates in
407 coral reefs: Effects of roughness and waves. *Coral Reefs*, *20*(4), 347–356. doi:
408 10.1007/s00338-001-0185-6

409 Hoffmann, A. A., & Hercus, M. J. (2000). Environmental stress as an evolutionary force.
410 *BioScience*, *50*(3), 217–226. doi: 10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0217:esaaef]2.3.co;2

411 Howells, E. J., Ketchum, R. N., Bauman, A. G., Mustafa, Y., Watkins, K. D., & Burt, J. A.
412 (2016). Species-specific trends in the reproductive output of corals across environmental

413 gradients and bleaching histories. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 105(2), 532–539. doi:
414 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.034

415 Hughes, T. P., Anderson, K. D., Connolly, S. R., Heron, S. F., Kerry, J. T., Lough, J. M., ...
416 Wilson, S. K. (2018). Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the
417 Anthropocene. *Science*, 359, 80–83. doi: 10.1126/science.aan8048

418 Hughes, T. P., & Jackson, J. B. C. (1985). Population dynamics and life histories of foliaceous
419 corals. *Ecological Monographs*, 55(2), 141–166.

420 Johnston, E. C., Forsman, Z. H., & Toonen, R. J. (2018). A simple molecular technique for
421 distinguishing species reveals frequent misidentification of Hawaiian corals in the genus
422 *Pocillopora*. *PeerJ*, 6(e4355). doi: 10.7717/peerj.4355

423 Johnston, E. C., Counsell, C. W. W., Sale, T. L., Burgess, S. C., Toonen, R. J. (2020) Data from:
424 The legacy of stress: Coral bleaching impacts reproduction years later. Dryad Digital
425 Repository. <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.c866t1g4q>

426 Kolinski, S. P., & Cox, E. F. (2003). An update on modes and timing of gamete and planula
427 release in Hawaiian scleractinian corals with implications for conservation and
428 management. *Pacific Science*, 57(1), 17–27. doi: 10.1353/psc.2003.0005

429 Levitan, D. R. (1996). Effects of gamete traits on fertilization in the sea and the evolution of
430 sexual dimorphism. *Nature*, 382(6587), 153–155. doi: 10.1038/382153a0

431 Levitan, D. R. (2006). The relationship between egg size and fertilization success in broadcast-
432 spawning marine invertebrates. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 46(3), 298–311. doi:
433 10.1093/icb/icj025

434 Levitan, D. R., Boudreau, W., Jara, J., & Knowlton, N. (2014). Long-term reduced spawning in
435 *Orbicella* coral species due to temperature stress. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 515, 1–

436 10. doi: 10.3354/meps11063

437 Lough, J. M., Anderson, K. D., & Hughes, T. P. (2018). Increasing thermal stress for tropical
438 coral reefs: 1871-2017. *Scientific Reports*, 8(6079). doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24530-9

439 Loya, Y., Sakai, K., Yamazato, K., Nakano, Y., Sambali, H., & van Woesik, R. (2001). Coral
440 bleaching: the winners and the losers. *Ecology Letters*, 4, 122–131. doi: 10.1046/j.1461-
441 0248.2001.00203.x

442 Massé, L. M., Séré, M. G., Smit, A. J., & Schleyer, M. H. (2013). Sexual reproduction in
443 *Pocillopora damicornis* at high latitude off South Africa. *Western Indian Ocean Journal of*
444 *Marine Science*, 11(1), 55–65.

445 Mendes, J. M., & Woodley, J. D. (2002). Effect of the 1995-1996 bleaching event on polyp
446 tissue depth, growth, reproduction and skeletal band formation in *Montastraea annularis*.
447 *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 235, 93–102. doi: 10.3354/meps235093

448 Michalek-Wagner, K., & Willis, B. L. (2001a). Impacts of bleaching on the soft coral
449 *Lobophytum compactum*. I. Fecundity, fertilization and offspring viability. *Coral Reefs*,
450 19(3), 231–239. doi: 10.1007/s003380170003

451 Michalek-Wagner, K., & Willis, B. L. (2001b). Impacts of bleaching on the soft coral
452 *Lobophytum compactum*. II. Biochemical changes in adults and their eggs. *Coral Reefs*,
453 19(3), 240–246. doi: 10.1007/PL00006959

454 Moran, A. L., & Emlet, R. B. (2001). Offspring size and performance in variable environments:
455 Field studies on a marine snail. *Ecology*, 82(6), 1597–1612.

456 Nakamura, T., & van Woesik, R. (2001). Water-flow rates and passive diffusion partially explain
457 differential survival of corals during the 1998 bleaching event. *Marine Ecology Progress*
458 *Series*, 212, 301–304.

459 Okubo, N., Motokawa, T., & Omori, M. (2007). When fragmented coral spawn? Effect of size
460 and timing on survivorship and fecundity of fragmentation in *Acropora formosa*. *Marine*
461 *Biology*, *151*(1), 353–363. doi: 10.1007/s00227-006-0490-2

462 Oren, U., Benayahu, Y., Lubinevsky, H., & Loya, Y. (2001). Colony integration during
463 regeneration in the stony coral *Favia favaus*. *Ecology*, *82*(3), 802–813. doi:
464 10.1016/j.dam.2006.06.020

465 Padilla-Gamiño, J. L., & Gates, R. D. (2012). Spawning dynamics in the Hawaiian reef-building
466 coral *Montipora capitata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *449*, 145–160. doi:
467 10.3354/meps09530

468 Parmesan, C. (2006). Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. *Annual*
469 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *37*(1), 637–669. doi:
470 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110100

471 Paxton, C. W., Baria, M. V. B., Weis, V. M., & Harii, S. (2015). Effect of elevated temperature
472 on fecundity and reproductive timing in the coral *Acropora digitifera*. *Zygote*, *24*(4), 511–
473 516. doi: 10.1017/s0967199415000477

474 Piñón-González, V. M., & Banaszak, A. T. (2018). Effects of partial mortality on growth,
475 reproduction and total lipid content in the elkhorn coral *Acropora palmata*. *Frontiers in*
476 *Marine Science*, *5*(396). doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00396

477 Poquita-Du, R. C., Quek, Z. B. R., Jain, S. S., Schmidt-Roach, S., Tun, K., Heery, E. C., ...
478 Huang, D. (2019). Last species standing: loss of Pocilloporidae corals associated with
479 coastal urbanization in a tropical city state. *Marine Biodiversity*. doi: 10.1007/s12526-019-
480 00939-x

481 Pratchett, M., Trapon, M., Berumen, M., & Chong-Seng, K. (2010). Recent disturbances

482 augment community shifts in coral assemblages in Moorea, French Polynesia. *Coral Reefs*,
483 30(1), 183–193. doi: 10.1007/s00338-010-0678-2

484 R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
485 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.

486 Riegl, B., Cavalcante, G., Bauman, A. G., Feary, D. A., Steiner, S., & Purkis, S. (2017).
487 Demographic mechanisms of reef coral species winnowing from communities under
488 increased environmental stress. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4(344). doi:
489 10.3389/fmars.2017.00344

490 Rinkevich, B., & Loya, Y. (1979). The reproduction of the Red Sea coral *Stylophora pistillata*. I.
491 Gonads and planulae. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 1, 133–144. doi:
492 10.3354/meps001133

493 Rodgers, K. S., Bahr, K. D., Jokiel, P. L., & Richards Donà, A. (2017). Patterns of bleaching and
494 mortality following widespread warming events in 2014 and 2015 at the Hanauma Bay
495 Nature Preserve, Hawai‘i. *PeerJ*, 5, e3355. doi: 10.7717/peerj.3355

496 Rodrigues, L. J., & Grottoli, A. G. (2007). Energy reserves and metabolism as indicators of coral
497 recovery from bleaching. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 52(5), 1874–1882. doi:
498 10.4319/lo.2007.52.5.1874

499 Schoepf, V., Grottoli, G., Levas, S. J., Aschaffenburg, M. D., Baumann, J. H., Matsui, Y., &
500 Warner, M. E. (2015). Annual coral bleaching and the long-term recovery capacity of coral.
501 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 282(20151887),
502 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1887>. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2015.1887

503 St.Gelais, A. T., Chaves-Fonnegra, A., Moulding, A. L., Kosmynin, V. N., & Gilliam, D. S.
504 (2016). *Siderastrea siderea* spawning and oocyte resorption at high latitude. *Invertebrate*

505 *Reproduction and Development*, 60(3), 212–222. doi: 10.1080/07924259.2016.1194334

506

507 **Data sources:** Data are archived online at Dryad and are available at

508 <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.c866t1g4q>

509

510 **Table 1.** Probability and abundance of oocyte and spermary production per polyp per colony
511 from mixed effects hurdle models and oocyte and spermary diameter per colony from mixed
512 effects models in *P. meandrina*. The 95% confidence intervals are given in parentheses; values in
513 bold indicate 95% confidence intervals that do not overlap with zero at significance *** = $p < 0$;
514 ** = $p < 0.001$; * = $p < 0.01$; . = $p < 0.05$

515

516 **Figure 1.** Site locations and respective temperature series. (a), Map of O‘ahu, Hawai‘i, showing
517 the locations of the four sites in this study. Inset shows the map of the main Hawaiian Islands (b),
518 Average daily temperature at each site from August 2015 to August 2017. (c), Solid line, mean \pm
519 sd; dashed lines, minimum and maximum temperatures. Black arrows indicate when tissue
520 samples were collected for histological analysis, which was five days before the full moon in
521 April and May (spawning was expected several days after the full moon).

522

523 **Figure 2.** Oocyte and spermary development in *P. meandrina*. (a), Ovary containing stage I
524 oocytes. (b), Ovary containing stage II oocytes. (c), Stage III oocytes adjacent to stage IV
525 oocytes. (d), Longitudinal section of a polyp showing both stage IV oocytes and spermaries. (e),
526 Stage I spermary. (f), Longitudinal section of a polyp containing stage II spermaries and oocytes.
527 (g), Stage III spermaries. (h), Transverse section of a polyp containing stage IV spermaries. lu,
528 lumen; m, mesentery; mf, mesenterial filament; nu, nucleus; o1, o2, o3, o4, oocyte stages I, II,
529 III, and IV; pw, polyp wall; s1, s2, s3, s4, spermary stages I, II, III, IV; st, mesenterial stalk; v,
530 vitellogenic reserves; z, zooxanthallae. Scale bar is 100um.

531

532 **Figure 3.** Colony size distribution by bleaching severity category, representative colonies for
533 each bleaching category, and the probability of colony bleaching severity in 2015 based on
534 colony size. (a), Size distribution of colonies across the four bleaching severity categories of
535 2015 showing no bleaching (n = 7), paling (n = 13), severe paling (n = 9), and stark white
536 bleaching (n = 11). Elliptical colony area as a function of the percentage of annual estimates of
537 colony live tissue per colony is shown on the left; these same values, centered and scaled, are
538 shown on the right. (b), Representative colonies of each of the four bleaching severity categories
539 at the height of bleaching in 2015. (c), Probability of a colony showing no visual signs of
540 bleaching (0) vs showing evidence of bleaching (1: paling, severe paling, or stark white
541 bleaching) across colony size in 2015. (d), Probability of a colony bleaching stark white (1) vs
542 showing evidence of no bleaching or less severe bleaching (0) across colony size in 2015.

543

544 **Figure 4.** Relationship between the probability, abundance, and diameter of oocytes and
545 spermaries in response to colony bleaching severity in 2015 for reproductive years 2016 and
546 2017 across colony size. (a), Probability that a colony contained polyps with stage IV oocytes.
547 (b), Abundance of stage IV oocytes per polyp per colony in colonies that produced oocytes. (c),
548 Mean stage IV oocyte diameter (um) per colony in colonies that produced oocytes. (d),
549 Probability that a colony contained polyps with stage IV spermaries. (e), Abundance of stage IV
550 spermaries per polyp per colony in colonies that produced spermaries. (f), Mean stage IV
551 spermary diameter (um) per colony in colonies that produced spermaries in response to
552 bleaching severity across colony size. Each symbol represents a single polyp in (a and d), or an
553 individual colony in (b, c, e, and f). Lines represent fitted values from the binomial (a and d) and
554 Poisson components (b and e) of the hurdle models.