



Correction to: Multi-server queueing systems with multiple priority classes

Mor Harchol-Balter¹ · Takayuki Osogami² · Alan Scheller-Wolf³ · Adam Wierman⁴

Received: 15 July 2021 / Revised: 15 July 2021 / Accepted: 15 July 2021
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Queueing Syst

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11134-005-2898-7>

We correct the expressions of the matrix $\mathbf{B}^{(\ell)}$ on page 340 and the matrix $\mathbf{L}^{(\ell)}$ on page 341 in [1]. Specifically, the following are the corrected expressions of these matrices:

$$\mathbf{B}^{(\ell)} = \mu_L \begin{pmatrix} \min(2, \ell) & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11134-005-2898-7>.

✉ Takayuki Osogami
osogami@jp.ibm.com

Mor Harchol-Balter
harchol@cs.cmu.edu

Alan Scheller-Wolf
awolf@andrew.cmu.edu

Adam Wierman
adamw@caltech.edu

¹ Department of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

² Research, IBM, 19-21 Nihonbashi Hakozaki-cho, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8510, Japan

³ Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

⁴ Computing and Mathematical Sciences, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

$$\mathbf{L}^{(\ell)} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} -\sigma_1 & \lambda_M & \lambda_H & & & & & \\ \mu_M & -\sigma_2 & & \lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(2M,M)} & \lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(2M,H)} & \lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(MH,M)} & \lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(MH,H)} & \\ \mu_H & & -\sigma_3 & & & \lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(MH,M)} & \lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(MH,H)} & \lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(2H,M)} & \lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(2H,H)} \\ \mathbf{t}^{(1)} & & & \mathbf{T}^{(1)} & & & & & \\ \mathbf{t}^{(2)} & & & & \mathbf{T}^{(2)} & & & & \\ \mathbf{t}^{(3)} & & & & & \mathbf{T}^{(3)} & & & \\ \mathbf{t}^{(4)} & & & & & & \mathbf{T}^{(4)} & & \\ \mathbf{t}^{(5)} & & & & & & & \mathbf{T}^{(5)} & \\ \mathbf{t}^{(6)} & & & & & & & & \mathbf{T}^{(6)} \end{array} \right) \quad (2)$$

for all $\ell \geq 0$, where the definitions of the notation in the matrices are unchanged from [1] except the zero matrix $\mathbf{0}$ in (1), whose size needs to be corrected to 12×12 .

These matrices represent the transitions shown in the left panel of Figure 3 in [1]. The transition from state $(1H, 0M, uL)$ to $(1H, 0M, (u-1)L)$, namely the third diagonal element of $\mathbf{B}^{(\ell)}$, was missing in the original expression. The transition rates from $(0H, 1M, uL)$ to two states labeled with $(1H, 1M, uL)$, namely the $(2, k)$ element of $\mathbf{L}^{(\ell)}$ for $8 \leq k \leq 11$, are $\lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(MH,M)}$ and $\lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(MH,H)}$, but erroneously were $\lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(MH,M)}$ and $\lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(MH,H)}$ in the original expression. Likewise, the transition rates from $(1H, 0M, uL)$ to $(1H, 1M, uL)$, namely the $(3, k)$ element of $\mathbf{L}^{(\ell)}$ for $8 \leq k \leq 11$, are $\lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(MH,M)}$ and $\lambda_M \mathbf{p}^{(MH,H)}$, but were $\lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(MH,M)}$ and $\lambda_H \mathbf{p}^{(MH,H)}$ in the original expression.

Acknowledgements We thank Dr. Yee Lam Elim Thompson for pointing out the errors.

Reference

1. Harchol-Balter, M., Osogami, T., Scheller-Wolf, A., Wierman, A.: Multi-server queueing systems with multiple priority classes. *Queueing Syst.* **51**, 331–360 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11134-005-2898-7>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.