



Enhanced dissipation for the 2D couette flow in critical space

Nader Masmoudi & Weiren Zhao

To cite this article: Nader Masmoudi & Weiren Zhao (2020) Enhanced dissipation for the 2D couette flow in critical space, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 45:12, 1682-1701, DOI: [10.1080/03605302.2020.1791180](https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2020.1791180)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2020.1791180>



Published online: 21 Jul 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 192



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Enhanced dissipation for the 2D couette flow in critical space

Nader Masmoudi^{a,c} and Weiren Zhao^b

^aNYUAD Research Institute, New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; ^bDepartment of Mathematics, New York University in Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; ^cCourant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, NY, USA

ABSTRACT

We consider the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$, with initial vorticity that is δ close in $H_x^{\log} L_y^2$ to -1 (the vorticity of the Couette flow $(y, 0)$). We prove that if $\delta \ll \nu^{1/2}$, where ν denotes the viscosity, then the solution of the Navier-Stokes equation approaches some shear flow which is also close to Couette flow for time $t \gg \nu^{-1/3}$ by a mixing-enhanced dissipation effect and then converges back to Couette flow when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. In particular, we show the nonlinear enhanced dissipation and the inviscid damping results in the almost critical space $H_x^{\log} L_y^2 \subset L_{x,y}^2$.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 4 September 2019
Accepted 19 May 2020

KEYWORDS

Couette flow; critical space; enhanced dissipation; inviscid damping, Navier Stokes

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U + \nabla P - \nu \Delta U = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot U = 0, \\ U|_{t=0} = U_{in}(x, y). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $U = (U^1, U^2)$ and P denote the velocity and the pressure of the fluid respectively. Let $\Omega = \partial_x U^2 - \partial_y U^1$ be the vorticity, which satisfies

$$\Omega_t + U \cdot \nabla \Omega - \nu \Delta \Omega = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The Couette flow $(y, 0)$ is a steady solution of (1.1) with $\Omega = -1$.

We introduce the perturbation. Let $U = (y, 0) + V$ and $\Omega = -1 + \omega$, then ω satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + y \partial_x \omega - \nu \Delta \omega = -V \cdot \nabla \omega, \\ V = \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-1} \omega, \\ \omega|_{t=0} = \omega_{in}(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and V satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V + y \partial_x V - \nu \Delta V + \nabla p = -V \cdot \nabla V - (V_2, 0), \\ \nabla \cdot V = 0, \\ V|_{t=0} = V_{in}(x, y). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

The enstrophy conservation law $\|\omega(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \omega(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds = \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}^2$ implies that the solution of (1.1) remains δ -close in L^2 to the Couette flow if the initial vorticity is δ -close in L^2 to -1 . In this paper, we focus on asymptotic stability of the 2D Couette flow. For the linearized equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + y \partial_x \omega - \nu \Delta \omega = 0, \\ \omega|_{t=0} = \omega_{in}(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

it is easy to obtain that

$$\|\omega_{\neq}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} e^{-c\nu t^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|V_{\neq}\|_{L_{t,x,y}^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}, \quad (1.6)$$

here we use the notation $f_{\neq}(t, x, y) = f(t, x, y) - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}|} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t, x, y) dx$. The first inequality in (1.6) is the enhanced dissipation and the second one is the inviscid damping.

However the nonlinear interaction may affect this linear behavior which leads to the fact that the nonlinear enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping are sensitive to the regularity of the perturbation and/or its smallness. Then an interesting question can be proposed in the following two ways:

1. Given a norm $\|\cdot\|_X (X \subset L^2)$, determine a $\beta = \beta(X)$ so that for the initial vorticity $\|\omega_{in}\|_X \ll \nu^\beta$ and for $t > 0$,

$$\|\omega_{\neq}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_X e^{-c\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}t} \quad \text{and} \quad \|V_{\neq}\|_{L_{t,x,y}^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_X, \quad (1.7)$$

or the weak enhanced dissipation type estimate

$$\|\omega_{\neq}\|_{L_{t,x,y}^2} \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|\omega_{in}\|_X \quad (1.8)$$

holds for the Navier-Stokes equation (1.3).

2. Given β , is there an optimal function space $X \subset L^2$ so that if the initial vorticity satisfies $\|\omega_{in}\|_X \ll \nu^\beta$, then (1.7) or (1.8) hold for the Navier-Stokes equation (1.3)?

These two problems (find the smallest β or find the largest function space X) are related to each other, since one can gain regularity in a short time by a standard time-weight argument if the initial perturbation is small enough.

For $\beta = 0$, Bedrossian, Masmoudi and Vicol [1, 2] showed that if X is taken as Gevery- m with $m < 2$, then (1.8) holds.

For $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, Bedrossian, Vicol and Wang [3] proved the nonlinear enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping for the perturbation of initial vorticity in $H^s, s > 1$.

Very recently, in [4] we proved that for $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$, the nonlinear enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping hold in Sobolev spaces $H^s, s > 40$.

The problem is also related to the stability threshold problem for Couette flow. One may also refer to [5–7] for more details of the 2D case and refer to [8–11] for the 3D case.

Our main goal is to prove that the nonlinear enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping estimates (1.7) hold for the nonlinear equations if the initial vorticity is $\nu^{1/2}$ -close to -1 in $H_x^{\log} L_y^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f: \|f\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)f\|_{L_{x,y}^2} < \infty\}$.

Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let ω be a solution of (1.3) with $\nu < 1$. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that if $\|V_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} + \|\omega_{in}\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2} \leq \epsilon_0 \nu^\beta$ for $\beta \geq 1/2$, then*

$$\|\omega_\neq(t)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2} \leq C e^{-c\nu^{1/3}t} \|\omega_{in}\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}, \quad \|\omega_0(t)\|_{L_y^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}.$$

where $\omega_0(t, y) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}|} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(t, x, y) dx$ and $\omega_\neq(t, x, y) = \omega(t, x, y) - \omega_0(t, y)$.

Moreover we have the inviscid damping type estimate,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|V_\neq^2(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^{+\infty} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\neq^2(s) \|_{L_x^2 L_y^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^{+\infty} \|\partial_x V_\neq^1(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}^2.$$

The constants c, C are independent of ν .

By the same argument, one may also get:

Corollary 1.1. *Let ω be a solution of (1.3) with $\nu < 1$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that if $\|V_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} + \|\omega_{in}\|_{H_x^\epsilon L_y^2} \leq \epsilon_0 \nu^\beta$ for $\beta \geq 1/2$, then*

$$\|\omega_\neq(t)\|_{H_x^\epsilon L_y^2} \leq C e^{-c\nu^{1/3}t} \|\omega_{in}\|_{H_x^\epsilon L_y^2}, \quad \|\omega_0(t)\|_{L_y^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}.$$

where $\omega_0(t, y) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}|} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(t, x, y) dx$ and $\omega_\neq(t, x, y) = \omega(t, x, y) - \omega_0(t, y)$.

Moreover we have the inviscid damping type estimate,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|V_\neq^2(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^{+\infty} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\neq^2(s) \|_{L_x^2 L_y^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^{+\infty} \|\partial_x V_\neq^1(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{H_x^\epsilon L_y^2}^2.$$

The constants c, C are independent of ν .

By the time weight argument, one can show that there exists $T > 0$ independent of ν , such that for $\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon_0 \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} / |\ln \nu|$, $\|\ln(|D| + e)\omega(t)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq C \ln((\nu t)^{-1} + e) \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}$ holds for $t \leq T$, which gives $\|\ln(|D| + e)\omega(T)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq C \ln((\nu T)^{-1} + e) \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \leq C \epsilon_0 \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Details can be found in the appendix. The following corollary can be obtained by applying Theorem 1.1 for $t \geq T$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let ω be a solution of (1.3) with $\nu < 1$. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that if $\|V_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} + \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq \epsilon_0 \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} |\ln \nu|^{-1}$, then*

$$\|\omega_\neq(t)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq C e^{-c\nu^{1/3}t} \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}, \quad \|\omega_0(t)\|_{L_y^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}.$$

where $\omega_0(t, y) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}|} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(t, x, y) dx$ and $\omega_\neq(t, x, y) = \omega(t, x, y) - \omega_0(t, y)$.

Moreover we have the inviscid damping type estimate,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|V_{\neq}^2(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^{+\infty} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} V_{\neq}^2(s) \|_{L_x^2 L_y^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^{+\infty} \|\partial_x V_{\neq}^1(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2.$$

The constants c, C are independent of ν .

It also implies that for $\beta > 1/2$, the space X can be taken as L^2 which is the largest space.

Let us now outline the main idea in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). We will show that there is a time $t \sim \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, such that for any $\tau \geq 0$ the energy $E(\tau)$ of the nonzero mode ω_{\neq} satisfies $E(t + \tau) \leq \frac{1}{2}E(\tau)$ and that there exists C independent of t and τ such that for any $s \in [\tau, t + \tau]$, $E(s) \leq CE(\tau)$.

Let us start by some heuristic argument. The main difficulty is to control the nonlinear growth. There are three nonlinear terms $V_0^1 \partial_x \omega_{\neq}$, $V_{\neq}^2 \partial_y \omega_0$ and $V_{\neq} \cdot \nabla \omega_{\neq}$. Formally, for the first term, due to the fact $V_0^1(s)$ behaves as $V_0^1(\tau)$ for $|\tau - s| \leq \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\partial_x \omega_{\neq}(s)$ behaves as $\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(s - \tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \omega_{\neq}(\tau + 1)$ for $s \in [\tau + 1, \tau + t]$ (due to the enhanced dissipation), the effect of the nonlinear interactions from time τ to $\tau + t$ cause $\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ growth. For the second term, one can only obtain that $\|\partial_y \omega_0(s)\|_{L^2(\tau, \tau+t)L_y^2} \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\omega\|_{L^2}$ due to that fact that the initial vorticity is in L_y^2 . Thus the effect of the nonlinear interactions also cause $\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ growth. One can use the same argument for the third term. However, since the Sobolev embedding of H^1 in L^∞ fails in dimension 2, we need to assume that the initial vorticity has some log-type regularity in the x direction (see (2.7) and (2.10) in [Lemma 2.2](#)). Finally to cancel the $\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ growth, we assume the initial perturbation is $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ small.

Remark 1.2. The log-type regularity in the x direction is not optimal. Actually by the same argument, one can replace it by $(\ln(e + |D_x|))^\gamma$ or $(\ln(e + |D_x|))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $(\ln \ln(e + |D_x|))^\gamma$ with $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ and so on.

2. Linear enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping

We consider the linearized Navier-Stokes around $(y, 0)$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + y \partial_x \omega - \nu \Delta \omega = 0, \\ \omega|_{t=0} = \omega_{in}(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Taking the Fourier transform in the x direction, we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widehat{\omega} + i\alpha y \widehat{\omega} - \nu(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2) \widehat{\omega} = 0, \\ \widehat{\omega}|_{t=0} = \widehat{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, y). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Now let us introduce the key lemmas for the linearized system (2.2). The following lemma shows the enhanced dissipation for the linearized system.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose ω is a solution of the linearized Navier Stokes equation (1.5) with initial data satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega_{in}(x, y) dx = 0$. Then there exist c and C such that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\|\omega(t, x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2} \leq C e^{-c\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}t} \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|\nabla \omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^2(H_x^{\log} L_y^2)} \leq C \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|\partial_x \omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^1(H_x^{\log} L_y^2)} \leq C \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|\ln(|D_x| + e)\omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^1 L_{x,y}^\infty} \leq C \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

The inequality (2.3) is the linear enhanced dissipation. The inequalities (2.4) and (2.6) are the heat dissipation results. The inequality (2.5) is obtained by using both enhanced dissipation and the heat dissipation.

The next lemma gives the inviscid damping for the linearized system.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose ω is a solution of the linearized Navier Stokes equation (1.5) with initial data satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega_{in}(x, y) dx = 0$. Let ψ be the stream function so that $V = (\partial_y \psi, -\partial_x \psi)$ and $-\Delta \psi = \omega$, then for any $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|\partial_x \psi(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^2 L_{x,y}^\infty} \leq C \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\| |D_x|^{1/2} \ln(|D_x| + e) \partial_x \psi(t, x, y) \|_{L_t^2 L_x^2 L_y^\infty} \leq C \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|\partial_y \partial_x \psi(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^1(H_x^{\log} L_y^2)} \leq C \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover the Sobolev embedding theorem gives

$$\|\partial_y \psi(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^\infty L_{x,y}^\infty} \leq C \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

We begin the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\omega}(t, \alpha, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y) e^{-iny} dy$ be the Fourier transform of $\widehat{\omega}$ in y . Let $W(t, x, y) = \omega(t, x + yt, y)$, then $\widehat{W}(t, \alpha, y) = \widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y) e^{ixyt}$ and $\widetilde{W}(t, \alpha, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y) e^{ixyt} e^{-iny} dy = \tilde{\omega}(t, \alpha, \eta - \alpha t)$. It is easy to check that

$$\partial_t \widetilde{W} + \nu(\alpha^2 + (\eta - \alpha t)^2) \widetilde{W} = 0,$$

thus we obtain that

$$\widetilde{W}(t, \alpha, \eta) = e^{-\nu(\frac{1}{3}\alpha^2 t^3 - \eta \alpha t^2 + \eta^2 t + \alpha^2 t)} \widetilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta), \quad (2.11)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\omega}(t, \alpha, \eta)| &= e^{-\nu(\frac{1}{3}\alpha^2 t^3 + \eta \alpha t^2 + \eta^2 t + \alpha^2 t)} |\widetilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)| \\ &= e^{-\nu(\frac{1}{21}\alpha^2 t^3 + t(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}\eta + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\alpha t)^2 + \frac{1}{8}\eta^2 t + \alpha^2 t)} |\widetilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)| \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{1}{21}\alpha^2 \nu t^3 - \alpha^2 \nu t - \frac{1}{8}\eta^2 \nu t} |\widetilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by using Plancherel's theorem, we get that

$$\|\widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2} \leq C e^{-c\nu(\alpha^2 t^3 + \alpha^2 t)} \|\widehat{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2},$$

and

$$\|(\partial_y, \alpha)\widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_t^2 L_y^2} \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\widehat{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2},$$

which gives $\|\ln(|D_x| + e)\nabla\omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_{t,x,y}^2} \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\ln(|D_x| + e)\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}$.

Next we prove (2.5). We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\ln(|D_x| + e)\partial_x\omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^1 L_{x,y}^2} \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \|\alpha \ln(|\alpha| + e)\widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \|\alpha \ln(|\alpha| + e)\widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ & \quad + C \int_1^T \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \|\alpha \ln(|\alpha| + e)\widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^1 \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \|\alpha \ln(|\alpha| + e)\widehat{\omega}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \int_1^T \frac{C}{t^{3/2}\nu^{1/2}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \|\ln(|\alpha| + e)\widehat{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ & \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\ln(|\alpha| + e)\widehat{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, y)\|_{L_x^2 L_y^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

At last we prove (2.6). Here we will use the Littlewood-Paley theory on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbf{R}$ which can be found in Section 4.1.1. Let us recall the notation that

$$\Delta_j u = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{\alpha} \widetilde{u}(\alpha, \eta) \widetilde{\Phi}_j(\alpha, \eta) e^{i\alpha x + i\eta y} d\eta = \Phi_j * u.$$

Recall $W(t, x, y) = \omega(t, x + yt, y)$. Then by (4.1) and (4.7), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^2 L_{x,y}^\infty} \leq \|\omega(t, x + yt, y)\|_{L_t^2 L_{x,y}^\infty} \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{j \geq 0} \|\Delta_j W(t, x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^\infty} \right\|_{L_t^2} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^j \|\Delta_j W(t, x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \right\|_{L_t^2} \\ & \leq C \left\| \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^j \|\widetilde{W}(t, \alpha, \eta) \widetilde{\Phi}_j(\alpha, \eta)\|_{L_x^2 L_y^2} \right\|_{L_t^2} \\ & = C \left(\int_0^\infty \sum_{j' \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j'} 2^j \|\widetilde{W}(t, \alpha, \eta) \widetilde{\Phi}_j(\alpha, \eta)\|_{L_x^2 L_y^2}(t) \|\widetilde{W}(t, \alpha, \eta) \widetilde{\Phi}_{j'}(\alpha, \eta)\|_{L_x^2 L_y^2}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\nu^{-1} \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{j' \geq 0} \frac{2^j 2^{j'}}{2^{2j} + 2^{2j'}} \|\Delta_j \widetilde{\omega}_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \|\Delta_{j'} \widetilde{\omega}_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C\nu^{-1/2} \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that the kernel $K(j, j') = \frac{2^j 2^{j'}}{2^{2j} + 2^{2j'}}$ satisfies the Schur criterion,

$$\sup_{j' \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{2^j 2^{j'}}{2^{2j} + 2^{2j'}} + \sup_{j \geq 0} \sum_{j' \geq 0} \frac{2^j 2^{j'}}{2^{2j} + 2^{2j'}} \leq C.$$

By the same argument, we get

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^2 L_{x,y}^\infty} \leq C\nu^{-1/2} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}.$$

Thus we proved the lemma. □

Next we begin the proof of [Lemma 2.2](#).

Proof. Let us first prove (2.8). By the fact that $\tilde{\psi}(t, \alpha, \eta) = (\alpha^2 + \eta^2)\tilde{\omega}(t, \alpha, \eta)$ and by using (2.11), we have

$$|\alpha\tilde{\psi}(t, \alpha, \eta)| \leq C \frac{|\alpha|}{|\eta|^2 + \alpha^2} |\tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)|.$$

Thus we get by the Minkowski's integral inequality (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(|D_x| + e) \partial_x \psi(t, x, y) \|_{L_t^2 L_x^2 L_y^\infty} \\ & \leq \| |\alpha|^{\frac{3}{2}} \ln(|\alpha| + e) \tilde{\psi}(t, \alpha, \eta) \|_{L_\alpha^2 L_\eta^2 L_t^1} \leq \| |\alpha|^{\frac{3}{2}} \ln(|\alpha| + e) \tilde{\psi}(t, \alpha, \eta) \|_{L_\alpha^2 L_\eta^1 L_t^2} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|\alpha|^{\frac{3}{2}} \ln(|\alpha| + e)}{|\eta|^2 + \alpha^2} \left(\int_0^T |\tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\eta \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|\alpha| \ln(|\alpha| + e)}{|\eta|^2 + \alpha^2} \|\tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \cdot)\|_{L^2} d\eta \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \|\ln(|\alpha| + e) \tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta)\|_{L_\eta^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\ln(|D_x| + e) \omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.8).

The estimate (2.7) follows from the (2.8) and the following Sobolev embedding result,

$$\left\| f - \frac{1}{|2\pi|} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} \leq C \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(|D_x| + e) f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Next we prove (2.9). We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |\alpha| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \hat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) \|_{L_{t,y}^2} \leq \| |\alpha| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \tilde{\psi}(t, \alpha, \eta) \|_{L_{t,\alpha,\eta}^2} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_0^T \left(\frac{|\alpha| \ln(|\alpha| + e) |\eta|}{\alpha^2 + \eta^2} |\tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)| \right)^2 dt d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{|\alpha| |\eta|}{\alpha^2 + \eta^2} \right)^2 |\alpha|^{-1} d\eta \|\ln(|\alpha| + e) \tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \|\ln(|\alpha| + e) \tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta)\|_{L_\alpha^2 L_\eta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty L_y^\infty} \\
 & \leq C \| \|\partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{L_y^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{H_y^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} \\
 & \leq C \| |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\ln(|\alpha| + e))^{-1} \| |\alpha| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) \|_{L_y^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) \|_{H_y^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} \\
 & \leq C \| |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\ln(|\alpha| + e))^{-1} \|_{L_x^2} \| |\alpha| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) \|_{L_y^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) \|_{H_y^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_x^1} \|_{L_t^\infty} \\
 & \leq C \| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \widehat{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, y) \|_{L_{\alpha, y}^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the last inequality. Here the last inequality we use the fact that

$$\| \|\ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{H_y^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_x^1} = \| \|\ln(|\alpha| + e) \partial_y \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y)\|_{H_y^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus we proved the lemma. □

Remark 2.3. Let ψ solve $\Delta\psi = \omega$, then it holds that

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{L_{x, y}^\infty} \leq C \|\omega\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}.$$

Proof. By the same argument in the proof of (2.10), we have

$$\|\partial_y \psi\|_{L_{x, y}^\infty} \leq C \|\omega\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\partial_x \psi\|_{L_{x, y}^\infty} & \leq C \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \int_{\eta} \frac{\alpha}{\eta^2 + \alpha^2} |\widetilde{\omega}(\alpha, \eta)| d\eta \\
 & \leq C \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \|\widetilde{\omega}(\alpha, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{\eta} \frac{\alpha^2}{(\eta^2 + \alpha^2)^2} d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln(|\alpha| + e)^{-1} \| \ln(|\alpha| + e) \widetilde{\omega}(\alpha, \cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq C \|\omega\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the remark. □

3. Nonlinear enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping

In this section, we prove the nonlinear enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping.

For $t > s$, let $S(t, s)f$ solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + y \partial_x \omega - \nu \Delta \omega = 0, \\ \omega|_{t=s} = f(x, y), \end{cases}$$

with $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x, y) dx = 0$.

We now consider the nonlinear equation,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_{\neq} + y \partial_x \omega_{\neq} - \nu \Delta \omega_{\neq} = -\mathcal{N}_1 - \mathcal{N}_2 - \mathcal{N}_3, \\ \omega_{\neq}|_{t=0} = P_{\neq 0} \omega_{in}(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = (V_{\neq}^1 \partial_x \omega_{\neq})_{\neq}(t, x, y) + (V_{\neq}^2 \partial_y \omega_{\neq})_{\neq}(t, x, y),$$

$\mathcal{N}_2 = V_0^1(t, y) \partial_x \omega_{\neq}(t, x, y)$ and $\mathcal{N}_3 = V_{\neq}^2(t, x, y) \partial_y \omega_0(t, y)$, where $\omega_0(t, y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega_0 - \nu \partial_y^2 \omega_0 = -(V_{\neq}^1 \partial_x \omega_{\neq})_0(t, y) - (V_{\neq}^2 \partial_y \omega_{\neq})_0(t, y), \\ \omega_0|_{t=0} = P_0 \omega_{in}(y), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

and $V_0^1(t, y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_0^1 - \nu \partial_y^2 V_0^1 = -(V_{\neq}^1 \partial_x V_{\neq}^1)_0(t, y) - (V_{\neq}^2 \partial_y V_{\neq}^1)_0(t, y), \\ V_0^1|_{t=0} = P_0 V_{in}^1(y). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

We get by the enstrophy conservation law that

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \omega(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds = \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2, \quad (3.4)$$

which implies

$$\int_0^t \|\partial_y \omega_{\neq}(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\partial_y \omega_0(s)\|_{L_y^2}^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{2\nu} \|\omega(0)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2. \quad (3.5)$$

We also have

$$\|e^{t\nu\partial_y^2} f\|_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad \int_s^\infty \|\partial_y e^{(t-s)\nu\partial_y^2} f\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \|f\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$V_0^1(t, y) = V_{in}^1(y) - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\nu\partial_y^2} ((V_{\neq}^1 \partial_x V_{\neq}^1)_0(s, y) + (V_{\neq}^2 \partial_y V_{\neq}^1)_0(s, y)) ds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\neq}(t + \tau, \alpha, y) \\ = S(t, 0) \omega_{\neq}(\tau, \alpha, y) - \int_0^t S(t, s) (\mathcal{N}_1 + \mathcal{N}_2 + \mathcal{N}_3)(s + \tau) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) is based on a bootstrap argument.

Suppose $\|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} + \|V_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq \epsilon_0 \nu^\beta$ and for any $\tau, t + \tau \in [0, T]$ with $t \geq 0$, the following inequalities hold:

1. Uniform bound of V_0^1

$$\|V_0^1(\tau)\|_{L_y^2} \leq 8C_0 \epsilon_0 \nu^\beta; \quad (3.6)$$

2. Enhanced dissipation

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(t + \tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq 8C_1 e^{-c_1 \nu^{\frac{1}{3}} t} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\left(\int_{\tau}^T \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\nabla \omega_{\neq}(s)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 8C_2 \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\int_{\tau}^T \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\partial_x \omega_{\neq}(s)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 ds \leq 8C_3 \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\left(\int_{\tau}^T \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(s)\|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 8C_4 \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \quad (3.10)$$

3. Inviscid damping

$$\left(\int_{\tau}^T \|V_{\neq}^2(s)\|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 8C_5 \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\left(\int_{\tau}^T \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(e + |D_x|) V_{\neq}^2(s) \|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 8C_6 \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\left(\int_{\tau}^T \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\partial_x V_{\neq}^1(s)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 8C_7 \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}; \quad (3.13)$$

4. Uniform bound of V_{\neq}^1

$$\sup_{s \in [\tau, T]} \|V_{\neq}^1(s)\|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}} \leq 8C_8 \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}. \quad (3.14)$$

The constants c_1, ϵ_0 , and $C_k \geq 1, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 8$, will be determined later.

By choosing $t = \tau$ and $\tau = 0$ in (3.7), we get

$$\|\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq 8C_1 \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta}. \quad (3.15)$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\beta \geq 1/2$. Assume that $\|\omega_{in}\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2} + \|V_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta}$ and that for some $T > 0$, the estimate (3.6)-(3.14) hold on $[0, T]$. Then there exists ν_0 so that for $\nu < \nu_0$ and ϵ_0 sufficiently small depending only on c_1 and $C_k (k = 0, \dots, 8)$ (in particular, independent of T), these same estimates hold with all the occurrences of 8 on the right-hand side replaced by 4.*

This proposition implies Theorem 1.1 by the standard bootstrap argument. Now we begin the proof of Proposition 3.1. We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the bootstrap assumptions (3.6) and (3.7), there is a constant M_1 independent of C_b, c_1 and ϵ_0, ν so that*

$$\|V_0^1(t)\|_{L^2_y} \leq M_1 \|V_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}} + M_1 \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-1/3} C_1 / c_1.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_0^1(t)\|_{L_y^2} &\leq \|e^{t\nu\partial_y^2} V_{in}^1(0)\|_{L_y^2} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\nu\partial_y^2} ((V_{\neq}^1 \partial_x V_{\neq}^1)_0 + (V_{\neq}^2 \partial_y V_{\neq}^1)_0) ds \right\|_{L_y^2} \\ &\leq C \|V_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} + \|(V_{\neq} \cdot \nabla V_{\neq}^1)_0\|_{L_t^1 L_y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that

$$\|(V_{\neq} \nabla V_{\neq}^1)_0\|_{L_y^2} \leq \|V_{\neq}\|_{L_x^2 L_y^\infty} \|\nabla V_{\neq}^1\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq \|\omega_{\neq}\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2,$$

and the bootstrap assumption (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(V_{\neq} \cdot \nabla V_{\neq}^1)_0\|_{L_t^1 L_y^2} &\leq C \int_0^t \|\omega_{\neq}(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq CC_1 \int_0^t e^{-c_1\nu^{1/3}s} ds \|\omega_{\neq}(0)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-1/3} C_1 / c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $\|\omega_{\neq}\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq \|\omega_{\neq}\|_{H_x^{\log} L_y^2}$ and we also used the enstrophy conservation law (3.4). This gives the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Under the bootstrap assumptions (3.6)-(3.14), there is a constant M_2 independent of C_k , ($k = 0, \dots, 8$) and ϵ_0, ν so that for any $t, \tau > 0$ and $t + \tau < T$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^3 \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \mathcal{N}_k(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^1([0, t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\leq M_2 \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-1/2} C_1 \left(C_2 C_5 + C_6 C_2 + C_2 C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 C_7 + C_3 C_8 \right) \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us first recall the Littlewood-Paley theory and Bony's decomposition on \mathbb{T} which can be found in Section 4.1.2.

According to Bony's decomposition, we divide $\mathcal{N}_1 = V_{\neq}^1 \partial_x \omega_{\neq} + V_{\neq}^2 \partial_y \omega_{\neq}$ into four terms

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = T_{\partial_x \omega_{\neq}} V_{\neq}^1 + T_{V_{\neq}^1}^* \partial_x \omega_{\neq} + T_{V_{\neq}^2}^* \partial_y \omega_{\neq} + T_{\partial_y \omega_{\neq}} V_{\neq}^2.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \mathcal{N}_1(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^1([0, t], L_{x,y}^2)} &= \int_0^t \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \mathcal{N}_1(s + \tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} ds \\ &\leq C \|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_{\partial_x \omega_{\neq}} V_{\neq}^1\|_{L_s^1([0, t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\quad + C \|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_{V_{\neq}^1}^* \partial_x \omega_{\neq}\|_{L_s^1([0, t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\quad + C \|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_{V_{\neq}^2}^* \partial_y \omega_{\neq}\|_{L_s^1([0, t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\quad + C \|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_{\partial_y \omega_{\neq}} V_{\neq}^2\|_{L_s^1([0, t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &= N_{1,1} + N_{1,2} + N_{1,3} + N_{1,4}. \end{aligned}$$

By the bootstrap assumptions (3.13), (3.10) and using (3.15) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{1,1} &\leq C \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x V_{\neq}^1(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \|\omega_{\neq}(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^\infty)} \\ &\leq CC_7 C_4 \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 \\ &\leq CC_1 C_7 C_4 \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the bootstrap assumptions (3.14) and (3.9) and using (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{1,2} &\leq C \|V_{\neq}^1(s + \tau, \beta, y)\|_{L_s^\infty L_{x,y}^\infty} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x \omega_{\neq}(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^1([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\leq C \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} C_1 C_8 C_3 \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the bootstrap assumptions (3.11) and (3.8) and using (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{1,3} &\leq C \|\widehat{V}_{\neq}^2(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^\infty)} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_y \omega_{\neq}(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\leq C \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} C_1 C_5 C_2 \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the bootstrap assumptions (3.12) and (3.8) and using (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{1,4} &\leq C \|\ln(e + |D_x|) V_{\neq}^2(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^\infty)} \|\partial_y \omega_{\neq}\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\leq C \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} C_1 C_6 C_2 \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We need the *log-type* regularity only in the estimates of $N_{1,2}$ and $N_{1,3}$, which are due to the fact that V_{\neq} is in lower frequency in x , so we need to use the $L_{x,y}^\infty$ estimate on V_{\neq} . For $N_{1,2}$ we use the enhanced dissipation and to treat $N_{1,3}$ we use inviscid damping.

Thus we have finished the estimate of \mathcal{N}_1 .

Now we deal with \mathcal{N}_2 . By the fact that $\|\omega_0(t, y)\|_{L_y^2} \leq \|\omega(t, x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq \|\omega(\tau, x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}$ for any $\tau < t$ the bootstrap assumptions (3.6) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\ln(e + |D_x|) \mathcal{N}_2(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^1([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|V_0^1(s + \tau, y)\|_{L^\infty} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x \omega_{\neq}(s + \tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} ds \\ &\leq C \|V_0^1(\tau, y)\|_{L_y^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\omega_{in}(x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x \omega_{\neq}(s + \tau, \alpha, \cdot)\|_{L_s^1([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\leq CC_0^{\frac{1}{2}} C_2 \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau, \alpha, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

At last we deal with \mathcal{N}_3 . By the bootstrap assumption (3.12) and the fact that

$$\|\partial_y \omega_0(s + \tau, \cdot)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_y^2)} \leq \|\partial_y \omega(s + \tau, x, y)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \leq C \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\omega}(\tau, x, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\ln(e + |D_x|) \mathcal{N}_3(s + \tau)\|_{L_s^1([0,t], L_{x,y}^2)} \\ &\leq C \|\ln(e + |D_x|) V_{\neq}^2(s + \tau, x, y)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L_{x,y}^\infty)} \|\partial_y \omega_0(s + \tau, y)\|_{L_s^2([0,t], L^2)} \\ &\leq CC_6 \epsilon \nu^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau, \alpha, y)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the lemma. \square

Now we are in a position to prove [Proposition 3.1](#).

Proof. Under the bootstrap assumptions (3.6)-(3.14), there is a constant M independent of C_k , ($k = 0, \dots, 8$) and ϵ_0, ν so that for any $\tau, \tau > 0$ and $t + \tau < T$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(t + \tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
 & \leq M e^{-c\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}t} \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
 & \quad + M C_1 (\epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} (C_2 C_5 + C_2 C_6 + C_2 C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 C_7 + C_3 C_8)) \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
 & \leq M \left(e^{-c\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}t} + 5 C_1 \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} X^2 \right) \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $X = \max\{C_0, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8\}$.

By (2.3)-(2.10) and [Lemma 3.3](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\tau}^T \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \nabla \omega_{\neq}(s) \|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\tau}^T \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x \omega_{\neq}(s) \|_{L^2_{x,y}} ds \\
 & \quad + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\tau}^T \| \omega_{\neq}(s) \|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\tau}^T \| V_{\neq}^2(s) \|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \left(\int_{\tau}^T \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(e + |D_x|) V_{\neq}^2(s) \|_{L^2_x L^{\infty}_y}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\tau}^T \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x V_{\neq}^1(s) \|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \sup_{s \in [\tau, T]} \| V_{\neq}^1(s) \|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}} \\
 & \leq \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \nabla S(t, \tau) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 dt + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x S(t, \tau) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 dt \\
 & \quad + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \| S(t, \tau) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \| \partial_x (-\Delta)^{-1} S(t, \tau) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x (-\Delta)^{-1} S(t, \tau) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_x L^{\infty}_y}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x \partial_y (-\Delta)^{-1} S(t, \tau) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \sup_{t \in [s, \infty)} \| \partial_y (-\Delta)^{-1} S(t, \tau) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^{\infty}_{x,y}} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \mathcal{N}_k \|_{L^1_s([0, t], L^2_{x,y})} \\
 & \leq M_3 (1 + \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} C_1 (C_2 C_5 + C_2 C_6 + C_2 C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 C_7 + C_3 C_8)) \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
 & \leq M_3 (1 + 5 \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} C_1 X^2) \| \ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_{\neq}(\tau) \|_{L^2_{x,y}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $X = \max\{C_0, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8\}$.

By Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\|V_0^1(t)\|_{L_y^2} \leq M_1(1 + \epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-1/3} C_1/c_1) \epsilon_0 \nu^\beta. \quad (3.17)$$

Here without loss of generality, we assume $M_1 \leq M_3$.

At last we will determine those constants in the bootstrap assumption. The proposition holds if we choose the constants $C_k (k = 0, 1, \dots, 8)$ and ϵ_0, c_1 in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= \max\{M_3, 1\} = X, \quad k = 0, 2, \dots, 8, \\ C_1 &= 5\max\{M, 1\}, \quad c_1 = \frac{c \ln 2}{\ln 4M}, \\ \epsilon_0 &= 10^{-2}(\max\{M_3, 1\})^{-2}(\max\{M, 1\})^{-2}c, \end{aligned}$$

where M is the constant in (3.16).

Actually we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\tau^T \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \nabla \omega_\neq(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_\tau^T \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x \omega_\neq(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} ds \\ & + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\tau^T \|\omega_\neq(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^\infty}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_\tau^T \|V_\neq^2(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^\infty}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \left(\int_\tau^T \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(e + |D_x|) V_\neq^2(s) \|_{L_x^2 L_y^\infty}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \left(\int_\tau^T \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x V_\neq^1(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sup_{s \in [\tau, T]} \|V_\neq^1(s)\|_{L_{x,y}^\infty} \\ & \leq M_3 (1 + 5\epsilon_0 \nu^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} C_1 X^2) \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_\neq(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \\ & \leq 4X \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_\neq(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Thus (3.8)-(3.14) hold with all the occurrences of 8 on the right-hand side replaced by 4.

Then we get by (3.16) that there exists $t_0 = (\ln 4M)(c\nu^{\frac{1}{3}})^{-1}$, so that for any $\tau, \tau + t_0 \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_\neq(\tau + t_0)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_\neq(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}, \quad (3.19)$$

and for any $0 < s \leq t_0$ and $\tau, \tau + s \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_\neq(\tau + s)\|_{L_{x,y}^2} \leq 2M \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \omega_\neq(\tau)\|_{L_{x,y}^2}. \quad (3.20)$$

For any $t + \tau, \tau \in [0, T]$ with $t \geq 0$, let $t = nt_0 + s$ with $n = [t/t_0] \geq 0$ and $s \in (0, t_0]$. Therefore, by (3.19), we get for any $t + \tau, \tau \in [0, T]$ with $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(t + \tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} &= \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(nt_0 + s + \tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}((n-1)t_0 + s + \tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{[t/t_0]}} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(s + \tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.20), it holds that

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(t + \tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq 2Me^{-(\ln 2)t/t_0+1} \|\ln(e + |D_x|)\omega_{\neq}(\tau)\|_{L^2_{x,y}}. \quad (3.21)$$

According to the definition of c_1 , C_1 , we get for any $t > 0$

$$2Me^{-(\ln 2)t/t_0+1} \leq 4C_1e^{-c_1\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}t}.$$

Thus (3.21) implies that (3.7) holds with the occurrence of 8 on the right-hand side replaced by 4.

At last we have

$$M_1 + M_1\epsilon_0\nu^{\beta-1/3}C_1/c_1 \leq 4C_0.$$

Then by (3.17), we proved that (3.6) holds with the occurrence of 8 on the right-hand side replaced by 4. Thus we proved the proposition. \square

4. Appendix

4.1. Littlewood-Paley theory

In this subsection, we recall some basic facts about the Littlewood-Paley theory.

4.1.1. Littlewood-Paley theory on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$

Let us first recall some basic facts about the Littlewood-Paley theory on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Phi(x, y)$ and $\Phi_0(x, y)$ be two functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})$ such that their Fourier transform satisfy $\text{supp } \tilde{\Phi} \subset \{\xi = (\alpha, \eta) : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$, $\text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_0 \subset \{\xi = (\alpha, \eta) : |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_0(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{\Phi}_j(\xi) = 1$ with $\tilde{\Phi}_j(\xi) = \tilde{\Phi}(2^{-(j-1)}\xi)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$

The Littlewood-Paley operators Δ_j ($j \geq 0$) on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Delta_j u = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{u}(\alpha, \eta) \tilde{\Phi}_j(\alpha, \eta) e^{i\alpha x + i\eta y} d\eta = \Phi_j * u.$$

Then Bernstein's inequality (see Lemma 2.1 of [12]) gives

$$\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty_{x,y}} \leq \|\Phi_j\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \sum_{|k-j| \leq 2} \|\Delta_k u\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq C2^j \sum_{|k-j| \leq 2} \|\Delta_k u\|_{L^2_{x,y}}. \quad (4.1)$$

4.1.2. Littlewood-Paley theory on \mathbb{T}

Let us recall some basic facts about the Littlewood-Paley theory on \mathbb{T} . Let ϕ and ϕ_0 be two functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\text{supp } \widehat{\phi} \subset \{\frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$, $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi} \subset \{|\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\widehat{\chi}(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \widehat{\phi}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1$.

Then the Littlewood-Paley operators $\Delta_j, S_j, (j \geq 0)$ on \mathbb{T} defined by

$$\Delta_j u = (\phi_j * u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi_j(x - x_1) u(x_1) dx_1, \quad j \geq 0$$

$$S_j u = \sum_{\ell=-1}^{j-1} \Delta_\ell u = (\chi_j * u)(x), \quad \Delta_{-1} u = (\chi * u)(x)$$

Here $\phi_j(x) = 2^j \phi(2^j x)$ and $\|\chi_j\|_{L^2} \leq C 2^{\frac{j}{2}}$.

Furthermore, we have Bony's decomposition: $T_f g = \sum_{j \geq 1} S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g$ and $T_g^* f = f g - T_f g$.

The following Bernstein type inequalities will be used.

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_f g\|_{L_x^2} + \|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_f^* g\|_{L_x^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) g\|_{L_x^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_f g\|_{L_x^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L_x^2} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(e + |D_x|) g \|_{L_x^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_{\partial_x f} g\|_{L_x^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \partial_x g\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (4.4)$$

Here we show the proof of (4.3), (4.2) and (4.4) can be obtained by the same argument. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ln(e + |D_x|) T_f g\|_{L_x^2} &= \|\ln(e + |D_x|) \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g \right)\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \geq -1} \|\langle k \rangle \Delta_k \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g \right)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \geq -1} \langle k \rangle \sum_{|j-k| \leq 2} \sup_{j \leq k+2} \|S_{j-1} f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Delta_j g\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \geq -1} \langle k \rangle \sum_{|j-k| \leq 2} 2^j \sup_{j \leq k+2} \|S_{j-1} f\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Delta_j g\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{k \geq -1} \langle k \rangle 2^k \|\Delta_k g\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_x^2} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(e + |D_x|) g \|_{L_x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Details of the Littlewood-Paley theory on \mathbb{T} or $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbf{R}$ as well as Bony's decomposition can be found in [12–14].

4.2. Functional inequalities

In this subsection, we introduce some basic functional inequalities which are used in the proof. We start with the well-known Gagliardo-Nirenberg on \mathbf{R} inequality (see [15]). Suppose $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.5)$$

We also introduce the Minkowski's integral inequality (see [16]). Suppose that (S_1, μ_1) and (S_2, μ_2) are two σ -finite measure spaces and $F(x, y): S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is measurable. Then it holds for $p > 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^p(d\mu_2, L^1(d\mu_1))} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_{S_2} \left| \int_{S_1} F(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right|^p d\mu_2(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \int_{S_1} \left(\int_{S_2} |F(x, y)|^p d\mu_2(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu_1(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|F\|_{L^1(d\mu_1, L^p(d\mu_2))}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We end this subsection by introducing the discrete Schur test. Let $K(j, j')$ be the non-negative function defined on \mathbb{N}^2 and

$$T(f)(j) = \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') f(j').$$

Then if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the kernel $K(j, j')$ satisfies

$$\sup_{j \geq 0} \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') \leq C, \quad \sup_{j' \geq 0} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') \leq C.$$

Then it holds that,

$$\left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} T(f)(j) g(j) \right| \leq C \|f\|_p \|g\|_p \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. We only need to prove that $\|T(f)\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|T(f)(j)|^2 = \left| \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') f(j') \right|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') \right) \left(\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') f(j')^2 \right),$$

and then by the Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\|_p^2 &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') \right) \left(\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') f(j')^2 \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') \right) \left(\sup_{j' \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} K(j, j') \right) \|f\|_p^2 \leq C \|f\|_p^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved (4.7). □

4.3. Regularization estimate

In this subsection, we show the local in time estimates and regularization of the viscosity term.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ with $\epsilon > 0$. Let ω be the solution of (1.4) with initial data ω_{in} satisfying $\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \leq \nu^\beta$, then there exist $T > 0$ independent ν such that for any $t \leq T$,

$$\| |D|^\epsilon \omega(t) \|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq C(\nu t)^{-\epsilon/2} \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Recall that from the linearized equation, we get that if ω solves the linearized equation with initial data ω_{in} , then $\omega(t)$ satisfies

$$|\tilde{\omega}(t, \alpha, \eta)| \leq e^{-c\alpha^2 \nu t^3 - c\alpha^2 \nu t - c\eta^2 \nu t} |\tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)|,$$

which gives

$$\|(t\nu|D|^2)^{\epsilon/2} \omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(t\nu|D|^2)^{\epsilon/2} \nabla \omega(t)\|_{L^2([0, \infty), L^2_{x,y})} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}}.$$

Thus

$$\omega(t) = \tilde{S}(t, 0) \omega_{in} - \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t, s) (V \cdot \nabla \omega)(s) ds,$$

with

$$\|(t\nu|D|^2)^{\epsilon/2} \tilde{S}(t, s) f\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2_{x,y}}.$$

Therefore by using the fact that $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2_t L^2} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(t\nu|D|^2)^{\epsilon/2} \omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\ & \leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(t\nu|D|^2)^{\epsilon/2} \tilde{S}(t, 0) \omega_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}} + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \|V \nabla \omega(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} + \left(\int_0^T (s\nu)^{-\epsilon} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \omega(s)\|_{L^2_s L^2} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\omega(s)\|_{H^\epsilon} (s\nu)^{\epsilon/2} \\ & \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \left(1 + T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \nu^{-1/2} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(t\nu|D|^2)^{\epsilon/2} \omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \leq \nu^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$, we get that there is $T > 0$, so that $CT^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(t\nu|D|^2)^{\epsilon/2} \omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus we proved the lemma. □

Lemma 4.2. Let ω be the solution of (1.4) with initial data ω_{in} satisfying $\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\nu^{1/2}}{|\ln \nu|}$, then there exist $T > 0$ independent ν such that for any $t \leq T$,

$$\|\ln(|D| + e) \omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq C |\ln((\nu t)^{-1} + e)| \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Recall that from the linearized equation, we get that if ω solves the linearized equation with initial data ω_{in} , then $\omega(t)$ satisfies

$$|\tilde{\omega}(t, \alpha, \eta)| \leq e^{-c\alpha^2 \nu t^3 - c\alpha^2 \nu t - c\eta^2 \nu t} |\tilde{\omega}_{in}(\alpha, \eta + \alpha t)|.$$

Let χ be the smooth function support in $|\alpha| \leq (\nu t)^{-1}$ with $\chi(\alpha) = 1$ for $|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\nu t)^{-1}$, then we get

$$\|\ln(|D| + e)\chi(D)\omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\ln(|D| + e)\chi(D)\nabla\omega(t)\|_{L^2([0,\infty),L^2_{x,y})} \leq C\ln\nu\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\ln(|D| + e)(1 - \chi(D))\omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\ & + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\ln(|D| + e)(1 - \chi(D))\nabla\omega(t)\|_{L^2([0,\infty),L^2_{x,y})} \\ & \leq C\ln((\nu t)^{-1} + e) \left(\left(\sum_{\alpha} \left\| \frac{\ln(|\alpha| + e)}{\ln((t\nu)^{-1} + e)} (1 - \chi(\alpha)) e^{-\nu\alpha^2 t} \tilde{\omega}_{in} \right\|_{L^2_{\eta}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{\alpha} \left\| \frac{\ln(|\alpha| + e)}{\ln((t\nu)^{-1} + e)} (1 - \chi(\alpha)) \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha| + |\eta|) e^{-\nu\alpha^2 t - \nu\eta^2 t} \tilde{\omega}_{in} \right\|_{L^2_{\eta} L^2_t}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by the fact that $\frac{\ln(|\alpha| + e)}{\ln((t\nu)^{-1} + e)} (1 - \chi(\alpha)) e^{-\nu\alpha^2 t} \leq C\alpha e^{-\alpha} \leq C$ for $\nu t \geq \alpha^{-1}$, we get

$$\|\ln(|D| + e)\omega(t)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} + \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\ln(|D| + e)\nabla\omega(t)\|_{L^2([0,\infty),L^2_{x,y})} \leq C\ln((\nu t)^{-1} + e)\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}},$$

for the solution of the linearized equation.

Thus

$$\omega(t) = \tilde{S}(t, 0)\omega_{in} - \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t, s)(V \cdot \nabla\omega)(s)ds,$$

with

$$\left\| \frac{\ln(|D| + e)}{\ln((\nu(t-s))^{-1} + e)} \tilde{S}(t, s)f \right\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2_{x,y}}.$$

Therefore by using [Remark 2.3](#) and the fact that $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2_t L^2_{x,y}} \leq C\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2_{x,y}}$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \frac{\ln(|D| + e)}{\ln((\nu t)^{-1} + e)} \omega(t) \right\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\ & \leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \frac{\ln(|D| + e)}{\ln((\nu t)^{-1} + e)} \tilde{S}(t, 0)\omega_{in} \right\|_{L^2_{x,y}} + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \|V \nabla\omega(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \leq C\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} + \|V\|_{L^2 L^\infty} \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2 L^2} \\ & \leq C\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} + C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(\ln(|D| + e))\omega(s)\|_{L^2_t L^2_{x,y}} \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} + C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln((\nu T)^{-1} + e) \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $\|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\nu^{1/2}}{|\ln\nu|}$, we get that there is $T > 0$, so that $CT^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\ln((\nu T)^{-1} + e)}{\ln(\nu^{-1} + e)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \frac{\ln(|D| + e)}{\ln((\nu t)^{-1} + e)} \omega(t) \right\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \leq C \|\omega_{in}\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus we proved the lemma. □

Funding

The work of N. M is supported by NSF grant DMS-1716466 and by Tamkeen under the NYU Abu Dhabi Research Institute grant of the center SITE.

References

- [1] Bedrossian, J., Masmoudi, N., Vicol, V. (2016). Enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping in the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes equations near the two dimensional Couette flow. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 219(3):1087–1159. DOI: [10.1007/s00205-015-0917-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-015-0917-3).
- [2] Bedrossian, J., Masmoudi, N. (2015). Inviscid damping and the asymptotic stability of planar shear flows in the 2D Euler equations. *Publmathihes.* 122(1):195–300. DOI: [10.1007/s10240-015-0070-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-015-0070-4).
- [3] Bedrossian, J., Vicol, V., Wang, F. (2018). The Sobolev stability threshold for 2D shear flows near Couette. *J. Nonlinear Sci.* 28(6):2051–2025. DOI: [10.1007/s00332-016-9330-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-016-9330-9).
- [4] Masmoudi, N., Zhao, W. Stability threshold of the 2D Couette flow in Sobolev spaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03720>.
- [5] Braz, P., Silva, e. (2004). Nonlinear stability for 2 dimensional plane Couette flow. *Rev. Integr. Temas Mat.* 22:67–81.
- [6] Chen, Q., Li, T., Wei, D., Zhang, Z. Transition threshold for the 2-D Couette flow in a finite channel. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.11042>.
- [7] Ding, S., Lin, Z. (2017). Stability for two-dimensional plane Couette flow to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions. *arXiv: 1710.04855v1*.
- [8] Bedrossian, J., Germain, P., Masmoudi, N. Dynamics near the subcritical transition of the 3D Couette flow I: below threshold case. *arXiv 1506.03720*, to appear in Mem of the AMS.
- [9] Bedrossian, J., Germain, P., Masmoudi, N. Dynamics near the subcritical transition of the 3D Couette flow II: above threshold case. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03721>.
- [10] Bedrossian, J., Germain, P., Masmoudi, N. (2017). On the stability threshold for the 3D Couette flow in Sobolev regularity. *Ann. Math.* 185(2):541–608. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2017.185.2.4](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2017.185.2.4).
- [11] Wei, D., Zhang, Z. Transition threshold for the 3D Couette flow in Sobolev space. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.01359>.
- [12] Bahouri, H., Chemin, J. Y., Danchin, R. (2011). Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations. In: *Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Vol. 343, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer Science & Business Media.
- [13] Chemin, J.-Y. (1998). *Perfect Incompressible Fluids*. Vol. 14. New York: Oxford University Press.
- [14] Danchin, R. (2005). *Fourier analysis methods for PDEs*. Lecture Notes 14.1. <https://perso.math.u-pem.fr/danchin.rafael/cours/courschinese.pdf>.
- [15] Nirenberg, L. (1959). On elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa.* 13:115–162.
- [16] Stein, E. M. (1970). *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Vol. 2. Princeton University Press.