





Complete Genome Sequences of Two Gammaproteobacterial Methanotrophs Isolated from a Mercury-Contaminated Stream

Christina S. Kang-Yun, Jin Chang, Scott C. Brooks, Daohua Gu, Deremy D. Semrau

^aDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA ^bEnvironmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

ABSTRACT The genomes of *Methylomonas* sp. strain EFPC1 and *Methylococcus* sp. strain EFPC2, isolated from a mercury-contaminated stream in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, were sequenced.

wo methanotrophs of the Gammaproteobacteria class, Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1 and Methylococcus sp. strain EFPC2, were isolated from the mercury-contaminated East Fork Poplar Creek (EFPC) in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, from biofilm samples collected in July, 2020 (specific sampling locations, N35.990385°, W84.317983° and N35.992482°, W84.315327° for Methylomonas sp. EFPC1 and Methylococcus sp. EFPC2, respectively). Biofilm samples were first inoculated in nitrate mineral salts medium (1) in liquid culture at 30°C with methane as the sole carbon and energy source to enrich for methanotrophs. After visible growth on methane, samples were then streaked onto NMS agar plates as described earlier (2). After repeated streaking onto NMS plates with purity confirmed via microscopy, 16S rRNA gene sequencing and negative growth on nutrient agar plates (3), a single colony of each strain was then grown in NMS liquid medium with methane. DNA from 50-ml and 200-ml cultures were extracted using phenol-chloroform extraction (4) and Qiagen Genomic-tip 500/G (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for Illumina and GridlON Nanopore sequencing, respectively. Libraries for Illumina sequencing were prepared using a NEBNext Ultra II FS DNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Inc., Ipswich, MA) with 15-min fragmentation and size selected for 275 to 475 bp. Libraries for GridION Nanopore sequencing were prepared using ligation sequencing and native barcoding expansion kits (SQK-LSK109 and EXP-NBD104; Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Littlemore, UK) following the manufacturers' protocols. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was sequenced using separate Nano flow cells and 500 cycle V2 kits on a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) at the University of Michigan Advanced Genomics Core (AGC). Long-read sequencing was performed on the GridlON X5 platform at the University of Michigan AGC (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Littlemore, UK). Basecalling was performed using Guppy (v.4.2.3) (5). Sequence quality was assessed using FastQC (v0.11.9) (6) before and after trimming. The short and long reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic (v0.39) (7) and Porechop (v0.2.4) (8), respectively, and then were assembled using Unicycler (v0.4.9b) with no correction (9). Assembly completeness was assessed via BUSCO (v4.1.4) (10) and also visually confirmed using Bandage (v0.8.1) (11). The final contigs were annotated using the National Center for Biotechnology Information Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (v5.1) (12). The annotated 16S rRNA sequences were used as queries in search of the most similar organism using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST; v2.11.0) (13). Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise specified.

Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1 and Methylococcus sp. strain EFPC2 genomes were 4.99 Mbp and 4.56 Mbp (96% and 95.2% completion), consisting of either 1 chromosome and 1 plasmid (for Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1) or 1 chromosome and 2 plasmids (for Methylococcus sp. strain EFPC2). All chromosomes and plasmids were circularized and then rotated according to the starting gene via Unicycler and were visually inspected using

Citation Kang-Yun CS, Chang J, Brooks SC, Gu B, Semrau JD. 2021. Complete genome sequences of two gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs isolated from a mercurycontaminated stream. Microbiol Resour Announc 10:e00181-21. https://doi.org/10 .1128/MRA.00181-21.

Editor J. Cameron Thrash, University of Southern California

Copyright © 2021 Kang-Yun et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Jeremy D. Semrau, jsemrau@umich.edu.

Received 24 February 2021 Accepted 14 April 2021 Published 20 May 2021

TABLE 1 General features of the Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1 and Methylococcus sp. strain EFPC2 genomes

					No. of								16S rRNA	
					rRNA							Closest	similarity ANI ^a	ANI
				Total	genes		Methane No. of			N_{50} of	genome		with	with
	Complete		Q+0	no. of	(16S,	No. of	No. of monooxy- Illumina		GridION reads	GridION	sednence		closest	closest
	genome	genome No. of	content coding	coding		tRNA	genase(s)	cession		reads	accession	16S rRNA	neighbor	neighbor
Strain	size (bp)	plasmids	(%)	es	and 5S)	genes	and 5S) genes present no.)	no.)		(dq)	no.		(%)	(%)
Methylomonas 4,993,755 1	4,993,755	-	51.8	4,488	6	47	рММО,	538	232,890	51,070	CP070494,	51,070 CP070494, Methylomonas 99.87	28.66	95.20
sp. strain EFPC1							pXMO,	(SRX10121820)	(SRX10121820) (SRX10121821)		CP070495 sp. LW13	sp. LW13		
							sMMO							
Methylococcus	4,558,902	2	61.2	3,891	6	20	рммо	2,379,682	135,052	50,620	CP070491,	Methylococcus	96.30	72.70
sp. strain EFPC2								(SRX10121822)	(SRX10121822) (SRX10121823)		CP070492,	CP070492, geothermalis		
											CP070493	IM1 [⊤]		

a Average nucleotide identity.

Downloaded from https://journals.asm.org/journal/mra on 17 September 2021 by 141.212.45.234.

Bandage. 16S rRNA sequence analyses of Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1 indicated that it was phylogenetically similar to Methylomonas sp. LW13 (14), and Methylococcus sp. strain EFPC2 was most similar to Methylococcus geothermalis IM1^T (15). Average nucleotide identity (ANI) values between Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1 and Methylomonas sp. LW13 and between Methylococcus sp. strain EFPC2 and Methylococcus sp. $IM1^T$ were \sim 95% and 73%, respectively (16). Genes for particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) were found in both Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1 and Methylococcus sp. strain EFPC2, while evidence of a divergent form of pMMO (pXMO) and soluble methane monooxygenase was found in only Methylomonas sp. strain EFPC1. These results are summarized in Table 1.

Data availability. Accession numbers for the annotated sequences and raw reads are posted in Table 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (1724430 and 1724744) as well as the United States Department of Energy (DOE) (DE-SC0018059). Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC under contract number DE-AC05-00OR22725 with DOE. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

- 1. Whittenbury R, Phillips KC, Wilkinson JF. 1970. Enrichment, isolation and some properties of methane-utilizing bacteria. J Gen Microbiol 61:205–218. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-61-2-205.
- 2. Gu W, Semrau JD. 2017. Copper and cerium-regulated gene expression in Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 101:8499-8516. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8572-2.
- 3. Im J, Lee S-W, Yoon S, DiSpirito AA, Semrau JD. 2011. Characterization of a novel Methylocystis species capable of growth on methane, acetate and ethanol. Environ Microbiol Rep 3:174-181. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758 -2229.2010.00204.x.
- 4. Griffiths RI, Whiteley AS, O'Donnell AG, Bailey MJ. 2000. Rapid method for coextraction of DNA and RNA from natural environments for analysis of ribosomal DNA- and rRNA-based microbial community composition. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:5488-5491. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.12.5488-5491.2000.
- 5. Wick RR, Judd LM, Holt KE. 2019. Performance of neural network basecalling tools for Oxford Nanopore sequencing. Genome Biol 20:129. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1727-y.
- 6. Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
- 7. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- 8. Wick RR. 2018. Porechop: an adapter trimmer for Oxford Nanopore reads. https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop.
- 9. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595.

- 10. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics 31:3210-3212. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.
- 11. Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015. Bandage: interactive visualisation of de novo genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 31:3350-3352. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383.
- 12. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/10
- 13. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. J Mol Biol 215:403-410. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 50022-2836(05)80360-2.
- 14. Kalyuzhnaya MG, Lamb AE, McTaggert TL, Oshkin IY, Shapiro N, Woyke T, Chistoserdova L. 2015. Draft genome sequences of gammproteobacterial methanotrophs isolated from Lake Washington sediment. Genome Announc 3:e00103-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00103-15.
- 15. Awala SI, Bellosillo LA, Gwak J-H, Nguyen N-L, Kim S-J, Lee B-H, Rhee S-K. 2020. Methylococcus geothermalis sp. nov., a methanotroph isolated from a geothermal field in the Republic of Korea. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 70:5520-5530. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004442.
- 16. Yoon S-H, Ha S-M, Lim J, Kwon S, Chun J. 2017. A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate average nucleotide identity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 110:1281-1286. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-017 -0844-4.