# On the averaged Colmez conjecture

By XINYI YUAN and SHOU-WU ZHANG

# **Abstract**

The Colmez conjecture is a formula expressing the Faltings height of an abelian variety with complex multiplication in terms of some linear combination of logarithmic derivatives of Artin L-functions. The aim of this paper to prove an averaged version of the conjecture, which was also proposed by Colmez.

# Contents

1. Introduction	534
1.1. Statements	534
1.2. Faltings heights	536
1.3. Quaternionic heights	539
Part 1. Faltings heights	542
2. Decomposition of Faltings heights	542
2.1. Hermitian pairings	542
2.2. Decomposition of heights	544
2.3. Some special abelian varieties	549
3. Shimura curve $X'$	550
3.1. Moduli interpretations	550
3.2. Curves $X'$ in case 1	552
3.3. Curve $X'$ in case 2	556
4. Shimura curve $X$	560
4.1. Shimura curve $X$	561
4.2. Integral models and arithmetic Hodge bundles	564
4.3. Integral models of $p$ -divisible groups	568
5 Shimura curve $X''$	570

Keywords: Colmez conjecture, complex multiplication, L-function, Faltings height, arithmetic intersection, Shimura curve

AMS Classification: Primary: 11G15, 14G40, 14G10.

<sup>© 2018</sup> Department of Mathematics, Princeton University.

5.1. Shimura curve $X''$	571
5.2. Integral models	573
5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6	576
Part 2. Quaternionic heights	577
6. Pseudo-theta series	577
6.1. Schwartz functions and theta series	578
6.2. Pseudo-theta series	579
6.3. Key lemma	582
7. Derivative series	583
7.1. Derivative series	583
7.2. Choice of the Schwartz function	590
7.3. Explicit local derivatives	592
8. Height series	605
8.1. Height series	605
8.2. Local heights as pseudo-theta series	609
8.3. Explicit local heights	617
9. Quaternionic height	624
9.1. Derivative series vs. height series	624
9.2. Arithmetic Adjunction Formula	628
References	635

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. Statements. First let us recall the definition of Faltings heights introduced by Faltings [Fal83]. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over a number field K, and let A be the relative identity component of the Néron model of A over  $O_K$ . Assume that A is semi-abelian. Denote by  $\Omega(A) = \text{Lie}(A)^{\vee}$  the sheaf of invariant differential 1-forms on A. Let  $\bar{\omega}(A)$  be a metrized line bundle over Spec  $O_K$ , whose finite part is defined as

$$\omega(\mathcal{A}) := \det \Omega(\mathcal{A})$$

and whose metric  $\|\cdot\|_v$  at each archimedean place v of K is given by

$$\|\alpha\|_v^2 := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^g} \int_{A_v(\mathbb{C})} |\alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}|, \qquad \alpha \in \omega(A_v) = \Gamma(A_v, \Omega_{A_v}^g).$$

Then Faltings [Fal83, §3] defines a moduli-theoretic height h(A) by

$$h(A) := \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \widehat{\operatorname{deg}} \ \overline{\omega}(A).$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is semi-abelian, this height is invariant under base change.

Now let us state our main result as conjectured by Colmez. Let E be a CM field of degree  $[E:\mathbb{Q}]=2g$ , with the maximal totally real subfield F and a complex conjugation  $c:E\to E$ . Let  $\Phi\subset \operatorname{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})$  be a CM type, i.e., a subset such that  $\Phi\cap\Phi^c=\emptyset$  and  $\Phi\cup\Phi^c=\operatorname{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $A_\Phi$  be a CM abelian variety over  $\mathbb C$  of CM type  $(O_E,\Phi)$ . By the theory of complex multiplication, there is a number field K in  $\mathbb C$  such that  $A_\Phi$  is defined over K and has a smooth and projective integral model  $\mathcal A$  over  $O_K$ . Colmez proved that the height  $h(A_\Phi)$  depends only on the CM type  $\Phi$ . Thus we may denote this height by  $h(\Phi)$ .

Colmez gave a conjectural formula expressing the precise value of  $h(A_{\Phi})$  in terms of linear combinations of logarithmic derivatives of Artin L-functions determined by  $\Phi$ . See [Col93, Th. 0.3, Conj. 0.4]. When  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is abelian, the conjecture was proved up to rational multiples of log 2 in the same paper, and later the rational multiples were eliminated by Obus [Obu13]. When  $[E:\mathbb{Q}]=4$ , the conjecture was essentially proved by Yang [Yan10], [Yan13].

The goal of this paper is to prove the following averaged formula for general CM fields using techniques in the proof of the Gross–Zagier formula ([GZ86]) and its generalization ([YZZ13]).

THEOREM 1.1. Let E/F be a CM extension, let  $\eta = \eta_{E/F}$  be the corresponding quadratic character of  $\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$ , and let  $d_F$  (resp.  $d_{E/F}$ ) be the absolute discriminant of F (resp. the norm of the relative discriminant of E/F). Then

$$\frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi} h(\Phi) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{L_f'(0, \eta)}{L_f(0, \eta)} - \frac{1}{4} \log(d_{E/F} d_F),$$

where  $\Phi$  runs through the set of all CM types of E, and  $L_f(s, \eta)$  is the finite part of the completed L-function  $L(s, \eta)$ .

The averaged formula was explicitly stated in [Col93, p. 634] with some typo. Note that we use a different normalization of the Faltings height.

Remark 1.2. Note that the above theorem can be reformulated as an arithmetic expression for  $L'(0,\eta)$ . This expression is analogous to the class number formula

$$L(0,\eta) = 2^a \frac{H}{w},$$

where  $2^a$ , H, and w are respectively the ratios of regulators, class numbers, and the number of roots of unity of the fields E and F.

Remark 1.3. When E is an imaginary quadratic, the Colmez conjecture can be deduced from the Chowla–Selberg formula in [SC67]. Our method (and also the method of Yang [Yan10], [Yan13]) thus gives a different proof of the Chow–Selberg formula. Another very interesting geometric proof of the Chowla–Selberg formula was discovered by Gross [Gro78]. He also made

a conjecture with Deligne for the periods of motives with CM by an abelian field. Anderson [And82] reformulated the conjecture of Deligne and Gross in terms of the logarithmic derivatives of odd Dirichlet L-functions at s=0. All these predictions were only up to algebraic numbers. Colmez used the Faltings height instead of just the archimedean periods to make the conjectures precise.

Remark 1.4. Andreatta, Goren, Howard and Madapusi-Pera [AGHMP18] prove the averaged Colmez conjecture independently. Their proof uses integral models of high-dimensional Shimura varieties and is based on the method of Yang [Yan10], [Yan13].

Remark 1.5. By the recent work of Jacob Tsimerman [Tsi18], the theorem implies the Andre–Oort conjecture for Siegel abelian varieties: Let X be a Shimura variety of abelian type over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed subvariety which contains a Zariski dense subset of special points of X. Then Y is a special subvariety.

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 below. The proof of each of the latter two theorems forms a part of this paper, so this paper is naturally divided into two parts. Theorem 1.6 is proved in Part I; Theorem 1.7 is proved in Part II.

1.2. Faltings heights. Part I (Sections 2–5) of this paper is devoted to reducing Theorem 1.1 to a Gross–Zagier type formula on quaternionic Shimura curves. In the following, for quaternionic Shimura curves, Hodge bundles and CM points, we will use the terminology of [YZZ13, §§1.2, 1.3, 3.1]

Fix a CM extension E/F as above. Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_F$ . Assume that there is an embedding  $\mathbb{A}_E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$  over  $\mathbb{A}$ , and fix one throughout this paper. For each open compact subgroup U of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ , we have a Shimura curve  $X_U$ , which is a projective and smooth curve over F. Let X be the projective limit of  $X_U$ . Then X has a right action by  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$  with quotients  $X/U = X_U$ .

The Shimura curves  $X_U$  do not parametrize abelian varieties but can be embedded into Shimura curves of PEL types over  $\bar{F}$ . We will construct integral models  $\mathcal{X}_U$  following the work of Carayol [Car86] and Čerednik–Drinfeld [BC91] and define the Hodge bundle  $\mathcal{L}_U$  (Theorem 4.7).

Assume that  $U = \prod U_v$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$  containing  $\widehat{O}_E^{\times}$ . Then  $X_U$  has a canonical integral model  $\mathcal{X}_U$  over  $O_F$ . Let  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_U$  be the arithmetic Hodge bundle of  $\mathcal{X}_U$ , whose hermitian metric at an archimedean place v is given by

$$\|dz\|_v = 2\operatorname{Im}(z)$$

with respect to the usual complex uniformizations by coherent quaternion algebras. See Section 4.2 for the constructions of  $\mathcal{X}_U$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_U$ .

Let  $P_U \in X_U(E^{ab})$  be the image of a point  $P \in X^{E^{\times}}$ . It has a height defined by

$$h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_U}(P_U) := \frac{1}{|F(P_U):F|} \widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_U|_{\bar{P}_U}),$$

where  $\bar{P}_U$  denotes the Zariski closure of the image of  $P_U$  in  $\mathcal{X}_U$ . The first part of our paper is to relate this height to the average of the Faltings heights of CM abelian varieties.

THEOREM 1.6. Let  $d_{\mathbb{B}}$  be the norm of the product of finite primes of  $O_F$  over which  $\mathbb{B}$  is ramified. Assume that there is no finite place of F ramified in both E and  $\mathbb{B}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi} h(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2} h_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U}(P_U) - \frac{1}{4} \log(d_{\mathbb{B}} d_F).$$

We prove this theorem by several manipulations of heights, which are sketched in the following.

Decomposition of Faltings heights. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a number field containing the normal closure of E over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that any CM abelian variety by  $O_E$  has a smooth model over  $O_K$ . Let A/K be a CM abelian variety of type  $(O_E, \Phi)$  and  $A/O_K$  be the smooth projective integral model. Then we will decompose the height  $h(\Phi)$  into a sum of q terms indexed by  $\tau \in \Phi$ ,

$$h(\Phi, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\operatorname{deg}} \, \bar{\mathcal{N}}(A, \tau),$$

where each  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(A,\tau)$  is a hermitian line bundle over Spec  $O_K$ . We will show that this height depends only on the pair  $(\Phi,\tau)$  in Theorem 2.2 and then denote it as  $h(\Phi,\tau)$ . In Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$h(\Phi) - \sum_{\tau \in \Phi} h(\Phi, \tau) = -\frac{1}{4[E_{\Phi} : \mathbb{Q}]} \log(d_{\Phi} d_{\Phi^{c}}).$$

Here  $E_{\Phi}$  is the reflex field of  $(E, \Phi)$  and  $d_{\Phi}, d_{\Phi^c}$  are certain absolute discriminants of  $\Phi, \Phi^c$ .

Let  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  be a nearby pair of CM types of E in the sense that  $|\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2| = g - 1$ . Let  $\tau_i$  be the complement of  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  in  $\Phi_i$  for i = 1, 2. Define

$$h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(h(\Phi_1, \tau_1) + h(\Phi_2, \tau_2)).$$

We will show that  $h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  does not depend on the choice of  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  and that  $h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}h(A_0, \tau)$  for any abelian variety  $A_0$  with an action by  $O_E$  and isogenous to  $A_{\Phi_1} \times A_{\Phi_2}$ , where  $\tau = \tau_i|_F$ . See Theorem 2.7. Thus Theorem 1.6 is reduced to the following equality:

$$gh(A_0, \tau) = h_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U}(P_U) - \frac{1}{2}\log(d_{\mathbb{B}}).$$

Assume that A is defined over the number field K containing  $F(P_U)$  and has good reduction over  $O_K$ . We will prove the above identity by constructing an isomorphism of hermitian line bundles over Spec  $O_K$  (cf. Proposition 5.7):

$$(1.2.1) \overline{\mathcal{N}}(A_0, \tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\overline{\mathcal{N}}_U|_{P_U}) \otimes_{O_{F(P_U)}} O_K,$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_U := \overline{\mathcal{L}}_U^2(-\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{B}})$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundle over  $\mathcal{X}_U$ .

Kodaira–Spencer isomorphisms. We will construct the isomorphism (1.2.1) by applying Kodaira–Spencer maps for families of abelian varieties, Hodge structures, and p-divisible groups parametrized by various Shimura curves. These maps give relations " $N = \omega^{\otimes 2}$ " between invariant differentials of these objects and differentials of the base curves.

First of all, let  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  be a nearby pair of CM types of E. Let F' be the reflex field of  $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ . Then there is a PEL-type Shimura curve  $X'_{U'}$  with minimal level defined over F' parametrizing the quadruples  $(A, i, \theta, \kappa)$  of an abelian variety A, an action i of  $O_E$  on A of type  $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ , a polarization  $\theta: A \longrightarrow A^t$  inducing complex conjugation on E, and a level structure  $\kappa: O_{\mathbb{B}} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \widehat{T}(A)$ . On  $X'_{U'}$  there is a point  $P'_{U'}$  representing an abelian variety  $A_0$  which is isogenous to  $A_{\Phi_1} \times A_{\Phi_2}$ . By the Kodaira–Spencer map, there is an isomorphism

$$N(A_0,\tau) \simeq \omega_{X'_{U'},P'_{U'}}^{\otimes 2}.$$

We will prove an archiemdean Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism (Theorem 3.7) in terms of hermitian structures using complex uniformization of X'.

There are no natural maps between the Shimura curves  $X_U$  and  $X'_{U'}$  over the reflex fields, even though they have isomorphic connected components over  $\bar{F}$ . We will construct another Shimura curve  $X''_{U''}$  with morphisms  $X_U \longrightarrow X''_{U''}$  and  $X'_{U'} \longrightarrow X''_{U''}$  so that both points  $P_U$  and  $P'_{U'}$  have the same image  $P''_{U''}$ . This gives an isomorphism over K required in (1.2.1):

$$(1.2.2) N(A_0, \tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{P_U} \otimes_{F(P_U)} K.$$

This isomorphism is in fact an isometry at all archimdean places.

It remains to show that the isomorphism (1.2.2) extends to the isomorphism (1.2.1). We need only do this by working on every place of K. For each prime  $\wp'$  of F, there is a p-divisible group H'' on a certain infinite cover  $X''_{1,\wp'}$  of  $X''_{U''}$  defined over  $K' := F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\wp'}$ , the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F_{\wp'}$ . This group restricts to the p-divisible group  $H' := A[p^{\infty}]$  on  $X'_{1,\wp'}$ , an infinite cover of  $X'_{U'}$ . On the other hand, on an infinite cover  $X_{1,\wp}$  of  $X_U$  over  $K := F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\wp$ , where  $\wp := \wp'|_F$ , there is a p-divisible group H independent of the choice of E. The groups H and H'' are related by the Tate module of a p-divisible group I on Y. See Proposition 5.1.

We will give a description for  $\mathcal{N}_{1,\wp}$  in terms of the deformation of  $\mathcal{H}$  via a Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism (Theorem 4.10). By Proposition 5.1, this also gives a description of  $\mathcal{N}_{1,\wp} \otimes O_{F_{\wp'}^{\text{ur}}}$  in term of the deformation of  $\mathcal{H}''$  (Corollary 5.5) which is the required extension of the isomorphism (1.2.1) at places over  $\wp'$ .

1.3. Quaternionic heights. Part II (Sections 6–9) of this paper is devoted to the proof the following height formula on quaternionic Shimura curves. Let  $U = \prod_v U_v$  be a maximal open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$  containing the image of  $\widehat{O}_E^{\times} = \prod_v O_{E_v}^{\times}$ .

THEOREM 1.7. Assume that at least two places of F are ramified in  $\mathbb{B}$ , and that there is no non-archimedean place of F ramified in both E and  $\mathbb{B}$ . Then

$$h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_U}(P_U) = -\frac{L_f'(0,\eta)}{L_f(0,\eta)} + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{d_{\mathbb{B}}}{d_{E/F}}.$$

Here  $d_{\mathbb{B}} = N(\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{B}})$  is the absolute discriminant of  $\mathbb{B}$ .

We prove this theorem by extending our method of proving the Gross–Zagier formula in [YZZ13]. Recall that the Gross–Zagier formula is an identity between the derivative of an L-series of a Hilbert modular form and the height of a CM point on a modular abelian variety. This formula is proved by a comparison of the analytic kernel  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$  and a geometric kernel  $2Z(g,(1,1),\phi)$  parametrized by a certain modified Schwartz function  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$ . More precisely, we have proved that the difference

$$\mathcal{D}(g,\phi) = \mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi) - 2Z(g,(1,1),\phi), \qquad g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$$

is perpendicular to the relevant cusp forms.

The cancellation for the "main terms" of  $\mathcal{D}(g,\phi)$  eventually implies the Gross–Zagier formula; however, the cancellation of the "degenerate terms" imply Theorem 1.7. To retrieve information of these degenerate terms, we need to compute this difference for a wider class of Schwartz functions  $\phi$  than those considered in [YZZ13]. In fact, [YZZ13] makes some assumptions on  $\phi$  so that the degenerate terms vanish automatically. In the following, we sketch some new ingredients of the proof.

Derivative series. By the reduced norm q, the incoherent quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  is viewed as a quadratic space over  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ . Then we have a modified space  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$  of Schwartz functions with a Weil representation r by  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$ . For each  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$  invariant under an open compact subgroup  $U \times U$  of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ , we have a finite sum of products of the theta

series and the Eisenstein series

$$I(s, g, \phi)_U = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{\gamma \in P^1(F) \backslash \operatorname{SL}_2(F)} \delta(\gamma g)^s \sum_{x_1 \in E} r(\gamma g) \phi(x_1, u),$$

where  $\mu_U = F^{\times} \cap U$ , and  $P^1$  is the upper triangular subgroup of  $SL_2$ .

For the decomposition  $\mathbb{B} = E_{\mathbb{A}} + E_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbf{j}$ , this function is a linear combination of the products  $\theta(g, \phi_1) \cdot E(s, g, \phi_2)$  of the theta series  $\theta(g, \phi_1)$  for some coherent Schwartz functions  $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(E_{\mathbb{A}})$ , and the Eisenstein series  $E(s, g, \phi_2)$  for some incoherent Schwartz functions  $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(E_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbf{j})$ . This implies that  $I(0, g, \phi) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0, g, \phi)$  be the holomorphic projection of the derivative at s = 0 of  $I(s, g, \phi)$ .

In Theorem 7.2, we give a precise formula for  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$  under some assumptions of Schwartz functions, which particularly includes the following term:

(1.3.1) 
$$\left(2\frac{L_f'(0,\eta)}{L_f(0,\eta)} + \log|d_{E/F}d_F|\right) \sum_{u_{t}^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} \phi(y,u).$$

Notice that this term was killed in [YZZ13] by some stronger assumption on Schwartz functions.

Height series. For any  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$  invariant under  $U \times U$ , we have a generating series of Hecke operators on the Shimura curve  $X_U$ :

$$Z(g,\phi)_U = Z_0(g,\phi) + w_U \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in U \setminus \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}/U} r(g)\phi(x,aq(x)^{-1})Z(x)_U,$$

where  $w_U = |\mu_2 \cap U|$ , the constant term  $Z_0(g, \phi)$  is a linear combination of Hodge classes on  $X_U \times X_U$ , which can be neglected in this paper, and every  $Z(x)_U$  is a divisor of  $X_U \times X_U$  associated to the Hecke operator corresponding to the double coset UxU. By [YZZ13, Th. 3.17], this series is absolutely convergent and defines an automorphic form on  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$  with coefficients in  $Pic(X_U \times X_U)_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Let  $P = P_U$  be the CM point of  $X_U$  as above, and let  $P_U^{\circ} \in \text{Jac}(X_U)$  be the divisor of degree zero modified by the Hodge classes. Then we can form a height series

$$Z(g,\phi)_U = \langle Z(g,\phi)_U P_U^{\circ}, P_U^{\circ} \rangle_{NT},$$

where the right-hand side is the Neron-Tate height pairing.

In Theorem 8.6, we give a precise formula for  $Z(g, \phi)_U$  under some assumption of Schwartz functions, which particularly includes the following term:

(1.3.2) 
$$-\frac{i_0(P,P)}{[O_E^{\times}:O_F^{\times}]} \sum_{u \in u_{\pi}^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{u \in E^{\times}} r(g)\phi(y,u),$$

where  $i_0(P, P)$  is a modified arithmetic self-intersection number of the Zariski closure  $\bar{P}$  on the integral model  $\mathcal{X}_U$ . Notice that this term was killed in [YZZ13] by some stronger assumption on Schwartz functions.

Finally, Theorem 1.7 essentially follows from an identity between (1.3.1) and (1.3.2). To get this identity, the idea is to use the theory of pseudo-theta series in Section 6.2. There is already a basic concept of pseudo-theta series in [YZZ13], but here we develop a more general theory to cover the degenerate terms.

Pseudo-theta series. From the explicit formulas in Theorems 7.2 and 8.6, the difference  $\mathcal{D}(g,\phi)$  is a finite sum of the so-called pseudo-theta series

$$A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_1 \backslash V_0} \phi_S'(g, x, u) r_V(g) \phi^S(x, u), \qquad g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}),$$

where

- S is a finite set of places of F including all archimedean places,
- $\mu \subset O_F^{\times}$  is a subgroup of finite index,
- $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V$  is a filtration of totally positive definite quadratic spaces of F,
- $\phi^S \in \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A}^S) \times \mathbb{A}^{S,\times})$  is a Schwartz function outside S, and
- $\phi'_S$  is a locally constant function on

$$\prod_{v \in S} (\operatorname{GL}_2(F_v) \times (V_1 - V_0)(F_v) \times F_v)$$

with some extra smoothness or boundedness conditions.

Notice that a pseudo-theta series usually is not automorphic. But our key Lemma 6.1 shows that if a sum of pseudo-theta series is automorphic, then we can replace them by the difference  $\theta_{A,1} - \theta_{A,0}$  of the associated theta series

$$\theta_{A,1}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_1} r_{V_1}(g) \phi_S'(1, x, u) r_{V_1}(g) \phi^S(x, u),$$

$$\theta_{A,0}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_0} r_{V_0}(g) \phi_S'(1, x, u) r_{V_0}(g) \phi^S(x, u).$$

Since the weights of these theta series depend only on the dimensions of  $V_i$ , there is a vanishing of some sums of theta series grouped in terms of dim  $V_i$ .

Combining Lemma 6.1 for  $\mathcal{D}(g,\phi)$  with some local computation gives the following identity for the self-intersection of CM points P (Theorem 9.1):

$$\frac{1}{[O_E^\times : O_F^\times]} i_0(P,P) = \frac{L_f'(0,\eta)}{L_f(0,\eta)} + \frac{1}{2} \log(d_{E/F}/d_{\mathbb{B}}).$$

This is essentially the desired identity between (1.3.1) and (1.3.2). Now Theorem 1.7 follows the following arithmetic adjunction formula (Theorem 9.3):

$$\frac{1}{[O_E^{\times}:O_F^{\times}]}i_0(P,P) = -h_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U}(P),$$

which will be proved by explicit local computations.

Acknowlegments. The authors are indebted to Wei Zhang for their joint work with him in proving a general Gross–Zagier formula on Shimura curves from 2007 to 2012. Inspired by this joint work, the analytic formula for the quaternionic height was obtained by XY in 2008. Motivated by the averaged Colmez conjecture and the work of Jacob Tsimerman in 2015, the relation between the quaternionic height and the averaged Faltings height was proved by SZ in 2015. The authors would also like to express their deep thanks to Pierre Colmez and Jacob Tsimerman.

The authors are indebted to Benedict Gross and Don Zagier, as the current paper would not exist without the original Gross–Zagier formula. The authors are grateful to Benedict Gross for his encouragement, support, and his explanation on the very interesting history from the Chowla–Selberg formula to the Colmez conjecture.

The authors would like to thank Pierre Colmez, Yichao Tian, Tonghai Yang, and Wei Zhang for helpful discussions. XY would like to thank the hospitality of AMSS of Chinese Academy of Sciences and acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation under the award DMS-1330987. SZ would like to thank the AMSS of Chinese Academy of Sciences and the IAS of Tsinghua University for their hospitality during the preparation of this work, and the National Science Foundation for its support via awards DMS-1415502 and DMS-1404369.

## Part 1. Faltings heights

The goal of this part is to prove Theorem 1.6. Throughout this part, we fix a quadratic CM extension E/F.

## 2. Decomposition of Faltings heights

In this section, we will first decompose  $h(\Phi)$  into a sum of components  $h(\Phi, \tau)$  for each  $\tau \in \Phi$ . See Theorem 2.3. This is done by using a hermitian pairing between  $\Omega(A_{\Phi})$  and  $\Omega(A_{\Phi}^t)$ . Then we define the height  $h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  for a nearby pair  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  of CM types of E (in the sense that  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  has g-1 elements) as the average of two heights  $h(\Phi_i, \tau_i)$ , where  $\tau_i$  is the complement of  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  in  $\Phi_i$ . We will end this section by showing that  $h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  can be computed by any abelian variety isogenous to the product of two CM abelian varieties with CM types  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$ .

2.1. Hermitian pairings. Let A be a complex abelian variety with space  $\Omega(A)$  of holomorphic 1-forms. Then we define a metric on the complex line  $\omega(A) = \det \Omega(A)$  by

$$\|\alpha\|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^g} \int_{A(\mathbb{C})} |\alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}|.$$

In terms of Hodge theory, this norm is given by the following pairing between  $\det H^1(A, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\det H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\|\alpha\|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^g} |\langle \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}, e_A \rangle|,$$

where  $e_A$  is a basis of det  $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}) = H_{2g}(A, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $A^t$  be the dual abelian variety of A. Then we have a uniformization

$$A^t(\mathbb{C}) = H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)/H^1(A, 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}).$$

This induces the following canonical isomorphisms:

$$\Omega(A^t)^{\vee} = \operatorname{Lie}(A^t) \simeq H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \simeq H^{0,1}(A) = \bar{\Omega}(A).$$

Thus we have a perfect hermitian pairing

$$\Omega(A) \times \Omega(A^t) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The hermitian pairing is functorial in the sense that if  $\phi: B \longrightarrow A$  is a morphism of abelian varieties, then we have

$$(\phi^*\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha, (\phi^t)^*\beta), \qquad \alpha \in \Omega(A), \quad \beta \in \Omega(B^t).$$

Here  $\phi^t: A^t \longrightarrow B^t$  denotes the dual morphism.

Taking determinants, this gives a hermitian norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\omega(A)\otimes\omega(A^t)$ . Using this norm, we obtain the following product formula:

LEMMA 2.1. For any  $\alpha \in \det \Omega(A)$  and  $\beta \in \det \Omega(A^t)$ ,

$$\|\alpha\|^2 \cdot \|\beta\|^2 = \|\alpha \otimes \beta\|^2.$$

*Proof.* The direct sum of the pairing  $\Omega(A) \otimes \Omega(A^t) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and its complex conjugate give a perfect hermitian pairing

$$H^1(A,\mathbb{C})\otimes H^1(A^t,\mathbb{C})\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

This pairing is dual to the canonical perfect pairing

$$H_1(A,\mathbb{Z})\otimes H_1(A^t,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$$

by the above uniformization of  $A^t$ . Taking determinants and using the Hodge decomposition, we obtain the following isomorphism of lines:

$$\omega(A) \otimes \overline{\omega}(A) \otimes \omega(A^t) \otimes \overline{\omega}(A^t) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

This isomorphism is dual to the isomorphism

$$\det H_{2g}(A,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \det H_{2g}(A^t,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow (2\pi i)^{2g}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we have

$$\|\alpha\|^2 \cdot \|\beta\|^2 = (2\pi)^{-2g} |\langle \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}, e_A \rangle| \cdot |\langle \beta \wedge \bar{\beta}, e_{A^t} \rangle|$$
  
=  $(2\pi)^{-2g} |\langle \alpha \otimes \beta \cdot \overline{\alpha \otimes \beta}, e_A \otimes e_{A^t} \rangle| = \|\alpha \otimes \beta\|^2.$ 

In the last step, we use the pairing  $(e_A, e_{A^t}) = (2\pi i)^{2g}$ .

Now we assume that A has a multiplication by an order of a number field E. Then E is either totally real or CM. Let c be the CM involution on E (which is trivial if E is totally real). Then for each embedding  $\tau: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we have a projection  $E \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and a  $\tau$ -eigencomponent space

$$W(A,\tau) := \Omega(A) \otimes_{E \otimes \mathbb{C}, \tau} \mathbb{C}.$$

The action of E on A induces an action of E on  $A^t$ . More precisely, for any  $\gamma \in E$  corresponding to  $\gamma : A \to A$ , let  $\gamma$  act on  $A^t$  via  $\gamma^t : A^t \to A^t$ , where the latter is just the morphism compatible with the pull-back map  $\gamma^* : \operatorname{Pic}^0(A) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$ . Now we define  $W(A^t, \tau)$  analogously. Then there are decompositions

$$\Omega(A) = \bigoplus_{\tau: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}} W(A, \tau), \qquad \Omega(A^t) = \bigoplus_{\tau: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}} W(A^t, \tau).$$

The above hermitian pairing between  $\Omega(A)$  and  $\Omega(A^t)$  is an orthogonal sum of hermitian parings between  $W(A,\tau)$  and  $W(A^t,\tau c)$  for each complex embedding  $\tau$  of E.

2.2. Decomposition of heights. Now we assume that A is defined over a number field  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  with a semi-abelian relative identity component of the Neron model A over  $O_K$ , that A has actions by the ring of integers  $O_E$  of a field E, and that K contains the normal closure of E in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then for each embedding  $\tau: E \longrightarrow K$ , we can define the  $\tau$ -quotient  $O_K$ -module

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A},\tau) := \Omega(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{O_K \otimes O_E,\tau} O_K.$$

The action of E on A induces an action on  $A^t$  as above, so we define  $\mathcal{W}(A^t, \tau)$  analogously. Define a line bundle over Spec  $O_K$  by

$$\mathcal{N}(A,\tau) := \det \mathcal{W}(A,\tau) \otimes \det \mathcal{W}(A^t,\tau c).$$

At each archimedean place v of K, there is a norm  $\|\cdot\|_v$  on  $\mathcal{N}(A,\tau)$  defined as above. Thus we have a metrized line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(A,\tau) := (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ . We define the  $\tau$ -part of the Faltings height:

$$h(A, \tau) = \frac{1}{2[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}(A, \tau)).$$

THEOREM 2.2. Assume that A has CM by  $O_E$  with type  $\Phi \subset \text{Hom}(E, K)$ . Then  $h(A, \tau)$  depends only on the pair  $(\Phi, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let B be another abelian variety with CM by  $O_E$  of type  $\Phi$ . After a base change, we can assume that A and B are defined over K and have everywhere good reduction over  $O_K$ . We can also assume that there is a dual pair of  $O_E$ -isogenies over K:

$$f:A\longrightarrow B, \qquad f^t:B^t\longrightarrow A^t.$$

These isogenies extend to integral models over  $O_K$ :

$$f: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}, \qquad f^t: \mathcal{B}^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^t.$$

They further induce nonzero morphisms of line bundles:

$$f^*: \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{B}, \tau) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tau), \qquad f^{t*}: \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}^t, \tau c) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{B}^t, \tau c).$$

Thus we have a rational map of metrized line bundles:

$$\varphi: \mathcal{N}(B,\tau) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(A,\tau).$$

Computing the norm of this map gives

$$h(A,\tau) - h(B,\tau) = -\frac{1}{2[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{p \le \infty} \sum_{\sigma: K \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \log \|\varphi_{\sigma}\|_p.$$

Theorem 2.2 will follow from the identity

$$\prod_{\sigma:K\to\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}\|\varphi_\sigma\|_p=1$$

for each place p of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Notice that this identity is compatible with base changes. If  $p = \infty$ , by the above functoriality of the hermitian pairing of invariant forms, it is easy to see that  $\varphi_{\sigma}$  is an isometry.

It remains to study the product when  $p < \infty$ . We will use the p-divisible groups  $\mathcal{A}[p^{\infty}]$  and  $\mathcal{B}[p^{\infty}]$  over  $O_K$ , and analogous space of differential forms. For a place  $\sigma$  of K over a prime p, and an abelian variety  $\mathcal{X}$  from  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^t, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^t$ , we have identities

$$\Omega(\mathcal{X})_{\sigma} = \Omega(\mathcal{X}[p^{\infty}])_{\sigma}, \qquad \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{X}, \tau)_{\sigma} = \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{X}[p^{\infty}], \tau)_{\sigma}.$$

Thus we may view  $\varphi_{\sigma}$  as a morphism of line bundles induced from p-divisible groups:

$$\varphi_{\sigma}: \mathcal{N}(B[p^{\infty}], \tau) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(A[p^{\infty}], \tau).$$

Notice that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{O_{E,p}}(\mathcal{A}[p^{\infty}],\mathcal{B}[p^{\infty}])$  is a free module of rank 1 over  $O_{E,p}$ . Thus we have an isomorphism of p-divisible  $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes O_E$ -modules over  $O_K$ :

$$\iota: \mathcal{A}[p^{\infty}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}[p^{\infty}].$$

We can use this morphism to identify  $\mathcal{B}[p^{\infty}]$  with  $\mathcal{A}[p^{\infty}]$ , and  $\mathcal{B}^t[p^{\infty}]$  with  $\mathcal{A}^t[p^{\infty}]$ . In this way, f is an  $O_{E,p}$ -endomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}[p^{\infty}]$ . Since the Tate module of this group at the generic fiber is a free  $O_{E,p}$ -module of rank 1, f is given by multiplication by an element  $\alpha \in O_{E,p}$  on  $\mathcal{A}[p^{\infty}]$ . Taking the dual,  $f^t$  is given by  $\bar{\alpha} \in O_{E,p}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^t[p^{\infty}]$ . Thus  $\varphi_{\sigma}$  is given by the multiplication by  $(\alpha/\bar{\alpha})_{\sigma}$  on the group  $\mathcal{N}(A[p^{\infty}], \tau)$ . It follows that

$$\prod_{\sigma:K\to\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}\|\varphi_\sigma\|_p=\prod_{\sigma:K\to\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}\frac{|\alpha_{\sigma\tau}|}{|\alpha_{\sigma\tau c}|}=\prod_{\sigma:K\to\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}\frac{|\alpha_{\sigma\tau}|}{|\alpha_{c_p\sigma\tau}|}=1.$$

Here  $c_p$  is an element  $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  which induces the complex conjugation on E via every embedding  $E \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ .

By Theorem 2.2, we can denote  $h(A, \tau)$  by  $h(\Phi, \tau)$  if A has CM type  $(O_E, \Phi)$ . In the following, we want to compute the difference:

$$h(\Phi) - \sum_{\tau \in \Phi} h(\Phi, \tau).$$

Let  $E_{\Phi}$  be the reflex field of  $\Phi$  generated by all  $\Phi$ -traces and  $t: E \longrightarrow E_{\Phi}$  be the induced trace map. Then the action E on the  $E_{\Phi}$ -vector space  $E_{\Phi} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$  gives a decomposition into a direct sum of  $E \otimes E_{\Phi}$ -subspaces,

$$E_{\Phi} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E = \widetilde{E}_{\Phi} \oplus \widetilde{E}_{\Phi^c},$$

so that the traces of the actions of E are t and  $t^c$  respectively. In particular,  $\widetilde{E}_{\Phi}$  and  $\widetilde{E}_{\Phi^c}$  are two quotient algebras of  $E_{\Phi} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ . Let  $R_{\Phi}$  denote the image of  $O_{E_{\Phi}} \otimes O_E$  in  $\widetilde{E}_{\Phi}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{d}_{\Phi}$  the relative discriminant of the extension  $R_{\Phi}/O_{E_{\Phi}}$ , and denote by  $d_{\Phi}$  the norm of  $\mathfrak{d}_{\Phi}$ .

THEOREM 2.3. 
$$h(\Phi) - \sum_{\tau \in \Phi} h(\Phi, \tau) = -\frac{1}{4[E_{\Phi} : \mathbb{Q}]} \log(d_{\Phi} d_{\Phi^c}).$$

*Proof.* By definition, we have morphisms

$$\phi: \quad \Omega(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Phi} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tau), \qquad \phi^t: \quad \Omega(\mathcal{A}^t) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Phi} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}^t, \tau c).$$

Thus we have elements

$$\det \phi \in \left(\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Phi} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tau)\right) \otimes \det \Omega(\mathcal{A})^{-1},$$
$$\det \phi^t \in \left(\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Phi} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}^t, \tau c)\right) \otimes \det \Omega(\mathcal{A}^t)^{-1}.$$

This gives a section of the line bundle:

$$\ell \in \left(\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Phi} N(A, \tau)\right) \otimes (\omega(A) \otimes \omega(A^t))^{-1}.$$

With metrics defined on these line bundles, we have an adelic metric on  $\ell$ . Now we have an identity

$$h(\Phi) - \sum_{\tau \in \Phi} h(\Phi, \tau) = \frac{1}{2[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{p \le \infty} \sum_{\sigma : K \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \log \|\ell_{\sigma}\|_p,$$

where

$$\|\ell_{\sigma}\|_{p} = \|\det \phi_{\sigma}\|_{p} \cdot \|\det \phi_{\sigma}^{t}\|_{p}.$$

By the above discussion, it is clear that  $\ell$  has norm 1 at all archimedean places. So we need only consider  $p < \infty$ .

As a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra,  $O_{E,p}$  is generated by one element  $x \in O_{E,p}$ , which has a minimal equation

$$P(t) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Hom}(E,K)} (t - x^{\sigma}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[t], \qquad x^{\sigma} \in K_p^{\times}.$$

Write

$$P_{\Phi}(t) = \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} (t - x^{\tau}) \in E_{\Phi,p}[t], \quad P_{\Phi^c}(t) = \prod_{\tau \in \Phi^c} (t - x^{\tau}) \in E_{\Phi,p}[t].$$

It is clear that  $R_{\Phi,p} = O_{E_{\Phi},p}[t]/P_{\Phi}(t)$ . Thus the ideal  $\mathfrak{d}_{\Phi,p}$  of  $O_{E_{\Phi},p}$  is generated by  $\Delta(\Phi)_p = \prod_{i < j} (x^{\tau_i} - x^{\tau_j})^2$ .

To study  $\ell_{\sigma}$ , let us write  $K_{\sigma}$  for the completion of  $\sigma(K)$ ,  $O_{\sigma}$  for the ring of p-adic integers in  $K_{\sigma}$ , and  $A_{\sigma}$  for the model of A over  $O_{\sigma}$ . Consider the Hodge–de Rham filtration

$$(2.2.1) 0 \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}, O_{\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

With respect to the action of  $O_E$ , one has that  $H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{A}_{\sigma})$  is free of rank 1 over  $O_{\sigma} \otimes O_E$ . See [Col93, Lemma II. 1.2]. The other two terms are free  $O_{\sigma}$ -modules under which  $O_E$  acts with type  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^c$  respectively.

LEMMA 2.4. The above exact sequence of  $O_{\sigma} \otimes O_{E}$ -modules is isomorphic to the following sequence:

$$(2.2.2) \hspace{1cm} 0 \longrightarrow \frac{O_{\sigma}[t]}{P_{\Phi}(t)} \stackrel{P_{\Phi^c}(t)}{\longrightarrow} \frac{O_{\sigma}[t]}{P(t)} \longrightarrow \frac{O_{\sigma}[t]}{P_{\Phi^c}(t)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* First we want to show that (2.2.2) is an exact sequence. It is clear that the sequence is exact at the first and the third term, and that it is exact at the middle term after base change to  $K_{\sigma}$ . Thus the exactness at the middle term is equivalent to the following statement: an element  $\alpha \in O_{\sigma}[t]$  divisible by  $P_{\Phi^c}(t)$  in  $K_{\sigma}[t]$  is divisible by  $P_{\Phi^c}(t)$  in  $O_{\sigma}[t]$ . This follows from the classical Gauss's lemma.

It remains to construct an isomorphism from (2.2.1) to (2.2.2). By the above discussion, we can fix an isomorphism of the  $O_{\sigma} \otimes O_{E}$ -module

$$\varphi: H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}_\sigma) \longrightarrow \frac{O_\sigma[t]}{P(t)}.$$

We want to extend this isomorphism to an isomorphism from the exact sequence (2.2.1) to (2.2.2). It is clear that under the actions by  $O_E$ , all terms in the exact sequence (2.2.1) are torsion-free with the same CM types as corresponding terms in (2.2.2). It follows that  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism from (2.2.1) to (2.2.2).

COROLLARY 2.5. There is an isomorphism of  $(O_{\sigma} \otimes O_{E})$ -modules

$$\Omega(\mathcal{A})_{\sigma} \simeq O_{\sigma}[t]/P_{\Phi}(t)$$

under which x acts as t.

By this corollary, the evaluation  $t \mapsto x^{\tau}$  gives an isomorphism  $\Omega^{\tau} \simeq O_{\sigma}$ . Thus we have the following model of  $\phi_{\sigma}$ :

$$\phi_{\sigma}: O_{\sigma}[t]/\Phi(t) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Phi} O_{\sigma}, \qquad t \longmapsto (x^{\tau}: \tau \in \Phi).$$

Notice that  $O_{\sigma}[t]/\Phi$  has the basis  $(1, t, \dots, t^{g-1})$ , and  $\bigoplus_{\Phi} O_{\sigma}$  has a usual basis  $e_1, \dots, e_g$  by choosing an ordering  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_g)$ . We have

$$(\det \phi_{\sigma})(1 \wedge t \wedge t^{2} \wedge \dots \wedge t^{g-1}) = \pm \det((t^{\tau_{j}})^{i}) \cdot e_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{g}$$
$$= \sqrt{\Delta(\Phi)_{p}} \cdot e_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{g}.$$

Thus finally, we have shown

$$\|\det \phi_{\sigma}\|_p = |\Delta(\Phi)_p|^{1/2}.$$

Put everything together to obtain

$$h(\Phi) - \sum_{\tau \in \Phi} h(\Phi, \tau) = \frac{1}{4[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{p < \infty} \sum_{\sigma : K \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \log |\Delta(\Phi)_p \cdot \Delta(\Phi^c)_p|$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4[E_{\Phi} : \mathbb{Q}]} \log(d_{\Phi} \cdot d_{\Phi^c}).$$

By a nearby pair of CM types of E, we mean a pair  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  of CM types of E such that  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  has order g-1. Let  $\tau_i$  be the complement of  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  in  $\Phi_i$  for i=1,2. Define

$$h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) := \frac{1}{2} \left( h(\Phi_1, \tau_1) + h(\Phi_2, \tau_2) \right).$$

Corollary 2.6. We have

$$\frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi} h(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2^{g-1}} \sum_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)} h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) - \frac{1}{4} \log d_F,$$

where the second sum is over nonordered pairs of nearby CM types of E.

*Proof.* Take the average over all types  $\Phi$  in Theorem 2.3 to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi} h(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi,\tau} h(\Phi,\tau) = \frac{1}{4[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{p < \infty} \sum_{\sigma:K \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi} \log|\Delta(\Phi)_p \cdot \Delta(\Phi^c)_p|,$$

where the second sum is over pairs of CM type  $\Phi \subset \text{Hom}(E, \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$  and  $\tau \in \Phi$ .

For a fixed  $\sigma: K \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ , the last sum on the right-hand side is a sum of  $\log |x_1 - x_2|_p^2$  over pairs  $x_1, x_2$  of roots of  $\Phi$  with  $x_2 \neq x_1$  and  $x_2 \neq x_1^c$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2g}$  be all roots of P(t) such that  $x_i^c = x_{i+g}$ . Then the last sum on the right-hand side is a multiple of

$$\log \left| \frac{\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2}{\prod_{i < q} (x_i - x_{i+q})^2} \right| = \log \left| \frac{d_E}{d_{E/F}} \right| = \log |d_F|^2.$$

Since there are  $2^{g-1}$  such terms, we have

$$\frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma: K \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi} \log |\Delta(\Phi)_p \cdot \Delta(\Phi^c)_p| = \log |d_F|_p.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi} h(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{\Phi, \tau} h(\Phi, \tau) = -\frac{1}{4} \log |d_F|.$$

Then it is easy to obtain the result.

2.3. Some special abelian varieties. In this subsection, we fix a nearby pair  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  of CM types of E. We want to compute the height  $h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  by a single abelian variety.

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $A, A_1, A_2$  be abelian varieties over a number field K with endomorphisms by  $O_E$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $A_1, A_2$  are CM-abelian varieties of type  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  respectively;
- (2) A is  $O_E$ -isogenous to  $A_1 \times A_2$ .

Then

$$h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \frac{1}{2} (h(A_1, \tau_1) + h(A_2, \tau_2)) = \frac{1}{2} h(A, \tau),$$

where  $\tau_i$  is the complement of  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  in  $\Phi_i$ , and  $\tau$  is the place F under  $\tau_i$ . Here in the last equality, A is considered to have a multiplication by  $O_F$ .

*Proof.* From an  $O_E$ -isogeny  $A_1 \times A_2 \longrightarrow A$ , we obtain an  $O_E$ -morphism  $i: A_1 \longrightarrow A$  with a finite kernel. By Theorem 2.2, we may replace  $A_1$  by the image of i to assume that i is an embedding. Now we have an isogeny  $A_2 \longrightarrow A/A_1$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $A_2 = A/A_1$ . Thus we have a dual pair of exact sequences of  $O_E$ -abelian varieties:

$$0 \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow 0, \qquad 0 \longrightarrow A_2^t \longrightarrow A^t \longrightarrow A_1^t \longrightarrow 0.$$

After a base change, we may assume that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  have good reductions over  $O_K$ . This implies that A also has good reduction over  $O_K$ . Thus we have a dual pair of exact sequences of their Neron models:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \longrightarrow 0, \qquad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1^t \longrightarrow 0.$$

These exact sequences induce a dual pair of exact sequences of their invariant differentials:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}_2) \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$
  
$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}_1^t) \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}^t) \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}_2^t) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we have the following exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}_2, \tau_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}, \tau) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}_1, \tau_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$
  
$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}_1^t, \tau_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}_1^t, \tau) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}_2^t, \tau_1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking determinants, we obtain

$$\det \mathcal{W}(A,\tau) = \mathcal{W}(A_1,\tau_1) \otimes \mathcal{W}(A_2,\tau_2), \quad \det \mathcal{W}(A^t,\tau) = \mathcal{W}(A_1^t,\tau_2) \otimes \mathcal{W}(A_1^t,\tau_1).$$

It follows that we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{N}(A,\tau) \simeq \mathcal{N}(A_1,\tau_1) \otimes \mathcal{N}(A_2,\tau_2).$$

It is easy to show that this isomorphism is compatible with the metric defined by Hodge theory at infinite places. Thus we have

$$h(A,\tau) = h(A_1,\tau_1) + h(A_2,\tau_2).$$

# 3. Shimura curve X'

In this section, we study a Shimura curve of PEL type following Deligne [Del71], Carayol [Car86], and Čerednik–Drinfeld [BC91], [Che76]. After reviewing the basic facts about the moduli problems, we will study in special cases of the integral models over the ring of integers of the reflex field, and the Kodaira–Spencer map over complex numbers.

- 3.1. Moduli interpretations. Recall that we have a totally real number field F, a quadratic CM extension E/F, and a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  over  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ . We will consider one of the following special cases later:
- (1)  $E = F(\sqrt{\lambda})$  with a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$  as in Carayol [Car86];
- (2)  $\mathbb{A}_E$  is embedded into  $\mathbb{B}$  over  $\mathbb{A}$  as in the introduction.

Let  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  be a nearby pair of CM types of E. Let  $\tau$  be the place of F missing in  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$ , and let B be the quaternion algebra over F with ramification set  $\Sigma(\mathbb{B}) \setminus \{\tau\}$ . We form a reductive group  $G'' := B^{\times} \times_{F^{\times}} E^{\times}$ , the quotient of  $B^{\times} \times E^{\times}$  by  $F^{\times}$  via the action  $a \circ (b, e) = (ba^{-1}, ae)$ . Let  $B^1$  and  $E^1$  denote respectively the subgroups of B and E with norm 1. Then G'' has the same derived subgroup  $G_1 := B^1$  as  $G = B^{\times}$  with quotient isomorphic to  $F^{\times} \times E^1$  via the following map:

$$\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2) : G''/G_1 \longrightarrow F^{\times} \times E^1, \quad (b, e) \longmapsto (q(b)e\bar{e}, e/\bar{e}).$$

Here q(b) denotes the reduced norm of b.

Define an algebraic group G' over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subgroup of G'' by

$$G'(\mathbb{Q}) = \{ g \in G''(\mathbb{Q}) : \nu_1(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \}.$$

Let  $h': \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow G'(\mathbb{R})$  be the complex structure which has a lifting to a morphism  $h \times h_E$  to  $(B \otimes \mathbb{R})^{\times} \times (E \otimes \mathbb{R})$  as follows: the component to  $(B \otimes \mathbb{R})^{\times} =$ 

 $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the same as h for defining quaternion Shimura curve as in Carayol [Car86] (see also Section 4.1); the component to  $(E \otimes \mathbb{R})^{\times} \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^g$  is given by

$$h_E: z \longmapsto (1, z^{-1}, \dots, z^{-1}),$$

where the first component corresponds to the place over  $\tau$ . The class of a  $G'(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of h' is identified with  $\mathfrak{h}^{\pm} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  by

$$ghg^{-1} \longmapsto g(i), \qquad g \in G'(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus we have Shimura curves over  $\mathbb C$  indexed by open and compact subgroups U' of  $G'(\widehat{\mathbb Q})$ :

$$X'_{U'}(\mathbb{C}) = G'(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) / U'.$$

It is not difficult to show that the reflex field of h' is the same as the reflex field of  $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ . Let F' be the reflex field of h'. Then  $X'_{U'}$  is defined over F'. The following is a relation between F and F':

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $\Psi$  denote  $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$ , and let  $\tau : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the place of F missing in  $\Psi|_F$ . Then F' contains  $\tau(F)$ .

*Proof.* By definition,  $Gal(\mathbb{C}/F')$  consists of elements  $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{C})$  fixing the weighted set  $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ . It is clear that

$$\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = 2\Psi + \tau_1 + \tau_2,$$

with  $\tau_i$  the complement of  $\Psi$  in  $\Phi_i$ . Considering multiplicity, such a  $\sigma$  fixes  $\tau_1 + \tau_2$ . In other words, it fixes  $\tau(F)$ .

Let X' be the projective limit of  $X'_{U'}$  for all  $X'_{U'}$ . Then X' is a scheme over F' with a right action by  $G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  and a uniformization given by

$$X'_{\tau'}(\mathbb{C}) = G'(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

See Carayol [Car86, §3.1].

Denote by  $G''(\mathbb{Q})_+$  the subgroup of elements (b,e) in  $G''(\mathbb{Q}) = B^{\times} \times_{F^{\times}} E^{\times}$  such that  $q(b) \in F$  is totally positive. As in Carayol [Car86, §3.4], the curve X' is equipped with a right action of the subgroup  $\widetilde{G} = G''(\mathbb{Q})_+ \cdot G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  of  $G''(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  as follows: for any elements  $(g_0, g_1) \in G''(\mathbb{Q})_+ \times G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$ , define

$$[z,h] \cdot (g_0g_1) = [g_0^{-1}z, g_0^{-1}hg_0g_1].$$

The subgroup of elements fixing every point on X' is given by the center  $Z''(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E^{\times}$  of  $G''(\mathbb{Q})_+$ .

In the following, we want to describe the moduli problem associated to  $X'_{U'}$  following Carayol [Car86, §2]. For this, we will work on the quaternion algebra  $B' = B \otimes_F E$  over E. Let V' := B' as a left B'-vector space. Fix an invertible element  $\gamma' \in B'$  such that  $\bar{\gamma}' = -\gamma'$  where  $b \mapsto \bar{b}$  is the involution

on  $B' = B \otimes_F E$  induced from the canonical involution on B and the complex conjugation on E. Then we define a symplectic form on V' by

(3.1.1) 
$$\psi'(v,w) = \operatorname{tr}_{E/\mathbb{O}} \operatorname{tr}_{B'/E}(\gamma' v \bar{w}).$$

Here  $\operatorname{tr}_{B'/E}$  is the reduced trace on B'. This form induces an involution \* on B' by

(3.1.2) 
$$\psi'(\ell v, w) = \psi'(v, \ell^* w), \qquad \ell^* = \gamma'^{-1} \bar{\ell} \gamma'.$$

The group G' can be identified with the group of B'-linear symplectic similitudes of  $(V', \psi')$ . More precisely, G' is a subgroup of G'' which can be identified with the subgroup  $B^{\times} \cdot E^{\times}$  of  $B'^{\times}$  which acts on V' = B' by right multiplication.

The composition of h' and the action of  $G'(\mathbb{R})$  on  $V'_{\mathbb{R}}$  induce a Hodge structure on V' of weights (-1,0) and (0,-1). One can choose a  $\gamma$  such that  $\psi'$  induces a polarization of the Hodge structure (V',h'):

$$\psi'(x, xh'(i)^{-1}) \ge 0 \qquad \forall x \in V'_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

By Deligne [Del71, §6],  $X'_{U'}$  represents the following functor  $\mathcal{F}_{U'}$  on the category of F'-schemes when U' is sufficiently small. For any F'-scheme S,  $\mathcal{F}_{U'}(S)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of quadruples  $[A, \iota, \theta, \kappa]$ , where

- (1) A is an abelian scheme over S up to isogeny;
- (2)  $\iota: B' \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^0(A/S)$  is a homomorphism such that the induced action of E on the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\operatorname{Lie}(A/S)$  has the trace given by

$$\operatorname{tr}(\ell, \operatorname{Lie}(A/S)) = t(\operatorname{tr}_{B'/E}(\ell)) \qquad \forall \ell \in B',$$

where  $t: E \longrightarrow F'$  is the trace map of  $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ ;

- (3)  $\theta: A \longrightarrow A^t$  is a polarization whose Rosati involution on  $\operatorname{End}^0(A/S)$  induces the involution \* of B' over F;
- (4)  $\kappa: \widehat{V}' \times S \longrightarrow H_1(A, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  is a U'-orbit of similitudes of B'-skew hermitian modules.

The group  $\widetilde{G}$  acts on the inverse system of  $\mathcal{F}_{U'}$  as follows:

$$[A, \iota, \theta, \kappa] \cdot g = [A, \iota, \nu_1(g)\theta, \kappa \cdot g].$$

3.2. Curves X' in case 1. Let p be a prime number, and let  $\wp'$  be a prime ideal of  $O_E$  dividing p. We want to study the integral model of  $X'_{U'}$  over the ring  $O_{(\wp')} := O_E[x^{-1} : x \in O_E \setminus \wp']$  in the case considered in Carayol [Car86, §§2 and 5]; i.e.,  $E = F(\sqrt{\lambda})$  with  $\lambda$  a negative integer such that p is split in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\lambda})$ . Fix a square root  $\mu$  of  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  which gives a CM type of E by

$$\Phi_1: \quad E = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\lambda}) \longrightarrow F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^g, \qquad \sqrt{\lambda} \mapsto (\mu, \dots, \mu).$$

Let  $\Phi_2$  be a nearby CM type of E which differs from  $\Phi_1$  at the place over  $\tau$  of F. Then the reflex field of  $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2$  is E.

Using the isomorphism

$$E_p = F_p \oplus F_p, \qquad \lambda \longmapsto (\mu, -\mu),$$

we have an identification  $B_p' = B_p \times B_p$  so that the involution \* on B' defined in (3.1.2) induces an involution on  $B_p$ , still denoted by \*, so that  $(a,b)^* = (b^*,a^*)$ . In this way we may assume that  $O_{B',p} = O_{B,p}^* \oplus O_{B,p}$ . The form  $\psi'$  induces a perfect  $(B_p,*)$ -hermitian pairing  $\psi_p : B_p \times B_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  as follows:

$$\psi_p'((a,b),(c,d)) = \psi_p(a,d) - \psi_p(c,b).$$

The subgroup  $G'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  of  $B_p^{'\times}$  consists of elements  $(\lambda b, b)$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  and  $b \in B_p^{\times}$ . We identify  $G'(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \times B_p^{\times}$  by this description.

Let  $O_{B',p}$  be an order of  $B'_p$  stable under involution  $\ell \mapsto \ell^*$ , and let  $\Lambda'_p$  be an  $O_{B',p}$ - lattice of  $V'_p$  such that  $\psi'|_{\Lambda'_p}$  takes integral value and is perfect. Such an order  $O_{B',p}$  and a lattice  $\Lambda'_p$  can be constructed from a maximal order  $O_{B,p}$  of  $B_p$  by the following formulae:

$$O_{B',p} := O_{B,p}^* \oplus O_{B,p}, \qquad \Lambda'_p := O_{B,p}^{\vee} \oplus O_{B,p},$$

where

$$O_{B,p}^{\vee} := \{ x \in B_p : \psi_p(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid \forall y \in O_{B,p} \}.$$

The elements of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  fixing  $\Lambda'_p$  form a maximal compact subgroup  $U'_p(1) := \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times O_{B,p}^{\times}$ .

Let  $\wp$  be the prime of  $O_F$  under  $\wp'$ . Write  $O_{F,p} = O_{\wp} + O^{\wp}$  as a direct sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras. Then we have a decomposition

$$O_{E,p} = O_{F,p} \oplus O_{F,p} = O_{\wp} \oplus O^{\wp} \oplus O_{\wp} \oplus O^{\wp}.$$

For any  $O_{E,p}$ -module M, there is a corresponding decomposition

$$M = M_{1\wp} + M_1^{\wp} + M_{2\wp} + M_2^{\wp}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Q}$  be the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at p. Let  $O_{B',(p)} = O_{B',p} \cap B'$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -lattice in B'.

For an open compact subgroup  $U'^p$  of  $G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^p)$ , define a moduli problem  $\mathcal{F}_{1,U'^p}$  over  $O_{\wp}$  as follows: for any  $O_{\wp}$ -scheme S,  $\mathcal{F}_{1,U'^p}(S)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of quadruple  $[A, \iota, \theta, \kappa]$ , where

- (1) A is an abelian scheme over S up to prime-to-p isogeny;
- (2)  $\iota: O_{B',(p)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A/S) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  is a homomorphism such that the induced action of  $O_{B'}$  on the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\operatorname{Lie}(A/S)$  has the following properties:
  - Lie(A)<sub> $2\wp$ </sub> is a special  $O_{B,\wp}$ -module in the sense that it is locally free of rank 1 over  $O_K \otimes O_S$  for any unramified quadratic extension K of  $O_{\wp}$  embedded into  $O_{B,\wp}$ , and
  - $\text{Lie}(A)_2^{\wp} = 0;$
- (3)  $\theta: A \longrightarrow A^t$  is a polarization whose Rosati involution on  $\operatorname{End}(A/S) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  induces the involution \* of  $O_{B',p}$ ;

(4)  $\kappa: \widehat{V}^p \times S \longrightarrow H_1(A, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^p)$  a  $U'^p$ -orbit of similitudes of  $\widehat{O}^p_{B'}$ -skew hermitian modules.

PROPOSITION 3.2. When  $U'^p$  is sufficiently small, the scheme  $\mathcal{F}_{1,U'^p}$  is represented by a regular scheme  $\mathcal{X}'_{1,U'^p}$  over  $O_{(\wp')}$  with the following properties:

- (1) for the embedding  $\tau': O_{(\wp')} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the curve  $\mathcal{X}_{1,U'^p}(\mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to  $X_{U'_p(1)\cdot U'^p}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $U'_p(1)$  is the maximal open compact subgroup of  $B'^{\times}_p$  fixing  $\Lambda'_p$ ;
- (2) if  $\wp$  is split in B, then  $\mathcal{X}'_{1 II'^p}$  is smooth over  $O_{\wp}$ ;
- (3) if  $\wp$  is ramified in B, then  $\mathcal{X}'_{1,U'^p}$  is a semistable relative Mumford curve in the sense that every irreducible component in the special fiber is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Proof. Let  $O_{B'}$  be an  $O_E$ -order of B'. Replacing  $O_{B'}$  by  $O_{B'} \cap O_{B'}^*$ , we may assume that  $O_{B'}$  is stable under \*. Let  $\Lambda'$  be an  $O_{B'}$ -lattice of B' with localization  $\Lambda'_p$ . With  $\Lambda$  replaced by  $m\Lambda$  with an m prime to p, we may assume that  $\psi'$  takes integral value on  $\Lambda'$ . Assume now that  $U'^p$  fixes  $\widehat{\Lambda}'^p$  and fixes every point in  $\Lambda'^p/n\Lambda'^p$  for some  $n \geq 3$  prime to p. It is easy to see that the above functor is isomorphic to the following functor  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{U'^p}$  over  $O_{\wp}$ -schemes: for any  $O_{\wp}$ -scheme S,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{U'^p}(S)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of quadruple  $[A, \iota, \theta, \kappa]$  where

- (1) A is an abelian scheme over S;
- (2)  $\iota: O_{B'} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A/S)$  is a homomorphism such that the induced action of  $O_{B'}$  on the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\operatorname{Lie}(A/S)$  has the following properties:
  - Lie $(A)_{2\wp}$  is a special  $O_{B,\wp}$ -module in the sense that it is locally free of rank 1 over  $O_K \otimes O_S$  for any unramified quadratic extension K of  $O_{\wp}$  embedded into  $O_{B,\wp}$ , and
  - $\text{Lie}(A)_2^{\wp} = 0;$
- (3)  $\theta: A \longrightarrow A^t$  is a polarization whose Rosati involution on  $\operatorname{End}(A/S)$  induces the involution \* of  $O_{B'}$ ;
- (4)  $\kappa: \widehat{\Lambda}^p \times S \longrightarrow H_1(A, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$  is a  $U'^p$ -orbit of similitudes of  $\widehat{O}_{B'}$ -skew hermitian modules.

Condition (4) implies that the relative dimension of A/S is 2g. Also the degree of the polarization  $\theta$  in (3) is  $d = [\Lambda'^{\vee}, \Lambda']$ , where  $\Lambda'^{\vee}$  is the dual lattice of  $\Lambda'$ . By Mumford theory, there is a fine moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{2g,d,n}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  classifying the triples of  $(A, \theta, \kappa_n)$  of an abelian variety A of dimension 2g, and a polarization  $\theta$  of degree d, and a full level n structure  $\kappa_n$ . Thus we have a morphism of functor  $\mathcal{F}'_{U'^p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2g,d,n}$ . Now we can use the theory of Hilbert schemes to prove the existence of a scheme  $\mathcal{X}'_{0,U'^p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2g,d,n}$  to classify other additional structures on the triple  $(A, \theta, \kappa_n)$  required in the functor  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,U'^p}$ .

The second statement is proved in Carayol [Car86, §5.4] in the case where  $\wp$  is split in B and proved by Čerednik–Drinfeld (cf. [BC91, Che76]) in case where  $\wp$  is not split in B.

Remark 3.3. Our moduli problem here is slightly different from the moduli problem  $\mathfrak{M}^2_{0,H'}$  in Carayol [Car86, §5.2.2] in three points:

- (1) we do not require that p is prime to the discriminant  $\mathfrak{d}_B \subset O_F$  of B;
- (2) we allow A to have prime-to-p isogeny which is more flexible than [Car86];
- (3) we do not input a level structure  $k_p^{\wp}$  as in [Car86].

p-divisible groups. Let  $U' = U'_p(1) \cdot U'^p$  with  $U'^p$  sufficiently small so that the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{U'}$  is representable by a universal family of abelian varieties:

$$\mathcal{A}_{U'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{U'}$$
.

There is a Barsotti–Tate  $O_{B',p}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{U'}$  for any sufficiently small compact open subgroup  $U'^p$  of  $G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})^p$ . With our assumption, this group has a decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}] = \mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_1 + \mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_2 
= \mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_{1\wp} + \mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_1^{\wp} + \mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_{2\wp} + \mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_2^{\wp}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{H}'_{U'} := \mathcal{A}_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_2.$$

By part (2) in the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_{1,U'^p}$ , the  $\wp$ -part  $\mathcal{H}'_{U',\wp}$  is a special  $O_{B,\wp}$ -module, and the prime-to- $\wp$ -part  $\mathcal{H}'^{\wp}_{U'}$  is an étale  $O^{\wp}_{B'}$ -module.

It is clear that the generic fiber  $H'_{U'} = A_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_2$  of  $\mathcal{H}'_{U'}$  on  $X'_{U'}$  is dual to  $A_{U'}[p^{\infty}]_1$  by the polarization; thus  $H'_{U'}$  determines the structure of  $A_{U'}[p^{\infty}]$ . Notice that  $H'_{U'}$  can be constructed without using abelian varieties:

$$H'_{U'} = (p^{-\infty}O_{B,p}/O_{B,p} \times X')/U'_{p}(1) \times U'^{p},$$

where  $U_p'(1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times O_{B,p}^{\times}$  acts on  $p^{-\infty}O_{B,p}/O_{B,p}$  by the right multiplication of  $O_{B,p}^{\times}$  (cf. [Car86, §2.5]).

Remark 3.4. Our p-divisible group  $H'_{U'}$  relates to the group  $E'_{\infty}$  of [Car86, §3.3] in the case  $O_{B,\wp} \simeq M_2(O_{\wp})$  by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot H'_{U'}[\wp^{\infty}] = E'_{\infty}.$$

Level structure at p. For any ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $O_F$  dividing a power of p, let  $U'_p(\mathfrak{n})$  denote the subgroup of  $B_p^{\times}$  of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times (1+\mathfrak{n}O_{B,p})^{\times}$ , and let  $X'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  denote  $X'_{U'_p(\mathfrak{n})\times U'^p}$ . Let  $H'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  denote the pull-back of  $H'_{1,U'^p} = H'_{U'_p(1)U'^p}$  to  $X'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$ .

Using the above description, the map  $X_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p} \longrightarrow X_{1,U'^p}$  defines a full level  $\mathfrak{n}$ -structure on  $H'_{n,U'^p}$ , i.e., an isomorphism of  $O_{B,p}$ -modules:

$$\kappa_p: \quad \mathfrak{n}^{-1}O_{B,p}/O_{B,p} \longrightarrow H'_{n,U'^p}[\mathfrak{n}].$$

When  $\mathfrak{n}$  is prime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$ , this level structure extends to the minimal model  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$ . More precisely, the scheme  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathfrak{n},U'}$  represents a functor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  over  $\mathcal{F}_{1,U'^p}$  to classify a pair of level structures  $\kappa_p = (\kappa_{\wp}, \kappa_p^{\wp})$  so that  $\kappa_p^{\wp}$  is a full level structure on the étale sheaf  $\mathcal{H}'_{n,U'^p}[\mathfrak{n}]$ , and  $\kappa_{\wp}$  is a Drinfeld basis of  $\mathcal{H}'_{n,U'^p,\wp}[\mathfrak{n}]$ .

Integral models. In the above, we have interpreted  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  at a prime  $\wp$  as the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  when  $\mathfrak{n}$  is prime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$ , and  $U'^p$  is sufficiently small (independent of  $\mathfrak{n}$ ). In the following, we want to extend such interpretation to large  $U'^p$ . Fix a lattice  $\Lambda'$  of B' with a completion  $\Lambda'_p$ . For any positive integer N, let U'(N) denote the subgroup of  $G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  consisting of elements which stabilize  $\Lambda'$  and induce the identity action on  $\Lambda'/N\Lambda'$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5. Assume that U' is contained in U'(N) as a normal subgroup for some  $N \geq 3$  and prime to p. Then the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  is represented by the minimal regular model  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  over  $O_{\wp}$ .

*Proof.* First let us reduce the proposition to the case U' = U'(N). In fact if  $\mathcal{F}_{U(N)}$  is represented by  $\mathcal{A}_{U'(N)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{U'(N)}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  is represented by an  $\mathcal{X}'_{U'(N)}$ -scheme  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  to classify a pair  $(\kappa_{\wp}, \kappa^{\wp})$  of a full Drinfeld level structure  $\kappa_{\wp}$  and an étale level structure  $\kappa^{\wp}$ . Thus it is clear that  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  is regular without any exceptional curve. Thus  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$ .

Assume now U' = U(N). Let  $U_0'^p$  be a sufficiently small normal subgroup of  $U'(N)^p$  so that  $\mathcal{F}_{1,U'^p}$  is representable by  $\mathcal{A}_{1,U_0'^p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{1,U_0^p}$ . Then we have an action of U(N) on this family. It suffices to show that U(N) acts freely on  $\mathcal{X}_{1,U_0^p}$ . Let  $\gamma \in U'(N)$  fix a closed point x in  $\mathcal{X}'_{1,U_0'^p}$ . Let  $[A,\iota,\theta,\kappa]$  be the quadruple corresponding to x. Replace A by some abelian variety prime to p isogenous to A; we may assume that  $\kappa^p$  induces an isomorphism morphism between  $\widehat{\Lambda}'^p$  and  $\widehat{T}^p(A)$ . In this way, we have an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $(A,\theta)$  and an  $u \in U'^p$  such that  $\kappa \cdot \gamma \cdot u = \kappa \circ T(\varphi)$ . Since  $\gamma \in G(N)$ , it follows that  $\varphi$  fixes all points in A[N]. Thus  $\varphi = 1$ , and thus  $\gamma = u^{-1} \in U'$ .

COROLLARY 3.6. The integral models  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$ , with  $\mathfrak{n}$  prime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$  and  $U'^p$  contained in U'(N) with  $N \geq 3$  and prime to p, form a projective system of regular schemes over  $O_{\wp}$ . Moreover, the special fiber of each  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  above  $\wp$  is a smooth curve if  $\wp \nmid \mathfrak{nd}_B$ , and a relative Mumford curve if  $\wp \mid \mathfrak{d}_B$ .

3.3. Curve X' in case 2. In this subsection, we assume that E is embedded into B over F. Then we can write B = E + Ej, where  $j \in B^{\times}$  such that  $jx = \bar{x}j$ 

for all  $x \in E$ . We can identify  $B' = B \otimes E$  with  $M_2(E)$  by the following maps:

$$a \otimes b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} ab & \\ & \bar{a}b \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & 1\\ j^2 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that V' = B' is the sum of two copies of a subspace V over E. In fact, we can take  $V_i = B$  with two conjugate left multiplications of E:

$$V' \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1 \oplus V_2 : b \otimes e \longmapsto (eb, \bar{e}b).$$

The operator  $w=\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$  switches two factors by  $(u,v)\mapsto (jv,j^{-1}u)$ . We may assume that  $\gamma'=\gamma\otimes 1$  with  $\gamma\in E\otimes 1$  so that  $\psi'$  is the sum of two copies of a symplectic form  $\psi$  on  $V_i=B$  by

$$\psi(u,v) = \operatorname{tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{tr}_{B/F}(\gamma u \bar{v}), \qquad u,v \in V_i = B.$$

The group G' can be identified with the group of E-linear symplectic similitudes of  $(V, \psi)$  by right action on V: (b, e)x = exb.

It follows that when U' is sufficiently small,  $X'_{U'}$  represents the following functor  $\mathcal{F}^0_{U'}$  on the category of F'-schemes. Here F' is the flex field as before. For any F'-scheme S,  $\mathcal{F}'^0_{U'}(S)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of quadruples  $[A, \iota, \theta, \kappa]$ , where

- (1) A is an abelian scheme over S up to isogeny;
- (2)  $\iota: E \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^0(A/S)$  is a homomorphism such that the induced action of E on the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\operatorname{Lie}(A/S)$  has the trace given by

$$\operatorname{tr}(\ell, \operatorname{Lie} A) = t(\ell) \quad \forall \ell \in E;$$

- (3)  $\theta: A \longrightarrow A^t$  is a polarization whose Rosati involution on  $\operatorname{End}^0(A/S)$  induces the complex conjugation c of E over F;
- (4)  $\kappa: \widehat{V} \times S \longrightarrow H_1(A, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  is a U'-orbit of similitudes of skew hermitian E-modules.

Let  $O_B$  be a maximal order of B, and let  $\Lambda = O_B$  be viewed as a lattice in V. Assume that  $\psi$  takes integral value on  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}'^{0}_{U'}$  is equivalent to the following functor  $\mathcal{F}'_{U'}$ . For any F'-scheme S,  $\mathcal{F}'_{U'}(S)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of quadruples  $[A, \iota, \theta, \kappa]$ , where

- (1) A is an abelian scheme over S;
- (2)  $\iota: O_E \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A/S)$  is a homomorphism such that the induced action of  $O_E$  on the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\operatorname{Lie}(A/S)$  has the trace given by

$$\operatorname{tr}(\ell, \operatorname{Lie} A) = t(\ell) \qquad \forall \ell \in O_E;$$

- (3)  $\theta: A \longrightarrow A^t$  is a polarization whose Rosati involution on  $\operatorname{End}(A/S)$  induces the complex conjugation c of  $O_E$  over  $O_F$ ;
- (4)  $\kappa: \widehat{\Lambda} \times S \longrightarrow H_1(A, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$  is a U'-orbit of similitudes of skew hermitian  $O_E$ -modules.

CM points. Again assume that E is embedded into B over F. Let T' (resp.  $\widehat{T'}$ ) be the subgroup of G' (resp.  $G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$ ) of elements  $(b,e) \in (E^{\times})^2$  (resp.  $(b,e) \in (\widehat{E}^{\times})^2$ ). Then the subscheme  $X'^{T'}$  of X' of points fixed by T' is a principal homogenous space of  $\widehat{T'}$ . Moreover, each point  $P' \in X'^{T'}$  represents an abelian variety  $A_{P'}$  which is isogenous to a product  $A_{\Phi_1} \times A_{\Phi_2}$  of CM abelian varieties by  $O_E$  with types  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$ . In fact, in terms of above complex uniformization,  $X'^{T'}$  is represented by pairs (z,t) with z the unique point on  $\mathfrak{h}$  fixed by T, and  $t \in \widehat{T}$ . Fix a point  $P' \in X'^{T'}$ .

Hodge-de Rham sequence. In the following, we want to study the Kodaira–Spencer map. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}_{U'}$  is represented by a universal abelian variety  $\pi: A_{U'} \longrightarrow X'_{U'}$ . Then there is a local system  $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{U'})$  of  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'_{U'}}$ -modules with an integrable connection  $\nabla$  and a Hodge filtration

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega(A_{U'}^t) \longrightarrow H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{U'}) \longrightarrow \Omega(A_{U'})^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Omega(A_{U'}) := \pi_*(\Omega_{A_{U'}/X'_{U'}})$  and  $\Omega(A^t_{U'}) := \pi_*(\Omega_{A^t_{U'}/X'_{U'}})$ . This sequence of vector bundles on  $X'_{U'}$  has an action by F by pulling back of cohomology classes. Taking a quotient according to the morphism  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'_{U'}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'_{U'}}$  given by sending  $(x \otimes y) \mapsto \tau(x)y$ , we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega(A_{U'}^t)^{\tau} \longrightarrow H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{U'})^{\tau} \longrightarrow \Omega(A_{U'})^{\tau,\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

For simplicity, let us introduce the following notation:

$$M_{U'} := H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{U'})^{\tau}, \qquad W_{U'} := \Omega(A_{U'})^{\tau}, \qquad W_{U'}^t := W(A_{U'}^t)^{\tau}.$$

Then we have an exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$(3.3.1) 0 \longrightarrow W_{U'}^t \longrightarrow M_{U'} \longrightarrow W_{U'}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In terms of the complex uniformization, the bundle  $(M_{U'}, \nabla)$  and its filtration can be described explicitly by representations of  $G'(\mathbb{Q})$  as follows. First define the local system of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces on  $X'_{U',\tau'}(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\mathbb{V} := G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash V_{\tau} \times \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) / U', \qquad V_{\tau} := V \otimes_{F,\tau} \mathbb{R}.$$

This system has a Hodge structure given by  $\mathfrak{h}^{\pm}$ . This definition makes sense since the stabilizer of  $G(\mathbb{Q})$  on every point of  $\mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})/U'$  is its center  $Z(\mathbb{Q})$  which acts trivially on V. Then we have

$$M_{U'} = \mathbb{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{X'_{U'}}, \qquad W_{U'}^t = H^{0,-1}(\mathbb{V}), \qquad W_{U'} = (M_{U'}/W_{U'}^t)^{\vee}.$$

Kodaira-Spencer maps at archimedean places. Inserting the Gauss-Manin connection to the sequence (3.3.1) gives a chain of morphisms:

$$W_{U'}^t \longrightarrow M_{U'} \xrightarrow{\nabla} M_{U'} \otimes \Omega_{X'_{U'}} \longrightarrow W_{U'}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{X'_{U'}}.$$

By Kodaira-Spencer, this induces an isomorphism of  $E \otimes_F \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -line bundles:

$$W_{U'}^t \longrightarrow W_{U'}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{X_{U'}'}$$

Taking determinants, this gives an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -line bundles:

$$KS_{U'}: N_{U'} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X'_{U'}}^{\otimes 2},$$

where  $N_{U'}$  is a line bundle on  $X'_{U'}$  defined by

$$N_{U'} := \det W_{U'} \otimes \det W_{U'}^t$$
.

In the remaining part of this subsection, we want to study the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism at a fixed place  $\tau'$  of F'. Here we put a metric on  $N_{U'}$  by the Hodge theory as in Section 2.1, and we put a metric on  $\Omega_{X'_{U'}}$  by the following formula in terms of the complex unformization:

$$|dz| = 2y$$
.

Theorem 3.7. The morphism  $KS_{U'}$  is isometric.

Proof. The Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism induces a norm on  $\Omega_{X'_{U'}}$ . We want to give an explicit description of this metric as follows. First, let us give an explicit formula for the Kodaira–Spencer map. Fix an isomorphism  $B_{\tau} = V_{\tau} \simeq M_2(\mathbb{R})$  and identify  $\mathfrak{h}^{\pm}$  with the moduli space of  $B_{\tau}$ -Hodge structures on  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ . It is equivalent to studying the Hodge structures on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In a concrete matter, for each  $z \in \mathfrak{h}^{\pm}$ , take a Hodge structure on  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$  inducing a complex structure given by isomorphisms

$$\varphi_z: L \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \qquad (a,b) \longmapsto a + bz.$$

Then  $L^{0,-1}$  is given as  $\ker \varphi_{z,\mathbb{C}}$ , so we have

$$L_z^{0,-1} = \mathbb{C}e_z, \qquad L_z^{-1,0} = \mathbb{C}e_{\bar{z}}, \quad e_z := (-z, 1).$$

Thus the filtration of the de Rham homology has the following form:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}e_z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}e_{\bar{z}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Apply the Gauss-Manin connection to obtain

$$\nabla(e_z) = (-1, 0)dz = \frac{\bar{e}_z - e_z}{2iy}dz.$$

It follows that under the Kodaira-Spencer map,

$$dz = 2iy \frac{e_z}{\bar{e}_z}, \qquad |dz| = 2y. \qquad \Box$$

p-divisible groups. Assume that U' is sufficiently small so that  $X'_{U'}$  has a universal abelian scheme  $A_{U'}$  representing the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{U'}$ . Then we have a p-divisible group

$$H'_{U'} := A_{U'}[p^{\infty}].$$

Notice that this p-divisible group can be constructed directly by the following formula:

$$H'_{U'} = (B_p/O_{B,p} \times X')/U',$$

where U' acts on  $B_p/O_p$  via its projection to the subgroup  $O_{B,p}^{\times} \times_{O_{F,p}^{\times}} O_{E,p}^{\times}$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and the action

$$x(b,e) = exb,$$
  $b \in B_p/O_{B,p},$   $(b,e) \in O_{B,p}^{\times} \times O_{E,p}^{\times}.$ 

Integral models. In this subsection we give some results about integral models of  $X'_{U'}$ ,  $A_{U'}$ , and  $H'_{U'}$ , which can be proved in Section 5.2. The results here will not be used in the rest of paper.

Assume that U' is sufficiently small as in the previous paragraph. A natural question is to extend the universal family  $A_{U'} \to X_{U'}$  to a flat family  $A_{U'} \to \mathcal{X}_{U'}$  over  $O_{F'}$ . The natural way is to extend the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{U'}$  over schemes over  $O_{F'}$ , which we do not know how to define. However, we can extend this abelian scheme pointwise on  $X_{U'}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.8. Let L be a finite extension of F' and  $x' \in X_{U'}(L)$  a point which represents an abelian variety  $A_{x'}$  over L. Then  $A_{x'}$  has good reduction  $A_{x'}$  over  $O_L$ .

By the works of Grothendieck [GRR72] and Raynaud [Ray74], it is sufficient to extend *p*-divisible groups locally. We will prove this extension in Proposition 5.2 using the Breuil–Kisin theory.

One consequence of this integral model is to give a hermitian integral structure on  $N'_{U',x'}$  at each point  $x \in X'_{U'}(L)$  by  $\mathcal{N}(A,\tau)$ . Using method in Section 5.2, we can construct an integral model  $\mathcal{X}'_{U'}$  of  $X'_{U'}$  over  $O_{F'}$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{N}'_{U'}$  such that

$$\mathcal{N}(A,\tau) = \mathcal{N}'_{U',x'}$$

as integral structures on the Hodge bundle  $L_{x'}^2$ .

#### 4. Shimura curve X

In this section, we study a quaternionic Shimura curves X over a totally real field. We will first review some basic facts about the integral models  $\mathcal{X}$  studied in Carayol [Car86] at split primes, and Čerednik–Drinfeld [BC91], [Che76] at nonsplit primes. Then we will construct integral models of the curve X by a comparison with the curve X' in the last section. Finally we will study the integral models of p-divisible groups H using the p-divisible groups H'|X', and study the local Kodaira–Spencer morphisms induced from the Hodge–de

Rham filtration and the Gauss–Manin connections, following a deformation theory of p-divisible groups  $\mathcal{H}$  of Grothendieck–Messing [Ill85], [Mes72].

4.1. Shimura curve X. Let F be a totally real field and  $\mathbb{B}$  a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_F$  as before. Then we have a projective system of Shimura curves  $X_U$  over F indexed by open and compact subgroups U of  $\mathbb{G}_f := \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ ; see [Car86], [YZZ13].

For any archimedean place  $\tau$  of F, the curve  $X_{U,\tau}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is defined by the following Shimura data (G,h), where  $G = \operatorname{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(B^{\times})$  with B a quaternion algebra over F with the ramification set  $\Sigma(\mathbb{B}) \setminus \{\tau\}$ , and  $h : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$  a morphism as follows. Fix an isomorphism

$$G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{H}^{\times})^{g-1}.$$

Then h brings z = x + yi to

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1\right].$$

The class of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of h is identified with  $\mathfrak{h}^{\pm} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  by

$$ghg^{-1} \longmapsto g(i), \qquad g \in G(\mathbb{R}).$$

Fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{B}_f \simeq \widehat{B}$  which gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{G}_f \simeq G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$ . Then we have a uniformization

$$X_{U,\tau}(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) / U.$$

This curve is compact if  $B \neq M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  or equivalently  $\Sigma(\mathbb{B})$  is not a singlet. In the following discussion we always assume that  $X_U$  is compact; but the results hold in general with taking care of cusps.

If  $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ , this curve does not parametrize abelian varieties, but its geometric connected component can be embedded into Shimura curves of PEL types over  $\bar{F}$ . In the following we want to review the work of Carayol [Car86] on p-divisible groups on some integral model of  $X_U$  with infinite level.

Let X denote the projective limit of  $X_U$ . Then X has a right action by  $G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ . The maximal subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$  which acts trivially on X is  $\overline{F^{\times}}$ , the closure of  $Z(\mathbb{Q}) = F^{\times}$  in  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ . Thus we can write  $X_U = X/\overline{U}$  with  $\overline{U} := U/(U \cap \overline{F^{\times}})$ . When U is sufficiently small,  $\overline{U}$  acts freely on X. If  $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\overline{F^{\times}} \neq F^{\times}$ . This means that the intersection  $F^{\times} \cap U$  is nontrivial for any open compact subgroup U of  $\overline{F^{\times}}$ .

Fix a maximal order  $O_{\mathbb{B}}$  of  $\mathbb{B}_f$ , and consider the projective system of Shimura curves  $X_U$  indexed by an open compact subgroup U of  $O_{\mathbb{B}}^{\times}$ . For each positive integer N, let U(N) denote a compact subgroup of  $O_{\mathbb{B}}^{\times}$  of the form  $U(N) := (1 + NO_{\mathbb{B}})^{\times}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. If U is contained in U(N) for some  $N \geq 3$ , then  $g(X_U) \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* This can be seen from the above complex uniformization. The curve  $X_{U,\tau}$  is a disjoint union of quotients  $X_g := \Gamma_g \backslash \mathfrak{h}$ , for g sits in a subset of  $G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  representing the double coset quotient  $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})/U$ , and

$$\Gamma_g = B_+^{\times} \cap gUg^{-1} \subset B_+^{\times} \cap (1 + NgO_Bg^{-1})^{\times}.$$

Let  $\overline{\Gamma_g}$  denote the quotient  $\Gamma_g/(\Gamma_g \cap F^{\times})$ . We claim that  $\overline{\Gamma_g}$  acts freely on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . This claim will show that  $X_g$  has a (free) uniformization by  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and thus its genus greater than 1.

Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_g \setminus F^{\times}$  be an element fixing a point  $z \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then the subfield  $E := F(\gamma)$  of B generated by  $\gamma$  over F is a quadartic CM extension of F. It is clear that  $\gamma \in O_E^{\times}$  and  $\gamma - 1 \in NO_E$ . Write  $\zeta = \gamma/\bar{\gamma}$ . Then  $\zeta$  has norm 1 at all places of E. Thus  $\zeta$  is a root of unity with the property  $\zeta - 1 \in NO_E \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subset N\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/N\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, we know that  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/N\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  is a free module over  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  of rank equal to  $\deg \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ . It follows that  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}$ , or  $\zeta = \pm 1$ . Since  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\zeta = 1$ . It follows that  $\gamma \in (1 + NO_F)^{\times}$ .

p-divisible groups. Let p be a prime, and fix a maximal order  $O_{\mathbb{B},p}$  of  $\mathbb{B}_p$  containing  $O_{E,p}$ . For any ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $O_F$  dividing a power of p, let  $U_p(\mathfrak{n})$  denote  $(1 + \mathfrak{n}O_{\mathbb{B},p})^{\times}$ . Then we have a Shimura curve  $X_{\mathfrak{n}} := X/U_p(\mathfrak{n})$ . Write  $U_p(1) = U_p(O_F) = O_{\mathbb{B},p}^{\times}$  for the maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_p^{\times}$ , and write  $X_1 = X_{U_p(1)}$ . We define the p-divisible group  $H_{\mathfrak{n}}$  on  $X_{\mathfrak{n}}$  by

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}} = \left[ \mathbb{B}_p / O_{\mathbb{B},p} \times X \right] / U_p(\mathfrak{n}),$$

where  $U_p(\mathfrak{n}) \subset O_{\mathbb{B},p}^{\times}$  acts on  $\mathbb{B}_p/O_{\mathbb{B},p}$  by right multiplication. This definition makes sense, since  $U_p(1)$  acts freely on X. Moreover, for each  $\mathfrak{n}$ , its  $\mathfrak{n}$ -torsion subgroup  $H_1[\mathfrak{n}]$  can be descended to  $X_{U_p(1)\times U^p}$  for some open compact subgroup  $U^p$  of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{p,\times}$  as follows:

$$H_{U_p(1)\times U^p}[\mathfrak{n}] = \left\lceil \mathfrak{n}^{-1}O_{\mathbb{B}}/O_{\mathbb{B}} \times X/(U_p(\mathfrak{n})\times U^p)\right\rceil/(U_p(1)/U_p(\mathfrak{n})).$$

For this we need to find  $U^p$  so that  $U_p(1)/U_p(\mathfrak{n})$  acts freely on  $X/(U_p(\mathfrak{n}) \times U^p)$ . The existence of such a  $U^p$  can be proved in the same way as [Car86, Cor. 1.4.1.3].

Relation between  $X^0$  and  $X'^0$ . In the following sections we want to study integral models of  $X_U$  and  $H_U$  by Carayol [Car86] by relating them to  $X'_{U'}$  and  $H'_{U'}$  studied in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 for Shimura curves defined using imaginary quadratic field  $E = F(\sqrt{\lambda})$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that p is split in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\lambda})$ .

Let  $X^0$  be the identity connected component of X over  $\bar{F}$  (which was denoted as  $M^+$  in [Car86, §4.1]), and let  $\bar{\Delta}$  be the stabilizer of  $X^0$  in  $\bar{G}$  =

 $G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})/\overline{Z(\mathbb{Q})}$ . Then  $\overline{\Delta}$  is represented by the subgroup  $\Delta \subset G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) = \widehat{B}^{\times}$  consisting of elements g with determinants  $q(g) \in F_{+}^{\times}$ . In other words, we have  $\overline{\Delta} = \Delta/Z(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Similarly, let  $X'^0$  be the identity connected component of X' over  $\overline{F}$  (which was denoted as in  $M'^+$  in [Car86, §4.1]), and let  $\overline{\Delta}'$  be the stabilizer of  $X'^0$  in  $\overline{G}' := \widetilde{G}/Z''(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\overline{\Delta}'$  is represented by the subgroup  $\Delta' \subset G''(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) = \widehat{E}^{\times} \times_{\widehat{F}^{\times}} \widehat{B}^{\times}$  of elements (e,b) with norm  $(q(b)e\overline{e},e/\overline{e}) \in F_{+}^{\times} \times E_{1}^{\times}$  in  $F_{+}^{\times}$ . In other words, we have  $\overline{\Delta}' = \Delta'/Z''(\mathbb{Q})$ .

It is clear that the embedding  $G \longrightarrow G''$  induces an isomorphism  $\overline{\Delta} \simeq \overline{\Delta}'$ . Here is the first comparison result:

Proposition 4.2. There is an isomorphism  $X^0 \simeq X'^0$  with compatible actions by  $\overline{\Delta} \simeq \overline{\Delta}'$ .

*Proof.* Same as Carayol [Car86, Prop. 
$$4.2.2$$
].

For the second fundamental result, let p be a prime and let  $X_1^0$  and  $X_1^{\prime 0}$  be the quotients

$$X_1^0 = X^0/O_{B,p}^1, \qquad X_1^{\prime 0} = X^{\prime 0}/O_{B,p}^1,$$

where  $O_{B,p}^1$  is the subgroup of  $O_{B,p}$  with norm 1. Then  $X_1^0$  and  $X_1'^0$  are defined over a maximal extension of F which is unramified over every place of F dividing p. Let  $\wp$  be a prime of  $O_F$  over a prime p, and let  $F_{\wp}^{ur}$  be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F_{\wp}$ . Then  $X_1^0$  (resp.  $X_1'^0$ ) is the connected component of the limit  $X_1$  (resp.  $X_1'$ ) of  $X_{1,U^p}$  ( $X_{1,U'^p}'$ ) over  $F_{\wp}^{ur}$ . Let  $\Delta_0$  denote the subgroup  $\Delta$  consisting of elements whose components over p are in  $O_{B,p}^{\times}$ . Define  $\Delta_0'$  in the same way. Then  $X_1^0$  and  $X_1'^0$  have actions respectively by  $\overline{\Delta_0}/O_{B,p}^1 \subset \overline{\Delta_0}/O_{B,p}^1$ .

Define the p-divisible groups on these schemes by

$$H|X_1^0 = (B_p/O_{B,p} \times X^0)/O_{B,p}^1, \qquad H'|X_1'^0 = (B_p/O_{B,p} \times X'^0)/O_{B,p}^1.$$

These are also defined over  $F_{\wp}^{\mathrm{ur}}$  with natural actions by  $\Delta_0/O_{B,p}^1$  and  $\Delta_0'/O_{B,p}^1$  respectively. Our second comparison result is as follows.

PROPOSITION 4.3. There is an isomorphism of the p-divisible groups  $H|X_1^0$  and  $H'|X_1'^0$  with compatible action by  $\overline{\Delta}_0/O_{B,p}^1 \subset \overline{\Delta}_0/O_{B,p}^1$ .

*Proof.* Same as Carayol [Car86, Prop. 
$$4.4.3$$
].

Here is a consequence of the above two comparison results:

PROPOSITION 4.4. For any ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $O_F$  dividing a power of p and prime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$ , and any sufficiently small open compact  $U^p \subset G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  depending on  $\mathfrak{n}$ , there is an open compact  $U'^p \subset G'(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $X^0_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  is isomorphic to  $X'^0_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  over K.

*Proof.* Same as Carayol [Car86, Prop. 4.5.5].

4.2. Integral models and arithmetic Hodge bundles. The goal of this subsection is to introduce integral models  $\mathcal{X}_U$  of  $X_U$  for any open compact subgroup  $U = \prod_v U_v$  of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$  which is maximal at every prime ramified in  $\mathbb{B}$ . Then we introduce an arithmetic Hodge bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U$  on  $\mathcal{X}_U$ .

Integral models of Shimura curves. By Proposition 4.1,  $X_U$  has a unique minimal regular (projective and flat) model  $\mathcal{X}_U$  over  $O_F$  when  $U \subset U(N)$  for some  $N \geq 3$ . We want to check if these integral models form a projective system. More precisely, for any  $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U(N)$ , there is a morphism  $X_{U_1} \longrightarrow X_{U_2}$  and thus a rational map  $\mathcal{X}_{U_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{U_2}$ . We want to check if this rational map is actually a morphism. For this, we first check the regularity over a prime  $\wp$  of  $O_F$  dividing a prime p. Let  $K = F_\wp^{\mathrm{ur}}$  be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F_\wp$ . We will consider the open subgroups of  $O_\mathbb{B}^\times$  of the form  $U = U_p(\mathfrak{n})U^p$ , where  $U_p(\mathfrak{n}) = (1 + \mathfrak{n}O_{\mathbb{B},\wp})^\times$  for some ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  dividing a power of p, and  $U^p$  is an open compact subgroup of  $O_{\mathbb{B}^p}^\times$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n},U^p}$  denote  $\mathcal{X}_{U_p(\mathfrak{n})\times U^p}$ .

THEOREM 4.5. Consider the system of regular surfaces  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n},U^p} \otimes O_{\wp}$  indexed by pairs  $(\mathfrak{n},U^p)$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{n}$  is prime to  $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{B}}$ ;
- (2)  $U^p \subset U^p(N) := (1 + NO_{\mathbb{R}^p})^{\times}$  for some  $N \geq 3$  and prime to p.

Then these surfaces form a projective system of curves over  $O_{\wp}$ . Moreover, if  $\wp \nmid \mathfrak{n}$ , then each such curve  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n},U^p} \otimes O_{\wp}$  is smooth if  $\wp$  is split in B and a relative Mumford curve if  $\wp$  is ramified in B.

Proof. By Proposition 4.4, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.6, there is a system of regular models  $\mathcal{X}'^{0}_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  of  $X_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p} \simeq X'_{\mathfrak{n},U'^p}$  (for  $U^p$  sufficiently small over  $O_{\wp}$  depending on  $\mathfrak{n}$ ) which is smooth if  $\wp \nmid \mathfrak{n}$  is split in B and a relative Mumford curve if  $\wp \mid \mathfrak{d}_B$ . Under the condition of the theorem, these models must be  $\mathcal{X}_{1,U^p}$  by the uniqueness of the smooth models of curves with genus  $\geq 2$ . It remains to enlarge this system to all cases of  $U^p$  satisfying the condition of the theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n}}$  be the projective limit of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n},U^p}$ , which has generic fiber  $X_K/U_p(\mathfrak{n})$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n}}$  has an action by  $\overline{\mathbb{B}^{\times}} := (O_{\mathbb{B},p}^{\times} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{f}^{p,\times})/\overline{O_{(\wp)}^{\times}}$ . For any open compact subgroup  $U^p$ , we can construct a normal integral model  $\mathcal{X}_{U,K}$  of  $X_{U,K}$  by the categorical quotient

$$\mathcal{X}_{U,K} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n}}/U = \mathcal{X}_{U_0,K}/(U/U_0),$$

where  $U_0$  is a sufficiently small normal subgroup of U. This model satisfies the condition of the theorem if  $\overline{U} := U/[(U \cap \overline{F^{\times}})O_{\mathbb{B},p}^{\times}]$  has a free action on  $\mathcal{X}_n$ .

Thus it suffices to show that  $\overline{U(N)}$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{X}_n$  for any  $N \geq 3$  prime to p. Furthermore, we need only check this freeness on the identity connected component  $\mathcal{X}_1^0$ ; i.e.,  $\overline{\Delta}(N)_0 := \overline{U}(N) \cap \overline{\Delta}_0$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{X}_1^0$ .

By our construction, the model  $\mathcal{X}_1^0$  is isomorphic to the identity connected component  $\mathcal{X}_1'^0$  of the limit  $\mathcal{X}_0'$  of  $\mathcal{X}_{1,U'^p}'$  constructed in Theorem 3.2 with compatible action by  $\overline{\Delta}_0 = \overline{\Delta}_0'$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\overline{\Delta}_0'(N) := \overline{\Delta}_0' \cap \widetilde{G}(N)$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{X}_1'^0$ , where  $\widetilde{G}_0(N)$  is the subgroup of elements of  $G_0$  which fix  $O_{B'}$  and induce identity on  $O_{B'}/NO_{B'}$ . Let  $\delta \in \overline{\Delta}_0'(N)$  fix a point x on  $\mathcal{X}_1^0$ . We want to show that  $\delta \in U'^p \cdot F^\times$ . Let  $[A, \iota, \theta, \kappa]$  be the object represented by x. There is an element  $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, u \in U'^p$  such that  $\kappa \circ \delta \circ u = \mathrm{T}(\varphi) \circ \kappa$ . Replace  $\delta$  by  $\delta \circ u$ ; we may simply assume that u = 1. The effect on the polarization gives an identity  $q(\delta) = \varphi \circ \varphi^* \in F_+^\times$ . It follows that  $q(\delta)$  also fixes x and that  $\delta/\bar{\delta}$  fixes x too. Since  $\delta/\bar{\delta} \in U'(N)$ , by Proposition 3.5,  $\delta = \bar{\delta}$ . Thus  $\delta \in O_F^\times$ .

Now we extend the definition of the integral model  $\mathcal{X}_U$  to any open compact subgroup  $U = \prod_v U_v$  of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$  which is maximal at every prime ramified in  $\mathbb{B}$ . Let p be a prime number coprime to  $2\mathfrak{d}_B$  such that  $U_p$  is maximal. Denote  $U' = U^p U_p(p)$  with  $U_p(p) = (1 + pO_{\mathbb{B},p})^{\times}$ . Define  $\mathcal{X}_U$  to be the quotient scheme

$$\mathcal{X}_U := \mathcal{X}_{U'} / U = \mathcal{X}_{U'} / (U/U') = \mathcal{X}_{U'} / (\overline{U}/\overline{U}').$$

Here  $\overline{U} := U/(U \cap \overline{F}^{\times})$  as before, so the stabilizer of  $\overline{U}/\overline{U}'$  at the generic point of  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}$  is trivial. Note that U/U' is a finite group, so  $\overline{U}/\overline{U}'$  is also a finite group. Then  $\mathcal{X}_U$  is a normal integral scheme, projective and flat over  $O_F$ , and the quotient map  $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{U'} \to \mathcal{X}_U$  is finite of degree  $[\overline{U}: \overline{U}']$ . By Theorem 4.5, the definition does not depend on the choice of p. It recovers the minimal regular model if  $U \subset U(N)$  for some  $N \geq 3$ .

By construction as above, the morphism  $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{U'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_U$  is flat at all codimension one points but not necessarily at all points. Thus  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{U'}}$  is not necessarily a locally free sheaf over  $\mathcal{X}_U$ . But we can still define the norm map  $N_{\pi}: \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{U'}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_U}$  by

$$N_{\pi}(f) := \prod_{u \in \overline{U}/\overline{U}'} u^* f.$$

Using this norm map, for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}$ , we can define the norm bundle  $N_{\pi}(\mathcal{L})$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{U}$  as the line bundle locally generated by the symbols  $N_{\pi}(\ell)$ , where  $\ell$  are sections of  $\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ , with relations for local sections f of  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{U'}}$ :

$$N_{\pi}(f\ell) = N_{\pi}(f) \cdot N_{\pi}(\ell).$$

It is clear that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}_U$ , then we have

$$N_{\pi}(\pi^*\mathcal{M}) = \deg \pi \cdot \mathcal{M}.$$

COROLLARY 4.6. Consider the system  $\{X_U\}_U$  of surfaces with  $U = \prod_v U_v$  maximal at every prime ramified in  $\mathbb{B}$ . Then this system is a projective system of curves over  $O_F$  extending the system  $\{X_U\}_U$ . Moreover, the following are true:

- (1) If  $U \subset U(N)$  for some  $N \geq 3$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_U$  is smooth at any prime  $\wp \nmid \mathfrak{d}_B$  such that  $U_\wp$  is maximal, and it is a relative Mumford curve at any prime  $\wp \mid \mathfrak{d}_B$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{X}_U$  be any element in the system. Let H be any finite extension of F which is unramified above every finite prime v of F such that  $\mathbb{B}_v$  is ramified or  $U_v$  is not maximal. Then the base change  $\mathcal{X}_U \otimes_{O_F} O_H$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial in the sense that any Weil divisor of  $\mathcal{X}_U \otimes_{O_F} O_H$  has a positive multiple which is Cartier.

Proof. We already know (1) from Theorem 4.5. For (2), to illustrate the idea, we first treat the case H = F. Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X}_{U'} \to \mathcal{X}_U$  be a quotient map in the construction of  $\mathcal{X}_U$ , where  $U' = U^p U_p(p)$  and  $U_p(p) = (1 + pO_{\mathbb{B},p})^{\times}$  are as above. Let C be a prime divisor of  $\mathcal{X}_U$ . The schematic preimage  $\pi^{-1}(C)$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}$  is locally defined by a single equation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{U'}}$  since  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}$  is regular. Then the divisor  $(\deg \pi) \cdot C$  is locally defined by the image of f under the norm map  $N_{\pi} : \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{U'}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_U}$ . This proves the case H = K. In general, the map  $\mathcal{X}_{U'} \otimes O_H \to \mathcal{X}_U \otimes O_H$  is still a quotient map by the same finite group U/U'. By (1),  $\mathcal{X}_{U'} \otimes O_H[1/p]$  is regular. Then the same proof shows that  $\mathcal{X}_U \otimes O_H[1/p]$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. Take a different prime p', and apply the same argument. Then  $\mathcal{X}_U \otimes O_H[1/p']$  is also  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. This implies the result for  $\mathcal{X}_U \otimes O_H$ .

For any ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $O_F$ , let  $U(\mathfrak{n})$  denote the compact group  $U(\mathfrak{n}) = (1 + \mathfrak{n}O_{\mathbb{B}})^{\times}$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{n})$  denote the integral model  $\mathcal{X}_{U(\mathfrak{n})}$  over  $O_F$  if  $\mathfrak{n}$  is coprime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$ . In particular, we have an integral model  $\mathcal{X}(1) := \mathcal{X}(O_F)$  which is a normal, projective, and flat scheme over  $O_F$ , and every  $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{n})$  is the normalization of  $\mathcal{X}(1)$  in the projection  $X(\mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow X(1)$ .

In the modular curve case,  $\mathcal{X}(1) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is regular. In general, it is not clear if  $\mathcal{X}(1)$  is regular. For the purpose of intersection theory, the property of being  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial is sufficient.

Arithmetic Hodge bundle. For any scheme S, denote the groupoid of line bundles on S by  $\mathcal{P}ic(S)$ , and denote the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles on S by  $\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}ic(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the groupoid of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles on S. The objects of  $\mathcal{P}ic(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  are of the form aL with  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $L \in \mathcal{P}ic(S)$ . The homomorphism of two such objects is defined to be

$$\operatorname{Isom}(aL,bM) := \varinjlim_{m} \operatorname{Isom}(L^{\otimes am}, M^{\otimes bm}),$$

where m runs through positive integers such that am and bm are both integers. The group of isomorphism classes of such  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Pic}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \operatorname{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

Similarly, we define the groupoid  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}ic}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of hermitian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles on an arithmetic variety S. We will usually write the tensor products of (hermitian) line bundles additively.

In [YZZ13, §3.1.3], for each open compact subgroup U of  $\mathbb{B}_f$ , the curve  $X_U$  has a Hodge bundle  $L_U \in \mathcal{P}ic(X_U)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . It is the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle for holomorphic modular forms of weight two, and it is the canonical bundle modified by ramification points. It is determined by the following two conditions:

- (1) the system  $\{L_U\}_U$  is compatible with pull-back maps;
- (2) if U acts freely on X, then  $L_U = \omega_{X_U/F}$ .

For general U, we have the following explicit formula:

$$L_U = \omega_{X_U/F} + \sum_{Q \in X_U(\overline{F})} (1 - e_Q^{-1}) \mathcal{O}(Q),$$

where the operation in  $\mathcal{P}ic(X_U)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is written additively, and  $e_Q$  is the ramification index of the map  $X \longrightarrow X_U$ .

Next, we want to extend the Hodge bundle  $L_U$  to a hermitian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U$  over  $\mathcal{X}_U$  for  $U = \prod_v U_v$  maximal at every prime ramified in  $\mathbb{B}$ . Note that our definition is different from that of [YZZ13, §7.2.1] including the normalization of the hermitian metric.

THEOREM 4.7. There is a unique system  $\{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U\}_U$  of hermitian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U$  on the arithmetic surface  $\mathcal{X}_U$  extending the system  $\{L_U\}_U$ , where  $U = \prod_v U_v$  is maximal at every prime ramified in  $\mathbb{B}$ , so that the following conditions hold:

- (1) The system  $\{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U\}_U$  is invariant under the pull-back maps among different U.
- (2) If U is sufficiently small in the sense that  $U \subset U(N)$  for some  $N \geq 3$ , then there is a canonical isomorphism for any  $\wp$  such that  $U_{\wp}$  is maximal

$$\mathcal{L}_U \otimes O_{\wp} = \omega_{\mathcal{X}_U \otimes O_{\wp}/O_{\wp}}.$$

Here the right-hand side denotes the relative dualizing sheaf.

(3) At an archimedean place, the metric is given by |dz| = 2y under the complex uniformization.

*Proof.* The third property is simply a definition of metrics. So we only need to consider the first two properties. To construct the system, by pullback, it suffices to construct the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_U$  for the maximal compact subgroup  $U = O_{\mathbb{B}_f}^{\times}$  of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X}_{U'} \to \mathcal{X}_U$  be a quotient map in the construction of  $\mathcal{X}_U$ . Then  $U' = U^p U_p(p)$  with  $U_p(p) = (1 + p O_{\mathbb{B},p})^{\times}$  for some

prime p coprime to  $2\mathfrak{d}_B$ . Let  $\omega^p = \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{U'}[1/p]/O_F[1/p]}$  be the relative dualizing sheaf of  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}$  away from p. Here we write  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}[1/p] = \mathcal{X}_{U'} \otimes O_F[1/p]$ . Then the bundle  $N_{\pi}(\omega^p)$  is a line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}_{U}[1/p]$  with restriction  $\deg \pi L_U$  on the generic fiber  $X_U$ . Then  $\frac{1}{\deg(\pi)}N_{\pi}(\omega^p)$  already defines the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}_U$  to  $\mathcal{X}_{U}[1/p]$ . To get the whole  $\mathcal{L}_U$ , take a different prime p', and glue  $\frac{1}{\deg(\pi)}N_{\pi}(\omega^p)$  and  $\frac{1}{\deg(\pi')}N_{\pi'}(\omega^{p'})$  along  $\mathcal{X}_{U}[1/pp']$ . This finishes the proof.

For any ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $O_F$  coprime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$ , we have written  $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{n})$  for  $\mathcal{X}_{U(\mathfrak{n})}$ . Here  $U(\mathfrak{n}) = (1 + \mathfrak{n}O_{\mathbb{B}})^{\times}$ . Write  $(L(\mathfrak{n}), \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{n}), \overline{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{n}))$  for  $(L_{U(\mathfrak{n})}, \mathcal{L}_{U(\mathfrak{n})}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{U(\mathfrak{n})})$  similarly.

Remark 4.8. For an alternative approach of this paper, instead of defining  $\mathcal{X}_U$  as the quotient scheme  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}/(\overline{U}/\overline{U}')$ , one may define it as the quotient stack  $\left[\mathcal{X}_{U'}/(\overline{U}/\overline{U}')\right]$ . It is a regular Deligne–Mumford stack, proper and flat over  $O_F$ . The quotient scheme is just the coarse scheme of the quotient stack. Then one may define  $\mathcal{L}_U$  to be the relative dualizing sheaf of the quotient stack.

4.3. Integral models of p-divisible groups. Let  $\wp$  be a prime of  $O_F$  dividing p, let  $O_{\wp}$  be the ring of integers in  $F_{\wp}$ , and let  $H = H_{\wp} \times H^{\wp}$  be the decomposition according to the decomposition  $O_{F,p} = O_{\wp} \oplus O_{F,p}^{\wp}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras. When  $\mathbb{B}_{\wp} \simeq M_2(F_{\wp})$  is split, Carayol [Car86, §1.4.4] has defined a p-divisible group  $E_{\infty}|M_0$  related to our  $H|X_1$  by the formula

$$M_0/U_p(1) = X_1, \qquad E_{\infty} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} H_{\wp}|_{M_0}.$$

The treatment of all facts in Carayol [Car86] can be copied to  $H|X_1$  with some little modifications. In the following, we want to use his method to study integral model for  $H|X_1$ .

Let  $K = F_{\wp}^{ur}$  be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F_{\wp}$ , and let  $O_K$  be its ring of integers.

THEOREM 4.9. Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be an ideal of  $O_F$  prime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$ , and let  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n}}$  be the projective limit of  $\mathcal{X}_{U_p(\mathfrak{n})U^p} \otimes O_K$  as  $U^p$  varies. Then  $H_{\mathfrak{n}}$  has an integral model  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{n}}$  over  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n}}$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}^{\wp}$  is étale over  $\mathcal{X}_1$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{\wp}$  is a special formal  $O_{B,\wp}$ -module in the sense that  $\operatorname{Lie}(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})$  is a locally free sheaf over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp}} \otimes O_{K_0}$  of rank 1 where  $K_0$  is an unramified quadratic extension of  $F_{\wp}$  embedded into  $\mathbb{B}_{\wp}$ ;
- (2) the formal completion  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_1$  along its special fiber over  $\bar{k}$   $(k = O_F/\wp)$  is the universal deformation space of  $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{k}}$ ;
- (3) for any  $\mathfrak{n}$  prime to  $\mathfrak{d}_B$  and with decomposition  $\mathfrak{n} = \wp^n \cdot \mathfrak{n}'$  with  $\mathfrak{n}'$  prime to  $\wp$ , the morphism  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{n}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$  classifies pairs of a full level  $\mathfrak{n}'$ -structure on on  $\mathcal{H}_1^{\wp}$  and a Drinfeld level  $\wp^n$ -structure on  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\wp}$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the corresponding statement for the connected component  $X_{\mathfrak{n}}^0$  of  $X_{\mathfrak{n}}$ . By Proposition 4.4,  $H|X_{\mathfrak{n}}^0$  is isomorphic to  $H'|X_{\mathfrak{n}}'^0$ . Thus all of the conclusions of Theorem 4.9 follow from Theorem 3.5. See also Carayol [Car86, §§6.4, 6.6, 7.2, 7.4, 9.5] and Čerednik–Drinfeld [BC91].

Let us define  $\mathcal{M}_{\wp} = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})$  to be the covariant Deudonné crystal [Ill85], [Mes72], and  $\mathcal{W}_{\wp} = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{H})^{\vee}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{t} = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{H}^{t})^{\vee}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{\wp}^{t}$  is the Cartier dual of  $\mathcal{H}_{\wp}$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\wp}^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\wp} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying the Gauss–Manin connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{\wp}$ , we obtain the following composition of morphisms:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{t} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\wp} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{M}_{\wp} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}.$$

Taking determinants, we obtain a morphism

$$\det \mathcal{W}_{\wp}^t \longrightarrow \det \mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}^{\otimes 2}.$$

In other words, we obtain a Kodaira-Spencer morphism of line bundles:

$$\mathrm{KS}_\wp: \mathcal{N}_\wp \longrightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{X}_\wp}^{\otimes 2}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_\wp := \det \mathcal{W}_\wp^t \otimes \det \mathcal{W}_\wp^\vee.$$

THEOREM 4.10. Let  $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{B},\wp}$  be the divisor on Spec  $O_{\wp}^{\mathrm{ur}}$  corresponding to  $\mathbb{B}_{\wp}$ . Then  $KS_{\wp}$  extends to an isomorphism of line bundles on  $\mathcal{X}_{\wp}$ :

$$\mathrm{KS}_{\wp}: \mathcal{N}_{\wp} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}^{\otimes 2}(-\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{B},\wp}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\wp}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\wp})$  be the formal completion of the pair  $(\mathcal{X}_{\wp}, \mathcal{H}_{\wp})$  along its special fiber over the residue field  $\bar{k} := \overline{k(\wp)}$  of  $O_{\wp}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ . Then  $(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\wp}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\wp})$  is the universal deformation of  $(\mathcal{X}_{\wp,\bar{k}}, \mathcal{H}_{\wp,\bar{k}})$ . By deformation theory of p-divisible groups [Ill85] and [Mes72], we have an isomorphism

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{O_{\mathbb{B}_{\wp}}}(\mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{t}, \mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{\vee})$$

induced from the above composition of morphisms:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\wp}^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\wp} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{M}_{\wp} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\wp}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}.$$

Taking determinants, we obtain an embedding

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}^{-2} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\wp}^{\vee}.$$

If  $\wp$  is split in  $\mathbb{B}$ , then we can write  $O_{\mathbb{B},\wp} = M_2(O_\wp)$ . Using idempotents  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we can write  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_0)$  (resp.  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_\wp^t)$ ) as a direct sum of components  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_\wp)^i := e_i \Omega(\mathcal{H}_\wp)$  (resp.  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_\wp^t)^i = e_i \Omega(\mathcal{H}_\wp^t)$ ). These two components are isomorphic by the operator  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus we have

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{O_{v}}(\Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp}^{t})^{i}, \Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})^{i\vee}) = \Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp}^{t})^{i\vee} \otimes \Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})^{i\vee}.$$

This shows, in particular, that

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}^2 = \mathcal{N}_{\wp}.$$

Now assume that  $\wp$  is nonsplit in F. Then  $\mathcal{M}_{\wp}$  is a free module over  $O_{\mathbb{B},\wp}\otimes O_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}$ . Let K be a unramified extension of  $F_{\wp}$  in  $\mathbb{B}_{\wp}$ . Then we have a decomposition

$$O_{\mathbb{B},\wp} = O_K + O_K j,$$

where j a uniformizer of  $O_{\mathbb{B},\wp}$  such that  $jx = \bar{x}j$  for all  $x \in O_K$ . Making a base change to  $O_K$ , then we have a decomposition of  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})$  to the direct sum of the eigenspaces of  $O_K$  according to the embedding  $O_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{U,\wp}}$  and its conjugate:

$$\Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp}^t) = \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2, \qquad (\text{resp. } \Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})^{\vee} = \mathcal{N}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}_2).$$

The action of j has grade  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with  $j^2 = \pi$  a uniformizer of  $O_{\wp}$ . Let  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  be the restrictions of j on two components. Then  $j_1 \circ j_2 = \pi$ . It follows that for each point on  $\mathcal{X}_{\wp}$ , exactly one of  $j_1$  or  $j_2$  is an isomorphism. Thus we can assign a type  $i \in \{1,2\}$  to  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})$  if  $j_i$  is an isomorphism. Notice that the types of  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp})$  and  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_{\wp}^t)^{\vee}$  are opposite.

We claim that the condition  $j_1 \circ j_2 = \pi$  implies the following identity:

$$\pi\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}^2 = \mathcal{N}_{\wp}.$$

To prove this claim, without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mathcal{L}_2 = j\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_1 = j\mathcal{N}_2$ . Now an element  $\alpha \in \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\wp}}$  corresponds a pair of morphism of line bundles

$$\phi_i: \mathcal{L}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_i$$

compatible with action of j. It is clear that this morphism determines and is determinated by  $\phi_1$ , and that  $\phi_2 = j\phi_1 j^{-1}$  always has image included into  $\pi \mathcal{N}_2$ . Conversely, for any morphism  $\phi_2$  divided by  $\pi$ , the above equation determines a  $\phi_1$ . Our claim follows from this description of  $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$ .

Define a system of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(\mathfrak{n})$  on  $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{n})$  by

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(\mathfrak{n}) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{n})^{\otimes 2}(-\mathfrak{d}_B).$$

Then Theorem 4.10 shows that for any prime  $\wp$  of  $O_F$ , this bundle has the pulling back  $\mathcal{N}_{\wp}$  on  $X/(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B},\wp}^{\times})$ .

## 5. Shimura curve X''

In this section, we study the relation between Shimura curves X and X' in case 2:  $\mathbb{A}_E$  is embedded into  $\mathbb{B}$ . For this, we need to consider another Shimura curve X'' which includes both X and X'. We will first study some basic properties of X'', especially the p-divisible groups parametrized by X'', and the construction of X'' using X and a Shimura variety Y of dimension 0.

Then we construct an integral model  $\mathcal{X}''$  of X'' using the integral model  $\mathcal{X}$ , and a p-divisible group  $\mathcal{H}''_{x''}$  for each p-adic point x'' of X'' using Breuil–Kisin's theory [Kis06], [Kis10]. We show that the deformations of the p-divisible group  $\mathcal{H}''_{x''}$  are given by deformations of  $\mathcal{H}_x$ . Finally, we use all of the results in this section to complete the proof of Theorem 1.6.

5.1. Shimura curve X''. Let  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  be a nearby pair of CM types of E, and let F' be the reflex field of  $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ . In the following, we want to define a Shimura curves X'' defined over F', depending on  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ , and with an action by the group

$$\mathbb{G}'' := \mathbb{B}^{\times} \times_{\mathbb{A}^{\times}} \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}.$$

The stabilizer subgroup  $\overline{Z''}$  is generated by (1,x) with  $x \in \overline{E^{\times}}$ , the closure of  $E^{\times}$  in  $\widehat{E}^{\times}$ . The scheme X'' includes X' as a union of connected components via the embedding  $\mathbb{G}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}''$ .

At an archimedean place  $\tau'$  of F' over a place  $\tau$  of F, we define a reductive group over  $\mathbb Q$  as follows:

$$G'' = B^{\times} \times_{F^{\times}} E^{\times},$$

where as before B is a quaternion algebra over F with ramification set  $\Sigma(\mathbb{B}) \setminus \{\tau\}$ . Then we have an embedding  $G' \longrightarrow G''$ . The Hodge structure  $h' : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow G'(\mathbb{R})$  induces the Hodge structure  $h'' : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow G''(\mathbb{R})$ . The congugacy class of h'' is  $\mathfrak{h}^{\pm}$ . It is easy to show that the reflex field of (G'', h'') is still F'. Thus for each open compact subgroup U of  $G''(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) \simeq \mathbb{G}''_f$ , we have a Shimura curve  $X''_U$  over F' with uniformization at  $\tau'$  given by

$$X''_{U,\tau'}(\mathbb{C}) = G''(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G''(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) / U.$$

Let X'' be the projective limit of  $X''_U$ . Then X'' has a uniformization as follows:

$$X''_{\tau'}(\mathbb{C}) = G''(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G''(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) / \overline{Z''}.$$

The embedding  $G' \longrightarrow G''$  defines an embedding  $i: X' \longrightarrow X''$ .

In the following, we want to study the relation between X and X''. First let us start with a Shimura variety Y of dimension 0 defined by the group  $E^{\times}$  with the Hodge structure on  $h_{\Psi}: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow (E \otimes \mathbb{R})^{\times}$  given by the composition of

$$\mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^g, \quad z \longmapsto (1, z^{-1}, \dots, z^{-1})$$

with the inverse of the isomorphism  $\Phi_1: (E\otimes \mathbb{R})^\times \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^g$ . Here the component 1 corresponds to the unique element of  $\Phi_1 \setminus \Phi_2$ . Note that  $h_\Psi$  is determined by  $\Psi = \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$ . For any open compact subgroup J of  $\widehat{E}^\times$ , we have a Shimura variety  $Y_J$  of dimension zero defined over F' (which includes the reflex field of  $h_\Psi$ ). This set has an action by  $\widehat{E}^\times$ . In fact the set of its geometric points is a homogenous space over  $E^\times \setminus \widehat{E}^\times / J$ . Let Y be the projective limit of  $Y_J$ . Then the set of geometric points of Y is a principal homogenous space over  $E^\times \setminus \widehat{E}^\times \setminus \widehat{E}^\times$ , where  $E^\times$  is the closure of  $E^\times$  in  $\widehat{E}^\times$ .

At the archimedean place  $\tau'$  of F' over a place  $\tau$  of F as above, the product

$$(X_U \times_F Y_I)_{\tau'} = X_{U,\tau} \times_{\mathbb{C}} Y_{I,\tau'}$$

of Shimura varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  is defined by the reductive group  $B^{\times} \times E^{\times}$  and the product of Hodge structures  $(G \times E^{\times}, h \times h_{\Psi})$ . We have a natural homomorphism of reductive groups:

$$B^{\times} \times E^{\times} \longrightarrow G = B^{\times} \times_{F^{\times}} E^{\times},$$

which is compatible with the Hodge structures. Thus we have a surjective morphism of Shimura curves over F':

$$f: X_U \times_F Y_J \longrightarrow X''_{U''},$$

where U'' is the image of  $U \times J$ . Taking limits, we obtain a morphism of schemes over F':

$$X \times_F Y \longrightarrow X''$$
.

This morphism is compatible with the actions of  $\mathbb{G}_f$ ,  $\widehat{E}^{\times}$ , and  $\mathbb{G}''_f$  and induces an isomorphism

$$f: (X \times_F Y)/\Delta(\widehat{F}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X'',$$

where  $\Delta$  is the twisted diagonal map

$$\Delta: \widehat{F}^{\times} \longrightarrow \widehat{B}^{\times} \times \widehat{E}^{\times}, \qquad z \longmapsto (z, z^{-1}).$$

The isomorphism property of f can be checked at the place  $\tau'$  using uniformizations of X, Y, X''.

p-divisible groups. Fix a prime number p and a maximal order  $O_{\mathbb{B},p}$  containing  $O_{E,p}$ . We want to study certain p-divisible groups parametrized by  $X''_{U''}$  and  $Y_J$ . Write  $\Lambda_p = O_{\mathbb{B},p}$  as a left  $O_{\mathbb{B},p}$ -module. For any idea  $\mathfrak n$  of  $O_F$  dividing a power of p, denote by  $U''_p(\mathfrak n)$  the closed subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}''_p$  fixing  $\Lambda_p$  and acting trivially on  $\Lambda_p/\mathfrak n\Lambda_p$ . Write  $U''_p(1) = U''_p(O_F)$ . Then we define

$$X_1'' = X''/U_p''(1), Y_1 = Y/O_{E,p}^{\times}.$$

With our previous definition of  $X_1$ , we have an isomorphism

$$f_1: (X_1 \times_F Y_1)/\Delta(\widehat{F}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1''.$$

Define the p-divisible groups on  $Y_1$  and  $X_1''$  by making quotients

$$H'' = [\mathbb{B}_p/O_{\mathbb{B},p} \times X'']/U_p''(1), \qquad I = (E_p/O_{E,p} \times Y)/O_{E,p}^{\times}.$$

Here  $U_p''(1)$  (resp.  $O_{E,p}^{\times}$ ) acts on  $\mathbb{B}_p/O_{\mathbb{B},p}$  (resp.  $E_p/O_{E,p}$ ) on the right-hand side as follows:

$$x \cdot (b, e) = exb,$$
  $x \in \mathbb{B}_p/O_{\mathbb{B},p}, (b, e) \in U''(1),$   
 $(\text{resp. } y \cdot e = ey,$   $y \in E_p/O_{E,p}, e \in O_{E,p}^{\times}).$ 

These definitions make sense since U''(1) and  $O_{E,p}^{\times}$  act freely on X'' and Y respectively. These groups can be defined on finite levels as in the case of H over  $X_1$ . We sketch the case of H'' as follows. The group H'' is a direct limit of finite subgroups  $H''[p^n]$ . Each  $H''[p^n]$  descends to a quotient  $X''/(U''(1)\times U''^p)$  for some compact open subgroup  $U''^p$  of  $(\mathbb{G}'')^p$  by the formula

$$H_{U_p''(1)\times U^p}''[p^n] = \left[ p^{-n} \Lambda_p / \Lambda_p \times X'' / (U_p''(p^n) \times U''^p) \right] / (U_p''(1) \times U''^p).$$

For this we need to find  $U''^p$  so that  $U''_p(1)/U''_p(p^n)$  acts freely on  $X''/(U''_p(p^n) \times U''^p)$ . This can be done by copying the argument in the proof of [Car86, Cor. 1.4.1.3]. It is clear that  $H' = H''|_{X'}$ . The groups H, H'' and I are related as follows.

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be the projections of  $X_1 \times_F Y_1$  to the two factors, and let T(H''), T(H), T(I) be the Tate modules of the corresponding p-divisible groups. There is a canonical isomorphism of étale sheaves on  $X_1 \times_F Y_1$ :

$$f_1^* \mathrm{T}(H'') \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^* \mathrm{T}(H) \otimes_{O_{E,n}} \pi_2^* \mathrm{T}(I).$$

*Proof.* By definitions, the Tate modules of these groups can be written as follows:

$$T(H) = (O_{\mathbb{B}_p} \times X)/U(1), \ T(H'') = (O_{\mathbb{B}_p} \times X'')/U''(1),$$
  
 $T(I) = (O_{E,p} \times Y)/O_{E,p}^{\times}.$ 

5.2. Integral models. Let  $\wp'$  be a finite place of F' dividing p, and let  $\wp$  be a place of F under  $\wp'$ . Let  $F_{\wp'}^{\text{'ur}}$  be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F_{\wp'}^{\prime}$ , which is a finite extension of  $F_{\wp}^{\text{ur}}$ . For simplicity, we introduce the following notation:  $K := F_{\wp}^{\text{ur}}$  and  $K' := F_{\wp'}^{\prime \text{ur}}$ .

Consider the following schemes:

$$X_{1,\wp} = X_1 \otimes_F K, \qquad X_{1,\wp'}' = X_1' \otimes_{F'} K', \qquad Y_{1,\wp'} := Y_1 \otimes_{F'} K'.$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$f_{\wp'}: X_{1,\wp} \times_K Y_{1,\wp'}/\Delta(\widehat{F}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{1,\wp'}''$$

By construction, all geometric points of  $Y_1$  are defined over K'. Thus  $Y_{1,\wp'}$  is a principal homogenous space of  $\overline{E^\times} \backslash \widehat{E}^\times / O_{E,p}^\times$ . In this way, the integral model  $\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp}$  of  $X_{1,\wp}$  and the model  $\operatorname{Spec} O_{K'}$  of  $\operatorname{Spec} K'$  induce an integral model  $\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp'}''$  for  $X_{1,\wp'}''$ . This in turn induces an integral model  $\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp'}'$  by the embedding  $X_{1,\wp'}' \longrightarrow X_{1,\wp'}''$ .

Notice that if  $\wp$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp}$  is smooth over  $O_K$ . It follows that both  $\mathcal{X}'_{1,\wp'}$  and  $\mathcal{X}''_{1,\wp'}$  are smooth over  $O_{K'}$ . If  $\wp$  divides  $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp}$  is a regular and stable Mumford curve. It follows that  $\mathcal{X}'_{\wp'}$  and  $\mathcal{X}''_{\wp'}$  are both stable Mumford curves. Notice that they are not regular if  $\wp$  is ramified in F'.

Recall that we have defined a line bundle  $\mathcal{N}_{1,\wp}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\wp}$  extending  $\omega^2_{X_{1,\wp}}$ . This bundle induces bundles  $\mathcal{N}'_{1,\wp'}$  and  $\mathcal{N}''_{1,\wp'}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{1,\wp'}$  and  $\mathcal{X}''_{1,\wp'}$  respectively.

Now we would like to extend the groups I, H', H'' to integral models  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}''$  point-by-point using Breuil–Kisin's classification of p-divisible group [Kis06]: any crystalline representation of  $G_{K'} := \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}'/K')$  of Hodge–Tate weights 0 or -1 arises from a p-divisible group over  $O_{K'}$ .

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let L be a finite extension of K'. For each point  $y \in Y(L)$  (resp.  $x' \in X'(L)$ , resp.  $x'' \in X''(L)$ ), the group  $I_y$  (resp.  $H'_{x'}$ ,  $H''_{x''}$ ) over L extends uniquely to a p-divisible group over  $O_L$ .

*Proof.* For I, recall that the action of  $G_{K'}$  on  $\mathrm{T}(I) \simeq O_{E,p}$  is given by the reciprocity map for the type  $(E, \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2)$ . Fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . Then  $\mathrm{T}(I) \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  is a direct sum of one-dimensional spaces  $V_{\sigma}$  indexed by  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})$ . The action of  $G_{K'}$  on  $V_{\sigma}$  is trivial if  $\sigma \notin \Psi$ ; otherwise it is given by the character

$$G_{K'} \longrightarrow G_{F'_{\wp'}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq O_{F'_{\wp'}}^{\times} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}.$$

Thus T(I) is crystalline of weight -1 or 0.

For  $H''_{x''}$ , let (x, y) be an L-point of  $X_1 \times Y_1$  with image  $x'' \in X_1''(L)$ . Consider the p-adic representation  $T(H''_{x''})$ . By Proposition 5.1, it is the product  $T(H_x) \times T(I_z)$ . Both  $T(I_y)$  and  $T(H_x)$  are crystalline since both  $H_x$  and  $I_y$  extend to a p-divisible group over a ring of integers by Proposition 4.9 and the above discussion. It follows that  $T(H''_{x''})$  is crystalline. It also has weights 0 and -1. Thus by Breuil–Kisin [Kis06],  $H''_{x''}$  extends to a p-divisible group  $H''_{x''}$  over  $O_L$ .

The statement for H' is clear as it is the restriction of H'' on X''.

Deformation theory. Let L be a finite extension of K', and let (x, y) be an L-point of  $X_1 \times Y_1$  with image  $x'' \in X_1''(L)$ . We have covariant Dieudonné modules  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}''_{x''})$  over  $O_K$ ,  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_x)$  over  $O_{K'}$ ,  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{I}_y)$  over  $O_{K'}$  and their filtrations:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{H}'''_{x''}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}''_{x''}) \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{H}''_{x''})^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0,$$
  

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{H}_x^t) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_x) \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{H}_x)^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0,$$
  

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{I}_y^t) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{I}_y) \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{I}_y)^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. There is a canonical isomorphism of filtered  $O_{E,p}$ modules:

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}'') \simeq \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_x) \otimes_{O_{E,v} \otimes O_K} \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{I}_y).$$

*Proof.* By Kisin [Kis10, Th. 1.4.2] for  $p \neq 2$  and by Kim [Kim12], Lau [Lau14], and Liu [Liu13] for p = 2, for a p-divisible group  $\mathcal{G}$  over  $O_L$  with L a finite extension of the fraction field of  $W(\bar{k})$   $(k := O_{\wp}/\wp)$ , the module  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G})$ 

with its filtration depends canonically on its Tate module  $T(\mathcal{G})$  as an object in the category  $\operatorname{Rep}_{GL}^{\operatorname{criso}}$  of integral crystalline representations of  $G_L := \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)$ . More precisely, let  $\mathfrak{S} = W(\bar{k})[[u]]$  be the ring of power series over  $W(\bar{k})$  with a surjective map  $\mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow O_L$  by sending u to a uniformizer  $\pi_L$  of L; then

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}) = O_L \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \varphi^* \mathfrak{M} \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{G}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a functor from  $\operatorname{Rep}_{G_L}^{\operatorname{criso}}$  to certain category  $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi}$  of modules over noncommutative ring  $\mathfrak{S}[\varphi]$ , defined in [Kis10, Th. 1.2.1].

Applying this to divisible groups  $\mathcal{H}''_{x''}$ ,  $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{O_{K'}}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_y$  over  $O_L = O_{K'}$ , and taking care of the isomorphism in the above proposition, we obtain a canonical isomorphism of filtered  $O_{E,p}$ -modules:

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}'') \simeq \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_x) \otimes_{O_{E,p} \otimes O_K} \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{I}_y).$$

Now we consider these p-divisible groups with actions by  $O_{F,p}$ . Their cohomology groups are modules over of the  $O_K$ -algebra  $O_{F,p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_K$ . The quotient  $O_{F,p} \longrightarrow O_{\wp}$  induces a quotient  $\tau: O_{F,p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_K \longrightarrow O_K$ . Use this  $\tau$  to take quotients of cohomology groups to obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}''^t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}'') \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}'')^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0,$$
  

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x^t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x)^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0,$$
  

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{I}_y^t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}_y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{I}_y)^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{I}_y) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{I}_y^t)$  is a free module of rank 1 over  $O_{E,K} := O_{E,\wp} \otimes_{O_\wp} O_K$ . Thus we have

Proposition 5.4. There are canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}''^t) \simeq \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x^t) \otimes_{O_{E,K}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{I}_y^t), \qquad \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}'') \simeq \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x) \otimes_{O_{E,K}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{I}_y^t)^{\vee}.$$

We want to apply these facts to compute the universal deformation space of  $\mathcal{H}''_{x''}$  as p-divisible  $O_{E,p}$ -module:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{O_{E,p}}(\Omega(\mathcal{H}_{x''}^t), \Omega(\mathcal{H}_{x''})^{\vee}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{O_{E,p}}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}^t), \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_{x''}'')^{\vee})$$

$$= \operatorname{Hom}_{O_{E,\wp}}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x^t), \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x)^{\vee}) \otimes_{O_K} O_{K'}$$

$$= \operatorname{Hom}_{O_{\mathbb{B},\wp}}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x^t), \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}_x)^{\vee}) \otimes O_{K'}$$

$$= \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp},x}^{-1} \otimes O_{K'}$$

$$= \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{1,\wp},x''}^{-1}.$$

Here

- (1) the first identity follows from a consideration of types under actions by  $O_{E,p}$ ,
- (2) the second identity follows from the above proposition,
- (3) the third identity follows from a precise computation,
- (4) the fourth identity follows from the Kodaira-Spencer map on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and
- (5) the last one follows from the definition.

This shows that the formal completion  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}''_{1,x''}$  of  $\mathcal{X}''_{1,\wp'}$  at x'' is indeed the universal deformation of the p-divisible group  $\mathcal{H}''_{x''}$ .

Taking determinants of the above isomorphism, we obtain the following identity of two  $O_K$ -lattices of the module  $\omega_{X''_{-1},x''}^{-2}$ :

COROLLARY 5.5.  $\mathcal{N}''_{x''} = \det \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}''_{x''}) \otimes \det \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}'''_{x''}).$ 

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let  $y \in Y$  be any fixed point. Then we have an embedding  $X \longrightarrow X''$ . Recall that  $P \in X^{T(\mathbb{Q})}$  is a fixed CM point by E. Let  $P'' \in X''$  be the image of (P, y). Then P'' is a point fixed by  $T''(\mathbb{Q})$ .

LEMMA 5.6. There is an embedding  $X' \longrightarrow X''$  such that P'' is the image of a P' in X' fixed by  $T'(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* We fix one archimedean place  $\tau'$  of F' over a place  $\tau$  of F. This gives a nearby quaternion algebra  $B = B(\tau)$ . We may assume that P is represented by  $(z_0, 1) \in \mathfrak{h} \times G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  with  $z_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$  a fixed point by  $E^{\times}$  in the following uniformization:

$$X_{\tau}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) / \overline{Z(\mathbb{Q})}$$

Similarly, we may assume that y is represented by  $1 \in \widehat{E}^{\times}$ . Then

$$Y_{\tau'}(\mathbb{C}) = \overline{E^{\times}} \backslash \widehat{E}^{\times}.$$

In this way, the image P'' of (P, y) in  $X''_{\tau}(\mathbb{C})$  is represented by  $(z_0, 1) \in \mathfrak{h} \times G''(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$ :

$$X''_{\tau'} = G''(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times G''(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) / \overline{Z''(\mathbb{Q})}.$$

Thus P'' is the image of a point  $P' \in X'^{T'}$ .

Recall that we have fixed a maximal order  $O_{\mathbb{B}}$  of  $\mathbb{B}_f$  including  $O_{\widehat{E}}$ , which defines maximal compact subgroups U, U', U'' of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}'$  and  $\mathbb{G}''$ , curves  $X_U$ ,  $X'_{U''}$ ,  $X''_{U''}$ , and morphisms

$$X_U \longrightarrow X''_{U''}, \qquad X'_{U'} \longrightarrow X'''_{U''}.$$

The images of P, P', P'' define CM points  $P_U, P'_{U'}, P''_{U''}$ , which are compatible with the above morphisms.

By Corollary 2.6, it suffices to show that for each nearby pair  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  of CM types of E,

$$g \cdot h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \frac{1}{2} h_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U}(P_U) - \frac{1}{4} \log(d_{\mathbb{B}}).$$

By Theorem 4.10, the right-hand side is  $\frac{1}{4}h_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}_U}(P_U)$ .

Let  $A_0$  be the corresponding abelian variety represented by  $P'_{U'}$  over some finite extension K of  $F'(P'_{U'})$ . Then  $A_0$  is isogenous to the products of CM

abelian varieties  $A_1, A_2$  of CM types  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$ . By Theorem 2.7,

$$h(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \frac{1}{2}h(A_0, \tau).$$

Thus we have reduced Theorem 1.6 to the identity

$$h(A_0, \tau) = \frac{1}{2g} h_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}_U}(P_U).$$

Since  $\frac{1}{g}h_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}_U}(P_U) = \frac{1}{[F(P_U):\mathbb{Q}]}\widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_U|_{\bar{P}_U})$ , it suffices to prove the following result:

PROPOSITION 5.7. There is an isomorphism of hermitian line bundles over  $O_K$ :

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(A_0,\tau) \simeq \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{P_U} \otimes_{O_{F(P_U)}} O_K.$$

Proof. Notice that both sides have the restriction  $L_{P''_U''}^{\otimes 2} \otimes K$  on the generic fiber of X'. Thus two sides define two integral and hermitian structures on  $L_{P''}^{\otimes 2} \otimes K$ . Also by Theorem 3.7, they have the same metric. Thus it suffices to show that they define the same lattice at each finite place of K. Let v be a finite place of K with residue characteristic p. Let  $O_{K,v}^{ur}$  be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $O_{K,v}$ . Then

$$\Omega(A_0) \otimes O_{K,v}^{\mathrm{ur}} \simeq \Omega(A_0[p^{\infty}]) \otimes O_{K,v}^{\mathrm{ur}}.$$

By Corollary 5.5,

$$\mathcal{N}(A_0, \tau) \otimes O_{K,v}^{\mathrm{ur}} = \mathcal{N}_{P_{UU}'} \otimes O_{K,v}^{\mathrm{ur}} = \mathcal{N}_{P_U} \otimes O_{K,v}^{\mathrm{ur}}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.

## Part 2. Quaternionic heights

The goal of this part is to prove Theorem 1.7. We use notation from our previous work [YZZ13]. We will make a specific explanation when we come to a setting different from that of [YZZ13].

## 6. Pseudo-theta series

In this section, we introduce the notion of *pseudo-theta series*, an important concept used in the following sections. We will first recall the usual theta series defined by Schwartz functions in [YZZ13]. Then we define a pseudo-theta series, which looks like a theta series but is not automorphic. We will show that it can be approximated by the difference of two theta series associated to it. Finally, we will show that if a sum of pseudo-theta series is automorphic, then these pseudo-theta series can be actually replaced by the difference of the theta series associated to them, and we get some extra identities between these theta series.

6.1. Schwartz functions and theta series. We first recall the notion of Schwartz functions and theta series in [YZZ13], which is a variant of the standard notions.

Let F be a totally real number field and  $\mathbb{A}$  the adele ring of F. Let (V, q) be a positive definite quadratic space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}}(V(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbb{A}^{\times}) = \otimes_v \overline{\mathcal{S}}(V(F_v) \times F_v^{\times})$$

be the space of Schwartz functions introduced in [YZZ13, §4.1]. We recall it in the following.

If v is non-archimedean, then  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(V(F_v) \times F_v^{\times})$  is the usual space of locally constant and compactly supported functions.

If v is archimedean, then  $F_v = \mathbb{R}$ , and then  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(V(F_v) \times \mathbb{R}^{\times})$  consists of functions on  $V(F_v) \times \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  of the form

$$\phi_v(x, u) = (P_1(uq(x)) + \operatorname{sgn}(u)P_2(uq(x))) e^{-2\pi|u|q(x)}$$

with polynomials  $P_i$  of complex coefficients. Here  $\operatorname{sgn}(u) = u/|u|$  denotes the sign of  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ . The standard Schwartz function  $\phi_v \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(V(F_v) \times \mathbb{R}^{\times})$  is the Gaussian function

$$\phi_v(x, u) = e^{-2\pi u q(x)} 1_{\mathbb{R}_+}(u).$$

Here  $1_{\mathbb{R}_+}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of positive real numbers. In this paper,  $\phi$  is always the standard Gaussian function at archimedean places.

Assume that dim V is even in the following, which is always satisfied in our application. In [YZZ13, §2.1.3], the Weil representation on the usual space  $S(V(\mathbb{A}))$  is extended to an action of the similitude groups on  $\overline{S}(V(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$ . This gives a representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \times GO(V(\mathbb{A}))$  on  $\overline{S}(V(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$ . This extension is originally from Waldspurger [Wal85].

Take any  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(V(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$ . There is the partial theta series

$$\theta(g, u, \phi) = \sum_{x \in V} r(g)\phi(x, u), \quad g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}), \ u \in \mathbb{A}^{\times}.$$

If  $u \in F^{\times}$ , it is invariant under the left action of  $SL_2(F)$  on g. To get an automorphic form on  $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ , we need a summation on u.

There is an open compact subgroup  $K \subset \mathrm{GO}(\mathbb{A}_f)$  such that  $\phi_f$  is invariant under the action of K by the Weil representation. Denote  $\mu_K = F^\times \cap K$ . Then  $\mu_K$  is a subgroup of the unit group  $O_F^\times$  and thus is a finitely generated abelian group. Define a theta function by

$$\theta(g,\phi)_K = \sum_{u \in \mu_K^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \theta(g,u,\phi) = \sum_{u \in \mu_K^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V} r(g)\phi(x,u), \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}).$$

The summation is well defined and absolutely convergent. The result  $\theta(g,\phi)_K$  is an automorphic form on  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ , and  $\theta(g,r(h)\phi)_K$  is an automorphic form on  $(g,h) \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \times GO(V(\mathbb{A}))$ . Furthermore, if  $\phi_{\infty}$  is standard, then  $\theta(g,\phi)_K$  is holomorphic of parallel weight  $\frac{1}{2} \dim V$ .

By choosing fundamental domains, we can rewrite the sum as

$$\theta(g,\phi)_K = \sum_{u \in \mu_K^2 \backslash F^\times} r(g)\phi(0,u) + w_K \sum_{(x,u) \in \mu_K \backslash ((V - \{0\}) \times F^\times)} r(g)\phi(x,u).$$

Here the natural action of  $\mu_K$  on  $V \times F^{\times}$  is just  $\alpha \circ (x, u) \mapsto (\alpha x, \alpha^{-2}u)$ . The summation over u is well defined since  $\phi(\alpha x, \alpha^{-2}u) = r(\alpha^{-1})\phi(x, u) = \phi(x, u)$  for any  $\alpha \in \mu_K$ . We have the factor  $w_K = |\{1, -1\} \cap K| \in \{1, 2\}$ . See [YZZ13,  $\{2, 1, 3\}$ ] for more details.

6.2. Pseudo-theta series. Now we introduce pseudo-theta series. Let V be a positive definite quadratic space over F, and let  $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V$  be two subspaces over F with induced quadratic forms. All spaces are assumed to be even-dimensional. We allow  $V_0$  to be the empty set  $\emptyset$ , which is not a subspace in the usual sense. Let S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of F, and let  $\phi^S \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(V(\mathbb{A}^S) \times \mathbb{A}^{S\times})$  be a Schwartz function with standard infinite components.

A pseudo-theta series is a series of the form

$$A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_1 - V_0} \phi_S'(g, x, u) r_V(g) \phi^S(x, u), \quad g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}).$$

We explain the notation as follows:

- The Weil representation  $r_V$  is not attached to the space  $V_1$  but to the space V;
- $\phi'_S(g, x, u) = \prod_{v \in S} \phi'_v(g_v, x_v, u_v)$  as local product;
- For each  $v \in S$ , the function

$$\phi'_v : \operatorname{GL}_2(F_v) \times (V_1 - V_0)(F_v) \times F_v^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}$$

is locally constant, and it is smooth in the sense that there is an open compact subgroup  $K_v$  of  $GL_2(F_v)$  such that

$$\phi'_v(g\kappa, x, u) = \phi'_v(g, x, u) \quad \forall (g, x, u) \in \operatorname{GL}_2(F_v) \times (V_1 - V_0)(F_v) \times F_v^{\times}, \ \kappa \in K_v.$$

- $\mu$  is a subgroup of  $O_F^{\times}$  with finite index such that  $\phi^S(x,u)$  and  $\phi'_S(g,x,u)$  are invariant under the action  $\alpha:(x,u)\mapsto(\alpha x,\alpha^{-2}u)$  for any  $\alpha\in\mu$ . This condition makes the summation well defined.
- For any  $v \in S$  and  $g \in GL_2(F_v)$ , the support of  $\phi'_v(g,\cdot,\cdot)$  in  $(V_1 V_0)(F_v) \times F_v^{\times}$  is bounded. This condition makes the sum convergent.

The pseudo-theta series  $A^{(S)}$  sitting on the triple  $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V$  is called nondegenerate if  $V_1 = V$  and is called nontruncated if  $V_0$  is empty. It is called nonsingular if for each  $v \in S$ , the local component  $\phi'_v(1, x, u)$  can be extended to a Schwartz function on  $V_1(F_v) \times F_v^{\times}$ .

Assume that  $A_{\phi'}^{(S)}$  is nonsingular. Then there are two usual theta series associated to  $A^{(S)}$ . View  $\phi'_v(1,\cdot,\cdot)$  as a Schwartz function on  $V_1(F_v) \times F_v^{\times}$  for

each  $v \in S$ , and view  $\phi_w$  as a Schwartz function on  $V_1(F_w) \times F_w^{\times}$  for each  $w \notin S$ . Then the theta series

$$\theta_{A,1}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_1} r_{V_1}(g) \phi_S'(1,x,u) r_{V_1}(g) \phi^S(x,u)$$

is called the outer theta series associated to  $A_{\phi'}^{(S)}$ . Note that the Weil representation  $r_{V_1}$  is based on the quadratic space  $V_1$ . Replacing the space  $V_1$  by  $V_0$ , we get the theta series

$$\theta_{A,0}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_0} r_{V_0}(g) \phi_S'(1,x,u) r_{V_0}(g) \phi^S(x,u).$$

We call it the inner theta series associated to  $A_{\phi'}^{(S)}$ . We set  $\theta_{A,0}=0$  if  $V_0$  is empty.

We introduce these theta series because the difference between  $\theta_{A,1}$  and  $\theta_{A,0}$  somehow approximates  $A^{(S)}$ . It will be discussed as follows.

Approximation by induced theta series. We start with two invariants of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$  defined in terms of the Iwasawa decomposition. For  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ , we define  $\delta(g) = \prod_v \delta_v(g_v)$  and  $\rho_{\infty}(g) = \prod_{v \mid \infty} \rho_v(g_v)$ . Here the local invariants are defined as follows.

Denote by P the algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of upper triangular matrices. For any place v, the character  $\delta_v : P(F_v) \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  defined by

$$\delta_v: \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ & d \end{array}\right) \longmapsto \left|\frac{a}{d}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

extends to a function  $\delta_v : \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v) \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  by the Iwasawa decomposition.

If v is a real place, we define a function  $\rho_v : \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v) \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\rho_v(g) = e^{i\theta}$  if

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

is in the form of the Iwasawa decomposition, where we require a > 0 so that the decomposition is unique.

Resume the notation from the last subsection. Now we consider the relation between the nonsingular pseudo-theta series  $A_{\phi'}^{(S)}$  and its associated theta series  $\theta_{A,1}$  and  $\theta_{A,0}$ .

We first consider the nontruncated case. Then  $V_0$  is empty, and

$$A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_1} \phi_S'(g, x, u) r_V(g) \phi^S(x, u).$$

Obviously we have  $A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(1) = \theta_{A,1}(1)$ , but of course we can get more.

A simple computation using the Iwasawa decomposition asserts that, if  $\phi_w$  is the standard Schwartz function on  $V(F_w) \times F_w^{\times}$ , then for any  $g \in GL_2(F_v)$ 

and  $(x, u) \in V_1(F_w) \times F_w^{\times}$ ,

$$r_{V}(g)\phi_{w}(x,u) = \begin{cases} \delta_{w}(g)^{\frac{d-d_{1}}{2}}r_{V_{1}}(g)\phi_{w}(x,u) & \text{if } w \nmid \infty, \\ \rho_{w}(g)^{\frac{d-d_{1}}{2}}\delta_{w}(g)^{\frac{d-d_{1}}{2}}r_{V_{1}}(g)\phi_{w}(x,u) & \text{if } w \mid \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here we write  $d = \dim V$  and  $d_1 = \dim V_1$ .

This result implies that

$$A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \rho_{\infty}(g)^{\frac{d-d_1}{2}} \delta(g)^{\frac{d-d_1}{2}} \theta_{A,1}(g) \quad \forall \ g \in 1_{S'} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S'}).$$

Here S' is a finite set consisting non-archimedean places v such that  $v \in S$  or  $\phi_v$  is not standard.

Now we consider a general nonsingular pseudo-theta series

$$A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_1 - V_0} \phi_S'(g, x, u) r_V(g) \phi^S(x, u).$$

We have to compare it with the difference between the same theta series

$$\theta_{A,1}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_1} r_{V_1}(g) \phi_S'(1, x, u) r_{V_1}(g) \phi^S(x, u)$$

and the nontruncated pseudo-theta series

$$B_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_0} r_{V_1}(g) \phi_S'(1, x, u) r_{V_1}(g) \phi^S(x, u).$$

Note that  $B^{(S)}$  is just a part of  $\theta_{A,1}$ , where summation is taken over the whole  $V_0$  but the representation is taken over  $V_1$ . By what we discussed above, we should compare  $B^{(S)}$  with the associated theta series

$$\theta_{B,0}(g) = \sum_{u \in u^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in V_0} r_{V_0}(g) \phi_S'(1, x, u) r_{V_0}(g) \phi^S(x, u).$$

But this is exactly the same as  $\theta_{A,0}$ . By the same argument, there exists a finite set S' of non-archimedean places such that

$$A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \rho_{\infty}(g)^{\frac{d-d_1}{2}} \delta(g)^{\frac{d-d_1}{2}} (\theta_{A,1}(g) - B_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g)) \quad \forall \ g \in 1_{S'} GL_2(\mathbb{A}^{S'}),$$

$$B_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \rho_{\infty}(g)^{\frac{d_1-d_0}{2}} \delta(g)^{\frac{d_1-d_0}{2}} \theta_{A,0}(g) \quad \forall \ g \in 1_{S'} GL_2(\mathbb{A}^{S'}).$$

Our conclusion is that for any  $g \in 1_{S'}GL_2(\mathbb{A}^{S'})$ ,

$$(6.2.1) A_{\phi'}^{(S)}(g) = \rho_{\infty}(g)^{\frac{d-d_1}{2}} \delta(g)^{\frac{d-d_1}{2}} \theta_{A,1}(g) - \rho_{\infty}(g)^{\frac{d-d_0}{2}} \delta(g)^{\frac{d-d_0}{2}} \theta_{A,0}(g).$$

By the smoothness condition of pseudo-theta series, there exists an open compact subgroup  $K_{S'}$  of  $GL_2(F_{S'})$  such that the above identity is actually true for any  $g \in K_{S'}GL_2(\mathbb{A}^{S'})$ .

6.3. Key lemma. Now we can state our main result for this subject.

LEMMA 6.1. Let  $\{A_{\ell}^{(S_{\ell})}\}_{\ell}$  be a finite set of nonsingular pseudo-theta series sitting on vector spaces  $V_{\ell,0} \subset V_{\ell,1} \subset V_{\ell}$ . Assume that the sum  $\sum_{\ell} A_{\ell}^{(S_{\ell})}(g)$  is automorphic for  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ . Then

(1) 
$$\sum_{\ell} A_{\ell}^{(S_{\ell})} = \sum_{\ell \in L_{0,1}} \theta_{A_{\ell},1};$$

(2) 
$$\sum_{\ell \in L_{k,1}}^{\ell} \theta_{A_{\ell},1} - \sum_{\ell \in L_{k,0}}^{\ell \in L_{0,1}} \theta_{A_{\ell},0} = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Here  $L_{k,1}$  is the set of  $\ell$  such that  $\dim V_{\ell} - \dim V_{\ell,1} = k$ , and  $L_{k,0}$  is the set of  $\ell$  such that  $\dim V_{\ell} - \dim V_{\ell,0} = k$ . In particular,  $L_{0,1}$  is the set of  $\ell$  such that  $V_{\ell,1} = V_{\ell}$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $f = \sum_{\ell} A_{\ell}^{(S_{\ell})}$ . In the equation  $f - \sum_{\ell} A_{\ell}^{(S_{\ell})} = 0$ , replace each  $A_{\ell}^{(S_{\ell})}$  by its corresponding combinations of theta series on the right-hand side of equation (6.2.1). After recollecting these theta series according to the powers of  $\rho_{\infty}(g)\delta(g)$ , we end up with an equation of the following form:

(6.3.1) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{\infty}(g)^{k} \delta(g)^{k} f_{k}(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in K_{S} GL_{2}(\mathbb{A}^{S}).$$

Here S is some finite set of non-archimedean places,  $K_S$  is an open compact subgroup of  $GL_2(F_S)$ , and  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n$  are some automorphic forms on  $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$  coming from combinations of f and theta series. In particular,  $f_0 = f - \sum_{\ell \in L_{0,1}} \theta_{A_{\ell},1}$ . We will show that  $f_0 = f_1 = \cdots = f_n = 0$  identically, which is exactly the result of (1) and (2).

It suffices to show  $f_k(g_0) = 0$  for all  $g_0 \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_f^S)$ , since  $GL_2(F)GL_2(\mathbb{A}_f^S)$  is dense in  $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ . Fix  $g_0 \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_f^S)$ . For any  $g \in GL_2(F) \cap K_SGL_2(\mathbb{A}^S)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{\infty}(gg_0)^k \delta(gg_0)^k f_k(gg_0) = 0,$$

and thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{\infty}(g)^k \delta(gg_0)^k f_k(g_0) = 0$$

by the modularity.

These are viewed as linear equations of  $f_0(g_0), f_1(g_0), \ldots, f_n(g_0)$ . To show that the solutions are zero, we only need to find many g to get plenty of independent equations. We first find some special g to simplify the equation.

The intersection  $K_S\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^S) \cap g_0\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{O}_F)g_0^{-1}$  is still an open compact subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ . For any  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \cap (K_S\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^S) \cap g_0\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{O}_F)g_0^{-1})$ , we have

$$gg_0 = g_0 \cdot g_0^{-1} gg_0 \in g_0 GL_2(\widehat{O}_F).$$

Then  $\delta_f(gg_0) = \delta_f(g_0)$ , and our linear equation simplifies as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{\infty}(g)^k \delta_{\infty}(g)^k \delta_f(g_0)^k f_k(g_0) = 0.$$

To be more explicit, consider  $g_N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ N & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we know that  $g_N \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \cap (K_S\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^S) \cap g_0\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{O}_F)g_0^{-1})$  when N is divisible by enough integers. Explicit computation gives

$$\rho_{\infty}(g_N)\delta_{\infty}(g_N) = (1+iN)^{-n},$$

where  $n = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (1+iN)^{-nk} \delta_f(g_0)^k f_k(g_0) = 0.$$

Any n+1 different values of N imply that all  $f_k(g_0)=0$  by Van der Mond's determinant.

## 7. Derivative series

The goal of this section is to study the holomorphic projection of the derivative of some mixed Eisenstein–theta series. We will first review the construction of the series  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$  treated in [YZZ13, Ch. 6], the analytic ingredient for proving Theorem 1.7. Then we compute the series under some assumptions of Schwartz functions. The final formula contains a term  $L'(0,\eta)/L(0,\eta)$ , which is a main ingredient of our main theorem in the paper. In [YZZ13], this constant terms was killed under some stronger assumptions of Schwartz functions.

7.1. Derivative series. Let F be a totally real field, and let E be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F. Denote by  $\mathbb{A}$  the ring of adeles of F. Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$  with an embedding  $E_{\mathbb{A}} \to \mathbb{B}$  of  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras.

Fix a Schwartz function  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$  invariant under  $U \times U$  for some open compact subgroup U of  $\mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}$ . Start with the mixed theta-Eisenstein series

$$I(s,g,\phi)_U = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{\gamma \in P^1(F) \backslash \operatorname{SL}_2(F)} \delta(\gamma g)^s \sum_{x_1 \in E} r(\gamma g) \phi(x_1,u).$$

It was first introduced in [YZZ13, §5.1.1].

The derivative series  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$  is the holomorphic projection of the derivative  $I'(0,g,\phi)$  of  $I(s,g,\phi)$ . It has a decomposition into local components as follows.

Eisenstein series of weight one. To illustrate the idea, we first assume that  $\phi = \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$  as in [YZZ13, §6.1]. Then

$$I(s, g, \phi)_U = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \theta(g, u, \phi_1) \ E(s, g, u, \phi_2),$$

where for any  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ , the theta series and the Eisenstein series are given by

$$\theta(g, u, \phi_1) = \sum_{x_1 \in E} r(g)\phi_1(x_1, u),$$
  
$$E(s, g, u, \phi_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in P^1(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \delta(\gamma g)^s r(\gamma g)\phi_2(0, u).$$

The Eisenstein series has the standard Fourier expansion

$$E(s, g, u, \phi_2) = \delta(g)^s r(g) \phi_2(0, u) + \sum_{g \in F} W_a(s, g, u, \phi_2).$$

Here the Whittaker function for  $a \in F$ ,  $u \in F^{\times}$  is given by

$$W_a(s,g,u,\phi_2) = \int_{\mathbb{A}} \delta(wn(b)g)^s \ r(wn(b)g)\phi_2(0,u)\psi(-ab)db.$$

We also have the constant term

$$E_0(s, q, u, \phi_2) = \delta(q)^s r(q) \phi_2(0, u) + W_0(s, q, u).$$

For each place v of F, we also introduce the local Whittaker function for  $a \in F_v$ ,  $u \in F_v^{\times}$  by

$$W_{a,v}(s,g,u,\phi_{2,v}) = \int_{F_v} \delta(wn(b)g)^s \ r(wn(b)g)\phi_{2,v}(0,u)\psi_v(-ab)db.$$

For  $a \in F_v^{\times}$ , denote

$$W_{a,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u) = \gamma_{u,v}^{-1} W_{a,v}(s,g,u),$$

where  $\gamma_{u,v}$  is the Weil index of  $(E_v j_v, uq)$ . Normalize the intertwining part by

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u,\phi_{2,v}) = \gamma_{u,v}^{-1} \frac{L(s+1,\eta_v)}{L(s,\eta_v)} |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |d_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{0,v}(s,g,u,\phi_{2,v}).$$

In the following we will suppress the dependence of the series on  $\phi, \phi_1, \phi_2$  and U.

Decomposition of nonconstant part. It is easy to have a decomposition

$$E'(0,g,u,\phi_2) = E'_0(0,g,u,\phi_2) - \sum_{v} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} W_{a,v}'(0,g,u,\phi_2) W_a^v(0,g,u,\phi_2),$$

according to where the derivative is taken in the Fourier expansion. This gives a decomposition of I'(0,g). Eventually, [YZZ13, §6.1.2] converts the

decomposition into

$$I'(0,g) = -\sum_{v \text{ nonsplit}} I'(0,g)(v) + \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \theta(g,u) E_0'(0,g,u),$$

where for any place v nonsplit in E,

$$I'(0, g, \phi)(v) = 2 \int_{C_{IJ}} \mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t, t)) dt.$$

Here

$$C_U = E^{\times} \backslash E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) / E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap U$$

is a finite group and the integration is just the usual average over this finite group. The series

$$\mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in B(v) - E} k_{r(t_1,t_2)\phi_v}(g,y,u) r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi^v(y,u)$$

is a pseudo-theta series. In the case  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$  under the orthogonal decomposition, it is given by

$$k_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) = \frac{L(1, \eta_v)}{\operatorname{vol}(E_v^1)} r(g) \phi_{1,v}(y_1, u) W_{uq(y_2),v}^{\circ}(0, g, u, \phi_{2,v}), \quad y_2 \neq 0.$$

Here  $k_{\phi_v}(g, y, u)$  is linear in  $\phi_v$ , and the result extends by linearity to general  $\phi$  (which are not of the form  $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$ ).

In [YZZ13], Assumption 5.3 was put to kill the minor term  $E'_0(0, g, u)$ . In this paper, however, we will not impose this assumption, since  $E'_0(0, g, u)$  gives terms matching the Faltings height from the arithmetic side. In the following, we give a little computation about it.

Decomposition of the constant term. Now we treat the derivative of the constant term

$$E_0(s, g, u, \phi_2) = \delta(g)^s r(g)\phi_2(0, u) + W_0(s, g, u).$$

It was actually computed in the proof of [YZZ13, Prop. 6.7] (before applying the degeneracy assumption).

In fact, by definition,

$$W_0(s,g,u) = -\frac{L(s,\eta)}{L(s+1,\eta)} W_0^{\circ}(s,g,u) \prod_v |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= -\frac{L(s,\eta)/L(0,\eta)}{L(s+1,\eta)/L(1,\eta)} \prod_v W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u).$$

We take the normalization  $W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u)$  because

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}(0,g,u) = r(g)\phi_{2,v}(0,u)$$

for all v, and

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u) = \delta_v(g)^{-s} r(g) \phi_{2,v}(0,u)$$

for almost all v. See [YZZ13, Prop. 6.1].

So the expression gives the analytic continuation of  $W_0(s, g, u)$ . Taking derivative from it, we obtain

$$W_0'(0,g,u) = -\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left( \log \frac{L(s,\eta)}{L(s+1,\eta)} \right) r(g)\phi_2(0,u)$$
$$-\sum_{v} W_{0,v}^{\circ}{}'(0,g,u)r(g)\phi_2^v(0,u).$$

In summary, we have

$$I'(0, g, \phi) = -\sum_{v \text{ nonsplit}} I'(0, g, \phi)(v) - c_0 \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E} r(g)\phi(y, u)$$
$$-\sum_{v} \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E} c_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) r(g)\phi^v(y, u)$$
$$+ 2\log \delta(g) \sum_{u \in \mu_K^2 \setminus F^{\times}, y \in E} r(g)\phi(y, u),$$

where we have the constant

$$c_0 = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left( \log \frac{L(s,\eta)}{L(s+1,\eta)} \right),\,$$

and

$$c_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) = r_E(g)\phi_{1,v}(y, u)W_{0,v}^{\circ}'(0, g, u) + \log \delta(g_v)r(g)\phi_v(y, u).$$

The term

$$I'(0, g, \phi)(v) = 2 \oint_{C_{IJ}} \mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t, t)) dt$$

is as before. Both sums over v have only finitely many nonzero terms.

By the functional equation

$$L(1-s,\eta) = |d_E/d_F|^{s-\frac{1}{2}}L(s,\eta),$$

we obtain

$$c_0 = 2\frac{L'(0,\eta)}{L(0,\eta)} + \log|d_E/d_F|.$$

Note that here  $L(s, \eta)$  is the completed L-function with gamma factors.

The decomposition holds for  $\phi = \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$ , but it extends to any  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$  by linearity. In other words,  $k_{\phi_v}(g, y, u)$  and  $c_{\phi_v}(g, y, u)$  are defined by linearity. We will see that we can actually have coherent integral expressions for them.

Holomorphic projection. As in [YZZ13, §§6.4, 6.5], we are going to consider the holomorphic projection of  $I'(0, g, \phi)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}(GL_2(\mathbb{A}), \omega)$  the space of automorphic forms of central character  $\omega$  and by  $\mathcal{A}_0^{(2)}(GL_2(\mathbb{A}), \omega)$  the subspace of holomorphic cusp forms of parallel weight two. The holomorphic projection operator

$$\mathcal{P}r: \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}), \omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0^{(2)}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}), \omega)$$

is just the orthogonal projection with respect to the Petersson inner product. Consider the action of the center  $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$  on  $I'(0, g, \phi)$  by

$$z: I'(0, g, \phi) \longmapsto I'(0, zg, \phi).$$

The action factorizes though the finite group  $F^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^{\times} / U \cap \mathbb{A}_f^{\times}$ . It follows that we can decompose  $I'(0, g, \phi)$  into a finite sum according to characters of this finite group. In other words,

$$I'(0, g, \phi) = \sum_{\omega} I'(0, g, \phi)_{\omega}, \qquad I'(0, g, \phi)_{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}(GL_2(\mathbb{A}), \omega),$$

where the direct sum is over the finite group of characters  $\omega: F^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^{\times} / U \cap \mathbb{A}_f^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . Hence, the holomorphic projection  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$  is still a well-defined holomorphic cusp form of parallel weight two in  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ .

We can apply the formula in [YZZ13, Prop. 6.12] to compute  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$ . Note that the formula takes the same form in all central characters, and thus can be applied directly to  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$ , if it satisfies the growth condition of the proposition. For the growth condition, we make the following assumption.

ASSUMPTION 7.1. Fix a set  $S_2$  consisting of two non-archimedean places of F which are split in E and unramified over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Assume that for each  $v \in S_2$ , the open compact subgroup  $U_v$  is maximal, and

$$r(g)\phi_v(0,u) = 0 \quad \forall \ g \in \operatorname{GL}_2(F_v), \ u \in F_v^{\times}.$$

This assumption is exactly [YZZ13, Assumption 5.4]. Under the assumption,  $\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)$  satisfies the growth condition of the formula for holomorphic projection. The proof is similar to that in [YZZ13, Prop. 6.14]. Alternatively, one can expression  $I'(0,g,\phi)$  as a finite sum of  $I'(0,g,\chi,\phi)$  for different  $\chi$ .

Finally, we have the following conclusion:

THEOREM 7.2. Assume that  $\phi$  is standard at infinity and that Assumption 7.1 holds. Then

$$\mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)_{U} = -\sum_{v|\infty} \overline{I'}(0,g,\phi)(v) - \sum_{v\nmid\infty \text{ nonsplit}} I'(0,g,\phi)(v)$$

$$-c_{1} \sum_{u\in\mu_{U}^{2}\backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y\in E^{\times}} r(g)\phi(y,u)$$

$$-\sum_{v\nmid\infty} \sum_{u\in\mu_{U}^{2}\backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y\in E^{\times}} c_{\phi_{v}}(g,y,u) r(g)\phi^{v}(y,u)$$

$$+\sum_{u\in\mu_{T}^{2}\backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y\in E^{\times}} (2\log\delta_{f}(g_{f}) + \log|uq(y)|_{f}) r(g)\phi(y,u).$$

The right-hand side is explained in the following:

(1) For any archimedean v,

$$\overline{I'}(0, g, \phi)(v) = 2 \oint_{C_U} \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t, t)) dt,$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t_1, t_2)) = w_U \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} \sum_{y \in \mu_U \setminus (B(v)_+^{\times} - E^{\times})} r(g, (t_1, t_2)) \phi(y)_a \ k_{v,s}(y),$$

$$k_{v,s}(y) = \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2(4\pi)^s} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t(1-\lambda(y)t)^{s+1}} dt,$$

where  $\lambda(y) = q(y_2)/q(y)$  is viewed as an element of  $F_v$ .

(2) For any non-archimedean v which is nonsplit in E,

$$I'(0,g,\phi)(v) = 2 \oint_{C_U} \mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) dt,$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in B(v) - E} k_{r(t_1,t_2)\phi_v}(g,y,u) r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi^v(y,u),$$

$$k_{\phi_v}(g,y,u) = \frac{L(1,\eta_v)}{\operatorname{vol}(E_v^1)} r(g) \phi_{1,v}(y_1,u) W_{uq(y_2),v}^{\circ}(0,g,u,\phi_{2,v}), \quad y_2 \neq 0.$$

Here the last identity holds under the relation  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$ , and the definition extends by linearity to general  $\phi_v$ .

(3) We have the constant

$$c_1 = 2\frac{L_f'(0,\eta)}{L_f(0,\eta)} + \log|d_E/d_F|.$$

(4) Under the relation  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$ ,

$$c_{\phi_n}(g, y, u) = r_E(g)\phi_{1,v}(y, u)W_{0,v}^{\circ} '(0, g, u) + \log \delta(g_v)r(g)\phi_v(y, u).$$

The definition extends by linearity to general  $\phi_n$ .

*Proof.* Apply the formula of [YZZ13, Prop. 6.12] to each term of

$$\begin{split} I'(0,g,\phi) &= -\sum_{v \text{ nonsplit}} I'(0,g,\phi)(v) - c_0 \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in E} r(g)\phi(y,u) \\ &- \sum_v \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in E} c_{\phi_v}(g,y,u) \, r(g)\phi^v(y,u) \\ &+ 2\log \delta(g) \sum_{u \in \mu_K^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in E} r(g)\phi(y,u). \end{split}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}r'$  the image of each term. Note that the holomorphic projection of  $I'(0, g, \phi)(v)$  is already computed in [YZZ13, Prop. 6.15]. Furthermore, if v is real, we have  $c_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) = 0$  by Lemma 7.6.

Note that  $\mathcal{P}r'$  does not change  $I'(0, g, \phi)(v)$  for non-archimedean v since it is already holomorphic of parallel weight two at infinite. Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{P}r'\left(\sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times}\sum_{y\in E}r(g)\phi(y,u)\right)$$

$$=\sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times}\sum_{y\in E^\times}r(g)\phi(y,u),$$

$$\mathcal{P}r'\left(\sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times}\sum_{y\in E}c_{\phi_v}(g,y,u)r(g^v)\phi^v(y,u)\right)$$

$$=\sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times}\sum_{y\in E^\times}c_{\phi_v}(g,y,u)r(g^v)\phi^v(y,u), \quad v\nmid\infty.$$

The only changes are to remove the contributions of y = 0, because the results do not have constant terms.

It remains to take care of

$$\log \delta(g) \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g) \phi(y, u) = \frac{1}{w_U} \log \delta(g) \sum_{(y, u) \in \mu_U \setminus (E^{\times} \times F^{\times})} r(g) \phi(y, u).$$

Here  $\mu_U = F^{\times} \cap U$ , and  $w_U = |\{1, -1\} \cap U|$  is equal to 1 or 2. The identity holds as in the case of usual theta series. Its first Fourier coefficient is just

$$\frac{1}{w_U} \sum_{(y,u)\in\mu_U\setminus(E^\times\times F^\times)_1} \log \delta(g) r(g) \phi(y,u).$$

Write

$$\log \delta(g)r(g)\phi(y,u) = \log \delta(g_f)r(g)\phi(y,u) + \log \delta(g_\infty)W^{(2)}(g_\infty) \cdot r(g_f)\phi_f(y,u).$$

Then  $\mathcal{P}r'$  does not change the first sum of the right-hand side since it is holomorphic of weight two at infinity, but it changes  $\log \delta(g_{\infty})W^{(2)}(g_{\infty})$  in the

second sum to some multiple  $c_2$   $W^{(2)}(g_{\infty}) = c_2$   $r(g)\phi_{\infty}(y,u)$ , where  $c_2$  is some constant to be determined. As a consequence,

$$\mathcal{P}r'\left(\log\delta(g)\sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times}\sum_{y\in E}r(g)\phi(y,u)\right)$$

$$=\frac{1}{w_U}\sum_{a\in F^\times}\sum_{(y,u)\in\mu_U\backslash(E^\times\times F^\times)_1}\log\delta_f(d^*(a)g_f)r(d^*(a)g)\phi(y,u)$$

$$+c_2\frac{1}{w_U}\sum_{a\in F^\times}\sum_{(y,u)\in\mu_U\backslash(E^\times\times F^\times)_1}r(d^*(a)g)\phi(y,u)$$

$$=\sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times}\sum_{y\in E^\times}(\log\delta(g_f)+\log|uq(y)|_f^{\frac{1}{2}})r(g)\phi(y,u)$$

$$+c_2\sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times}\sum_{y\in E^\times}r(g)\phi(y,u).$$

As for the constant, we have

$$\frac{c_2}{[F:\mathbb{Q}]} = 4\pi \lim_{s \to 0} \int_{F_{v,+}} y^s e^{-2\pi y} \left(\log y^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) y e^{-2\pi y} \frac{dy}{y}$$
$$= 2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-4\pi y} \log y dy = -\frac{1}{2} (\gamma + \log 4\pi).$$

Here  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant. Then we have the combined constant

$$c_1 = c_0 - 2mc_2 = 2\frac{L'(0,\eta)}{L(0,\eta)} + \log|d_E/d_F| + (\gamma + \log 4\pi)m.$$

Here we have  $m = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ . The gamma factor

$$L_{\infty}(s,\eta) = \left(\pi^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})\right)^{m}$$

gives

$$\frac{L'_{\infty}(0,\eta)}{L_{\infty}(0,\eta)} = -\frac{1}{2}m(\gamma + \log 4\pi).$$

Thus

$$c_1 = 2\frac{L_f'(0,\eta)}{L_f(0,\eta)} + \log|d_E/d_F|.$$

7.2. Choice of the Schwartz function. To make further explicit local computations, we need to specify the Schwartz function.

Start with the setup of Theorem 1.7. Let F be a totally real field, and let E be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F. Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$  with an embedding  $E_{\mathbb{A}} \to \mathbb{B}$  of  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras. Let  $U = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} U_v$  be a maximal open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ 

containing (the image of)  $\widehat{O}_E^{\times} = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} O_{E_v}^{\times}$ . As in Theorem 1.7, assume that there is no non-archimedean place of F ramified in E and  $\mathbb{B}$  simultaneously.

Note that we have already assumed that  $U_v$  is maximal at any  $v \nmid \infty$ . Denote by  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$  the  $O_{F_v}$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}_v$  generated by  $U_v$ . Then  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$  is a maximal order of  $\mathbb{B}_v$ , and  $U_v = O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times}$  is the group of invertible elements. Furthermore, the inclusion  $O_{E_v}^{\times} \subset U_v$  induces  $O_{E_v} \subset O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$ .

As for the Schwartz function  $\phi = \otimes_v \phi_v$ , we make the following choices:

- (1) If v is archimedean, set  $\phi_v$  be the standard Gaussian.
- (2) If v is non-archimedean, nonsplit in E and split in  $\mathbb{B}$ , set  $\phi_v$  to be the standard characteristic function  $1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}\times O_{F_{-}}^{\times}}$ .
- (3) If v is nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$ , set  $\phi_v$  to be  $1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times} \times O_{F_v}^{\times}}$  (instead of  $1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v} \times O_{F_v}^{\times}}$ ).
- (4) There is a set  $S_2$  consisting of two (non-archimedean) places of F split in E and unramified over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\phi_v = 1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times} \times O_{F_v}^{\times}} - \frac{1}{1 + N_v + N_v^2} 1_{\varpi_v^{-1}(O_{\mathbb{B}_v})_2 \times O_{F_v}^{\times}} \quad \forall v \in S_2.$$

Here  $\varpi_v$  denotes a uniformizer of  $O_{F_v}$ , and

$$(O_{\mathbb{B}_v})_2 = \{x \in O_{\mathbb{B}_v} : v(q(x)) = 2\}.$$

(5) If v is split in E and  $v \notin S_2$ , set  $\phi_v$  to be the standard characteristic function  $1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}} \otimes 1_{O_{F_v}^{\times}}$ .

By definition,  $\phi$  is invariant under both the left action and the right action of U.

Note that (4) seems least natural in the choices. However, it is made to meet Assumption 7.1. In fact, as in the proof of [YZZ13, Prop. 5.15], any function of the form

$$L\phi_0 - \deg(L)\phi_0, \quad \phi_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B}_v \times F_v^{\times}), \ L \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_v^1 O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times})$$

satisfies the assumption. The choice of (4) comes from  $\phi_0 = 1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times}} \otimes 1_{O_{F_v}^{\times}}$  and  $L = 1_{(O_{\mathbb{B}_v})_2}$ . It is classical that  $\deg((O_{\mathbb{B}_v})_2) = |(O_{\mathbb{B}_v})_2/O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times}| = 1 + N_v + N_v^2$ .

For any  $v \nmid \infty$ , fix an element  $j_v \in O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$  orthogonal to  $E_v$  such that  $v(q(j_v))$  is nonnegative and minimal, i.e.,  $v(q(j_v)) \in \{0,1\}$ , and such that  $v(q(j_v)) = 1$  if and only if  $\mathbb{B}_v$  is nonsplit (and thus  $E_v/F_v$  is inert by assumption). We check the existence of  $j_v$  in the following.

If v is nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$  (and inert in E), then  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$  is the unique maximal order of  $\mathbb{B}_v$ . It is easy to see the existence of  $\mathfrak{j}_v$ . We have  $v(q(\mathfrak{j}_v)) = 1$  and an orthogonal decomposition  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v} = O_{E_v} + O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v$ .

If v is split in  $\mathbb{B}$ , start with an isomorphism  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v} \to M_2(O_{F_v})$ . By this isomorphism,  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$  acts on  $M = O_{F_v}^2$ , and thus the subalgebra  $O_{E_v}$  also acts on M. Fix a nonzero element  $m_0 \in M$ . We have an isomorphism  $O_{E_v} \to M$  of  $O_{F_v}$ -modules by  $t \mapsto t \circ m_0$ . Thus it induces an  $O_{F_v}$ -linear action of  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$ 

on  $O_{E_v}$ , which is compatible with the multiplication action of  $O_{E_v}$  on itself. Set  $j_v \in O_{\mathbb{B}_v}$  to be the unique element which acts on  $O_{E_v}$  as the nontrivial element of  $\operatorname{Gal}(E_v/F_v)$ . Then  $j_v^2 = 1$  and  $j_v t j_v = \bar{t}$  for any  $t \in O_{E_v}$ . It follows that  $j_v$  is orthogonal to  $E_v$ , and  $q(j_v) = -1$  satisfies the requirement.

For any non-archimedean place v nonsplit in E, let B(v) be the nearby quaternion algebra. Fix an embedding  $E \to B(v)$  and isomorphisms  $B(v)_{v'} \simeq \mathbb{B}_{v'}$  for any  $v' \neq v$ , which are assumed to be compatible with the embedding  $E_{\mathbb{A}} \to \mathbb{B}$ . At v, we also take an element  $j_v \in B(v)_v$  orthogonal to  $E_v$ , such that  $v(q(j_v))$  is nonnegative and minimal as above. We remark that this set  $\{j_{v'}: v' \neq v\} \cup \{j_v\}$  is not required to be the localizations of a single element of B(v).

LEMMA 7.3. Let v be a non-archimedean place of F and  $D_v \subset O_{F_v}$  be the relative discriminant of  $E_v/F_v$ . Then in the above setting,

$$D_v O_{\mathbb{B}_v} \subset O_{E_v} + O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v \subset O_{\mathbb{B}_v}.$$

Furthermore,  $O_{\mathbb{B}_v} = O_{E_v} + O_{E_v} j_v$  if and only if v is unramified in E.

*Proof.* This is classical. Assume that v is split in  $\mathbb{B}$ , since the nonsplit case is easy. For any (full) lattice M of  $\mathbb{B}_v$ , the discriminant  $d_M$  is the fraction ideal of  $F_v$  generated by  $\det(\operatorname{tr}(x_i\bar{x}_j))$ , where  $x_1,\ldots,x_4$  is an  $O_{F_v}$ -basis of M. In particular, if  $M'\subset M$  is a sub-lattice, then  $[d_M:d_{M'}]=[M:M']^2$ . Direct computation gives  $d_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}}=1$  and  $d_{O_{E_v}+O_{E_v}j_v}=D_v^2$ . The statement follows.

7.3. Explicit local derivatives. Let  $(U, \phi, j_v, j_v)$  be as in Section 7.2. The goal of this subsection is to compute  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  and  $c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$ . The computations are quite involved, though the result are not so complicated eventually. The readers may skip this subsection for the first time and come back when the results are used in the comparison with the height series.

Throughout this subsection, v is non-archimedean. For  $y \in B(v)_v$ , write  $y = y_1 + y_2$  with respect to the orthogonal decomposition  $B(v)_v = E_v + E_v j_v$ . By Lemma 7.3, if  $v \notin S_2$  and v is unramified in E, we have a decomposition  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$  with  $\phi_{2,v} = 1_{O_{E_v} j_v \times O_{F_v}^{\times}}$ . Here  $\phi_{1,v} = 1_{O_{E_v} \times O_{F_v}^{\times}}$  if v is split in  $\mathbb B$  and  $\phi_{1,v} = 1_{O_{E_v}^{\times} \times O_{F_v}^{\times}}$  if v is nonsplit in  $\mathbb B$ .

All Haar measures are normalized as in [YZZ13, §1.6], unless otherwise described.

Derivative of Whittaker function I.

Lemma 7.4.

(1) Let v be a non-archimedean place inert in E. Then the difference

$$k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) - \phi_v(y_1, u) \cdot 1_{O_{E_v} j_v}(y_2) \cdot \frac{1}{2} (v(q(y_2)/q(j_v)) + 1) \log N_v$$

extends to a Schwartz function on  $B(v)_v \times F_v^{\times}$  whose restriction to  $E_v \times F_v^{\times}$  is equal to

$$\phi_v(y,u) \cdot \frac{|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)| - 1}{(1 + N_v^{-1})(1 - N_v)} \log N_v.$$

(2) Let v be a non-archimedean place ramified in E. Then the difference

$$k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) - \phi_v(y_1, u) \cdot 1_{O_{E_v} j_v}(y_2) \cdot \frac{1}{2} (v(q(y_2)) + 1) \log N_v$$

extends to a Schwartz function on  $B(v)_v \times F_v^{\times}$  whose restriction to  $E_v \times F_v^{\times}$  is equal to

$$\phi_v(y,u) \cdot \left(\frac{|d_v|-1}{2(1-N_v)} + \frac{1}{2}(v(D_v)-1)\right) \log N_v + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_v(y,u),$$

where

$$\alpha_v(y,u) = \frac{\log N_v}{|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot 1_{D_v^{-1}O_{E_v} - O_{E_v}}(y) \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v)-1} N_v^n \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y+x_2,u) dx_2.$$

The result allows more ramifications of v in E or  $\mathbb{B}$  than its counterpart in [YZZ13, Cor. 6.8(1)]. The computation follows a similar strategy, but it is more complicated due to these ramifications.

Recall that if  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$ , then

$$k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \frac{L(1, \eta_v)}{\operatorname{vol}(E_v^1)} \phi_{1,v}(y_1, u) W_{uq(y_2),v}^{\circ}{}'(0, 1, u, \phi_{2,v}).$$

Here  $\operatorname{vol}(E_v^1)$  is given in [YZZ13, §1.6.2]. By [YZZ13, Prop. 6.10],

$$W_{a,v}^{\circ}(s,1,u,\phi_{2,v}) = |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-N_v^{-s})\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n(a)} \phi_{2,v}(x_2,u) dx_2,$$

where

$$D_n(a) = \{x_2 \in E_v \mathfrak{j}_v : uq(x_2) - a \in p_v^n d_v^{-1}\},$$

and  $dx_2$  is the self-dual measure for  $(E_v j_v, uq)$ , which gives  $\operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} j_v) = |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |d_v uq(j_v)|$ . In the following, we will always denote  $a = uq(y_2)$  for simplicity.

We can also obtain a coherent expression of  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  which does not require  $\phi_v$  to be of the form  $\phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$ . In fact, in the case  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$ 

(and v is nonsplit in E), the above gives

$$k_{\phi_{v}}(1, y, u) = \frac{L(1, \eta_{v})}{\operatorname{vol}(E_{v}^{1})} \phi_{1, v}(y_{1}, u)$$

$$\cdot \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left( |d_{v}|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_{v}^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_{v}^{-ns+n} \int_{D_{n}(a)} \phi_{2, v}(x_{2}, u) dx_{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{L(1, \eta_{v})}{\operatorname{vol}(E_{v}^{1})}$$

$$\cdot \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left( |d_{v}|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_{v}^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_{v}^{-ns+n} \int_{D_{n}(a)} \phi_{v}(y_{1} + x_{2}, u) dx_{2} \right).$$

The last expression is actually valid for any  $\phi_v$ . It is nonzero only if  $u \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ , which we will always assume in the following.

The computation relies on a detailed description of  $D_n(a)$ . For example, we will see that  $D_n(a)$  is empty if n is sufficiently large, so the summation for  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  has only finitely many nonzero terms. Then the derivative commutes with the sum.

In the following lemma, v is a non-archimedean place nonsplit in E. Consider

$$D_n(a) = \{ x_2 \in E_v \mathbf{j}_v : uq(x_2) - a \in p_v^n d_v^{-1} \}, \quad u \in O_{F_v}^{\times}, \ a \in uq(E_v^{\times} \mathbf{j}_v)$$

and

$$D_n = \{x_2 \in E_v j_v : uq(x_2) \in p_v^n d_v^{-1}\}, \quad u \in O_{E_v}^{\times}.$$

Lemma 7.5.

(1) If v is inert in E, then

$$D_n(a) = \begin{cases} D_n & \text{if } n \le v(ad_v), \\ \emptyset & \text{if } n > v(ad_v). \end{cases}$$

(2) If v is ramified in E, then

$$D_n(a) = \begin{cases} D_n & \text{if } n \le v(ad_v), \\ \emptyset & \text{if } n > v(ad_v) + v(D_v) - 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $v(ad_v) < n \le v(ad_v) + v(D_v) - 1$ , then

$$vol(D_n(a)) = |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |d_v| \cdot |a|_v \cdot N_v^{v(ad_v) - n}.$$

Here the volume is taken with respect to the self-dual measure for  $(E_v \mathbf{j}_v, uq)$ , which gives  $\operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathbf{j}_v) = |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |d_v|$ .

*Proof.* The key property is that a is not represented by  $(E_v j_v, uq)$ , since it is represented by  $(E_v j_v, uq)$ .

We first consider (1), so v is inert in E. Then  $v(a) \neq v(uq(x_2))$  for any  $x_2 \in E_v j_v$  since a is not represented by  $(E_v j_v, uq)$ . It follows that

$$v(uq(x_2) - a) = \min\{v(a), v(uq(x_2))\}.$$

The result follows.

Now we consider (2), so v is ramified in E. If  $n \leq v(ad_v)$ , the result is trivial. Assume that  $n > v(ad_v)$  in the following. Let  $e_v$  be the smallest integer such that  $1 + p_v^{e_v} \subset q(E_v^x)$ . By the class field theory, we have  $e_v = v(D_v)$ .

The condition  $x_2 \in D_n(a)$  gives

$$a^{-1}uq(x_2) \in 1 + p_v^{n-v(ad_v)}$$
.

By  $a = uq(y_2)$  with  $y_2 \in E_v^{\times} j_v$ , the condition becomes

$$q(x_2)/q(y_2) \in 1 + p_v^{n-v(ad_v)}$$
.

Note that  $q(E_v^{\times}j_v)$  and  $q(E_v^{\times}j_v)$  are exactly the two cosets of  $F_v^{\times}$  under the subgroup  $q(E_v^{\times})$  of index 2. Then  $q(x_2)/q(y_2)$  always lies in the nonidentity coset. Hence,  $D_n(a)$  is empty if  $n - v(ad_v) \ge e_v$  by the definition of  $e_v$ .

It remains to compute  $\operatorname{vol}(D_n(a))$  for  $v(ad_v) < n \le v(ad_v) + e_v - 1$ . Write  $m = n - v(ad_v)$ , which satisfies  $1 \le m \le e_v - 1$ . The above condition on  $x_2$  is just  $a^{-1}uq(x_2) \in (1 + p_v^m)$ . We need to consider the intersection  $(1 + p_v^m) \cap a^{-1}uq(E_v^{\times}\mathfrak{j}_v)$ . By the definition of  $e_v$ , we see that  $(1 + p_v^m)$  is not completely contained in either  $q(E_v^{\times}\mathfrak{j}_v)$  or  $q(E_v^{\times}\mathfrak{j}_v)$ . Thus  $(1 + p_v^m)$  is partitioned into two cosets  $q(E_v^{\times}\mathfrak{j}_v) \cap (1 + p_v^m)$  and  $q(E_v^{\times}\mathfrak{j}_v) \cap (1 + p_v^m)$ . In particular,  $(1 + p_v^m) \cap a^{-1}uq(E_v^{\times}\mathfrak{j}_v)$  is one of the cosets. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{vol}((1+p_v^m) \cap a^{-1}uq(E_v^{\times}j_v), d^{\times}x) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{vol}(1+p_v^m, d^{\times}x)$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{vol}(O_{F_v}^{\times}, d^{\times}x)}{2(N_v - 1)N_v^{m-1}} = \frac{|d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2(N_v - 1)N_v^{m-1}}.$$

Here the volumes are under the multiplicative measure  $d^{\times}x = \zeta_{F_v}(1)|x|_v^{-1}dx$ , but we will convert it back to dx. Similar measures dx and  $d^{\times}x$  are defined on  $E_v$  as in [YZZ13, §§1.6.1–1.6.2]. Both measures are transferred to  $E_v j_v$  by the identification  $E_v j_v \to E_v$  sending  $j_v$  to 1. The induced measure dx on  $E_v j_v$  is compatible with the self-dual measure with respect to the quadratic form uq.

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{vol}(D_n(a), d^{\times} x) = \operatorname{vol}(E_v^1) \cdot \operatorname{vol}((1 + p_v^m) \cap a^{-1} u q(E_v^{\times} \mathfrak{j}_v), d^{\times} x) = \frac{|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |d_v|}{(N_v - 1) N_v^{m-1}}.$$

The additive volume is just

$$vol(D_n(a), dx) = \frac{|a|_v}{\zeta_{E_v}(1)} vol(D_n(a), d^{\times} x) = \frac{|a|_v \cdot |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |d_v|}{N_v^m}.$$

Derivative of Whittaker function II. The goal of this subsection is to prove Lemma 7.4.

Proof of Lemma 7.4. We first consider (1), so we assume that v is inert in E. We will take advantage of the decomposition  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$ , which simplifies the computation slightly. It amounts to computing the derivative of

$$W_{a,v}^{\circ}(s,1,u) = |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n(a)} \phi_{2,v}(x_2,u) dx_2.$$

Note that we always write  $a = uq(y_2)$ . By Lemma 7.5,

$$W_{a,v}^{\circ}'(0,1,u) = |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N_v \sum_{n=0}^{v(ad_v)} N_v^n \int_{D_n} \phi_{2,v}(x_2,u) dx_2.$$

It is nonzero only if  $v(a) \ge -v(d_v)$ .

We first consider the case  $-v(d_v) \le v(a) < 0$ . In this case, we always have  $O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v \subset D_n$  for all  $0 \le n \le v(ad_v)$ . It follows that

$$W_{a,v}^{\circ}'(0,1,u) = |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N_v \sum_{n=0}^{v(ad_v)} N_v^n \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v)$$
$$= |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |q(\mathfrak{j}_v)| \frac{|d_v| - N_v |a|^{-1}}{1 - N_v} \log N_v.$$

Note that this part does not affect the behavior as  $a \to 0$ .

Now we assume that  $v(a) \geq 0$  (still for part (1)). If  $n < v(d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v))$ , then  $O_{E_v}\mathfrak{j}_v \subset D_n$ ; if  $n \geq v(d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v))$ , then  $D_n \subset O_{E_v}\mathfrak{j}_v$ . It follows that

$$\begin{split} W_{a,v}^{\circ,\prime}(0,1,u) &= |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N_v \left( \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v))-1} N_v^n \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathbf{j}_v) + \sum_{n=v(d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v))}^{v(ad_v)} N_v^n \operatorname{vol}(D_n) \right) \\ &= |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N_v \left( \frac{|d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v)| - 1}{1 - N_v} + \sum_{n=v(d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v))}^{v(ad_v)} N_v^n \operatorname{vol}(D_n) \right). \end{split}$$

Note that

$$D_n = p_v^{\left[\frac{n-v(d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v))+1}{2}\right]} O_{E_v} \mathbf{j}_v,$$

so

$$N_v^n \text{ vol}(D_n) = N_v^{n-v(d_v q(j_v))-2[\frac{n-v(d_v q(j_v))+1}{2}]} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid (n-v(d_v q(j_v)), \\ N_v^{-1} & \text{if } 2 \nmid (n-v(d_v q(j_v)). \end{cases}$$

Since  $v(q(j_v))$  and v(a) always have different parities in this inert case, we have

$$k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \frac{\log N_v}{1 + N_v^{-1}} \left( \frac{|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)| - 1}{1 - N_v} + \frac{v(q(y_2)) - v(q(\mathfrak{j}_v)) + 1}{2} (1 + N_v^{-1}) \right).$$

This finishes the proof of (1).

Now we prove (2), so v is ramified in E. We need to compute

$$k_{\phi_v}(1,y,u) = \frac{1}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left( (1-N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n(a)} \phi_v(y_1+x_2,u) dx_2 \right).$$

We first use Lemma 7.5 to write

$$k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^{v(ad_v)+v(D_v)-1} N_v^n \int_{D_n(a)} \phi_v(y_1 + x_2, u) dx_2.$$

It is zero if v(a) is too small, so  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  is compactly supported.

In this ramified case, the first complication is that  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  can be nonzero for some  $y_1 \notin O_{E_v}$ . Write

$$k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) \cdot 1_{O_{E_v}}(y_1) + k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) \cdot 1_{E_v - O_{E_v}}(y_1).$$

We first treat the second term on the right-hand side, so we assume that  $y_1 \in E_v - O_{E_v}$ .

We claim that  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) \cdot 1_{E_v - O_{E_v}}(y_1)$  is naturally a Schwartz function on  $B(v)_v \times F_v^{\times}$ . In fact, by Lemma 7.3, in order to make  $\phi_v(y_1 + x_2, u)$  nonzero in the formula of  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$ , we have

$$y_1 \in D_v^{-1}O_{E_v} - O_{E_v}, \quad x_2 \in D_v^{-1}O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v - O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v.$$

Then both  $v(q(y_1))$  and  $v(q(x_2))$  are bounded from above and below. Consider the behavior when  $a = uq(y_2)$  approaches 0. By Lemma 7.5,  $x_2 \in D_n(a)$  only if

$$n \le v(q(x_2)) + v(d_v) + v(D_v) - 1 \le v(d_v D_v^3) - 1.$$

The second bound is independent of a. Hence, if v(a) is sufficiently large, then  $D_n(a) = D_n$  is independent of a. So  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) \cdot 1_{E_v - O_{E_v}}(y_1)$  is a Schwartz function on  $B(v)_v \times F_v^{\times}$ .

For the restriction to  $E_v \times F_v^{\times}$ , set  $y_2 \to 0$ . The above discussion already gives

$$(7.3.1) k_{\phi_v}(1, y_1, u) \cdot 1_{E_v - O_{E_v}}(y_1)$$

$$= \frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot 1_{E_v - O_{E_v}}(y_1) \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v D_v^3) - 1} N_v^n \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y_1 + x_2, u) dx_2.$$

We can further change the bounds of n in the summation from  $[0, v(d_v D_v^3) - 1]$  to  $[0, v(d_v)]$ , because  $x_2 \in D_n$  implies

$$n \le v(d_v) + v(q(x_2)) \le v(d_v).$$

Then the expression is exactly the function  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_v$  in the lemma.

It remains to treat  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) \cdot 1_{O_{E_v}}(y_1)$ . Assume that  $y_1 \in O_{E_v}$ . Then

$$k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^{v(ad_v) + v(D_v) - 1} N_v^n \operatorname{vol}(D_n(a) \cap O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v).$$

The sum is nonzero only if  $v(a) \ge -v(d_v) - v(D_v) + 1$ . The behavior of  $k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  when  $-v(d_v) - v(D_v) + 1 \le v(a) < 0$  does affect our final result. So we assume that  $v(a) \ge 0$  in the following.

The computation is similar to the inert case. Recall that  $\operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v}\mathfrak{j}_v) = |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}|d_v|$  and

$$D_n(a) = \{x_2 \in E_v \mathbf{j}_v : uq(x_2) - a \in p_v^n d_v^{-1}\}.$$

Split the summation as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v)-1} + \sum_{n=v(d_v)}^{v(ad_v)} + \sum_{n=v(ad_v)+1}^{v(ad_v)+v(D_v)-1}.$$

The first sum gives

(7.3.2) 
$$\frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v)-1} N_v^n \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v) = \frac{|d_v|-1}{2(1-N_v)} \log N_v.$$

The second sum gives

(7.3.3)

$$\frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=v(d_v)}^{v(ad_v)} N_v^n \operatorname{vol}(D_n) = \frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=v(d_v)}^{v(ad_v)} N_v^n \cdot N_v^{-(n-v(d_v))} |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |d_v| 
= \frac{1}{2} (v(a)+1) \log N_v.$$

By Lemma 7.5, the third sum gives

$$(7.3.4) \qquad \frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=v(ad_v)+1}^{v(ad_v)+v(D_v)-1} N_v^n \text{ vol}(D_n)$$

$$= \frac{\log N_v}{2|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=v(ad_v)+1}^{v(ad_v)+v(D_v)-1} N_v^n \cdot |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |d_v| \cdot |a|_v \cdot N_v^{v(ad_v)-n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(v(D_v)-1) \log N_v.$$

Combining equations (7.3.1)–(7.3.4), we obtain the result for ramified v. The proof of Lemma 7.4 is complete.

Derivative of intertwining operator. Recall that if  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$  for a place v, then

$$c_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) = \phi_{1,v}(y, u)W_{0,v}^{\circ}'(0, g, u, \phi_{2,v}) + \log \delta(g_v)r(g)\phi_v(y, u),$$

where the normalization is

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u,\phi_{2,v}) = \gamma_{u,v}^{-1}|D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|d_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{L(s+1,\eta_v)}{L(s,\eta_v)}W_{0,v}(s,g,u,\phi_{2,v}).$$

Lemma 7.6.

(1) For any archimedean place v,

$$c_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) = 0, \quad g \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \ (y, u) \in E_v \times F_v^{\times}.$$

(2) For any non-archimedean place v and any  $(y, u) \in E_v \times F_v^{\times}$ ,

$$c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \phi_v(y, u) \cdot \log|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)|$$

$$\int \phi_v(y, u) \cdot \frac{2(|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)| - 1)}{(1 + N_v^{-1})(1 - N_v)} \log N_v \qquad \text{if } E_v$$

$$+ \begin{cases} \phi_v(y,u) \cdot \frac{2(|d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v)| - 1)}{(1 + N_v^{-1})(1 - N_v)} \log N_v & \text{if } E_v/F_v \text{ inert,} \\ \phi_v(y,u) \cdot \frac{|d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v)| - 1}{1 - N_v} \log N_v + \alpha_v(y,u) & \text{if } E_v/F_v \text{ ramified,} \\ 0 & \text{if } E_v/F_v \text{ split.} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\alpha_v(y,u) = \frac{\log N_v}{|D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot 1_{D_v^{-1}O_{E_v} - O_{E_v}}(y) \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v)-1} N_v^n \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y+x_2,u) dx_2$$

as in Lemma 7.4.

*Proof.* If v is archimedean, it suffices to check that

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u) = \delta(g)^{-s} r(g) \phi_{2,v}(0,u), \quad g \in GL_2(F_v).$$

The behaviors of the intertwining operator  $W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,g,u)$  under the left action of  $P(\mathbb{R})$  and the right action of  $SO(2,\mathbb{R})$  are the same as those of

$$\delta(g)^{-s}r(g)\phi_{2,v}(0,u).$$

It follows that two sides are equal up to a constant possibly depending on s. To determine the constant, it suffices to check  $W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,1,u)=1$ . By a change of variable, we can assume that u = 1. At the end of the proof of [YZZ13, Prop. 2.11, there is a formula for  $W_{0,v}(s,1,u)$  in terms of gamma functions, which implies the result we need here.

Assume that v is non-archimedean in the following. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 7.4. We first introduce some formulas for  $c_{\phi_n}(1,y,u)$ . Note that the statement of [YZZ13, Prop. 6.10(1)] is only correct for  $a \in F_v^{\times}$  due to the different normalizing factor defining  $W_{0,v}^{\circ}(0,1,u,\phi_{2,v})$ . However, its proof actually gives

$$W_{0,v}(s,1,u,\phi_{2,v}) = \gamma_{u,v} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \phi_{2,v}(x_2,u) d_u x_2,$$

where

$$D_n = \{ x_2 \in E_v \mathbf{j}_v : uq_2(x_2) \in p_v^n d_v^{-1} \}$$

and the measure  $d_u x_2$  gives  $\operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v) = |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |d_v uq(\mathfrak{j}_v)|$ . Putting these together, we have

$$\begin{split} c_{\phi_v}(1,y,u) &= \phi_{1,v}(y,u) \\ & \cdot \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left( |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{L(s+1,\eta_v)}{L(s,\eta_v)} (1-N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^\infty N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \phi_{2,v}(x_2,u) d_u x_2 \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left( |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{L(s+1,\eta_v)}{L(s,\eta_v)} (1-N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^\infty N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y+x_2,u) d_u x_2 \right). \end{split}$$

The last expression actually works for any  $\phi_v$  (not necessarily of the form  $\phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$ ).

For convenience, denote

$$\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s) = |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{L(s+1, \eta_v)}{L(s, \eta_v)} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y + x_2, u) d_u x_2,$$

so that

$$c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \tilde{c}'_{\phi_v}(0).$$

Note that  $\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s)$  or  $c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  is nonzero only if  $u \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ , which we assume in the following. We will check the lemma case by case.

First, assume that v is inert in E. Then  $\phi_v = \phi_{1,v} \otimes \phi_{2,v}$  with  $\phi_{2,v} = 1_{O_{E_v} j_v \times O_{F_v}^{\times}}$ , and

$$c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \phi_{1,v}(y, u) W_{0,v}^{\circ}'(0, 1, u).$$

Split the sum in

$$W_{0,v}(s,1,u) = \gamma_{u,v} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \phi_{2,v}(x_2,u) dx_2$$

into two parts:  $n < v(d_v q(j_v))$  and  $n \ge v(d_v q(j_v))$ . Denote  $n = m + v(d_v q(j_v))$  in the second case, and note  $D_{m+v(d_v q(j_v))} = p_v^{\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]} O_{E_v} j_v$ . We have

$$\begin{split} W_{0,v}(s,1,u) &= \gamma_{u,v} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-N_v^{-s}) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v))-1} N_v^{-n(s-1)} \text{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathbf{j}_v) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-(m+v(d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v)))(s-1)} \text{vol}(D_{m+v(d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v))}) \right) \\ &= \gamma_{u,v} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} |D_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-N_v^{-s}) \left( \frac{|d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v)| - |d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v)|^s}{1-N_v^{-(s-1)}} + |d_v q(\mathbf{j}_v)|^s \frac{1+N_v^{-(s+1)}}{1-N_v^{-2s}} \right). \end{split}$$

Then

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,1,u) = (1 - N_v^{-s}) \frac{1 + N_v^{-s}}{1 + N_v^{-(s+1)}} \frac{|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)| - |d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)|^s}{1 - N_v^{-(s-1)}} + |d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)|^s.$$

We get

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}'(0,1,u) = \log|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)| + \frac{2(|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)| - 1)}{(1 + N_v^{-1})(1 - N_v)} \log N_v.$$

This finishes the inert case.

Second, assume that v is ramified in E. Consider

$$\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s) = |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y + x_2, u) dx_2.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 7.4, the first complication of this ramified case is that  $\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s)$  can be nonzero for some  $y \notin O_{E_v}$ , but it can be treated similarly.

In fact, assume that  $y \notin O_{E_v}$  and  $\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s) \neq 0$ . In order to make  $\phi_v(y+x_2,u)$  nonzero in the formula of  $\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s)$ , we have

$$y \in D_v^{-1}O_{E_v} - O_{E_v}, \quad x_2 \in D_v^{-1}O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v - O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v.$$

Then  $x_2 \in D_n$  gives

$$n \le v(q(x_2)) + v(d_v) \le v(d_v).$$

Then the summation for  $\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s)$  is a finite sum. We have

$$c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \tilde{c}'_{\phi_v}(0) = |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log N_v) \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v)} N_v^n \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y + x_2, u) dx_2.$$

This is exactly the function  $\alpha_v$  in the lemma.

Now we assume that  $y \in O_{E_n}$ . Then

$$\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s) = |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \operatorname{vol}(D_n \cap O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v).$$

The computation is similar to the inert case. Split the sum into two parts:  $n < v(d_v)$  and  $n \ge v(d_v)$ . Denote  $n = m + v(d_v)$  in the second case, and note  $D_{m+v(d_v)} = p_v^{\frac{m}{2}} O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v$ . We have

$$\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s) = |D_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{v(d_v) - 1} N_v^{-n(s-1)} \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathfrak{j}_v) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-(m+v(d_v))(s-1)} \operatorname{vol}(D_{m+v(d_v)})$$

$$= (1 - N_v^{-s}) \frac{|d_v| - |d_v|^s}{1 - N_v^{-(s-1)}} + |d_v|^s.$$

Thus

$$c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \tilde{c}'_{\phi_v}(0) = \log|d_v| + \frac{|d_v| - 1}{1 - N_v} \log N_v.$$

Third, consider the case that  $E_v/F_v$  is split and  $v \notin S_2$ . Then  $|q(j_v)| = |D_v| = 1$  and we use it to relieve the notational burden. We compute

$$c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = \phi_{1,v}(y, u)W_{0,v}^{\circ}'(0, 1, u).$$

As before, split the sum into  $n < v(d_v)$  and  $n \ge v(d_v)$ , and write  $n = m + v(d_v)$  in the second case. We have

$$W_{0,v}(s,1,u) = \gamma_{u,v} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - N_v^{-s}) \left( \frac{|d_v| - |d_v|^s}{1 - N_v^{-(s-1)}} + |d_v|^s \right) \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-m(s-1)} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(D_{m+v(d_v)} \cap O_{E_v} \mathbf{j}_v)}{\operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v} \mathbf{j}_v)} \right).$$

Identify  $E_v = F_v \oplus F_v$  and  $O_{E_v} = O_{F_v} \oplus O_{F_v}$ . For simplicity, we identify  $E_v \mathfrak{j}_v$  with  $E_v$  by sending  $\mathfrak{j}_v$  to 1. Then

$$D_{m+v(d_v)} \cap O_{E_v} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in O_{F_v} \oplus O_{F_v} : z_1 z_2 \in p_v^m\}$$
  
=  $O_{E_v} - \{(z_1, z_2) \in O_{F_v} \oplus O_{F_v} : v(z_1) + v(z_2) \le m - 1\}.$ 

Thus

$$\operatorname{vol}(D_{m+v(d_v)} \cap O_{E_v}) = \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \operatorname{vol}(\varpi_v^k O_{F_v}^{\times}) \operatorname{vol}(O_{F_v} - p_v^{m-k})$$

$$= \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v}) - \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v}) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} N_v^{-k} (1 - N_v^{-1}) (1 - N_v^{-(m-k)})$$

$$= \operatorname{vol}(O_{E_v}) (N_v^{-m} + (1 - N_v^{-1}) m N_v^{-m}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} W_{0,v}(s,1,u) &= \gamma_{u,v} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-N_v^{-s}) \left( \frac{|d_v| - |d_v|^s}{1-N_v^{-(s-1)}} + |d_v|^s \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-m(s-1)} (N_v^{-m} + (1-N_v^{-1})mN_v^{-m}) \right) \\ &= \gamma_{u,v} |d_v|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-N_v^{-s}) \left( \frac{|d_v| - |d_v|^s}{1-N_v^{-(s-1)}} + |d_v|^s \frac{1-N_v^{-(s+1)}}{(1-N_v^{-s})^2} \right). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}(s,1,u) = \gamma_{u,v}^{-1} \frac{1 - N_v^{-s}}{1 - N_v^{-(s+1)}} |d_v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{0,v}(s,1,u)$$
$$= \frac{(1 - N_v^{-s})^2}{1 - N_v^{-(s+1)}} \frac{|d_v| - |d_v|^s}{1 - N_v^{-(s-1)}} + |d_v|^s.$$

The first term has a double zero and no contribution to the derivative, so

$$W_{0,v}^{\circ}'(0,1,u) = \log|d_v|.$$

This finishes the case that  $E_v/F_v$  is split and  $v \notin S_2$ .

Fourth, we treat the case  $v \in S_2$ , which is the last case. Then v is split in E, and

$$\phi_v = 1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times} \times O_{F_v}^{\times}} - \frac{1}{1 + N_v + N_v^2} 1_{\varpi_v^{-1}(O_{\mathbb{B}_v})_2 \times O_{F_v}^{\times}}.$$

Note that  $|q(j_v)| = |d_v| = 1$  by assumption, so the result to prove is exactly  $c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = 0$ . Recall that  $c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u)$  is the derivative of

$$\tilde{c}_{\phi_v}(s) = \frac{(1 - N_v^{-s})^2}{1 - N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \phi_v(y + x_2, u) dx_2.$$

We will make separate computations for

$$\psi_1 = 1_{O_{\mathbb{R}_v}^{\times} \times O_{F_u}^{\times}}, \quad \psi_2 = 1_{\varpi_v^{-1}(O_{\mathbb{B}_v})_2 \times O_{F_u}^{\times}}.$$

The results will be 0 for both functions. Make identifications  $E_v j_v \simeq E_v \simeq F_v \oplus F_v$  as above.

Start with

$$\tilde{c}_{\psi_1}(s) = \frac{(1 - N_v^{-s})^2}{1 - N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \psi_1(y + x_2, u) dx_2.$$

It is nonzero only if  $y \in O_{E_v}$ , which we assume. For the integral, write  $x_2 = (z_1, z_2) \in F_v \oplus F_v$ . Then we have

$$\tilde{c}_{\psi_1}(s) = \frac{(1 - N_v^{-s})^2}{1 - N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \\ \cdot \operatorname{vol}\{(z_1, z_2) \in O_{F_v} \oplus O_{F_v} : z_1 z_2 \in p_v^n, \ q(y) - z_1 z_2 \in O_{F_v}^{\times}\}.$$

If  $q(y) \in p_v$ , in order for the volume to be nonzero, we have to have  $z_1 z_2 \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$  and n = 0. The summation has a single nonzero term equal to 1. Then  $c_{\psi_1}(1, y, u) = 0$ .

If  $q(y) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ , we can neglect the term with n = 0, since a single term does not change the derivative due to the double zero of the factor  $(1 - N_v^{-s})^2$ . Then the remaining terms give

$$\frac{(1-N_v^{-s})^2}{1-N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \cdot \operatorname{vol}\{(z_1, z_2) \in O_{F_v} \oplus O_{F_v} : z_1 z_2 \in p_v^n\}.$$

A similar summation has just been computed above, and the eventual result is still  $c_{\psi_1}(1, y, u) = 0$ . (Note that  $d_v = 1$  in the current case.)

Now we treat

$$\tilde{c}_{\psi_2}(s) = \frac{(1 - N_v^{-s})^2}{1 - N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n} \psi_2(y + x_2, u) dx_2$$

$$= \frac{(1 - N_v^{-s})^2}{1 - N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n}$$

$$\cdot \operatorname{vol}\{(z_1, z_2) \in p_v^{-1} \oplus p_v^{-1} : z_1 z_2 \in p_v^n, \ q(y) - z_1 z_2 \in O_{F_v}^{\times}\}.$$

Here we have assumed  $u \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$  and will assume  $y \in \varpi_v^{-1}O_{E_v}$  in order to make the situation nontrivial. It is similar to the case  $\psi_1$ .

If  $q(y) \notin O_{F_v}$ , the summation has no nonzero term and thus  $c_{\psi_1}(1, y, u) = 0$ . If  $q(y) \in p_v$ , the summation has a single nonzero term coming from n = 0. Then  $c_{\psi_1}(1, y, u) = 0$  again.

If  $q(y) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ , we can neglect the term with n = 0 again. The remaining terms give

$$\frac{(1-N_v^{-s})^2}{1-N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \cdot \operatorname{vol}\{(z_1, z_2) \in p_v^{-1} \oplus p_v^{-1} : z_1 z_2 \in p_v^n\} 
= \frac{(1-N_v^{-s})^2}{1-N_v^{-(s+1)}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_v^{-ns+n} \cdot N_v^2 \cdot \operatorname{vol}\{(z_1', z_2') \in O_{F_v} \oplus O_{F_v} : z_1' z_2' \in p_v^{n+2}\}.$$

Here we have used the substitution  $z_i = \varpi_v^{-1} z_i'$ . Then it is similar to the computation above and still gives  $c_{\psi_1}(1, y, u) = 0$ . This finishes the case  $v \in S_2$ .

П

Remark 7.7. It is not surprising that some (complicated and un-wanted) terms in the result of Lemma 7.4 appear in that of Lemma 7.6. In fact, it just reflects that the identity

$$\lim_{a \to 0} W'_{a,v}(0,1,u) = W'_{0,v}(0,1,u),$$

which fails due to convergence issues, actually holds for some pieces of the two sides. Eventually we need these terms to cancel each other in order to get a neat Proposition 9.2.

## 8. Height series

In this section, we study the intersection series of CM points, the main geometric ingredient for proving Theorem 1.7. We will first review the construction of the series  $Z(g,(t_1,t_2),\phi)$  in [YZZ13]. Then we will compute this series under some assumption of Schwartz functions. In particular, we will obtain a term for the self-intersection of CM points which contributes a main term for the identity in Theorem 1.7. In [YZZ13], this term was killed under a stronger assumption of Schwartz functions.

8.1. Height series. Let F be a totally real number field, and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a totally definite incoherent quaternion algebra over F with ramification set  $\Sigma$ . To avoid complication of cusps, we assume that  $|\Sigma| > 1$ . For any open compact subgroup U of  $\mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ , we have a Shimura curve  $X_U$ , which is a projective and smooth curve over F. For any embedding  $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , it has the usual uniformization

$$X_{U,\tau}(\mathbb{C}) = B(\tau)^{\times} \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times} / U.$$

Here  $B(\tau)$  denotes the nearby quaternion algebra, i.e., the unique quaternion algebra over F with ramification set  $\Sigma \setminus \{\tau\}$ .

For any  $x \in \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ , we have a correspondence  $Z(x)_U$  defined as the image of the morphism

$$(\pi_{U_x,U},\pi_{U_x,U}\circ \mathrm{T}_x): X_{U_x}\longrightarrow X_U\times X_U.$$

Here  $U_x = U \cap xUx^{-1}$ ,  $\pi_{U_x,U}$  denotes the natural projection, and  $T_x$  denotes the right multiplication by x. In terms of the complex uniformization, the push-forward action gives

$$Z(x)_U:[z,\beta]_U\longmapsto \sum_{y\in UxU/U}[z,\beta y]_U.$$

Generating series. We first recall the generating series in [YZZ13, §3.4.5]. For any  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times})$  invariant under  $K = U \times U$ , form a generating series

$$Z(g,\phi)_U = Z_0(g,\phi)_U + Z_*(g,\phi)_U, \quad g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}),$$

where

$$Z_0(g,\phi)_U = -\sum_{\alpha \in F_+^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^{\times}/q(U)} \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^{\times}} E_0(\alpha^{-1}u, r(g)\phi) L_{K,\alpha},$$

$$Z_*(g,\phi)_U = w_U \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in U \backslash \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}/U} r(g)\phi(x, aq(x)^{-1}) Z(x)_U.$$

Here  $\mu_U = F^{\times} \cap U$ , and  $w_U = |\{1, -1\} \cap U|$  is equal to 1 or 2. We often abbreviate

$$Z(g,\phi)_{U}, Z_{0}(g,\phi)_{U}, Z_{*}(g,\phi)_{U}$$

as

$$Z(g)_U, Z_0(g)_U, Z_*(g)_U.$$

For our purpose on the height series, we will see that the constant term  $Z_0(g,\phi)_U$  can be neglected in our consideration, since its contribution is always zero.

THEOREM 8.1 ([YZZ13, Th. 3.17]). The series  $Z(g,\phi)_U$  is absolutely convergent and defines an automorphic form on  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$  with coefficients in  $Pic(X_U \times X_U)_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Height series. Let E/F be a totally imaginary quadratic extension, with a fixed embedding  $E_{\mathbb{A}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$  over  $\mathbb{A}$ . In [YZZ13], we consider a CM point  $P \in X^{E^{\times}}(E^{ab})$  on the limit of the Shimura curves. In this paper, we only consider the point  $P_U \in X_U(E^{ab})$  for fixed U. For a more precise description, fixing an embedding  $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , take  $P_U = [z_0, 1]_U$  based on the uniformization

$$X_{U,\tau}(\mathbb{C}) = B(\tau)^{\times} \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times} / U,$$

where  $z_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$  is the unique fixed point of  $E^{\times}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$  via the action induced by the embedding  $E \hookrightarrow B(\tau)$ . For simplicity, we write P for  $P_U$ .

In terms of the uniformization, there are two sets of CM points in  $X_U(E^{ab})$  for our purpose:

$$C_U = \{ [z_0, t]_U : t \in E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) \}, \qquad CM_U = \{ [z_0, \beta]_U : \beta \in \mathbb{B}_f^{\times} \}.$$

It is easy to see canonical bijections

$$C_U \cong E^{\times} \backslash E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) / (E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap U), \qquad CM_U \cong E^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{B}_f^{\times} / U.$$

We will abbreviate  $[z_0, \beta]_U$  as  $[\beta]_U$ ,  $[\beta]$  or just  $\beta$ .

For any  $t \in E^{\times}(\mathbb{A})$ , denote by

$$[t] = [t]_U = [z_0, t_f]_U$$

the CM point of  $X_{U,\tau}(\mathbb{C})$ , viewed as an algebraic point of  $X_U$ . Denote by

$$t^{\circ} = [t]_{U}^{\circ} = [t]_{U} - \xi_{U,t}$$

the degree-zero divisor on  $X_U$ , where  $\xi_t = \xi_{U,t}$  is the normalized Hodge class of degree one on the connected component of  $[t]_U$ .

Recall from [YZZ13, §§3.5.1, 5.1.2] that we have a height series

$$Z(g,(t_1,t_2),\phi)_U = \langle Z(g,\phi)_U \ t_1^{\circ}, \ t_2^{\circ} \rangle_{\mathrm{NT}}, \quad t_1,t_2 \in E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

Here  $Z(g,\phi)_U$  acts on  $t_1^{\circ}$  as correspondences, and the pairing is the Néron–Tate height pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{NT}} : J_U(\overline{F})_{\mathbb{C}} \times J_U(\overline{F})_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

on the Jacobian variety  $J_U$  of  $X_U$  over F.

By linearity,  $Z(g, (t_1, t_2), \phi)_U$  is an automorphic form in  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ . By [YZZ13, Lemma 3.19], it is actually a cusp form. In particular, the constant term  $Z_0(g, \phi)$  of the generating function plays no role here.

Decomposition of the height series. By the theory of [YZZ13, §7.1], we are going to decompose the height series into local pairings and some global terms. We will use (possibly) different integral models to do the decomposition.

Assume that  $(\mathbb{B}, E, U)$  satisfies the assumptions of Section 7.2 in the following. In particular, U is maximal at every place, and there is no non-archimedean place of F ramified in both E and  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_U$  be the integral model of  $X_U$  over  $O_F$  introduced before Corollary 4.6, and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U$  be the arithmetic Hodge bundle introduced in Theorem 4.7. We are going to use  $(\mathcal{X}_U, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_U)$  to decompose the Neron-Tate height pairing.

Note that every point of  $CM_U$  is defined over a finite extension H of F that is unramified above  $\Sigma(\mathbb{B}_f)$ . The composite of two such extensions still satisfies the same property. By Corollary 4.6, the base change  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_H}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial for such H. Then arithmetic intersection numbers of Arakelov divisors are well defined on  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_H}$ . Take the integral model  $\mathcal{Y}_U$  used in [YZZ13, §7.2.1] to be  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_H}$  (without any desingularization). We get a decomposition of  $Z(g,(t_1,t_2))_U$  by the process of [YZZ13, §7.2.2].

We do not know whether  $\mathcal{X}_U$  is regular everywhere or smooth above any prime of F split in  $\mathbb{B}$ . If both are true, then  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_H}$  is already regular, and the decomposition here is the same as that in [YZZ13].

Vanishing of the pairing with Hodge class. Now we freely use the notation of [YZZ13, §7.1-7.2]. For the height series, the linearity gives a decomposition

$$Z(g, (t_1, t_2))_U = \langle Z_*(g)_U t_1, t_2 \rangle - \langle Z_*(g)_U t_1, \xi_{t_2} \rangle - \langle Z_*(g)_U \xi_{t_1}, t_2 \rangle + \langle Z_*(g)_U \xi_{t_1}, \xi_{t_2} \rangle.$$

Here  $Z_*(g)_U = Z_*(g,\phi)_U$ , and the pairings on the right-hand side are arithmetic intersection numbers in terms of admissible extensions, as introduced in [YZZ13, §7.1.6].

Now we resume the degeneracy assumption in (7.1), which mainly requires that there is a set  $S_2$  consisting of two non-archimedean places of F split in E and unramified over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$r(g)\phi_v(0,u) = 0 \quad \forall g \in \operatorname{GL}_2(F_v), u \in F_v^{\times}, v \in S_2.$$

By [YZZ13, Prop. 7.5], the assumption kills the last three terms on the right-hand side and gives the simplification

$$Z(g, (t_1, t_2))_U = \langle Z_*(g)_U t_1, t_2 \rangle.$$

As in [YZZ13, Prop. 7.5], we have a decomposition

$$Z(g,(t_1,t_2))_U = -i(Z_*(g)_U t_1,t_2) - j(Z_*(g)_U t_1,t_2).$$

Here the i-part is essentially the arithmetic intersection number of horizontal parts, and the j-part is the contribution from vertical parts.

Now we have a decomposition to local intersection numbers by

$$j(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2) = \sum_v j_v(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2) \log N_v.$$

The sum is over all places of F, and we take the convention  $\log N_v = 1$  if v is real. Decomposing the local intersection number in terms of Galois orbits, we further have

$$j_v(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2) = \oint_{C_U} j_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)tt_1, tt_2)dt.$$

Here the pairing  $j_{\bar{v}}$  is introduced in [YZZ13, §7.1.7], and

$$C_U = E^{\times} \backslash E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) / E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap U$$

is a finite group and the integration is just the usual average over this finite group.

Unlike the j-part, the decomposition of the i-part into local intersection numbers is complicated due to the occurrence of self-intersections. We have to isolate the self-intersections before the decomposition. Such a complication is diminished by Assumption 5.3 in [YZZ13], but we cannot impose this assumption here. In fact, the assumption kills all possible self-intersections, but the purpose of this paper is to compute these self-intersections!

Self-intersection. The self-intersection in  $\langle Z_*(g)t_1, t_2 \rangle$  comes from the multiplicity of  $[t_2]_U$  in  $Z_*(g)t_1$ . By definition,

$$Z_*(g)t_1 = w_U \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}/U} r(g)\phi(x)_a[t_1x].$$

Here  $r(g)\phi(x)_a=r(g)\phi(x,a/q(x))$ . See also [YZZ13, §4.3.1] for this formula.

Note that  $[t_1x] = [t_2]$  as CM points on  $X_U$  if and only if  $x \in t_1^{-1}t_2E^{\times}U$ . It follows that the coefficient of  $[t_2]_U$  in  $Z_*(g)t_1$  is equal to

$$w_U \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in t_1^{-1} t_2 E^{\times} U/U} r(g) \phi(x)_a = w_U \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times} / (E^{\times} \cap U)} r(g) \phi(t_1^{-1} t_2 y)_a.$$

Note that  $\mu_U = F^{\times} \cap U$  has finite index in  $E^{\times} \cap U$ . The above becomes

$$\begin{split} &\frac{w_U}{[E^\times \cap U:\mu_U]} \sum_{a \in F^\times} \sum_{y \in E^\times/\mu_U} r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi(y)_a \\ &= \frac{1}{[E^\times \cap U:\mu_U]} \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in E^\times} r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi(y,u). \end{split}$$

The last double sum already appeared in the derivative series and will continue to appear in local heights. So, we introduce the notation

$$\Omega_{\phi}(g,(t_1,t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi(y,u).$$

Finally, we can write

$$i(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2) = i(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)_{\text{proper}} + \frac{\Omega_{\phi}(g, (t_1, t_2))}{[E^{\times} \cap U : \mu_U]} i(t_2, t_2).$$

Here

$$i(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)_{\text{proper}} = i\left(Z_*(g)t_1 - \frac{\Omega_{\phi}(g, (t_1, t_2))}{[E^{\times} \cap U : \mu_U]}t_2, \ t_2\right)$$

is a proper intersection. The proper intersection has decompositions

$$i(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)_{\text{proper}} = \sum_v i_v(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)_{\text{proper}} \log N_v,$$
$$i_v(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)_{\text{proper}} = \oint_{C_U} i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)tt_1, tt_2)_{\text{proper}} dt.$$

We further have an identity  $i(t_2, t_2) = i(1, 1)$  since [1] and [t] are Galois conjugate CM points.

8.2. Local heights as pseudo-theta series. Now we are going to express the local heights  $i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1,t_2)_{\text{proper}}$  and  $j_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1,t_2)$  in terms of multiplicity functions on local models of the Shimura curve. The idea is similar to [YZZ13, Ch. 8], with extra effort to take care of the self-intersections. Note that in [YZZ13], self-intersections vanish due to a degeneracy assumption, which we cannot put here.

Archimedean case. Let v be an archimedean place. Fix an identification  $B(\mathbb{A}_f) = \mathbb{B}_f$ , and write B = B(v). The formula is based on the uniformization

$$X_{U,v}(\mathbb{C}) = B_+^{\times} \backslash \mathfrak{h} \times B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U.$$

Resume the notation in [YZZ13, §8.1]. In particular, we have the local multiplicity function

$$m_s(\gamma) = Q_s(1 - 2\lambda(\gamma)), \qquad \gamma \in B_v^{\times} - E_v^{\times}.$$

Here

$$Q_s(t) = \int_0^\infty \left(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1} \cosh u\right)^{-1-s} du$$

is the Legendre function of the second kind. For any two distinct CM points  $[\beta_1]_U$ ,  $[\beta_2]_U \in CM_U$ , denote

$$g_s(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus (B_+^{\times} - E^{\times})} m_s(\gamma) \ 1_U(\beta_1^{-1} \gamma \beta_2).$$

Then the local height has the expression

$$i_{\bar{v}}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} g_s(\beta_1, \beta_2).$$

Here  $\lim_{s\to 0}$  denotes the constant term at s=0 of  $g_s((z_1,\beta_1),(z_2,\beta_2))$ , which converges for Re(s)>0 and has meromorphic continuation to s=0 with a simple pole.

In [YZZ13], the formula works for distinct points  $[\beta_1]_U$  and  $[\beta_2]_U$ . In this paper, we extend it formally to any two points. Namely, for any  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in CM_U$ , we denote

$$g_s(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus (B_+^{\times} - E^{\times})} m_s(\gamma) \ 1_U(\beta_1^{-1} \gamma \beta_2)$$

and define

$$i_{\bar{v}}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} g_s(\beta_1, \beta_2).$$

With the extra new notation, we have the following result.

Proposition 8.2. For any  $t_1, t_2 \in C_U$ ,

$$i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g,\phi)t_1,t_2)_{\text{proper}} = \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) - \frac{i_{\bar{v}}(t_2,t_2)}{[E^{\times}\cap U:\mu_U]}\Omega_{\phi}(g,(t_1,t_2)),$$

where

$$\begin{split} &\Omega_{\phi}(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) = \sum_{u \in \mu_{U}^{2} \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) \phi(y,u), \\ &\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) = w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} \sum_{y \in \mu_{U} \backslash (B_{+}^{\times} - E^{\times})} r(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) \phi(y)_{a} m_{s}(y). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* By definition,

$$i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)_{\text{proper}} = i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2) - i_{\bar{v}}\left(\frac{\Omega_{\phi}(g, (t_1, t_2))}{|E^{\times} \cap U : \mu_U|}t_2, t_2\right).$$

Here the first term on the right-hand side makes sense by the extended definition of  $i_{\bar{v}}$  to self-intersections. The rest of the proof is the same as [YZZ13, Prop. 8.1].

Supersingular case and superspecial case. Let v be a non-archimedean place of F nonsplit in E. Let B = B(v) be the nearby quaternion algebra over F. We will write the local pairing  $i_{\bar{v}}$  as a sum of pseudo-theta series following the idea [YZZ13]. The situation is more complicated by the self-intersections here. Note that v can be either split or nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$ , but the exposition here is the same (before going into explicit computations).

Recall from [YZZ13, Lemma 8.2] that for any two distinct CM points  $[\beta_1]_U \in CM_U$  and  $[t_2]_U \in C_U$ , their local height is given by

$$i_{\bar{v}}(\beta_1, t_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus B^{\times}} m(\gamma t_{2,v}, \beta_{1v}^{-1}) 1_{U^v}((\beta_1^v)^{-1} \gamma t_2^v).$$

Here the multiplicity function m is defined everywhere on

$$\mathfrak{h}_{U_v} = B_v^{\times} \times_{E_v^{\times}} \mathbb{B}_v^{\times} / U_v$$

except at the image of (1,1). It satisfies the symmetry  $m(b^{-1},\beta^{-1})=m(b,\beta)$ .

The summation is only well defined for  $[\beta_1]_U \neq [t_2]_U$ . Otherwise, we can find  $\gamma \in E^{\times}$  such that  $\beta_1^{-1}\gamma t_2 \in U$ , and the term at  $\gamma$  is not well defined. Hence, we extend the definition to any two CM points  $[\beta_1]_U \in \mathrm{CM}_U$  and  $[t_2]_U \in C_U$  by

$$i_{\bar{v}}(\beta_{1}, t_{2}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times} \cap \beta_{1} U t_{2}^{-1})} m(\gamma t_{2v}, \beta_{1v}^{-1}) 1_{U^{v}} ((\beta_{1}^{v})^{-1} \gamma t_{2}^{v})$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times})} m(\gamma t_{2v}, \beta_{1v}^{-1}) 1_{U^{v}} ((\beta_{1}^{v})^{-1} \gamma t_{2}^{v})$$

$$+ \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (E^{\times} - \beta_{1} U t_{2}^{-1})} m(\gamma t_{2v}, \beta_{1v}^{-1}) 1_{U^{v}} ((\beta_{1}^{v})^{-1} \gamma t_{2}^{v})$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times})} m(\gamma t_{2v}, \beta_{1v}^{-1}) 1_{U^{v}} ((\beta_{1}^{v})^{-1} \gamma t_{2}^{v})$$

$$+ \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (E^{\times} - \beta_{1} U_{v} t_{2}^{-1})} m(\gamma t_{2v}, \beta_{1v}^{-1}) 1_{U^{v}} ((\beta_{1}^{v})^{-1} \gamma t_{2}^{v}).$$

The definition is equal to the previous one if  $[\beta_1]_U \neq [t_2]_U$ . In Lemma 9.4, we will see that  $i_{\overline{v}}(t_2, t_2)$  can be realized as a proper intersection number via pull-back to  $X_{U'}$  for sufficiently small U' with  $U'_v = U_v$ .

With the extended definition, our conclusion is as follows.

Proposition 8.3. For any  $t_1, t_2 \in C_U$ ,

$$i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g,\phi)t_1,t_2)_{\text{proper}} = \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) + \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) - \frac{i_{\bar{v}}(t_2,t_2)}{[E^{\times} \cap U:\mu_U]} \Omega_{\phi}(g,(t_1,t_2)),$$

where

$$\Omega_{\phi}(g, (t_1, t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g, (t_1, t_2)) \phi(y, u), 
\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t_1, t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in B - E} r(g, (t_1, t_2)) \phi^v(y, u) \ m_{r(g, (t_1, t_2)) \phi_v}(y, u), 
\mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t_1, t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_T^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g, (t_1, t_2)) \phi^v(y, u) \ r(t_1, t_2) n_{r(g) \phi_v}(y, u),$$

and

$$m_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v} m(y, x^{-1}) \phi_v(x, uq(y)/q(x)), \quad (y, u) \in (B_v - E_v) \times F_v^{\times},$$

$$n_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{B}_v^{\times} - yU_v)/U_v} m(y, x^{-1}) \phi_v(x, uq(y)/q(x)), \quad (y, u) \in E_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}.$$

*Proof.* By the extended definition of  $i_{\bar{v}}$ , it suffices to prove

$$i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g,\phi)t_1,t_2) = \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) + \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)).$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}/U} r(g)\phi(x)_{a} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times})} m(\gamma t_{2}, x^{-1}t_{1}^{-1}) 1_{U^{v}}(x^{-1}t_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2})$$

$$+ w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}/U} r(g)\phi(x)_{a}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (E^{\times} - t_{1}xU_{v}t_{2}^{-1})} m(\gamma t_{2}, x^{-1}t_{1}^{-1}) 1_{U^{v}}(x^{-1}t_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2}).$$

The first triple sum is converted to  $\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2))$  as in [YZZ13, Prop. 8.4], and the second triple sum is converted to  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2))$  similarly.

Here we use the convention

$$r(t_1, t_2)n_{r(q)\phi_n}(y, u) = n_{r(q)\phi_n}(t_1^{-1}yt_2, q(t_1t_2^{-1})u).$$

Note that in the above series, we write the dependence on  $(t_1, t_2)$  in different manners for  $m_{\phi_v}$  and  $n_{\phi_v}$ . This is because  $m_{\phi_v}(y, u)$  translates well under the action of  $P(F_v) \times (E_v^{\times} \times E_v^{\times})$ , but  $n_{\phi_v}(y, u)$  only translates well under the action of  $P(F_v)$ .

Ordinary case. Assume that v is a non-archimedean place of F split in E. Then  $\mathbb{B}_v$  is split because of the embedding  $E_v \to \mathbb{B}_v$ . In this case, the treatment of [YZZ13, §8.4] is not sufficient for our current purpose, so we write more details here.

Let  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  be the two primes of E lying over v. Fix an identification  $\mathbb{B}_v \cong \mathrm{M}_2(F_v)$  under which  $E_v = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_v \\ F_v \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ . Assume that  $\nu_1$  corresponds to the ideal  $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$  and  $\nu_2$  corresponds to  $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ F_v \end{smallmatrix}\right)$  of  $E_v$ .

We will make use of results of [Zha01]. The reduction map of CM points to ordinary points above  $\bar{\nu}_1$  is given by

$$E^{\times}\backslash \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}/U \longrightarrow E^{\times}\backslash (N(F_v)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)) \times \mathbb{B}_f^{v\times}/U.$$

The intersection multiplicity is a function

$$m_{\bar{\nu}_1}: \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)/U_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

supported on  $N(F_v)U_v/U_v$  explicitly as follows. If  $U_v = (1 + p_v^r O_{\mathbb{B}_v})^{\times}$  for some  $r \geq 0$ , then [Zha01, Lemma 5.5.1] gives

$$m_{\bar{\nu}_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_v^{r-v(b)-1}(N_v - 1)}$$

for  $b \in F_v$  with  $v(b) \le r - 1$ . Note that the case  $v(b) \ge r$  corresponds to self-intersection and is thus not well defined.

LEMMA 8.4. The local height pairing of two distinct CM points  $[\beta_1]_U \in CM_U$  and  $[t_2]_U \in C_U$  is given by

$$i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus E^{\times}} m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \beta_1) 1_{U^v}(\beta_1^{-1} \gamma t_2).$$

*Proof.* Denote the right-hand side by  $i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2)'$ . We first prove that  $i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2) = i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2)'$  if  $U^v$  is sufficiently small. In that case, by the local moduli of [Zha01],  $i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2)$  is nonzero only if there is  $\gamma_0 \in E^\times$  such that  $\gamma_0 t_2^v U^v = \beta_1^v U^v$  and  $t_2^{-1} \gamma_0^{-1} \beta_1 \in N(F_v) U_v$ . In this case,  $i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2)$  is equal to  $m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2^{-1} \gamma_0^{-1} \beta_1)$ . Then it suffices to check that in the expression of  $i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2)'$ , the summation has only one nonzero term which is exactly given by  $\gamma = \gamma_0$ . In fact, assume that  $\gamma \in E^\times$  satisfies

$$m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\beta_1)1_{U^v}(\beta_1^{-1}\gamma t_2) \neq 0.$$

Write  $\gamma = \gamma' \gamma_0$ . Then the condition becomes

$$m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\gamma'^{-1}t_2^{-1}\gamma_0^{-1}\beta_1)1_{U^v}(\beta_1^{-1}\gamma_0t_2\gamma') \neq 0.$$

It gives  $\gamma'^{-1}N(F_v)U_v \subset N(F_v)U_v$  at v and  $\gamma' \in U^v$  outside v. The former actually implies  $\gamma' \in U_v$ . Then we have  $\gamma' \in U \cap E^{\times}$ . The condition that U is sufficiently small implies that  $U \cap E^{\times} = \mu_U$ . In fact,  $[U \cap E^{\times} : \mu_U]$  is exactly the ramification index of  $[t_2]_U$ . Hence,  $\gamma = \gamma_0$  in  $\mu_U \setminus E^{\times}$ . This proves the case that U is sufficiently small.

Now we extend the result to general U. Let  $U' = U_v U'^v$  be an open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_f$  with  $U'^v \subset U^v$  normal. Assume that  $U'^v$  is sufficiently

small so that the lemma holds for  $X_{U'}$ . Consider the projection  $\pi: X_{U'} \to X_U$ . By the projection formula, we have

$$i_{\bar{\nu}_1}([\beta_1]_U, [t_2]_U) = i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\pi^{-1}([\beta_1]_U), [t_2]_{U'}).$$

To compute the right-hand side, we need to examine  $\pi: X_{U'} \to X_U$  more carefully. By the right multiplication of U on  $X_{U'}$ , it is easy to see that the Galois group of  $X_{U'} \to X_U$  is isomorphic to  $U/(U'\mu_U)$ . It follows that

$$\pi^{-1}([\beta_1]_U) = \sum_{u \in U/(U'\mu_U)} [\beta_1 u]_{U'} = \frac{1}{[\mu_U : \mu_{U'}]} \sum_{u \in U/U'} [\beta_1 u]_{U'}.$$

We can further change the summation to  $u \in U^v/U'^v$ . Then

$$\begin{split} i_{\bar{\nu}_{1}}([\beta_{1}]_{U},[t_{2}]_{U}) &= i_{\bar{\nu}_{1}}(\pi^{-1}([\beta_{1}]_{U}),[t_{2}]_{U'}) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\mu_{U}:\mu_{U'}]} \sum_{u \in U/U'} i_{\bar{\nu}_{1}}([\beta_{1}u]_{U'},[t_{2}]_{U'}) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\mu_{U}:\mu_{U'}]} \sum_{u \in U^{v}/U'^{v}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U'} \setminus E^{\times}} m_{\bar{\nu}_{1}}(t_{2}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\beta_{1}) 1_{U'^{v}}(u^{-1}\beta_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\mu_{U}:\mu_{U'}]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U'} \setminus E^{\times}} m_{\bar{\nu}_{1}}(t_{2}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\beta_{1}) 1_{U^{v}}(\beta_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus E^{\times}} m_{\bar{\nu}_{1}}(t_{2}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\beta_{1}) 1_{U^{v}}(\beta_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2}). \end{split}$$

This finishes the general case.

Just like the other cases, the above summation is only well defined for  $[\beta_1]_U \neq [t_2]_U$ . But we extend the definition to any  $[\beta_1]_U$  and  $[t_2]_U$  by

$$\begin{split} i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus (E^{\times} - \beta_1 U t_2^{-1})} m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \beta_1) 1_{U^v}(\beta_1^{-1} \gamma t_2) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus (E^{\times} - \beta_1 U_v t_2^{-1})} m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \beta_1) 1_{U^v}(\beta_1^{-1} \gamma t_2). \end{split}$$

It is equal to the original pairing if  $[\beta_1]_U \neq [t_2]_U$ .

If  $[\beta_1]_U = [t_2]_U$ , then we can assume that  $\beta_1 = t_2$ . A simple calculation taking advantage of the commutativity of  $E^{\times}$  simply gives

$$i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2, t_2) = 0 \quad \forall \ [t_2]_U \in C_U.$$

So in this case, the definition does not give anything new.

The results hold for  $\nu_2$  by changing upper triangular matrices to lower triangular matrices. For example, the intersection multiplicity  $m_{\bar{\nu}_2}: \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)/U_v$   $\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is supported on  $N^t(F_v)U_v/U_v$  and given by

$$m_{\bar{\nu}_1} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ b \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{N_v^{r-v(b)-1}(N_v-1)}$$

for  $b \in F_v$  with  $v(b) \leq r - 1$ . Then we also have a similar extension for  $i_{\bar{\nu}_1}(\beta_1, t_2)$ .

Passing to  $\bar{v}$ , we have

$$m_{\bar{v}} = \frac{1}{2}(m_{\bar{\nu}_2} + m_{\bar{\nu}_2}), \quad i_{\bar{v}} = \frac{1}{2}(i_{\bar{\nu}_1} + i_{\bar{\nu}_2}).$$

Now we have the following result.

Proposition 8.5. For any  $t_1, t_2 \in C_U$ ,

$$i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g,\phi)t_1,t_2)_{\text{proper}} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_{\mathcal{U}}^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi^v(y,u) \ r(t_1,t_2) n_{r(g)\phi_v}(y,u),$$

and

$$n_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_v \in (N(F_v)U_v - U_v)/U_v} \phi_v(yx_v, u) \ m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(x)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_v \in (N^t(F_v)U_v - U_v)/U_v} \phi_v(yx_v, u) \ m_{\bar{\nu}_2}(x)$$

for any  $(y, u) \in E_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}$ .

*Proof.* Note that we have the extended intersection number  $i_{\bar{v}}(t_2,t_2)=0$  automatically. It suffices to check

$$i_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g,\phi)t_1,t_2) = \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)).$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}/U} r(g) \phi(x)_{a} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (E^{\times} - t_{1}xU_{v}t_{2}^{-1})} m_{\bar{v}}(t_{2}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}t_{1}x) 1_{U^{v}}(x^{-1}t_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2}).$$

By  $1_{U^v}(x^{-1}t_1^{-1}\gamma t_2)=1$ , we have  $x^v\in t_1^{-1}\gamma t_2U^v$ ; by  $\gamma\notin t_1xU_vt_2^{-1}$ , we have  $x_v\notin t_1^{-1}\gamma t_2U_v$ . Thus it becomes

$$w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus E^{\times}} r(g) \phi^{v}(t_{1}^{-1} \gamma t_{2})_{a}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{x_{v} \in (\mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times} - t_{1}^{-1} \gamma t_{2} U_{v})/U_{v}} r(g) \phi_{v}(x_{v})_{a} \ m_{\bar{v}}(t_{2}^{-1} \gamma^{-1} t_{1} x).$$

It remains to convert the last sum to the desired form, which is reduced to similar results for  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ . We have

$$\sum_{x_v \in (\mathbb{B}_v^{\times} - t_1^{-1} \gamma t_2 U_v)/U_v} r(g) \phi_v(x_v)_a \ m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2^{-1} \gamma^{-1} t_1 x)$$

$$= \sum_{x_v \in (t_1^{-1} \gamma t_2 N(F_v) U_v - t_1^{-1} \gamma t_2 U_v)/U_v} r(g) \phi_v(x_v)_a \ m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(t_2^{-1} \gamma^{-1} t_1 x)$$

$$= \sum_{x_v \in (N(F_v) U_v - U_v)/U_v} r(g) \phi_v(t_1^{-1} \gamma t_2 x_v)_a \ m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(x).$$

A similar result holds for  $\nu_2$ .

Decomposition of the height series. Finally, we end up with the following summary.

Theorem 8.6. Assume Assumption 7.1 holds. Then for any  $t_1, t_2 \in C_U$ ,

$$\begin{split} Z(g,(t_1,t_2),\phi))_U &= -\sum_{v \text{ nonsplit}} (\log N_v) \oint_{C_U} \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(tt_1,tt_2)) dt \\ &- \sum_{v \nmid \infty} \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) \log N_v - \sum_{v \nmid \infty} j_v(Z_*(g,\phi)t_1,t_2) \log N_v \\ &- \frac{i_0(t_2,t_2)}{[E^\times \cap U:\mu_U]} \Omega_{\phi}(g,(t_1,t_2)). \end{split}$$

The right-hand side is explained in the following:

(1) the modified arithmetic self-intersection number

$$i_0(t_2, t_2) = i(t_2, t_2) - \sum_{v} i_v(t_2, t_2) \log N_v,$$

where the local term

$$i_v(t_2, t_2) = \oint_{C_U} i_{\bar{v}}(tt_2, tt_2) dt$$

uses the extended definition of  $i_{\bar{v}}$ ;

(2) the pseudo-theta series

$$\Omega_{\phi}(g,(t_1,t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_{T}^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi(y,u);$$

(3) for any place v nonsplit in E,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) &= w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} \sum_{y \in \mu_{U} \setminus (B_{+}^{\times} - E^{\times})} r(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) \phi(y)_{a} m_{s}(y), \quad v | \infty, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) &= \sum_{u \in \mu_{-}^{2} \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in B - E} r(g,(t_{1},t_{2})) \phi^{v}(y,u) \ m_{r(g,(t_{1},t_{2}))\phi_{v}}(y,u), \quad v \nmid \infty; \end{split}$$

(4) for any non-archimedean v,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g,(t_1,t_2)) \phi^v(y,u) \ r(t_1,t_2) n_{r(g)\phi_v}(y,u).$$

The only new information used above is the identity

$$\oint_{C_U} \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (tt_1, tt_2)) dt = \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t_1, t_2)).$$

This follows from the invariance

$$\mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(tt_1,tt_2)) = \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t_1,t_2)),$$

which in turn follows from the special situation that the summation only involves  $y \in E^{\times}$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}$ .

8.3. Explicit local heights. Let  $(U, \phi, j_v, j_v)$  be as in Section 7.2. The goal of this subsection is to compute  $m_{\phi_v}(y, u)$  and  $n_{\phi_v}(y, u)$  and to treat  $j_v(Z_*(g, \phi)t_1, t_2)$ . The results are parallel to those in Section 7.3.

Local intersection numbers.

Lemma 8.7.

(1) Let v be a non-archimedean place nonsplit in E. Then for any  $(y,u) \in (B(v)_v - E_v) \times F_v^{\times}$ ,

$$m_{\phi_v}(y,u) = \begin{cases} \phi_v(y_1,u) 1_{O_{E_v}j_v}(y_2) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(v(q(y_2))+1), & \mathbb{B}_v \text{ split, } E_v \text{ inert,} \\ \phi_v(y_1,u) 1_{O_{E_v}j_v}(y_2) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(v(q(y_2))+v(D_v)), & \mathbb{B}_v \text{ split, } E_v \text{ ramified,} \\ \phi_v(y_1,u) 1_{O_{E_v}j_v}(y_2) \cdot \frac{1}{2}v(q(y_2)), & \mathbb{B}_v \text{ nonsplit.} \end{cases}$$

(2) Let v be a non-archimedean place of F. For any  $(y,u) \in E_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}$ ,

$$n_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \phi_v(y, u) \cdot \frac{1}{2} v(q(y)).$$

*Proof.* If v is nonsplit in E, by Proposition 8.3,

$$m_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v} m(y, x^{-1}) \phi_v(x, uq(y)/q(x)), \quad (y, u) \in (B_v - E_v) \times F_v^{\times},$$

$$m_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v} m(y, x^{-1}) \phi_v(x, uq(y)/q(x)), \quad (y, u) \in (B_v - E_v) \times F_v^{\times},$$

$$n_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{B}_v^{\times} - yU_v)/U_v} m(y, x^{-1}) \phi_v(x, uq(y)/q(x)), \quad (y, u) \in E_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}.$$

If v is nonsplit in E and split in  $\mathbb{B}$ , then (1) is computed in [YZZ13, Prop. 8.7], except that there is a mistake in the case that  $E_v$  is wildly ramified over  $F_v$ . The mistake came from [YZZ13, Lemma 8.6], which was in turn caused by the wrong formula of [Zha01, Lemma 5.5.2]. As a digression, we remark that the mistake did not impact the main result of [YZZ13] because the result in this case was not used in the book elsewhere.

The correct version of [YZZ13, Lemma 8.6] is as follows. The multiplicity function is  $m(b,\beta) \neq 0$  only if  $q(b)q(\beta) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ . In this case, assume that  $\beta \in E_v^{\times} h_c \mathrm{GL}_2(O_{F_v})$ . Then

$$m(b,\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(v(\lambda(b)) + 1) & \text{if } c = 0, E_v/F_v \text{ is unramified,} \\ \frac{1}{2}v(D_v\lambda(b)) & \text{if } c = 0, E_v/F_v \text{ is ramified,} \\ N_v^{1-c}(N_v + 1)^{-1} & \text{if } c > 0, E_v/F_v \text{ is unramified,} \\ \frac{1}{2}N_v^{-c} & \text{if } c > 0, E_v/F_v \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

Only the second case is different, and it can be verified by going back to the canonical lifting of Gross [Gro86]. Then it is easy to have the correct formula (1) of the current case.

If v is nonsplit in E and split in  $\mathbb{B}$ , then (2) can be verified by the same method as in [YZZ13, Prop. 8.7], where the only difference is that

$$n_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} m(y^{-1}, h_c) \text{vol}(E_v^{\times} h_c \text{GL}_2(O_{F_v}) \cap M_2(O_{F_v})_n)$$

is a sum omitting c = 0.

If v is inert in E and nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$ , then by Lemma 8.8,

$$m(y, x^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} v(\lambda(y)) \ 1_{E_v^{\times}(1 + O_{E_v} \varpi_v j_v)}(y) 1_0(v(q(x)/q(y))).$$

It follows that

$$m_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} v(\lambda(y)) \ 1_{E_v^{\times}(1 + O_{E_v} \varpi_v j_v)}(y)$$
$$\cdot \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v} 1_0(v(q(x)/q(y))) \phi_v(x, uq(y)/q(x)).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . It is easy to get (1). For (2), since the conditions  $x \notin yU_v$  and  $1_0(v(q(x)/q(y)))$  are contradictory, we get  $n_{\phi_v}(y,u) = 0$ .

If v is split in E, in the setting of Proposition 8.5,

$$n_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_v \in (N(F_v) - N(O_{F_v}))/N(O_{F_v})} \phi_v(yx_v, u) \ m_{\bar{\nu}_1}(x)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_v \in (N^t(F_v) - N^t(O_{F_v}))/N^t(O_{F_v})} \phi_v(yx_v, u) \ m_{\bar{\nu}_2}(x).$$

We first consider the case  $v \notin S_2$ . Then  $\phi_v$  is the standard characteristic function. Write  $y = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ . The summations are nonzero only if  $a, d \in O_{F_v}$  and  $u \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ , which we assume. For the first sum, write  $x_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then we need  $ab \in O_{F_v}$ . Eventually, the first sum becomes

$$\sum_{b \in (a^{-1}O_{F_v} - O_{F_v})/O_{F_v}} \frac{1}{N_v^{-v(b)-1}(N_v - 1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{v(a)} \frac{|(p_v^{-i} - p_v^{-i+1})/O_{F_v}|}{N_v^{i-1}(N_v - 1)} = v(a).$$

Similarly, the second sum equals v(d). Then

$$n_{\phi_v}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2}(v(a) + v(d)) = \frac{1}{2}v(q(y)).$$

This finishes the proof for  $v \notin S_2$ . If  $v \in S_2$ , the computation is similar, and we will get everywhere 0.

Multiplicity function: the superspecial case. Let v be non-archimedean place nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$  and inert in E. Recall that the multiplicity function m is defined on

$$\mathfrak{h}_{U_v} = B(v)_v^{\times} \times_{E_v^{\times}} \mathbb{B}_v^{\times} / U_v.$$

Note that we have assumed that  $U_v$  is maximal. The following result does not need any restriction on  $U^v$ .

LEMMA 8.8. For any  $(\gamma, \beta) \in B(v)_v^{\times} \times_{E_v^{\times}} \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}$ , we have  $m(\gamma, \beta) \neq 0$  only if  $q(\gamma)q(\beta) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$  and  $\gamma \in E_v^{\times} \cdot (1 + O_{E_v} \varpi_v j_v)$ . In this case,

$$m(\gamma, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}v(\lambda(\gamma)).$$

Here  $\lambda(\gamma) = q(\gamma_2)/q(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  is the decomposition according to  $B_v = E_v + E_v j_v$ .

Instead of deformation theory, our proof directly uses the theorem of p-adic uniformization of Čerednik [Che76]. See also [BC91].

Write B = B(v) for simplicity. Denote by  $F_v^{ur}$  the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F_v$  and by  $\mathbb{C}_v$  the completion of the algebraic closure of  $F_v$ . The p-adic uniformization in terms of rigid-analytic space is

$$X_U^{\mathrm{an}} \times_{F_v} F_v^{\mathrm{ur}} = B^{\times} \setminus (\Omega \times_{F_v} F_v^{\mathrm{ur}}) \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times} / U.$$

Here  $\Omega$  is the Drinfe'ld (rigid-analytic) upper half plane over  $F_v$ , which gives  $\Omega(\mathbb{C}_v) = \mathbb{C}_v - F_v$ . The group  $B_v^{\times} \cong \operatorname{GL}_2(F_v)$  acts on  $\Omega$  by the fractional linear transformation, and on  $\mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v \cong \mathbb{Z}$  via translation by  $v \circ q = v \circ \det$ .

To study the intersection multiplicity, we need the integral version of the uniformization. The uniformization theory also gives a canonical integral model  $\widehat{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega$ . It is a formal scheme over  $O_{F_v}$  obtained from successive blowing-up of rational points on the special fiber of  $\mathbb{P}_{O_{F_v}}$  constructed by Deligne. The uniformization takes the form

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{U} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}^{\operatorname{ur}}} = B^{\times} \backslash (\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}^{\operatorname{ur}}}) \times \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times} / U.$$

Here  $\mathcal{X}_U$  is the canonical integral model over  $O_F$ , which is semistable at v, and  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_U$  denotes the formal completion along the special fiber above v.

The special fiber of  $\widehat{\Omega}$ , or equivalently the underlying topological space of  $\widehat{\Omega}$ , is a union of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's indexed by scalar equivalence classes of  $O_{F_v}$ -lattices of  $F_v^2$ . Then its irreducible components are indexed by

$$\operatorname{GL}_2(F_v)/F_v^{\times}\operatorname{GL}_2(O_{F_v}).$$

It follows that the irreducible components of the special fiber of  $\mathcal{X}_U$  above v are indexed by

$$B^{\times} \backslash (\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)/F_v^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_2(O_{F_v})) \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}/U.$$

Consider the set

$$\mathrm{CM}_U = E^{\times} \backslash B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f) / U = B^{\times} \backslash (B^{\times} \times_{E^{\times}} \mathbb{B}_v^{\times} / U_v) \times B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f^v) / U^v.$$

The natural embedding  $CM_U \to X_U(\mathbb{C}_v)$  is given by the embedding

$$B^{\times} \times_{E^{\times}} \mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times} / U_{v} \longrightarrow \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\gamma, \beta) \longmapsto (\gamma z_{0}, v(q(\gamma)q(\beta))),$$

where  $z_0 \in \Omega(E_v)$  is the unique point in  $\Omega(\mathbb{C}_v)$  fixed by  $E_v^{\times}$ . Thus the CM points on  $\Omega$  are given by

$$\mathfrak{h}_{U_v}^\circ = \left\{ (\gamma,\beta) \in B_v^\times \times_{E_v^\times} \mathbb{B}_v^\times / U_v : v(q(\gamma)q(\beta)) = 0 \right\}.$$

As  $U_v$  is maximal, the class of  $(\gamma, \beta)$  in  $\mathfrak{h}_{U_v}^{\circ}$  is determined by  $\gamma$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{h}_{U_v}^{\circ}$  can be identified with

$$B_v^{\times}/E_v^{\times} = B_v^{\times} z_0.$$

Then we have a multiplicity function m on  $B_v^\times/E_v^\times$  such that

$$m(\gamma, \beta) = m(\gamma) 1_0(v(q(\gamma)q(\beta))), \qquad \gamma \in B_v^{\times}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}.$$

The problem is reduced to compute  $m(\gamma)$ , which is the intersection number of  $z_0$  with  $\gamma z_0$  on the special fiber.

The intersection number is on  $\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf}O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf}O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}$ . Since the irreducible components of its special fiber are indexed by  $\operatorname{GL}_2(F_v)/F_v^{\times}\operatorname{GL}_2(O_{F_v})$ , we see that  $m(\gamma)$  is nonzero only if  $\gamma$  lies in  $\operatorname{GL}_2(F_v)/F_v^{\times}\operatorname{GL}_2(O_{F_v})$ . Then we can assume that  $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_2(O_{F_v})$ , since the center acts trivially on  $z_0$ .

By the assumption,  $z_0$  and  $\gamma z_0$  reduce to the same irreducible component on the special fiber of  $\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}$ . Remove the other irreducible components of  $\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}$ . We obtain a formal scheme, which is just the formal completion of  $\mathbb{P}^1_{O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}} - \mathbb{P}^1(k_v)$  along the special fiber. Here  $k_v$  denotes the residue field of  $O_{F_v}$ , and the  $k_v$ -points on the special fiber are removed. Now the problem is elementary:  $z_0$  and  $\gamma z_0$  are points of  $\mathbb{P}^1_{O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}}$ , and the goal is to find their intersection number on the special fiber. We further replace  $\mathbb{P}^1_{O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^1_{O_{E_v}}$ , which does not change the intersection number.

The point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1(O_{E_v})$  corresponds to an  $O_{F_v}$ -linear isomorphism  $\ell_0$ :  $O_{F_v}^2 \to O_{E_v}$ , which is determined by  $z_0$  up to  $O_{E_v}^{\times}$ -action. Then  $\gamma z_0$  corresponds to the isomorphism  $\ell_0 \circ \gamma : O_{F_v}^2 \to O_{E_v}$ . We need to find the maximal integer n such that  $\ell_0$  and  $\ell_0 \circ \gamma$  reduce to the same point in  $\mathbb{P}^1(O_{E_v}/p_v^n)$ . Identify  $E_v$  with  $F_v^2$  by  $\ell_0$ , so that  $M_2(F_v)$  acts on  $E_v$ . The action is compatible with the embedding  $E \hookrightarrow B(v)$  which we specify at the very beginning because  $z_0$  is the fixed point of  $E_v^{\times}$ . Then the problem becomes finding the maximal integer m such that the image of  $\gamma$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(O_{F_v}/p_v^n)$  actually lies in  $(O_{E_v}/p_v^n)^{\times}$ .

Write  $\gamma = a + bj_v$  according to the orthogonal decomposition  $M_2(F_v) = E_v + E_v j_v$ . Here  $q(j_v) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$  by assumption. Some  $O_{E_v}^{\times}$ -multiple of  $j_v$  acts on  $E_v$  by the nontrivial element of  $\operatorname{Gal}(E_v/F_v)$ . Hence,  $m(\gamma) \neq 0$  only if  $a \in O_{E_v}^{\times}$  and  $b \in p_v O_{E_v}$ . In that case,  $m(\gamma) = v(b)$ .

Go back to an arbitrary  $\gamma \in GL_2(F_v)$ . We have  $m(\gamma) \neq 0$  only if  $\gamma \in E_v^{\times} \cdot (1 + O_{E_v} \varpi_v j_v)$ . In that case,  $m(\gamma) = v(\lambda(\gamma))/2$ .

The j-part. If v is a non-archimedean place of F split in  $\mathbb{B}$ , then we have the j-part  $j_v(Z_*(g,\phi)t_1,t_2)=0$  automatically. This is a trivial consequence of the fact that the special fiber of  $X_U$  at v is a disjoint union of irreducible curves. For the fact, in the construction of  $\mathcal{X}_U$  before Corollary 4.6, we can take the prime p to be coprime to v; then  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}$  is smooth at v. The special fiber of  $\mathcal{X}_{U'}$  at v is a disjoint union of irreducible curves, and the quotient  $\mathcal{X}_U$  has the same property since it is also a quotient of the underlying topological space.

In the following, assume that v is a non-archimedean place nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$  and inert in E. Note that  $U_v$  is maximal and  $\phi_v = 1_{O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^\times \times O_{F_v}^\times}$ . It is proved that the j-part  $j_v(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)$  is a nonsingular pseudo-theta series in [YZZ13] under [YZZ13, Assumption 5.3]. The result is also true in the current situation. Recall that

$$j_v(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2) = \oint_{C_{II}} j_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)tt_1, tt_2)dt.$$

The integration is a finite sum, so it suffices to prove the same result for  $j_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)$ .

LEMMA 8.9. Let v be a non-archimedean place nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$  and inert in E. The j-part  $j_{\bar{v}}(Z_*(g,\phi)_U t_1,t_2)$  is a nonsingular pseudo-theta series of the form

$$\sum_{u\in \mu_U^2\backslash F^\times} \sum_{y\in B(v)-\{0\}} r(g)\phi^v(y,u)\ l_{r(g)\phi_v}(y,u).$$

*Proof.* Resume the notation of Lemma 8.8. As above, denote by  $F_v^{\text{ur}}$  the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F_v$ . As all CM points of  $CM_U$  are defined over  $F_v^{\text{ur}}$ , the intersection number  $j_{\overline{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)$  can be computed on the integral model  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_{F_*^{\text{ur}}}}$ . By the definition in [YZZ13, §7.1.7],

$$j_{\overline{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2) = \overline{Z_*(g)t_1} \cdot V_{t_2}.$$

Here  $\overline{Z_*(g)t_1}$  is the Zariski closure in  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_{F_v^{ur}}}$ , and  $V_{t_2}$  is a vertical divisor on  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_{F_v^{ur}}}$ , i.e., a linear combination of irreducible components in the special fibers of  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_{F_v^{ur}}}$  which gives the  $\hat{\xi}$ -admissible arithmetic extension of  $t_2$ .

We still use the p-adic uniformization

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{U} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}^{\operatorname{ur}}} = B^{\times} \backslash (\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_{v}^{\operatorname{ur}}}) \times \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times} / U.$$

Here B = B(v) as before. The map from  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_U \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}$  to its set of connected components is exactly the natural composition

$$B^{\times} \setminus (\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}) \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times} / U \longrightarrow B^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{B}_f^{\times} / U \stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow} F_+^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_f^{\times} / q(U).$$

For the case  $t_2=1$ , write  $V_1=\sum_i a_i W_i$ , where  $\{W_i\}_i$  is the set of irreducible components of the special fiber of  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_{F_v^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$  lying in the same connected component as 1. Let  $\widetilde{W}_i$  be an irreducible component of the special fiber of  $\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\,O_{F_v}}$  Spf  $O_{F_v^{\mathrm{ur}}}$  lifting  $W_i$ . Note that the choice of  $\widetilde{W}_i$  is not unique, but we fix such choice. Write  $\widetilde{V}=\sum_i a_i \widetilde{W}_i$ , viewed as a vertical divisor of  $\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\,O_{F_v}}$  Spf  $O_{F_v^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ . The vertical divisor  $(\widetilde{V},1)=\sum_i a_i(\widetilde{W}_i,1)$  of  $(\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\,O_{F_v}})$  Spf  $O_{F_v^{\mathrm{ur}}}) \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}/U$  is a lifting of the vertical divisor  $V_1=\sum_i a_i W_i$ .

For general  $t_2 \in \mathbb{A}_f^{\times}$ , the vertical divisor  $(\widetilde{V}, t_2) = \sum_i a_i(\widetilde{W}_i, t_2)$  of

$$(\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}) \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$$

is a lifting of the vertical divisor  $V_{t_2}$ . In fact, by the projection formula, it suffices to verify that the intersection numbers of  $(\widetilde{V}, t_2)$  with any  $B^{\times}$ -invariant vertical divisors of  $(\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}) \times \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}/U$  are the expected ones. But these intersection numbers are given by the corresponding ones from the case  $t_2 = 1$ .

For any point  $\beta \in CM_U$ , the projection formula gives

$$\overline{\beta} \cdot V_{t_2} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{IU} \setminus B^{\times}} (\gamma^{-1} z_0 \cdot \widetilde{V}) 1_{O_{F_v}^{\times}} (q(\gamma) q(t_2) / q(\beta)) 1_{U^v} (t_2^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \beta).$$

Here  $z_0 \in \widehat{\Omega}(O_{F_v^{\mathrm{ur}}})$  is the unique fixed section of  $E_v^{\times}$ , and the intersection  $(\gamma^{-1}z_0 \cdot \widetilde{V})$  is taken on  $\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf}O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf}O_{F_v^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ .

Hence, as in all the previous cases of local heights, we have

$$\overline{Z_{*}(g)t_{1}} \cdot V_{t_{2}} = w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}/U} r(g)\phi(x)_{a}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus B^{\times}} (\gamma^{-1}z_{0} \cdot \widetilde{V}) 1_{O_{F_{v}}^{\times}} (q(\gamma)q(t_{2})/q(t_{1}x)) 1_{U^{v}} (t_{2}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}t_{1}x)$$

$$= w_{U} \sum_{a \in F^{\times}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus B^{\times}} r(g)\phi^{v} (t_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2})_{a}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times}/U_{v}} r(g)\phi_{v}(x)_{a} (\gamma^{-1}z_{0} \cdot \widetilde{V}) 1_{O_{F_{v}}^{\times}} (q(t_{1}^{-1}\gamma t_{2})/q(x))$$

$$= \sum_{u \in \mu_{U}^{2} \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{\gamma \in B^{\times}} r(g, (t_{1}, t_{2}))\phi^{v} (\gamma, u) \ r(t_{1}, t_{2}) l_{r(g)\phi_{v}} (\gamma, u),$$

where

$$l_{\phi_v}(\gamma, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v} \phi_v(x, uq(\gamma)/q(x)) 1_{O_{F_v}^{\times}}(q(x)/q(\gamma)) \ (\gamma^{-1}z_0 \cdot \widetilde{V}).$$

Here we have used  $(t_2^{-1}\gamma^{-1}t_1z_0\cdot\widetilde{V})=(\gamma^{-1}z_0\cdot\widetilde{V})$ , which is explained as follows. In fact,  $t_1z_0=z_0$  by definition. For  $t_2$ , since the intersection number is invariant under the action of  $B_v^{\times}$ , we have  $(t_2^{-1}\gamma^{-1}z_0\cdot\widetilde{V})=(\gamma^{-1}z_0\cdot t_2\widetilde{V})$ . But then  $t_2\widetilde{V}=\widetilde{V}$  since  $t_2\in F_v^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_2(O_{F_v})$  fixes every irreducible component of the special fiber of  $\widehat{\Omega}\times_{\mathrm{Spf}\,O_{F_v}}$ . Spf  $O_{F_v}$ .

Hence, the intersection number  $j_{\overline{v}}(Z_*(g)t_1, t_2)$  is a pseudo-theta series. It remains to prove that the function

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v} \phi_v(x, uq(\gamma)/q(x)) 1_{O_{F_v}^{\times}}(q(x)/q(\gamma)) \ (\gamma^{-1}z_0 \cdot \widetilde{V}), \quad (\gamma, u) \in B_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}$$

extends to a Schwartz function of  $B_v \times F_v^{\times}$ . The function is locally constant on  $B_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}$ , and we need to prove that its support is actually compactly supported in  $B_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}$ . In order for the contribution of  $x \in \mathbb{B}_v^{\times}/U_v$  to the summation to be nonzero, we need

$$x \in O_{\mathbb{B}_v}^{\times}, \quad uq(\gamma)/q(x) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}, \quad q(x)/q(\gamma) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}.$$

It follows that

$$l_{\phi_v}(\gamma, u) = (\gamma^{-1} z_0 \cdot \widetilde{V}) \cdot 1_{O_{F_v}^{\times}}(q(\gamma)) \cdot 1_{O_{F_v}^{\times}}(u).$$

In particular, it is already compactly supported in u.

To get extra information on  $\gamma$ , go back to the uniformization. Note that the irreducible components of the special fiber of  $\widehat{\Omega} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v}} \operatorname{Spf} O_{F_v^{\operatorname{ur}}}$  are indexed by

$$\operatorname{GL}_2(F_v)/F_v^{\times}\operatorname{GL}_2(O_{F_v}).$$

Denote by  $\alpha_i F_v^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(O_{F_v})$  the coset representing the component  $W_i$  of  $\widetilde{V} = \sum_i a_i W_i$ . Then we simply have

$$\gamma^{-1} z_0 \cdot \widetilde{V} = \sum_i a_i 1_{\alpha_i F_v^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(O_{F_v})} (\gamma^{-1}).$$

Combining with  $q(\gamma) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ , we conclude that the support of  $\gamma$  in  $l_{\phi_v}(1, \gamma, u)$  is the union of finitely many cosets of  $GL_2(O_{F_v})$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$ 

Remark 8.10. As we can see from the proof, the result holds under the more general condition that  $\phi_v(0,u)=0$ . This condition is weaker than [YZZ13, Assumption 5.3].

## 9. Quaternionic height

In this section, we will combine results in the last two sections to prove Theorem 1.7. We will prove a formula for the modified self-intersection  $i_0(1,1)$ by applying Lemma 6.1(2) to the difference

$$\mathcal{D}(g,\phi) = \mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)_U - 2Z(g,(1,1))_U.$$

Then we will connect  $i_0(1,1)$  to the height of CM points defined by arithmetic Hodge bundles by proving an adjunction formula.

9.1. Derivative series vs. height series. Let  $(F, E, \mathbb{B}, U, \phi)$  be as in Section 7.2. By comparing the height series and the derivative series, we will show a formula of the modified self-intersection

$$i_0(P, P) = i_0(1, 1) = i(1, 1) - \sum_v i_v(1, 1) \log N_v.$$

Here i(1,1) represents the horizontal arithmetic intersection of the CM point  $[1]_U \in C_U$  with itself, while the local term

$$i_v(1,1) = \int_{C_U} i_{\bar{v}}(t,t)dt$$

uses the extended definition of  $i_{\bar{v}}(t,t)$  introduced in Section 8.2 case by case. The following is the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 9.1. 
$$\frac{1}{[O_E^{\times}:O_F^{\times}]}i_0(P,P) = \frac{L_f'(0,\eta)}{L_f(0,\eta)} + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{d_{E/F}}{d_{\mathbb{B}}}\right).$$

The theorem is already very close to Theorem 1.7. The bridge between these two theorems is the arithmetic adjunction formula in Theorem 9.3. The comparison. Let  $(\mathbb{B}, U, \phi)$  be as in Section 7.2. Go back to

$$\mathcal{D}(g,\phi) = \mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)_U - 2Z(g,(1,1))_U.$$

By Theorem 7.2,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}rI'(0,g,\phi)_{U} &= -\sum_{v\mid\infty} 2 \oint_{C_{U}} \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) dt - \sum_{v\nmid\infty \text{ nonsplit}} 2 \oint_{C_{U}} \mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) dt \\ &+ \sum_{u\in\mu_{U}^{2}\backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y\in E^{\times}} (2\log\delta_{f}(g_{f}) + \log|uq(y)|_{f}) \ r(g)\phi(y,u) \\ &- \sum_{v\nmid\infty} \sum_{u\in\mu_{U}^{2}\backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y\in E^{\times}} c_{\phi_{v}}(g,y,u) \ r(g)\phi^{v}(y,u) \\ &- c_{1}\Omega_{\phi}(g). \end{split}$$

Here

$$c_1 = 2\frac{L_f'(0,\eta)}{L_f(0,\eta)} + \log\frac{d_E}{d_F}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\phi}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu_{U}^{2} \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g)\phi(y, u).$$

By Theorem 8.6,

$$Z(g, (1, 1), \phi))_{U} = -\sum_{v \text{ nonsplit}} (\log N_{v}) \oint_{C_{U}} \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (t, t)) dt$$
$$-\sum_{v \nmid \infty} \mathcal{N}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g, (1, 1)) \log N_{v} - \sum_{v \nmid \infty} j_{v}(Z_{*}(g, \phi)_{U}1, 1) \log N_{v}$$
$$-\frac{1}{e} i_{0}(1, 1) \Omega_{\phi}(g).$$

Here we write  $e = [O_E^{\times} : O_F^{\times}]$  for simplicity. We already know  $j_v(Z_*(g, \phi)_U 1, 1) \neq 0$  only if v is nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Group the terms in the difference as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}(g,\phi) &= -2\sum_{v|\infty} \int_{C_U} (\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) - \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t))) dt \\ &- 2\sum_{v\nmid\infty \text{ nonsplit}} \int_{C_U} (\mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) - \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) \log N_v) dt \\ &+ 2\sum_{v\in\Sigma_f} j_v(Z_*(g,\phi)_U 1,1) \log N_v \\ &+ \sum_{v\nmid\infty} \sum_{u\in\mu_U^2\backslash F^\times} \sum_{y\in E^\times} d_{\phi_v}(g,y,u) \ r(g)\phi^v(y,u) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{e}i_0(1,1) - c_1\right) \Omega_{\phi}(g). \end{split}$$

Here,

$$d_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) = 2n_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) \log N_v - c_{\phi_v}(g, y, u)$$
$$+ (2\log \delta(g) + \log |uq(y)|_v)r(g)\phi_v(y, u)$$
$$\forall g \in GL_2(F_v), (y, u) \in E_v^{\times} \times F_v^{\times}, \quad v \nmid \infty.$$

The key term for us is the coefficient of  $\Omega_{\phi}(g)$ .

Every term in the expression of  $\mathcal{D}(g,\phi)$  is a pseudo-theta series, and each summation over v is just a finite sum. In fact, we have the following itemized result:

(1) If  $v \mid \infty$ , then

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) - \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) = 0.$$

This follows from [YZZ13, Prop. 8.1]. In the following cases, we assume that v is non-archimedean.

(2) If v is nonsplit in E, then

$$k_{\phi_v}(1,y,u) - m_{\phi_v}(y,u) \log N_v$$

extends to a Schwartz function on  $B(v)_v \times F_v^{\times}$ . Furthermore, for all but finitely many such v,

$$k_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) - m_{r(g)\phi_v}(y, u) \log N_v = 0$$

identically, and thus

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) - \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) = 0.$$

The second statement is just [YZZ13, Prop. 8.8]. The first statement is a consequence of Lemmas 7.4 and 8.7.

(3) For any  $v \nmid \infty$ , the function

$$d_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = 2n_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) \log N_v - c_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) + \log |uq(y)|_v \phi_v(y, u)$$

extends to a Schwartz function on  $E_v \times F_v^{\times}$ . Furthermore, for all but finitely many v,

$$d_{\phi_n}(q, y, u) = 0$$

identically. The first statement is a consequence of Lemmas 7.6 and 8.7. From them, we see that  $d_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = 0$  for all but finitely many v. The vanishing result extends to  $d_{\phi_v}(g, y, u)$  by considering Iwasawa decompositions as in [YZZ13, Prop. 8.8].

(4) For any v nonsplit in  $\mathbb{B}$ , the j-part  $j_v(Z_*(g,\phi)_U 1,1)$  is a nonsingular pseudo-theta series of the form

$$\sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in B(v) - \{0\}} l_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) r(g) \phi^v(y, u).$$

This is Lemma 8.9.

With these results, every term on the right-hand side of  $\mathcal{D}(g,\phi)$  is a nonsingular pseudo-theta series. Therefore, we are finally ready to apply Lemma 6.1(2).

The outer theta series associated to the pseudo-theta series

$$\Omega_{\phi}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} r(g)\phi(y, u)$$

is exactly the weight-one theta series

$$\theta_{\Omega,1}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu_{U}^{2} \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E} r_{E}(g) \phi(y, u).$$

By Lemma 6.1(2), there is a unique identity including this theta series, and we are going to write down this identity explicitly. This identity will be a sum of theta series of weight one. We look at the contribution of every term in the expression.

The contribution of

$$\mathcal{K}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) - \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v)}(g,(t,t)) \log N_v$$

to the weight-one identity comes from its inner theta series

$$\sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E} r_E(g) \phi_v(y, u) \ r_E(g) (k_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) - m_{\phi_v}(y, u) \log N_v).$$

This sum does not change after averaging on  $C_U$ . The term  $j_v(Z_*(g,\phi)_U 1, 1)$  does not contribute to the identity we want. The term

$$\sum_{u \in \mu_{II}^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E^{\times}} d_{\phi_v}(g, y, u) \ r(g) \phi^v(y, u)$$

contributes by its outer theta series

$$\sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E} r_E(g) \phi^v(y, u) \ r_E(g) d_{\phi_v}(1, y, u).$$

Hence, we obtain the following identity:

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \ 2 \sum_{v \nmid \infty} \sum_{\text{nonsplit}} \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in E} r_E(g) \phi_v(y,u) \ r_E(g) (k_{\phi_v}(1,y,u) \\ &- m_{\phi_v}(y,u) \log N_v) + \sum_{v \nmid \infty} \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in E} r_E(g) \phi^v(y,u) \ r_E(g) d_{\phi_v}(1,y,u) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{e} i_0(1,1) - c_1\right) \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} \sum_{y \in E} r_E(g) \phi(y,u). \end{split}$$

Now we need the following explicit local results.

PROPOSITION 9.2. Let v be a non-archimedean place and  $(y,u) \in E_v \times F_v^{\times}$ .

(1) If v is nonsplit in E, then

$$2k_{\phi_n}(1, y, u) - 2m_{\phi_n}(y, u) \log N_v + d_{\phi_n}(1, y, u) = -\log |d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)|_v \phi_v(y, u).$$

(2) If v is split in E, then

$$d_{\phi_v}(1, y, u) = -\log |d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)|_v \phi_v(y, u).$$

*Proof.* Recall that

$$d_{\phi_n}(1, y, u) = 2n_{\phi_n}(1, y, u) \log N_v - c_{\phi_n}(1, y, u) + \log |uq(y)|_v \phi_v(y, u).$$

The proposition is just a combination of Lemmas 7.4, 7.6 and 8.7.  $\Box$ 

Therefore, the identity gives exactly

$$0 = \left(\sum_{v \nmid \infty} -\log|d_v q(\mathfrak{j}_v)|_v + \frac{2}{e}i_0(1,1) - c_1\right) \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E} r_E(g)\phi(y,u),$$

which is just

$$0 = \left(\log|d_F d_{\mathbb{B}}| + \frac{2}{e}i_0(1,1) - c_1\right)\theta_{\Omega,1}(g).$$

We claim that  $\theta_{\Omega,1}(g)$  is not identically zero. Then we get

$$\log|d_F d_{\mathbb{B}}| + \frac{2}{e}i_0(1,1) - c_1 = 0,$$

which proves Theorem 9.1.

It remains to check that the theta series

$$\theta_{\Omega,1}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \setminus F^{\times}} \sum_{y \in E} r_E(g) \phi(y, u)$$

is not identically zero. It suffices to check that the constant term

$$\sum_{u \in \mu_U^2 \backslash F^\times} r_E(g) \phi(0,u)$$

is not identically zero. For that, assume that for  $v \in \Sigma_f$  or  $v \in S_2$ ,

$$g_v = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1\\ -1 \end{array}\right),$$

and  $g_v = 1$  at any other place v. By local computation,  $r_E(g)\phi(0,1) > 0$  and  $r_E(g)\phi(0,u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in F^{\times}$ . Then the (finite) sum over u is strictly positive. This shows that the theta series is nonzero.

9.2. Arithmetic Adjunction Formula. Now we are going to relate

$$i_0(P, P) = i_0(1, 1) = i(1, 1) - \sum_v i_v(1, 1) \log N_v$$

to the Faltings height. Here  $i_v(1,1) = 0$  if v is split in E. It is essentially an arithmetic adjunction formula. The main result of this subsection is

THEOREM 9.3 (Arithmetic adjunction formula).

$$\frac{1}{[O_E^\times:O_F^\times]}i_0(P,P) = -h_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_U}(P).$$

This theorem and Theorem 9.1 imply Theorem 1.7. The goal of this subsection is to prove Theorem 9.3.

Denote by H the Hilbert class field of E. Then  $P = [1]_U$  is defined over H, and we view it as a rational point of  $X_{U,H}$ . By assumption, E is unramified at any  $v \in \Sigma_f$ . By Corollary 4.6,  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_H}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. We will consider arithmetic intersections over  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_H}$ . We will suppress the symbol U from the subscripts. For example,  $\mathcal{X}_{U,O_H}$  is written as  $\mathcal{X}_{O_H}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the Zariski closure of P in  $\mathcal{X}_{O_H}$ . Then we have an arithmetic divisor

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{P}, g_P),$$

where the Green function  $g_P = \{g_{P,w}\}_{w:H\to\mathbb{C}}$  is the admissible Green function as in [YZZ13, §7.1.5]. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathcal{P}})$  the corresponding hermitian line bundle. By definition,

$$i(1,1) = \frac{1}{[H:F]} \langle \bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P} \rangle = \frac{1}{[H:F]} \widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathcal{P}})|_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

Denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{O_H}$  the base change of the arithmetic Hodge class  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_U = \bar{\mathcal{L}}$  from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}_{O_H}$ . It follows that

$$h_{\hat{\omega}}(1) = \frac{1}{[H:F]} \widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{O_H}|_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

So the goal is to prove

$$\frac{1}{e}\widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathcal{P}})|_{\mathcal{P}}) + \widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{O_H}|_{\mathcal{P}}) = [H:F]\frac{1}{e}\sum_{v} i_v(1,1)\log N_v.$$

Here we denote  $e = [O_E^{\times} : O_F^{\times}]$  for simplicity, which is also the ramification index  $e_P$  of P. Rewriting the right-hand side according to places w of H, the equality becomes

$$\widehat{\operatorname{deg}}\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}|_{\mathcal{P}}\right) = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{w} i_{w}(1,1) \log N_{w}.$$

Here

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{O_H} \otimes \mathcal{O}(e^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{P}})$$

is a hermitian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}_{O_H}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  and M the finite part and the generic fiber of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . We first claim that there is canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Res}_P: M|_P \longrightarrow H.$$

In fact, by definition,

$$L = \omega_{X/F} \otimes \bigotimes_{Q \in X(\overline{F})} \mathcal{O}_X((1 - e_Q^{-1})Q).$$

Then

$$M = L_H \otimes \mathcal{O}(e^{-1}P) = \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{O_H}/O_H} \otimes \mathcal{O}(P) \otimes \bigotimes_{Q \in X(\overline{P}), \ Q \neq P} \mathcal{O}_X((1 - e_Q^{-1})Q).$$

It follows that we have canonical isomorphisms

$$M|_P \longrightarrow (\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{O_H}/O_H} \otimes \mathcal{O}(P))|_P \longrightarrow H.$$

Here the second map is just the residue map

$$\frac{du}{u} \otimes 1_P \longmapsto 1,$$

where u is any local coordinate of P in  $X_H$ , and  $1_P$  denotes the section 1 of  $\mathcal{O}(P)$ . The map does not depend on the choice of u.

By the residue map  $\operatorname{Res}_P: M|_P \to H$ , we have an induced hermitian line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{N}} = (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$  on  $\operatorname{Spec}(O_H)$ . Here  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the image of  $\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{P}}$  in H, which is a fractional ideal of H, and the metric on  $\mathcal{N}$  is determined by

$$||1||_w = \left\| \frac{du}{u} \otimes 1_P \right\|_w (P), \quad w: H \to \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we have

$$\widehat{\operatorname{deg}}\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}|_{\mathcal{P}}\right) = \widehat{\operatorname{deg}}(\bar{\mathcal{N}}) = -\sum_{w:H\to\mathbb{C}} \log \|1\|_w + \sum_{w\nmid\infty} \dim_{k_w}(\mathcal{N}_w/O_{H_w}) \log N_w.$$

Here the second summation is over all non-archimedean places w of H,  $k_w$  denotes the residue field of w, and  $\dim_{k_w}(\mathcal{N}_w/O_{H_w})$  means  $-\dim_{k_w}(O_{H_w}/\mathcal{N}_w)$  if  $\mathcal{N}_w$  is contained in  $O_{H_w}$ . However, we will see that  $\mathcal{N}_w$  always contains  $O_{H_w}$ .

The theorem is reduced to the local identities

$$-\log \|1\|_w = \frac{1}{e} i_w(P, P), \quad w: H \to \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$\dim_{k_w}(\mathcal{N}_w/O_{H_w}) = \frac{1}{e}i_w(P,P), \quad w \nmid \infty.$$

We will see that the ideas in the different cases are very similar even though the reductions are completely different. Archimedean case. We first check the local identity for the archimedean case, so w is an embedding  $H \to \mathbb{C}$ . It restricts to an embedding  $v: F \to \mathbb{C}$ . We have a uniformization

$$X_v(\mathbb{C}) = B_+^{\times} \backslash \mathfrak{h} \times B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U.$$

Here B = B(v) is the nearby quaternion algebra. Under the uniformization, the point P is represented by  $(z_0, t)$  for some  $t \in E^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ . The metric  $\|\cdot\|_w$  of  $O(\bar{P})$  is given by

$$-\log \|1_P\|_w([z,\beta]) = i_{\bar{v}}([z,\beta],[z_0,t])$$

for any other point  $[z, \beta] \in X_v(\mathbb{C})$  not equal to  $[z_0, t]$ . Here we recall from [YZZ13, §8.1] that

$$i_{\bar{v}}([z,\beta],[z_0,t]) = \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus B_+^{\times}} m_s(z_0,\gamma z) 1_U(t^{-1}\gamma\beta),$$

where

$$m_s(z_0, z) = Q_s \left( 1 + \frac{|z - z_0|^2}{2 \text{Im}(z_0) \text{Im}(z)} \right).$$

Consider the covering map

$$\pi: \mathfrak{h} \times B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U \longrightarrow X_v(\mathbb{C}).$$

Here the left-hand side is just a countable disjoint union of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{P}$  the point  $(z_0,t)$  in this space, which is a lifting of  $P=[z_0,t]$ . By the construction of the Hodge bundle,  $\pi^*L$  is canonically isomorphic to the sheaf  $\Omega^1$  of holomorphic 1-forms on  $\mathfrak{h} \times B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U$ . As a consequence, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$(M|_P) \otimes_w \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (\pi^*M)|_{\tilde{P}} = (\pi^*L_H \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(e^{-1}P))|_{\tilde{P}} \longrightarrow (\Omega^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}))|_{\tilde{P}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Here the last map is a residue map again, and the whole composition is exactly the base change to  $\mathbb{C}$  of the original residue map  $\operatorname{Res}_P: M|_P \to H$ .

Let  $\tilde{Q} = (z_1, t)$  be a point of  $\mathfrak{h} \times B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U$ , and let  $Q = [z_1, t]$  be its image in the quotient  $X_v(\mathbb{C})$ . Consider the behavior as  $z_1$  approaches  $z_0$ , which also means  $\tilde{Q} \to \tilde{P}$  or  $Q \to P$  in the complex topology. Let z be the usual coordinate of  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , so that  $z - z_0$  gives a local coordinate at  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\mathfrak{h} \times B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U$ . Then the second residue map gives

$$||1||_{w} = \lim_{\tilde{Q} \to \tilde{P}} \left( \left\| \frac{dz}{z - z_{0}} \right\|_{\text{Pet}} (\tilde{Q}) \cdot ||1_{P}(Q)||^{\frac{1}{e}} \right).$$

Recall that the Petersson metric gives

$$\left\| \frac{dz}{z - z_0} \right\|_{\text{Pet}} (\tilde{Q}) = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im}(z_1)}{|z_1 - z_0|}.$$

On the other hand, the Green function is

$$-\log \|1_{P}\|_{w}(Q)$$

$$= i_{\overline{v}}([z_{1}, t], [z_{0}, t])$$

$$= \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus B_{+}^{\times}} m_{s}(z_{0}, \gamma z_{1}) 1_{U}(t^{-1}\gamma t)$$

$$= e \cdot m_{0}(z_{0}, z_{1}) + \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U} \setminus (B_{+}^{\times} - E^{\times})} m_{s}(z_{0}, \gamma z_{1}) 1_{U}(t^{-1}\gamma t).$$

The definition has been extended to self-intersection as

$$i_{\bar{v}}([z_0,t],[z_0,t]) = \widetilde{\lim}_{s \to 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_U \setminus (B_+^\times - E^\times)} m_s(z_0,\gamma z_0) 1_U(t^{-1}\gamma t).$$

Hence,

$$-\log \|1\|_{w} = \lim_{z_1 \to z_0} \left( m_0(z_0, z_1) - \log \frac{2\operatorname{Im}(z_1)}{|z_1 - z_0|} \right) + \frac{1}{e} i_{\bar{v}}(P, P).$$

It remains to check that the limit on the right-hand side is exactly zero.

Note that

$$m_0(z_0, z_1) = Q_0 \left( 1 + \frac{|z_1 - z_0|^2}{2\operatorname{Im}(z_0)\operatorname{Im}(z_1)} \right).$$

By [GZ86, II, (2.6)],

$$Q_0(t) = \frac{1}{t+1}F(1,1,2,\frac{2}{t+1}) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{t+1}{t-1}.$$

It follows that

$$m_0(z_0, z_1) - \log \frac{2\operatorname{Im}(z_1)}{|z_1 - z_0|} = \frac{1}{2}\log \left(1 + \frac{|z_1 - z_0|^2}{4\operatorname{Im}(z_0)\operatorname{Im}(z_1)}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z_1)}{\operatorname{Im}(z_0)},$$

which converges to 0 as  $z_1 \to z_0$ . This finishes the archimedean case.

Non-archimedean case. Let w be a non-archimedean place of H. Let v be the restriction of w to F. To prove the arithmetic adjunction formula, the key is the following geometric interpretation of the extended intersection  $i_w(P,P)=i_{\bar{v}}(P,P)$ . For convenience, denote by  $R=O_{H_w^{ur}}$  the integer ring of the completion  $H_w^{ur}$  of the maximal unramified extension of  $H_w$ .

LEMMA 9.4. Let  $U' = U_v U'^v$  be an open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_f$  with  $U'^v \subset U^v$  normal. Consider the projection  $\pi : \mathcal{X}_{U',R} \to \mathcal{X}_{U,R}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}'$  an irreducible component of the divisor  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{P}_R$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{U',R}$ . If  $U'^v$  is small enough, then

$$i_w(P,P) = \langle \pi^{-1} \mathcal{P}_R - e \mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}' \rangle.$$

Here the pairing denotes the intersection multiplicity on the special fiber of  $\mathcal{X}_{U',R}$ .

In the lemma, the morphism  $\pi$  is étale, so  $\mathcal{P}'$  must be a section of  $\mathcal{X}_{U',R}$  over R. The ramification index of P is e. Then the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{P}'$  in  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{P}$  is e if  $U'^v$  is small enough, so the intersection in the lemma is a proper intersection. The lemma can be viewed as a modified projection formula. We will prove it later, but let us first use it to finish the proof of the arithmetic adjunction formula.

Recall that it is reduced to the local identity

$$\dim_{k_w}(\mathcal{N}_w/O_{H_w}) = \frac{1}{e}i_w(P, P).$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the image of  $\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{P}}$  under the residue map

$$\operatorname{Res}_P: M|_P \longrightarrow H$$

As in the archimedean case, we will have a different interpretation of the residue map. Let  $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{U',R} \to \mathcal{X}_{U,R}$  and  $\mathcal{P}'$  be as in the lemma. Denote by P' the generic fiber of  $\mathcal{P}'$ . By the definition of the Hodge bundle, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\pi^* L_{U,H_w^{\mathrm{ur}}} \longrightarrow \omega_{X_{U',H_w^{\mathrm{ur}}}/H_w^{\mathrm{ur}}}, \quad \pi^* \mathcal{L}_{U,R} \longrightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{U',R}/R}.$$

Thus we have canonical isomorphisms

$$(M|_{P}) \otimes_{H} H_{w}^{\operatorname{ur}} \longrightarrow (\pi^{*}L_{U,H_{w}^{\operatorname{ur}}} \otimes \pi^{*}\mathcal{O}(e^{-1}P))|_{P'}$$
$$\longrightarrow (\omega_{X_{U'}H^{\operatorname{ur}}/H_{w}^{\operatorname{ur}}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(P'))|_{P'} \longrightarrow H_{w}^{\operatorname{ur}}.$$

Here the last map is a residue map again, and the whole composition is exactly the base change to  $H_w^{\mathrm{ur}}$  of the original residue map  $\mathrm{Res}_P: M|_P \to H$ .

The computation is to track the change of integral structures of the composition. The composition has the integral version

$$(\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{P}}) \otimes_{O_H} R \longrightarrow (\pi^* \mathcal{L}_{U,R} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(e^{-1} \mathcal{P}))|_{\mathcal{P}'} \to (\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{U',R}/R} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}'))|_{\mathcal{P}'} \longrightarrow R.$$

The first arrow is an isomorphism by definition, and the last arrow is an isomorphism by the adjunction formula on  $\mathcal{X}_{U',R}$ . The dashed arrow in the middle may only be a well-defined map after base change to  $H_w^{\mathrm{ur}}$ , but we write it this way to track the change of the integral structure. Thus  $\dim_{k_w}(\mathcal{N}_w/O_{H_w})$  is equal to the dimension of the quotient of two sides of the dashed arrow. Tensoring with  $(\pi^*\mathcal{L}_{U,R}|_{\mathcal{P}'})^{\otimes (-1)}$ , the dashed arrow becomes

$$\pi^* \mathcal{O}(e^{-1}\mathcal{P})|_{\mathcal{P}'} \to \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}')|_{\mathcal{P}'}.$$

Tensoring with  $\pi^*\mathcal{O}(-e^{-1}\mathcal{P})|_{\mathcal{P}'}$ , it further becomes

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}'} \to \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}' - e^{-1}\pi^*\mathcal{P})|_{\mathcal{P}'}.$$

Note that  $e^{-1}\pi^*\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'$  is an effective divisor. The real map should be the inverse direction

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}' - e^{-1}\pi^*\mathcal{P})|_{\mathcal{P}'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}'}.$$

The image of the last map is the restriction of the ideal sheaf of  $e^{-1}\pi^*\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'$  to  $\mathcal{P}'$ , so the cokernel of the map has dimension exactly equal to the intersection number

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(e^{-1}\pi^*\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}') \rangle$$
.

Hence,

$$\dim_{k_w}(\mathcal{N}_w/O_{H_w}) = \langle \mathcal{O}(e^{-1}\pi^*\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}', \ \mathcal{P}' \rangle.$$

By Lemma 9.4, it further equals

$$\frac{1}{e}i_w(P,P).$$

This finishes the proof of the adjunction formula.

*Proof of the lemma*. Here we prove Lemma 9.4. Let  $U' = U_v U'^v$  be as in the lemma. Recall that if v is nonsplit in E, then

$$i_{\bar{v}}([1]_{U'},[1]_{U'}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U'} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times} \cap U')} m(\gamma,1) 1_{U'^{v}}(\gamma).$$

Here B = B(v), and the multiplicity function  $m : B_v^{\times} \times_{E_v^{\times}} \mathbb{B}_v^{\times} / U_v \to \mathbb{Q}$  takes the same form for U and U'. The key is the following result.

LEMMA 9.5. If v is nonsplit in E, then  $i_{\bar{v}}([1]_{U'},[1]_{U'})=0$  if  $U'^v$  is small enough.

Proof. Note that  $m(\gamma, 1)$ , as a function in  $\gamma$ , is supported on an open compact subgroup  $W_v$  of  $B_v^{\times}$ . In fact, by  $q(\gamma) \in O_{F_v}^{\times}$ , we can take  $W_v = O_{B_v}^{\times}$  if v is nonsplit in B, and  $W_v$  still exists if v is split in B by Lemma 8.8. Then  $\gamma$  contributes to the summation only if  $\gamma \in B^{\times} \cap W$ . Here we write  $W = W_v U'^v$  as a open compact subgroup of  $B^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ . Since B is totally definite,  $\mu_W$  has finite index in  $B^{\times} \cap W$ . Let S be set of representatives of the nontrivial cosets of  $B^{\times} \cap W/\mu_W$ . Shrinking  $U'^v$  if necessary, we can keep  $\mu_W$  invariant, but make  $S \cap U'^v$  empty. Hence, we end up with  $B^{\times} \cap W = \mu_W$ . It follows that  $B^{\times} \cap W \subset E^{\times} \cap U'$ . Then the sum for  $i_{\overline{v}}([1]_{U'}, [1]_{U'})$  has no nonzero terms.  $\square$ 

Now we prove Lemma 9.4. By the right multiplication of U on  $X_{U'}$ , it is easy to see that the Galois group of  $X_{U'} \to X_U$  is isomorphic to  $U/(U'\mu_U)$ . It follows that

$$\pi^{-1}(P) = \pi^{-1}([1]_U) = \sum_{\beta \in U/(U'\mu_U)} [\beta]_{U'} = \frac{1}{[\mu_U : \mu_{U'}]} \sum_{\beta \in U/U'} [\beta]_{U'}.$$

Denote  $P' = [1]_{U'}$ . We can assume that  $\mathcal{P}'$  is the Zariski closure of P' since the intersection multiplicity in the lemma does not depend on the choice of

 $\mathcal{P}'$  by the action of the Galois group of  $X_{U'} \to X_U$ . Assume that U' satisfies Lemma 9.5; i.e.,  $i_{\bar{v}}(P', P') = 0$ . Then

$$\langle \pi^{-1} \mathcal{P} - e \mathcal{P}', \ \mathcal{P}' \rangle = i_{\bar{v}} (\pi^{-1} P - e P', P') = i_{\bar{v}} (\pi^{-1} P, P').$$

It is reduced to check

$$i_{\bar{v}}(\pi^{-1}P, P') = i_{\bar{v}}(P, P).$$

Here both sides use our extended definitions. It is straightforward by the expression of  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  above.

We first assume that v is nonsplit in E. Recall that for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_f^{\times}$ ,

$$i_{\bar{v}}([\beta]_{U'},[1]_{U'}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U'} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times} \cap \beta_v U_v)} m(\gamma,\beta_v^{-1}) 1_{U'^v}((\beta^v)^{-1}\gamma).$$

Then

$$i_{\bar{v}}(\pi^{-1}P, P') = \frac{1}{[\mu_{U} : \mu_{U'}]} \sum_{\beta \in U/U'} i_{\bar{v}}([\beta]_{U'}, [1]_{U'})$$

$$= \frac{1}{[\mu_{U} : \mu_{U'}]} \sum_{\beta \in U^{v}/U'^{v}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U'} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times} \cap U_{v})} m(\gamma, 1) 1_{U'^{v}}(\beta^{-1}\gamma)$$

$$= \frac{1}{[\mu_{U} : \mu_{U'}]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U'} \setminus (B^{\times} - E^{\times} \cap U_{v})} m(\gamma, 1) 1_{U^{v}}(\gamma)$$

$$= i_{\bar{v}}(P, P).$$

This finishes the nonsplit case.

It remains to treat the case that v is split in E. In this case, Lemma 9.5 is automatic, since  $i_{\bar{v}}(P',P')=0$  is actually true for any U'. The proof is similar to the nonsplit case by the formula

$$i_{\bar{v}}([\beta]_{U'},[1]_{U'}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_{U'} \setminus (E^{\times} - \beta_v U_v)} m_{\bar{v}}(\gamma^{-1}\beta) 1_{U^v}(\beta^{-1}\gamma).$$

It is also similar to the second half of the proof of Lemma 8.4. An interesting consequence is that both sides of Lemma 9.4 are 0.

## References

- [And82] G. W. Anderson, Logarithmic derivatives of Dirichlet *L*-functions and the periods of abelian varieties, *Compositio Math.* **45** no. 3 (1982), 315–332. MR 0656608. Zbl 0501.14025. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\_1982\_45\_3\_315\_0.
- [AGHMP18] F. Andréatta, E. Goren, B. Howard, and K. Madapusi-Pera, Faltings heights of abelian varieties with complex multiplication, *Ann. of Math.* **187** no. 2 (2018), 391–531. https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2018. 187.2.3.

- [BC91] J.-F. BOUTOT and H. CARAYOL, Uniformisation p-adique des courbes de Shimura: les théorèmes de čerednik et de Drinfel'd, Astérisque no. 196-197 (1991), 7, 45–158 (1992), Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura (Orsay, 1987/1988). MR 1141456. Zbl 0781.14010.
- [Car86] H. CARAYOL, Sur la mauvaise réduction des courbes de Shimura, Compositio Math. **59** no. 2 (1986), 151–230. MR 0860139. Zbl 0607.14021. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\_1986\_59\_2\_151\_0.
- [Che76] I. V. CHEREDNIK, Towers of algebraic curves that can be uniformized by discrete subgroups of  $PGL_2(k_w) \times E$ , Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **99(141)** no. 2 (1976), 211–247, 296. MR 0506278. Zbl 0329.14014. https://doi.org/10.1070/SM1976v028n02ABEH001647.
- [Col93] P. COLMEZ, Périodes des variétés abéliennes à multiplication complexe, Ann. of Math. (2) 138 no. 3 (1993), 625–683. MR 1247996. Zbl 0826. 14028. https://doi.org/10.2307/2946559.
- [Del71] P. Deligne, Travaux de Shimura, Lecture Notes in Math. **244** (1971), 123–165. MR 0498581. Zbl 0225.14007. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SB\_1970-1971\_\_13\_\_123\_0.
- [Fal83] G. Faltings, Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern, Invent. Math. 73 no. 3 (1983), 349–366. MR 0718935.
   Zbl 0588.14026. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388432.
- [Gro78] B. H. GROSS, On the periods of abelian integrals and a formula of Chowla and Selberg, *Invent. Math.* 45 no. 2 (1978), 193–211, With an appendix by David E. Rohrlich. MR 0480542. Zbl 0418.14023. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01390273.
- [Gro86] B. H. Gross, On canonical and quasicanonical liftings, *Invent. Math.* 84 no. 2 (1986), 321–326. MR 0833193. Zbl 0597.14044. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388810.
- [GZ86] B. H. GROSS and D. B. ZAGIER, Heegner points and derivatives of L-series, Invent. Math. 84 no. 2 (1986), 225–320. MR 0833192. Zbl 0608. 14019. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388809.
- [GRR72] A. GROTHENDIECK, M. RAYNAUD, and D. S. RIM (eds.), Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I, Lecture Notes in Math.
  288, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I). MR 0354656. Zbl 0237.
  00013. https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0068688.
- [Ill85] L. Illusie, Déformations de groupes de Barsotti-Tate (d'après A. Grothendieck), Astérisque no. 127 (1985), 151–198, Seminar on arithmetic bundles: the Mordell conjecture (Paris, 1983/84). MR 0801922. Zbl 1182.14050.
- [Kim12] W. Kim, The classification of p-divisible groups over 2-adic discrete valuation rings,  $Math.~Res.~Lett.~\mathbf{19}$  no. 1 (2012), 121–141. MR 2923180. Zbl 1284.14056. https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2012.v19.n1.a10.
- [Kis06] M. Kisin, Crystalline representations and F-crystals, in Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory, Progr. Math. 253, Birkhäuser Boston,

- Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 459–496. MR 2263197. Zbl 1184.11052. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4532-8\_7.
- [Kis10] M. KISIN, Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** no. 4 (2010), 967–1012. MR 2669706. Zbl 1280.11033. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-10-00667-3.
- [Lau14] E. LAU, Relations between Dieudonné displays and crystalline Dieudonné theory, Algebra Number Theory 8 no. 9 (2014), 2201–2262. MR 3294388. Zbl 1308.14046. https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2014.8.2201.
- [Liu13] T. Liu, The correspondence between Barsotti-Tate groups and Kisin modules when p=2, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **25** no. 3 (2013), 661–676. MR 3179680. Zbl 1327.14206. Available at http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\_2013\_25\_3\_661\_0.
- [Mes72] W. Messing, The Crystals Associated to Barsotti-Tate Groups: with Applications to Abelian Schemes, Lect. Notes in Math. 264, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. MR 0347836. Zbl 0243.14013. https://doi.org/10. 1007/BFb0058301.
- [Obu13] A. OBUS, On Colmez's product formula for periods of CM-abelian varieties, *Math. Ann.* **356** no. 2 (2013), 401–418. MR 3048601. Zbl 1357. 11059. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-012-0855-4.
- [Ray74] M. RAYNAUD, Schémas en groupes de type  $(p,\ldots,p)$ , Bull. Soc. Math. France 102 (1974), 241–280. MR 0419467. Zbl 0325.14020. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF\_1974\_-102\_-241\_0.
- [SC67] A. SELBERG and S. CHOWLA, On Epstein's zeta-function, J. Reine Angew. Math. 227 (1967), 86–110. MR 0215797. Zbl 0166.05204. https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1967.227.86.
- [Tsi18] J. TSIMERMAN, The André-Oort conjecture for  $a_g$ , Ann. of Math. 187 no. 2 (2018), 379–390. https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2018.18.2.2.
- [Wal85] J.-L. WALDSPURGER, Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symétrie, Compositio Math. 54 no. 2 (1985), 173–242. MR 0783511. Zbl 0567.10021. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\_1985\_54\_2\_173\_0.
- [Yan10] T. Yang, An arithmetic intersection formula on Hilbert modular surfaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **132** no. 5 (2010), 1275–1309. MR 2732347. Zbl 1206.14049. https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2010.0002.
- [Yan13] T. Yang, Arithmetic intersection on a Hilbert modular surface and the Faltings height, *Asian J. Math.* **17** no. 2 (2013), 335–381. MR 3078934. Zbl 1298.11056. https://doi.org/10.4310/AJM.2013.v17.n2.a4.
- [YZZ13] X. Yuan, S.-W. Zhang, and W. Zhang, The Gross-Zagier formula on Shimura curves, Annals of Mathematics Studies 184, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2013. MR 3237437. Zbl 1272.11082.
- [Zha01] S.-W. Zhang, Gross-Zagier formula for  $GL_2$ ,  $Asian\ J.\ Math.\ 5$  no. 2 (2001), 183–290. MR 1868935. Zbl 1111.11030. https://doi.org/10.4310/AJM.2001.v5.n2.a1.

(Received: December 21, 2015) (Revised: August 16, 2017)

University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

 $E\text{-}mail\colon yxy@berkeley.edu$ 

Princeton University, Princeton, NJ  $E\text{-}mail\colon$  shouwu@math.princeton.edu