

Racial and political resistance: an examination of the sociopolitical action of racially marginalized youth

Nkemka Anyiwo¹, Gordon JM Palmer², Janay M Garrett¹, Jordan G Starck³ and Elan C Hope⁴

This paper reviews recent literature on racially marginalized youth's sociopolitical action in the United States by highlighting three trends regarding the nature of, the factors shaping, and the implications of youth action. First, we trace the nature of racially marginalized youth's individual/interpersonal action, collective action, and digital action.

Then, we describe the sociopolitical, sociocultural, and contextual domains that shape their sociopolitical action. Importantly, we examine the significant implications for the mental health of youth, particularly as these actions have both positive and negative results. Finally, we highlight recent advances in the measurement of racially marginalized youth's sociopolitical action while imploring the field for more holistic and intersectional approaches to both measurement and theory.

Addresses

¹ University of Pennsylvania, Human Development and Quantitative Methods Division, Graduate School of Education, 3700 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States

² University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Center for the Study of Higher and Postsecondary Education, Department of Psychology, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, United States

³ Princeton University, Department of Psychology, Peretsman Scully Hall, Princeton, NJ 08540, United States

⁴ North Carolina State University, Department of Psychology, 2310 Stinson Drive, Raleigh, NC 27695, United States

Corresponding author: Anyiwo, Nkemka (nanyiwo@upenn.edu)

Current Opinion in Psychology 2020, **35**:86–91

This review comes from a themed issue on **Social change (Rallies, Riots and Revolutions)**

Edited by **Séamus A Power**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.03.005>

2352-250X/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nations with a history of racial stratification, such as the United States, have enacted policies and practices that sustain white dominance and the marginality of non-white racial groups. However, racially marginalized youth's resistance has been instrumental in promoting

societal change aimed toward dismantling this dominance. Given the emergence of new, nation-wide and racially marginalized youth-led movements (e.g. Black Lives Matter, the undocumented youth movement) in the United States, this paper reviews recent literature on racially marginalized adolescents and emerging adults in the U.S. context to examine three trends: 1) the nature of racially marginalized youth's sociopolitical action, 2) the factors that shape their actions, and 3) the implications of their actions on psychological development.

We conceptualize critical sociopolitical action as efforts to influence or transform communities and societal systems to be more equitable and just. Despite being disenfranchised from political systems, many racially marginalized people engage in activities traditionally associated with governance in western democracies (e.g., voting, running for office) as a mechanism for social change [1–4]. However, marginalized people also engage in communally accessible political actions including protest, boycotting, social media campaigns [1,3–7]. We include both 'traditional' and communally accessible forms of sociopolitical action in our brief review. The framing of these actions as forms of racial and political resistance is a particular reading of the critical civic engagement of racially marginalized youth who aim to transform a social fabric rife with inequalities [2,6,8].

Theories assessing the activism of marginalized youth are complex and emerging findings are limited and sometimes conflicting. Here, we provide a glimpse into these emerging findings specific to the U.S. context while acknowledging that more work needs to be done in order to resolve inconsistencies and bolster existing theoretical perspectives as the field moves forward.

Nature of sociopolitical action

Our review of the literature reveals three domains of racially marginalized youth's action that have been prominent in recent studies: 1) individual and interpersonal action, 2) collective action, and 3) digital action.

Youth's individual sociopolitical action can occur through conventional politics and community engagement such as writing letters to political officials about sociopolitical concerns, voting, giving opinions to media outlets, and volunteering [1,4,9]. Through interpersonal resistance, youth may reprimand friends, adults, or strangers who

make racist comments and defend those who are racially targeted [10^{••},11,12]. Political engagement and resistance can also manifest in subtle, every day, and seemingly mundane strategies youth use to express themselves and survive [13] such as wearing clothing with cultural and political messages [14^{••}], and academically persisting to contest social stereotypes [15,16,17[•],18].

Collectively, youth sociopolitical actions manifest across multiple domains including joining political parties and campaigning [4,19,20], organizing to address systemic inequity [2,5,21,22,23^{••},24], and protesting (e.g., boycotting, blocking traffic, occupying buildings, marching) [4,6,19,25,26]. Organizing is a collective engagement practice that helps to bring together groups to advocate for resources and shape the policies and decisions made within social structures [2,23^{••}]. Organizing provides an entree for youth to demand racially just institutional change from schools [27], a space that engenders the ability to work collaboratively with peers towards sociopolitical action [3,24], and a context to receive intergenerational care that helps to cultivate more activism [7,23^{••}]. Protesting provides space for youth to assert their voices and advocate for social justice [4,19,25,26]. For example, racially marginalized youth describe protesting with family, peers, and teachers to advocate for educational equity, engaging in ‘die-ins’ to protest police brutality, and participating in marches to advocate for immigration reform [11,16,17[•]].

Racially marginalized youth use digital media (e.g., online videos, blogs, social media) to build a sense of community, share their stories, and access a larger audience for their social justice efforts [5,25,26,28,29[•],30]. For example, Black youth use social media hashtags (#BlackLivesMatter, #IfTheyGunnedMeDown) to facilitate dialogue about racial injustice, such as the murders of Black people by police, and to challenge stereotypical, hegemonic depictions of Black people in mainstream media [6,28,30,31]. Similarly, Latinx youth use social media to provide counter narratives to racialized and anti-immigrant discourse and to advocate for the rights of undocumented youth [31,32].

Youth often engage in multiple forms of sociopolitical action. Examining the nature of their sociopolitical action requires a lens that can account for the dynamic nature of this action across personal, spatial, and ecological boundaries.

Factors that shape sociopolitical action

Recent scholarship on youth activism has attended to three core factors that influence racially marginalized youth’s participation in activism: sociopolitical development, sociocultural factors, and school context.

Critical sociopolitical development (SPD) includes youth’s ability to critically analyze systemic oppression (i.e., critical social analysis or reflection), which is theorized to be a prerequisite to activism against oppression [33,34]. Critical agency, which includes motivation and confidence in their ability to make sociopolitical change, relates theoretically to youth activism and moderates the associations between analysis and activism [33]. Empirical examinations of SPD in youth have primarily focused on Black and Latinx youth and have found that critical analysis can provide motivation and clarity on how to engage in activism and related social actions. [1,4,17[•],25,35,36]. Critical agency is associated with higher orientation towards traditional action, community engagement, and activism [4,19,25]. Further, youth’s sociopolitical action can serve as a source of their agency and a catalyst for their future action [3,17[•],22,25,37]. One study with Black and Latinx college students found that youth who participated in political activism in high school reported higher engagement in the Black Lives Matter Movement and in advocacy for immigration reform through the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) policy [25]. Other studies have found differences in the sociopolitical pathways to activism among Black and Latinx youth, although the trends are inconsistent [1,4,9,25]. Work that aims to elucidate potential variations in the factors that shape activism among different groups of racially marginalized youth in the United States would benefit the field going forward.

Sociocultural factors such as racially discriminatory experiences, racial identity, and racial socialization are theorized to shape activism of racially marginalized youth [38]. Witnessing societal racism (e.g., deportation, police killings of unarmed Black people) and experiencing racism on campus help to shape youth’s critical analysis and provide the impetus for digital and on-the-ground action [7,16,29[•],36,39]. Racially marginalized youth who experience more racial discrimination report more sociopolitical action generally and more activism oriented towards promoting racial equity [19,37,40,41]. However, the link between discrimination and sociopolitical action varies depending on the types of discrimination experienced (e.g. institutional, cultural, interpersonal) and the types of sociopolitical action assessed (e.g., low risk versus high risk) [37,41].

Researchers have also found that youth’s collective racial and/or immigrant identity contributes to their motivation to advocate for their community [3,39,42,43] and shapes the types of activism that they engage in [37,41,44]. One study found that Black youth for whom race is central to their identity and who endorsed the uniqueness of and preference for Black institutions (e.g., nationalist ideology) participated in more low-risk racial justice activism (e.g., wearing political clothing) [37]. However, only nationalist ideology was also related to youth’s high-risk

racial justice activism (e.g., blocking buildings in protest). These findings suggest that the associations between racial identity and action may vary depending on the types of activism assessed [37,41,44]. Youth's experiences of racial socialization may also strengthen commitments to sociopolitical action; however, more work is needed to clarify these relations [45].

Schools in the United States have been extensively investigated as an influence on youth's sociopolitical action. Civics and social justice courses can increase youth awareness of marginalization, cultivate a commitment to social change, and strengthen the relations between youth's agency and action [17*,19]. However, school climate likely influences whether youth perceive their schools as affirming spaces for sociopolitical growth which can translate to increases in civic engagement [46]. Many racially marginalized youth experience racially contentious school climates with minimal racial-ethnic diversity [13,26,27,47,48], biased peers [7,11,26,39], and inequitable academic resources [5,16,47,49]. These experiences can thwart youth activism by contributing to self-doubt or invisibility in school [16,47]. Many racially marginalized youth draw on their experiences of school-based racism to inform their critical social analysis and activism [11,16,29*,36,39,47–49]. Discrimination at their high schools or college campuses can drive youth to confront peers [11], organize campus-based protests [47,50,51], and facilitate social media campaigns to advocate for equity and inclusion [29]. Further, school climate can drive youth to engage in activism that transcends the academic context, such as advocating for immigration reform and racial justice [3,5,16,25,47].

Implications of sociopolitical action

Youth's sociopolitical action has positive and negative implications for their educational outcomes and civic development. Activism is positively associated with increased curiosity, higher GPA, and SAT scores over time [18,35]. In the process of engaging in activism, youth can also strengthen their literacy and writing skills [5]. Further, sociopolitical action can shape youth's career goals and facilitate the attainment of higher status jobs [22,52]. However, youth who engage in activism run the risk of some adverse educational outcomes as they may be targeted in school and punished or pushed out (e.g., losing DACA status) by school officials for speaking out about racism or other structural issues [3,39]. Sociopolitical action also helps students develop holistically, imparting skills that are vital for civic development. Through sociopolitical action, youth can increase critical social analysis [3,7,16,17*,24,39], critical agency [3,23**], and leadership skills [5,17*,22,23**].

Sociopolitical action is also associated with mental and emotional health outcomes. Racially marginalized youth's activism has been identified as a mechanism

through which they heal from oppression through self-expression, community bonds, and social transformation [8,23**,36,53]. For undocumented youth, activism may allow them to navigate the fear and shame associated with their documentation status [3,43]. Activism also may have emotional costs (e.g., change in personal relationships and being labeled as emotionally unstable) [49]. Youth's frustration, sadness, and anger about injustice [12,15,23**,39,54], as well as highly publicized racial injustice events (e.g., the murders of unarmed Black people) can shape sociopolitical action [11,28,39]. However, youth describe feeling stressed and burdened from the constant responsibility to be an activist and exhausted by the slow pace of change [3,23**,36]. Additionally, evidence points to racial differences in the impact of activism for Black and Latinx youth such that activism seems to protect Latinx youth against the negative impact of racial microaggressions on their mental health but exacerbate the impact of racial microaggressions for Black youth [55**].

Recommendations for future work

Racially marginalized youth employ dynamic, multifaceted approaches to influencing and transforming their schools and communities. However, findings across the field regarding factors that shape youth action and the implications of said action are inconsistent. These mixed findings come from studies primarily focused on Black and Latinx youth. Although this emerging body of research is empirically and theoretically seeking to understand the nature of sociopolitical action and the factors that shape sociopolitical action, we still know surprisingly little about these processes among Black and Latinx youth in the United States. This is coupled with a dearth of literature on the sociopolitical action of other racially marginalized youth in the United States (e.g., Native American, Asian American, Pacific Islander) [56]. Further, although not the focus of this paper, limited psychological work has examined the nature of and implications of sociopolitical action of racial marginalized youth in other nations with a history of racial stratification. The disparities in findings highlight a need for more research that examines the psychological impact of youth activism with special consideration to how the unique aspects of youth's sociopolitical history may shape their actions and the implications of their actions on their holistic development. As the study of sociopolitical action moves forward, scholars should consider how intersectionality theory can shape activism and how moving against systems affect the wellbeing of marginalized youth. To this end, we may need to employ a more interdisciplinary ethos and consult political science, education, social work, and public health.

Our understanding of the nature of racially marginalized youth's sociopolitical action is partially shaped by the measures available. Comprehensive measurements

[57–59] can help to holistically assess the range of youth's action. However, broadness and lack of identity-based specificity of measures may present challenges in assessing youth's intention behind their action (e.g., sustenance of political structures versus transformation) and the target of youth's action (e.g., racism, sexism, environmental justice). Recently, scholars have developed culturally relevant measurements that specifically assess youth's intention to address racial inequity [10^{••},14^{••},60]. These new measurements can be employed in future work to enhance our understanding of the precedents and antecedents of racially marginalized youth's actions to contest racism.

The emerging body of work on sociopolitical action implores policymakers and educators to structure policy and school environments to cultivate agency and sociopolitical actions while at the same time working with psychologists to understand the nature and implications of this work. Youth often carry the burden of creatively facilitating robust institutional change that results in racial justice and equity while simultaneously managing the social and psychological ramifications of their actions (e.g., psychological burnout, biased teachers and administrators, punishment) [16,39,49]. Policymakers and educators should acknowledge youth's roles as emerging community leaders by institutionalizing pathways and positions for youth power and influence.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Nkemka Anyiwo: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Gordon JM Palmer:** Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing. **Janay M Garrett:** Writing - review & editing. **Jordan G Starck:** Writing - review & editing. **Elan C Hope:** Writing - review & editing.

Acknowledgements

Nkemka Anyiwo and Gordon Palmer co-led this manuscript. The material is based upon work supported by the NSF SBE Postdoctoral Fellowship under Grant No. 1911805. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Bañales J, Mathews C, Hayat N, Anyiwo N, Diemer MA: **Latinx and Black young adults' pathways to civic/political engagement.** *Cult Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol* 2020, **26**(2):176–188 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cdp0000271>.
2. Checkoway B, Aldana A: **Four forms of youth civic engagement for diverse democracy.** *Child Youth Serv Rev* 2013, **35**:1894–1899 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2013.09.005>.

3. DeAngelo L, Schuster MT, Stebleton MJ: **California DREAMers: activism, identity, and empowerment among undocumented college students.** *J Divers High Educ* 2016, **9**:216 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/dhe0000023>.
4. Diemer MA, Rapa LJ: **Unraveling the complexity of critical consciousness, political efficacy, and political action among marginalized adolescents.** *Child Dev* 2016, **87**:221–238 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12446>.
5. Conner J, Slattery A: **New media and the power of youth organizing: minding the gaps.** *Equity Excell Educ* 2014, **47**:14–30 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10665684.2014.866868>.
6. Hope JK: **This tree needs water!: A case study on the radical potential of Afro-Asian solidarity in the era of Black Lives Matter.** *Amerasia J* 2019, **45**:222–237 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00447471.2019.1684807>.
7. Petrone E: **Building the dream: transformational resistance, community-based organizations, and the civic engagement of Latinos in the New South.** *Democracy Educ* 2016, **24**.
8. Hope EC, Spencer MB: **Civic engagement as an adaptive coping response to conditions of inequality: an application of phenomenological variant of ecological systems theory (PVEST).** In *Handbook on Positive Development of Minority Children and Youth*. Edited by Cabrera NJ, Leyendecker B. Springer International Publishing; 2017:421–435 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43645-6_25.
9. Littenberg-Tobias J, Cohen AK: **Diverging paths: understanding racial differences in civic engagement among White, African American, and Latina/o adolescents using structural equation modeling.** *Am J Commun Psychol* 2016, **57**:102–117 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12027>.
10. Aldana A, Bañales J, Richards-Schuster K: **Youth anti-racist engagement: conceptualization, development, and validation of an anti-racism action scale.** *Adolesc Res Rev* 2019, **4**:369–381 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40894-019-00113-1>
With diverse samples of youth, this study validated a measure assessing sociopolitical action that youth engage in to challenge racism. The findings revealed three types of action: Interpersonal action, Communal Action, and Political Change action. While action measurements often include general assessments of sociopolitical behaviors, the study contributes measurement, developed in partnership with youth, that can help elucidate the types of developmentally accessible forms of youth sociopolitical action that are specifically oriented towards dismantling racism.
11. Allen Q: **(In)visible men on campus: campus racial climate and subversive Black masculinities at a predominantly White liberal arts university.** *Gen Educ* 2018 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2018.1533924>.
12. Bañales J, Aldana A, Richards-Schuster K, Flanagan CA, Diemer MA, Rowley SJ: **Youth anti-racism action: contributions of youth perceptions of school racial messages and critical consciousness.** *J Commun Psychol* 2019:1–22 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22266>. In press.
13. Desai SR, Abeita A: **Institutional microaggressions at a Hispanic serving institution: a Diné (Navajo) woman utilizing tribal critical race theory through student activism.** *Equity Excell Educ* 2017, **50**:275–289 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10665684.2017.1336498>.
14. Hope EC, Pender KN, Riddick KN: **Development and validation of the Black community activism orientation scale.** *J Black Psychol* 2019, **45**(3):185–214 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0095798419865416>
The authors present data from a study validating a scale that accesses activism oriented towards Black communities in a sample of Black adolescents and emerging adults. Through its assessments of activism risk (e.g. low risk, high risk) and formal political activism, this measurement can be used to provide clarity of the multifaceted forms of activism that Black Americans use to transform society and advocate for social justice. Further, this measurement can be used to complicate understandings of the factors that shape different forms of activism and the implications of activism on youth and societal outcomes.
15. Borjian A: **Academically successful Latino undocumented students in college: resilience and civic engagement.** *Hisp J Behav Sci* 2018, **40**:22–36 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0739986317754299>.

16. Gutiérrez LA: **Youth social justice engagement in the face of anti-Latina/o immigrant illegitimacy.** *Urban Rev* 2014, **46**:307-323 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11256-013-0269-y>.
17. Seider S, Kelly L, Clark S, Jennett P, El-Amin A, Graves D *et al.*: **Fostering the sociopolitical development of African American and Latinx adolescents to analyze and challenge racial and economic inequality.** *Youth Soc* 2018 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0044118X18767783>. Advance online publication
Through a mixed-methods study design, this study examines the trajectory of Black and Latinx high school youth's sociopolitical development in two different types of schools: 'progressive' and 'no-excuse.' Quantitatively, the authors demonstrate similarities and differences in the growth of youth's analysis of racism and poverty, agency in making sociopolitical change, and commitment to action. Qualitatively, the authors elucidate school-level factors that youth identify as influential in shaping their awareness, agency and sociopolitical action.
18. Seider S, Clark S, Graves D: **The development of critical consciousness and its relation to academic achievement in adolescents of color.** *Child Dev* 2019 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13262>. Advance online publication.
19. Hope EC, Jagers RJ: **The role of sociopolitical attitudes and civic education in the civic engagement of Black youth.** *J Res Adolesc* 2014, **24**:460-470 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jora.12117>.
20. Moore SS, Hope EC, Eisman AB, Zimmerman MA: **Predictors of civic engagement among highly involved young adults: exploring the relationship between agency and systems worldview.** *J Commun Psychol* 2016, **44**:888-903 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcop.21815>.
21. Conner J, Rosen SM: **How students are leading us: youth organizing and the fight for public education in Philadelphia.** *Perspect Urban Educ* 2013, **10**:1-6.
22. Nicholas C, Eastman-Mueller H, Barbich N: **Empowering change agents: youth organizing groups as sites for sociopolitical development.** *Am J Commun Psychol* 2019, **63**:46-60 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12315>.
23. Ortega-Williams A, Wernick LJ, DeBower J, Brathwaite B: **Finding relief in action: the intersection of youth-led community organizing and mental health in Brooklyn, New York City.** *Youth Soc* 2018 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0044118X18758542>. Advance online publication
In a qualitative study with racially marginalized youth organizers, the authors explore youth's identification of the impact of organizing on their mental health. Youth describe activism as a space that can be therapeutic and allow for emotional release and leadership development but also describe challenges associated with distinction between the safe haven provided by their activist groups and their realities outside of the group. The findings validate theoretical work that describes activism as a mechanism to heal but also provides insight on potential strains that youth experience as a function of being marginalized and resisting marginalization.
24. Quinn R, Nguyen C: **Immigrant youth organizing as civic preparation.** *Am Educ Res J* 2017, **54**:972-1005 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/0002831217712946>.
25. Hope EC, Keels M, Durkee MI: **Participation in black lives matter and deferred action for childhood arrivals: modern activism among black and latino college students.** *J Divers High Educ* 2016, **9**:203-215 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/dhe0000032>.
26. Reynolds &, Mayweather: **Recounting racism, resistance, and repression: examining the experiences and #hashtag activism of college students with critical race theory and counternarratives.** *J Negro Educ* 2017, **86**:283-304 <http://dx.doi.org/10.7709/jnegroeducation.86.3.0283> JSTOR.
27. Ndemanu MT: **Antecedents of college campus protests nationwide: exploring Black student activists' demands.** *J Negro Educ* 2017, **86**:238-251 <http://dx.doi.org/10.7709/jnegroeducation.86.3.0238>.
28. Carney N: **All lives matter, but so does race: black Lives Matter and the evolving role of social media.** *Humanity Soc* 2016, **40**:180-199 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0160597616643868>.
29. Mwangi CA, Bettencourt GM, Malaney VK: **Collegians creating (counter)space online: a critical discourse analysis of the I, too, Am social media movement.** *J Divers High Educ* 2018, **11**:146-163 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/dhe0000054>
Through their examination of the "I, Too, Am social media movement, the authors describe how Black youth have used social media technologies as a mechanism to express their identities and challenge racially hostile school climates at predominantly white institutions. The study shows the powerful potential of social media in cultivating solidarity and transnationality in racial justice movements among Black and other racially marginalized youth.
30. Gross: **#IfTheyGunnedMeDown: the double consciousness of Black youth in response to oppressive media.** *Souls* 2017, **19**:416-437 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10999949.2018.1441587>.
31. Martinez DC: **Imagining a language of solidarity for Black and Latinx youth in English language arts classrooms.** *English Educ* 2017, **49**:179-195.
32. Slaughter S: **#TrumpEffects: creating rhetorical spaces for Latinx political engagement.** *Latin Americanist* 2016, **60**:541-575 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/la.12095>.
33. Diemer M, Rapa L, Voight A, McWhirter E: **Critical consciousness: a developmental approach to addressing marginalization and oppression.** *Child Dev Perspect* 2016, **10**:216-221 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12193>.
34. Smith CD, Smith Lee JR: **Advancing social justice and affirming humanity in developmental science research with African American boys and young men.** *Appl Dev Sci* 2019:1-7 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2019.1630277>. Advance online publication.
35. Clark S, Seider S: **The role of curiosity in the sociopolitical development of Black and Latinx adolescents.** *J Res Adolesc* 2019 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jora.12511>. Advance online publication.
36. Fernández JS, Gaston JY, Nguyen M, Rovaris J, Robinson RL, Aguilar DN: **Documenting sociopolitical development via participatory action research (PAR) with women of color student activists in the neoliberal university.** *J Soc Political Psychol* 2018, **6**:591-607 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5964/jsp.612.900>.
37. Hope EC, Gugwor R, Riddick KN, Pender KN: **Engaged against the machine: institutional and cultural racial discrimination and racial identity as predictors of activism orientation among Black youth.** *Am J Commun Psychol* 2019, **63**:61-72 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12303>.
38. Anyiwo N, Bañales J, Rowley SJ, Watkins DC, Richards-Schuster K: **Sociocultural influences on the sociopolitical development of African American youth.** *Child Dev Perspect* 2018, **12**:165-170 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12276>.
39. Kelly LL: **A snapchat story: how Black girls develop strategies for critical resistance in school.** *Learn Media Technol* 2018, **43** (4):374-389 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2018.1498352>.
40. Ballard PJ: **Longitudinal links between discrimination and civic development among Latino and Asian adolescents.** *J Res Adolesc* 2016, **26**:723-737 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jora.12221>.
41. Szymanski DM, Lewis JA: **Race-related stress and racial identity as predictors of African American activism.** *J Black Psychol* 2014, **41**:170-191 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0095798414520707>.
42. Ballard P, Malin H, Porter T, Colby A, Damon W: **Motivations for civic participation among diverse youth: more similarities than differences.** *Res Hum Dev* 2015, **12**:63-83 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15427609.2015.1010348>.
43. Negrón-Gonzales G: **Navigating "illegality": undocumented youth & oppositional consciousness.** *Child Youth Serv Rev* 2013, **35**:1284-1290 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2013.04.016>.
44. Pender KN, Hope EC, Riddick KN: **Queering Black activism: exploring the relationship between racial identity and Black activist orientation among Black LGBTQ youth.** *J Commun Psychol* 2019, **47**:529-543 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22136>.
45. EsGrills C, Cooke D, Douglas J, Subica A, Villanueva S, Hudson B: **Culture, racial socialization, and positive African American**

- youth development. *J Black Psychol* 2016, **42**:343-373 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0095798415578004>.
46. Guillaume C, Jagers R, Rivas-Drake D: **Middle school as a developmental niche for civic engagement.** *Am J Commun Psychol* 2015, **56**:321-331 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10464-015-9759-2>.
47. Grim JK, Lee NL, Museus SD, Na VS, Ting MP: **Asian American college student activism and social justice in Midwest contexts.** *New Direct Higher Educ* 2019, **186**:25-36 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/he.20321>.
48. Leath &, Chavous: **"We really protested": the influence of sociopolitical beliefs, political self-efficacy, and campus racial climate on civic engagement among Black college students attending predominantly White institutions.** *J Negro Educ* 2017, **86**:220-237 <http://dx.doi.org/10.7709/jnegroeducation.86.3.0220> JSTOR.
49. Jones, Reddick: **The heterogeneity of resistance: how Black students utilize engagement and activism to challenge PWI inequalities.** *J Negro Educ* 2017, **86**:204-219 <http://dx.doi.org/10.7709/jnegroeducation.86.3.0204>.
50. Mwangi CAG, Daoud N, Peralta A, Fries-Britt S: **Waking from the American dream: conceptualizing racial activism and critical consciousness among Black immigrant college students.** *J Coll Stud Dev* 2019, **60**:401-420 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/csd.2019.0037>.
51. Mwangi CAG, Thelamour B, Ezeofor I, Carpenter A: **"Black elephant in the room": black students contextualizing campus racial climate within US racial climate.** *J Coll Stud Dev* 2018, **59**:456-474 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/csd.2018.0042>.
52. Rapa LJ, Diemer MA, Bañales J: **Critical action as a pathway to social mobility among marginalized youth.** *Dev Psychol* 2018, **54**:127-137 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/dev0000414>.
53. Ginwright SA: **Radically healing Black lives: a love note to justice.** *New Dir Stud Leadersh* 2015, **148**:33-44 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ysd.20151>.
54. Smith CD, Hope EC: **"We just want to break the stereotype": tensions in Black boys' critical social analysis of their suburban school experiences.** *J Educ Psychol* 2020, **112**(3):551-566 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/edu0000435>.
55. Hope EC, Velez G, Offidani-Bertrand C, Keels M, Durkee MI: **Political activism and mental health among Black and Latinx college students.** *Cult Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol* 2018, **24**:26-39 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cdp0000144>
In a longitudinal study with Black and Latinx college youth, the authors test theoretical work on the protective attributes of activism against the deleterious impact of racial discrimination on mental health. The study found that activism was protective for Latinx youth, but was seemed to worsen the impact of discrimination on mental health for Black youth. The findings reveal potential differences in the impact of activism on mental health among different groups of racially marginalized youth.
56. Fujino DC, Rodriguez RM: **The legibility of Asian American activism studies.** *Amerasia J* 2019, **45**:111-136 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00447471.2019.1687253>.
57. Diemer MA, Rapa LJ, Park CJ, Perry JC: **Development and validation of the critical consciousness scale.** *Youth Soc* 2017, **49**:461-483 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0044118X14538289>.
58. Thomas AJ, Barrie R, Brunner J, Clawson A, Hewitt A, Jeremie-Brink G, Rowe-Johnson M: **Assessing critical consciousness in youth and young adults.** *J Res. Adolesc* 2014, **24**:485-496 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jora.12132>.
59. Shin RQ, Smith LC, Lu Y, Welch JC, Sharma R, Vernay CN, Yee S: **The development and validation of the contemporary critical consciousness measure.** *J Couns Psychol* 2018, **65**:539-555 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cou0000302>.
60. McWhirter EH, McWhirter BT: **Critical consciousness and vocational development among Latina/o high school youth: initial development and testing of a measure.** *J Career Assess* 2015, **24**:543-558 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1069072715599535>.