


TOWARDS ORGANIC CARBON ISOTOPE RECORDS FROM STALAGMITES: COUPLED $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ AND ^{14}C ANALYSIS USING WET CHEMICAL OXIDATION

Franziska A Lechleitner^{1,2,3*}  • Susan Q Lang⁴ • Negar Haghipour^{2,5} • Cameron McIntyre⁶ • James U L Baldini³ • Keith M Prufer⁷ • Timothy I Eglinton²

¹Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3AN, UK

²Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zurich, Sonneggstrasse 5, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

³Department of Earth Sciences, University of Durham, Science Site, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

⁴School of the Earth, Ocean, and Environment, University of South Carolina, 701 Sumter Street, EWS 617, Columbia SC 29208, USA

⁵Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics, Department of Physics, ETH Zurich, Otto-Stern-Weg 5, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

⁶Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC), Rankine Avenue, East Kilbride G75 0GF, UK

⁷Department of Anthropology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87106, USA

ABSTRACT. Speleothem organic matter can be a powerful tracer for past environmental conditions and karst processes. Carbon isotope measurements ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{14}C) in particular can provide crucial information on the provenance and age of speleothem organic matter, but are challenging due to low concentrations of organic matter in stalagmites. Here, we present a method development study on extraction and isotopic characterization of speleothem organic matter using a rapid procedure with low laboratory contamination risk. An extensive blank assessment allowed us to quantify possible sources of contamination through the entire method. Although blank contamination is consistently low ($1.7 \pm 0.34 - 4.3 \pm 0.86 \mu\text{g C}$ for the entire procedure), incomplete sample decarbonation poses a still unresolved problem of the method, but can be detected when considering both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{14}C values. We test the method on five stalagmites, showing reproducible results on samples as small as $7 \mu\text{g C}$ for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $20 \mu\text{g C}$ for ^{14}C . Furthermore, we find consistently lower non-purgeable organic carbon (NPOC) ^{14}C values compared to the carbonate ^{14}C over the bomb spike interval in two stalagmites from Yok Balum Cave, Belize, suggesting overprint of a pre-aged or even fossil source of carbon on the organic fraction incorporated by these stalagmites.

KEYWORDS: bomb spike, carbon isotopes, organic matter, stalagmite.

INTRODUCTION

Organic matter entrapped in speleothem carbonate is increasingly recognized as a promising tool for the reconstruction of past ecosystem and climate change (Bosle et al. 2014; Perrette et al. 2015; Quiers et al. 2015; Blyth et al. 2016; Heidke et al. 2018). Organic carbon (OC) primarily originates either from the overlying soil and karst system from which it is transported into caves by vadose water, or from microbial production within the cave (Blyth et al. 2016). Other sources of OC in cave systems include airborne material, generally limited to areas near the cave entrances, and compounds derived from cave-dwelling animals, which can constitute a major source of OC if large animal populations are present (Blyth et al. 2008). The portion of OC stemming from the surface is argued to be dominant in most karst systems (Baker and Genty 1999; Shabarova et al. 2014; Perrette et al. 2015; Quiers et al. 2015), but substantial reworking of OC is generally observed (Einsiedl et al. 2007; Birdwell and Engel 2010; Shabarova et al. 2014; Lechleitner et al. 2017). Nevertheless, OC incorporated in stalagmites is considered a potentially very sensitive proxy for surface environmental conditions (Blyth et al. 2016, 2008).

Isotopic studies on carbon can provide insight into provenance, processing, and age of organic matter in environmental matrices. Stable carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) in biogenic samples are generally strongly fractionated by metabolic processes. Higher plants utilizing the C_3 carbon fixation pathway exhibit very negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (-32 to -22‰), whereas C_4 plants

*Corresponding author. Email: franziska.lechleitner@earth.ox.ac.uk.

fractionate less strongly (−16 to −10‰) (Vogel 1980). Radiocarbon (^{14}C), in contrast, provides a measure of the age of OC analyzed, indicating its recalcitrance and turnover time.

The conventional understanding is that OC incorporated in stalagmites is not directly affected by the addition of ^{14}C -dead carbon from limestone dissolution (Blyth et al. 2017), and may thus provide important constraints on drivers of the karst carbon cycle, and on (past) surface conditions.

Because of the very low amounts of organic carbon found in stalagmites embedded in a matrix virtually exclusively derived of carbonate, large sample sizes typically need to be processed for analysis, and careful treatments are needed to remove inorganic carbon and other interferences. Both increase the potential for contamination through laboratory procedures, rendering such measurements challenging (Wynn and Brocks 2014). Moreover, the effect of large sample sizes on their chronological assessment needs to be considered, e.g., ideally through sampling along growth layers where possible (e.g., fast growing stalagmites). Thus far, a few studies on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in non-purgeable organic carbon (NPOC) incorporated in stalagmites have been conducted (Blyth et al. 2013a, 2013b) using an approach based on acid digestion of stalagmite samples to remove inorganic carbon from CaCO_3 , followed by oxidation of the carbon remaining in the solution to CO_2 , from which $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ can then be determined. Their results show that accurate and reproducible $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measurements are possible from stalagmites, using relatively small sample amounts (100–200 mg CaCO_3). To our knowledge, the only published studies on stalagmite OC ^{14}C to date concentrate on the use of speleothems with high OC concentrations for dating purposes (e.g., Borsato et al. 2000; Genty et al. 2011; Blyth et al. 2017). This is because the greater sample sizes required for ^{14}C measurements on stalagmite NPOC result in attendant increases in procedural blanks and other analytical uncertainties. The latter limitation is partly alleviated by recent developments in accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) that permit analysis of small (<20 $\mu\text{g C}$) samples (Ruff et al. 2007; Fahrni et al. 2013). Here, we describe a suite of experiments conducted to develop an extraction and oxidation procedure that builds on prior developments (Lang et al. 2012, 2013, 2016), and show results from its application for studies of both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{14}C of NPOC preserved in stalagmites. We test the method on three stalagmites from caves in very different environments (Tskaltubo Cave in the Caucasus Mountains, Blessberg Cave in Germany, and Yok Balum Cave in Central America), and covering different time spans (40 – ~2 ka BP, i.e., thousands of years before present, with the present defined as 1950 CE). We then apply the method on two well-dated stalagmites from Yok Balum Cave, Belize (YOK-G and YOK-I). The latter stalagmites were previously sampled for high-resolution inorganic ^{14}C (Ridley et al. 2015; Lechleitner et al. 2016), revealing a very clear imprint of bomb ^{14}C in the carbonate phase. Because of high growth rates in these stalagmites, enough sample material was available to analyze NPOC ^{14}C before and after the bomb pulse, providing a novel opportunity to study the organic carbon cycle in cave systems from a ^{14}C perspective.

MATERIALS

Stalagmite Samples

Five stalagmites of different provenance, age, and mineralogy were used for this preliminary study (Table 1, Figure 1). Stalagmites are prone to OC contamination, either from improper handling or outside influences (Wynn and Brocks 2014). Therefore, any method attempting to extract a primary NPOC signal from these samples needs to consider and eliminate the

Table 1 Details of the stalagmites used. Sample ages are given in ka BP (thousands of years before present, with the present defined as 1950 CE), or as CE, i.e., Common Era.

Sample ID	Cave	Region	Sampled age	Mineralogy	Color	Notes
TSAL	Tskaltubo	Caucasus	40 ka BP	Calcite	Clear white	
BB2	Blessberg	Germany	6 ka BP	Calcite	Brownish	
YOK-K			n.d.	Aragonite	Brown and grey layers	
YOK-I	Yok Balum	Central America	1910–1980 CE	Aragonite	White with grey layers	Sampling over bomb spike interval
YOK-G			1940–1980 CE	Aragonite	Clear white	




Figure 1 Top sections of stalagmites YOK-G and YOK-I from Yok Balum Cave, Belize. In this study, the bomb spike interval was targeted for isotopic characterization of the organic matter entrapped in stalagmite carbonate. For sample YOK-G, the tracks left by drilling for NPOC analysis are visible.

possibility of sample contamination, particularly on the stalagmite surface. In this study, two methods for the removal of contaminated surfaces were applied. The test stalagmites TSAL, BB2, and YOK-K were available as discrete sample pieces. In this case, the entire sample was leached with 1N HCl to remove about 1 g of CaCO_3 (5–20% of total mass) from all surfaces. After leaching, the samples were washed three times with ultrapure water and dried in an oven at 60°C, before being powdered to homogeneity using an agate mortar pre-cleaned with methanol and dichloromethane. All sample powders were stored in pre-combusted glass vials with acid-washed Teflon caps (storage time varied from days to months, depending on the sample).

For stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G, smaller samples were drilled from specific depths to capture the bomb spike interval. For YOK-I, this was achieved by drilling samples using a hand-held drill (Dremel 4000) equipped with newly purchased diamond-coated drill bits. The drill bits were pre-cleaned by extracting them three times using methanol,




Figure 2 Flowchart describing the method. The method steps covered by WCO and chemical pretreatment (CPT) standards for blank assessment are indicated.

dichloromethane, and ultrapure water, and dried in an oven at 60°C overnight. Before drilling, dust and particles were removed from the stalagmite surface using compressed air, and the top ~1 mm was removed using a separate drill bit and discarded. For YOK-G, a new Kodiak carbide end mill was used on a Sureline micromill, and the drill bit was cleaned with HPLC-grade methanol and ultrapure water before and in between sampling. Dust and powder was removed from the stalagmite surface before and between sampling, and the powdered samples from YOK-G were shipped to the laboratory at ETH Zurich in sterile microcentrifuge vials.

METHODS

Decarbonation

Aliquots of the powdered stalagmites were transferred to pre-combusted 12 mL borosilicate Exetainer screw-capped vials with butyl rubber septa (Labco, High Wycombe, UK). Decarbonation of the samples to remove inorganic carbonate proceeded by adding 1.5 mL of 85% H_3PO_4 (puriss. grade), followed by 3.5 mL of ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω and ≤ 5 ppb TOC) (Figure 2). Acid and water were added stepwise and the vials were briefly vortexed in between to ensure complete submersion of the CaCO_3 . The samples were then left to dissolve on the laboratory bench (room temperature: $\sim 23^\circ\text{C}$) covered with clean aluminium foil or acid-washed vial caps. Because traditional CaCO_3 dissolution protocols (i.e., purging the solution with He for 5–10 min) resulted in residual inorganic carbon contributions in the final extracts (as indicated by high $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, $\sim -9\text{‰}$), we tested several approaches to ensure complete decarbonation of the samples. Early attempts involved subjecting the samples to gentle vacuum using a rotary evaporator, as successfully demonstrated by Blyth et al. (2013a), but this was quickly abandoned due to substantial

blank contributions encountered with this method in our laboratory (supplemental [Figure S1](#)). More efficient and less detrimental methods in terms of blank contributions were (1) to place the samples in a desiccator that was evacuated using a hand-held pump for a few days while periodically renewing the vacuum, or (2) to subject the closed sample vials to sonication by placing them in an ultrasonic bath at room temperature for 30–45 min during acidification.

Wet Chemical Oxidation and Isotope Analysis

After decarbonation, organic carbon was converted to CO_2 using a wet chemical oxidation (WCO) approach (described in Lang et al. [2012](#), [2013](#), [2016](#), [Figure 2](#)). Briefly, sodium persulfate (Sigma, purum p.a. $\geq 99.0\%$, further purified by recrystallization) was added as an oxidant (1 mL; solution: 1.5g $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ in 50 mL ultrapure water) after the decarbonation. All vials were capped and purged for ~ 10 min using ultrapure helium to remove ambient air and remaining inorganic CO_2 in the vials. For the oxidation to take place, the vials were then heated to $\sim 100^\circ\text{C}$ for one hour ([Figure 2](#)). The headspace CO_2 resulting from oxidation of the NPOC was analyzed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ on a Thermo Delta V Plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) coupled with a ThermoFinnigan GasBench II carbonate preparation device at the Geological Institute, ETH Zurich, following the method described in Lang et al. ([2012](#)). $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values are reported as $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratios expressed as the permil deviation from the international Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite standard (VPDB). ^{14}C measurements were performed as described in Lang et al. ([2016](#)) using a MICADAS AMS equipped with a gas ion source (GIS) at the Laboratory for Ion Beam Physics (LIP) at ETH Zurich. AMS background correction and data normalization were carried out using the software BATS (Wacker et al. [2010](#)) and $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratios are reported as $F^{14}\text{C}$ according to Reimer et al. ([2004](#)).

Blank Assessment

Sucrose (Sigma, $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -12.4\text{‰}$ VPDB, $F^{14}\text{C} = 1.053 \pm 0.003$) and phthalic acid (Sigma, $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -33.6\text{‰}$ VPDB, $F^{14}\text{C} < 0.0025$) were used as standards to evaluate blank contributions from the different steps of the method. These standards were chosen for their distinct isotope signatures, which allow to capture different contamination end members, and their solubility in water (Lang et al. [2016](#)).

The contribution of extraneous carbon to the WCO was evaluated by a suite of standards (*WCO standards*) prepared for each run by adding varying amounts of standard solution to vials containing 5 mL of ultrapure water, then taking them through the WCO procedure. To evaluate the mass and $F^{14}\text{C}$ of extraneous carbon for each run, we used the model of constant contamination described in Hanke et al. ([2017](#)) and Haghipour et al. ([2018](#)). The procedural blank of the decarbonation (*chemical pretreatment standard*) was quantified by spiking vials containing acid with variable amounts of sucrose and phthalic acid, before taking them through the entire procedure ([Figure 2](#)). The decarbonation efficiency and possible carbonate matrix effects were tested by analysing carbonate samples with no oxidant added, and/or by spiking IAEA-C1 (carbonate $F^{14}\text{C} = 0$, NPOC presumed ^{14}C -dead) samples with known amounts of standard solution. Finally, we tested whether prolonged storage of oxidised samples (three weeks) resulted in CO_2 losses from leaky seals. This was achieved by preparing chemical pretreatment standards that were subsequently measured in two batches, the first one day and the second three weeks after oxidation.




Figure 3 Summary of all WCO standards analyzed in the course of the study. A: $F^{14}C$ of the ^{14}C -dead standard, phthalic acid. B: $F^{14}C$ of the modern standard, sucrose. Outliers are marked in black and were not included in the calculation of the blank contribution. Inserts show values for a single measurement run. The solid blue lines represent the best fit with 1σ error ranges. All data is provided in supplemental Table S1.

RESULTS

Blank Assessment

Because of the typically low amount of extraneous carbon in WCO samples, standard curves were used to assess blank contamination in each run. Using two standards with very different $F^{14}C$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values allows quantification of the amount and isotopic composition of the blank (Lang et al. 2016; Hanke et al. 2017). All runs were corrected for extraneous carbon contributions following the methodology by Haghypour et al. (2018) for $F^{14}C$ and Lang et al. (2012) for $\delta^{13}C$ (not discussed here). Both methods assume constant contamination from each method step on all samples.

Long-Term ^{14}C Blank Assessment of WCO Procedure

For the WCO standards (Figure 3, Table 2), the average blank contamination over all runs was $1.30 \pm 0.52 \mu g C$, $F^{14}C = 0.42 \pm 0.17$, when calculated with the method of constant contamination by Haghypour et al. (2018; supplemental Table S1). However, the fluctuation in the contribution of extraneous carbon varied greatly between runs over the course of the study (2015–2018). Within a single run, contamination can be as low as $0.4 \pm 0.1 \mu g C$ ($F^{14}C = 0.15 \pm 0.04$, run C170918NHG1). For six out of the nine runs, contamination remained below $1.15 \mu g C$ and only two runs had contamination $> 3 \mu g C$ (Table 2).

Chemical Pretreatment Blank

Overall, the chemical pretreatment standards show larger blank contamination than the WCO ($1.7 \pm 0.34 - 4.3 \pm 0.86 \mu g C$, Table 2, Figure 4), with the WCO contributing between 22 and 65% (average 37%, $n=4$) of the total extraneous carbon in the samples. The $F^{14}C$ values between individual chemical pretreatment and WCO standards were usually within the 2σ -bound of each other, although contamination $F^{14}C$ values were always lower for the chemical pretreatment standards. Incomplete removal of inorganic CO_2 from the sample

Table 2 Blank contamination for all AMS runs for WCO standards, as well as the chemical pretreatment standards (where available).

Run number	WCO standards				Chemical pretreatment standards				% blank from WCO
	F ¹⁴ C _C	σ _{F14Cc} (abs)	m _C (μg)	σ _{mC} (abs)	F ¹⁴ C _C	σ _{F14Cc} (abs)	m _C (μg)	σ _{mC} (abs)	
C180108NHG1	0.21	0.04	1.1	0.2	0.17	0.03	1.7	0.3	65
C170918NHG1	0.15	0.10	0.4	0.1	0.40	0.08	2.5	0.5	32
C160913FLG1	0.32	0.06	1.1	0.2	0.25	0.05	4.0	0.8	27
C160825BLVG1	0.47	0.09	0.9	0.2	0.30	0.06	4.3	0.9	22
C160510TVG1	0.17	0.03	1.8	0.4					
C160224FLG1	0.26	0.05	1.1	0.2					
C150903FLG1	0.11	0.02	3.1	0.6					
C150928FLG1	0.39	0.08	1.1	0.2					
C150602FLG1	0.09	0.02	3.3	0.7					




Figure 4 Comparison between chemical pretreatment and WCO standards, as well as IAEA-C1-spiked procedural standards, presumed NPOC-dead. A and B: standard curves for sucrose and phthalic acid, respectively. C and D: average of the standard groups per weight class (grouped by amount of standard weighed in).




Figure 5 Results of the stalagmite samples. A: amount of carbon ($\mu\text{g C}$) measured and corrected for procedural blanks vs. weight of CaCO_3 (in mg) added to the vials for all stalagmites used in this study. Data is combined from all runs for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{14}C . B: blank corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values from IRMS vs. amount of C measured. C: $F^{14}\text{C}$ vs. amount of C measured. D: $F^{14}\text{C}$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ from the same AMS run. Note that the precision on AMS $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ is $\pm 2\%$. Diamonds denote the corresponding values measured on carbonate samples.

solution proved to be one of the main challenges faced during method development. Tests on samples that were not oxidised and processed using the desiccator revealed that 23 out of 42 test vials contained small amounts of residual inorganic carbonate, with enriched $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ values (average over all samples -8.92% VPDB). Sonication at room temperature also typically resulted in small (~ 0.7 – $1.5 \mu\text{g C}$) amounts of carbonate left in the solution. Vials containing known amounts of ^{14}C -dead IAEA-C1 carbonate and spiked with phthalic acid show a weak correlation between the amount of carbonate added and the isotopic composition of the WCO extract (supplemental Figure S2), suggesting a possible influence of sample size on decarbonation efficiency. Loss of CO_2 during extract storage due to leaky rubber septa does not appear to be an issue, as we find no significant difference between the concentration and isotopic value of sucrose and phthalic acid samples measured before and after storage.

Stalagmites

For each stalagmite, the amount of C measured is positively correlated to the initial carbonate sample size, and is fairly reproducible for different initial weights (Figure 5A, supplemental Table S2). NPOC concentrations vary greatly between stalagmites, ranging between 0.003 and 0.017 wt% (averages calculated for all samples of one stalagmite), with TSAL yielding the lowest concentrations and YOK-G and YOK-K the highest. These NPOC concentrations are within the range of previously published values for speleothems (0.01–0.3 wt%, Li et al. 2014; Quiers et al. 2015; Blyth et al. 2016;). Most stalagmite WCO extracts are depleted in ^{13}C , with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values clustering around -24 to -26‰ VPDB, values typical of C_3 vegetation (Figure 5B). No clear trend in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values between the different stalagmites can be discerned, and the intra-sample variability in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ is generally larger than the difference between samples.

F^{14}C values show no trend with sample size, and appear to be relatively consistent for the different stalagmites (Figure 5C, supplemental Table S2). TSAL, the oldest stalagmite (40 ka) also exhibits the lowest NPOC ^{14}C activities (average $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 0.29$), but these values are still significantly higher than the corresponding carbonate value ($\text{F}^{14}\text{C} \sim 0$, Figure 5D). Stalagmite YOK-K (estimated age ~ 2 ka) shows a similar offset between NPOC and carbonate (NPOC $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 0.52$, CaCO_3 $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 0.24$), whereas no difference is found between NPOC and CaCO_3 in stalagmite BB2 (assumed age: 3–6 ka, NPOC $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 0.35$, CaCO_3 $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 0.31$). For YOK-I and YOK-G (covering the bomb spike interval), the trend is reversed, with F^{14}C values of CaCO_3 higher than those of NPOC (YOK-I: NPOC $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 0.77$, CaCO_3 $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 1.0$; YOK-G: NPOC $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 0.88$, CaCO_3 $\text{F}^{14}\text{C} = 1.01$).

The pre- and post-bomb spike NPOC samples from stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G both show an increase in F^{14}C with the bomb spike (Figure 6). However, in both cases, the NPOC F^{14}C is lower than the contemporaneous carbonate F^{14}C . Samples from the first batch of samples from YOK-I (YOK-I A, analyzed in May 2016) have markedly lower F^{14}C and less negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values compared to both a later analysis (YOK-I B) and to the samples of YOK-G (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

Method Evaluation

The method described here holds promise as a fast and simple procedure to extract and isolate NPOC from carbonate samples. Extensive testing has provided encouraging results, which provide a foundation for further development and refinement of the method. One of the key advantages of the method lies in the comparatively small sample sizes required. Depending on the amount of NPOC present in a stalagmite, as little as 50 mg sample mass is required for a high-precision IRMS $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measurement, and 100–200 mg for an AMS ^{14}C measurement. This is similar to amounts reported by Blyth et al. (2013a, 2013b) for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, and paves the way for conducting high-resolution studies of isotopic variations in NPOC from stalagmites. Additionally, the simple procedure, conducted entirely in one single vial, greatly reduces the risk of laboratory contamination, considered a major problem for studies of organic matter in stalagmites (Wynn and Brocks 2014). Indeed, the contamination on single runs with this method can be as low as $0.4 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{g C}$ ($\text{F}^{14}\text{C} 0.15 \pm 0.04$), which greatly improves confidence in the interpretation of NPOC $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{14}C .




Figure 6 Comparison between the bomb spike measured in stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G carbonate with the results from WCO measurements on NPOC extracts.




Figure 7 Comparison of the results for stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G. Samples from YOK-I analyzed during May 2016 (YOK-I A), likely affected by incomplete decarbonation, are highlighted by the black box.

signatures even on very small samples ($<10 \mu\text{g C}$). Due to blank fluctuations, we recommend measuring a complete standard curve, ideally with 5 or more standards for both end members, with each sample run. Moreover, it appears that the sucrose standard is very susceptible to degradation once in solution, and future tests should investigate the use of an alternative modern standard with similar specifications (e.g., oxalic acid).

Lowest blank contributions are typically obtained using freshly prepared standard solutions and oxidant (re-precipitated using ultra-pure water). The chemical pretreatment standards tend to have slightly lower $F^{14}\text{C}$ compared to the WCO standards (but the difference is not significant), which could point towards a minor blank contribution from the chemicals used or from the rubber septa. Although previous studies did not report blank issues related to these method specifications (Lang et al. 2012, 2013, 2016), future studies should investigate how the much larger volume of acid needed for this method might influence overall blank contributions (e.g., Blyth et al. 2006). Storage times of up to three weeks do not result in significant changes in the amount and isotopic value of carbon measured, which would be expected if the rubber septa were leaking. However, we still recommend swift analysis of prepared extracts, ideally within a few days of the oxidation (but allowing enough time for solution re-equilibration after sample heating, i.e., ~24 hr), to achieve best results. Finally, prolonged storage of powdered carbonate samples should be avoided, as sorption of extraneous carbon on carbonate is common (Stipp and Hochella 1991). Both stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G were sampled shortly (hours–days) before analysis, and therefore we do not expect sorption effects to play a major role.

Confidence in the results presented here stem from (1) steadily increasing NPOC concentrations with increasing sample size, suggesting that the carbon extracted is likely inherent to the sample, and not introduced by external background contamination, and (2) NPOC $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values that are consistent with organic biomass, most likely reflecting carbon sources from terrestrial (C_3) vegetation or microbial activity. However, our results show significant variability, both within replicate NPOC samples, as well as in the relationship between NPOC and CaCO_3 values. This is likely the result of variable matrix effects as a function of sample type, as well as in some cases incomplete decarbonation. We discuss these issues further below.

Decarbonation Efficiency

Ensuring the complete removal of inorganic carbon proved to be the most difficult step of the method development. Subjecting the samples to a weak vacuum or to sonication was often successful in removing all CaCO_3 from the solution, but the efficacy of the method still has reproducibility issues, especially for some stalagmites (e.g., TSAL). Decarbonation using rotary evaporation was the only method that reliably removed all CaCO_3 from solution in all tested stalagmites, but in our case introduced large blanks, probably from the oil pumps (supplemental Figure S1). However, this should not discourage others from further tests using a rotary evaporator, as this is the standard technique for DOC analysis of aquatic samples, and can often be employed successfully (Bryan et al. 2017). The other methods tested (desiccator and sonication) have the advantage of allowing a much higher throughput of sample batches compared to the rotary evaporator, an essential attribute if the method is to be applied to high-resolution studies. In this study, reproducibility and decarbonation efficiency were assessed by analysing chemical pretreatment standards and carbonate samples without oxidant. This approach, albeit time and resource consuming, allows to assess contamination and decarbonation individually, ideally for each run, and is advantageous for cases where method reproducibility is problematic.

Quantification of the amount of inorganic carbon in the NPOC extracts remains difficult, because the amount and isotope value of the organic “end member” is not known. A simple isotopic mass balance can be carried out:

$$A_m \times \delta^{13}C_m = X \times \delta^{13}C_{ic} + (A_m - X) \times \delta^{13}C_{oc}$$

where A_m and $\delta^{13}C_m$ are the measured amount and $\delta^{13}C$ of carbon in the samples, $\delta^{13}C_{ic}$ is the $\delta^{13}C$ of the inorganic carbon, $\delta^{13}C_{oc}$ is the $\delta^{13}C$ value of the organic end member. X is the amount of inorganic carbon. We assume that the OC is entirely derived from C_3 -plants, a good approximation for all the caves studied here, resulting in an organic end member $\delta^{13}C$ value of -25‰ VPDB. For stalagmite YOK-K, which shows the most consistent $\delta^{13}C$ values for NPOC (Figure 5B), mass balance reveals that about 1.2–4 $\mu\text{g C}$ are likely CaCO_3 -derived, which amounts to 2–11% of the original CaCO_3 remaining in the solution. For the other stalagmites, the scatter between different measurements is much larger, and it is not straightforward to calculate the amount of CaCO_3 remaining in the solution. This shows that complete removal of residual inorganic carbon from the samples remains a challenge, with implications for the fidelity of isotopic values measured in NPOC. Residual carbonate can also be detected in the IAEA-C1 vials spiked with phthalic acid (supplemental Figure S2), where larger samples display lower $F^{14}C$ and less negative $\delta^{13}C$ values, suggesting incomplete decarbonation. This was confirmed by tests using the IAEA-C2 standard ($F^{14}C = 0.41$, $\delta^{13}C = -8.25\text{‰}$ VPDB, not shown).

Combined $\delta^{13}C$ and ^{14}C datasets can help distinguish, and thus exclude, compromised samples. For example, samples from YOK-I batch A (YOK-I A, analyzed in May 2016) have markedly lower $F^{14}C$ and higher $\delta^{13}C$ values compared to both a previous analysis and to the samples of YOK-G (Figure 7). These results suggest that the YOK-I A samples are affected by incomplete decarbonation, and thus should be excluded from further interpretation. Similarly, TSAL proved to be an especially difficult stalagmite to achieve complete decarbonation, which might point towards an inherent matrix effect that is more pronounced in this stalagmite than in others (supplemental Figure S3). More detailed studies of mineralogy and microstructure (e.g., through X-ray diffraction or scanning electron microscopy) in the samples should be encouraged in future studies, to better characterize the sample matrix.

Significance for Interpretation of NPOC in Stalagmites

The pronounced bomb spikes found in the carbonate of stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G (Figure 6) suggests that the majority of carbon transferred to the cave is cycled rapidly, and no large reservoir of pre-aged carbon is present. Previously published results on ^{14}C analysis of water extractable organic carbon (WEOC) from soil samples collected above Yok Balum Cave reflect the dominant contribution of very young OC from the soil, with 96% of the soil carbon being less than 50 years old (Lechleitner et al. 2016). This is likely a function of the shallow thickness of the host rock above the cave (~ 14 m), and the rapid response of the active drips to increases in rainfall (peaks during large rainfall events, and a general increase in drip rate over the rainy season; Ridley et al. 2015), which lead to rapid surface-to-cave signal transfer and minimize input from deeper carbon sources within the host rock. Compared to the test stalagmites from mid-latitude sites (BB2 and TSAL), the Yok Balum Cave stalagmites have higher concentrations of NPOC, which might additionally point towards a faster carbon transfer at this tropical location (Figure 5A).

The NPOC $F^{14}C$ from stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G shows good agreement with the progression of the bomb spike rise in the carbonate of both stalagmites (Figure 6). Compared to the carbonate $F^{14}C$ however, the NPOC signal is significantly dampened and overall $F^{14}C$ is much lower. This is somewhat counterintuitive, as one would expect the

carbonate, affected by dissolution of ^{14}C -dead host rock carbon (e.g., Hodge et al. 2011), to be more depleted with respect to the vegetation-derived NPOC F^{14}C . At this preliminary stage, methodological issues, such as an unaccounted contamination source or stripping of more volatile (and potentially younger) molecules during purging (Lang et al. 2010, 2016) cannot be entirely ruled out. However, our extensive blank assessment, and the fact that the chemical pretreatment standards are not significantly different from the WCO standards, suggest that our results are robust, and that the signal is likely real. One way to explain the difference between NPOC and carbonate ^{14}C signatures in stalagmites YOK-I and YOK-G is through a contribution of OC from a refractory (insoluble and non-hydrolyzable) pool that is not sourced from the soil. This could be related to mobilization of a deep organic carbon source in the karst, as previously recognised in other karst systems (Benavente et al. 2010; Noronha et al. 2015; Matthey et al. 2016; Bergel et al. 2017). Although these studies focused on the presence of elevated $p\text{CO}_2$ deeper in the karst that contribute carbon depleted in ^{14}C to the drip water solution, it is also possible that refractory organic compounds are transported to the cave from such a source. Similarly, organic matter produced in situ (on the cave walls or on the stalagmites themselves) by microbial communities has been suggested as an important source of OC in stalagmites (Blyth et al. 2014; Tisato et al. 2015; Lechleitner et al. 2017), and could be responsible for the divergence between inorganic and organic carbon in stalagmites. A third potential source of refractory carbon in the karst system is fossil OC leached from the bedrock carbonate rock itself, e.g., through partial microbial oxidation. Such “petrogenic OC” can have a measurable impact on bulk F^{14}C values, e.g., in rivers (Galy et al. 2008; Bouchez et al. 2010; Hemingway et al. 2018), and has previously been identified as an important component of some speleothem OC (Gázquez et al. 2012). One challenge to these explanations is the inverse trend found in stalagmite YOK-K, where the carbonate ^{14}C is older than the NPOC, which might point towards contamination from a modern OC source during sampling, given that this is the sample with the highest NPOC concentrations tested here.

At present, our dataset does not allow a more definitive attribution of a single process (or a combination of several processes) that can explain the contrasting behavior of inorganic and organic carbon in the stalagmites. It should be noted, however, that a previous study seeking to characterize the molecular spectrum of the dissolved organic matter (DOM) at Yok Balum Cave found very different molecular compositions of soil and drip waters, and stalagmites, with the stalagmite DOM fingerprint suggesting a contribution from microbial organic matter (Lechleitner et al. 2017). Irrespective of these unresolved issues, it is clear that at Yok Balum Cave, OC entrapped within stalagmites derives from one or several dynamic pool(s). Whether stalagmites from other locations (e.g., high latitudes) exhibit similar characteristics, both in the magnitude and cycling of organic matter, remains to be seen.

CONCLUSIONS

We present first results from a method development study on extraction and isotopic ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{14}C) characterization of speleothem NPOC. The advantages of the method lie in its simple, rapid protocol that is carried out in a single vial, minimizing the potential for contamination through laboratory procedures, and in the small sample sizes needed. Encouraging results indicate that the extracted carbon is likely inherent to the sample and

organic, as shown by depleted $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values. However, unresolved issues remain, and need to be addressed by future studies to fully make use of the method. A major remaining issue is incomplete sample decarbonation, resulting in biased isotope values. Although anomalous samples can be detected via combined $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{14}C analyses, further methodological improvements are needed before the method can be made routine. Complete decarbonation was so far achieved only when subjecting the samples to a weak vacuum using a rotary evaporator. Unfortunately, this method had to be abandoned as it resulted in contamination of the samples from the oil pump. Sonication appears to be a promising tool to increase decarbonation efficiency, with the advantage of working on a closed vial and thus minimizing contamination, but needs to be tested more thoroughly. Sample contamination through laboratory procedures need to be minimized, as this method is very susceptible to blank effects. Ideally, a designated “clean” fume hood should be used for this method only, and in any case work producing large amounts of dust should not be carried out in the same room as the decarbonation and wet oxidation procedure. The sucrose standard appears to be very susceptible to alteration, and might be better replaced by another compound with modern F^{14}C and similar $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (e.g., oxalic acid).

Subsequent studies that further improve upon methodologies and expand measurements to a broader suite of stalagmites and their host cave systems should add important new constraints on carbon cycle processes in karst systems and organic signals preserved in stalagmites. Moreover, detailed investigations on organic and inorganic carbon fluxes in karst systems and the isotopic fingerprint of processes acting on them could provide important insights into the local carbon cycle and the sources of carbon in speleothems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff at LIP, especially Lukas Wacker, and Daniel Montluçon, Stewart Bishop and Madalina Jaggi at the Geological Institute, ETH Zurich for their assistance with sample analysis. We thank José Mes for assistance during fieldwork at Yok Balum Cave. We gratefully acknowledge Sebastian Breitenbach, Denis Scholz, and Birgit Plessen for provision of sample materials from stalagmites BB2 and TSAL. We thank Andy Baker and Kathleen Johnson for critical reviewing of this manuscript, and the editorial team at *Radiocarbon* for manuscript handling. This research was supported by the European Research Council grant 240167 grant to JULB, and by Swiss National Science Foundation grant P2EZP2_172213 to FAL. Field collection was supported additionally by the National Science Foundation (grant HSD 0827305) and the Alphawood Foundation.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2019.35>.

REFERENCES

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>Baker A, Genty D. 1999. Fluorescence wavelength and intensity variations of cave waters. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i> 217:19–34. doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(99)00010-4</p> | <p>Benavente J, Vadillo I, Carrasco F, et al. 2010. Air carbon dioxide contents in the Vadose Zone of a Mediterranean karst. <i>Vadose Zone Journal</i> 9:126–136. doi: 10.2136/vzj2009.0027</p> |
|---|---|

- Bergel SJ, Carlson PE, Larson TE, et al. 2017. Constraining the subsoil carbon source to cave-air CO₂ and speleothem calcite in central Texas. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 217:112–127. doi: [10.1016/j.gca.2017.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.08.017)
- Birdwell JE, Engel AS. 2010. Characterization of dissolved organic matter in cave and spring waters using UV-Vis absorbance and fluorescence spectroscopy. *Organic Geochemistry* 41:270–280. doi: [10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.11.002)
- Blyth AJ, Baker A, Collins MJ, et al. 2008. Molecular organic matter in speleothems and its potential as an environmental proxy. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27:905–921. doi: [10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.02.002)
- Blyth AJ, Farrimond P, Jones M. 2006. An optimised method for the extraction and analysis of lipid biomarkers from stalagmites. *Organic Geochemistry* 37:882–890. doi: [10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.05.003)
- Blyth AJ, Hartland A, Baker A. 2016. Organic proxies in speleothems—new developments, advantages and limitations. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 149:1–17. doi: [10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.07.001)
- Blyth AJ, Hua Q, Smith A, et al. 2017. Exploring the dating of “dirty” speleothems and cave sinters using radiocarbon dating of preserved organic matter. *Quaternary Geochronology* 39:92–98. doi: [10.1016/j.quageo.2017.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2017.02.002)
- Blyth AJ, Jex CN, Baker A, et al. 2014. Contrasting distributions of glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) in speleothems and associated soils. *Organic Geochemistry* 69:1–10. doi: [10.1016/j.orggeochem.2014.01.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2014.01.013)
- Blyth AJ, Shutova Y, Smith C. 2013a. d¹³C analysis of bulk organic matter in speleothems using liquid chromatography-isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Organic Geochemistry* 55:22–25. doi: [10.1016/j.orggeochem.2012.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2012.11.003)
- Blyth AJ, Smith CI, Drysdale RN. 2013b. A new perspective on the δ¹³C signal preserved in speleothems using LC-IRMS analysis of bulk organic matter and compound specific stable isotope analysis. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 75:143–149. doi: [10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.06.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.06.017)
- Borsato A, Frisia S, Jones B, et al. 2000. Calcite moonmilk: crystal morphology and environment of formation in caves in the Italian Alps. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 70:1170–1190.
- Bosle JM, Mischel SA, Schulze A-L, et al. 2014. Quantification of low molecular weight fatty acids in cave drip water and speleothems using HPLC-ESI-IT / MS — development and validation of a selective method. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 406:3167–3177. doi: [10.1007/s00216-014-7743-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-014-7743-6)
- Bouchez J, Beyssac O, Galy V, et al. 2010. Oxidation of petrogenic organic carbon in the Amazon floodplain as a source of atmospheric CO₂. *Geology* 38:255–258. doi: [10.1130/G30608.1](https://doi.org/10.1130/G30608.1)
- Bryan E, Meredith KT, Baker A, et al. 2017. Carbon dynamics in a Late Quaternary-age coastal limestone aquifer system undergoing saltwater intrusion. *Science of the Total Environment* 607–608:771–785. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.094](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.094)
- Einsiedl F, Hertkorn N, Wolf M, et al. 2007. Rapid biotic molecular transformation of fulvic acids in a karst aquifer. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 71:5474–5482. doi: [10.1016/j.gca.2007.09.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.09.024)
- Fahrni SM, Wacker L, Synal HA, et al. 2013. Improving a gas ion source for ¹⁴C AMS. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B* 294:320–327. doi: [10.1016/j.nimb.2012.03.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2012.03.037)
- Galy V, Beyssac O, France-Lanord C, et al. 2008. Recycling of graphite during Himalayan erosion: a geological stabilization of carbon in the crust. *Science* 322:943–945. doi: [10.1126/science.1161408](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1161408)
- Gázquez F, Calaforra JM, Rull F, et al. 2012. Organic matter of fossil origin in the amberine speleothems from El Soplao Cave (Cantabria, Northern Spain). *International Journal of Speleology* 41:113–123. doi: [10.5038/1827-806X.41.1.12](https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.41.1.12)
- Genty D, Konik S, Valladas H, et al. 2011. Dating the lascaux cave gour formation. *Radiocarbon* 53:479–500.
- Haghipour N, Ausin B, Usman M, et al. 2018. Compound-specific radiocarbon analysis (CSRA) by elemental analyzer- accelerator mass spectrometry (EA-AMS): precision and limitations. *Analytical Chemistry* doi: [10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04491](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04491)
- Hanke UM, Wacker L, Haghipour N, et al. 2017. Comprehensive radiocarbon analysis of benzene polycarboxylic acids (BPCAs) derived from pyrogenic carbon in environmental samples. *Radiocarbon* 59:1103–1116. doi: [10.1017/RDC.2017.44](https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2017.44)
- Heidke I, Scholz D, Hoffmann T. 2018. Quantification of lignin oxidation products as vegetation biomarkers in speleothems and cave drip water. *Biogeosciences* 15:5831–5845. doi: [10.5194/bg-2018-253](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-253)
- Hemingway JD, Hilton RG, Hovius N, et al. 2018. Microbial oxidation of lithospheric organic carbon in rapidly eroding tropical mountain soils. *Science* 360:209–212. doi: [10.1126/science.aao6463](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao6463)
- Hodge E, McDonald J, Fischer M, et al. 2011. Using the ¹⁴C bomb pulse to date young speleothems. *Radiocarbon* 53:345–357.
- Lang SQ, Bernasconi SM, Fröh-Green GL. 2012. Stable isotope analysis of organic carbon in small (µg C) samples and dissolved organic matter using a GasBench preparation device.

- Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 26:9–16. doi: [10.1002/rcm.5287](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.5287)
- Lang SQ, Butterfield DA, Schulte M, et al. 2010. Elevated concentrations of formate, acetate and dissolved organic carbon found at the Lost City hydrothermal field. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 74:941–952. doi: [10.1016/j.gca.2009.10.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.10.045)
- Lang SQ, Fröh-Green GL, Bernasconi SM, et al. 2013. Isotopic ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$, D^{14}C) analysis of organic acids in marine samples using wet chemical oxidation. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 11:161–175. doi: [10.4319/lom.2013.11.161](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2013.11.161)
- Lang SQ, McIntyre CP, Bernasconi SM, et al. 2016. Rapid ^{14}C analysis of dissolved organic carbon in non-saline waters. *Radiocarbon* 58:505–515. doi: [10.1017/RDC.2016.17](https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2016.17)
- Lechleitner FA, Baldini JUL, Breitenbach SFM, et al. 2016. Hydrological and climatological controls on radiocarbon concentrations in a tropical stalagmite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 194:233–252. doi: [10.1016/j.gca.2016.08.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.08.039)
- Lechleitner FA, Dittmar T, Baldini JUL, et al. 2017. Molecular signatures of dissolved organic matter in a tropical karst system. *Organic Geochemistry* 113. doi: [10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.07.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.07.015)
- Li X, Hu C, Huang J, et al. 2014. A 9000-year carbon isotopic record of acid-soluble organic matter in a stalagmite from Heshang Cave, central China: paleoclimate implications. *Chemical Geology* 388:71–77. doi: [10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.08.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.08.029)
- Mattey DP, Atkinson TC, Barker JA, et al. 2016. Carbon dioxide, ground air and carbon cycling in Gibraltar karst. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 184:88–113. doi: [10.1016/j.gca.2016.01.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.01.041)
- Noronha AL, Johnson KR, Southon JR, et al. 2015. Radiocarbon evidence for decomposition of aged organic matter in the vadose zone as the main source of speleothem carbon. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 127:37–47. doi: [10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.05.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.05.021)
- Perrette Y, Poulenard J, Protière M, et al. 2015. Determining soil sources by organic matter EPR fingerprints in two modern speleothems. *Organic Geochemistry* 88:59–68. doi: [10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.08.005)
- Quiers M, Perrette Y, Chalmin E, et al. 2015. Geochemical mapping of organic carbon in stalagmites using liquid-phase and solid-phase fluorescence. *Chemical Geology* 411:240–247. doi: [10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.07.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.07.012)
- Reimer PJ, Brown TA, Reimer RW. 2004. Discussion: reporting and calibration of post-bomb ^{14}C data. *Radiocarbon* 46:1299–1304.
- Ridley HE, Asmerom Y, Baldini JUL, et al. 2015. Aerosol forcing of the position of the intertropical convergence zone since AD 1550. *Nature Geoscience* 8:195–200. doi: [10.1038/ngeo2353](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2353)
- Ruff M, Wacker L, Gäggeler HW, et al. 2007. A gas ion source for radiocarbon measurements at 200 kV. *Radiocarbon* 49:307–314.
- Shabarova T, Villiger J, Morenkov O, et al. 2014. Bacterial community structure and dissolved organic matter in repeatedly flooded subsurface karst water pools. *Microbiology Ecology* 89:111–126. doi: [10.1111/1574-6941.12339](https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12339)
- Stipp SL, Hochella MFJ. 1991. Structure and bonding environments at the calcite surface as observed with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and low energy electron diffraction (LEED). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 55:1723–1736.
- Tisato N, Torriani SFF, Monteux S, et al. 2015. Microbial mediation of complex subterranean mineral structures. *Scientific Reports* 5. doi: [10.1038/srep15525](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15525)
- Vogel JC. 1980. Fractionation of the carbon isotopes during photosynthesis. In: *Sitzungsberichte Der Heidelberger Akademie Der Wissenschaften*. p. 111–135.
- Wacker L, Christl M, Synal HA. 2010. Bats: a new tool for AMS data reduction. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B* 268:976–979. doi: [10.1016/j.nimb.2009.10.078](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.10.078)
- Wynn PM, Brocks JJ. 2014. A framework for the extraction and interpretation of organic molecules in speleothem carbonate. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 28:845–854. doi: [10.1002/rcm.6843](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6843)