

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Alloys and Compounds



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jalcom

Exploring the elastic and electronic properties of chromium molybdenum diboride alloys

Viviana Dovale-Farelo^{a,*}, Pedram Tavadze^a, Matthieu J. Verstraete^{b,c}, Alejandro Bautista-Hernández^d, Aldo H. Romero^{a,d,**}

^a Department of Physics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

^b NanoMat/Q-Mat/CESAM and European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility, Université de Liège (B5), B-4000 Liège, Belgium

^c Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICN2), Campus UAB, Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

^d Facultad de Ingeniería, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Edificio ING2, Ciudad Universitaria, CP 72570 Puebla, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 October 2020 Received in revised form 26 December 2020 Accepted 22 January 2021 Available online 2 February 2021

Keywords: High-temperature alloys Transition metal alloys and compounds Crystal structure Electronic properties Heat capacity Mechanical properties Phonons Thermal expansion Thermodynamic properties Ab initio calculations

ABSTRACT

We perform first-principles calculations to study the structural, mechanical, thermal, electronic, and magnetic properties of $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ for x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.50, 0.67 and 0.75. Based on structural search methods, we determine the ground-state structure for each concentration. The ternaries are either monoclinic (x = 0.25, 0.75) or trigonal (x = 0.33, 0.50, 0.67). The calculated mechanical properties reveal that the strength of $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ is maximized for x = 0.50. $Cr_{0.5}Mo_{0.5}B_2$ exhibits excellent mechanical properties (B = 298 GPa, Y = 558 GPa, G = 235 Gpa, $\nu = 0.19$, $H_{\nu} = 27$ GPa), surpassing those of β -MoB₂ at a lower cost. All of these ternaries are hard alloys with Vickers hardness greater than 24 GPa. Chemical bonding analysis demonstrates that the strength of the new compounds is related to the alternating planar and buckled B-B layers, as well as the strong TM-B bonds. The enhanced strength of $Cr_{0.5}Mo_{0.5}B_2$ is a consequence of the high density of strong interlayer Cr-Mo metallic bonds around the Fermi level.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Metal borides have been widely studied because they exhibit excellent mechanical properties [55,73,46,71], high melting points [36], hardness [24,33,19], magnetism [7,25,40,16] and even superconductivity [41]. Most transition metal borides (TMBs) are known to be hard, and a few of them (for example, WB₄, ReB₂, YB₁₂, and ZrB₁₂) stand out as superhard materials with Vickers hardness exceeding 40 GPa [14]. Combined with their high-melting points and chemical inertness [55], TMBs are considered outstanding materials for wearintensive, high-temperature, and corrosion resistance applications [1].

petavazohi@mix.wvu.edu (P. Tavadze),

Matthieu.Verstraete@uliege.be (M.J. Verstraete),

alejandro.bautista@correo.buap.mx (A. Bautista-Hernández), Aldo.Romero@mail.wvu.edu (A.H. Romero). Most importantly, metal borides can easily be industrially synthesized under ambient temperature and pressure conditions, which is a substantial technological advantage in comparison with other strong (e.g. carbon-based) materials [33]. Despite their metallic nature, TMBs owe their strength to the covalent B-B bonds, which are similar to carbon in its diamond structure [49]. Other factors also contribute to the high mechanical properties of these compounds, in particular the strength of the TM-B bonds (TM = transition metal), and the direction and arrangement of the atomic bonds in the crystal structure [47].

Magnetism in TMBs originates from the TM elements, and the contribution of the boron is to stabilize the crystal phase, creating a number of common motifs found in many different boron metalloids [1]. Nd₂Fe₁₄B is one of the strongest permanent magnets ($3.3 \mu_B$ /Nd) in the boride family [1,42]. Among the TM diborides, CrB₂ [7] and MnB₂ [25] exhibit magnetic ordering, similarly to other rare earth diborides such as TbB₂ and DyB₂ [16,40].

Superconductivity has also been observed in diborides such as MgB₂ (T_c = 39 K), OsB₂ (T_c = 2.1 K) and RuB₂ (T_c = 1.6 K) [7,68]. Among these, MgB₂ caught special attention due to its high transition temperature, the highest known for a type-I superconductor [1] at

^{*} Corresponding author.

^{**} Corresponding author at: Department of Physics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA.

E-mail addresses: vd0020@mix.wvu.edu (V. Dovale-Farelo),

ambient pressure. In all these cases, the electron-phonon interaction is the driving force for the observed superconductivity. These examples demonstrate the large diversity of material types and different applications involving metals and B-B bonds.

Hardening and strengthening metals by alloying is a well-known method to improve the mechanical properties of metallic systems [10]. For the particular case of metal rich borides, explorations in ternary systems to increase the overall strength have received special attention in recent years. Compounds like Mo₂FeB₂, Mo₂NiB₂, WCoB, and others, have been explored, exhibiting excellent mechanical properties [20,52,2]. Motivated by these results, some of these compounds have been further alloyed, adding small amounts of TM impurities to maximize their strength [69,74,60].

Little has been reported so far for the Cr-Mo-B ternary system. Yu et al. synthesized and studied the crystal structure, microhardness, electrical resistivity, and oxidation resistivity in air of ($Cr_{0.85}Mo_{0.15}$) B₄ [44,72]. Later in 2010, Tojo et al. performed a first-principles thermodynamic analysis to clarify the phase equilibria in the Cr-Mo-B ternary system [65]. In that study, they only considered the (Cr,Mo)B₂ AlB₂-type crystal phase. It is surprising that the Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂ ternary diboride system has not yet been studied more in depth, considering the excellent mechanical properties of MoB₂ [73] and the successful use of Cr as a dopant to improve the mechanical properties in certain alloys [69,60,28].

In this paper we carry out an ab initio study of the effects of the alloying concentration on the mechanical properties of $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$. Instead of starting with the well-known hexagonal AlB₂-type phase, we will use structural search methods that can explore all low energy phases, avoiding the biases and constraints of other methods towards specific crystal structures. Our main objective is to maximize the mechanical strength of the ternary as a function of the alloying concentration and to determine the distribution of new phases. We considered concentrations of x = 25%, 33%, 50%, 67% and 75%. The structural, mechanical, thermal, electronic and magnetic properties of these alloys are studied at different concentrations.

2. Methods

First-principles calculations were performed to study the behavior of Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂ alloys in the framework of density functional theory (DFT) [27]. The exchange and correlation effects were treated using the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) with the parameterization of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [48]. The valence electrons wave functions were described by the projector augmented-wave (PAW [8]) method and expanded up to a cutoff energy of 800 eV. The PAW pseudo-potentials considered three valence electrons for B and fourteen for Cr and Mo, including the d-orbitals. To sample the irreducible Brillouin zone of each structure, we used a gamma-centered k-point mesh with the Monkhorst-Pack scheme [39]. The total energy in each cell was optimized for a maximum error of 0.1 meV/atom. The Kohn-Sham equations were solved selfconsistently with a maximum total energy difference of 10^{-6} eV. The calculations were performed using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP) [29,30].

For each starting stoichiometry, the Minima hopping search method was used to find the ground state structures for each stoichiometry [3,18]. This methodology has been successfully used to construct the convex hulls of many different alloy systems, such as BiSb [56], LiSi [67], or NaAu [53].

From the resulting relaxed structures we select those with low formation energy, and calculate the elastic constants from the stress-strain relationship, when introducing finite distortions in the crystal lattices, as implemented in the VASP code. The mechanical properties such as bulk modulus (B), Young's modulus (Y), shear modulus (G) and Poisson's ratio (ν) were calculated from the elastic tensor

using the ELATE software [17]. The thermal properties, which describe the temperature dependence of the volume (V), bulk modulus (B), linear coefficient of thermal expansion (α) and Debye temperature (θ_D), were calculated using the Debye Quasi-harmonic model implemented in the Gibbs2 code [51]. The phonopy package [63] was used to calculate the specific heat capacity (C_v). The chemical bonding was investigated using the COHP analysis as implemented in the LOBSTER program [35].

To investigate the magnetism of $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$, total energies were calculated considering a ferromagnetic and an antiferromagnetic (AFM) phase. For the AFM calculations, the spins are chosen to alternate the orientation along the z-axis layers (up/down/up. and so on), similar to the AFM behavior of CrB_2 .

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Binary systems

The space group 191 for AlB_2 is a structure typical of many borides. In this crystal phase, the boron atoms form honeycomb layers and the aluminum atoms sit between them at the center of the hexagons in alternate layers. If electrons are assumed to be transferred completely from the aluminum layer to the boride layer, then, the boron layer is isoelectronic with graphite [9]. When the intercalated layer transfers more electrons to the honeycomb layer than those required to be isoelectronic with graphite, the planar sheet becomes buckled, as in the case of RuB₂ [11]. In either case, the stacking between the different layers is always the same, one honeycomb boron layer and an intercalated layer, which is the *A* metal atom in the chemical formula AB_2 .

Chromium diboride exhibits a hexagonal AlB₂-type crystal structure with space group P6/mmm (191) [55]. Like other TMBs, it has excellent mechanical properties, surpassing those of regular steel (see Table 1). It is considered a hard material ($H_v = 16$ GPa) [70] and has a high melting point (2473 K) [45]. As a result CrB₂ is considered suitable for corrosion/wear resistant applications [13,12,5,23]. CrB₂ is an itinerant antiferromagnet with a Néel temperature of 88 K and an effective magnetic moment of 2.1 μ_B per unit cell [7]. The calculated lattice parameters for this binary are presented in Table 2 and they and are in good agreement with the experimental values. To describe

Table 1

Bulk modulus (B in GPa), Young's modulus (Y in GPa), Shear modulus (G in GPa), Poisson's ratio (ν) and Vickers hardness (H_{ν} in GPa) for various materials.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Material	В	Y	G	ν	H_{ν}
p-ivioB ₂ 299 322' 210' 0.19 22.0' 334 ^m 217_24.7 ^m	Tungsten Steel Mo_2FeB_2 Mo_2NiB_2 WCoB Ni-Cr-Mo CrB ₂ α -MoB ₂ β -MoB ₂	323 ^a 168 ^a 309 ^c 330 ^c 330 ^d 229 ^f 240 ^g 317 ⁱ 299 ⁱ 334 ^m	407 ^b 207 ^b 500 ^c 469 ^c 492 ^d 267 ^f 423 ^g 463 ^j 522 ^j	160 ^b 83 ^b 204 ^c 186 ^c 196 ^d 102 ^f 175 ^g 186 ^j 216 ^j	0.28 ^b 0.30 ^b 0.23 ^c 0.26 ^c 0.25 ^d 0.30 ^f 0.21 ^g 0.28 ^k 0.19 ^l	24.6° 19.3° 45.0° 16.0 ^h 15.2 ^j 22.0 ^j 21.7 - 24.7 ^h

^a Ref.[26] Experimental

^b Ref.[10] Experimental

^c Ref.[20] Theoretical

^d Ref.[74] Theoretical

^e Ref.[52] Experimental

^f Ref.[6] Theoretical

^g Ref.[46] Experimental

^h Ref.[70] Experimental

ⁱ Ref.[61] Experimental

^j Ref. $[62]H_v$ Experimental, Y and G Theoretical

^k Ref.[55] Theoretical

¹ Ref.[73] Theoretical

^m Ref.[34] Experimental

ⁿ Ref.[43] Experimental

Table 2

Stoichiometry, crystal system, number of atoms in the conventional cell (Atoms), space group name and number in the Hermann-Mauguin notation (spg), formation energy per atom (E_f), conventional cell axes (a,b,c) and angles (a,β,γ) for the ternary systems Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂. Letter *x* represents the concentration of Mo. Calculated, experimental and theoretical data for the binary systems CrB₂ (x = 0.00) and MoB₂ (x = 1.00) is presented for comparison.

Stoich.	x	System	Atoms	spg	$E_f(eV)$	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	α	β	γ
CrB ₂	0.00	Hexagonal	3	P6/mmm (191)	-0.383 -0.323ª	2.953 2.975 ^b	2.953 2.975 ^b	3.027 3.066 ^b	90°	90°	120°
Cr ₃ MoB ₈	0.25	Monoclinic	12	P1m1 (6)	-0.403	5.094	2.941	6.788	90°	105°	90°
Cr ₂ MoB ₆	0.33	Trigonal	18	P3m1 (164)	-0.416	2.952	2.952	19.795	90°	90°	60°
CrMoB ₄	0.5	Trigonal	18	R3m (160)	-0.421	2.971	2.971	20.085	90°	90°	120°
CrMo ₂ B ₆	0.67	Trigonal	18	P3m1 (156)	-0.428	2.987	2.987	20.388	90°	90°	120°
CrMo ₃ B ₈	0.75	Monoclinic	36	P1m1 (6)	-0.418	2.996	5.186	20.595	90°	90°	90°
α -MoB ₂	1.00	Hexagonal	3	P6/mmm (191)	-0.288	3.035	3.035	3.315	90°	90°	120°
		-			-0.307ª	3.045 ^c	3.045 ^c	3.071 ^c			
β -MoB ₂	1.00	Trigonal	18	R3m (166)	-0.442	3.019	3.019	20.975	90°	90°	120°
						3.012 ^c 3.014 ^d	3.012 ^c 3.014 ^d	20.943 ^c 20.961 ^d			

^a Ref.[71] Theoretical WIEN2k code

^b Ref.[70] Experimental

^c Ref. [62] Experimental

^d Ref.[43] Experimental

the magnetic behavior of the compound, spin-polarized calculations were performed with GGA-PBE, SCAN [59], and HSE06 [31] functionals. The magnetic moment per Cr atom in each case was of 1.281 μ_B , 2.115 μ_B and 2.389 μ_B , respectively. Since the most accurate results were obtained with SCAN, all the subsequent magnetic calculations for the ternary systems were performed with this functional.

Molybdenum diboride has two different structures: a hexagonal high-temperature phase α -MoB₂ with space group P6/mmm (191), and a trigonal low-temperature phase β -MoB₂ with space group R $\bar{3}$ m (166) [62]. β -MoB₂ is the most stable phase, and α -MoB₂ is a metastable phase that can be easily transformed to β -MoB₂ with protracted annealing [61]. However, experimental results have demonstrated that both structures are stable at atmospheric conditions [62]. The structures of α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂ are very similar in the sense that they both have alternating layers of molybdenum and boron. β -MoB₂ displays alternating planar and buckled hexagonal boron layers. In the buckled layers, the molybdenum atoms will not sit at the center of the hexagons, but instead on top of a boron atom. The buckled boron layers are responsible for the increase in hardness and mechanical properties of β -MoB₂ with respect to α -MoB₂ [61]. Still, both α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂ have excellent mechanical properties, even exceeding those of tungsten (see Table 1). MoB₂ is a nonmagnetic material [36]. In Table 2 we present the calculated lattice parameters for both structures. Our results for β -MoB₂ are in excellent agreement with the experimental data, with an error smaller than 1%. The values for α -MoB₂ are in good agreement with experimental data (a bit farther than the β phase) and close to other theoretical studies [55,71].

3.2. Ternary systems

The results of the structural search for the ternary systems are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 1. We can observe that none of the ternary systems presents a pure AlB₂ type of crystal structure as the ground state. All of the low energy phases are similar to β -MoB₂, with alternating flat and buckled B layers. For the 25% and 75% concentrations, the most stable structures are monoclinic, while for the rest (33%, 50%, and 67%), they are trigonal, like β -MoB₂. More specifically, Cr_{0.67}Mo_{0.33}B₂ and Cr_{0.33}Mo_{0.67}B₂ exhibit a P3-type symmetry, which is different from the R3-type symmetry observed in Cr_{0.50}Mo_{0.50}B₂ and β -MoB₂. In the trigonal phases, Cr and Mo atoms are always placed in independent layers along the stacking direction. The monoclinic phases have layers which mix both Cr and Mo atoms, modifying the symmetry of the crystalline systems. The lattice parameters and angles for the conventional cells of the new ternary systems are also presented.

The lowest formation energies are negative for all the compound stoichiometries, confirming their chemical stability. The previous analysis was complemented by building the convex hull for the $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ system to test the chemical stability of the phases against each other at zero temperature and zero pressure. The results are presented in Fig. 2. As observed, the 25% and 33% structures are on the convex hull indicating they are chemically stable phases. The ground state phases for 50% and 67% are not lying exactly on the convex hull, however, they are very close and the difference in energy can be attributed to the numerical accuracy of DFT calculations. The 75% structure is not on the hull, indicating it is a metastable phase. Since there have been no previous experimental or theoretical reports, our results are predictions for these structures, which we hope will stimulate experimental investigation and corroboration.

A crystal system is considered dynamically stable if its potential energy increases for any change in its atomic positions. This condition is verified when all phonons have real and positive frequencies [64]. The phonons for the predicted ternary diborides are all positive, confirming the dynamical stability of these compounds. In Fig. 3 we show the phonon spectra and the contributions of the different species to the phonon mode density of states for x = 0.50. The phonons and atom projections for all of the binaries and ternaries are presented in the supplemental information. For all the compounds, the Cr and Mo atoms are responsible for the low-frequency vibrations, and the B atoms for the high-frequency vibrations (greater than 10 THz). In the low frequency range, we observe a main (zone boundary) acoustic peak which moves down in frequency from 8 THz to 5 THz as the percentage of Mo is increased to 100%. The acoustic modes are contributed proportionally by both transition metals.Several almost dispersionless manifolds of optical-like vibrations of Cr or B planes develop at higher frequencies. In particular an isolated Cr peak around 10 THz is visible in most of the alloys. Cr_{0.25}Mo_{0.75}B₂, on the other hand, has a phonon frequency gap around 10 THz: with mixed TM layers, there are no isolated Cr modes and the equivalent hybrid mode is a bit lower in frequency. For Cr_{0.75}Mo_{0.25}B₂ the mode is dominated by Cr and almost split off in frequency from the acoustic manifold. For frequencies greater than 11 THz, the B atoms dominate the vibrations in the crystal structures, and the Cr and Mo atoms are almost motionless. This range combines dispersionless modes characteristic of isolated B planes, with dispersing bands contributed by inter-plane interactions mediated by TM motions (visible e.g. around 15 THz). These dispersing bands



Fig. 1. Crystal structures of $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ for x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.50, 0.67 and 0.75. B atoms in green, Mo atoms in pink and Cr atoms in blue. Images generated using VESTA [38]. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 2. Convex hull (in green) for the $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ system. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

can have group velocities similar to the acoustic bands and will contribute significantly to thermal conductivity at higher temperature.

3.2.1. Mechanical properties

Table 3 lists the values of the relevant elastic constants for each structure. The complete elastic tensors may be consulted in the supplemental information. The mechanical properties such as bulk modulus (B), Young's modulus (Y), shear modulus (G), and Poisson's ratio (ν) were computed with the elastic constants and the results are shown in Table 4. The six eigenvalues of the elastic tensor were calculated in each case. All the values are positive, confirming the mechanical stability of all the structures.

The bulk modulus (B) measures the resistance of a solid to compression and is an indicator of elastic strength. A strong correlation between the bulk modulus and valence electron density has been demonstrated, since more electrons in a given volume will result in higher repulsion forces contributing to a higher bulk modulus [33]. The calculated bulk modulus for the binary CrB₂ is 241 GPa: it exhibits a strong variation in the z-direction and is much more uniform in the x and y-direction. The spatial dependence of the mechanical properties for all the studied crystal structures can be consulted in the supplementary information. With the addition of Mo, regardless of the concentration, the bulk modulus is automatically strengthened in plane. As observed in Fig. 4 when x = 0.50. the ternary maximizes its bulk modulus at 298 GPa, the same value as β -MoB₂. However, the ternary is a much stronger material, because unlike β -MoB₂ (anisotropy A = 1.44), the bulk modulus is practically isotropic (A = 1.15).

The anisotropy A_X of each elastic modulus X is calculated by ELATE [17] according to the following relation:

$$A_{X} = \begin{cases} X_{max} / X_{min} & \text{ifsign}(X_{max}) = sign(X_{min}) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Young modulus (Y) is a measure of the stiffness of a material under elastic deformation [10]. This parameter increases with the percentage of Mo until it reaches its maximum value of 558 GPa when the concentration is 50%. This value is 7% greater when compared to that of β -MoB₂. All the ternary systems in our investigation are close to be elastically isotropic, and the anisotropy is minimized when x = 50% (A = 1.12).

Shear modulus (G) is a measure of the rigidity of a material to shear stress [10]. The addition of Mo enhances the transverse rupture strength of these compounds. For any concentration, the



Fig. 3. (left side) Phonon spectra and (right side) ionic contribution to phonon density of states of the different species in the trigonal structure (space group 160, R3m) of $Cr_{0.5}Mo_{0.5}B_2$. Frequency in units of THz and wave vector in units of (1/Å). B atoms in green, Mo atoms in red and Cr atoms in blue. Data for the plots was obtained using the Phonopy software [63]. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

computed shear modulus is superior to those of the binary compounds, reaching its maximum value of 235 GPa for a 50% concentration. Similarly to the other moduli, the shear for the ternary systems is isotropic, and has the lowest anisotropy when x = 50% (A = 1.14).

The Poisson ratio (ν) defines the relationship between lateral and axial strain resulting from an applied axial stress [10]. As observed in Table 4, ν is very similar for all the studied structures, however, a full 3D analysis reveals certain differences. This parameter exhibits a great anisotropy for the binary systems (A > 2.3). For a 50% concentration, this anisotropy is significantly reduced (A = 1.69), as above making this compound the most uniform. For this concentration, the average value of the Poisson ratio is 0.19 with a maximum variation of 27%. This parameter presents its maximum value along the x and z-axis, and has a slightly lower value along the y-axis.

Mechanical properties such as B, Y, G and ν describe the elastic behavior of a solid. But to fully describe the strength of a material, it is necessary to consider the plastic behavior as well. The hardness is one of the best known ways to measure the plastic strength of a solid [33]. However, determining the hardness is no easy task (experimentally or theoretically), so several semi-empirical relations have been established between the hardness and elastic parameters such as B, Y, G and ν [22]. The bulk modulus has been used as a predictor of hardness, but it has been proven that the shear modulus provides a much better correlation [33]. While B depends mostly on the valence electron density, G considers the nature and direction of the atomic bonds. Hence, covalent materials will have higher shear modulus than metals, even though they may have similar bulk moduli [33].

Hardness for the binary systems was calculated using six different semi-empirical relations as described by Ivanovskii [22] and as implemented in the MechElastic package [57,58]. The results were compared to experimental data in order to choose the method that

Table 4

Calculated bulk modulus (B in GPa), Young's modulus (Y in GPa), shear modulus (G in GPa), Poisson's ratio (ν), vickers hardness (H_{ν} and H_{5} in GPa) and relative overall strength (Z) for the ternary systems Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂ (x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.50, 0.67, 0.75) and binary systems CrB₂, α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂.

Stoich.	x	В	Y	G	ν	H_{ν}	H_5	Z
CrB ₂	0.00	241	445	187	0.19	17.0	20.7	0.82
Cr ₃ MoB ₈	0.25	286	534	225	0.19	24.5	25.0	1.03
Cr ₂ MoB ₆	0.33	286	552	234	0.18	26.5	26.0	1.07
CrMoB ₄	0.50	298	558	235	0.19	26.6	26.1	1.08
CrMo ₂ B ₆	0.67	297	537	224	0.20	24.4	24.9	1.04
CrMo ₃ B ₈	0.75	292	539	226	0.19	24.8	25.1	1.04
α -MoB ₂	1.00	304	451	180	0.25	15.8	20.0	0.85
β -MoB ₂	1.00	298	520	215	0.21	22.7	23.9	1.00

best fits our systems (see supplemental information). The best results were obtained with the relation

$$H_5 = \frac{(1-2\nu)B}{6(1+\nu)}$$
(2)

as proposed by Miao et al. [37]. As observed in Table 4 the predicted hardness (H_5) was considerably overestimated for the hexagonal binaries (CrB₂ and α -MoB₂). However, the result for β -MoB₂ was very accurate. Given the similarities in the crystal structure of β -MoB₂ and the ternary systems, the hardness was estimated using this method.

Since the previous method (H_5), does not use the shear modulus to estimate the hardness, we performed a linear regression by including all 6 known experimental values, to establish a relationship between the experimental hardness and the shear modulus of the binary systems. The following relationship was obtained and extrapolated to calculate the hardness of the new compounds (H_v) as a function of the shear modulus.

$$H_{\nu} = 0.1978G - 19.877 \tag{3}$$

Table 3

Calculated elastic constants (C_{ij} in GPa) for the ternary systems Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂ (x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.50, 0.67, 0.75) and binary systems CrB₂, α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂.

Stoich.	x	System	C ₁₁	C ₂₂	C ₃₃	C ₄₄	C ₅₅	C ₆₆	C ₁₂	C ₁₃	<i>C</i> ₁₄	C ₁₅	C ₂₃	C ₂₅	C ₃₅	C ₄₆
CrB ₂	0.00	Hexagonal	544	544	448	166	166	203	137	94						
Cr ₃ MoB ₈	0.25	Monoclinic	572	569	649	226	221	213	131	130		-11	132	11	3	11
Cr ₂ MoB ₆	0.33	Trigonal	587	581	664	229	229	230	125	125	9					
CrMoB ₄	0.50	Trigonal	603	603	648	229	229	239	125	146	14					
CrMo ₂ B ₆	0.67	Trigonal	581	568	613	231	231	226	121	171	15					
CrMo ₃ B ₈	0.75	Monoclinic	573	573	658	222	226	222	124	144		0	147	0	0	0
α -MoB ₂	1.00	Hexagonal	602	602	423	170	170	230	143	209						
β -MoB ₂	1.00	Trigonal	555	555	609	226	226	220	114	188	17					



Young's Modulus in (XY) plane Young's Modulus in (XZ) plane Young's Modulus in (YZ) plane



Fig. 4. Spatial dependence of the calculated Young modulus, Bulk modulus, Shear modulus and Poisson ratio of B₂Cr_{0.5}Mo_{0.5} trigonal crystal system. Green (blue) lines represent the minimum (maximum) positive values of each property. Plots were generated using the MechElastic package [57,58]. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

As shown in Table 4, both methods, H_v and H_5 , present similar results for the ternary systems, with a difference smaller than 1 GPa. In summary, $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ are hard alloys, with Vickers hardness greater than 24 GPa. The hardness is maximized when x = 0.50, reaching 26.6 GPa. The relative overall strength (Z) with respect to β -MoB₂ was calculated and presented in Table 4. We chose β -MoB₂ as a reference point, because it is the stronger material among the binary systems. Z was calculated equally weighting B, Y, G and H_{ν} . If (Z > 1), then the



Fig. 5. Average behavior of (a) Volume (V/V₀) and Linear Coefficient of Thermal Expansion ($\alpha \times 10^{-5}/K$), (b) Bulk Modulus (B/B₀) and Debye Temperature (θ_D/θ_{D_0}) as a function of temperature using the Gibbs2 code [51]. (c) Temperature-dependence of (C_v/T^3 in mJ/mol K⁴) for the ternary systems Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂ (x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.50, 0.67, 0.75) and binary systems CrB₂, α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂ using the Phonopy software [63].

compound is stronger than β -MoB₂. As displayed in Table 4 the mechanical strength of Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂ is maximized when x = 0.50.

A strong material needs to have a high bulk and Young modulus. Nevertheless, for practical applications, it is also desirable to have a high transverse rupture strength and hardness. We can observe that our compound $Cr_{0.5}Mo_{0.5}B_2$ exhibits excellent mechanical properties, surpassing those of β -MoB₂ and at a lower cost, considering Cr is cheaper than Mo [66].

3.2.2. Thermal properties

TMBs are exploited for their excellent high temperatures mechanical properties. We study the thermal properties of these alloys using the quasi-harmonic Debye model as implemented in the Gibbs2 code [51]. Fig. 5 illustrates the temperature-dependence of the volume, bulk modulus, Debye temperature and linear coefficient of thermal expansion, up to 2000 K. Since the individual curves for the compounds are very similar to each other, the average behavior is presented and the detailed data for each stoichiometry may be

Table 5

Normalized volume for a 3-atom cell with composition of $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ (V in Å³) at 0 K, density (ρ in kg/m³) at 0 K, Debye temperature (θ_D in K) at 0 K, specific heat capacity at room temperature (C_3^{00K} in J/kg K) and maximum value at high temperatures (C_4^{max} in J/kg K), longitudinal sound velocity (u_L in m/s) and transverse sound velocity (u_T in m/s) for the ternary systems $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ (x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.50, 0.67, 0.75) and binary systems CrB_2 , α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂.

Stoich.	x	V ₀	ρ	θ_D	C _v ^{300K}	C_v^{max}	u_L	<i>u</i> _T
CrB ₂	0.00	22.86	5347	941	591	934	9571	5907
Cr ₃ MoB ₈	0.25	24.61	5708	888	546	869	10,125	6272
Cr ₂ MoB ₆	0.33	24.90	5887	876	526	833	10,085	6310
CrMoB ₄	0.50	25.58	6205	850	490	767	9926	6151
CrMo ₂ B ₆	0.67	26.26	6508	826	460	711	9564	5865
CrMo ₃ B ₈	0.75	26.67	6637	813	449	686	9453	5836
α -MoB ₂	1.00	26.45	7381	783	408	597	8584	4941
β -MoB ₂	1.00	27.59	7076	786	409	621	9093	5513

consulted in the supplemental information. The phonopy code [63] was used to calculate the specific heat capacity.

Fig. 5(a) shows the average change of volume as a function of temperature. The absolute volume is larger in proportion to the concentration of Mo, which is normal as the Mo atoms are bigger than Cr atoms. The volume of all the structures increases monotonically with temperature (no anomalous thermal expansion). Also, our study reveals that when heated, the change in volume (ΔV) will be higher for Cr-rich compounds (see supplemental information). The normalized volume for each one of the structures is presented in Table 5.

In Fig. 5 (a) is possible to appreciate the behavior of the linear coefficient of thermal expansion (α) for this family of diborides. Below room temperature (0–300 K), α follows approximately the same curve for all the compounds. At room temperature, the average value for the coefficient of thermal expansion is $2.2 \times 10^{-5}/K$. As the temperature continues to increase, the structures with less Mo will have higher values of α (see supplemental information). The thermal expansion is a consequence of the increase in phonon population with temperature and the anharmonic nature of the interatomic well. From the previous results, we can conclude that the Mo-B bonds should be stronger than Cr-B bonds, making it harder to expand the structure as the percentage of Mo increases. Fig. 5 (b) illustrates how the bulk modulus decreases as the temperature increases. There is no straight correlation between the percentage of Mo and the change of the bulk modulus with temperature. Nevertheless, it is observed that at high temperatures (>400 K) the bulk modulus decreases less for the monoclinic structures. The low symmetry of the crystal structures in these concentrations contributes to a smaller change in the bulk modulus with temperature (see Supplemental information).

As shown in Fig. 5 (b), the Debye temperature (Θ_D) decreases slightly as a function of temperature for all the compounds, which have the same behavior within a difference of 0.2%. This is a direct consequence of the interatomic separation increase with temperature, that will reduce the maximum Debye vibration frequency, and hence the Debye temperature. As presented in Table 5 the highest Θ_D (at 0 K) is presented by the hexagonal CrB₂ structure, which fits the intuition that stiff and light materials will have higher Θ_D . This value is in excellent agreement with the experimental data (950 K) reported by other authors [7]. α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂ phases have the lowest Θ_D . The Debye temperature at (0 K) for $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ alloys exhibits a linear relation (θ_D = 931.32–153.87x) with the percentage of Mo (0 < x < 1). The fit error is inferior to 0.5%. From this relation it is observed that θ_D will be higher for a lower percentage of Mo. The Debye temperature (and Debye frequency), is expected to decrease as the elements in the crystal structure get heavier.

Specific heat (C_{ν}) is a measure of the amount of energy per unit mass a solid can absorb before increasing its temperature a certain amount. Normally the ionic specific heat increases drastically as

temperature rises, reaching a stable value according to the Dulong-Petit law. Since the heat capacity reaches 95% of its maximum value at $T = \theta_D$ [54], the specific heat at high temperature was calculated using this method and the results are presented in Table 5. The high temperature specific heat for these alloys lies between the maximum and minimum values imposed by the binary systems, and its value will decrease as the Mo contribution increases. The C_v at room temperature (300 K) are also presented in Table 5. The room temperature value of C_v is approximately 65% of the calculated high temperature specific heat for all the compounds.

At low temperatures the specific heat is described by the following relationship:

$$C_{\nu} = \gamma T + \beta T^3 \tag{4}$$

where the first term corresponds to the linear contribution of the conduction electrons and the second term to crystal lattice vibrations. The phonon dominated specific heat follows a T^3 power law, that agrees beautifully with the textbook on theory of solids [54]. Fig. 5 (c) presents the phonon contribution to the net specific heat. At temperatures close to zero the only contribution to the specific heat comes from acoustic phonons. The peaks in this plot indicate the temperature at which the optical phonons are activated. Noticeably, the hexagonal binary structures present early peaks around 12 K, due to low frequency planar modes. The rest of the structures deviate from the Debye behavior at approximately 60 K. In general, for the ternary systems, the peak shifts to lower temperature as the concentration of Mo increases, corresponding to the decrease of θ_D .

The speed of sound waves varies in each material because it depends on the elastic properties and the mass of the constituent atoms in each case. The longitudinal (u_L) and transverse (u_T) sound velocities for each crystal were calculated from the following relations [32]:

$$u_T = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}} \tag{5}$$

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{1-2\nu}{2(1-\nu)}} \tag{6}$$

$$u_L = \frac{u_T}{q} \tag{7}$$

where G is the shear modulus, ρ is the density, ν is the Poisson ratio and q is the ratio of transverse to longitudinal speed. The density of the compounds was calculated in terms of the cell volume and the molar mass of the atomic species. For the binary systems, the calculated densities are in good agreement with the experimental values of 5200, 7780 [55] and 7106 kg/m³ [15] for CrB₂, α -MoB₂ and β -MoB₂ respectively. As observed in Table 5, the longitudinal velocity is always larger than the transverse one, with an average q value of 0.62 for the ternaries. The theory states that lighter and harder materials will have larger sound velocities. Consistently we found that Cr_{0.75}Mo_{0.25}B₂ presents the highest sound velocity among the studied compounds. In this case, a small inclusion of Mo atoms is enough to significantly strengthen the compound, yet keeping a low density in comparison with the other ternaries.

3.2.3. Electronic and magnetic properties

Due to the presence of TM in $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$, all systems in this study are metallic with occupied states at the Fermi level. Spin polarized SCAN calculations were performed to evaluate the magnetism in the ternary systems. No magnetism was conclusively observed for $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ for x > 0: The inclusion of Mo in the structures annihilates the magnetic behavior observed in CrB_2 .

In Fig. 6, we show the band structure and projected density of states (DOS) for the trigonal $Cr_{0.50}Mo_{0.50}B_2$. The DOS for the rest of the structures are presented in the supplemental information, since



Fig. 6. Total and projected density of states for $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ for x = 0.50 trigonal crystal system as a function of energy. The Fermi level is located at 0 eV. Plot generated using the PyProcar package [21].

they are very similar to Fig. 6. As observed, the semi-core states are located below -9 eV and are mainly composed of B-s states for all the compounds. Closer to the Fermi level, the valence band is dominated by the Cr and Mo d-orbitals. Approximately 90% of the valence states correspond to transition metal hybrid d-orbitals, the rest is composed of a small contribution of the B-p states. As the percentage of Mo increases, the amount of Mo-d states increases as well, dominating the Fermi level, starting from 14% when x = 0.25 until a maximum of 57% for x = 0.75. The conduction bands have the same structure as the valence bands near the Fermi level.

The orbital symmetries do display some interesting behavior as the % of Mo increases. For structures with x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.67, 0.75, there is a balanced contribution of different orbitals to the Fermi level. For these structures the Cr contribution of d_{xy} , d_{xz} , d_{yz} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals is very similar. Alike, Mo-orbitals d_{xz} and d_{yz} and Borbitals p_x and p_y display the same trend. In contrast with the other stoichiometries, Cr_{0.5}Mo_{0.5}B₂ has a different behavior: Cr and Mo $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{yz} dominate the Fermi level with a small contribution of B p_y .

Fig. 7 shows crystal orbital Hamilton populations (COHP) curves of B-B, TM–B and TM–TM interactions in Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂, produced using the LOBSTER program [35]. The strength of these compounds relies on the covalent B–B bonds similar to β -MoB₂. While non-buckled (nb) B-B layers have their maximum bonding at low energies (-5.49 eV), similar to CrB_2 and α -MoB₂, the B–B buckled (b) layers display their strongest bonding contributions at higher energies (-3.26 eV). The inclusion of Mo automatically creates B-B buckled layers within the structures, increasing the overall strength of the ternary compounds. While the B-B layers across the solid contribute to elastic strength in the x and y-direction, the covalent TM-B bonds support the material in the z-direction. All these ternaries exhibit the strongest density of TM-B bonding orbitals at approximately -3.05 eV and -4.30 eV below the Fermi level. At lower energies TM atoms bond strongly with B atoms in non-buckled layers, while at higher energies the bonding occurs between TM and B atoms in buckled layers. At the Fermi level, B-Mo bonding character is higher than the B-Cr, confirming that the Mo-B bonds are stronger than Cr-B bonds, as suggested by the behavior of the coefficient of thermal expansion (α) in the previous section. Contrary to B–B and TM-B bonds, which display their maximum bonding at low energies, TM-TM exhibit their maximum bonding at the Fermi level and very weak bonding and/or anti-bonding behavior at lower energies. TM-TM bonds between atoms in the same layer (sl) in the crystal structure, always have a positive, but very small bonding character at the Fermi level. In this case, the stronger bonds are Cr-Cr followed by Cr-Mo and Mo-Mo. On the other hand, TM-TM bonds between atoms in different layers (dl) exhibit a massive bonding character at the Fermi level. The stronger bonds are between Cr-Mo, followed by Cr-Cr and Mo-Mo. The strength of a metallic bond depends on the



Fig. 7. Crystal orbital Hamilton populations (COHP) curves of B-B interactions in buckled (b) and non-buckled (nb) B-layers, TM-B interactions with buckled B-layers (b) and non-buckled B-layers (nb), and TM-TM interactions among atoms in the same layer (sl) and different layers (dl) in the crystal structures for $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ (x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.50, 0.67, 0.75) using LOBSTER program [35]. Fermi level lies at zero eV. Bonding interactions are positive, anti-bonding interactions are negative.

interatomic separation and the atomic valence. As the interatomic separation decreases and the number of delocalized valence electrons increases, the bond becomes stronger [50]. The superior strength of TM–TM bonds in different layers (along z-axis) over the TM–TM bonds in the same layer, results from a larger number of delocalized valence electrons. When the TM atoms are in different layers, they acquire electrons from the Boron layer in between them, contributing to the total number of delocalized valence electrons. Furthermore, it is well known that metallic bonds including TM display high binding from the inner d-electron shells [26]. Consequently, the interlayer Cr–Mo bond is stronger than Cr–Cr due to a better overlap between 4d-orbitals (Mo) than between 3d-orbitals (Cr) [4]. Differently, the interlayer Cr–Mo bond is stronger than Mo-Mo given that at higher concentrations (x = 0.67, 0.75) the interatomic distance becomes large enough to weaken the Mo–Mo bond.

Even though all structures contain interlayer Cr–Mo bonds, due to their spatial configuration, structures with a concentration of x = 0.25, 0.33, 0.67 and 0.75 also contain Cr–Cr or Mo–Mo bonds. Some of these bonds are not as strong as the Cr–Mo bonds, relatively weakening the structures. Given the symmetry of Cr_{0.5}Mo_{0.5}B₂, this structure does not contain weak Cr–Cr or Mo–Mo bonds. The enhanced strength of the compound when x = 0.50 can be understood as a consequence of the high density of strong Cr–Mo bonds in between the Boron layers, and the absence of weaker Cr–Cr and Mo–Mo bonds.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the ternary system $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ was explored, and the ground state for each one of the studied concentrations was defined from first-principles calculations. The new structures are found to be either monoclinic or trigonal. They all display alternating planar and buckled layers of boron, similar to the binary boride end members. The monoclinic phases (x = 0.25, 0.75) have some layers shared by Cr and Mo atoms. On the other hand, the trigonal structures (x = 0.33, 0.50, 0.67) always have independent layers of Cr and Mo. The thermodynamical, dynamical, and mechanical stability of the compounds was verified. The mechanical properties such as bulk modulus (B), Young's modulus (Y), shear modulus (G), and Poisson's ratio (ν) were calculated from the elastic tensor in each case. The hardness (H_ν) was computed from the derived mechanical properties, finding that the new compounds are hard alloys with Vickers

hardness greater than 24 GPa. The results show that the mechanical strength of $Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB_2$ is maximized when x = 0.50. At this concentration the compound exhibits excellent mechanical properties. surpassing those of β -MoB₂ and at a lower cost. The temperature dependence of the volume (V), bulk modulus (B), Debye temperature (Θ_{D}) , specific heat (C_{ν}) , and coefficient of thermal expansion (α) , were also studied. All the systems in this study are metallic with occupied states at the Fermi level. For all the ternaries, the valence band is dominated by Cr and Mo hybrid D-orbitals with a small contribution of the B-p states. No magnetism was observed for Cr_{1-x}Mo_xB₂. COHP curves of B–B, TM–B and TM–TM interactions reveal that the superior strength of the new compounds is a consequence of the covalent B-B and TM-B bonds. The B-B layers contribute to strength in the x and y-direction, while the TM-B bonds support the structures in the z-direction. The enhanced strength when x = 0.50 is a consequence of the higher density of strong interlayer Cr-Mo metallic bonds at the Fermi level.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Viviana Dovale-Farelo: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft. **Pedram Tavadze:** Software, Visualization. **Matthieu J. Verstraete:** Writing - review & editing. **Alejandro Bautista-Hernández:** Investigation, Writing- review & editing. **Aldo H. Romero:** Writing - review & editing, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work used the XSEDE which is supported by the USA National Science Foundation (NSF) grant number ACI-1053575. The authors also acknowledge the support from the Texas Advances Computer Center (with the Stampede2 and Bridges supercomputers). We also acknowledge the use of the Super Computing System (Thorny Flat) at WVU, which is funded in part by the NSF Major Research Instrumentation Program (MRI) Award #1726534.

This work was supported by the DMREF-NSF 1434897, NSF OAC-1740111 and DOE DE-SC0021375 projects.

M. J. V. acknowledges support from ARC grant AIMED (G.A. 15/ 19-09).

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2021.158885.

References

- [1] G. Akopov, M.T. Yeung, R.B. Kaner, Rediscovering the crystal chemistry of borides, Adv. Mater. 29 (2017) 1604506.
- [2] B. Alling, H. Högberg, R. Armiento, J. Rosén, L. Hultman, A theoretical investigation of mixing thermodynamics, age-hardening potential, and electronic structure of ternary M¹_{1-x}M²_xB₂ alloys with AlB₂ type structure, Sci. Rep. 5 (2015) 9888.
- [3] M. Amsler, S. Goedecker, Crystal structure prediction using the minima hopping method, J. Chem. Phys. 133 (2010) 224104.
- [4] P. Atkins, T. Overton, Shriver and Atkins' Inorganic Chemistry, Oxford University Press, USA, 2010.
- [5] M. Audronis, P. Kelly, R. Arnell, A. Leyland, A. Matthews, The structure and properties of chromium diboride coatings deposited by pulsed magnetron sputtering of powder targets, Surf. Coat. Technol. 200 (2005) 1366–1371.
- [6] J. Bai, Q. Zhan, X. Li, R. Yu, Enhanced stability of the strengthening phase Ni₂(Cr,Mo) in Ni-Cr-Mo alloys by adjacent instability, Comput. Mater. Sci. 109 (2015) 111–114.
- [7] A. Bauer, A. Regnat, C.G. Blum, S. Gottlieb-Schönmeyer, B. Pedersen, M. Meven, S. Wurmehl, J. Kuneš, C. Pfleiderer, Low-temperature properties of single-crystal CrB₂, Phys. Rev. B 90 (2014) 064414.
- [8] P.E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 17953.
- [9] J.K. Burdett, E. Canadell, G.J. Miller, Electronic structure of transition-metal borides with the AlB₂ structure, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 6561–6568.
- [10] W.D. Callister, Fundamentals of Materials Science and Engineering: An Integrated Approach, Wiley, London, 2000.
- [11] S. Chiodo, H. Gotsis, N. Russo, E. Sicilia, OsB₂ and RuB₂, ultra-incompressible, hard materials: first-principles electronic structure calculations, Chem. Phys. Lett. 425 (2006) 311–314.
- [12] K. Dahm, L. Jordan, J. Haase, P. Dearnley, Magnetron sputter deposition of chromium diboride coatings, Surf. Coat. Technol. 108 (1998) 413–418.
- [13] P. Dearnley, N. Dortmann, K. Dahm, H. Weiss, Corrosion and wear response of Cr–B coated 316L austenitic stainless steel, Surf. Eng. 13 (1997) 139–144.
- [14] N. Dubrovinskaia, L. Dubrovinsky, V.L. Solozhenko, Comment on "synthesis of ultra-incompressible superhard rhenium diboride at ambient pressure", Science 318 (2007) 1550.
- [15] M. Frotscher, W. Klein, J. Bauer, C.M. Fang, J.F. Halet, A. Senyshyn, C. Baehtz, B. Albert, M₂B₅ or M₂B₄ a reinvestigation of the Mo/B and W/B system, J. Inorg. Gen. Chem. 633 (2007) 2626–2630.
- [16] S. Gabani, K. Flachbart, K. Siemensmeyer, T. Mori, Magnetism and superconductivity of rare earth borides, J. Alloy. Compd. 821 (2020) 153201.
- [17] R. Gaillac, P. Pullumbi, F.X. Coudert, ELATE: an open-source online application for analysis and visualization of elastic tensors, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 28 (2016) 275201.
- [18] S. Goedecker, Minima hopping: an efficient search method for the global minimum of the potential energy surface of complex molecular systems, J. Chem. Phys. 120 (2004) 9911–9917.
- [19] A. Goncharov, S. Dub, A. Agulov, V. Petukhov, Structure, composition, and mechanical properties of thin films of transition metals diborides, J. Superhard Mater. 37 (2015) 422–428.
- [20] T. He, Y. Jiang, R. Zhou, J. Feng, The electronic structure, mechanical and thermodynamic properties of Mo₂XB₂ and MoX₂B₄ (X= Fe, Co, Ni) ternary borides, J. Appl. Phys. 118 (2015) 075902.
- [21] U. Herath, P. Tavadze, X. He, E. Bousquet, S. Singh, F. Munoz, A.H. Romero, Pyprocar: a python library for electronic structure pre/post-processing, Comput. Phys. Commun. 251 (2020) 107080.
- [22] A. Ivanovskii, Hardness of hexagonal AlB₂-like diborides of s, p and d metals from semi-empirical estimations, Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 36 (2013) 179–182.
- [23] L.R. Jordan, A.J. Betts, K.L. Dahm, P.A. Dearnley, G.A. Wright, Corrosion and passivation mechanism of chromium diboride coatings on stainless steel, Corros. Sci. 47 (2005) 1085–1096.
- [24] R.B. Kaner, J.J. Gilman, S.H. Tolbert, Designing superhard materials, Science 308 (2005) 1268–1269.
- [25] M. Kasaya, T. Hihara, Magnetic structure of MnB₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 29 (1970) 336–342.
- [26] C. Kittel, P. McEuen, Introduction to Solid State Physics, Wiley, New York, 1996.[27] W. Kohn, L.J. Sham, Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation
- effects, Phys. Rev. 140 (1965) A1133.
 [28] M. Komai, Y. Isobe, K.I. Takagi, Effects of Gr on the microstructure and mechanical properties of WCoB base hard alloys, J. Jpn. Soc. Powder Powder Metall. 40 (1993) 38–43.

- [29] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set, Comput. Mater. Sci. 6 (1996) 15–50.
- [30] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 11169–11186.
- [31] A.V. Krukau, O.A. Vydrov, A.F. Izmaylov, G.E. Scuseria, Influence of the exchange screening parameter on the performance of screened hybrid functionals, J. Chem. Phys. 125 (2006) 224106.
- [32] B. Lautrup, Physics of Continuous Matter: Exotic and Everyday Phenomena in the Macroscopic World, CRC press, 2011.
- [33] J.B. Levine, S.H. Tolbert, R.B. Kaner, Advancements in the search for superhard ultra-incompressible metal borides, Adv. Funct. Mater. 19 (2009) 3519–3533.
- [34] P. Liu, F. Peng, S. Yin, F. Liu, Q. Wang, X. Zhu, P. Wang, J. Liu, D. He, Exploring the behavior of molybdenum diboride (MoB₂): a high pressure x-ray diffraction study, J. Appl. Phys. 115 (2014) 163502.
- [35] S. Maintz, V.L. Deringer, A.L. Tchougréeff, R. Dronskowski, Lobster: a tool to extract chemical bonding from plane-wave based dft, J. Comput. Chem. 37 (2016) 1030–1035.
- [36] V.I. Matkovich, G. Samsonov, P. Hagenmuller, Boron and Refractory Borides, Springer, 1977.
- [37] N. Miao, B. Sa, J. Zhou, Z. Sun, Theoretical investigation on the transition-metal borides with Ta₃B₄-type structure: a class of hard and refractory materials, Comput. Mater. Sci. 50 (2011) 1559–1566.
- [38] K. Momma, F. Izumi, VESTA3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 44 (2011) 1272–1276.
- [39] H.J. Monkhorst, J.D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, Phys. Rev. B 13 (1976) 5192.
- [40] T. Mori, T. Takimoto, A. Leithe-Jasper, R. Cardoso-Gil, W. Schnelle, G. Auffermann, H. Rosner, Y. Grin, Ferromagnetism and electronic structure of TmB₂, Phys. Rev. B 79 (2009) 104418.
- [41] J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani, J. Akimitsu, Superconductivity at 39 K in magnesium diboride, Nature 410 (2001) 63–64.
- [42] L. Nordström, B. Johansson, M. Brooks, Calculated magnetic moments of $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$, J. Appl. Phys. 69 (1991) 5708–5710.
- [43] S. Okada, T. Atoda, I. Higashi, Y. Takahashi, Preparation of single crystals of MoB₂ by the aluminium-flux technique and some of their properties, J. Mater. Sci. 22 (1987) 2993–2999.
- [44] S. Okada, Y. Yu, K. Kudou, T. Shishido, T. Tanaka, I. Higashi, T. Lundström, T. Fukuda, Synthesis and investigation of large crystals of $(Cr_{1-x}TM_x)_3B_4$ with TM = Ti, V, Nb, Ta, Mo, and W, J. Solid State Chem. 154 (2000) 45–48.
- [45] H. Okamoto, T. Massalski, Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams, ASM International, 1990.
 [46] N.L. Okamoto, M. Kusakari, K. Tanaka, H. Inui, S. Otani, Anisotropic elastic con-
- 14 Stanta and thermal expansivities in monocrystal CrB₂, TiB₂, and ZrB₂, Acta Mater. 58 (2010) 76–84.
- [47] Y. Pan, Y. Lin, Influence of Re concentration on the mechanical properties of tungsten borides from first-principles calculations, JOM 69 (2017) 2009–2013.
- [48] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 3865–3868.
- [49] P.J. Robinson, G. Liu, S. Ciborowski, C. Martinez-Martinez, J.R. Chamorro, X. Zhang, T.M. McQueen, K.H. Bowen, A.N. Alexandrova, Mystery of three borides: differential metal-boron bonding governing superhard structures, Chem. Mater. 29 (2017) 9892–9896.
- [50] G.S. Rohrer, Structure and Bonding in Crystalline Materials, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [51] A. Otero-de-la Roza, V. Luaña, Gibbs2: a new version of the quasi-harmonic model code. i. robust treatment of the static data, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011) 1708–1720.
- [52] A. Sáez, F. Arenas, E. Vidal, Microstructure development of WCoB-TiC based hard materials, Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 21 (2003) 13–18.
- [53] R. Sarmiento-Perez, T.F.T. Cerqueira, I. Valencia-Jaime, M. Amsler, S. Goedecker, S. Botti, M.A.L. Marques, A.H. Romero, Sodium–gold binaries: novel structures for ionic compounds from an ab initio structural search, N. J. Phys. 15 (2013) 115007.
- [54] D.V. Schroeder, An introduction to thermal physics, Oxford University Press, 1999.
- [55] I.R. Shein, A.L. Ivanovskii, Elastic properties of mono-and polycrystalline hexagonal AlB₂-like diborides of s, p and d metals from first-principles calculations, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 20 (2008) 415218.
- [56] S. Singh, W. Ibarra-Hernández, I. Valencia-Jaime, G. Avendaño-Franco, A.H. Romero, Investigation of novel crystal structures of Bi–Sb binaries predicted using the minima hopping method, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 18 (2016) 29771–29785.
- [57] S., Singh, L., Lang, V., Dovale-Farelo, U., Herath, P., Tavadze, F.X., Coudert, A.H., Romero, MechElastic: A Python Library for Analysis of Mechanical and Elastic Properties of bulk and 2d Materials.(http://arxiv.org/abs/2012.04758).2020.
- [58] S. Singh, I. Valencia-Jaime, O. Pavlic, A.H. Romero, Elastic, mechanical, and thermodynamic properties of Bi-Sb binaries: effect of spin-orbit coupling, Phys. Rev. B 97 (2018) 054108.
- [59] J. Sun, A. Ruzsinszky, J.P. Perdew, Strongly constrained and appropriately normed semilocal density functional, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 036402.
- [60] K.I. Takagi, Development and application of high strength ternary boride base cermets, J. Solid State Chem. 179 (2006) 2809–2818.
- [61] Q. Tao, Y. Ma, Y. Li, Y. Chen, Y. Ma, T. Cui, X. Wang, P. Zhu, Exploring the high pressure behavior of 2d and quasi-3d boron layers in MoB₂, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 52878–52882.

- [62] Q. Tao, X. Zhao, Y. Chen, J. Li, Q. Li, Y. Ma, J. Li, T. Cui, P. Zhu, X. Wang, Enhanced Vickers hardness by quasi-3d boron network in MoB₂, RSC Adv. 3 (2013) 18317–18322.
- [63] A. Togo, L. Chaput, I. Tanaka, Distributions of phonon lifetimes in Brillouin zones, Phys. Rev. B 91 (2015) 094306.
- [64] A. Togo, I. Tanaka, First principles phonon calculations in materials science, Scr. Mater. 108 (2015) 1–5.
- [65] M. Tojo, T. Tokunaga, H. Ohtani, M. Hasebe, Thermodynamic analysis of phase equilibria in the Cr–Mo–B ternary system, Calphad 34 (2010) 263–270.
- [66] USGS, Mineral Commodity Summaries. (https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/ mineral-commodity-summaries).2020.
- [67] I. Valencia-Jaime, R. Sarmiento-Pérez, S. Botti, M.A. Marques, M. Amsler, S. Goedecker, A.H. Romero, Novel crystal structures for lithium-silicon alloy predicted by minima hopping method, J. Alloy. Compd. 655 (2016) 147–154.
- [68] J. Vandenberg, B. Matthias, E. Corenzwit, H. Barz, Superconductivity of some binary and ternary transition-metal borides, Mater. Res. Bull. 10 (1975) 889–894.
- [69] S. Wang, Y. Pan, Y. Lin, C. Tong, Influence of doping concentration on mechanical properties of Mo₂FeB₂ alloyed with Cr and Ni from first-principle calculations, Comput. Mater. Sci. 146 (2018) 18–25.

- [70] S. Wang, X. Yu, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, K. Leinenweber, H. Xu, D. Popov, C. Park, W. Yang, Crystal structures, elastic properties, and hardness of high-pressure synthesized CrB₂ and CrB₄, J. Superhard Mater. 36 (2014) 279–287
- [71] X. Xu, K. Fu, M. Yu, Z. Lu, X. Zhang, G. Liu, C. Tang, The thermodynamic, electronic and elastic properties of the early-transition-metal diborides with AlB₂-type structure: a density functional theory study, J. Alloy. Compd. 607 (2014) 198–206.
- [72] Y. Yu, T. Lundström, Synthesis and structure investigation of the new ternary boride $(Cr_{0.80}W_{0.20})_3B_4$ and its analogues $(Cr_{1-x}TM_x)_3B_4$ with TM = Mo or Ta, J. Alloy. Compd. 228 (1995) 122–126.
- [73] M. Zhang, H. Wang, H. Wang, T. Cui, Y. Ma, Structural modifications and mechanical properties of molybdenum borides from first principles, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (2010) 6722–6725.
- [74] T. Zhang, H.Q. Yin, C. Zhang, X.H. Qu, Q.J. Zheng, Effect of Mn doping on mechanical properties and electronic structure of WCoB ternary boride by firstprinciples calculations, Chin. Phys. B 27 (2018) 107101.