

Additional Benefits of Federal Air-Quality Rules: Model Estimates of Controllable Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol

Annmarie G. Carlton,^{*,†} Havala O. T. Pye,[‡] Kirk R. Baker,[§] and Christopher J. Hennigan^{||}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, United States


[‡]Office of Research and Development, U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, United States

[§]Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, United States

^{||}Department of Chemical, Biochemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Maryland 21250, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Atmospheric models that accurately describe the fate and transport of trace species for the right reasons aid in the development of effective air-quality management strategies that safeguard human health. Controllable emissions facilitate the formation of biogenic secondary organic aerosol (BSOA) to enhance the atmospheric fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) burden. Previous modeling with the EPA's Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model predicted that anthropogenic primary organic aerosol (POA) emissions had the greatest impact on BSOA. That experiment included formation processes involving semivolatile partitioning but not aerosol liquid water (ALW), a ubiquitous PM constituent. We conduct 17 summertime CMAQ simulations with updated chemistry and evaluate changes in BSOA due to the removal of individual pollutants and source sectors for the contiguous U.S. CMAQ predicts SO₂ from electricity generating units, and mobile source NO_x emissions have the largest impacts on BSOA. The removal of anthropogenic NO_x, SO₂, and POA emissions during the simulation reduces the nationally averaged BSOA by 23, 14, and 8% and PM_{2.5} by 9.2, 14, and 5.3%, respectively. ALW mass concentrations decrease by 10 and 35% in response to the removal of NO_x and SO₂ emissions. This work contributes chemical insight into ancillary benefits of Federal NO_x and SO₂ rules that concurrently reduce organic PM_{2.5} mass.



INTRODUCTION

Enforcement of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and 1990 Amendments (CAAA) dramatically improves air quality across the United States.^{1,2} The EPA promulgates performance standards and regional emission trading programs for NO_x and SO₂ to assist states working to meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone and PM_{2.5}. The Office of Management and Budget reports that the largest monetized benefits to Americans from all Federal rules are derived from health benefits associated with the CAAA, namely, reduced exposure to PM_{2.5} (e.g., ref 3). Most PM_{2.5} forms in the atmosphere, and effective strategies to reduce ambient concentrations consider gas-phase precursors (e.g., NO_x,⁴ SO₂⁵). Ridley et al. suggest that CAAA benefits are underestimated because reductions in the organic portion of PM_{2.5} are unintended benefits of NAAQS attainment plans.²

The organic fraction of PM_{2.5} is substantial, and nonfossil organic carbon, derived from the biosphere, is a major contributor (e.g., refs 6–8). When biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) react to form low-volatility or high-solubility species that condense and add to the atmospheric PM burden, the mass is called biogenic secondary organic aerosol (BSOA). Historically, regulators underestimate the ability of anthropogenic emission controls to reduce BSOA formation and largely consider it a natural part of background

air. Field, modeling, and laboratory evidence indicate that chemicals emitted from human activity facilitate BSOA formation and influence chemical composition (e.g., refs 9–12). In the Amazon, the highest BSOA mass concentrations are measured downwind of urban plumes, not in pristine air.^{13,14} Despite higher biogenic VOC emissions in the Amazon, BSOA mass concentrations in the anthropogenically influenced Southeast U.S. are consistently higher using multiple SOA indicators (e.g., refs 8 and 13–15). Field measurements during the Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS)¹⁶ suggest that BSOA surrogates (e.g., water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) and mathematical factors present in aerosol mass spectra) are correlated with one or more indicators of anthropogenic pollution.¹⁵ Furthermore, throughout the U.S., organic carbon mass (OC) in PM_{2.5} decreased over the last 30 years, despite no clear trend in biogenic VOC emissions and increasing nonfossil OC from fires. This OC concentration trend is partly due to reduced anthropogenic emissions and subsequent impacts on BSOA formation.² Thus, whereas biogenic VOCs are natural, some fraction of the

Received: April 9, 2018

Revised: July 3, 2018

Accepted: July 13, 2018

Published: July 13, 2018

subsequent $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass is not. Anthropogenic emissions facilitate ambient BSOA formation, and this fraction of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ is controllable pollution.⁹

Previous CMAQ experiments using climatological averages and employing an SOA module that describes the formation predominantly through semivolatile partitioning¹⁷ found that roughly half of BSOA mass in the Eastern U.S. is a consequence of anthropogenic emissions.⁹ Primary organic aerosol (POA) emissions were shown to facilitate BSOA formation to the largest extent, followed by NO_x , and then gas-phase reactive nonmethane carbon (RNMC). Anthropogenic SO_2 impacts in those experiments were minimal. A recent evaluation of this SOA module underpredicted BSOA mass during Calnex in Pasadena, California and Bakersfield, California even when precursor VOCs were overpredicted,¹⁸ suggesting missing formation pathways. Water-related chemical pathways were not included in those simulations. Qualitative assessment with CMAQ suggests that the chemical potential for BSOA formation in aerosol liquid water (ALW) is large in the Eastern U.S. and parts of California.¹⁹ New understanding of gas-phase biogenic VOC oxidation, including isoprene chemistry,²⁰ further motivates revisiting the prior CMAQ study.

ALW is a ubiquitous PM constituent^{21,22} that modulates and often enhances the formation of BSOA (e.g., refs 23–25). Measurements in the Southeast U.S. indicate BSOA is predominantly water-soluble^{8,26} and ALW is abundant.^{27,28} Certain BSOA species can form only in the presence of ALW,²⁹ and these species are observed in the Eastern U.S.^{30,31} In continental locations, ALW is predominantly anthropogenic, driven by inorganic particle constituents³² including nitrate,³³ and in the Eastern U.S., sulfate.^{19,34,35} OC (biogenic) and ALW (anthropogenic) in the Southeast show similar, statistically significant decadal decreases.³⁵ The incorporation of newly discovered formation pathways involving water into atmospheric models available for reactive uptake of organics changes BSOA predictions in response to perturbations of anthropogenic emissions,^{31,36,37} and this alters the interpretation of BSOA controllability. For example, NO_x inhibits BSOA formation from isoprene in lab experiments when semivolatile partitioning in the absence of water dominates formation.^{38,39} However, process-level modeling experiments suggest that NO_x enhances BSOA formation via cloud chemistry by increasing the overall solubility of the gas-phase oxidation product distribution,⁴⁰ although that chemical mechanism did not include isoprene-epoxyols (IEPOX). Measurements in the Amazon suggest that in the presence of ALW, anthropogenic NO_x reduces the production of SOA from IEPOX;⁴¹ however, particle acidity enhances it, and the associated species are observed in ambient Southeast U.S. samples only in the presence of particulate sulfate.⁴² Ambient observations find that SOA mass derived from monoterpenes is positively correlated with NO_z (sum of NO_3 , HNO_2 , HONO , alkyl nitrates, peroxy nitrates, and particle-phase organic nitrates), an index for the atmospheric processing of anthropogenic NO emissions.⁴³ Monoterpene SOA mass appears to dominate OC mass during SOAS.⁴³ Laboratory and field experiments provide direct evidence of biosphere–atmosphere interactions, and modeling provides a platform for the quantification of the convoluted anthropogenic enhancement of BSOA.

In previous work, Pye et al. expanded the SOA formation mechanisms in CMAQ in ways that altered the model dependence of BSOA on SO_x and NO_x emissions.^{36,44,45}

This includes the addition of aqueous aerosol precursors such as IEPOX, glyoxal, methylglyoxal, and organic nitrates. SOA from IEPOX is modeled via acid-catalyzed reactive uptake in concert with nucleophilic addition in aqueous particles.³⁶ In this parametrization, SO_x emissions regulate IEPOX SOA formation through particle water and acidity³¹ and increase the sensitivity of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and OC concentrations to SO_x emissions in regional U.S. air-quality simulations.^{36,46} In addition, monoterpene and isoprene nitrates form and partition to the particle phase through vapor-pressure-based pathways and undergo a pseudohydrolysis reaction on short time scales.⁴⁴ These biogenically derived organic–nitrate SOA species involve direct interaction with NO_x and alter the overall sensitivity of CMAQ BSOA mass predictions (absolute and fractional amounts) to controllable NO_x .⁴⁴ The implementation of recent model developments regarding aqueous processes better reconciles field observations in the Southeast U.S., such as statistically robust positive associations among measured BSOA surrogates with sulfate and nitrate on decadal,² diurnal, and seasonal scales.¹⁵ For example, sulfate modulates ALW and subsequent uptake of organic species, provides protons for catalysis reactions, and facilitates further particle reactions through nucleophilic addition. Interactions among the presence of particle-phase nucleophiles, water, and acidity are all typically driven by sulfate in the Southeast U.S. Untangling the controlling factors, including unexplored potential impacts on condensed phase oxidant species, in models to explain findings from field samples remains an active area of study.

We apply the current CMAQ model that incorporates recent BSOA formation pathways, including aqueous processes, to the contiguous U.S. and quantitatively investigate the extent to which anthropogenic emissions influence BSOA formation. Controllable emissions of NO_x , SO_2 , POA, and anthropogenic VOCs are removed by pollutant type and source sector. Some assumptions in the SOA framework employed in these simulations are debated, and this qualifies the magnitude of reported absolute values. Specifically, kinetic limitations to thermodynamic equilibrium assumption for SOA formation via semivolatile partitioning organic material are evolving^{47–49} and may affect SOA predictions in the Western U.S. Liquid-like particles and equilibrium assumptions for SOA are valid for the Southeast U.S.⁵⁰ Phase separation in particles affects the uptake of organic species, and consideration in CMAQ changes OC predictions in the Southeast U.S.⁴⁵ but is not explicitly treated in these simulations. Uncertainties regarding vapor pressure, solubility, and the impacts on the reversibility of SOA formation are discussed later. Emission of VOCs of intermediate volatility (IVOCs) (e.g., long-chain alkanes and PAHs) are included in the CMAQ simulations,⁵¹ but current inventories likely underestimate total IVOC emissions as a class.^{52,53} Unspecified IVOCs are not included in the simulations. These limitations introduce uncertainty, which is discussed later. In these experiments, we use CMAQ to simulate air quality for the summer of 2013 for the continental U.S. using day-specific model inputs. The simulations coincide with the SOAS campaign,¹⁶ a time period that influenced CMAQ development and for which chemically specific BSOA tracers have been extensively evaluated in the Southeast U.S.^{44,45,54}

Table 1. Domain-Wide Percent Change in Average July 2013 Surface-Level CMAQ-Predicted BSOA, OC, and ALW Mass Concentrations Resulting from Changes in Anthropogenic Emissions^a

pollutant	sector	% emissions change	% [BSOA] mean change	% [OC] mean change	% [ALW] mean change	% [PM _{2.5}] mean change
NO _x	all anthropogenic	−100	−22.5	−12.2	−9.7	−9.2
NO _x	all anthropogenic	−75	−12.7	−7.1	−6.3	−5.4
NO _x	all anthropogenic	−50	−6.9	−3.9	−3.9	−3.1
NO _x	all anthropogenic	−25	−3.0	−1.7	−1.8	−1.4
NO _x	all anthropogenic	+25	+2.4	+1.4	+1.7	+1.1
NO _x	electric generating unit (EGU) point	−100	−1.0	−0.6	−1.6	−0.9
NO _x	non-EGU point	−100	−1.2	−0.7	−0.7	−0.5
NO _x	onroad gasoline	−100	−2.4	−1.4	−1.3	−1.1
NO _x	onroad diesel	−100	−2.5	−1.5	−1.2	−1.1
NO _x	nonroad	−100	−1.9	−0.9	−1.3	−0.9
NO _x	oil and gas exploration	−100	−1.6	−1.0	−0.6	−0.6
POA	all anthropogenic	−100	−8.0	−13.5	−0.1	−5.3
SO ₂	all anthropogenic	−100	−13.7	−5.8	−34.6	−13.9
SO ₂	EGU point	−100	−11.4	−4.8	−26.5	−10.8
VOC	all anthropogenic	−100	−3.8	−2.6	+0.8	−0.8
CO	all anthropogenic	−100	−0.3	−0.1	+0.1	+2.1

^aPercentages are calculated relative to the base case simulation [$100 \times (\text{sensitivity} - \text{baseline}) / \text{baseline}$] for grid cells where the baseline simulation predicted BSOA concentration greater than 0.05 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

METHODS

The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model version 5.1,⁴⁶ available via Github (<https://github.com/USEPA/CMAQ>; doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1079909), was applied June 1–August 15, 2013 to predict tropospheric chemical and physical processes including transport, chemistry, and wet and dry deposition. We present the monthly average surface values for July 2013, which are typical of the entire simulation period, to facilitate concise discussion. A base case simulation (all emissions) serves as the benchmark for the 16 sensitivity simulations presented in Table 1, where controllable emissions of individual chemical species and source sectors for specific pollutants are removed from CMAQ inputs. All prognostic and emission models are applied to a domain covering the contiguous U.S. with 12 km $\times$ 12 km grid cells and 35 vertical layers from the surface to the tropopause. Vertical layer depth is prescribed by pressure, and there is more resolution near the surface. Chemical boundary conditions are translated from a hemispheric GEOS-CHEM simulation,⁵⁵ and the potential effects on regional scale U.S. predictions of PM_{2.5} mass and composition are discussed in detail by Henderson (2014)⁵⁵ and Baker (2015).⁵⁶ Initial conditions are extracted from a longer CMAQv5.1 simulation initialized in December 2012.

Surface measurements of speciated PM_{2.5} are paired in space and time for comparison with CMAQ predictions at all sites operational in July 2013 within the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (<http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/Improve>) and Chemical Speciation Network (<https://www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/speciepg.html>). ALW is calculated with ISORROPIA-II, as in CMAQ, for IMPROVE locations using observed aerosol composition and temperature and relative humidity from the North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) using a metastable state assumption.^{35,57} Observational ALW estimates may be biased low because ammonium is not included in the calculations using ambient data for consistency because it is not measured at all sites, and particle water volume is assumed to be additive.⁵⁸

Furthermore, ALW in both observational estimates and CMAQ predictions may be biased low because ISORROPIA, as employed here, does not include the contribution from organic compounds, which is estimated to account for 35% of observed aerosol water in the Southeast U.S. in summer.⁵⁹ Organic–ALW interactions depend on the intrinsic chemical properties of the individual organic compounds present. CMAQ predictions of particulate OC are sensitive to organic–ALW parametrizations to describe this chemistry,⁴⁵ and model development is ongoing. Secondary organic carbon (SOC) observational estimates are calculated at IMPROVE and CSN sites using an EC-tracer method that accounts for the semivolatile nature of POA. (See equations 28 and 29 of ref 45.)

Model Chemistry. We employ the gas-phase chemical mechanism SAPRC-07 with additional isoprene–organic nitrate chemistry.⁶⁰ Inorganic aqueous chemistry includes sulfur oxidation reactions⁶¹ and equilibrium gas-particle partitioning using ISORROPIA-II³² in the forward mode for fine aerosol ALW content and particle pH. POA is treated as nonvolatile, although associated hydrogen and oxygen mass change through heterogeneous hydroxyl radical reactions.⁶² Some SOA formation pathways employ a yield-based approach including SOA from these precursors: toluene, xylenes, benzene, isoprene, monoterpenes, and sesquiterpenes. Other formation pathways exist, and model development continues. Semivolatile organic species become nonvolatile through a zero-order condensed phase oligomerization pathway with a half life of 20 h.¹⁷ SOA also forms via the oxidation of glyoxal and methylglyoxal in clouds⁶³ and uptake by particles.⁴⁴ BSOA formation pathways also include IEPOX and organic nitrates.^{36,43} Chemically specific CMAQ model predictions traceable to individual BSOA markers for IEPOX, organic nitrates, and monoterpenes have been extensively evaluated for the Southeast U.S.^{36,43,44} in simulations that employ the same chemistry used in this work. We explore BSOA controllability overall and for semivolatile and aqueous-influenced pathways separately.




Figure 1. July 2013 average CMAQ-predicted surface level (a) total BSOA, (b) BSOA formed via semivolatile partitioning, and (c) BSOA formed via ALW-related chemistry. The change in BSOA relative to the benchmark is calculated as $[\text{BSOA}]_{\text{sensitivity}} - [\text{BSOA}]_{\text{base}}$ in each grid cell for anthropogenic NO_x (d–f), SO_2 (g–i), and primary OA (j–l). Cool colors indicate decreases in BSOA mass concentrations due to precursor reductions, and warm colors indicate increases (disbenefits). CMAQ species definition for SOA categories is described in Table S1. Scales differ by row.

Emissions and Meteorology. The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model⁶⁴ v3.7 generates offline meteorology translated for CMAQ using the Meteorology–Chemistry Interface Processor.⁶⁵ Previous studies using this WRF configuration compare well against meteorological measurements.⁶⁶ Emissions are processed with the Sparse Matrix Operator Kernel Emissions (SMOKE) model v3.7.⁶⁷ Biogenic VOCs and soil NO emissions are developed from the Biogenic Emission Inventory System (BEIS) v3.61 with the Biogenic

Emissions Landuse Database (BELD) v4,⁶⁸ with the same meteorology used for transport. The applied BEIS configuration reasonably replicates biogenic VOC mixing ratios in forested U.S. areas,⁶⁸ although underestimates of isoprene and overestimates of monoterpenes are noted for the SOAS site in Centreville, Alabama.^{44,45} Anthropogenic emissions are based on the 2011 National Emission Inventory (NEI) v2.⁶⁹ Hourly Continuous Emissions Monitor data from 2013 are used for point sources when available (e.g., ref 70). Mobile emissions




Figure 2. CMAQ-predicted (a) ALW, (b) OC, (c) secondary organic carbon (SOC), and (d) ratio of secondary to total OC. CMAQ predictions are shown as contours. Observations shown as circles with the same color scale. ALW observational estimates calculated with ISORROPIA (as in CMAQ) using observed sulfate, nitrate, NARR-derived temperature, and relative humidity (RH). SOC is estimated according to equations 28 and 29 in ref 44.

are developed from the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES) model using MOVES2014a emission factors.⁷¹ Emissions from wild fires are based on day-specific information and have been evaluated for the 2013 simulation period.⁷²

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CMAQ-Predicted Biogenic SOA: Precursor Patterns and Contributions to Particle Mass. During the summertime period simulated, CMAQ predicts that BSOA mass concentrations are substantial, as high as $7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in the base case simulation for the July 2013 surface mass average (Figure 1). CMAQ predicts that BSOA contributes approximately half of total $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass in urban and rural areas of Georgia, Alabama, and Louisiana (Figure S1). The organic carbon (OC) fraction of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ is dominated by BSOA in the CMAQ simulations, which suggest that >80% of particulate OC mass in the Southeast U.S. and >50% for most of the continental U.S. is BSOA (Figure S1). Mass concentrations of BSOA species derived from monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and isoprene and from condensed phase oligomerization and aqueous processes (see Table S1 for species classification) are all highest in the Southeast U.S. (Figure S2). Mixing ratios of all biogenic precursor VOCs are predicted to be highest in the Southeast (Figure S3), as are predicted mass concentrations of OC, a medium available for the condensation of biogenic semivolatile gas-phase oxidation products (Figure 2). Concen-

trations of ALW, a species available for reactive uptake of water-soluble organic gases, are also greatest in the Eastern U.S. (Figure 2). Summertime relative humidity is higher in the Eastern U.S., and sulfate-rich hygroscopic $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ typical of the region, which is influenced by SO_2 emissions from electricity generation, favors ALW and SOA formation.¹⁹ The fraction of total BSOA mass formed via semivolatile partitioning is highest in the Southeast U.S. and in some Northwest “hotspot” locations. The largest amount of SOA formed through aqueous processes is predicted to be in the Southeast U.S., and the highest fraction is in the Western U.S. Model prediction in the desert and mountainous Western U.S. suggests very little to no SOA mass, whereas semiempirical observational estimates suggest there are measurable amounts at nearly all locations (Figure 2c). The measurement–model discrepancy in this location is difficult to interpret due, in part, to a dearth of chemically specific BSOA measurements in the area.

Over the continental U.S., CMAQ ALW predictions are geospatially similar and close in magnitude to observational estimates at most of the rural IMPROVE sites for the simulation period (Figure 2), suggesting the modeling system is able to capture areas where aqueous-influenced chemistry for BSOA formation is important. Differences among ALW measurement–model pairs can arise when there are differences in anion concentrations or meteorology. ALW similarity between CMAQ predictions and observational estimates is

dependent on the range of RH at each individual site. For example, although nitrate is underpredicted at a few locations in Southern California (Figure S4), ALW predictions are consistent with observational estimates because RH is low and as a consequence total ALW mass is low in both. Detailed model evaluations of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass, sulfate, nitrate, and organic and elemental carbon are provided in the Supporting Information (Figures S4 and S5). We note that neither the monitoring site estimates nor CMAQ predictions incorporate the impacts of organic compounds on water uptake. The inclusion of such interactions affects predictions of ALW³⁵ and CMAQ predictions of particulate OC in the Southeast U.S.⁴⁵ Interactions of ALW, pH, organic partitioning, and condensed phase chemistry with and without reactive nitrogen are uncertain and remain areas of ongoing research.

Comparison of SOC Predictions and Observational Estimates. CMAQ predicts SOC and total OC mass concentrations greater than values derived from reported measurements at many monitor locations in the Southeast (Figure 2, Figure S5). Measurement-model discrepancies can arise from a variety of sources including incomplete chemistry, emission, and meteorology errors. A contributor to the positive CMAQ bias for OC in the Southeast U.S. may be the nonvolatile POA assumption employed in this simulation. The inclusion of semivolatile POA improves bias in CMAQ-measurement comparisons to Calnex and SOAS data and, in particular, reduces the amount of predicted OC in the Southeast U.S.⁵² Overestimates of IMPROVE measured OC do not necessarily suggest that the CMAQ modeling system misrepresents BSOA formation. Reported OC measurements and the derived SOC estimates may be biased low compared with actual ambient values. Semivolatile OC is lost due to field latency of filters and uncontrolled conditions during handling and shipping of samples for the IMPROVE network.⁷³ A fraction of BSOA mass formed through aqueous processes forms reversibly and evaporates with water during summertime sampling in the Southeast U.S.⁷⁴ On average 15–30% of OC is lost when miscible organic compounds are removed from filters during equilibration and conditioning at 35% RH^{74,75} and during OC analysis when water is removed to prevent interference with the near-infrared (NDIR) laser detection. Comparison of side-by-side WSOC derived from Federal Reference Method (FRM) $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ filters and real-time, direct measurements from a particle-into-liquid sampler (PILS) demonstrates up to 30% lower WSOC from FRM filters compared with the PILS,⁷⁶ consistent with the SOA reversibility findings of El-Sayed (2016). This is suggestive that in the Southeast U.S., an area characterized by higher isoprene and lower NO_x ,⁷⁷ where BSOA and ALW mass concentrations are high and ambient RH is above 35%, OC losses at routine monitoring sites are greatest. Measurement–CMAQ discrepancies for reported OC values and SOC observational estimates in the Southeast U.S. may arise, in part, because observations are biased low relative to the actual values during ambient conditions in this region of the U.S.

In contrast with the Southeast U.S., CMAQ OC predictions are less than the reported measurement values at many IMPROVE monitoring locations in the mountainous and desert Western U.S., where SOC mass concentrations and SOC-to-TOC ratios are underpredicted at nearly all sites (Figure 2). The causes of model–measurement discrepancies in this region are difficult to diagnose due to a lack of chemically speciated OC measurements for most of the region.

In terms of BSOA formation, CMAQ predicts that aqueous processes contribute at least half of the total BSOA mass in the Western U.S. The extent to which this is accurate remains to be tested in field measurements. BSOA in this region is predicted to exist predominantly in a glassy solid-phase state, which may challenge the instantaneous equilibrium assumption for which semivolatile SOA model parametrizations have been traditionally developed.⁷⁸ Partitioning of polar, biogenically derived organic compounds to ALW and their complexation with inorganic ions varies with anion identity as a function of aerosol molality,^{79,80} which is highest in the Western U.S., where ALW mass concentrations are low.⁸¹ The controlling electrochemical factors are unknown. For example, glyoxal and methylglyoxal differ by a single methyl group yet exhibit the opposite partitioning behavior as a function of atmospherically relevant salt-induced molality.^{79,80} The implications of organic compounds partitioning to nonideal, high ionic strength, glassy particles and the subsequent impacts regarding potential kinetic limitations to semivolatile partitioning, reactive uptake, multiphase chemistry, and the controlling factors of BSOA formation in this region of the Western U.S. are poorly understood. Whereas CMAQ predictions and SOC observational estimates exhibit bias relative to each other in terms of absolute values, they both suggest that BSOA mass concentrations are highest in the eastern U.S., and comparisons imply that the model reasonably represents the overall formation regimes as they occur in the atmosphere over the U.S. For example, the absolute amount of BSOA mass from aqueous-influenced pathways is highest in areas where ALW mass is highest and lowest where ALW observations are low (Figures 1 and 2a). Predicted particulate OC from fires is highest in Idaho (Figure 2b), where fire activity was the most prevalent during the simulation period.⁷²

Model Response to Removal of Anthropogenic Emissions: Pollutants and Source Sectors. Increased chemical complexity in CMAQ representation of BSOA formation changes the nature and extent to which controllable emissions modulate predicted BSOA and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ relative to the 2010 estimates (Table 1, Figure 1). The total BSOA mass decreases most in response to complete removal of anthropogenic emissions of NO_x , followed by SO_2 and then POA (Figures 1 and 3). This model response sharply contrasts the previous BSOA controllability estimates,⁹ which predicted that SOA was highly sensitive to POA, somewhat sensitive to NO_x , and largely insensitive to SO_2 . The removal of controllable NO_x and SO_2 reduces the predicted amounts of ALW in the Midwest and Eastern U.S. (Figure 4), where ALW mass concentrations are predicted to be highest (Figure 2a).

The largest regional scale NO_x impacts occur in the Southeast U.S. and arise from the mobile sector according to CMAQ. Mobile emissions of on-road gasoline and diesel vehicles (Figure 5) facilitate BSOA to the greatest degree as a NO_x source class. Point source NO_x emissions also enhance BSOA, most notably in Eastern Texas from the oil and gas sector. NO_x impacts, although substantial, may yet be underestimated because the CMAQ modeling system underpredicts the formation of organic nitrate compounds during the daytime when compared with near real-time observations of this BSOA fraction during SOAS,⁴⁴ and these species significantly contribute to the SOA mass budget in the Southeast U.S.⁴³ Systematic testing of anthropogenic NO_x perturbations at percent change levels of –100, –75, –50, –25, and +25 demonstrate a near-linear response, and




Figure 3. Percent change in biogenic SOA surface mass for July 2013 for the sensitivity simulations relative to base case (all emissions). The distribution is shown as median (black line), 25th and 75th percentiles (bottom and top of box), and 10th and 90th percentiles (bottom and top whiskers). The distribution is calculated from all hourly values in grid cells where baseline-predicted BSOA is $>0.05 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ($N = 62\,994$).

consistent spatial patterns in the total amount of BSOA mass change when averaged over the contiguous U.S. (Figures 3, Figure S6). This adds support to the findings from complete NO_x removal, which is a large perturbation to the model oxidative chemical regime. It is interesting to note that whereas CMAQ predicts a nearly linear response in BSOA to NO_x reductions, the spatial patterns in the benefits of controllable NO_x from individual source sectors to reduce BSOA mass concentrations closely mirror the spatial distribution of BVOC emissions and BSOA mass concentrations rather than NO_x emissions. Some areas with high controllable NO_x emissions (e.g., Southern California and the I-95 corridor in the

Northeast) show little BSOA benefit, whereas major BSOA reductions are predicted in rural areas in the Southeast that have higher absolute BSOA mass and lower NO_x emissions.

The impacts of NO_x emissions on BSOA are chemically complex. Nearly all BSOA species decrease in response to anthropogenic NO_x removal, with the exception of SOA species produced from IEPOX (AIETET, AIEOS, ADIM) (Figure S7).³⁶ The removal of NO_x alters the preceding gas-phase chemistry by changing the NO_3 radical budget, which directly forms SOA and alters mixing ratios of other oxidants such as OH, O^3P , and O_3 (as shown in Figure S8) that are important and define gas-phase oxidation of the parent biogenic VOCs and subsequent formation of condensable organic species. Some biogenic semivolatile species, such as monoterpene and isoprene organic nitrates AMTNO3 and AISOPNN, only form in the presence of NO_x ,⁴⁴ and their concentrations decrease nearly 100% domain-wide (lightning and biomass burning NO_x are not removed in the sensitivity simulations). Species AMTHYD forms in the condensed phase from these species, and its mass concentration is also substantially reduced.

The removal of controllable NO_x emissions limits CMAQ production of particle-phase nitrate and sulfate (Figure S9), hygroscopic species that have a controlling influence on the amount of ALW.^{19,33} Most notably, in these simulations, ALW mass concentrations are reduced in an area of the Midwest and in Southern California (Figure 4a). Because particulate nitrate is biased low relative to measurements in areas of California and Chicago (Figure S4), NO_x -ALW-BSOA impacts may be underestimated. However, because of its volatility, particulate nitrate concentrations are often lowest in the summer, the season simulated in this work. SOA species that form through aqueous processes such as reactive uptake like AGLY decrease, in part, due to reduced presence of ALW as a result of its influence on particle surface area (Figures 4, Figures S7 and S10). AGLY decreases by approximately half in the Eastern U.S. (Figure S11), where ALW and the gas-phase precursor, glyoxal, are most abundant in the base case simulation (Figure 4). In response to the removal of anthropogenic SO_2 emissions, model species derived from IEPOX or MPAN (AIETET, AIEOS, ADIM, AIMGA, and AIMOS) (Table S1)




Figure 4. $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ALW (AH2OIJ) monthly average change in response to total removal of anthropogenic precursor emissions (a) NO_x , (b) SO_2 , and, (c) VOC.




Figure 5. July 2013 average CMAQ-predicted surface level sensitivity of BSOA to “zeroed-out” anthropogenic NO_x from specific sectors including (a) onroad gasoline vehicles, (b) onroad diesel vehicles, (c) nonroad mobile, (d) EGU point, (e) non-EGU point, and (f) oil and gas exploration.

decrease 100% because sulfur is directly involved in their formation through both physical and chemical mechanisms.^{31,36}

Limited impacts of anthropogenic VOC emission reductions are predominantly observed along the mid-Atlantic coast of the Eastern U.S. and near Los Angeles for aqueous SOA (Figure S12). Unlike the removal of anthropogenic NO_x and SO_2 , removing VOCs slightly increases ALW mass concentrations in the Midwest (Figure 4c). The removal of VOCs reduces gas-phase competition for the hydroxyl radical and facilitates the oxidation of SO_2 and NO_x to sulfate and nitrate, hygroscopic particle species that promote ALW. Semivolatile biogenic species (e.g., ATRP, ASQT) decrease in concentration in response to removing anthropogenic POA emissions (Figure S13), consistent with the previous CMAQ experiments investigating BSOA controllability. Although not produced directly from semivolatile partitioning, model species AMTHYD exhibits similar sensitivity to POA because its production and the overall mass amount formed are a function of MTNO₃, a semivolatile species that partitions to pre-existing organic aerosol⁴⁴ such as POA should it be present.

Atmospheric Implications and Successful Environmental Rules. The inclusion of chemically detailed gas- and condensed-phase oxidation chemistry changes how atmospheric modeling informs air-quality management strategies for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. Emission reductions of SO_2 and NO_x impact the sulfate, nitrate, and organic fractions of atmospheric $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. This work is supportive of recent findings by Ridley et al. (2018) and provides detailed chemical explanations for reduced organic $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass as a consequence of existing and planned Federal

rules that regulate NO_x and SO_2 to meet ozone and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ NAAQS. Epidemiological studies indicate a strong link between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass and numerous human health effects. Mounting evidence indicates that specific PM constituents differ in toxicity. Recent studies characterize BSOA toxicity, including compounds formed specifically through interactions with anthropogenic emissions,^{82,83} providing plausible toxicological explanations for observed associations in epidemiological studies between fine particle mass and health end points that are elusive for other particle phase constituents such as sulfate and nitrate.⁸⁴ Therefore, it is possible that the controllable BSOA fraction identified in this study makes a disproportionate contribution to health. Atmospheric modeling that includes state-of-the-art chemical mechanisms facilitates quantification of BSOA controllability by pollutant type and source sector, as provided in this work. Expansion and evaluation of chemical complexity in CMAQ suggest that the description of OC and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ formation in CMAQ is more complete. Continued model development that capitalizes on new laboratory and field observations and resolves present uncertainties ensures that future source attribution for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ will be improved and aid in the development of effective air-quality strategies that protect human health.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b01869.

One table with specific CMAQ variable names and their groupings in addition to 13 figures that provide more

detailed information on model evaluation and response and domain-wide changes in BSOA subgroupings. (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: agcarlo@uci.edu. Tel: 949-824-5011.

ORCID

Annmarie G. Carlton: 0000-0002-8574-1507

Havala O. T. Pye: 0000-0002-2014-2140

Kirk R. Baker: 0000-0003-4417-6799

Christopher J. Hennigan: 0000-0002-2454-2838

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.G.C. and C.J.H. acknowledge funding from the National Science Foundation, AGS-1719252 and AGS-1719245. The U.S. EPA through its National Computer Center for computing resources, Office of Research and Development, and Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards collaborated in the research described here. It has been subjected to Agency administrative review and approved for publication but may not necessarily reflect official Agency policy.

REFERENCES

- (1) *Benefits and Costs of the Clean Air Act 1990–2020. Report Documents and Graphics | Overview of the Clean Air Act and Air Pollution*; U.S. EPA. <https://www.epa.gov/clean-air-act-overview/benefits-and-costs-clean-air-act-1990-2020-report-documents-and-graphics> (accessed Feb 22, 2018).
- (2) Ridley, D. A.; Heald, C. L.; Ridley, K. J.; Kroll, J. H. Causes and Consequences of Decreasing Atmospheric Organic Aerosol in the United States. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115* (2), 290–295.
- (3) *2011 Report to Congress on the Benefits and Costs of Federal Regulations and Unfunded Mandates on State, Local, and Tribal Entities*; Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/omb/infoereg/2011_cb/2011_cba_report.pdf (accessed Feb 22, 2018).
- (4) Pusede, S. E.; Duffey, K. C.; Shusterman, A. A.; Saleh, A.; Laughner, J. L.; Wooldridge, P. J.; Zhang, Q.; Parworth, C. L.; Kim, H.; Capps, S. L.; Valin, L. C.; Cappa, C. D.; Friedl, A.; Walega, J.; Nowak, J. B.; Weinheimer, A. J.; Hoff, R. M.; Berkoff, T. A.; Beyersdorf, A. J.; Olson, J.; Crawford, J. H.; Cohen, R. C. On the Effectiveness of Nitrogen Oxide Reductions as a Control over Ammonium Nitrate Aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (4), 2575–2596.
- (5) Pinder, R. W.; Adams, P. J.; Pandis, S. N. Ammonia Emission Controls as a Cost-Effective Strategy for Reducing Atmospheric Particulate Matter in the Eastern United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (2), 380–386.
- (6) Hallquist, M.; Wenger, J. C.; Baltensperger, U.; Rudich, Y.; Simpson, D.; Claeys, M.; Dommen, J.; Donahue, N. M.; George, C.; Goldstein, A. H.; Hamilton, J. F.; Herrmann, H.; Hoffmann, T.; Iinuma, Y.; Jang, M.; Jenkin, M. E.; Jimenez, J. L.; Kiendler-Scharr, A.; Maenhaut, W.; McFiggans, G.; Mentel, Th. F.; Monod, A.; Prévôt, A. S. H.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Surratt, J. D.; Szmigielski, R.; Wildt, J. The Formation, Properties and Impact of Secondary Organic Aerosol: Current and Emerging Issues. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9* (14), 5155–5236.
- (7) De Gouw, J.; Jimenez, J. L. Organic Aerosols in the Earth's Atmosphere. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43* (20), 7614–7618.
- (8) Weber, R. J.; Sullivan, A. P.; Peltier, R. E.; Russell, A.; Yan, B.; Zheng, M.; de Gouw, J.; Warneke, C.; Brock, C.; Holloway, J. S.; Atlas, E. L.; Edgerton, E. A Study of Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation in the Anthropogenic-Influenced Southeastern United States. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2007**, *112* (D13), D13302.
- (9) Carlton, A. G.; Pinder, R. W.; Bhawe, P. V.; Pouliot, G. A. To What Extent Can Biogenic SOA Be Controlled? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (9), 3376–3380.
- (10) Hoyle, C. R.; Boy, M.; Donahue, N. M.; Fry, J. L.; Glasius, M.; Guenther, A.; Hallar, A. G.; Huff Hartz, K.; Petters, M. D.; Petäjä, T.; Rosenoern, T.; Sullivan, A. P. A Review of the Anthropogenic Influence on Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11* (1), 321–343.
- (11) Jaoui, M.; Kleindienst, T. E.; Docherty, K. S.; Lewandowski, M.; Offenberg, J. H. Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from the Oxidation of a Series of Sesquiterpenes: α -Cedrene, β -Caryophyllene, α -Humulene and α -Farnesene with O_3 , OH and NO_3 Radicals. *Environ. Chem.* **2013**, *10* (3), 178–193.
- (12) Fry, J. L.; Kiendler-Scharr, A.; Rollins, A. W.; Wooldridge, P. J.; Brown, S. S.; Fuchs, H.; Dubé, W.; Mensah, A.; dal Maso, M.; Tillmann, R.; Dorn, H.-P.; Brauers, T.; Cohen, R. C. Organic Nitrate and Secondary Organic Aerosol Yield from NO_3 Oxidation of β -Pinene Evaluated Using a Gas-Phase Kinetics/Aerosol Partitioning Model. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9* (4), 1431–1449.
- (13) Pöschl, U.; Martin, S. T.; Sinha, B.; Chen, Q.; Gunthe, S. S.; Huffman, J. A.; Borrmann, S.; Farmer, D. K.; Garland, R. M.; Helas, G.; Jimenez, J. L.; King, S. M.; Manzi, A.; Mikhailov, E.; Pauliquevis, T.; Petters, M. D.; Prenni, A. J.; Roldin, P.; Rose, D.; Schneider, J.; Su, H.; Zorn, S. R.; Artaxo, P.; Andreae, M. O. Rainforest Aerosols as Biogenic Nuclei of Clouds and Precipitation in the Amazon. *Science* **2010**, *329* (5998), 1513–1516.
- (14) Martin, S. T.; Artaxo, P.; Machado, L.; Manzi, A. O.; Souza, R. a. F.; Schumacher, C.; Wang, J.; Biscaro, T.; Brito, J.; Calheiros, A.; Jardine, K.; Medeiros, A.; Portela, B.; de Sá, S. S.; Adachi, K.; Aiken, A. C.; Albrecht, R.; Alexander, L.; Andreae, M. O.; Barbosa, H. M. J.; Buseck, P.; Chand, D.; Comstock, J. M.; Day, D. A.; Dubey, M.; Fan, J.; Fast, J.; Fisch, G.; Fortner, E.; Giangrande, S.; Gilles, M.; Goldstein, A. H.; Guenther, A.; Hubbe, J.; Jensen, M.; Jimenez, J. L.; Keutsch, F. N.; Kim, S.; Kuang, C.; Laskin, A.; McKinney, K.; Mei, F.; Miller, M.; Nascimento, R.; Pauliquevis, T.; Pekour, M.; Peres, J.; Petäjä, T.; Pöhlker, C.; Pöschl, U.; Rizzo, L.; Schmid, B.; Shilling, J. E.; Dias, M. A. S.; Smith, J. N.; Tomlinson, J. M.; Tóta, J.; Wendisch, M. The Green Ocean Amazon Experiment (GoAmazon2014/5) Observes Pollution Affecting Gases, Aerosols, Clouds, and Rainfall over the Rain Forest. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **2017**, *98* (5), 981–997.
- (15) Xu, L.; Guo, H.; Boyd, C. M.; Klein, M.; Bougiatioti, M.; Cerully, K. M.; Hite, J. R.; Isaacman-VanWertz, G.; Kreisberg, N. A.; Knote, C.; Olson, K.; Koss, A.; Goldstein, A. H.; Hering, S. V.; de Gouw, J.; Baumann, K.; Lee, S.-H.; Nenes, A.; Weber, R. J.; Ng, N. L. Effects of Anthropogenic Emissions on Aerosol Formation from Isoprene and Monoterpenes in the Southeastern United States. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2015**, *112* (1), 37–42.
- (16) Carlton, A. G.; de Gouw, J.; Jimenez, J. L.; Ambrose, J. L.; Attwood, A. R.; Brown, S.; Baker, K. R.; Brock, C.; Cohen, R. C.; Edgerton, S.; et al. Synthesis of the Southeast Atmosphere Studies: Investigating Fundamental Atmospheric Chemistry Questions. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **2018**, *99*, 547–568.
- (17) Carlton, A. G.; Bhawe, P. V.; Napelenok, S. L.; Edney, E. O.; Sarwar, G.; Pinder, R. W.; Pouliot, G. A.; Houyoux, M. Model Representation of Secondary Organic Aerosol in CMAQv4.7. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (22), 8553–8560.
- (18) Baker, K. R.; Carlton, A. G.; Kleindienst, T. E.; Offenberg, J. H.; Beaver, M. R.; Gentner, D. R.; Goldstein, A. H.; Hayes, P. L.; Jimenez, J. L.; Gilman, J. B.; de Gouw, J. A.; Woody, M. C.; Pye, H. O. T.; Kelly, J. T.; Lewandowski, M.; Jaoui, M.; Stevens, P. S.; Brune, W. H.; Lin, Y. H.; Rubitschun, C. L.; Surratt, J. D. Gas and Aerosol Carbon in California: Comparison of Measurements and Model Predictions in Pasadena and Bakersfield. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *15* (9), 5243–5258.
- (19) Carlton, A. G.; Turpin, B. J. Particle Partitioning Potential of Organic Compounds Is Highest in the Eastern US and Driven by

Anthropogenic Water. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13* (20), 10203–10214.

(20) Paulot, F.; Crounse, J. D.; Kjaergaard, H. G.; Kürten, A.; St. Clair, J. M.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Wennberg, P. O. Unexpected Epoxide Formation in the Gas-Phase Photooxidation of Isoprene. *Science* **2009**, *325* (5941), 730.

(21) Rood, M. J.; Shaw, M. A.; Larson, T. V.; Covert, D. S. Ubiquitous Nature of Ambient Metastable Aerosol. *Nature* **1989**, *337* (6207), 537–539.

(22) Nguyen, T. K. V.; Zhang, Q.; Jimenez, J. L.; Pike, M.; Carlton, A. G. Liquid Water: Ubiquitous Contributor to Aerosol Mass. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2016**, *3* (7), 257–263.

(23) Parikh, H. M.; Carlton, A. G.; Vizuete, W.; Kamens, R. M. Modeling Secondary Organic Aerosol Using a Dynamic Partitioning Approach Incorporating Particle Aqueous-Phase Chemistry. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45* (5), 1126–1137.

(24) Faust, J. A.; Wong, J. P. S.; Lee, A. K. Y.; Abbott, J. P. D. Role of Aerosol Liquid Water in Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Volatile Organic Compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (3), 1405–1413.

(25) Romonosky, D. E.; Li, Y.; Shiraiwa, M.; Laskin, A.; Laskin, J.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Aqueous Photochemistry of Secondary Organic Aerosol of α -Pinene and α -Humulene Oxidized with Ozone, Hydroxyl Radical, and Nitrate Radical. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2017**, *121* (6), 1298–1309.

(26) Hennigan, C. J.; Bergin, M. H.; Russell, A. G.; Nenes, A.; Weber, R. J. Gas/Particle Partitioning of Water-Soluble Organic Aerosol in Atlanta. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9* (11), 3613–3628.

(27) Nguyen, T. K. V.; Petters, M. D.; Suda, S. R.; Guo, H.; Weber, R. J.; Carlton, A. G. Trends in Particle-Phase Liquid Water during the Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *14* (20), 10911–10930.

(28) Guo, H.; Xu, L.; Bougiatioti, A.; Cerully, K. M.; Capps, S. L.; Hite, J. R.; Carlton, A. G.; Lee, S.-H.; Bergin, M. H.; Ng, N. L.; et al. Fine-Particle Water and PH in the Southeastern United States. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *15* (9), 5211.

(29) Nguyen, T. B.; Coggon, M. M.; Bates, K. H.; Zhang, X.; Schwantes, R. H.; Schilling, K. A.; Loza, C. L.; Flagan, R. C.; Wennberg, P. O.; Seinfeld, J. H. Organic Aerosol Formation from the Reactive Uptake of Isoprene Epoxydiols (IEPOX) onto Non-Acidified Inorganic Seeds. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *14* (7), 3497–3510.

(30) Hatch, L. E.; Creamean, J. M.; Ault, A. P.; Surratt, J. D.; Chan, M. N.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Edgerton, E. S.; Su, Y.; Prather, K. A. Measurements of Isoprene-Derived Organosulfates in Ambient Aerosols by Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry - Part 1: Single Particle Atmospheric Observations in Atlanta. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45* (12), 5105–5111.

(31) Budisulistiorini, S. H.; Nenes, A.; Carlton, A. G.; Surratt, J. D.; McNeill, V. F.; Pye, H. O. T. Simulating Aqueous-Phase Isoprene-Epoxydiol (IEPOX) Secondary Organic Aerosol Production During the 2013 Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (9), 5026–5034.

(32) Fountoukis, C.; Nenes, A. ISORROPIA II: A Computationally Efficient Thermodynamic Equilibrium Model for K^+ – Ca^{2+} – Mg^{2+} – NH_4^+ – Na^+ – SO_4^{2-} – NO_3^- – Cl^- – H_2O Aerosols. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *7* (17), 4639–4659.

(33) Hodas, N.; Sullivan, A. P.; Skog, K.; Keutsch, F. N.; Collett, J. L.; Decesari, S.; Facchini, M. C.; Carlton, A. G.; Laaksonen, A.; Turpin, B. J. Aerosol Liquid Water Driven by Anthropogenic Nitrate: Implications for Lifetimes of Water-Soluble Organic Gases and Potential for Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (19), 11127–11136.

(34) Sisler, J. F.; Malm, W. C. The Relative Importance of Soluble Aerosols to Spatial and Seasonal Trends of Impaired Visibility in the United States. *Atmos. Environ.* **1994**, *28* (5), 851–862.

(35) Nguyen, T. K. V.; Capps, S. L.; Carlton, A. G. Decreasing Aerosol Water Is Consistent with OC Trends in the Southeast U.S. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (13), 7843–7850.

(36) Pye, H. O. T.; Pinder, R. W.; Piletic, I. R.; Xie, Y.; Capps, S. L.; Lin, Y.-H.; Surratt, J. D.; Zhang, Z.; Gold, A.; Luecken, D. J.; Hutzell, W. T.; Jaoui, M.; Offenberg, J. H.; Kleindienst, T. E.; Lewandowski, M.; Edney, E. O. Epoxide Pathways Improve Model Predictions of Isoprene Markers and Reveal Key Role of Acidity in Aerosol Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47* (19), 11056–11064.

(37) Marais, E. A.; Jacob, D. J.; Turner, J. R.; Mickley, L. J. Evidence of 1991–2013 Decrease of Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol in Response to SO_2 Emission Controls. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2017**, *12* (5), 054018.

(38) Kroll, J. H.; Ng, N. L.; Murphy, S. M.; Flagan, R. C.; Seinfeld, J. H. Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Isoprene Photo-oxidation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40* (6), 1869–1877.

(39) Ng, N. L.; Chhabra, P. S.; Chan, A. W. H.; Surratt, J. D.; Kroll, J. H.; Kwan, A. J.; McCabe, D. C.; Wennberg, P. O.; Sorooshian, A.; Murphy, S. M.; Dalleska, N. F.; Flagan, R. C.; Seinfeld, J. H. Effect of NO_x Level on Secondary Organic Aerosol (SOA) Formation from the Photooxidation of Terpenes. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *7* (19), 5159–5174.

(40) Ervens, B.; Carlton, A. G.; Turpin, B. J.; Altieri, K. E.; Kreidenweis, S. M.; Feingold, G. Secondary Organic Aerosol Yields from Cloud-Processing of Isoprene Oxidation Products. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2008**, *35* (2), L02816.

(41) de Sá, S. S.; Palm, B. B.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Day, D. A.; Newburn, M. K.; Hu, W.; Isaacman-VanWertz, G.; Yee, L. D.; Thalman, R.; Brito, J.; Carbone, S.; Artaxo, P.; Goldstein, A. H.; Manzi, A. O.; Souza, R. A. F.; Mei, F.; Shilling, J. E.; Springston, S. R.; Wang, J.; Surratt, J. D.; Alexander, M. L.; Jimenez, J. L.; Martin, S. T. Influence of Urban Pollution on the Production of Organic Particulate Matter from Isoprene Epoxydiols in Central Amazonia. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17* (11), 6611–6629.

(42) Chan, M. N.; Surratt, J. D.; Claeys, M.; Edgerton, E. S.; Tanner, R. L.; Shaw, S. L.; Zheng, M.; Knipping, E. M.; Eddingsaas, N. C.; Wennberg, P. O.; Seinfeld, J. H. Characterization and Quantification of Isoprene-Derived Epoxydiols in Ambient Aerosol in the Southeastern United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (12), 4590–4596.

(43) Zhang, H.; Yee, L. D.; Lee, B. H.; Curtis, M. P.; Worton, D. R.; Isaacman-VanWertz, G.; Offenberg, J. H.; Lewandowski, M.; Kleindienst, T. E.; Beaver, M. R.; Holder, A. L.; Lonneman, W. A.; Docherty, K. S.; Jaoui, M.; Pye, H. O. T.; Hu, W.; Day, D. A.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Jimenez, J. L.; Guo, H.; Weber, R. J.; de Gouw, J.; Koss, A. R.; Edgerton, E. S.; Brune, W.; Mohr, C.; Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D.; Lutz, A.; Kreisberg, N. M.; Spielman, S. R.; Hering, S. V.; Wilson, K. R.; Thornton, J. A.; Goldstein, A. H. Monoterpenes Are the Largest Source of Summertime Organic Aerosol in the Southeastern United States. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115*, 2038.

(44) Pye, H. O. T.; Luecken, D. J.; Xu, L.; Boyd, C. M.; Ng, N. L.; Baker, K. R.; Ayres, B. R.; Bash, J. O.; Baumann, K.; Carter, W. P. L.; Edgerton, E.; Fry, J. L.; Hutzell, W. T.; Schwede, D. B.; Shepson, P. B. Modeling the Current and Future Roles of Particulate Organic Nitrates in the Southeastern United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (24), 14195–14203.

(45) Pye, H. O. T.; Murphy, B. N.; Xu, L.; Ng, N. L.; Carlton, A. G.; Guo, H.; Weber, R.; Vasilakos, P.; Appel, K. W.; Budisulistiorini, S. H.; Surratt, J. D.; Nenes, A.; Hu, W.; Jimenez, J. L.; Isaacman-VanWertz, G.; Misztal, P. K.; Goldstein, A. H. On the Implications of Aerosol Liquid Water and Phase Separation for Organic Aerosol Mass. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17* (1), 343–369.

(46) Appel, K. W.; Napelenok, S. L.; Foley, K. M.; Pye, H. O. T.; Hogrefe, C.; Luecken, D. J.; Bash, J. O.; Roselle, S. J.; Pleim, J. E.; Foroutan, H.; et al. Description and Evaluation of the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System Version 5.1. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2017**, *10* (4), 1703–1732.

(47) Cappa, C. D.; Wilson, K. R. Multi-Generation Gas-Phase Oxidation, Equilibrium Partitioning, and the Formation and Evolution of Secondary Organic Aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *12* (20), 9505.

- (48) Saleh, R.; Donahue, N. M.; Robinson, A. L. Time Scales for Gas-Particle Partitioning Equilibration of Secondary Organic Aerosol Formed from Alpha-Pinene Ozonolysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47* (11), 5588–5594.
- (49) Wang, C.; Wania, F.; Goss, K.-U. Is Secondary Organic Aerosol Yield Governed by Kinetic Factors Rather than Equilibrium Partitioning? *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts* **2018**, *20* (1), 245–252.
- (50) Pajunoja, A.; Hu, W.; Leong, Y. J.; Taylor, N. F.; Miettinen, P.; Palm, B. B.; Mikkonen, S.; Collins, D. R.; Jimenez, J. L.; Virtanen, A. Phase State of Ambient Aerosol Linked with Water Uptake and Chemical Aging in the Southeastern US. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (17), 11163–11176.
- (51) Pye, H. O. T.; Pouliot, G. A. Modeling the Role of Alkanes, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, and Their Oligomers in Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (11), 6041–6047.
- (52) Murphy, B. N.; Woody, M. C.; Jimenez, J. L.; Carlton, A. M. G.; Hayes, P. L.; Liu, S.; Ng, N. L.; Russell, L. M.; Setyan, A.; Xu, L.; Young, J.; Zaveri, R. A.; Zhang, Q.; Pye, H. O. T. Semivolatile POA and Parameterized Total Combustion SOA in CMAQv5.2: Impacts on Source Strength and Partitioning. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17* (18), 11107–11133.
- (53) Hayes, P. L.; Carlton, A. G.; Baker, K. R.; Ahmadv, R.; Washenfelder, R. A.; Alvarez, S.; Rappenglück, B.; Gilman, J. B.; Kuster, W. C.; de Gouw, J. A.; et al. Modeling the Formation and Aging of Secondary Organic Aerosols in Los Angeles during CalNex 2010. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *15* (10), 5773–5801.
- (54) Pye, H. O. T.; Zuend, A.; Fry, J. L.; Isaacman-VanWertz, G.; Capps, S. L.; Appel, K. W.; Foroutan, H.; Xu, L.; Ng, N. L.; Goldstein, A. H. Coupling of Organic and Inorganic Aerosol Systems and the Effect on Gas-particle Partitioning in the Southeastern US. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18* (1), 357–370.
- (55) Henderson, B. H.; Akhtar, F.; Pye, H. O. T.; Napelenok, S. L.; Hutzell, W. T. A Database and Tool for Boundary Conditions for Regional Air Quality Modeling: Description and Evaluation. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2014**, *7* (1), 339–360.
- (56) Baker, K. R.; Emery, C.; Dolwick, P.; Yarwood, G. Photochemical Grid Model Estimates of Lateral Boundary Contributions to Ozone and Particulate Matter across the Continental United States. *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *123*, 49–62.
- (57) Nguyen, T. K. V.; Ghate, V. P.; Carlton, A. G. Reconciling Satellite Aerosol Optical Thickness and Surface Fine Particle Mass through Aerosol Liquid Water. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2016**, *43* (22), 11903–11912.
- (58) Dick, W. D.; Saxena, P.; McMurry, P. H. Estimation of Water Uptake by Organic Compounds in Submicron Aerosols Measured during the Southeastern Aerosol and Visibility Study. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmospheres* **2000**, *105* (D1), 1471–1479.
- (59) Guo, H.; Xu, L.; Bougiatioti, A.; Cerully, K. M.; Capps, S. L.; Hite, J. R., Jr.; Carlton, A. G.; Lee, S.-H.; Bergin, M. H.; Ng, N. L.; Nenes, A.; Weber, R. J. Fine-Particle Water and PH in the Southeastern United States. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *15* (9), 5211–5228.
- (60) Xie, Y.; Paulot, F.; Carter, W. P. L.; Nolte, C. G.; Luecken, D. J.; Hutzell, W. T.; Wennberg, P. O.; Cohen, R. C.; Pinder, R. W. Understanding the Impact of Recent Advances in Isoprene Photo-oxidation on Simulations of Regional Air Quality. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13* (16), 8439–8455.
- (61) Sarwar, G.; Fahey, K.; Kwok, R.; Gilliam, R. C.; Roselle, S. J.; Mathur, R.; Xue, J.; Yu, J.; Carter, W. P. L. Potential Impacts of Two SO₂ Oxidation Pathways on Regional Sulfate Concentrations: Aqueous-Phase Oxidation by NO₂ and Gas-Phase Oxidation by Stabilized Criegee Intermediates. *Atmos. Environ.* **2013**, *68*, 186–197.
- (62) Simon, H.; Bhawe, P. V. Simulating the Degree of Oxidation in Atmospheric Organic Particles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (1), 331–339.
- (63) Carlton, A. G.; Turpin, B. J.; Altieri, K. E.; Seitzinger, S. P.; Mathur, R.; Roselle, S. J.; Weber, R. J. CMAQ Model Performance Enhanced When In-Cloud Secondary Organic Aerosol Is Included: Comparisons of Organic Carbon Predictions with Measurements. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42* (23), 8798–8802.
- (64) Powers, J. G.; Klemp, J. B.; Skamarock, W. C.; Davis, C. A.; Dudhia, J.; Gill, D. O.; Coen, J. L.; Gochis, D. J.; Ahmadv, R.; Peckham, S. E.; Grell, G. A.; Michalakes, J.; Trahan, S.; Benjamin, S. G.; Alexander, C. R.; Dimego, G. J.; Wang, W.; Schwartz, C. S.; Romine, G. S.; Liu, A.; Snyder, C.; Chen, F.; Barlage, M. J.; Yu, W.; Duda, M. G. The weather research and forecasting model Overview, System Efforts, and Future Directions. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **2017**, *98* (8), 1717–1737.
- (65) Otte, T. L.; Pleim, J. E. The Meteorology-Chemistry Interface Processor (MCIP) for the CMAQ Modeling System: Updates through MCIPv3.4.1. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2010**, *3* (1), 243–256.
- (66) Baker, K. R.; Misenis, C.; Obland, M. D.; Ferrare, R. A.; Scarino, A. J.; Kelly, J. T. Evaluation of Surface and Upper Air Fine Scale WRF Meteorological Modeling of the May and June 2010 CalNex Period in California. *Atmos. Environ.* **2013**, *80*, 299–309.
- (67) Houyoux, M. R.; Vukovich, J. M.; Coats, C. J.; Wheeler, N. J. M.; Kasibhatla, P. S. Emission Inventory Development and Processing for the Seasonal Model for Regional Air Quality (SMRAQ) Project. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmospheres* **2000**, *105* (D7), 9079–9090.
- (68) Bash, J. O.; Baker, K. R.; Beaver, M. R. Evaluation of Improved Land Use and Canopy Representation in BEIS v3.61 with Biogenic VOC Measurements in California. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2016**, *9* (6), 2191–2207.
- (69) National Emissions Inventory (NEI) Technical Support Document; U.S. EPA: Research Triangle Park, NC, 2011. <https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-inventories/2011-national-emissions-inventory-nei-technical-support-document> (accessed Feb 23, 2018).
- (70) Farkas, C. M.; Moeller, M. D.; Felder, F. A.; Baker, K. R.; Rodgers, M.; Carlton, A. G. Temporalization of Peak Electric Generation Particulate Matter Emissions during High Energy Demand Days. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (7), 4696–4704.
- (71) MOVES2014a: Latest Version of MOtor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES); U.S. EPA: Research Triangle Park, NC, 2015. <https://www.epa.gov/moves/moves2014a-latest-version-motor-vehicle-emission-simulator-moves> (accessed Feb 23, 2018).
- (72) Baker, K.; Woody, M.; Valin, L.; Szykman, J.; Yates, E.; Iraci, L.; Choi, H.; Soja, A.; Kopplitz, S.; Zhou, L. Photochemical model evaluation of 2013 California wild fire air quality impacts using surface, aircraft, and satellite data. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *637*, 1137–1149.
- (73) Dillner, A. M.; Phuah, C. H.; Turner, J. R. Effects of Post-Sampling Conditions on Ambient Carbon Aerosol Filter Measurements. *Atmos. Environ.* **2009**, *43* (37), 5937–5943.
- (74) El-Sayed, M. M. H.; Amenumey, D.; Hennigan, C. J. Drying-Induced Evaporation of Secondary Organic Aerosol during Summer. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (7), 3626–3633.
- (75) El-Sayed, M.; Hennigan, C. J. Contrasting Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation in Aerosol Liquid Water during Summer and Winter. *GU Fall Meeting Abstracts* **2017**, A12G-05.
- (76) Zhang, X.; Liu, Z.; Hecobian, A.; Zheng, M.; Frank, N. H.; Edgerton, S.; Weber, R. J. Spatial and Seasonal Variations of Fine Particle Water-Soluble Organic Carbon (WSOC) over the Southeastern United States: Implications for Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *12* (14), 6593–6607.
- (77) El-Sayed, M. M. H.; Ortiz-Montalvo, D. L.; Hennigan, C. J. The Effects of Isoprene and NO_x on Secondary Organic Aerosols Formed through Reversible and Irreversible Uptake to Aerosol Water. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18* (2), 1171–1184.
- (78) Shiraiwa, M.; Li, Y.; Tsimpidi, A. P.; Karydis, V. A.; Berkemeier, T.; Pandis, S. N.; Lelieveld, J.; Koop, T.; Pöschl, U. Global Distribution of Particle Phase State in Atmospheric Secondary Organic Aerosols. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15002.
- (79) Kurten, T.; Elm, J.; Prisle, N. L.; Mikkelsen, K. V.; Kampf, C. J.; Waxman, E. M.; Volkamer, R. Computational Study of the Effect of Glyoxal-Sulfate Clustering on the Henry's Law Coefficient of Glyoxal. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119* (19), 4509–4514.

(80) Wang, C.; Lei, Y. D.; Endo, S.; Wania, F. Measuring and Modeling the Salting-out Effect in Ammonium Sulfate Solutions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (22), 13238–13245.

(81) Sareen, N.; Waxman, E. M.; Turpin, B. J.; Volkamer, R.; Carlton, A. G. Potential of Aerosol Liquid Water to Facilitate Organic Aerosol Formation: Assessing Knowledge Gaps about Precursors and Partitioning. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (6), 3327–3335.

(82) Arashiro, M.; Lin, Y.-H.; Sexton, K. G.; Zhang, Z.; Jaspers, I.; Fry, R. C.; Vizuete, W. G.; Gold, A.; Surratt, J. D. In Vitro Exposure to Isoprene-Derived Secondary Organic Aerosol by Direct Deposition and Its Effects on COX-2 and IL-8 Gene Expression. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (22), 14079–14090.

(83) Tuet, W. Y.; Chen, Y.; Fok, S.; Champion, J. A.; Ng, N. L. Inflammatory Responses to Secondary Organic Aerosols (SOA) Generated from Biogenic and Anthropogenic Precursors. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17* (18), 11423–11440.

(84) Reiss, R.; Anderson, E. L.; Cross, C. E.; Hidy, G.; Hoel, D.; McClellan, R.; Moolgavkar, S. Evidence of Health Impacts of Sulfate- and Nitrate-Containing Particles in Ambient Air. *Inhalation Toxicol.* **2007**, *19* (5), 419–449.