



SYMPOSIUM

Implementing Fabrication as a Pedagogical Tool in Vertebrate Anatomy Courses: Motivation, Inclusion, and Lessons

Katie Lynn Staab¹

Biology Department, McDaniel College, Westminster, MD 21157, USA

From the symposium, “Biology Beyond the Classroom: Experiential Learning Through Authentic Research, Design, and Community Engagement,” presented virtually at the annual meeting of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology, January 3–7, 2021.

¹E-mail: kstaab@mcDaniel.edu

Synopsis Increasing course structure by incorporating active learning and multimodal pedagogical strategies benefits all learners. Students of vertebrate anatomy can especially benefit from practicing fabrication, or “making”, incorporating skills such as 3D digital modeling, 3D printing, and using familiar low-tech materials to construct informed replicas of animal anatomy. Student perceptions of active learning projects are shaped by motivation theories such as the expectancy-value theory and self-directed learning, both of which are briefly reviewed here. This paper offers inspiration and resources to instructors for establishing a makerspace in an anatomy lab and leveraging community partners to stimulate students to construct their own versions of nature’s designs. Learning science in informal environments and specifically in makerspaces has been shown to promote equity and increase motivation to study science. Examples here emphasize accessibility for diverse learners, including strategies for instructors to ensure ease of student access to 3D technology. Scaffolding formative assessments builds student confidence and expertise, further closing opportunity gaps. Two specific cases are detailed where fabrication and the use of 3D digital models are used to augment student learning of vertebrate anatomy at a small liberal arts college. In a semester-long research project in an introductory biomechanics course, students investigate, write about, and build models of animal anatomy of their choice. They use simple materials, crafting supplies, household tools, and/or 3D printing to demonstrate structures of interest, enhancing understanding of the physical principles of animal form and function. Given increased availability of CT data online, students can download, analyze, and 3D print skeletal models of both common and endangered animals. Comparative anatomy students reported that they had increased motivation to study intricate skeletal anatomy simply by manipulating bones in a 3D software assignment. Students in both classes reported enjoying the use of fabrication in learning vertebrate anatomy and this may establish a pattern of lifelong learning.

Introduction

“What is school for?” asks author and entrepreneur Seth Godin in his education manifesto “Stop Stealing Dreams” (Godin 2012). He argues that society has changed profoundly since the institutionalization of public and higher education and so too should school change. Similarly, advances in how scientists understand animal anatomy as well as developments of sophisticated medical technologies should inspire instructors to think beyond the delivery of textbook-

based content. Furthermore, the scholarship of teaching and learning provides evidence for instructors of all fields on how students learn and how to best facilitate lifelong learning (e.g., Berman 2015). The applications of 3D technology in the anatomical sciences are abundant and with the increased accessibility of open-source 3D software (Buser et al. 2020) and affordability of 3D printers, these applications are growing in fields such as ecology and evolution (Walker and Humphries 2019) and paleontology (Johnson and Carter 2019).

Advance Access publication June 26, 2021

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1 Digital repositories of 3D vertebrate anatomy for use in teaching with fabrication

Source	Projects of interest to CVA instruction	Description and recommendations	url
Morphosource		NSF-funded repository with tens of thousands of 3D models of biological specimens and more. Free file download with registration and login.	https://www.morphosource.org/
	oVert: Open Exploration of Vertebrate Diversity in 3D	Coordinated effort to scan all vertebrates in museum collections.	Browse > projects > oVert for various links
	Tiktaalik rosae complete and disarticulated skull	From Lemberg et al. 2021	https://www.morphosource.org/projects/0000C1213
Sketchfab		General 3D viewer website where users publish 3D files of any genre for viewing, download, and/or purchase.	https://sketchfab.com/
	Witmer Lab	Dinosaurs and many bird species; rhinoceros, <i>Iguana</i> , human, bobcat, boa, polar bear, and more.	https://sketchfab.com/witmerlab See also: https://people.ohio.edu/witmerl/projects.htm
	Blackburn Lab	Part of the oVert project; includes hundreds of anatomical models, with an emphasis on herpetology. Many are annotated (e.g., “Wheel of Homology”) as valuable teaching resources. Downloads available at Morphosource.	https://sketchfab.com/ufherps
	Holliday Lab	Excellent teaching resources, including alligator skull and cranial nerves with annotations (from Lessner and Holliday 2020).	https://sketchfab.com/holliday
	University of Dundee Museum Collections	Many downloadable files of charismatic megafauna including hippopotamus, lion, gorilla, elephant, and dolphin.	https://sketchfab.com/uod_museums/models
Digimorph		One of the oldest NSF-funded repositories for CT scans of animal anatomy, many of which have downloadable .stl files for 3D printing.	http://digimorph.org/resources/STLs.phtml
MorphoMuseum		“M3” is the partner repository for 3D files related to publications in the journal <i>Palaeovertebrata</i> (Lebrun and Orliac 2016).	https://morphomuseum.com/Pages/home
Thingiverse		General repository for 3D files of all genres; free to download. Beware of inaccuracies in uploads by non-experts.	https://www.thingiverse.com/
	Dr. Ian Browne	Includes domestic cat skull, skulls of North American species (e.g., otter, turkey, porcupine, opossum, mink, raccoon)	https://www.thingiverse.com/doccopemys/designs
Thomas et al. 2016		Chondrocranium of spiny dogfish <i>Squalus acanthias</i> and skeleton of cane toad <i>Rhinella marina</i> , common species of focus in CVA. Files available as supplemental material.	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/joa.12484

Furthermore, researchers are sharing CT scans of animal bones on open access online databases ([Table 1](#)) or as supplemental files to publications ([Thomas et al. 2016](#); supplementary material for this article), making

3D files available for use in teaching. By incorporating fabrication into undergraduate anatomy classrooms, instructors can not only inspire students to become innovators and learn both content and skills in a deeper and

more engaging way but also do so in an equitable and inclusive way, grounded in the theories and evidence for student learning.

Fabrication, or “making”, in the classroom can not only enhance familiar skills but also improve the process of invention (Blikstein and Krannich 2013). Fabrication can be in the form of creating a digital model in 3D software, 3D printing a physical model through additive manufacturing, or in its most basic form, reminiscent of woodshop or arts and crafts classes. The goal here is to use fabrication as a learning tool, not as an isolated activity divorced from scholarship. A recurring theme in the literature on teaching and learning anatomy is that multimodal approaches have the most successful learning outcomes (Sugand et al. 2010; Kerby et al. 2011; Berman 2015; Estai and Bunt 2016; Wammes et al. 2019). Importantly, making is a way to learn science in a less formal environment and can foster a sense of belonging for historically minoritized students in STEM (Harvin 2015).

This paper provides examples of how to incorporate fabrication into undergraduate comparative vertebrate anatomy (CVA) and introductory biomechanics courses, with an emphasis on creating an inclusive learning environment and ensuring accessibility of resources. A brief overview of theories of motivation related to student learning is given and there is a discussion of important considerations to make these activities as accessible and inclusive as possible. Inspiration and resources are offered for establishing a makerspace in an anatomy lab and leveraging community partners. Finally, two specific cases are detailed where fabrication and the use of 3D digital models are used to augment student learning of vertebrate anatomy at a small liberal arts college.

Equity-minded motivation for implementing fabrication into vertebrate anatomy courses

Why change the teaching methods for vertebrate anatomy? Changes to pedagogy can not only increase student performance in STEM (Freeman et al. 2014), but can also promote a more inclusive learning environment (Tanner 2013). For the purpose of this paper, “inclusive” will refer to a student’s sense of belonging in the classroom. The term “equity” will be used to refer to every student having what they need to successfully learn. Examples are given where instructors can facilitate accessibility of the software, content, and intrinsic motivation to every learner.

“Successful learning” in anatomy is usually measured by grades, and instructors often note a bimodal distribution

(e.g., Venail et al. 2010), especially in more introductory courses, with some students performing exceedingly well on exams and other students underperforming on traditional assessments. Recent work has shown that this perception is not always true (Patitsas et al. 2019). Even if there is a normal distribution of grades, it is worth catching the underperforming outliers, those students who may have unseen barriers to learning, especially from traditional methods of lectures and exams.

Uneven patterns of grade distribution, formerly known as the achievement gap, are now referred to as the opportunity gap, reflecting the inequities in the American K-12 education system and access to technology (Goode 2010). At the college level, strategies to fix this opportunity gap may approach student learning from a deficit mindset, blaming the student or “the system” for underperformance, and offering remedial courses or extra tutoring to help underperforming students “catch up” to their peers (Redeaux 2011; Gorski 2019). This approach can burden the students that instructors want to help even more by giving them more tasks and labeling them as being behind before they have begun. Indeed, the underperforming students may bring other social and personal stressors to the classroom such as racialized stress from stereotyped threat (Steele 2011) or working a full-time job to pay for college (Clement 2016). These stressors affect a student’s cognitive load and decrease their capacity for learning.

Instead, educators can approach the opportunity gap with an equity mindset, ensuring every student has what they need to learn, which can include access to technology, the motivation to learn, a sense of belonging, and much more. An inclusive learning environment is designed to value every student’s inherent talents and experiences. When pedagogical choices foster a sense of belonging for students, this classroom climate leads to the development of students’ sense of awareness and empathy (Dewsbury and Brame 2019) and there is a wide range of small changes in course design that can lead to impactful changes from the student perspective. Minimally, providing low-stakes assignments (i.e., those with a lower points value) early in a course, also called scaffolding, affords students the opportunity to receive constructive feedback on their learning progress and allows instructors to identify gaps in knowledge and/or skills before an important graded exam. Adding structure to biology courses by using such methods as adding preparatory homework or in-class activities helps all students learn more, but it has been shown to disproportionately help Black students and first-generation college students more (Eddy and Hogan 2014).

Increasing student motivation helps all students become successful learners

External grades are not only the measurement of “successful learning”, but they are also traditionally the prime factor for motivating students to want to learn. Active learning is considered to be an effective motivator for many students (Armbruster et al. 2009), and case studies are given below on activities that could be used with vertebrate anatomy and biomechanics students. Some students lack “buy-in” to these tasks that differ from the traditional lecture method (Cavanagh et al. 2016; Shekhar et al. 2020). If instructors consider intrinsic motivators for student learning, the results may not only improve in that particular course but will also likely extend beyond the course, creating life-long learners. The literature on student motivation for learning abounds, and several concepts relate to the use of fabrication in vertebrate anatomy courses.

The expectancy-value theory (EVT) of motivation originates from the field of psychology, is used by economists, and also contributes to our understanding of student learning. The theory asserts that the intrinsic motivation to learn is a function of the *value* a learner perceives a particular task to have in their own lives and a learner's *expectation* in their ability to achieve that task successfully (See Wigfield and Cambria 2010 for a review). Students must trust that whatever an instructor asks them to do will actually help them by giving some kind of benefit in their lives outside of the classroom (Hulleman and Harackiewicz 2009). For example, a vertebrate anatomy instructor incorporating fabrication can frequently remind students about the value of the skills they are practicing, skills that might be especially appreciated in a future career in medicine, e.g., problem-solving, collaborating with peers, and using 3D software. Emphasizing mastery and learning over grades can also increase student motivation (Meece et al. 2006). As students practice these competencies, instructors can remind them to update their resumes and vitae with the specific software and soft skills.

EVT also states that it is important that students believe that they will actually be able to accomplish what is expected. If students expect to fail, especially on summative, high-stakes exams, then they are less motivated to try and less likely to persist (England et al. 2017). Instructors can coach new learners on the value of failure, but if there are no safe places to fail and learn (i.e., low points formative assessments), then student motivation decreases. Scaffolding provides a foundation to enable students to reach the heights instructors set for them (e.g., Maybin et al. 1992; Offerdahl et al. 2017). Below examples are given of formative assessments that intro-

duce software, materials, and skills for fabrication in the undergraduate anatomy classroom.

There is also opportunity to increase student motivation for learning by giving them a choice in what they learn (Patall et al. 2010) and this is rooted in self-determination theory (See Brooks and Young 2011 for a review). In content-heavy biology courses, this can feel like a tradeoff that instructors are not willing to make. By focusing on training students in the core concepts of a discipline (Petersen et al. 2020), instructors can open up more space for students to choose to learn the specific examples that interest them most. This can be in the form of smaller introductory activities like an ice-breaker where students bring a story about their favorite anatomical part on the first day of class or in the form of a self-directed semester-long research and fabrication project, for which more detailed explanation is given in a case study below.

Lessons for implementing fabrication into comparative vertebrate anatomy courses

Anatomy lab-turned makerspace

There is evidence that learning science in informal environments and learning specifically in makerspaces promotes equity across diverse cultures and increases motivation to study science (Fenichel and Schwingruber 2010). There is a rich and growing body of literature on “MakerEd” (see Halverson and Peppler 2018 for a review), much of it rooted in Papert's theory of constructionism and the significance of learning by making (Harel and Papert 1991). The social movement of making, the Maker Movement, has been popularized by Dale Dougherty who argues that all humans are makers and that the do-it-yourself (DIY) movement reveals that anyone can learn to do anything (Dougherty 2011, 2012). Proponents of MakerEd argue that in order to foster the next generation of scientists as innovators, educators must break the mold of traditional formal education and allow more room for students to learn through creative processes (e.g., Honey and Kanter 2013).

A makerspace is not defined by the machines; rather it is the spirit of the community, or a sense of belonging, of the learners that use the tools—both traditional and high tech—to create new knowledge and the making itself that defines a makerspace and maker culture (Dougherty et al. 2013). Many universities boast a high-tech centralized makerspace or FabLab (fabrication lab), filled with computers with fast processors, powerful graphics cards, lightning-fast internet, and all of the attractive machines for fabrication such as

3D printers, CNC (computer numerical control) mills and routers, laser cutters, and more. While the costs of 3D technology have fallen in the last decade, so too have academic and departmental budgets. Individual instructors can still develop a makerspace that caters to their students’ needs while staying within the confines of their budget by using donated tools and repurposed materials (Fleming 2015). I posit that a low-tech makerspace may be less overwhelming and more inclusive to students who are not accustomed to this type of learning by making and have not yet had access to high-tech tools.

Establishing a makerspace in a vertebrate anatomy lab can spark student creativity using inspiration from vertebrate animals. Anatomy courses taught in the makerspace emphasize material properties and structure-function correlations as students choose materials to represent morphological features. For example, bubble wrap can mimic the insulating properties of an adipose tissue layer. Wooden dowels, rulers, or popsicle sticks can act as skeletal levers as students learn the mathematical principles governing the position of a fulcrum and its affect on output force. Synthetic muscles can be created from nylon monofilament (fishing line) that is wound into a spiral using a power drill and actuated by temperature (Haines et al. 2014). Zip ties, duct tape, and other household items are handy in holding everything together. This inexpensive approach can be helpful in getting students out of the textbook–lecture comfort zone and accustomed to the concept of play in learning (e.g., Wohlwend and Pepler 2015), especially on the introductory level. Starting with familiar materials may reduce the barrier to entry for many students who have no previous experience with fabrication or self-directed learning, increasing their expectancy in the ability to learn animal anatomy with recognizable supplies and fostering an inclusive atmosphere where everyone feels like they belong. Along with the low-tech inclusive making activities in the anatomy classroom, I offer my experience with introducing students to the higher-tech options for fabrication, namely 3D modeling and 3D printing.

Scaffolding and accessibility of 3D software

It may come as a surprise to instructors when students who belong to the generation that is referred to as digital natives state, “I’m not good with technology.” An instructor’s response might be, “Yet!” It is true that no one is inherently skilled with software that they have never seen; the same is true for anatomical jargon. Scaffolding of activities allows students to fail early and safely in low-stakes assignments, building confidence and expertise (Maybin et al. 1992), and is important in improv-

ing the expectancy-value theory of motivation to student learning.

An assignment introducing anatomy students to 3D software should not only include the basics of how to obtain and maneuver 3D files in general but also familiarize students with the digital repositories where animal anatomy files can be obtained (Table 1; Fig. 1). For instance, a lesson could include browsing the popular Thingiverse website, a digital repository for 3D files (usually in .stl or .obj format), and ask students to download an object file of their choice to practice importing it into 3D software (Fig. 1A). Students typically need to learn the difference between unsuccessfully double-clicking to open a downloaded surface file versus importing the file using the 3D software. By practicing with non-anatomical 3D models, students can learn the software prior to using it for learning anatomy, reducing the cognitive burden during anatomical assessments. The introductory assignment should also include exploration of 3D animal anatomy, with the singular goal of learning the skill of obtaining and manipulating a file, not learning the names of the anatomical structures. Further introductory steps can prompt students to explore the tools within the software (examples below), and this can be catered to an instructor’s goals for future assessments.

There are now many options for free 3D software, making this technology increasingly accessible, but not every student has their own computer. This can create an equity problem with an assignment that includes 3D digital models. One option to make 3D software more accessible to a broad range of students is to elicit the help of the university information technology (IT) department. Instructors can request for IT to install the relevant open-source software onto the machines in a computer lab and have the class meet in the computer lab for introductions to CT scan repositories. In this way, students will first learn the software with the instructor facilitating. Another option is to consider browser-based alternatives like Tinkercad, so that even if a student is borrowing their parent’s work laptop or a university computer in the library, they can save their files in the cloud without the need of a personal device.

Community partnerships for 3D scanning and printing

Vertebrate anatomy instructors are presumed to be experts in their field, able to deliver the concepts of animal form and function. They are not expected to be fabrication experts or even hobbyists, so how should a novice consider incorporating 3D technology into a vertebrate anatomy course? I argue that it is beneficial for students to see their instructor as a human who may be a




Fig. 1 Examples of student work in introductory assignments. These low-stakes formative assessments introduce students to software to reduce the cognitive load when completing work that is important to learning anatomy. **(A)**: Instructions to students and a screenshot of a coronavirus surface file obtained from Thingiverse and imported into the Tinkercad browser-based software. **(B)**: Screenshot of a veiled chameleon (*Chamaeleo calyptratus*) model obtained from Digimorph and imported into Tinkercad. **(C)**: Screenshot of an emperor penguin (*Apetenodytes forsteri*) model obtained from Digimorph and imported into Tinkercad. **(D)**: Screenshot of the same penguin model imported into Meshmixer software and modified by student exploring the sculpt tools. Student comments included to show that formative assessments should be less formal and more exploratory.

beginner to fabrication because this can demonstrate to the students how to be a humble yet confident learner. However, this may lead to stalls in fabrication if an anatomy instructor purchases a single 3D printer for

example, and that printer stops working before final projects are due. As such, it is recommended that instructors have a back-up plan. Community partnerships not only serve to contribute to student projects, but also

show students how essential collaboration is to meeting a goal successfully.

Community makerspaces can be found in most cities, but public libraries increasingly provide 3D printers for public use (Hoy 2013; Moorefield-Lang 2014). There is also a vast, friendly maker community online, filled with hobbyists and academics who are happy to troubleshoot and contribute to the next generation of scientists and makers (e.g., Tachibana 2019).

Instructors can also leverage local businesses that specialize in 3D technology and engage with local non-profits working to bring technology to the community. By happenstance, I attended a local event in a series of educational speakers hosted by the Carroll [County, MD] Tech Council. It was here that I met the CEO of a local 3D scanning business, Direct Dimensions, Inc., a company that specializes in large-scale projects like movie sets and scanning the National Cathedral in Washington, DC after the 2011 earthquake to help architects design preservation solutions. The company was happy to accommodate my small-scale request to create digital models of domestic cat bones for teaching (Supplementary Material), and they did so pro-bono.

In these examples and in many other collaborative approaches, anatomy instructors can reduce their own cognitive loads in learning 3D technology and create lasting relationships among the academic, public, and private sectors in the community.

Lessons from the vertebrate-anatomy-classroom-turned-makerspace: Two case studies

Case Study I: Introductory biomechanics semester-long project

Semester-long research projects are successful tools for engaging students in vertebrate anatomy as an active field of inquiry and research (e.g., Ghedotti et al. 2005) instead of simply being an endeavor in memorizing the longstanding names of anatomical parts and their functions. Incorporation of staged components for a longer project allows for formative feedback from the instructor to help students meet expectations for the final project (Lachman 2015). In the example presented here, students in an introductory biomechanics course investigate, write about, and build physical models of animal anatomy of their choice, keeping in mind that self-determined learning can increase student motivation (Patall et al. 2010; Brooks and Young 2011). This introductory course is capped at 25 students and meets 3 days a week for 1 h each. It does not have a separate lab component but is taught in the anatomy-lab-turned-

makerspace so that students have access to materials and tools for constructing the physical models.

Each student chooses a structure–function relationship in animal anatomy that is of interest to them. Students are exposed to examples from previous student work and from structured readings and discussions of scholarly literature, but ultimately the choice of topic to research for the project is up to each individual student, as long as they are motivated to learn about it. The project gives students practice with researching scholarly literature, writing a research paper, and presenting their findings to the class. Importantly, each student builds a physical or digital model of their chosen anatomical structure, using the simple materials, crafting supplies, household tools and/or 3D printing. They have the option to use the model to demonstrate structures of interest, enhancing understanding of the physical principles of animal form and function, or to use those models to test hypotheses on form and function. Many students take advantage of the availability of CT data online, downloading, analyzing, and 3D printing skeletal models of common, endangered, and extinct animals, including charismatic megafauna that are appealing to introductory students.

The importance of scaffolding cannot be overemphasized, and this is what students report appreciating the most. Students have frequent check-ins with the instructor by allotting time in class for work on the physical model aspect of their independent projects. “Figure it out Fridays” give students time during class in the makerspace anatomy lab to examine specimens of interest that are already in the lab’s collection, teach themselves a new skill such as introductory robotics, and to tinker on their physical models with the instructor’s and teaching assistants’ immediate feedback. These in-class working sessions begin early in the semester, and if a student chooses a charismatic megafauna, e.g., a cheetah or great white shark, they are encouraged to examine a reasonable representative within the anatomy lab such as a domestic cat or the spiny dogfish, especially if they are unsure where to start with the physical model. Students can request additional lab time for project work in coordination with the instructor and departmental lab manager, but with the knowledge that immediate feedback on project work is only available during the in-class working sessions and associated written reflections. Students submit written status reports for each in-class working session so that they can reflect on their own progress and so the instructor can make suggestions for next steps. The prompt for these formative, low stakes assignments is, “How did you use the hour on Friday? What did you try? What worked and what didn’t? What do you plan to do next time? This should be written as a reflection and will give you the chance to receive

feedback on your project's progress". When students reflect on their own learning, they engage in metacognition, examining their comprehension and progress, and practicing the skill of being a self-directed learner (Silver 2013), increasing intrinsic motivation to learn. Formative assessment in a making classroom can allow for culturally responsive teaching (Hadad et al. 2020), meeting each student exactly where they are, with or without prior knowledge or skills. If students begin with an informed idea and hit the ground running with construction of their physical model, they are pushed to test hypotheses about animal form and function using their model and to analyze results using statistics. If a student is less confident and intimidated by the work, then the pedagogical focus is to help them gain independence with scholarly research and understand the primary sources to inform the building of an accurate replica of animal anatomy.

To set the tone for the type of independent work that students will do in the research project, the class begins on the first day with a model-building and written reflection activity. This has ranged from, "Build a physical model of your favorite concept from introductory biology", to "construct a no-sew mask from a T-shirt, modified to fit your face" during the COVID-19 pandemic. Students receive emailed prompts for thinking about a topic (and, in the case virtual learning during the pandemic, coordination for access to materials) prior to the beginning of the semester. To positively frame students' perceptions of making activities in an anatomy lab, they are asked to imagine their future career where they will not be expected to take standardized tests, but will need to think their way through solving a problem. Providing context behind pedagogical decisions helps students see the value in this type of work (Shekhar et al. 2020).

Students continue being familiarized with independent and collaborative fabrication work through a hands-on activity associated with each content area. Instructors can adapt activities based on content choices or time allotted, and can modify assessments originally designed for younger learners to meet the learning objectives for various college-level biology courses. In this introductory biomechanics course, there are six content units, each with one lecture, one scholarly article discussion, and at least one lab-like activity, with project-work and Figure-it-out Fridays interspersed throughout. Students generate stress-strain curves using gummy worms (modified from Williamson 2013) during a unit on size, shape, and stiffness. In a unit titled "Life in Moving Fluids" (borrowed from Vogel 2020), students use Karo syrup in differing concentrations and drop spheres of different densities and obtain velocities to calculate Reynolds numbers for each solution (modified from Rucker 2010). Even a fabrication activity de-

signed for young children, such as making a model of mammalian respiratory organs using a drinking straw to represent the trachea, a small balloon as a lung and a large balloon as the diaphragm contained in a pleural cavity represented by a plastic bottle (Zak 2020) can insert a fun and quick lesson to deepen students' learning of Boyle's law and the inverse relationship of pressure and volume of fluids. At the same time, students read and discuss relevant scholarly literature for each unit, exposing them to ways that biologists use physical models to study animal structure and function (e.g., Paig-Tran et al. 2011). In these ways, students gain many structured opportunities to practice thinking through problems through kinesthetic learning and fabrication to scaffold the innovative independent fabrication work in their research projects.

Enthusiasm is contagious as students show off their diverse and impressive final projects (Fig. 2). A student athlete built a novel football helmet inspired by woodpecker skull anatomy and the shock-absorbing features of its morphology (e.g., Jung et al. 2019). Kangaroos are a popular structure-function choice and one student taught themselves to use Fusion 360 software to design a digital model of the hindlimb (Fig. 2A). A student with a vested interest in raptors investigated the tendon locking mechanism of the hindlimbs (Ward et al. 2002), designed talons from scratch in 3D software, and constructed a hydraulic pump to actuate the 3D prints (Fig. 2B).

Another student was inspired by camel eyelash morphology (Amador et al. 2015) and tested different lengths of eyelashes on particle dispersal with an elaborately designed experimental setup, and statistical analyses of results obtained from ImageJ (Fig. 2C). Anonymous end-of-semester course evaluations demonstrated students' appreciation of being challenged to think and learn in different ways that could apply to their lives.

It is worth noting that, the semester after taking the class, a student from the introductory biomechanics course won the College's entrepreneurship challenge which comes with a \$10,000 prize. Because the emphasis is on animal-inspired innovations and examples of products inspired by nature are used frequently, this fosters student creativity in solving bigger problems. While the winning student did not use a design from the course, she told me that she would not have even considered entering the contest prior to taking the biomechanics course and practicing the iterative fabrication process.

The design of the semester-long research project on animal structure and function may not scale to large university classrooms, given the individualized nature of the work with the physical model construction.