

Article

Electron-Impact Ionization of Heavy Atoms Using the Time-Dependent Close-Coupling Method

Michael S. Pindzola^{1,*}, Stuart D. Loch¹ and James P. Colgan²

- ¹ Department of Physics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA; loch@physics.auburn.edu
- ² Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA; jcolgan@lanl.gov
- * Correspondence: pindzms@auburn.edu

Abstract: The time-dependent close-coupling method has been recently applied to calculate electronimpact direct ionization cross sections for the Kr, W, and Pb atoms. An overview is presented for these three heavy neutral atom systems. When the direct ionization cross sections are combined with excitation-autoionization cross sections, the total ionization cross sections were found to be in reasonable agreement with crossed-beams measurements for Kr and Pb.

Keywords: ionization; atoms; electron

1. Introduction

Electron-impact direct ionization cross sections for the Kr, W, and Pb atoms have recently been calculated using the time-dependent close-coupling (TDCC) method [1–3]. These elements have a number of important applications, with W being used as a plasma facing component on fusion energy experiments [4], Pb being an element with one of the highest cosmic abundances for heavy species and being made in neutron star merges [5], and Kr is of use in the radiative cooling of edge plasmas in fusion devices [6] and in Hall thrusters [7]. When the TDCC direct ionization cross sections for Kr [1] are combined with distorted-wave excitation-autoionization cross sections, the total ionization cross sections are found to be in reasonable agreement with crossed-beams measurements [8]. In addition, the TDCC direct ionization cross sections for Pb [3] are found to be in good agreement with converged close-coupling direct ionization cross sections [9]. When the direct ionization cross sections for Pb are combined with R-matrix B-spline excitation-autoionization cross sections [9], the total ionization cross sections are found to be in reasonable agreement with crossed-beams measurements [10,11]. For both Kr and Pb, the non-perturbative TDCC results for direct ionization were found to be significantly lower than perturbative distorted-wave cross sections. There are currently no experimental measurements for the electron-impact ionization of the W atom. Non-perturbative ionization calculations for neutral heavy elements are challenging, thus the work presented here is intended as a review of recent TDCC results for such systems.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we review the timedependent close-coupling method for the electron ionization of atoms, in Section 3 we present TDCC electron ionization cross sections for Kr, W, and Pb, and in Section 4 we give a brief summary. Unless otherwise stated, all quantities are given in atomic units.

2. Theory

The total single ionization cross section is given by:

$$\sigma_{total} = \sum_{n_0 l_0} \sigma_{ion}(n_0 l_0) + \sum_{n_1 l_1 \to n_2 l_2} \sigma_{exc}(n_1 l_1 \to n_2 l_2) B(n_1 l_1 \to n_2 l_2) , \qquad (1)$$

where $\sigma_{ion}(n_0 l_0)$ is the direct ionization cross section for all $n_0 l_0$ subshells of the initial configuration for which the ionization potential is less than the double ionization potential,



Citation: Pindzola, M.S.; Loch, S.D.; Colgan, J.P. Electron-Impact Ionization of Heavy Atoms Using the Time-Dependent Close-Coupling Method. *Atoms* **2021**, *9*, 32. https:// doi.org/10.3390/atoms9020032

Academic Editor: Jean-Christophe Pain

Received: 15 May 2021 Accepted: 2 June 2021 Published: 8 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). $\sigma_{exc}(n_1l_1 \rightarrow n_2l_2)$ is the excitation cross section to an autoionizing configuration, and $B(n_1l_1 \rightarrow n_2l_2)$ is a branching ratio for autoionization leading to single ionization. The double ionization potential is for the whole atom.

The TDCC equations for each *LS* symmetry are given by:

$$ccci \frac{\partial P_{l_1 l_2}^{LS}(r_1, r_2, t)}{\partial t} = (T_{l_1}(r_1) + T_{l_2}(r_2)) P_{l_1 l_2}^{LS}(r_1, r_2, t) + \sum_{l_1', l_2'} V_{l_1 l_2, l_1' l_2'}^{L}(r_1, r_2) P_{l_1' l_2'}^{LS}(r_1, r_2, t) ,$$
(2)

where

$$T_{l_i}(r_i) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i^2} + \frac{l_i(l_i+1)}{2r_i^2} - \frac{Z}{r_i} + V_H(r_i) + V_X(r_i) , \qquad (3)$$

 $V_H(r_i)$ is the direct Hartree potential, $V_X(r_i)$ is a local exchange potential, and $V_{l_1 l_2, l'_1 l'_2}^L(r_1, r_2)$ is the electrostatic repulsion operator. Details on the potentials are found in the publications for Kr [4], W [5], and Pb [6].

At an appropriate time t = T after the collision, the direct ionization probability is given by:

$$ccP_{ion}^{LS} = 1 - \sum_{n,l} \delta(l_1 lL) \int_0^\infty dr_1 |\int_0^\infty dr_2 P_{l_1 l}^{LS}(r_1, r_2, T) P_{nl}(r_2)|^2 - \sum_{n,l} \delta(ll_2 L) \int_0^\infty dr_2 |\int_0^\infty dr_1 P_{ll_2}^{LS}(r_1, r_2, T) P_{nl}(r_1)|^2 + \sum_{n,l} \sum_{n',l'} \delta(ll'L) |\int_0^\infty dr_1 \int_0^\infty dr_2 P_{ll'}^{LS}(r_1, r_2, T) P_{nl}(r_1) P_{n'l'}(r_2)|^2 ,$$
(4)

where $P_{l_1 l_2}^{LS}(r_1, r_2, t)$ are solutions to the TDCC equations, $P_{nl}(r)$ are bound radial orbitals for the valence electron, and $\delta(l_1 l_2 l_3)$ is an algebraic triangle relation. The appropriate time is found when the scattered electrons are far enough apart so that their interaction is small.

The TDCC direct ionization cross section is given by:

$$\sigma_{ion}(n_0 l_0) = \frac{\pi w_0}{8(2l_0 + 1)E} \sum_{L,S} (2L + 1)(2S + 1)P_{ion}^{LS},$$
(5)

where w_0 is the occupation number of the initial subshell with angular momentum l_0 and $E = \frac{k_1^2}{2} + \frac{k_2^2}{2}$.

3. Results

Although there are many calculations for the direct ionization of the Kr, W, and Pb atoms, for example the calculation of Goswarni et al. for W [12], we compare the TDCC and distorted-wave calculations with only the very accurate convergent close-coupling calculations for Pb [9]. For most atoms the inclusion of the free particle correlation effects found in the TDCC method is found to lower the cross section in comparison to distorted-wave cross sections that do not include free particle correlation effects. We note that direct impact double ionization cross sections are generally much smaller than direct impact single ionization cross sections and appear at higher electron energies.

The TDCC calculations for the direct ionization of the 4*p* and 4*s* subshells of Kr were carried out on a 720 × 720 point (r_1 , r_2) variable mesh lattice [1]. A simple analytic formula was used to smoothly join the calculated TDCC cross sections given by the blue squares. As shown in Figures 1 and 2 the TDCC cross sections were found to be substantially lower than distorted-wave cross sections as has been observed for other neutral systems. Total ionization cross sections for Kr are shown in Figure 3, in which theory was compared to crossed-beams measurements [8]. Both the TDCC and distorted-wave direct ionization



cross sections for the 4*p* and 4*s* subshells were added to rather small $4s \rightarrow nl$ excitation– autoionization cross sections calculated using the distorted-wave method. The theoretical

Figure 2. Electron ionization of the 4*s* subshell of Kr Solid line (red): distorted-wave method, solid line with squares (blue): time-dependent close-coupling method (1.0 Mb = 1.0×10^{-18} cm²).



Figure 3. Electron-impact ionization of Kr. Dashed line (red): direct ionization of the 4*p* and 4*s* subshells using the CADW method, solid line (red): direct ionization of the 4*p* and 4*s* subshells using the CADW method plus all $4s \rightarrow nl$ excitations, dashed line with squares (blue): Direct ionization of the 4*p* and 4*s* subshells using the TDCC method, solid line (blue): direct ionization of the 4*p* and 4*s* subshells using the TDCC method plus all $4s \rightarrow nl$ excitations, circles (green): crossed-beams measurements [8], (1.0 Mb = 1.0×10^{-18} cm²).

The TDCC calculations for the direct ionization of the 6*s* and 5*d* subshells of W were carried out on a 480 × 480 point (r_1, r_2) fixed mesh lattice [2]. As shown in Figure 4 the TDCC cross sections for the 6*s* subshell were found to be slightly lower than semi-relativistic and fully-relativistic distorted-wave cross sections. However, as shown in Figure 5, the TDCC cross sections for the 5*d* subshell were found to be substantially lower than semi-relativistic and fully-relativistic distorted-wave cross sections.

The TDCC calculations for the direct ionization of the 6*p* and 6*s* subshells of Pb were carried out on a 1344 \times 1344 point (r_1, r_2) variable mesh lattice [3]. As shown in Figure 6, the TDCC cross sections for the 6*p* subshell were found to be substantially lower than distorted-wave cross sections, but in good agreement with convergent close-coupling cross sections [9]. As shown in Figure 7, the TDCC cross sections for the 6s subshell were found to be slightly lower than distorted-wave cross sections and slightly above convergent closecoupling cross sections [9]. We note that the 6s subshell cross section is almost five times smaller than the 6p subshell cross section with less agreement between the TDCC and convergent close-coupling cross sections. Total ionization cross sections for Pb are shown in Figure 8, in which theory was compared to crossed-beams measurements [10,11]. Both the TDCC and convergent close-coupling [9] direct ionization cross sections fall below the crossed-beams measurements [10,11], while the convergent close-coupling calculation for direct ionization ionization added to R-matrix B-spline excitation-autoionization cross sections are in excellent agreement with experiments up to an incident energy of 20 eV. We note that the TDCC, distorted-wave, and convergent close-coupling calculations for the direct ionization cross sections all included a polarization potential.



Figure 4. Electron-impact direct ionization of W from the 6s subshell. Solid line (red): semi-relativistic



Figure 5. Electron-impact direct ionization of W from the 5*d* subshell. Solid line (red): semi-relativistic distorted-wave cross section, dashed line (green): fully-relativistic distorted-wave cross section, dot-dashed line with solid squares (blue): TDCC cross section (1.0 Mb = 1.0×10^{-18} cm²).



Figure 6. Electron-impact direct ionization of the *6p* subshell of Pb. Dashed line (red): distorted-wave method with a polarization potential, solid line with squares (blue): time-dependent close-coupling [9]



Figure 7. Electron-impact direct ionization of the 6*s* subshell of Pb. Dashed line (red): distorted-wave method with a polarization potential, solid line with squares (blue): time-dependent close-coupling method with a polarization potential, dot–dash line (green): convergent close-coupling method [9] with a polarization potential (1.0 Mb = 1.0×10^{-18} cm²).



Figure 8. Electron-impact ionization of Pb. Solid line with squares (blue): total TDCC direct ionization cross section with a polarization potential, dot–dash line (green): total CCC direct ionization cross section [9] with a polarization potential, dot–dash–dash line (green): total CCC direct ionization cross section with a polarization potential plus R-matrix B-spline indirect ionization cross section [9], up triangles (orange): crossed-beams measurements [10]. down triangles (violet): crossed-beams measurements [11] (1.0 Mb = 1.0×10^{-18} cm²).

4. Summary

In conclusion we have presented previous results for the electron-impact direct ionization of the Kr, W, and Pb atoms using the time-dependent close-coupling method. In the future we plan to calculate the direct ionization of the outer subshells of the Ta, W, Au, and U atoms using the TDCC method with a polarization potential. We note that previous calculations for the direct ionization of the inner subshells of the Au and U atoms [13,14] were made using a fully-relativistic distorted-wave method.

Author Contributions: Investigation, J.P.C.; Methodology, M.S.P.; Validation, S.D.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported in part by grants from the US National Science Foundation, the US National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the US Department of Energy. Computational work was carried out at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC) in Berkeley, California and at the High Performance Computing Center (HLRS) in Stuttgart, Germany.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Pindzola, M.S.; Loch, S.D. Electron-impact ionization of the Kr atom. J. Phys. B 2019, 52, 245205. [CrossRef]
- 2. Pindzola, M.S.; Loch, S.D.; Foster, A.R. Electron-impact single and double ionization of W. J. Phys. B 2017, 50, 095201. [CrossRef]
- 3. Pindzola, M.S.; Loch, S.D.; Colgan, J.P. Electron-impact ionization of the Pb atom. Eur. Phys. J. D 2021, 75, 45.
- Matthews, G.F.; Su, M.-G.; Sun, D.-X.; Fu, Y.-B.; Dong, C.-Z. Theoretical Analysis of 4f and 5p Inner-Shell Excitations of W-W³⁺ Ions. *Phys. Scr.* 2007, *128*, 137. [CrossRef]
- 5. Alonso-Medina, A. Experimental determination of the Stark widths of Pb I spectral lines in a laser-induced plasma. *Spectrochim Acta Port B* 2008, 63, 598–602. [CrossRef]

- Kallenbach, A.; Bernert, M.; Dux, R.; Casali, L.; Eich, T.; Giannone, L.; Herrmann, A.; McDermott, R.; Mlynek, A.; Müller, H.W. Impurity seeding for tokamak power exhaust: From present devices via ITER to DEMO. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* 2013, 55, 124041. [CrossRef]
- Linnell, J.A.; Gillimore, A.D. Internal plasma potential measurements of a Hall thruster using plasma lens focusing. *Phys. Plasmas* 2006, 13, 103504. [CrossRef]
- 8. Syage, J.A. Electron-impact cross sections for multiple ionization of Kr and Xe. Phys. Rev. A 1992, 46, 5666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. van Eck, M.P.; Fursa, D.V.; Bray, I.; Zatsarinny, O.; Bartschat, K. Cross sections for electronscattering from atomic lead. *J. Phys. B* 2020, *53*, 015204. [CrossRef]
- 10. Freund, R.S.; Wetzel, R.C.; Shul, R.J.; Hayes, T.R. Cross-section measurements for electron-impact ionization of atoms. *Phys. Rev.* A **1990**, *41*, 3575. [CrossRef]
- 11. McCartney, P.C.E.; Shah, M.B.; Geddes, J.; Gilbody, H.B. Cross-section measurements for electron-impact ionization of atoms. *J. Phys. B* **1998**, *31*, 4821. [CrossRef]
- 12. Goswarni, B.; Naghma, R.; Antony, B. Calculation of electron impact total ionization cross sections for tungsten, uranium and their oxide radicals. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2014**, *372*, 8.
- 13. Pindzola, M.S. Electron-impact ionization of the inner subshells of Au. J. Phys. B 2015, 48, 015201. [CrossRef]
- 14. Pindzola, M.S. Electron-impact ionization of the inner subshells of uranium. Phys. Rev. A 2014, 90, 022708. [CrossRef]