

Accelerated Article Preview

Electrochemically driven cross-electrophile coupling of alkyl halides

Received: 10 October 2021

Accepted: 10 February 2022

Accelerated Article Preview

Published online: 21 February 2022

Cite this article as: Zhang, W. et al. Electrochemically driven cross-electrophile coupling of alkyl halides. *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04540-4> (2022).

Wen Zhang, Lingxiang Lu, Wendy Zhang, Yi Wang, Skyler D. Ware, Jose Mondragon, Jonas Rein, Neil Strotman, Dan Lehnher, Kimberly A. See & Song Lin

This is a PDF file of a peer-reviewed paper that has been accepted for publication. Although unedited, the content has been subjected to preliminary formatting. Nature is providing this early version of the typeset paper as a service to our authors and readers. The text and figures will undergo copyediting and a proof review before the paper is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

Electrochemically driven cross-electrophile coupling of alkyl halides

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04540-4>

Received: 10 October 2021

Accepted: 10 February 2022

Published online: 21 February 2022

Wen Zhang¹, Lingxiang Lu¹, Wendy Zhang², Yi Wang¹, Skyler D. Ware², Jose Mondragon¹, Jonas Rein¹, Neil Strotman³, Dan Lehnher³, Kimberly A. See²✉ & Song Lin¹✉

Recent research in medicinal chemistry suggests a correlation between an increase in the fraction of sp^3 carbons, those bonded to four other atoms, in drug candidates with their improved success rate in clinical trials¹. As such, the development of robust and selective methods for the construction of $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bonds remains a critical problem in modern organic chemistry². Owing to the broad availability of alkyl halides, their direct cross coupling—commonly known as cross-electrophile-coupling (XEC)—provides a promising route toward this objective^{3–5}. Such transformations circumvent the preparation of carbon nucleophiles used in traditional cross-coupling reactions as well as stability and functional group tolerance issues that commonly associate with these reagents. However, achieving high selectivity in $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ XEC remains a largely unmet challenge. Here, we employ electrochemistry to achieve the differential activation of alkyl halides by exploiting their disparate electronic and steric properties. Specifically, the selective cathodic reduction of a more substituted alkyl halide gives rise to a carbanion, which undergoes preferential coupling with a less substituted alkyl halide via bimolecular nucleophilic substitution (S_N2) to forge a new C–C bond. This transition-metal-free protocol enables efficient XEC of a variety of functionalized and unactivated alkyl electrophiles and exhibits improved chemoselectivity versus existing methods.

Transition-metal catalyzed cross-coupling represents one of the most reliable approaches toward the formation of C–C bonds in organic synthesis⁶. These transformations typically involve the coupling between an electrophilic organohalide and a nucleophilic organometallic agent (e.g., organomagnesium, organozinc, or organoboron), the latter of which is often prepared from the corresponding organohalide (Fig. 1A). Due to the inherent limitations associated with the use of preformed carbon nucleophiles, reaction methods that cross-couple two different carbon electrophiles (e.g., two organohalides)—commonly known as cross-electrophile coupling (XEC)—represent an attractive alternative to the canonical cross coupling^{3–5} (Fig. 1B). Recent advances have enabled selective XEC of two organohalides with distinct carbon hybridization states (e.g., sp^2-sp^3) by using transition-metal catalysts that exhibit different reactivity towards each electrophile^{7–9}. In contrast, the selective coupling of electrophiles with same hybridization states remains a significant challenge. Recently, Weix reported an elegant bimetallic strategy to successfully address the XEC between two aryl electrophiles¹⁰. Nonetheless, a highly selective and broadly applicable protocol for the $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ XEC remains elusive^{11–13}. Using Ni catalysis, the Gong and MacMillan groups have independently provided critical advances toward solving this synthetic problem^{14–16} (Fig. 1C). However, the reliance on a Ni catalyst imparts innate limitations. For example, competing homocoupling remains a major competing pathway even when one of the coupling partners is used in large excess observation, which has been attributed to the similar reactivity of Ni toward

different types of alkyl halides¹⁷. Furthermore, tertiary electrophiles have rarely been shown to be compatible with existing protocols^{18,19} due to various undesired side reactions such as protodehalogenation and elimination²⁰.

Among the most well-established methods for the construction of $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bonds is the S_N2 reaction²¹. In such a reaction, a carbanion equivalent in the form of an organometallic reagent (e.g., Grignard or organocuprate reagents) reacts directly with an alkyl electrophile via bimolecular substitution to form a new C–C bond. On this basis, we envisioned a new strategy for the cross-coupling of two alkyl halides via an S_N2 mechanism by exploiting the disparate electronic and steric properties of differentially substituted alkyl halides. Specifically, alkyl halides bearing more substituents undergo single electron reduction at lower potentials owing to the enhanced stability of the resultant C-centered radicals²², whereas alkyl halides with fewer substituents are superior electrophiles in S_N2 reactions owing to their smaller steric profiles²¹ (Fig. 1D). Thus, the desired XEC can be envisioned via a radical-polar crossover pathway consisting of the selective reduction of a more substituted alkyl halide (**A**) to a C-centered radical (**C**) followed by a second reduction to a carbanion (**D**) and subsequent chemoselective nucleophilic substitution on a less hindered alkyl halide (**B**).

Owing to its ability to distinguish between two functional groups with minute differences in redox potential, electrochemistry constitutes an ideal means to achieve this reaction strategy^{23,24}. Importantly, the use of direct electrolysis as opposed to transition metal catalysis enables

¹Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA. ²Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA. ³Process Research and Development, Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, New Jersey, USA. ✉e-mail: ksee@caltech.edu; songlin@cornell.edu

a different mechanism for the activation of alkyl halides, which could circumvent undesired side reactivities such as unselective alkyl halide reduction and β -H elimination. The electrochemistry of alkyl halides has been well studied in both analytical²⁵ and synthetic contexts²⁶. Related to our work, P  richon and co-workers reported an early example of the electroreductive C(sp³)-C(sp³) XEC²⁷, albeit with a limited reaction scope featuring only strongly activated alkyl halides (e.g., α -haloesters and polyhalogenated alkanes) (Fig. 1E). Against this backdrop, we envision that an electrochemically driven, transition-metal-free XEC could offer a new paradigm for the construction of C(sp³)-C(sp³) bonds and thus enable greater efficiency, sustainability, and diversity in chemical synthesis.

Our initial reaction development was guided by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and density functional theory (DFT) computation. The desired reaction pathway involves a sequence of electrochemical-chemical-electrochemical-chemical (ECEC) steps (Fig. 1D). To achieve this ECEC mechanism requires the second reduction event to take place at a faster rate than the initial reduction of alkyl halide **A** to minimize diffusion of reactive intermediates away from the cathode. In addition, the reduction of alkyl radical **C** at the applied potential needs to be sufficiently fast to outcompete undesired side reactions such as radical dimerization and hydrogen-atom abstraction. However, literature data suggested that for simple tertiary alkyl halides such as *tert*-butyl bromide, the reduction of *tert*-butyl radical is typically more difficult than the initial alkyl halide reduction²⁸, and this finding is supported by our own experimental and computational evidence (see SI sections 7 and 8). The challenging second reduction suggests that generation of the carbanion is difficult, and thus, the desired XEC will be accompanied by radical side reactions. Indeed, electrolysis of *tert*-butyl bromide or (3-bromo-3-methylbutyl)benzene in the presence of a simple primary alkyl bromide gave rise to only traces of the desired product along with substantial quantities of protodebromination and elimination side products (see SI).

To address this issue, we sought to lower the potential for the second reduction event by introducing an anion-stabilizing substituent. CV and DFT data suggested that a variety of functional groups such as boryl, aryl, vinyl, alkynyl, and silyl could facilitate the desired 2e⁻ reduction of alkyl halides (see SI sections 8 and 12.3) by forming p-p or p- π conjugation with the resultant carbanion. This stabilization effect also further augments the reduction potential difference between the two alkyl halide coupling partners and, thus, ensures high chemoselectivity. Importantly, the inclusion of such substituents also increases the functional complexity and synthetic value of the cross-coupling products.

We first tested our hypothesis with α -halo pinacol boronate ester (Bpin) substrates^{29–32}. Upon systematic optimization, we found that the electrolysis of a mixture of tertiary α -bromo Bpin **1** and an unactivated primary alkyl bromide **2** gave rise to the desired product **3** in 79% isolated yield under simple electrolysis conditions after passing 2 F/mol of charge (Fig. 2A). Electrode screening showed that graphite and Mg are the optimal cathode and anode materials, respectively, which are superior to various other metal-based electrodes. A time course study showed that this e-XEC reaction exhibits excellent chemoselectivity with only traces ($\leq 5\%$) of hydrodehalogenation and elimination products (**4–6**) and no dimerization observed (Fig. 2A). Importantly, although employing three equivalents of **2** was desirable to ensure high reaction efficiency, only one equivalent was consumed with the excess material nearly fully remained. When the loading of **2** was decreased to 1.05 equivalents, good yield and high chemoselectivity were maintained for the reaction. Importantly, secondary α -bromo Bpin **7-Br** can also undergo XEC with **2** to afford **8** in 65% isolated yield, in addition to $<5\%$ of dimer **10** and $\leq 10\%$ protodebromination products **6** and **9**. Replacing **7-Br** with chlorinated congener **7-Cl** also provided good yield in the presence of 30 mol% tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB), which promoted in-situ halogen exchange.

To directly compare our reaction protocol to literature alternatives for XEC, we subjected substrates **1** or **7-Cl** with **2** to various known systems using Ni catalysis^{14–16}. The desired cross-coupling products were obtained in $\leq 5\%$ yield in all cases along with substantial amounts of hydrodehalogenation and dimerization products (see SI). Thus, our electrochemical approach provides a complementary and fundamentally distinct solution to the longstanding challenge of C(sp³)-C(sp³) XEC with broadened substrate scope and improved selectivity.

We subsequently employed the e-XEC to synthesize a diverse collection of coupling products from α -haloboronate esters and unactivated alkyl halides (Fig. 2B). Various functional groups, such as alkyl chloride (**15**), alkene (**12**, **15–16**), carbamate (**13**), acetal (**17**), ester (**14**, **19**, **23–24**, **26**), nitrile (**18**), difluoromethylene (**21**), thioether (**22**), and heteroarenes (**17**, **20–24**), are compatible with the reaction conditions. α -Haloboronate esters derived from drug molecules were readily converted to coupling products (**26**, **27**). Finally, we demonstrated the sequential synthesis of secondary and tertiary alkylboronate esters **32** and **35** from *n*-butyl Bpin (**30**) via iterative e-XEC in combination with Matteson and halogenation reactions (Fig. 2C)³⁰. Alkylboronate esters are valuable synthetic intermediates that can undergo a diverse range of transformations³³, but a general protocol that grants access to primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl boronate esters remains rare^{34,35}. The e-XEC thus provides a modular approach to the synthesis of such compounds from simple alkyl halides (see SI for comparison with the Matteson reaction)^{36–40}.

We further expanded the scope of the e-XEC to various alkyl halides with stabilizing π -systems (Fig. 3A). For example, a suite of tertiary, secondary, and primary benzyl chlorides with diverse functional groups, such as fluoroalkyl (**39**, **49**), amine (**41**), ester (**50**), and heterocycles (**37**, **41**, **42–48**, **50**), proved suitable substrates. Further, allylic and propargylic chlorides are also compatible with our electrochemical method. Owing to charge delocalization, reactions starting from isomeric allylic chlorides **54** and **55** provided a mixture of linear (**56**) and branched (**57**) products in identical ratios. For propargylic substrates, the substituents on the alkyne influenced the constitution of the product: substrates with bulky silyl groups preferentially gave alkyne products via direct alkylation (**58**, **59**), whereas those featuring alkyl substituents afforded isomerized allenes as major products (**60–62**).

α -Silyl groups can also facilitate the reduction of alkyl halides by stabilizing the resultant radical and anion intermediates. Various α -halosilanes⁴¹ (**63–67**) were transformed into cross coupling products in high efficiency. Notably, the scope of the e-XEC was further expanded to the formation of various distinct types of C–C, C–Si, and C–Ge bonds using chlorosilanes, chlorogermanes, or carbon dioxide as the coupling partner (**68–75**). While prior work to synthesize *gem*-silylboryl and *gem*-disilyl products required the use of esoteric silylboronate ester reagents (e.g., Me₂PhSi-Bpin) with limited availability^{42,43}, our method used readily available alkyl halides and chlorosilanes, thus granting convenient access to a diverse range of value-added synthetic intermediates. Finally, we demonstrated the synthetic utility of the e-XEC in a two-step C–H methylation of drug derivatives⁴⁴ (Fig. 3B). Starting from methyl dehydroabietate (**76**), a sequence of photochemical benzylic C–H chlorination⁴⁵ and e-XEC using methyl tosylate gave rise to methylated product **77**. In a similar fashion, *d*₃-methylation of ibuprofen methyl ester (**78**) and a retinoic acid agonist (**79**) was achieved using CD₃OTs from readily available *d*₃-methanol.

Control experiments lent strong support to the proposed radical-polar crossover mechanism for the e-XEC reaction. First, starting from radical probe substrate **80**, ring-opened product **81** was obtained (Fig. 4A, left), which arose from a sequence of single-electron reduction, cyclopropane ring-opening, and second reduction prior to nucleophilic substitution with **31**³⁸. In a second experiment, we carried out the e-XEC of **1** with chiral secondary alkyl bromide **82** and observed

that the enantiomeric excess of **82** was largely preserved in the coupling product **83** (Fig. 4A, right). This finding is consistent with a concerted bimolecular substitution mechanism. The identity of the reduced nucleophile that participates in the S_N2 step is likely a solvated anion rather than an organomagnesium complex (with Mg^{2+} from oxidation of the sacrificial anode), as conducting the reaction in a divided cell that separates the cathode and anode also led to the desired product in 72% yield (Table S2). In fact, a Mg anode is not necessary in a divided cell, as using a graphite anode along with 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine as sacrificial reductant also proved productive.

Finally, we attempted to augment the synthetic utility of the e-XEC by developing a gram-scale procedure. Our effort was initially hampered by the high overall cell voltage during electrolysis, which caused the reaction to stop prematurely. By monitoring the potential at both working and counter electrodes (Fig. 4B, left), we attributed the high cell voltage to a sudden potential increase at the Mg anode due to the formation of a visible passivating film during the first few hours of reaction (Fig. S29). Meanwhile, the potential at the carbon working electrode remained stable. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the Mg anode was measured at the beginning and end of electrolysis, revealing an increase in the interfacial resistance from $239.5 \pm 1.5 \Omega$ to $710.6 \pm 3.3 \Omega$ (Fig. 4B, left). The composition of the passivation layer was investigated by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), showing Mg, Br, Cl, O, C, and a trace amount of S (Fig. 4C). Further characterization of the passivation layer using FT-IR and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) confirmed the presence of $MgBr_2$ along with $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ ⁴⁶, both of which are poorly soluble in THF^{47,48}. Drawing inspiration from Mg electrolytes for Mg batteries, we used ethereal solvents to help solubilize Mg salts through chelation to stabilize the Mg anode and allow for longer electrolysis^{49,50}. After surveying several cosolvents, we discovered that the solvent system of THF/dimethoxyethane (DME) reduced the Mg electrode passivation (Fig. S29). The thin passivation layer formed under the new reaction condition had a substantially lower interfacial resistance ($321.5 \pm 3.6 \Omega$ at 20 h), allowing the voltage at the Mg anode to stabilize at -1 V for prolonged time (Fig. 4B, right). The anodic potential eventually increased after ca. 20 h, forming a thinner film with largely the same composition (Figs. S33, S34, S36, S37) but reduced resistance. Applying this new protocol enabled the reaction to be readily scaled up with a decreased electrolyte loading, generating up to 3 g of desired products in high yield (Fig. 4D).

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04540-4>.


- Lovering, F., Bikker, J. & Humblet, C. Escape from Flatland: Increasing Saturation as an Approach to Improving Clinical Success. *J. Med. Chem.* **52**, 6752–6756 (2009).
- Choi, J. & Fu, G. C. Transition metal-catalyzed alkyl-alkyl bond formation: Another dimension in cross-coupling chemistry. *Science* **356**, eaaf7230 (2017).
- Everson, D. A. & Weix, D. J. Cross-Electrophile Coupling: Principles of Reactivity and Selectivity. *J. Org. Chem.* **79**, 4793–4798 (2014).
- Wang, X., Dai, Y. & Gong, H. Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Couplings. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **374**, 43 (2016).
- Lucas, E. L. & Jarvo, E. R. Stereospecific and stereoconvergent cross-couplings between alkyl electrophiles. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **1**, 0065 (2017).
- Jana, R., Pathak, T. P. & Sigman, M. S. Advances in Transition Metal (Pd, Ni, Fe)-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions Using Alkyl-organometallics as Reaction Partners. *Chem. Rev.* **111**, 1417–1492 (2011).
- Weix, D. J. Methods and Mechanisms for Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Csp^2 Halides with Alkyl Electrophiles. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **48**, 1767–1775 (2015).
- Cherney, A. H. & Reisman, S. E. Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Reductive Cross-Coupling between Vinyl and Benzyl Electrophiles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 14365–14368 (2014).
- Zhang, P., Le, C. C. & MacMillan, D. W. C. Silyl Radical Activation of Alkyl Halides in Metallaphotoredox Catalysis: A Unique Pathway for Cross-Electrophile Coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 8084–8087 (2016).
- Ackerman, L. K. G., Lovell, M. W. & Weix, D. J. Multimetallic catalysed cross-coupling of aryl bromides with aryl triflates. *Nature* **524**, 454–457 (2015).
- Sanford, A. B. et al. Nickel-Catalyzed Alkyl-Alkyl Cross-Electrophile Coupling Reaction of 1,3-Dimesylates for the Synthesis of Alkylcyclopropanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 5017–5023 (2020).
- Qian, X., Auffrant, A., Felouat, A. & Gosmini, C. Cobalt-Catalyzed Reductive Allylation of Alkyl Halides with Allylic Acetates or Carbonates. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 10402–10405 (2011).
- Liu, J.-H. et al. Copper-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of Nonactivated Alkyl Tosylates and Mesylates with Alkyl and Aryl Bromides. *Chem. Eur. J.* **20**, 15334–15338 (2014).
- Yu, X., Yang, T., Wang, S., Xu, H. & Gong, H. Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of Unactivated Alkyl Halides. *Org. Lett.* **13**, 2138–2141 (2011).
- Xu, H., Zhao, C., Qian, Q., Deng, W. & Gong, H. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of unactivated alkyl halides using bis(pinacolato)diboron as reductant. *Chem. Sci.* **4**, 4022–4029 (2013).
- Smith, R. T. et al. Metallaphotoredox-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Csp^3 – Csp^3 Coupling of Aliphatic Bromides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 17433–17438 (2018).
- Diccianni, J. B., Katigbak, J., Hu, C. & Diao, T. Mechanistic Characterization of (Xantphos) Ni(II)-Mediated Alkyl Bromide Activation: Oxidative Addition, Electron Transfer, or Halogen-Atom Abstraction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 1788–1796 (2019).
- Wang, J., Gong, Y., Sun, D. & Gong, H. Nickel-catalyzed reductive benzylation of tertiary alkyl halides with benzyl chlorides and chloroformates. *Org. Chem. Front.* **8**, 2944–2948 (2021).
- Chen, H., Jia, X., Yu, Y., Qian, Q. & Gong, H. Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Allylation of Tertiary Alkyl Halides with Allylic Carbonates. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 13103–13106 (2017).
- Xue, W. et al. Nickel-catalyzed formation of quaternary carbon centers using tertiary alkyl electrophiles. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **50**, 4162–4184 (2021).
- Clayden, J., Greeves, N., Warren, S. *Organic chemistry* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press (2012).
- Vasudevan, D. Direct and indirect electrochemical reduction of organic halides in aprotic media. *Russ J. Electrochem.* **41**, 310–314 (2005).
- Yan, M., Kawamata, Y. & Baran, P. S. Synthetic Organic Electrochemical Methods Since 2000: On the Verge of a Renaissance. *Chem. Rev.* **117**, 13230–13319 (2017).
- Moeller, K. D. Synthetic Applications of Anodic Electrochemistry. *Tetrahedron* **56**, 9527–9554 (2000).
- Cleary, J. A., Mubarak, M. S., Vieira, K. L., Anderson, M. R. & Peters, D. G. Electrochemical Reduction of Alkyl Halides at Vitreous Carbon Cathodes in Dimethylformamide. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* **198**, 107–124 (1986).
- Chaussard, J. et al. Use of Sacrificial Anodes in Electrochemical Functionalization of Organic Halides. *Synthesis* **1990**, 369–381 (1990).
- Nedelec, J. Y., Ait-Haddou-Mouloud, H., Folest, J. C. & Perichon, J. Electrochemical cross-coupling of alkyl halides in the presence of a sacrificial anode. *J. Org. Chem.* **53**, 4720–4724 (1988).
- Andrieux, C. P., Gallardo, I. & Saveant, J. M. Outer-Sphere Electron-Transfer Reduction of Alkyl Halides. A Source of Alkyl Radicals or of Carbanions? Reduction of Alkyl Radicals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **111**, 1620–1626 (1989).
- Knochel, P. New Approach to Boron-Stabilized Organometallics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **112**, 7431–7433 (1990).
- Matteson, D. S. α -Halo Boronic Esters: Intermediates for Stereodirected Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **89**, 1535–1551 (1989).
- Schmidt, J., Choi, J., Liu, A. T., Slusarczyk, M. & Fu, G. C. A general, modular method for the catalytic asymmetric synthesis of alkylboronate esters. *Science* **354**, 1265–1269 (2016).
- Sun, S.-Z., Börjesson, M., Martín-Montero, R. & Martín, R. Site-Selective Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of α -Haloboranes with Unactivated Olefins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 12765–12769 (2018).
- Sanford, C. & Aggarwal, V. K. Stereospecific functionalizations and transformations of secondary and tertiary boronic esters. *Chem. Commun.* **53**, 5481–5494 (2017).
- Li, C. et al. Decarboxylative borylation. *Science* **356**, eaam7355 (2017).
- Fawcett, A. et al. Photoinduced decarboxylative borylation of carboxylic acids. *Science* **357**, 283–286 (2017).
- Yang, Y. et al. Practical and Modular Construction of $C(sp^3)$ -Rich Alkyl Boron Compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **143**, 471–480 (2021).
- Bera, S., Mao, R. & Hu, X. Enantioselective $C(sp^3)$ – $C(sp^3)$ cross-coupling of non-activated alkyl electrophiles via nickel hydride catalysis. *Nat. Chem.* **13**, 270–277 (2021).
- Hong, K., Liu, X. & Morken, J. P. Simple Access to Elusive α -Boryl Carbanions and Their Alkylation: An Umpolung Construction for Organic Synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 10581–10584 (2014).
- Kischewitz, M., Okamoto, K., Mück-Lichtenfeld, C. & Studer, A. Radical-polar crossover reactions of vinylboronate complexes. *Science* **355**, 936–938 (2017).
- Collins, B. S. L., Wilson, C. M., Myers, E. L. & Aggarwal, V. K. Asymmetric Synthesis of Secondary and Tertiary Boronic Esters. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 11700–11733 (2017).
- Hofstra, J. L., Cherney, A. H., Ordner, C. M. & Reisman, S. E. Synthesis of Enantioenriched Allylic Silanes via Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 139–142 (2018).
- Wang, L. et al. C–O Functionalization of α -Oxyboronates: A Deoxygenative gem-Diborylation and gem-Silylborylation of Aldehydes and Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 5257–5264 (2017).

43. Hazrati, H. & Oestreich, M. Copper-Catalyzed Double C(sp³)-Si Coupling of Geminal Dibromides: Ionic-to-Radical Switch in the Reaction Mechanism. *Org. Lett.* **20**, 5367–5369 (2018).
44. Vasilopoulos, A., Krska, S. W. & Stahl, S. S. C(sp³)-H Methylation Enabled by Peroxide Photosensitization and Ni-Mediated Radical Coupling. *Science* **372**, 398–403 (2021).
45. McMillan, A. J. et al. Practical and Selective sp³ C-H Bond Chlorination via Aminium Radicals. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **60**, 7132–7139 (2021).
46. Bishop, J. L., Quinn, R. & Dyar, M. D. Spectral and Thermal Properties of Perchlorate Salts and Implications for Mars. *Am Mineral.* **99**, 1580–1592 (2014).
47. Xue, W., Shishido, R. & Oestreich, M. Bench-Stable Stock Solutions of Silicon Grignard Reagents: Application to Iron- and Cobalt-Catalyzed Radical C(sp³)-Si Cross-Coupling Reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 12141–12145 (2018).
48. Chan, C.-Y., Lepeshkov, I. N., Khoo, K. H. Ed. Alkaline Earth Metal Perchlorates. In *Solubility Data Series* (Pergamon, 1989).
49. Okoshi, M., Yamada, Y., Yamada, A. & Nakai, H. Theoretical Analysis on De-Solvation of Lithium, Sodium, and Magnesium Cations to Organic Electrolyte Solvents. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **160**, A2160–A2165 (2013).
50. Shao, Y. et al. Coordination Chemistry in Magnesium Battery Electrolytes: How Ligands Affect Their Performance. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 3130–3136 (2013).


Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2022

a Transition metal (TM)-catalyzed conventional cross-coupling



b TM-catalyzed cross-electrophile-coupling (XEC)



c State-of-the-art intermolecular $\text{C}(\text{sp}^3)\text{-C}(\text{sp}^3)$ XEC (via Ni catalysis)






Fig. 1 | Cross-coupling and cross-electrophile-coupling for $\text{C}(\text{sp}^3)\text{-C}(\text{sp}^3)$ bond formation. (A) Transition-metal-catalyzed conventional cross-coupling. **(B)** Transition-metal catalyzed cross-electrophile-coupling (XEC). **(C)** State-of-the-art Ni-catalyzed $\text{C}(\text{sp}^3)\text{-C}(\text{sp}^3)$ XEC. **(D)** Proposed reaction

d Disparate fundamental properties of different types of alkyl halides





e Périchon's pioneering study in electroreductive XEC



strategy for the electrochemical XEC (*this work*). Reduction potentials from²². **(E)** Périchon's pioneering study on electroreductive XEC. TBA = tetrabutylammonium, TMU = tetramethylurea. ECEC = electrochemical-chemical-electrochemical-chemical sequence.

a Optimized conditions for the e-XEC of alkyl halides

b Representative substrate scope for the e-XEC of α -haloboronic esters and unactivated alkyl bromides

c Application: Iterative e-XEC for the synthesis of a polysubstituted alkylboronic ester





Fig. 2 | Electroreductive coupling of α -halo pinacol boronic ester with alkyl halides. (A) Electrochemical XEC between α -halo Bpin and primary alkyl bromides: chemoselectivity test. Potential values in parentheses under alkyl halide substrates are presented against ferrocene/ferrocenium reference and are current onset potentials (see SI). (B) Substrate scope for the e-XEC. Reaction conditions: α -halo Bpin (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv), primary alkyl bromide

(3.0 mmol, 3 equiv), TBACIO₄ (3 equiv), THF (2.5 mL), Mg anode, graphite cathode, undivided cell (5 mL, ElectraSyn 2.0), constant current *i* = 5 mA (current density *j* = 1.03 mA/cm²), *Q* = 2 F/mol, 22 °C. See SI for full scope and experimental details. (C) Iterative e-XEC for the synthesis of a polysubstituted alkylboronic ester. [a]Yield of the alcohol after oxidation of Bpin. Bpin, pinacol boronate ester.

a Substrate scope for the e-XEC of various combinations of electrophiles



b Application: Formal benzylic C-H (d_3) methylation of bioactive molecules






Fig. 3 | Substrate scope and synthetic application. (A) Substrate scope of benzyl-, allyl-, propargyl chloride, α -chlorosilane and other electrophiles. Reaction conditions: α -functionalized alkyl halide (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv), primary alkyl bromide (3.0 mmol, 3 equiv), TBAClO₄ (3 equiv), THF (2.5 mL), Mg anode, graphite cathode, undivided cell (5 mL, ElectraSyn 2.0), constant current


$i = 5$ mA (current density = 1.03 mA/cm²), $Q = 2$ F/mol, 22 °C. See SI for experimental details. **(B)** Formal late-stage benzylic C-H (d_3) methylation of bioactive molecules. Photochemical C-H chlorination from ⁴⁵. ^[a]Yield of minor regioisomer. ^[b]Yield of benzylic C-H chlorination. ^[c]Using CD₃OTs prepared from CD₃OD.

a Mechanistic experiments


q Radical probe experiment:

q Stereochemistry test (S_N2 probe):


b Voltage profile and EIS of the e-XEC reaction



c EDS and FT-IR measurements of the passivation layer (in THF)



d Improved reaction conditions enable scale-up synthesis

**Fig. 4 | Anode passivation analysis and gram-scale synthesis.**

(A) Mechanistic experiments. ^[a]Ee of the starting alcohol before bromination.

^[b]Absolute stereochemistry could not be determined and was assumed based on proposed mechanism. (B) The voltage profile and EIS of the e-XEC reaction.

E_{CE} and E_{WE} are the potentials on the counter electrode (Mg) and working

electrode (graphite), respectively. Z' and Z'' are the real and imaginary parts of the impedance, respectively. The complete EIS data can be found in the SI.

(C) Characterization of the passivation layer formed on Mg anode after e-XEC in THF. (D) Scale-up synthesis.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this work are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information.

Acknowledgements Financial support was provided by NIGMS (R01GM130928; to S.L.), NSF Center for Synthetic Organic Electrochemistry (CHE-2002158; to K.A.S.), and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA. S.L. is grateful to the Research Corporation for Science Advancement for a Cottrell Scholar Award. This study made use of the NMR facility supported by the NSF (CHE-1531632). XPS data were collected at the Molecular Materials Research Center in the Beckman Institute of the California Institute of Technology. We thank Dr. Chao Yang for providing propargyl chloride substrates.

Author contributions S.L. and K.A.S. supervised the project. N.S. and D.L. provided guidance on the project. Wen Z. and S.L. conceived the work. Wen Z., L.L., N.S., D.L. and S.L. designed

the experiments. Wen Z. and L.L. conducted the synthetic experiments and mechanism studies. Wendy Z., S.D.W., K.A.S. conducted analysis of electrode passivation. Y.W., J.M. and J.R. conducted DFT calculations. Wen Z., L.L., Wendy Z., K.A.S., S.L. wrote the manuscript. N.S., D.L. and S.D.W. edited the manuscript.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04540-4>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Kimberly A. See or Song Lin.

Peer review information *Nature* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>.